# **3D Diffusion-Weighted** <sup>129</sup>Xe MRI for Whole Lung Morphometry

Ho-Fung Chan, Neil J. Stewart <sup>D</sup>, Graham Norquay, Guilhem J. Collier, and Jim M. Wild\*

**Purpose:** To obtain whole lung morphometry measurements from <sup>129</sup>Xe in a single breath-hold with 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion-weighted MRI (DW-MRI) with an empirically optimized diffusion time and compressed sensing for scan acceleration.

**Methods:** Prospective three-fold undersampled 3D multiple b-value hyperpolarized  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  DW-MRI datasets were acquired, and the diffusion time ( $\Delta$ ) was iterated so as to provide diffusive length scale (Lm<sub>D</sub>) estimates from the stretched exponential model (SEM) that are comparable to those from  $^3\text{He}$ . The empirically optimized  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  diffusion time was then implemented with a four-fold undersampling scheme and was prospectively benchmarked against  $^3\text{He}$  measurements in a cohort of five healthy volunteers, six ex-smokers, and two chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients using both SEM-derived Lm<sub>D</sub> and cylinder model (CM)-derived mean chord length (Lm). **Results:** Good agreement between the mean  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  and  $^3\text{He}$  Lm<sub>D</sub> (mean difference, 2.2%) and Lm (mean difference, 1.1%) values was obtained in all subjects at an empirically optimized  $^{129}\text{Xe} \Delta = 8.5$  ms.

**Conclusion:** Compressed sensing has facilitated single-breath 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI acquisitions, and results at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms indicate that <sup>129</sup>Xe provides a viable alternative to <sup>3</sup>He for whole lung morphometry mapping with either the SEM or CM. **Magn Reson Med 000:000–000, 2017.** © 2017 The Authors Magnetic Resonance in Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Key words:** hyperpolarized <sup>129</sup>Xe; lung morphometry; compressed sensing; stretched exponential model; hyperpolarized <sup>3</sup>He

DOI 10.1002/mrm.26960

### INTRODUCTION

The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) calculated from hyperpolarized <sup>3</sup>He diffusion-weighted MRI (DW-MRI) has been shown to be sensitive to changes in lung microstructure (1,2). The non-Gaussian diffusion behavior of the gas in the lungs results in a non-monoexponential signal attenuation with increasing b-value (3). The signal decay is determined by experimental and physiological factors including gas diffusivity, diffusion gradient strengths and timings, and the complexity of alveolar microstructure, which together influence the measurement of ADC (4,5). Theoretical diffusion models, such as the cylinder model (CM) (6,7), stretched exponential model (SEM) (8), and q-space analysis (9), have been proposed to model this non-Gaussian diffusion behavior and derive estimates of alveolar length scales (i.e., morphometry) from multiple b-value DW-MRI acquisitions. Compressed sensing (CS) has enabled multiple b-value <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI for 3D whole lung morphometry mapping in a single breath-hold (10) for quantitative regional assessment of lung microstructure.

With the limited availability of <sup>3</sup>He gas (11), <sup>129</sup>Xe provides a more cost-effective alternative for pulmonary MRI, and with advancements in polarization levels (12,13), recent studies have shown that comparable ventilation and microstructural information can be obtained using both nuclei (14–17). DW-MRI with <sup>129</sup>Xe is, however, inherently more challenging due to the lower diffusivity and gyromagnetic ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe compared with <sup>3</sup>He, resulting in longer diffusion gradient times, longer sequence echo time (TE) and repetition time (TR), and lower image SNR. Despite these challenges, theoretical models have been proposed for interpreting the <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI signal from multiple b-value acquisitions (18), and estimates of alveolar length scales have been derived from healthy subjects and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients (19-21). However, the multiple b-value interleaves in previous studies were acquired using noncontiguous, relatively thick 2D slices without whole lung coverageand in some cases in separate breath-holds-due to the associated long scan times. Furthermore, to our knowledge, no direct comparison of alveolar length scales derived from application of theoretical diffusion models of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe in vivo have yet been presented.

In this study, compressed sensing acceleration methods developed for <sup>3</sup>He (10) were adapted for 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI in a single breath-hold, and 3D morphometric maps of mean diffusive length scale (Lm<sub>D</sub>) were generated using the SEM. Results were compared against equivalent 3D <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> morphometric maps acquired with CS, and an optimal <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion time of

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{\mathsf{POLARIS}}$  , Academic Unit of Radiology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

Grant sponsor: National Institute for Health Research; Grant number: NIHR-RP-R3-12-027; Grant sponsor: Medical Research Council; Grant number: MR/M008894/1.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Jim M. Wild, Ph.D., Academic Unit of Radiology, University of Sheffield, C Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield, S10 2JF, UK. E-mail: j.m.wild@sheffield.ac.uk

Received 15 August 2017; revised 14 September 2017; accepted 19 September 2017

Published online 00 Month 2017 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).

<sup>© 2017</sup> The Authors Magnetic Resonance in Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Subjects

HV1

HV2

HV3

HV4

HV5

FS1

ES2

ES3

ES4

ES5

ES6

COPD patients COPD1

COPD2

Ex-smokers

Healthy volunteers

 Table 1

 Summary of Subject Demographics and Pulmonary Function Test Data

Female

Male

Female

Male

Female

Male

Female

Female

86.7

95.2

90.1

107.7

90.9

111.6

39.6

69.7

68.8

69.2

59.7

67.5

71.0

96.1

36.5

50.0

Age

26

31

34

31

33

47

51

53

55

52

50

62

64

cs and Pulmonary Function Test Data									
Sex	FEV <sub>1</sub> (% pred)	FEV <sub>1</sub> / FVC (%)	TLC (% pred)	RV (% pred)	T <sub>LCO</sub> (% pred)	Smoking Pack Years			
Male	102.9	81.2	105.6	107.0	-	-			
Male	102.0	82.8	100.3	85.0	_	_			
Male	77.0	88.0	91.7	107.2	_	_			
Male	105.0	87.0	91.6	70.7	_	_			
Male	85.1	76.0	84.0	74.1	_	_			

108.4

106.7

130.0

132.0

101.9

109.0

 $\Delta = 8.5$  ms was derived empirically. Prospective acquisitions with the optimal <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion time were then benchmarked in healthy volunteers, ex-smokers, and COPD patients with both SEM-derived Lm<sub>D</sub> and CM-derived mean chord length (Lm) measurements.

#### THEORY

#### The Stretched Exponential Model

The non-Gaussian signal decay from an imaging voxel can be modeled as the superposition of signals with different apparent diffusivities (D):

$$\frac{S_b}{S_0} = \int_{0}^{D_0} p(D) e^{-bD} dD$$
 [1]

where  $S_0$  is the signal when b = 0,  $S_b$  is the signal corresponding to a non-zero b-value, D are all possible apparent diffusivities between 0 and  $D_0$  (the free diffusion coefficient of <sup>3</sup>He or <sup>129</sup>Xe in air/N<sub>2</sub>), and p(D) is the probability distribution associated with the apparent diffusivities. The non-Gaussian HP gas diffusion signal decay in the lungs can be well described by an SEM fit (Equation [2]) (22).

$$\frac{S_b}{S_0} = e^{[-b \ DDC]^{\alpha}}$$
[2]

With <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI, the SEM-derived parameters of distributed diffusivity coefficient (DDC) and heterogeneity index ( $\alpha$ ) have been shown to be sensitive to changes in lung microstructure and are valid over a range of experimental conditions. DDC is dependent on diffusion time, while  $\alpha$ has been demonstrated to be insensitive to lung inflation and experimental diffusion time (23). A numerical expression for p(D) can be estimated from the SEM-derived parameters using the approach developed by Berberan-Santos et al. (24):

$$p(D) = \tau_0 \frac{B}{D\tau_0^{(1-\alpha/2)/(1-\alpha)}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{(1-\alpha)\alpha^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}{D\tau_0^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}\right] \cdot f(D),$$
[3]

30.0

30.0

4.1

10.0

25.0

22.5

where  $\tau_0$  is 1/DDC, and f(D) is defined by

105.3

100.9

139.2

127.0

106.6

89.4

\_

$$f(D) = \begin{cases} 1/[1 + C(D\tau_0)^{\delta}], \ \delta = \alpha(0.5 - \alpha)/(1 - \alpha), \ \alpha \le 0.5, \\ [1 + C(D\tau_0)^{\delta}], \ \delta = \alpha(\alpha - 0.5)/(1 - \alpha), \ \alpha > 0.5, \end{cases}$$
[4]

93.0

97.2

99.2

86.5

89.3

98.4

37.4

61.0

The parameters B and C are functions related to  $\alpha$ , and parameters at specific  $\alpha$  values can be found in Table 1 of Berberan-Santos et al. (24). Interpolation can be used to derive the corresponding parameters B and C for other  $\alpha$  values. The expression for p(D) can subsequently be related to a distribution of diffusion length scales  $p(L_D)$ associated with the different apparent diffusivities through the 1D diffusion equation  $L_D$  =  $\left(2D\Delta\right)^{1\!\!/_2}$  (i.e., root mean squared displacements, where  $\Delta$  is the diffusion time). The  $p(L_D)$  distributions should then represent the distribution of microscopic dimensions of the airways (i.e., the diffusion-restricting boundaries) contained within a given voxel. These distributions can then be used to calculate the mean diffusion length scale  $(Lm_D)$ as a quantitative estimate of the mean acinar airway dimensions within a given voxel. The Lm<sub>D</sub> metric should therefore be analogous to the calculation of mean linear intercept length  $(L_x)$  from histology.

This method of calculating  $Lm_D$  differs from the method used to derive mean chord length (Lm) with the CM. In the CM, the underlying assumptions are that the acinar airways are considered cylindrical objects and thus the HP gas diffusion signal can be described by two anisotropic diffusion coefficients, longitudinal (D<sub>L</sub>) and transverse (D<sub>T</sub>). Phenomenological expressions were empirically optimized from Monte Carlo simulations to relate D<sub>L</sub> and D<sub>T</sub> to the cylindrical lung airway parameters, outer airway radii (R) and alveolar sleeve depth (h) (6,25). Lm is subsequently derived from the alveoli surface area and volume based upon the geometrical parameters of R and h (7).

## METHODS

All in vivo MRI experiments were performed under the approval of the UK National Research Ethics Committee and the local National Health Service research office. All CS simulations and lung morphometry calculations were implemented in-house using MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) software. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for each dataset was computed in the magnitude images (b=0) by dividing the mean signal of the entire segmented lung region by a region of background noise corrected for Rician distribution bias. It should be noted that SNR calculated from CS images present a biased measure of SNR, due to the denoising process associated with CS reconstruction.

## 3D Multiple b-Value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI with CS

A fully sampled 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI dataset was acquired from a healthy male volunteer (HV1) on a 1.5 T (GE HDx) MR scanner using a flexible quadrature transmit/receive vest coil (Clinical MR Solutions, Brookfield, Wisconsin, USA) which was tuned to the Larmor frequency of <sup>129</sup>Xe at 1.5 T (17.66 MHz). All lung imaging was performed at a lung volume of functional residual capacity plus 1L following inhalation of a dose of 800 mL enriched Xe [86%  $^{129}$ Xe,  $\sim 30\%$  polarization (12,13)] mixed with 200 mL of N<sub>2</sub>. Image acquisition parameters were: 3D spoiled gradient echo sequence;  $2 \times \text{interleaves}$  (b = 0, 12 s/cm<sup>2</sup>); elliptical-centric phase encoding; in-plane resolution =  $64 \times 52$  (6.25 mm pixel dimension); 18 effective coronal slices (15 mm slice thickness); field of view =  $40 \times 32.5 \times 27 \text{ cm}^3$ ; TE/TR = 11.2/14.4 ms; diffusion time ( $\Delta$ ) = 5 ms (diffusion gradient strength = 22.7 mT/m, ramp time = 0.3 ms, plateau time = 3 ms, gap between lobes = 1.4 ms); flip angle =  $2.2^{\circ}$ ; and bandwidth =  $\pm 6.97$  KHz.

<sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms was first chosen as it corresponds to the diffusion time originally proposed for <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry with the CM (18). This time was derived theoretically such that acinar airway geometrical parameters from the CM for <sup>129</sup>Xe would be the same as those obtained with <sup>3</sup>He (18), and these values have been subsequently used in 2D <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI experimental studies (20,21). Retrospective CS simulations of the fully sampled dataset with acceleration factors (AF) between 2 and 5 were implemented using the methodology described previously for <sup>3</sup>He (10). The Wilcoxon signedrank test was employed to assess differences in fully sampled and retrospectively reconstructed ADC maps for each AF on a pixel-by-pixel basis.

The optimum k-space sampling pattern for three-fold undersampling was chosen based on the simulation results and was used for prospective acquisition of 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe multiple b-value DW-MRI data from four healthy volunteers (HV1, HV2, HV3, HV4). Prospective data were acquired with an inhaled gas mixture of  $750\,mL$   $^{129}Xe$ and 250 mL nitrogen, with imaging parameters as for the fully sampled acquisition other than the following: four interleaves (b = 0, 12, 20, 30 s/cm<sup>2</sup>); TE/TR = 11.7/15.0 $\Delta = 5$ ms (maximum diffusion ms: gradient strength = 31.9 mT/m, ramp time = 0.3 ms, plateau time-= 3.5 ms, gap = 0.9 ms); and flip angle =  $2.7^{\circ}$ . The AF of 3 reduces the scan time from 57 to 19 s.  $^{129}$ Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> maps were calculated using the SEM, and results were compared with Lm<sub>D</sub> maps derived from the same volunteers'

lungs using <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI as described by Chan et al. (10). <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> at <sup>3</sup>He  $\Delta = 1.6$  ms was chosen for comparison because healthy and COPD Lm<sub>D</sub> values derived at this diffusion time have been demonstrated to match histologically derived healthy and COPD mean linear intercept values (26).

## Empirical Determination of Optimal <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

With the aim of obtaining the best agreement between  $^{129}$ Xe and  $^{3}$ He lung morphometry results [rather than simply using the  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms proposed by Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy (18)], HV1 was imaged at additional diffusion times ( $\Delta = 5, 7, 8, and 10 ms$ ).  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 10$  ms was chosen as it corresponds to the same 1D characteristic free diffusion length ( $\sqrt{2D_0\Delta} \sim 530 \, \mu$ m) as experienced in the benchmark  $^{3}$ He experiment (assuming  $D_0^{Xe-air} = 0.14 \ \rm cm^2/s, \ D_0^{He-air} = 0.88 \ \rm cm^2/s, and \ \Delta^{He} = 1.6 \ ms$ ). Each additional scan was acquired with the same gas mixture and b-values as the previous prospective CS acquisitions at  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 5 \ ms$ , and Lm<sub>D</sub> maps were calculated from each dataset.

## Benchmarking of Empirically Optimized <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

The empirically optimized diffusion time ( $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms [see Results]) was then benchmarked against <sup>3</sup>He equivalent measurements for lung morphometry mapping over different ranges of acinar length scales that are experienced with smoking-related emphysema. Five healthy volunteers (age,  $31.0 \pm 3.1$  years), six ex-smokers (age,  $51.3 \pm 2.7$  years), and two COPD patients (age,  $63.0 \pm 1.4$  years, GOLD II-IV) were recruited for this preliminary study. Subject demographics and pulmonary function test (PFT) data for each subject are summarized in Table 1.

Each subject was imaged with 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI, using 750 mL of inhaled <sup>129</sup>Xe and the following imaging parameters: TE/TR = 14.0/17.3 ms; maximum DW gradient strength = 32.6 mT/m;  $\Delta = 8.5$ ms; ramp time = 0.3 ms; plateau time = 2.3 ms; gap = 5.6ms; and flip angle =  $3.1^{\circ}$ . Using <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms, the duration of three-fold undersampled CS scans was increased by 3 s due to the increased diffusion time. Therefore, four-fold undersampling (AF = 4) was now implemented in the subsequent prospective CS acquisitions to further reduce the breath-hold to 16 s, similar to the 15 s acquisition for  ${}^{3}$ He (10), and to demonstrate the clinical viability of this sequence. 3D <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI was acquired in same-day scan sessions for all subjects (except for HV1-HV3, for whom <sup>3</sup>He data were acquired approximately 1 year previously), with experimental parameters described previously (10). <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> maps were derived and compared in each subject.

Finally, the applicability of <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms to CM derivations of lung morphometry parameters was assessed. The <sup>129</sup>Xe-based CM phenomenological expressions are optimized for <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms; however, if the same theoretical free diffusion length is probed with both nuclei (i.e.,  $\Delta_{\text{He}} = 1.6$  ms and  $\Delta_{\text{Xe}} = 10$  ms), the original <sup>3</sup>He-based phenomenological expressions should in theory be applicable for derivation of <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry parameters (18). Initial CM analysis of <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI data in healthy subjects at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms and



FIG. 1. CS simulation results for 3D  $^{129}$ Xe DW-MRI. Reconstructed magnitude image (b = 0) for each AF, with corresponding MAE values (AF = 1; fully sampled dataset [SNR = 25]).

<sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 10$  ms, suggested that, as with the SEM, more consistent <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry results were obtained with <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms (see Discussion). The 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI data at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms was therefore analyzed using the <sup>3</sup>He-based CM phenomenological expressions (7), and the <sup>129</sup>Xe mean chord length (Lm) was hence derived and compared with <sup>3</sup>He-derived Lm for each subject in the preliminary study.

### RESULTS

## 3D Multiple b-Value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI with CS

The SNR of the fully sampled <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI dataset was 25. Optimal k-space undersampling patterns for different AFs were determined through CS simulations. Retrospectively reconstructed datasets from each optimal undersampling pattern showed a small increase in mean absolute error (MAE) of normalized signal intensity value for the b=0 data (from 2.27% at AF=2 to 4.25% at AF=5), indicating a good preservation of image details

with increased AF (Fig. 1). Whole lung mean ADC histograms and single slice ADC maps generated from the reconstructed CS datasets also demonstrated a good preservation of quantitative information and low MAE<sub>ADC</sub> (Fig. 2). Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for each AF found no significant differences (P > 0.05) between CSreconstructed and fully sampled ADC maps on a pixelby-pixel basis, confirming preservation of quantitative information and indicating that CS is suitable for 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe multiple b-value DW-MRI.

Prospective 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe multiple b-value DW-MRI was acquired in four healthy volunteers with AF = 3 and <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms, and resulting ADC and Lm<sub>D</sub> maps were compared with previously calculated lung microstructural maps acquired using 3D <sup>3</sup>He multiple b-value DW-MRI. Mean SNR for the four prospective <sup>129</sup>Xe datasets was 40. The prospective CS whole lung mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC value for volunteer HV1 (0.0329 cm<sup>2</sup>/s) was very similar (+1.2% difference) to the fully sampled mean ADC value (0.0325 cm<sup>2</sup>/s) that was obtained for CS simulations.



FIG. 2. ADC results for 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI CS simulations. (a) Single-slice ADC maps with the MAE<sub>ADC</sub>, and mean global ADC values for each AF. (b) Corresponding whole lung ADC histograms for each AF.

FIG. 3. Prospective CS results for a healthy volunteer (HV1) (SNR = 30). (a) Example  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  Lm\_D maps derived from 3D multiple b-value  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  DW-MRI. (b) Example  $^3\text{He}$  Lm\_D maps in comparative slices demonstrate the mismatch in Lm\_D values between the two nuclei.



Example  $^{129}Xe$  and  $^{3}He\ Lm_{D}$  maps from the comparative slices in HV1 are shown in Figure 3 and a summary of mean ADC and Lm\_D values for each volunteer is provided in Table 2. At  $^{129}Xe\ \Delta=5$  ms, mean  $^{129}Xe\ Lm_{D}$  values for all subjects were  ${\sim}50\,\mu{\rm m}$  smaller than the corresponding mean  $^{3}He$  values.

## Empirical Determination of Optimal <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

A strong positive linear correlation (r=0.998, P<0.001) was established between <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> and diffusion times, and at  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms the <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> value best matched the volunteer's <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> value (Fig. 4a). In contrast to Lm<sub>D</sub>, mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC decreased with increasing diffusion time; a 12.5% decrease in mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC was observed from  $\Delta = 5$  ms to 10 ms. The relationship between <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC and diffusion time was nonlinear, however, and best fitted a logarithmic function ( $R^2 = 0.961$ ) (Fig. 4b).

# Benchmarking of Empirically Optimized <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

The mean <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe SNR of the b=0 image for all preliminary study subjects was 32 and 65, respectively. A summary of <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> and corresponding <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> values are shown in Table 3. An improved matching of mean <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> was obtained with the empirically optimized diffusion time, and this is visible in example Lm<sub>D</sub> maps from three representative subjects (Fig. 5). A difference in Lm<sub>D</sub> of less than 7% was

observed in all subjects, with a mean difference ( $^{129}$ Xe –  $^{3}$ He) in all subjects of -2.2%. Figure 6a shows a very strong correlation (r=0.987, P<0.001) between individual lung  $^{3}$ He and  $^{129}$ Xe mean Lm<sub>D</sub> values in all subjects. Lm<sub>D</sub> values fall around the line of equality, and this good agreement was confirmed by Bland-Altman analysis (Fig. 6b) of individual lung Lm<sub>D</sub> values, where a mean bias of -2.1% (-4.8 µm) for  $^{129}$ Xe mean Lm<sub>D</sub> with a 95% confidence interval of -6.7% to 2.5% (-14.8 to 5.2 µm) was observed.

The mean difference in <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He CM Lm values was +1.1% (Table 3), demonstrating a similar level of agreement in CM-derived Lm at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta$ =8.5 ms as SEM-derived Lm<sub>D</sub>. <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe CM single lung Lm values were also strongly correlated (r=0.980, P<0.001) (Fig. 6c), and Bland-Altman analysis of mean single lung Lm values indicates a mean bias of +2.3% in <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm values with a 95% confidence interval of -15.2% to 19.9% (Fig. 6d).

#### DISCUSSION

## 3D Multiple b-Value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI with CS

CS has enabled the acquisition of 3D multiple b-value  $^{129}$ Xe DW-MRI in a single breath-hold for the generation of whole lung maps of alveolar diffusion length scale with a voxel size of  $6.25 \times 6.25 \times 15 \text{ mm}^3$ . Retrospectively undersampled  $^{129}$ Xe datasets demonstrated good preservation of image details and microstructural information

Table 2

Summary of Whole Lung Mean ADC and  $Lm_D$  Values for Four Healthy Volunteers Derived from Prospective 3D Multiple b-Value <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI With CS

Subjects	$^{129}$ Xe ADC (cm <sup>2</sup> /s) ( $\Delta = 5$ ms)	$^{129}$ Xe Lm <sub>D</sub> (µm) ( $\Delta = 5$ ms)	$^{3}$ He ADC (cm <sup>2</sup> /s) ( $\Delta = 1.6$ ms)	$^{3}$ He Lm <sub>D</sub> (µm) ( $\Delta$ = 1.6 ms)
HV1	$0.033 \pm 0.012$	161 ± 23	$0.182 \pm 0.085$	$208\pm30$
HV2	$0.039 \pm 0.012$	$176\pm20$	$0.196 \pm 0.077$	$223\pm24$
HV3	$0.030 \pm 0.011$	$157\pm19$	$0.166 \pm 0.068$	$205\pm23$
HV4	$\textbf{0.030} \pm \textbf{0.011}$	$156\pm18$	$\textbf{0.169} \pm \textbf{0.065}$	$210\pm20$



FIG. 4. Global mean <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> and ADC results at different <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion times for one healthy volunteer. (**a**) A strong linear dependence in <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion time and mean <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> value was observed. At <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta$ =8.5 ms, the <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> matches the volunteer's corresponding <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> value. (**b**) Mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC decreases with increasing diffusion time in a nonlinear logarithmic relationship.

with increased undersampling. MAE and MAE<sub>ADC</sub> values from <sup>129</sup>Xe CS simulations were similar to those reported with <sup>3</sup>He (10). The presence of image blurring in the fully sampled <sup>129</sup>Xe images is likely the result of elliptical-centric phase encode ordering used with <sup>129</sup>Xe in contrast to sequential encoding used previously with <sup>3</sup>He. Elliptical-centric phase encoding maximizes SNR at the consequence of increased image blurring with a RF depolarization k-space filter that originates from the center of k-space (27). The full width at half maximum values of retrospectively undersampled <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC histograms decreased with AF; this trend matches the results of <sup>3</sup>He CS simulations (10) and demonstrates decreased spatial heterogeneity associated with the denoising reconstruction process of CS. However, this loss of spatial heterogeneity did not result in a statistically significant difference between fully sampled ADC and undersampled CS ADC maps.

Prospective three-fold undersampled 3D multiple bvalue <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI was acquired in four healthy volunteers at  $\Delta = 5$  ms. The difference of + 1.2% between CS (0.0329 cm<sup>2</sup>/s) and fully sampled mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC (0.0325 cm<sup>2</sup>/s) for one volunteer (HV1) was similar to the small differences we reported previously between fully sampled and CS undersampled 2D and 3D <sup>3</sup>He ADC values (10,28). The whole lung mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC value for all four healthy volunteers (~0.033 cm<sup>2</sup>/s) was also consistent with previously reported healthy subject ADC values, with b = 12 s/cm<sup>2</sup> at 1.5 T (29). The observed mean Lm<sub>D</sub> mismatch of approximately 50 µm between <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe suggests that the <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion time of  $\Delta = 5$  ms, previously proposed for in vivo lung morphometry with the CM (18), is not applicable for <sup>129</sup>Xe lung diffusion length scale measurements derived from the SEM.

## Empirical Determination of Optimal <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

Mean <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC values (at  $b = 12 \text{ s/cm}^2$ ) decreased nonlinearly with increasing diffusion time; a trend observed previously in <sup>3</sup>He ADC measurements (4,30). The logarithmic relationship observed between <sup>129</sup>Xe ADC and diffusion time also matches the trend observed for <sup>3</sup>He

#### Table 3

Summary of <sup>129</sup>Xe Whole Lung SEM-Derived Lm<sub>D</sub> and CM-Derived Lm Values for Healthy Volunteers, Ex-smokers, and COPD Patients Acquired With AF = 4 and <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta$  = 8.5 ms and Their Corresponding <sup>3</sup>He Mean Lung Morphometry Values (AF = 3, <sup>3</sup>He  $\Delta$  = 1.6 ms)

	Stretched Exponential Model			Cylinder Model ( <sup>3</sup> He-based)			
Subjects	<sup>129</sup> Xe Lm <sub>D</sub> (μm)	<sup>3</sup> He Lm <sub>D</sub> (μm)	Lm <sub>D</sub> Difference (%)	<sup>129</sup> Xe Lm (µm)	<sup>3</sup> He Lm (µm)	Lm Difference (%)	
Healthy volunteers							
HV1	205	208	-1.4	183	183	0.0	
HV2	218	224	-2.7	222	210	+5.6	
HV3	206	205	+0.5	196	171	+12.5	
HV4	200	210	-4.8	173	178	-3.1	
HV5	192	205	-6.3	164	170	-3.6	
Mean HV	204	210	<b>-2.9</b>	188	182	+2.3	
Ex-smokers							
ES1	232	234	-0.9	259	222	+14.3	
ES2	230	234	-1.7	254	240	+5.3	
ES3	234	236	-0.8	266	250	+6.0	
ES4	245	246	-0.4	326	335	-2.7	
ES5	221	231	-4.3	222	226	-2.1	
ES6	217	215	+0.9	217	201	+7.2	
Mean ES	230	233	-1.2	257	246	+4.7	
COPD patients							
COPD1	317	323	-1.9	639	671	-5.0	
COPD2	251	263	-4.6	318	381	-19.8	
Mean COPD	284	293	-3.2	478	526	-12.4	
Overall mean	_	_	-2.2	_	-	+1.1	



FIG. 5. Comparison of <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He example slice Lm<sub>D</sub> maps for a representative healthy, exsmoker, and COPD subject. <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> maps derived using 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI at an empirically optimized diffusion time  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms demonstrate good agreement with <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> maps. 3D <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI mean SNR was 37, 44, 80 for the representative healthy volunteer, ex-smoker, and COPD patient, respectively.

ADC (30). The SEM-derived  $Lm_D$  values exhibited a strong positive linear dependence with  $\Delta$  over the range of 5–10 ms. The dependence of  $Lm_D$  on  $\Delta$  reflects the changes in the theoretical characteristic free diffusion lengths probed for each experiment. At  $\Delta = 10$  ms, corresponding to the characteristic free diffusion length of  $^{129}$ Xe ( $\sqrt{2D_0\Delta} = 530\,\mu$ m) which is identical to the free diffusion length of  $^{3}$ He in air for the diffusion times used by Chan et al. (10), a mismatch of  $Lm_D$  values was still observed in the data from three healthy volunteers (Fig. 7).

This mismatch suggests that even at the same characteristic free diffusion length there may be inherent differences in the specific diffusion dephasing regime of the respective gas in the lung alveoli which makes this assumption of Gaussian relation between diffusion length and diffusion time inexact. The differences in diffusion dephasing regime stems from intrinsic properties (i.e., gyromagnetic ratio and diffusivity) of each gas, and thus leads to different mechanisms that contribute to non-Gaussian diffusion signal behaviors that are not accounted for in the calculation of characteristic free diffusion length. For example, differences in the diffusional dephasing regime due to microscopic background susceptibility gradients may exist between <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He at the same field strength due to the smaller gyromagnetic ratio of <sup>129</sup>Xe. These effects on diffusive length scales are similar to the effect of different B<sub>0</sub> field strengths on <sup>3</sup>He ADC values (5).

# Benchmarking of Empirically Optimized <sup>129</sup>Xe Diffusion Time

The decision to further accelerate with four-fold undersampling was motivated by the need to reduce the breath-hold duration incurred with <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion times > 5 ms. To verify that good agreement in Lm<sub>D</sub> values was obtained with three- and four-fold undersampling,



FIG. 6. (a) Comparison of <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He mean single (left and right) lung  $Lm_D$  values for all subjects. The solid line represents the line of equality. (b) Bland-Altman analysis of mean single lung  $Lm_D$  values. The percentage difference (<sup>129</sup>Xe – <sup>3</sup>He) between the two nuclei is plotted against the mean single lung  $Lm_D$  value of the two nuclei for all subjects. The solid line represents the mean percentage difference, and the two dotted lines indicate the 95% (±1.96 SD) difference range. (c) Comparison of <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He mean single lung Lm values derived from the cylinder model for all subjects. Both <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He data were analyzed with the <sup>3</sup>He-based cylinder model. The solid line represents the line of equality. (d) Corresponding Bland-Altman analysis of mean single lung Lm values.

all five healthy volunteers were imaged with an additional AF = 3  $^{129}$ Xe CS acquisition at  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta$  = 8.5 ms. A slice-by-slice comparison of mean Lm<sub>D</sub> values for the five healthy volunteers was performed, and Bland-Altman analysis confirmed a mean bias of +1.5%  $(+2.9\,\mu\text{m})$  for AF = 4. The 95% confidence interval of -6.9% to +10.0% (-13.4 to  $19.3\,\mu$ m) was within typical standard deviation values of lung Lm<sub>D</sub> values in healthy volunteers. This slight increase in mean slice  $Lm_D$  values obtained with AF = 4 is likely the result of CS reconstruction error associated with increased undersampling. In addition, the broad 95% confidence interval range could also be explained by inexact coregistration of image slices due to slight changes in subject position between the AF = 3 and AF = 4 scan sessions. However, the small increase in  $Lm_D$  justifies that implementation of AF = 4 in prospective acquisitions with  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$ ms. The reduction of scan time to within 16 s is more tolerable for a wider range of subjects, therefore AF = 4will be used in all subsequent 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI acquisitions.

Using the empirically optimized diffusion time, <sup>129</sup>Xederived Lm<sub>D</sub> values demonstrated improved matching with <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms than at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms. The mean difference between whole lung <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> values across all subjects was -2.2%, and the mean bias in individual lung <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> values was -2.1%. <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms was derived from preliminary data, and this small bias may suggest that a different optimal diffusion time (slightly longer than  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms) could be used to bring the bias toward 0%. Considering  $\Delta = 8.5 \text{ ms } \text{Lm}_{\text{D}}$  for HV1, a <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 9.1 \text{ ms}$  was found to match the volunteer's <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> value (Fig. 7). Additionally, when the previous <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  and 8.5 ms results for HV2 and HV3 are considered in conjunction with an additional acquisition at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 10 \text{ ms}$ , a similar optimal diffusion time of around 9 ms was obtained as well (Fig. 7).



FIG. 7. Mean <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> results at different <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion times for three healthy volunteers. A strong linear dependence in <sup>129</sup>Xe diffusion time and mean <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> value was obtained for HV1 (r=0.98, P=0.015). When the  $\Delta$ =8.5 ms results for HV1 was considered, the diffusion time  $\Delta$ =9.1 ms corresponded to the subject's <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> value. A similar diffusion time trend was observed in the other two healthy volunteers (HV2 and HV3).

Nevertheless, the observed bias of -2.1% is equivalent to the same-day reproducibility error (2.1%) (31) of Lm values calculated from multiple b-value <sup>3</sup>He DW-MRI using the CM. This indicates that any mismatch between <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm<sub>D</sub> values at the <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta$ =8.5 ms is of the order of same-day reproducibility error, and we conclude that comparable lung morphometry maps can be obtained with <sup>129</sup>Xe.

One limitation of this study is that the  $^{129}\rm Xe$  diffusion time was optimized based upon the  $\rm Lm_D$  results from healthy volunteers only. In subjects with emphysematous changes to alveolar length scales, a different relationship between  $^{129}\rm Xe$   $\rm Lm_D$  and diffusion time may exist. However, the strong agreement between  $^{129}\rm Xe$  and  $^{3}\rm He$   $\rm Lm_D$  results from the subsequent prospective acquisitions in healthy volunteers, ex-smokers, and COPD patients suggests that  $^{129}\rm Xe$   $\Delta=8.5-9$  ms is valid across a range of alveolar sizes subject to age and smoking-related emphysema.

The empirically optimized <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms used in our study is significantly longer than the diffusion time used in other <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry studies. In Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy (18),  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms was chosen and CM phenomenological expressions for acinar airway geometrical parameters were also recalibrated for <sup>129</sup>Xe such that lung morphometry results matched those of <sup>3</sup>He. However, it was noted that if the same theoretical free diffusion length is probed with both nuclei, the <sup>3</sup>Hebased phenomenological expressions can be applied to derive <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry parameters (18). In a small subset of the preliminary study cohort (HV1-HV4), the assumption that, like the SEM, the CM will yield more comparable lung morphometry results at  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms than with  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 10$  ms was explored.  $^{129}\text{Xe}$   $\Delta\!=\!8.5$  and 10 ms data were analyzed with  $^3\text{He}\text{-}$ based CM parameters, and derived Lm was compared with <sup>3</sup>He-derived Lm values. A mean difference of 4.3% was obtained between  $^{129}\text{Xe}\ \Delta\!=\!8.5$  ms Lm and  $^3\text{He}$  Lm, whereas at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 10$  ms the difference was larger (11.5%). These results, albeit in a small subset of subjects, support the implementation of the <sup>3</sup>He-based CM with <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI at <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms.

The mean <sup>3</sup>He Lm values for healthy volunteers  $(\sim 180 \,\mu\text{m})$ , ex-smokers  $(\sim 250 \,\mu\text{m})$ , and COPD patients  $(\sim 500 \,\mu\text{m})$  were consistent with previously reported <sup>3</sup>He Lm values (7,32,33). The mean <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm for ex-smokers (with  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms) are also in agreement with previous <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm values reported at 3 T obtained with <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 5$  ms (20,21). The <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm for the GOLD II COPD subject (318  $\mu$ m) is also comparable to the <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm (~350 µm) reported in COPD patients (GOLD I-III) (20,21). When <sup>129</sup>Xe Lm from the <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms data was evaluated with <sup>3</sup>He-based CM, an overall mean difference of +1.1% and +2.3% was obtained for whole lung and individual lung <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He Lm values, respectively. This small bias is of a similar magnitude as that observed with SEM-derived Lm<sub>D</sub> and therefore suggests that <sup>129</sup>Xe lung morphometry results obtained with  $^{129}\text{Xe}$   $\Delta\,{=}\,8.5$  ms are comparable to  $^{3}\text{He}$  results analyzed with both the cylinder and stretched exponential models.

#### CONCLUSIONS

With limited availability of <sup>3</sup>He, there is a strong motivation to evaluate functional and structural information that can be derived from the readily available and cheaper <sup>129</sup>Xe gas isotope. Compressed sensing has facilitated acquisition of single-breath 3D multiple b-value <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI for whole lung morphometry mapping. SEM-derived  $Lm_D$  demonstrated a linear dependence with diffusion time, and the best agreement between  $^{129}\text{Xe}$  and  $^{3}\text{He}\ \text{Lm}_{D}$  results was obtained with an empirically optimized <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms. Prospective CS acquisitions were used to validate  $^{129}$ Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms in healthy volunteers, ex-smokers, and COPD patients, and a strong agreement (mean  $Lm_D$  bias of -2.2%) in <sup>129</sup>Xe and <sup>3</sup>He Lm<sub>D</sub> values was obtained. A similar level of agreement (mean Lm bias of +1.1%) was obtained with CM-derived Lm, indicating that <sup>129</sup>Xe DW-MRI acquired with <sup>129</sup>Xe  $\Delta = 8.5$  ms is a viable alternative to <sup>3</sup>He for 3D whole lung morphometry assessment with both cylinder and stretched exponential models.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Juan Parra-Robles for useful discussions of preliminary results.

#### REFERENCES

- Saam BT, Yablonskiy DA, Kodibagkar VD, Leawoods JC, Gierada DS, Cooper JD, Lefrak SS, Conradi MS. MR imaging of diffusion of 3He gas in healthy and diseased lungs. Magn Reson Med 2000;44:174– 179.
- Salerno M, Altes TA, Brookeman JR, de Lange EE, Mugler 3rd JP. Dynamic spiral MRI of pulmonary gas flow using hyperpolarized (3)He: preliminary studies in healthy and diseased lungs, Magn Reson Med 2001;46:667–677.
- Ajraoui S, Parra-Robles J, Deppe M, Teh K, Parnell SR, Owers-Bradley J, Wild JM. Experimental Investigation of Non-Gaussian Diffusion in Hyperpolarized 3He MRI of Lungs. In Proceedings of the 17th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2009. p. 2178.
- Fichele S, Paley MN, Woodhouse N, Griffiths PD, van Beek EJ, Wild JM. Investigating 3He diffusion NMR in the lungs using finite difference simulations and in vivo PGSE experiments. J Magn Reson 2004; 167:1–11.
- Parra-Robles J, Ajraoui S, Marshall H, Deppe MH, Xu X, Wild JM. The influence of field strength on the apparent diffusion coefficient of 3He gas in human lungs, Magn Reson Med 2012;67:322–325.
- 6. Yablonskiy DA, Sukstanskii AL, Leawoods JC, Gierada DS, Bretthorst GL, Lefrak SS, Cooper JD, Conradi MS. Quantitative in vivo assessment of lung microstructure at the alveolar level with hyperpolarized 3He diffusion MRI, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:3111–3116.
- Yablonskiy DA, Sukstanskii AL, Woods JC, Gierada DS, Quirk JD, Hogg JC, Cooper JD, Conradi MS. Quantification of lung microstructure with hyperpolarized 3He diffusion MRI. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2009;107:1258–1265.
- Parra-Robles J, Marshall H, Hartley R, Brightling CE, Wild J. Quantification of Lung Microstructure in Asthma Using a 3He Fractional Diffusion Approach. In Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Milan, Italy, 2014, p. 3529.
- Shanbhag DD, Altes TA, Miller GW, Mata JF, Knight-Scott J. q-Space analysis of lung morphometry in vivo with hyperpolarized 3He spectroscopy, J Magn Reson Imaging 2006;24:84–94.
- Chan HF, Stewart NJ, Parra-Robles J, Collier GJ, Wild JM. Whole lung morphometry with 3D multiple b-value hyperpolarized gas MRI and compressed sensing, Magn Reson Med 2017;77:1916–1925.
- Shea DA, Morgan D. The helium-3 shortage: supply, demand, and options for Congress. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service; 2010.

- Norquay G, Parnell SR, Xu X, Parra-Robles J, Wild JM. Optimized production of hyperpolarized 129Xe at 2 bars for in vivo lung magnetic resonance imaging, J Appl Phys 2013;113:044908.
- Norquay G, Collier GJ, Rao M, Maunder A, Rodgers OI, Stewart NJ, Wild JM. Large-Scale Production of Highly-Polarized 129Xe. In Proceedings of the 25th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2017. p. 2140.
- 14. Kirby M, Ouriadov A, Svenningsen S, Owrangi A, Wheatley A, Etemad-Rezai R, Santyr GE, McCormack DG, Parraga G. Hyperpolarized 3He and 129Xe magnetic resonance imaging apparent diffusion coefficients: physiological relevance in older never- and ex-smokers. Physiol Rep 2014;2:e12068.
- 15. Kirby M, Svenningsen S, Kanhere N, Owrangi A, Wheatley A, Coxson HO, Santyr GE, Paterson NA, McCormack DG, Parraga G. Pulmonary ventilation visualized using hyperpolarized helium-3 and xenon-129 magnetic resonance imaging: differences in COPD and relationship to emphysema. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2013;114:707–715.
- 16. Kirby M, Svenningsen S, Owrangi A, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He and 129Xe MR imaging in healthy volunteers and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Radiology 2012;265:600–610.
- Svenningsen S, Kirby M, Starr D, Leary D, Wheatley A, Maksym GN, McCormack DG, Parraga G. Hyperpolarized (3) He and (129) Xe MRI: differences in asthma before bronchodilation. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;38:1521–1530.
- Sukstanskii AL, Yablonskiy DA. Lung morphometry with hyperpolarized 129Xe: theoretical background. Magn Reson Med 2012;67:856–866.
- Ruppert K, Quirk JD, Mugler III JP, Altes TA, Wang C, Miller GW, Ruset IC, Mata JF, Hersman FW, Yablonskiy DA. Lung Morphometry Using Hyperpolarized Xenon-129: Preliminary Experience. In Proceedings of the 20th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 2012, p. 3152.
- Ouriadov A, Farag A, Kirby M, McCormack DG, Parraga G, Santyr GE. Lung morphometry using hyperpolarized (129) Xe apparent diffusion coefficient anisotropy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Magn Reson Med 2013;70:1699–1706.
- Ouriadov A, Farag A, Kirby M, McCormack DG, Parraga G, Santyr GE. Pulmonary hyperpolarized Xe morphometry for mapping xenon gas concentrations and alveolar oxygen partial pressure: proof-ofconcept demonstration in healthy and COPD subjects. Magn Reson Med 2015;74:1726–1732.
- 22. Parra-Robles J, Ajraoui S, Wild JM. Modelling Non-Gaussian 3He Diffusion Signal Behaviour Using a Fractional Dynamics Approach. In

Proceedings of the 18th Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Stockholm, Sweden, 2010. p. 2538.

- 23. Parra-Robles J, Marshall H, Wild JM. Characterization of 3He Diffusion in Lungs Using a Stretched Exponential Model. In Proceedings of the 21st Annual Meeting of ISMRM, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA, 2013. p. 820.
- Berberan-Santos MN, Bodunov EN, Valeur B. Mathematical functions for the analysis of luminescence decays with underlying distributions
   Kohlrausch decay function (stretched exponential). Chem Phys 2005;315:171–182.
- Sukstanskii AL, Yablonskiy DA. In vivo lung morphometry with hyperpolarized 3He diffusion MRI: theoretical background. J Magn Reson 2008;190:200–210.
- Woods JC, Choong CK, Yablonskiy DA, Bentley J, Wong J, Pierce JA, Cooper JD, Macklem PT, Conradi MS, Hogg JC. Hyperpolarized 3He diffusion MRI and histology in pulmonary emphysema. Magn Reson Med 2006;56:1293–1300.
- 27. Wild JM, Paley MN, Viallon M, Schreiber WG, van Beek EJ, Griffiths PD. k-space filtering in 2D gradient-echo breath-hold hyperpolarized 3He MRI: spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio considerations, Magn Reson Med 2002;47:687–695.
- Ajraoui S, Lee KJ, Deppe MH, Parnell SR, Parra-Robles J, Wild JM. Compressed sensing in hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI. Magn Reson Med 2010;63:1059–1069.
- Kaushik SS, Cleveland ZI, Cofer GP, et al. Diffusion-weighted hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI in healthy volunteers and subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Magn Reson Med 2011;65:1154–1165.
- Gierada DS, Woods JC, Bierhals AJ, et al. Effects of diffusion time on short-range hyperpolarized (3)He diffusivity measurements in emphysema. J Magn Reson Imaging 2009;30:801–808.
- Quirk JD, Chang YV, Yablonskiy DA. In vivo lung morphometry with hyperpolarized (3) He diffusion MRI: reproducibility and the role of diffusion-sensitizing gradient direction. Magn Reson Med 2015;73: 1252–1257.
- 32. Quirk JD, Lutey BA, Gierada DS, Woods JC, Senior RM, Lefrak SS, Sukstanskii AL, Conradi MS, Yablonskiy DA. In vivo detection of acinar microstructural changes in early emphysema with (3)He lung morphometry. Radiology 2011;260:866–874.
- 33. Quirk JD, Sukstanskii AL, Woods JC, Lutey BA, Conradi MS, Gierada DS, Yusen RD, Castro M, Yablonskiy DA. Experimental evidence of age-related adaptive changes in human acinar airways. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2016;120:159–165.