

Title

Rhizosphere activity and atmospheric methane concentrations drive variations of methane fluxes in a temperate forest soil

Authors

Jens-Arne Subke¹, Catherine S. Moody², Timothy C. Hill³, Naomi Voke⁴, Sylvia Toet⁵, Philip Ineson⁴, Yit Arn Teh⁶

¹ Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, UK

² School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

³ College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4RJ, UK

⁴ Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

⁵ Environment Department, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

⁶ Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UU, UK

Corresponding Author:

Jens-Arne Subke; jens-arne.subke@stir.ac.uk; Tel: 01786 467854

1 **Abstract**

2 Aerated soils represent an important sink for atmospheric methane (CH₄), due to the effect
3 of methanotrophic bacteria, thus mitigating current atmospheric CH₄ increases. Whilst rates
4 of CH₄ oxidation have been linked to types of vegetation cover, there has been no
5 systematic investigation of the interaction between plants and soil in relation to the
6 strength of the soil CH₄ sink. We used quasi-continuous automated chamber measurements
7 of soil CH₄ and CO₂ flux from soil collar treatments that selectively include root and
8 ectomycorrhizal (ECM) mycelium to investigate the role of rhizosphere activity as well as the
9 effects of other environmental drivers on CH₄ uptake in a temperate coniferous forest soil.
10 We also assessed the potential impact of measurement bias from sporadic chamber
11 measurements in altering estimates of soil CO₂ efflux and CH₄ uptake. Results show a clear
12 effect of the presence of live roots and ECM mycelium on soil CO₂ efflux and CH₄ uptake.
13 The presence of ECM hyphae alone (without plant roots) showed intermediate fluxes of
14 both CO₂ and CH₄ relative to soils that either contained roots and ECM mycelium, or soil
15 lacking root- and ECM mycelium. Regression analysis confirmed a significant influence of soil
16 moisture as well as temperature on flux dynamics of both CH₄ and CO₂ flux. We further
17 found a surprising increase in soil CH₄ uptake during the night, and discuss diurnal
18 fluctuations in atmospheric CH₄ (with higher concentrations during stable atmospheric
19 conditions at night) as a potential driver of CH₄ oxidation rates. Using the high temporal
20 resolution of our data set, we show that low-frequency sampling results in systematic bias
21 of up-scaled flux estimates, resulting in under-estimates of up to 20% at our study site, due
22 to fluctuations in flux dynamics on diurnal as well as longer time scales.

23

24

25 **Introduction**

26 Biogenic trace gases such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) play a pivotal role in
27 global climate change (Ciais et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2016). Anthropogenically driven
28 increases in atmospheric CO₂ from fossil fuel combustion and land-use change are the main
29 drivers of climate change. Increasing atmospheric CH₄ concentrations are now thought to
30 contribute 20% of the total greenhouse gas warming (Ciais et al., 2013; Myhre et al., 2013).
31 For anthropogenic CH₄ emission sources, rice cultivation, ruminants, landfills, and gas
32 evasion during fossil fuel extraction dominate (Ciais et al., 2013; Myhre et al., 2013).
33 Methane oxidation in upland soils represent an important sink for atmospheric CH₄, but
34 poor constraints on the uptake of atmospheric CH₄ by soil microorganisms contributes to
35 overall uncertainty in the global atmospheric CH₄ budget, and predictions of how soil-
36 atmosphere feedbacks may modulate future changes in atmospheric CH₄ concentrations
37 (Kirschke et al., 2013; Nisbet et al., 2014). Similarly, whilst the dynamics and drivers of CO₂
38 exchange from terrestrial ecosystems are reasonably well understood (Jung et al., 2011),
39 there remain significant uncertainties around feedbacks between plants, soil microbes, and
40 the potential role of rhizosphere priming effects (Talbot et al., 2013).

41 Trace gas fluxes between soil and atmosphere are directly influenced by the spatial and
42 temporal variations in biotic and abiotic conditions and biogeochemistry. For CO₂ in
43 particular, the role of temperature and soil water availability on heterotrophic
44 decomposition of soil organic matter is well described (Barron-Gafford et al., 2011; Moyano
45 et al., 2012), and also the role of autotrophic (root derived) substrate supply to the
46 rhizosphere is accepted as an important driver of soil metabolic activity (Högberg et al.,
47 2001; Singh et al., 2004). There is further an increasing acceptance of the significance of
48 ectomycorrhizal (ECM) hyphae as recipients of autotrophic C supply in belowground carbon
49 cycling of temperate forests (Subke et al., 2011; Heinemeyer et al., 2012). Soil C priming,
50 whereby plant-derived substrates enhance heterotrophic SOM decomposition by soil micro-
51 organisms, has also been described in a wide range of soil conditions (Kuzyakov et al., 2000;
52 Subke et al., 2004), underlining an important interaction between autotrophic and
53 heterotrophic soil C turnover. For CH₄ dynamics, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the
54 interaction with belowground plant C supply. Whilst the influence of soil conditions such as
55 water content, redox potential and (to a lesser extent) temperature are generally well

56 described, we lack field-based data for interactions of methane oxidation with autotrophic C
57 supply in upland soils. It is known that low molecular weight compounds (i.e. single carbon,
58 or 'C1' molecules) exuded from roots or ectomycorrhizal hyphae support a diverse bacterial
59 community in the rhizosphere (Fransson et al., 2016), potentially including atmospheric CH₄
60 oxidizers. This is because methanotrophs are able to subsist on other simple C1 compounds
61 (e.g. methanol, formaldehyde, formate) when CH₄ is scarce (Hanson and Hanson, 1996). As
62 a consequence, the greater diversity and availability of labile C compounds in the
63 rhizosphere may buffer methanotrophic populations during periods when CH₄ availability is
64 low. Moreover, mineralization of nutrients from soil organic matter in the rhizosphere may
65 alleviate nutrient limitation among methanotrophs, promoting larger and more active
66 methanotrophic populations (Bodelier and Laanbroek, 2004; Veraart et al., 2015).

67 One of the main methodological challenges lies in understanding how trace gas fluxes
68 respond to changes in biotic and abiotic variables that fluctuate over relatively short
69 timescales (e.g. hours to days) (Groffman et al., 2009; Savage et al., 2014). These
70 phenomena are difficult to study because of the limitations imposed by conventional low
71 frequency sampling techniques. For example, transient weather phenomena – such as
72 rainfall events, atmospheric pressure variations, or changes in wind speed – can profoundly
73 alter soil-atmosphere fluxes by affecting gas transport processes (Tokida et al., 2007; Yano
74 et al., 2014; Redeker et al., 2015) or rates of biological activity (Groffman et al., 2009; Liptzin
75 et al., 2011; Heinemeyer et al., 2012; Yano et al., 2014). Diurnal fluctuations in temperature,
76 moisture, irradiance, or atmospheric conditions can also modulate trace gas fluxes through
77 direct or indirect effects on the metabolic activity of plants and microorganisms (Subke and
78 Bahn, 2010; Baldocchi et al., 2012; Hatala et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). Sporadic trace gas
79 measurements run the risk of systematic bias of true flux estimates, as fluctuations in
80 drivers are not captured appropriately, and specific times of day when measurements are
81 typically carried out (e.g. around midday) represent only a partial sample of diurnal
82 conditions or flux dynamics. Whilst there are some investigations of impacts of sampling
83 intervals and bias from limited diurnal sampling windows (Savage et al., 2014; Ueyama et al.,
84 2015), a further quantification of uncertainty associated with manual/sporadic vs.
85 automated/continuous measurements is necessary to capture site specific conditions and
86 inform comparisons among studies.

87 Methane oxidation in well-drained soils, in particular, is significantly affected by CH₄
88 availability (Bender and Conrad, 1992; Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Tate et al., 2012), which
89 may rapidly fluctuate based on local meteorological conditions (Baldocchi et al., 2012;
90 Redeker et al., 2015). However, evidence for a concentration-based effect on atmospheric
91 CH₄ oxidation has largely been obtained from laboratory incubations using high
92 concentrations of CH₄, which exceed values normally observed in well-drained, aerobic soils,
93 mimicking instead microaerophilic or near-anaerobic wetland conditions (Bender and
94 Conrad, 1992; Teh et al., 2006; Templeton et al., 2006; Tate et al., 2012; Malghani et al.,
95 2016). Field studies of CH₄ concentration effects under ambient conditions are far less
96 common, because past work on atmospheric CH₄ oxidation has focused on isotope
97 fractionation effects rather than on uptake kinetics (King et al., 1989; Reeburgh et al., 1997).
98 Thus, it is unclear if fluctuations in atmospheric CH₄ concentrations significantly influence
99 CH₄ uptake *in situ* because of the prevalence of other environmental drivers (e.g. moisture,
100 temperature) and the narrow range over which atmospheric CH₄ concentrations typically
101 vary.

102 Here we present the results from a quasi-continuous automated flux chamber experiment
103 that investigated the effects of rapid, short-term fluctuations (i.e. hourly) in environmental
104 variables and the presence or absence of plant roots and/or extra radical ECM mycelium in
105 modulating soil-atmosphere fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ from a temperate forest soil. The aim of
106 this research was to: (a) establish if the presence of an intact rhizosphere significantly
107 altered rates of trace gas exchange; (b) determine if rapid, short-term fluctuations in
108 environmental variables influenced CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in temperate forest soils; and (c)
109 identify potential measurement bias from discontinuous sampling strategies.

110

111 **Methods**

112 *Study site*

113 The field site is a 19-year-old (in 2009) forest stand dominated by *Pinus contorta* and *Pinus*
114 *sylvestris* (approximate height: 6 to 8 m) with occasional *Betula pendula* but no ground
115 cover, situated approximately 8 km south of York, UK (53°54'38"N 0°59'54"W). The site has

116 a well-draining sandy gley podzol overlain by a thin (c. 3 cm on average) organic horizon and
117 a litter layer of between 1 and 2 cm. The pH (H₂O) of the A_h horizon is approx. 3.5
118 (Heinemeyer et al., 2011).

119

120 *Experimental design*

121 To address the influence of root and rhizosphere C supply to soil, we included three
122 contrasting rhizosphere treatments (n=4 per treatment): 1) a *Soil only* treatment (hereafter
123 referred to as '*S*'); a *Soil plus extramatrical ECM mycelium* treatment (hereafter referred to
124 as '*SM*'); and a *Soil plus roots plus extramatrical ECM mycelium* treatment (hereafter
125 referred to as '*SMR*').

126 For the *S* treatment, PVC pipe sections (20 cm diameter, 35 cm long) were inserted into the
127 soil to a depth of 30 cm. Each of these pipe sections had four windows (5 cm high x 6 cm
128 wide) cut into the sides, which was covered by 1 μm nylon mesh (Normesh Ltd., Oldham,
129 UK). The windows were positioned such that after insertion to the soil, they were just below
130 the soil surface, and extending throughout the organic horizon into the mineral soil. The
131 same design of pipe sections with windows was used for the *SM* treatment, but mesh size
132 was increased to 41 μm. This aperture size allows fungal mycelium to penetrate into the soil
133 enclosed within pipe sections from surrounding soil, but prevents ingress of roots
134 (Heinemeyer et al., 2012). For the *SMR* treatment (i.e. intact rhizosphere control), we used
135 shorter pipe sections (20 cm diameter, 8 cm length) inserted into the organic soil layer to
136 about 2 cm depth. The emplacement of the PVC pipe sections for all treatments resulted in
137 about 5-6 cm of pipe length extending above the soil surface (from here referred to as
138 'collars'), from where gas exchange with the atmosphere could be measured.

139 Collar locations were randomized within an area of approximately 300 m² within the forest
140 stand, with a requirement of individual locations being between 50 and 200 cm from tree
141 stems, and a minimum distance of 100 cm between collars. The different rhizosphere
142 treatments were randomly allocated according to a block design (based on soil CO₂ efflux
143 measurements from the soil surface prior to treatment allocation) in order to account for
144 localized environmental effects. All collars were established 12 months prior to the flux

145 measurements to allow for a re-establishment of soil microbial communities following
146 disturbance from collar installations, including the establishment of new ECM hyphal
147 ingrowth in the *SM* treatment.

148 Both the amount of litter and the amount of precipitation entering collars was standardised
149 to remove the influence of the considerable spatial heterogeneity on litter amounts and
150 canopy through-fall. Collars were sheltered from through-fall using transparent shields of
151 corrugated PVC (30 x 40 cm) suspended at about 25 cm above collars, and average amounts
152 of rainfall (based on measurements on site) were added to collars every week.

153

154 *Soil CO₂ and CH₄ flux measurements*

155 From 5th May until 13th June 2009, soil surface fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ were measured using
156 12 opaque multiplexed automatic chambers (LI-8100-101, Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA;
157 approximately 20 cm diameter). Chambers were placed over PVC collars of respective
158 treatments, sealing tightly around the outside of collars with a rubber gasket. CO₂
159 concentrations were measured using a LI-8100 (Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), whilst CH₄
160 concentrations were measured using a Fast Greenhouse Gas analyser (FGGA; Los Gatos
161 Research, Mount View, California, USA). The multiplexer sampled each chamber
162 sequentially such that chambers were measured once an hour. During the measurements,
163 each chamber was closed for 3 minutes only, ensuring that the enclosed soil area is subject
164 to the same conditions as the surrounding soil.

165

166 *Environmental measurements*

167 Soil temperature and soil water content (SWC) were recorded every 10 minutes using PT100
168 thermistor probes and SM200 probes (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK), respectively. Soil
169 temperature measurements were at 0.05 and 0.1 m depths (n = 3 per depth) and SWC
170 measurements (n = 3) were measured at 0.05 m depth m. Atmospheric pressure was
171 recorded continuously (1 Hz) by the (LI-8100). Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) was

172 measured every 10 minutes at a nearby canopy opening (QS5 PAR Quantum Sensor, Delta-T
173 Devices, Cambridge, UK).

174 Additionally, SWC was measured inside all soil collars once a week prior to manual water
175 addition (see above) using a hand-held probe (SM200, Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK). A
176 spatial average of throughfall at the site were collected from the nine collectors (funnel
177 diameter = 20 cm) once every week. These funnels were placed on the ground at random
178 locations throughout the site.

179 Data for wind speed and wind gust speed were obtained from the UK Met-Office website
180 (www.metoffice.gov.uk) for observations from Linton on Ouse, located approximately 20
181 km NW of the experimental plot. Note that despite the spatial separation, these data are
182 used to allow a general characterisation of atmospheric mixing due to wind, not precise
183 conditions at the site (see below).

184

185 *Data processing and flux calculations*

186 Fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ were calculated from linear regression of the concentration
187 measurements obtained during each 3 minute chamber closure. The first 40 seconds of
188 each measurement were removed to allow the complete mixing of chamber air, meaning
189 that each regression used 140 data points spanning a 140 second period. The correlation
190 coefficient (r^2), root mean square error (*RSME*) and *p* value were calculated for each linear
191 regression.

192 In order to separate valid flux measurements from possible artefacts (e.g. due to incomplete
193 chamber closure, or leakage), we removed all CO₂ and CH₄ flux estimates where the r^2 value
194 of the CO₂ measurement was below 0.9. This procedure removed approximately 19% of all
195 data, most of which were associated with malfunctioning chambers during some of the
196 observation period. Owing to the relatively smaller signal-to-noise ratio, small flux rates
197 tended to show lower coefficients of variation (r^2). This was more pronounced for methane
198 flux calculations, due to the smaller absolute concentration changes for this flux, and we did
199 not apply the same rigorous r^2 threshold to fluxes as we did for CO₂. Instead, any CH₄ flux
200 with an *RSME* of more than 0.02 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ was also removed, affecting a further 1.8% of

201 flux values. Concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ c. 0.1 m above the soil surface were recorded
202 from each chamber location immediately before chamber closure (initial 5 readings for each
203 channel, i.e. before the concentrations had increased).

204 Small gaps in the data series of each chamber (less than six consecutive hours) were filled by
205 using the average of fluxes four hours before and after the gap (from the same chamber).
206 Larger data gaps were not filled. Flux values were calculated for each chamber separately
207 and averaged according to treatment (*S*, *SM*, *SMR*), using each chamber as a true replicate.

208

209 *Statistical methods*

210 Cumulative flux sums were analysed by means of a two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
211 for each chamber to look for a block and treatment effect, and a post-hoc Duncan's MRT
212 test applied, if the data met the assumptions of homogeneity of variance and normality. All
213 flux calculations and statistical analysis of cumulative flux values was carried out using SAS
214 v8.01 (Statistical Analysis Software). Correlations between concentrations, fluxes and
215 environmental variables were carried out using the Spearman's rank method (owing to non-
216 normal distributions) in the SPSS Statistics software (Version 21; IBM Corp.).

217 The relationships between continuous environmental variables and trace gas fluxes were
218 investigated using linear and/or multiple regressions and analysis of covariance. In some
219 cases, autoregressive (AR) models were employed because gas fluxes and environmental
220 variables showed temporal autocorrelations. Residuals from exploratory regression
221 modelling revealed strong autocorrelation for all fluxes, as confirmed by autocorrelation
222 function (ACF) plots and the Durban Watson test (in all cases p-value < 0.001). It was found
223 that a 2nd order AR model was optimal based on inspection of ACF plots. To facilitate
224 comparisons between fitted coefficients, all variables were normalised by scaled to a mean
225 of zero and a standard deviation of 1. The independent variables included in the regression
226 models were: initial concentration of CO₂ or CH₄ (respectively), air pressure, air
227 temperature, soil temperature (at 5 cm depth), solar radiation and soil water content.

228

229 Results

230 *Soil respiration*

231 Mean soil CO₂ flux (*SMR*) over the measuring period was $0.91 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. For the
232 rhizosphere treatments, we found a significant effect of treatment but no effect of block
233 ($F_{2,10} = 13.41$, $P < 0.002$). Treatment *SMR* showed significantly higher CO₂ fluxes than either
234 of the other two treatments (Table 1).

235 The overall heterotrophic contribution to soil respiration averaged $55.2 \pm 0.3\%$ over the
236 entire measurement period, with a tendency towards higher relative heterotrophic
237 contributions towards the end of the observation period (Fig. 1c). Of the autotrophic
238 contributions, about one-third could be attributed to ECM-mycelium CO₂ flux, with the
239 remainder originating from roots ($15.8 \pm 0.3\%$ and $29.0 \pm 0.4\%$ of total soil CO₂ flux,
240 respectively). Note that this is a simplistic presentation of flux contribution, based on flux
241 differences to illustrate relative flux magnitudes. It assumes that flux contributions are
242 independent and hence additive, thus excluding possible interactions between autotrophic
243 and heterotrophic dynamics in the soil environment.

244 Over the course of the sampling period, soil CO₂ fluxes showed a gradual increase
245 corresponding with seasonal changes in air and soil temperatures (Fig. 1d). At diurnal
246 timescales, however, soil CO₂ flux showed lower rates at around midday, with flux rates
247 reaching a peak at about 20:00 on average for the entire measurement period (Fig. 2b). The
248 different rhizosphere treatments also show different diurnal patterns. For example, *SMR* and
249 *SM* treatments show a more pronounced reduction in CO₂ flux during the middle of the day
250 compared to the *S* treatment, resulting in greater diurnal amplitudes both in absolute and
251 relative terms.

252

253 *Soil CH₄ uptake*

254 Mean CH₄ flux (*SMR*) over the measuring period was $-1.63 \pm 0.22 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Soil CH₄ flux
255 varied significantly among rhizosphere treatments, but no significant effect of block was

256 found (ANOVA $F_{2,10} = 14.39$, $P < 0.002$). The strongest sink was observed for the *SMR*
257 treatment, followed by *SM* and *S* treatments ($P < 0.01$; Table 1).

258 Unlike CO_2 efflux, CH_4 uptake did not show a gradual seasonal increase with rising
259 temperatures. Instead, the CH_4 sink strength showed short-term decreases following rain
260 events and a gradual increase following the onset of drier conditions (Fig. 1e). On diurnal
261 timescales, we observed a marked pattern of higher night-time CH_4 oxidation rates and
262 lower daytime fluxes (Fig. 2a). In contrast to CO_2 dynamics, the daily oscillation in CH_4 fluxes
263 did not vary among rhizosphere treatments. Atmospheric CH_4 concentrations measured
264 above the soil surface showed lower daytime concentrations and higher night-time
265 concentrations.

266 Spearman's rank correlation analysis indicated that there was a significant correlation
267 between the rate of CH_4 uptake in the soil and CH_4 concentrations measured in the
268 atmosphere above the soil surface (Fig. 3a). This correlation was significant for the entire
269 data set ($r = -0.237$; $p < 0.01$; $n = 759$), but was dominated by a strong dependence of fluxes
270 on concentration at low soil water content ($\text{SWC} = 0.22 - 0.35 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$; $r = -0.493$; $p < 0.001$;
271 $n = 262$). Variation in CH_4 concentration in the atmosphere above the soil surface was found
272 to correlate in turn with wind speed (Fig. 3b).

273

274 *Relationship between trace gas fluxes and environmental variables*

275 The AR model indicates a significant effect of SWC dynamics on fluxes of CO_2 and CH_4 for all
276 treatments (Table 2). For CO_2 , fluxes increased with rising soil moisture, while the opposite
277 pattern was true for CH_4 (i.e. reduced CH_4 uptake with increasing SWC). AR analysis also
278 indicated that soil temperature at the 5 cm depth was a good predictor of soil CO_2 fluxes
279 among all the rhizosphere treatments, while air temperature was found to be a good
280 predictor of CH_4 fluxes (Table 2). Furthermore, a significant negative correlation was found
281 between solar radiation and CO_2 fluxes (Table 2).

282

283 *Sampling frequency analysis*

284 Re-sampling the data set to simulate results that would have been obtained under
285 contrasting sampling scenarios show a generally lower apparent CH₄ oxidation flux rate,
286 with an apparent reduction by up to 14.5% for fortnightly sampling frequencies from the
287 *SMR* treatment, 12.5% for alternate days in the *S* treatment, and 23.2% for fortnightly
288 sampling from the *SM* treatment (Fig. 4). The CO₂ reduction in apparent flux was up to
289 13.8%, 17.9% and 12% for weekly sampling of *SMR*, *SM* and *S* treatments, respectively. The
290 standard deviation associated with different sampling frequencies increases with decreasing
291 frequency, owing to the lower number of sampling events for lower frequencies. Sampling
292 frequencies of 1 and 2 weeks would have resulted in an under-estimation of mean CH₄
293 oxidation of 12.7 and 14.5%, respectively, compared to the 1-hour results in the *SMR*
294 treatment. The uncertainty of estimates measured by the observed standard deviation of
295 measurements for contrasting sampling intensities was similar for frequencies down to bi-
296 weekly samplings. For less frequent intervals, standard deviations increased by
297 approximately 25 and 50% for 1 and 2-week intervals, respectively.

298

299 **Discussion**

300 *Rhizosphere effects on soil CO₂*

301 Results from the root and extraradical ECM mycelium exclusion treatments suggest a
302 significant effect of root and ECM presence on CO₂ flux. Higher soil CO₂ efflux in the *SMR*
303 treatment can be expected, and has been documented exhaustively elsewhere in other soil
304 respiration partitioning studies (Subke et al., 2006). The enhanced soil CO₂ flux in the *SMR*
305 treatment reflects the respiration of live roots and mineralization of root-derived organic
306 materials in the rhizosphere, and the proportion of heterotrophic respiration ($51.1 \pm 13.6\%$)
307 falls within the range observed in other temperate forest sites (Subke et al., 2006; Bond-
308 Lamberty and Thomson, 2010). The lack of a significant difference between *SM* and *S*
309 treatments, while surprising, may reflect the fact that the mycorrhizal biomass in *SM*
310 treatments was not large enough to produce significantly greater amounts of CO₂ compared
311 to the *S* treatment. The mesh-collar approach we chose for this study selects in-growth

312 based on hyphal diameter only, but we acknowledge that it creates further selection of ECM
313 species based on their “exploration types” (Tedersoo and Smith, 2013); whilst species
314 classified as long to medium distance explorers (*sensu* Tedersoo & Smith, 2013) are likely to
315 dominate in *SM* treatments, ‘contact’ and short-distance explorer types of ECM are likely to
316 be underrepresented.

317

318 *Rhizosphere effects on soil CH₄*

319 What was more intriguing, however, was the distinct pattern in CH₄ uptake among the root
320 & ECM exclusion treatments. In the presence of a fully intact rhizosphere (*SMR* treatment),
321 net CH₄ uptake was almost 3 times that of the bulk soil; while in the presence of ECM
322 hyphae, net CH₄ uptake was approximately 40% higher than in the bulk soil (Table 1).
323 Although some of this variation in fluxes may be attributable to differences in soil moisture
324 content among the treatments (see section on the role of environmental drivers below), we
325 believe it is unlikely that soil moisture was the principal cause for this pattern because the
326 absolute difference in soil moisture content among the treatments was small compared to
327 the difference in fluxes (e.g. soil moisture varied by only 1.5-13.0 %, whereas CH₄ fluxes
328 varied by as much as 300 % among treatments). Other measured environmental variables
329 did not vary significantly between treatments. This suggests that the observed pattern was
330 due to some other biotic or environmental factor that we did not measure, or the result of
331 fundamental underlying differences in microbial methanotrophic populations among
332 treatments. With respect to the latter, we propose that soil with an intact rhizosphere may
333 promote a more vigorous methanotrophic community by supplying methanotrophs with
334 alternate sources of labile C (e.g. methanol, formaldehyde, formate) and/or by providing a
335 greater sources of nutrients for methanotroph growth (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Bodelier
336 and Laanbroek, 2004; Veraart et al., 2015). Highest fine root densities in this forest occur
337 throughout the organic horizon and superficial mineral horizons; soil methanotrophic
338 bacteria are generally assumed to occur mainly in the upper mineral horizons in coniferous
339 forests (Saari et al., 1998), so the close spatial proximity makes it possible that rhizosphere
340 derived C₁ compounds support the population size of also methanotrophs. In addition,
341 roots and extraradical ECM hyphae can also promote macropore and aggregate formation

342 (Angers and Caron, 1998; Six et al., 2006), which may facilitate transport of CH₄ to
343 methanotrophs by improving soil structure and overall pore connectivity.

344

345 *Environmental regulation of CO₂ flux*

346 Mean CO₂ flux ($0.91 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is close to the mean of boreal forests (1.01 ± 0.60
347 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), but in the lower range of annual temperate forest rates (1.97 ± 1.11

348 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010). Both soil temperature and soil water
349 content (with the exception of the *Soil* treatment) significantly influenced the dynamics of
350 soil CO₂ efflux, consistent with studies in other forest ecosystems (Wu et al., 2011).

351 However, what was surprising is an apparent negative correlation between radiation and
352 soil CO₂ efflux (Table 2). The temporal shift in peak soil CO₂ efflux, which occurs between
353 18:00 and 20:00 h, may in part explain this correlation, as periods of high radiation are
354 associated with low CO₂ flux, and peak fluxes occurred close to the time of sun set.

355 However, the autoregressive model showed a strong influence of soil temperature, which
356 also peaked between 18:00 and 20:00, so that the additional influence of radiation remains
357 unexplained. We note that the *S* treatment (which does not experience direct influence of
358 belowground allocation of C by plants) does not show any statistically significant effect of
359 radiation, which suggests that the inverse radiation-CO₂ flux relationship is influenced by
360 autotrophic C supply. Why this should have a negative sign is however less clear, as previous
361 studies have established a clear and direct relationship between radiation (and hence
362 photosynthetic activity) and belowground CO₂ fluxes (Mencuccini and Hölttä, 2010; Martin
363 et al., 2012). One possible explanation is that night-time depletion of sugars or other
364 carbohydrate stores may suppress carbohydrate utilisation (and consequently, respiration)
365 during the first half of the day, leading to the apparent negative relationship between
366 radiation and root respiration earlier in the day (Gibon et al., 2004). Subsequent
367 accumulation of photosynthate may release this biochemical inhibition, leading to higher
368 respiration rates during the evening and night.

369 Lags between C assimilation in the canopy and utilisation in the rhizosphere are a further
370 possibility to explain shifts in fluxes with regards to drivers. The meta-analysis of transport
371 times of sugars fixed during photosynthesis to root via the phloem by Mencuccini and Hölttä

372 (2010) indicates that for an approximate 10 m path length (tree height plus root length), a
373 lag of between 1 and 3 days is likely. However, the observation that peak CO₂ flux in the S
374 treatment coincides with that in other (autotroph-influenced) treatments (Fig. 2b) suggests
375 that, whilst the magnitude of response is impacted by photosynthate supply, the timing is
376 more likely to relate to lags in soil diffusion.

377

378 *Environmental regulation of CH₄ flux*

379 The magnitude of CH₄ uptake in intact soil collars over the sampling period
380 ($1.63 \pm 0.22 \text{ nmol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, Table 1) is similar to fluxes reported from mixed deciduous
381 woodlands in Scotland (0.14 to 2.39 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Dobbie et al., 1996), but relatively high
382 when compared to fluxes across other European temperate forests (uptake rates of 0.18 to
383 1.43 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ averaged over an entire year; (Grunwald et al., 2012). Our results indicate
384 a significant influence of soil moisture and air temperature on CH₄ flux over the
385 measurement period, confirming findings from another temperate coniferous site (Ueyama
386 et al., 2015). Unlike CO₂, the rate of CH₄ uptake was inversely related to both soil moisture
387 and air temperature; i.e. the positive correlation between CH₄ flux and soil moisture or air
388 temperature represents an inverse relationship with CH₄ uptake because more negative
389 fluxes denote higher rates of CH₄ oxidation while more positive fluxes denote lower rates of
390 CH₄ oxidation. For example, over the moisture range observed in this experiment, CH₄
391 uptake declined in response to rising soil moisture content (i.e. CH₄ flux became more
392 positive with increasing soil moisture). Progressive drying of soil probably increased soil
393 pore connectivity and facilitated more rapid transport of CH₄ from the atmosphere to sites
394 of methanotrophic activity (see late May, early June in Fig. 1). Likewise, increases in air
395 temperature were associated with a decline in rates of CH₄ uptake (i.e. CH₄ flux also became
396 more positive with increasing air temperature). This trend may reflect the effect of
397 temperature on CH₄ dissolution and substrate supply to methanotrophs. Methane is a
398 poorly soluble hydrophobic compound, and its dissolution into the aqueous phase is closely
399 linked to temperature. Higher air temperatures may reduce rates of CH₄ dissolution,
400 subsequently reducing the supply of aqueous-phase CH₄ to methanotrophs and thus
401 suppressing rates of atmospheric CH₄ uptake (Teh et al., 2006; Templeton et al., 2006).

402 Alternatively, the apparent inverse relationship between air temperature and CH₄ flux may
403 be a result of the concurrent diurnal fluctuations in atmospheric CH₄ concentrations (Fig. 2),
404 which may obscure a confounding impact of substrate limitations underlying the CH₄ flux
405 response (see below).

406 The observed influence of soil moisture on CH₄ uptake slightly complicates a direct
407 interpretation of rhizosphere treatments. Manual soil moisture measurements showed a
408 significant (although numerically small) influence of treatment on soil moisture, with the
409 *SMR* treatment having consistently lower soil moisture than the other two treatments. This
410 artefact from deep collar methods has been reported before (Heinemeyer et al., 2012), and
411 is likely to be caused by the absence of root water uptake in *SM* and *S* treatments. However,
412 the magnitude of the treatment effect on soil moisture, whilst statistically significant, is
413 small (between 0.01 and 0.03 m³ m⁻³ for a soil water content range of between 0.23 and
414 0.66 m³ m⁻³ over the measuring period). The relatively consistent contributions of
415 autotrophic sources to soil CO₂ efflux (Fig. 1c) suggest that the soil moisture variations were
416 insufficient to impact on plant productivity and rhizosphere C allocation, so that microbial
417 supply of plant-derived C did not seemingly change significantly over the measurement
418 period, notwithstanding an apparent reduction in root and ECM flux contributions in the last
419 week in Fig. 1c.

420 Interestingly, there was also a significant and well-constrained influence of CH₄
421 concentration on CH₄ uptake, with CH₄ uptake increasing (i.e. fluxes becoming more
422 negative) with increasing CH₄ concentration. Diurnal changes in CH₄ concentration were
423 therefore associated with predictable diurnal shift in CH₄ uptake. For example, daytime
424 mean concentrations of CH₄ were consistently around 1.86 ppm between the hours of 9:00
425 and 20:00, but night-time concentrations showed progressively increasing concentrations,
426 with a peak of c. 1.95 ppm at 6:00. This diurnal variation in CH₄ concentrations coincides
427 with an overall shift towards higher CH₄ uptake rates at night. The underlying cause for this
428 shift towards higher nighttime CH₄ concentrations are atmospheric mixing effects. Collapse
429 of the atmospheric boundary layer at night and poorer atmospheric mixing leads to the
430 localized accumulation of atmospheric CH₄ (Baldocchi et al. 2012). However, given the
431 consistent and comparatively strong soil CH₄ sink, the nighttime increase in local
432 atmospheric CH₄ concentrations above the global tropospheric average is surprising. We can

433 only speculate that the increase in concentration could be caused by local hotspots of CH₄
434 production located away from the immediate measurement plot (Baldocchi et al., 2012). For
435 example, CH₄ production from local anaerobic hotspots (Baldocchi et al., 2012) or soil-
436 derived CH₄ emissions transported through trees (Covey et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016) may
437 enhance local atmospheric CH₄ concentrations under stable nighttime atmospheric
438 conditions. Irrespective of the actual source of CH₄ underlying the increase during periods of
439 low atmospheric mixing, there is a clear response in the strength of CH₄ uptake and
440 atmospheric concentration, in good agreement in diurnal patterns (Fig. 2a & 2c). This
441 finding is potentially significant, as it suggest that soil microbial oxidizers may represent a
442 potential negative feedback to rising atmospheric CH₄ concentrations. Our observations are
443 supported by a number of laboratory-based studies that have found clear methane
444 oxidation dependencies when large concentration gradients are applied (Bender and
445 Conrad, 1992; Tate et al., 2012; Malghani et al., 2016). Experimental ranges in these studies
446 exceed concentration ranges normally encountered in the boundary layer above the soil
447 surface; concentration ranges in cited publications are 40 – 570 ppm in Tate et al (2012), 30-
448 60 ppm in Malghani et al (2016) or even 5% in Bender & Conrad (1992). That methane
449 oxidation rates respond to much smaller variations in concentration detectable in the field is
450 however a novel observation. Of course, one important caveat is that the AR model did not
451 identify CH₄ concentration as a significant predictor of CH₄ flux, despite the strong
452 correlation. As mentioned before, there is a possibility that confounding covariance of air
453 temperature and CH₄ concentrations may obscure actual relationships between CH₄ flux and
454 driving variables, and field-based experimental manipulations of methane concentrations
455 and temperature are needed to resolve this point.

456

457 *Insights obtained from quasi-continuous chamber measurements*

458 Quasi-continuous, automated sampling of soil gas exchange provides the most
459 comprehensive data to estimate soil or ecosystem greenhouse gas budgets. The sampling
460 frequency exercise we performed indicated that manual chamber sampling, assuming that
461 manually sampled fluxes were collected during mid-day, under-estimate soil CO₂ and CH₄
462 fluxes from our temperate forest study site by 12-15 %. This is because manual sampling

463 during day-time hours would not have accounted for diurnal changes in gas flux, in
464 particular periods when gas fluxes were heightened (e.g. enhanced soil respiration between
465 18:00-20:00 and elevated CH₄ uptake from 20:00-6:00). Continuous atmospheric flux
466 measurements (such as the eddy covariance technique) provide a further powerful tool to
467 investigate short-term temporal flux variations and dependence on environmental
468 drivers(Phillips et al., 2017), but chamber based studies like ours provide critical process
469 understanding from manipulations that can not be captured by eddy covariance.

470 It should be noted that these are not universal values that can be applied to correct manual
471 gas sampling estimates obtained in other temperate forest locations. Rather, it serves to
472 illustrate that diurnal fluctuations in soil gas exchange should be obtained for studies
473 otherwise relying on periodic gas sampling in order to estimate seasonal or annual budgets
474 in order to account for fluxes that may be partially driven by recurring (e.g. diurnal) shifts in
475 environmental conditions or circadian patterns.

476 A key insight gained from the use of this continuous sampling approach is that we have
477 identified temporal trends in the data that may point to new or previously unidentified
478 controls on CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes. The mid-day depression in soil respiration and the
479 subsequent rise in fluxes from 18:00-20:00 may suggest a physiological control on
480 autotrophic respiration linked to the internal carbohydrate status of plant tissues (Gibon et
481 al., 2004), whilst the night-time increase in soil CH₄ uptake, coincident with the rise in
482 atmospheric CH₄ concentrations, may indicate that high-affinity CH₄ oxidising bacteria are
483 sensitive to small and short-term variations in substrate availability, a phenomenon not
484 described before.

485

486 **Acknowledgements**

487 The research was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (grant no.
488 NE/H01182X/1). We are grateful to the Biology Department Electronic Workshop for
489 construction of the multiplexer unit and other technical support.

490

- 492 Angers, D., Caron, J., 1998. Plant-induced changes in soil structure: Processes and feedbacks. *Biogeochemistry*
493 42, 55-72.
- 494 Baldocchi, D., Detto, M., Sonnentag, O., Verfaillie, J., Teh, Y.A., Silver, W., Kelly, N.M., 2012. The challenges of
495 measuring methane fluxes and concentrations over a peatland pasture. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*
496 153, 177-187.
- 497 Barron-Gafford, G.A., Scott, R.L., Jenerette, G.D., Huxman, T.E., 2011. The relative controls of temperature, soil
498 moisture, and plant functional group on soil CO₂ efflux at diel, seasonal, and annual scales. *Journal of*
499 *Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 116, G01023.
- 500 Bender, M., Conrad, R., 1992. Kinetics of CH₄ oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH₄ mixing
501 ratios. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 10, 261-270.
- 502 Bodelier, P., Laanbroek, H., 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in soils and sediments.
503 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 47, 265-277.
- 504 Bond-Lamberty, B., Thomson, A., 2010. A global database of soil respiration data. *Biogeosciences* 7, 1915-
505 1926.
- 506 Ciais, P., Sabine, C., Bala, G., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Canadell, J., Chhabra, A., DeFries, R., Galloway, J., Heimann,
507 M., Jones, C., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R.B., Piao, S., Thornton, P., 2013. Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles.
508 In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley,
509 P.M. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
510 Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 511 Covey, K.R., Wood, S.A., Warren, Robert J., II, Lee, X., Bradford, M.A., 2012. Elevated methane concentrations
512 in trees of an upland forest. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L15705.
- 513 Dobbie, K.E., Smith, K.A., Prieme, A., Christensen, S., Degorska, A., Orlanski, P., 1996. Effect of land use on the
514 rate of methane uptake by surface soils in northern Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* 30, 1005-1011.
- 515 Fransson, P., Andersson, A., Norstrom, S., Bylund, D., Bent, E., 2016. Ectomycorrhizal exudates and pre-
516 exposure to elevated CO₂ affects soil bacterial growth and community structure. *Fungal Ecology* 20, 211-224.
- 517 Gibon, Y., Blasing, O., Palacios-Rojas, N., Pankovic, D., Hendriks, J., Fisahn, J., Hohne, M., Gunther, M., Stitt, M.,
518 2004. Adjustment of diurnal starch turnover to short days: Depletion of sugar during the night leads to a
519 temporary inhibition of carbohydrate utilization, accumulation of sugars and post-translational activation of
520 ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase in the following light period. *Plant Journal* 39, 847-862.
- 521 Groffman, P.M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fulweiler, R.W., Gold, A.J., Morse, J.L., Stander, E.K., Tague, C., Tonitto,
522 C., Vidon, P., 2009. Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena (hotspots and hot
523 moments) in denitrification models. *Biogeochemistry* 93, 49-77.
- 524 Grunwald, D., Fender, A., Erasmí, S., Jungkunst, H.F., 2012. Towards improved bottom-up inventories of
525 methane from the European land surface. *Atmospheric Environment* 51, 203-211.
- 526 Hanson, R., Hanson, T., 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiological Reviews* 60, 439-+.
- 527 Hatala, J.A., Detto, M., Baldocchi, D.D., 2012. Gross ecosystem photosynthesis causes a diurnal pattern in
528 methane emission from rice. *Geophysical Research Letters* 39, L06409.

- 529 Heinemeyer, A., Wilkinson, M., Vargas, R., Subke, J.-., Casella, E., Morison, J.I.L., Ineson, P., 2012. Exploring the
530 "overflow tap" theory: Linking forest soil CO₂ fluxes and individual mycorrhizosphere components to
531 photosynthesis. *Biogeosciences* 9, 79-95.
- 532 Heinemeyer, A., Di Bene, C., Lloyd, A.R., Tortorella, D., Baxter, R., Huntley, B., Gelsomino, A., Ineson, P., 2011.
533 Soil respiration: Implications of the plant-soil continuum and respiration chamber collar-insertion depth on
534 measurement and modelling of soil CO₂ efflux rates in three ecosystems. *European Journal of Soil Science* 62,
535 82-94.
- 536 Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., Buchmann, N., Taylor, A.F.S., Ekblad, A., Högberg, M.N., Nyberg, G., Ottosson-
537 Lofvenius, M., Read, D.J., 2001. Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil
538 respiration. *Nature* 411, 789-792.
- 539 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Margolis, H.A., Cescatti, A., Richardson, A.D., Arain, M.A., Arneth, A., Bernhofer, C.,
540 Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W., Lasslop, G., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Merbold,
541 L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E.J., Papale, D., Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F., Williams, C., 2011. Global patterns of
542 land-atmosphere fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance,
543 satellite, and meteorological observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 116, G00J07.
- 544 King, S., Quay, P., Lansdown, J., 1989. The C-13/C-12 kinetic isotope effect for soil oxidation of methane at
545 ambient atmospheric concentrations. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 94, 18273-18277.
- 546 Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunoy, M., Canadell, J.G., Dlugokencky, E.J., Bergamaschi, P., Bergmann,
547 D., Blake, D.R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, P., Castaldi, S., Chevallier, F., Feng, L., Fraser, A., Heimann, M.,
548 Hodson, E.L., Houweling, S., Josse, B., Fraser, P.J., Krummel, P.B., Lamarque, J., Langenfelds, R.L., Le Quéré, C.,
549 Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Palmer, P.I., Pison, I., Plummer, D., Poulter, B., Prinn, R.G., Rigby, M., Ringeval, B.,
550 Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, D.T., Simpson, I.J., Spahni, R., Steele, L.P., Strode, S.A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S.,
551 van der Werf, G.R., Voulgarakis, A., van Weele, M., Weiss, R.F., Williams, J.E., Zeng, G., 2013. Three decades of
552 global methane sources and sinks. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 813-823.
- 553 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects. *Soil*
554 *Biology & Biochemistry* 32, 1485-1498.
- 555 Liptzin, D., Silver, W.L., Detto, M., 2011. Temporal dynamics in soil oxygen and greenhouse gases in two humid
556 tropical forests. *Ecosystems* 14, 171-182.
- 557 Malghani, S., Reim, A., von Fischer, J., Conrad, R., Kuebler, K., Trumbore, S.E., 2016. Soil methanotroph
558 abundance and community composition are not influenced by substrate availability in laboratory incubations.
559 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 101, 184-194.
- 560 Martin, J.G., Phillips, C.L., Schmidt, A., Irvine, J., Law, B.E., 2012. High-frequency analysis of the complex linkage
561 between soil CO₂ fluxes, photosynthesis and environmental variables. *Tree physiology* 32, 49-64.
- 562 Mencuccini, M., Hölttä, T., 2010. The significance of phloem transport for the speed with which canopy
563 photosynthesis and belowground respiration are linked. *New Phytologist* 185, 189-203.
- 564 Moyano, F.E., Vasilyeva, N., Bouckaert, L., Cook, F., Craine, J., Yuste, J.C., Don, A., Epron, D., Formanek, P.,
565 Franzluebbers, A., Ilstedt, U., Katterer, T., Orchard, V., Reichstein, M., Rey, A., Ruamps, L., Subke, J.-., Thomsen,
566 I.K., Chenu, C., 2012. The moisture response of soil heterotrophic respiration: Interaction with soil properties.
567 *Biogeosciences* 9, 1173-1182.
- 568 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-., Collins, W., Fuglestedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-., Lee, D.,
569 Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013. Anthropogenic and Natural
570 Radiative Forcing. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y.,
571 Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.),

572 Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment
573 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
574 Kingdom.

575 Nisbet, E.G., Dlugokencky, E.J., Bousquet, P., 2014. Methane on the rise-again. *Science* 343, 493-495.

576 Phillips, C.L., Bond-Lamberty, B., Desai, A.R., Lavoie, M., Risk, D., Tang, J., Todd-Brown, K., Vargas, R., 2017. The
577 value of soil respiration measurements for interpreting and modeling terrestrial carbon cycling. *Plant and Soil*
578 413, 1-25.

579 Redeker, K.R., Baird, A.J., Teh, Y.A., 2015. Quantifying wind and pressure effects on trace gas fluxes across the
580 soil-atmosphere interface. *Biogeosciences* 12, 7423-7434.

581 Reeburgh, W., Hirsch, A., Sansone, F., Popp, B., Rust, T., 1997. Carbon kinetic isotope effect accompanying
582 microbial oxidation of methane in boreal forest soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61, 4761-4767.

583 Saari, A., Heiskanen, J., Martikainen, P., 1998. Effect of the organic horizon on methane oxidation and uptake
584 in soil of a boreal scots pine forest. *FEMS microbiology ecology* 26, 245-255.

585 Savage, K., Phillips, R., Davidson, E., 2014. High temporal frequency measurements of greenhouse gas
586 emissions from soils. *Biogeosciences* 11, 2709-2720.

587 Singh, B., Millard, P., Whiteley, A., Murrell, J., 2004. Unravelling rhizosphere-microbial interactions:
588 Opportunities and limitations. *Trends in microbiology* 12, 386-393.

589 Six, J., Frey, S., Thiet, R., Batten, K., 2006. Bacterial and fungal contributions to carbon sequestration in
590 agroecosystems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70, 555-569.

591 Subke, J.A., Bahn, M., 2010. On the 'temperature sensitivity' of soil respiration: Can we use the immeasurable
592 to predict the unknown? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42, 1653-1656.

593 Subke, J.A., Hahn, V., Battipaglia, G., Linder, S., Buchmann, N., Cotrufo, M.F., 2004. Feedback interactions
594 between needle litter decomposition and rhizosphere activity. *Oecologia* 139, 551-559.

595 Subke, J.A., Inglisma, I., Cotrufo, M.F., 2006. Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO₂ efflux partitioning: A
596 metaanalytical review. *Global Change Biology* 12, 921-943.

597 Subke, J.A., Voke, N.R., Leronni, V., Garnett, M.H., Ineson, P., 2011. Dynamics and pathways of autotrophic and
598 heterotrophic soil CO₂ efflux revealed by forest girdling. *Journal of Ecology* 99, 186-193.

599 Talbot, J.M., Bruns, T.D., Smith, D.P., Branco, S., Glassman, S.I., Erlandson, S., Vilgalys, R., Peay, K.G., 2013.
600 Independent roles of ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic communities in soil organic matter decomposition. *Soil*
601 *Biology & Biochemistry* 57, 282-291.

602 Tate, K.R., Walcroft, A.S., Pratt, C., 2012. Varying atmospheric methane concentrations affect soil methane
603 oxidation rates and methanotroph populations in pasture, an adjacent pine forest, and a landfill. *Soil Biology &*
604 *Biochemistry* 52, 75-81.

605 Tedersoo, L., Smith, M.E., 2013. Lineages of ectomycorrhizal fungi revisited: Foraging strategies and novel
606 lineages revealed by sequences from belowground. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 27, 83-99.

607 Teh, Y.A., Silver, W.L., Conrad, M.E., Borglin, S.E., Carlson, C.M., 2006. Carbon isotope fractionation by
608 methane-oxidizing bacteria in tropical rain forest soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences* 111,
609 G02001.

610 Templeton, A., Chu, K., Alvarez-Cohen, L., Conrad, M., 2006. Variable carbon isotope fractionation expressed
611 by aerobic CH₄-oxidizing bacteria. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70, 1739-1752.

612 Tian, H., Lu, C., Ciais, P., Michalak, A.M., Canadell, J.G., Saikawa, E., Huntzinger, D.N., Gurney, K.R., Sitch, S.,
613 Zhang, B., Yang, J., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L., Chen, G., Dlugokencky, E., Friedlingstein, P., Melillo, J., Pan, S.,
614 Poulter, B., Prinn, R., Saunio, M., Schwalm, C.R., Wofsy, S.C., 2016. The terrestrial biosphere as a net source of
615 greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. *Nature* 531, 225-228.

616 Tokida, T., Miyazaki, T., Mizoguchi, M., Nagata, O., Takakai, F., Kagemoto, A., Hatano, R., 2007. Falling
617 atmospheric pressure as a trigger for methane ebullition from peatland. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 21,
618 GB2003.

619 Ueyama, M., Takeuchi, R., Takahashi, Y., Ide, R., Ataka, M., Kosugi, Y., Takahashi, K., Saigusa, N., 2015.
620 Methane uptake in a temperate forest soil using continuous closed-chamber measurements. *Agricultural and*
621 *Forest Meteorology* 213, 1-9.

622 Veraart, A.J., Steenbergh, A.K., Ho, A., Kim, S.Y., Bodelier, P.L.E., 2015. Beyond nitrogen: The importance of
623 phosphorus for CH₄ oxidation in soils and sediments. *Geoderma* 259, 337-346.

624 Wang, J.M., Murphy, J.G., Geddes, J.A., Winsborough, C.L., Basiliko, N., Thomas, S.C., 2013.
625 Methane fluxes measured by eddy covariance and static chamber techniques at a temperate forest in central
626 ontario, canada. *Biogeosciences* 10, 4371-4382.

627 Wang, Z., Gu, Q., Deng, F., Huang, J., Megonigal, J.P., Yu, Q., Lu, X., Li, L., Chang, S., Zhang, Y., Feng, J., Han, X.,
628 2016. Methane emissions from the trunks of living trees on upland soils. *New Phytologist* 211, 429-439.

629 Wu, Z., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G.W., Penuelas, J., Hungate, B.A., 2011. Responses of terrestrial ecosystems to
630 temperature and precipitation change: A meta-analysis of experimental manipulation. *Global Change Biology*
631 17, 927-942.

632 Yano, M., Toyoda, S., Tokida, T., Hayashi, K., Hasegawa, T., Makabe, A., Koba, K., Yoshida, N., 2014. Isotopomer
633 analysis of production, consumption and soil-to-atmosphere emission processes of N₂O at the beginning of
634 paddy field irrigation. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 70, 66-78.

635

636

637

638 **Table 1** Mean flux rates of methane and CO₂ for collars with contrasting access by roots
639 and/or mycorrhizal hyphae: *SMR* = “soil, extraradical ECM hyphae & roots”, *SM* = “soil 7
640 extraradical ECM hyphae”, and *S* = heterotrophic soil CO₂ flux. Values are averages (± 1 St.
641 Error) using temporal averages of flux rates from n = 4 collars as replicates. Different lower-
642 case letters indicate significant differences between treatments for each of the gases.

Treatment	Mean CO ₂ flux ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	Mean CH ₄ flux ($\text{nmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
<i>SMR</i>	0.9061 ± 0.0705 ^a	-1.626 ± 0.221 ^a
<i>SM</i>	0.6521 ± 0.0317 ^b	-0.8180 ± 0.1216 ^b
<i>S</i>	0.5352 ± 0.0454 ^b	-0.5877 ± 0.0530 ^c

643

1 **Table 2** Coefficients from the autoregressive (AR) model. Coefficients of each parameter are shown along with the standard error (S.E.).
 2 Significance Coefficients are highlighted in bold with the level of significance indicated: $p < 0.001$ (***) , $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.05$ (*) and for
 3 marginally insignificant Coefficients $p < 0.1$ (#). Note that all variables were scaled to a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1.

4

		Intercept	AR(1)	AR(2)	Initial CO ₂	Initial CH ₄	SWC	Pressure	Radiation	T _{air}	T _{soil}	Adj-R ²
F _{CO2_S}	Coeff	0.021	0.629***	0.298***	-0.026		0.031#	-0.017	-0.028	-0.004	0.055**	0.88***
	S.E.	0.014	0.038	0.038	0.017		0.016	0.020	0.018	0.024	0.021	
F _{CO2_MS}	Coeff	0.041*	0.596***	0.220***	-0.001		0.080***	-0.002	-0.063**	0.001	0.095***	0.80***
	S.E.	0.018	0.040	0.040	0.022		0.022	0.025	0.022	0.030	0.027	
F _{CO2_RMS}	Coeff	0.049**	0.610***	0.171***	0.031		0.079***	-0.035#	-0.077***	0.009	0.139***	0.86***
	S.E.	0.015	0.039	0.038	0.019		0.018	0.020	0.018	0.023	0.023	
F _{CH4_S}	Coeff	0.013	0.589***	0.269*	-	0.007	0.071**	0.035	-0.032	0.083*	-0.045	0.74***
	S.E.	0.022	0.038	0.038		0.023	0.027	0.031	0.027	0.034	0.028	
F _{CH4_MS}	Coeff	0.008	0.524***	0.330***	-	0.002	0.049#	0.027	-0.056*	0.107**	-0.043	0.72***
	S.E.	0.022	0.038	0.037		0.023	0.026	0.032	0.027	0.034	0.029	
F _{CH4_RMS}	Coeff	-0.002	0.610***	0.308***	-	0.015	0.052**	0.014	0.021	0.057*	-0.046*	0.88**
	S.E.	0.015	0.037	0.036		0.015	0.019	0.021	0.018	0.023	0.019	

5

1 **Figure captions**

2 *Figure 1*

3 Overview of flux dynamics and environmental parameters during the measuring
4 period. (a) CH₄ flux and (b) CO₂ flux from *SMR* (black), *SM* (grey) and *S* (open) collars.
5 (c) Apparent CO₂ flux fractions from decomposition (light grey), extraradical ECM
6 hyphae (dark grey) and “true” root respiration (black), based on flux difference
7 between collar treatments. (d) Temperatures measured in the soil at 5 cm (grey line)
8 and 10 cm (black line) and in the air above the soil surface (dashed line). Soil water
9 content was measured continuously (n = 3) (e), and periodically for different
10 treatments (f). All error bars represent 1 standard error (n = 4).

11 *Figure 2*

12 Mean diurnal dynamics of CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes and key environmental parameters.
13 Data are means averaged over the entire measuring period, thin lines indicate the
14 95% confidence intervals; maximum and minimum values are indicated by grey and
15 white circles, respectively (meaning min. and max. *negative* fluxes for CH₄). Mean
16 hourly fluxes of CH₄ (a) and CO₂ (b) for the three collar types are shown alongside
17 CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations above the soil surface (c, d). Collar treatments are
18 shown separately in panels a and b: *SMR* (solid black lines), *SM* (grey lines), and *S*
19 (dashed black lines). Also shown are diurnal courses of air temperature (e), and soil
20 temperature at 5 cm depth (f).

21 *Figure 3*

22 (a) Relationship between CH₄ concentration above the soil surface and wind speed.
23 (b) Correlation between CH₄ concentration above the soil surface and instantaneous
24 CH₄ flux in *SMR* treatment. The main graph shows correlation of driest conditions
25 (Soil Water Content between 0.22 and 0.35 m³ m⁻³), inset shows all data.

26 *Figure 4*

27 Mean CH₄ (a) and CO₂ (b) flux estimate for all three treatments over the 6-week
28 observation period based on increasing sampling intervals. Horizontal lines give the
29 “true” average flux based on hourly observations. Black symbols & solid lines: *SMR*,
30 grey symbols and lines: *SM*, open symbols and hatched lines: *S*; error bars show

31 standard errors. Numbers of temporal replicates for each sampling interval (identical
32 for all collar treatments and both gases) is indicated in the upper panel.

33