UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Transcription factor clusters regulate genes in eukaryotic cells.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/121854/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wollman, Adam orcid.org/0000-0002-5501-8131, Shashkova, Sviatlana, Hedlund, Erik Goran et al. (3 more authors) (2017) Transcription factor clusters regulate genes in eukaryotic cells. eLife. e27451. ISSN 2050-084X

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27451

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Title: Transcription factor clusters regulate genes in eukaryotic cells
2	
3	Authors:
4 5	Adam J. M. Wollman ^{a,1} , Sviatlana Shashkova ^{a,b,1} , Erik G. Hedlund ^a , Rosmarie Friemann ^b , Stefan Hohmann ^{b,c} , Mark C. Leake ^{a,2}
6	Affiliations:
7 8	^a Biological Physical Sciences Institute, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK
9 10	^b Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, 40530 Göteborg, Sweden.
11 12 13	^c Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden.
14 15 16	¹ A.J.M.W. and S.S. contributed equally to this work.
17	² To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: mark.leake@york.ac.uk.
18 19 20 21	Corresponding author: Prof Mark Leake, Biological Physical Sciences Institute, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK. Tel: +44 (0)1904322697. Email: mark.leake@york.ac.uk. Orcid ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1715-1249.
22 23 24	Keywords: gene expression; transcription factors; single-molecule; super-resolution; cell signaling.

25 Abstract

- 26 Transcription is regulated through binding factors to gene promoters to activate or repress
- 27 expression, however, the mechanisms by which factors find targets remain unclear. Using
- single-molecule fluorescence microscopy, we determined *in vivo* stoichiometry and
- spatiotemporal dynamics of a GFP tagged repressor, Mig1, from a paradigm signaling
- 30 pathway of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We find the repressor operates in clusters, which upon
- extracellular signal detection, translocate from the cytoplasm, bind to nuclear targets and
 turnover. Simulations of Mig1 configuration within a 3D yeast genome model combined with
- a promoter-specific, fluorescent translation reporter confirmed clusters are the functional unit
- of gene regulation. *In vitro* and structural analysis on reconstituted Mig1 suggests that
- 35 clusters are stabilized by depletion forces between intrinsically disordered sequences. We
- 36 observed similar clusters of a co-regulatory activator from a different pathway, supporting a
- 37 generalized cluster model for transcription factors that reduces promoter search times through
- intersegment transfer while stabilizing gene expression.

40 Introduction

41 Cells respond to their environment through gene regulation involving protein transcription

- 42 factors. These proteins bind to DNA targets of a few tens of base pairs (bp) length inside
- 43 ~500-1,000bp promoter sequences to repress/activate expression, involving single (1) and
- 44 multiple (2) factors, resulting in the regulation of target genes. The mechanism for finding

45 targets in a genome ~six orders of magnitude larger is unclear since free diffusion followed

- by capture is too slow to account for observed search times (*3*). Target finding may involve
- 47 heterogeneous mobility including nucleoplasmic diffusion, sliding and hops along DNA up to
- 48 ~150bp, and even longer jumps separated by hundreds of bp called intersegment transfer (4– 49 6).

In eukaryotes, factor localization is dynamic between nucleus and cytoplasm (7). Although target binding sites in some cases are known to cluster in hotspots (8) the assumption has been that factors themselves do not function in clusters but as single molecules. Realistic simulations of diffusion and binding in the complex milieu of nuclei suggest a role for multivalent factors to facilitate intersegment transfer by enabling DNA segments to be connected by a single factor (9).

The use of single-molecule fluorescence microscopy to monitor factor localization in live cells has resulted in functional insight into gene regulation (*10*). Fluorescent protein reporters, in particular, have revealed complexities in mobility and kinetics in bacterial (*11*) and mammalian cells (*12*) suggesting a revised view of target finding (*4*).

Key features of gene regulation in eukaryotes are exemplified by glucose sensing in 60 budding yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Here, regulation is achieved by factors which 61 include the Mig1 repressor, a Zn finger DNA binding protein (13) that acts on targets 62 including GAL genes (14). Mig1 is known to localize to the nucleus in response to increasing 63 extracellular glucose (15), correlated to its dephosphorylation (16, 17). Glucose sensing is 64 particularly valuable for probing gene regulation since the activation status of factors such as 65 Mig1 can be controlled reproducibly by varying extracellular glucose. Genetic manipulation 66 of the regulatory machinery is also tractable, enabling native gene labeling with fluorescent 67 reporters for functioning imaging studies. 68

We sought to explore functional spatiotemporal dynamics and kinetics of gene regulation in live *S. cerevisiae* cells using its glucose sensing pathway as a model for signal transduction. We used single-molecule fluorescence microscopy to track functional transcription factors with millisecond sampling to match the mobility of individual molecules. We were able to quantify composition and dynamics of Mig1 under physiological

and perturbed conditions which affected its possible phosphorylation state. Similarly, we

75 performed experiments on a protein called Msn2, which functions as an activator for some of

Mig1 target genes (*18*) but controlled by a different pathway. By modifying the microscope
 we were also able to determine turnover kinetics of transcription factors at their nuclear

77 we well78 targets.

79 The results, coupled to models we developed using chromosome structure analysis, indicated unexpectedly that the functional component which binds to promoter targets 80 81 operates as a cluster of transcription factor molecules with stoichiometries of ~6-9 molecules. We speculated that these functional clusters in live cells were stabilized through interactions 82 of intrinsically disordered sequences facilitated through cellular depletion forces. We were 83 84 able to mimic those depletion forces in *in vitro* single-molecule and circular dichroism experiments using a molecular crowding agent. Our novel discovery of factor clustering has a 85 clear functional role in facilitating factors finding their binding sites through intersegment 86 transfer, as borne out by simulations of multivalent factors (9); this addresses a long-standing 87 question of how transcription factors efficiently find their targets. This clustering also 88 functions to reduce off rates from targets compared to simpler monomer binding. This effect 89 improves robustness against false positive detection of extracellular chemical signals, similar 90 to observations for the monomeric but multivalent bacterial LacI repressor (4). Our findings 91 potentially reveal an alternative eukaryotic cell strategy for gene regulation but using an 92 entirely different structural mechanism. 93

94

95 **Results**

96 Single-molecule imaging reveals *in vivo* clusters of functional Mig1

To explore the mechanisms of transcription factor targeting we used millisecond Slimfield 97 single-molecule fluorescence imaging (19-21) on live S. cerevisiae cells (Figure. 1A and 98 Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1). We prepared a genomically encoded green fluorescent 99 protein (GFP) reporter for Mig1 (Table 1). To enable nucleus and cell body identification we 100 employed mCherry on the RNA binding nuclear protein Nrd1. We measured cell doubling 101 times and expression to be the same within experimental error as the parental strain 102 containing no fluorescent protein (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 2A). We optimized 103 Slimfield for single-molecule detection sensitivity with an in vitro imaging assay of surface-104 immobilized purified GFP (22) indicating a brightness for single GFP molecules of 105 ~5,000 counts on our camera detector (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 2B). To determine any 106 fluorescent protein maturation effects we performed cell photobleaching while expression of 107 108 any additional fluorescent protein was suppressed by antibiotics, and measured subsequent recovery of cellular fluorescence <15% for fluorescent protein components, corrected for any 109 native autofluorescence, over the timescale of imaging experiments (Figure. 1 - Figure 110 Supplement 2C and D). 111

Under depleted (0%)/elevated (4%) extracellular glucose (-/+), we measured 112 cytoplasmic and nuclear Mig1 localization bias respectively, as reported previously (15), 113 visible in individual cells by rapid microfluidic exchange of extracellular fluid (Figure. 1B), 114 with high cell-cell variability (Figure. 1B middle panel). However, our ultrasensitive imaging 115 resolved two novel components under both conditions consistent with a diffuse monomer 116 pool and distinct multimeric foci which could be tracked up to several hundred milliseconds 117 (Figure. 1C and Figure Supplement 3; Video 1 and 2). We wondered if the presence of foci 118 119 was an artifact due to GFP oligomerization. To discourage artifactual aggregation we

performed a control using another type of GFP containing an A206K mutation (denoted 120 GFPmut3 or mGFP) known to inhibit oligomerization (23). However, both in vitro 121 experiments using purified GFP and mGFP (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 2B) and live cell 122 experiments at glucose (-/+) (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 2E and F) indicated no 123 significant difference to foci brightness values (Student's t-test, p=0.67). We also developed a 124 genomically encoded Mig1 reporter using green-red photoswitchable fluorescent protein 125 mEos2 (24). Super-resolution stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) from 126 hundreds of individual photoactivated tracks indicated the presence of foci, clearly present in 127 nuclei hotspots in live cells at glucose (+) (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1). These results 128 129 strongly argue that foci formation is not dependent on hypothetical fluorescent protein oligomerization. 130

We implemented nanoscale tracking based on automated foci detection which 131 combined iterative Gaussian masking and fitting to foci pixel intensity distributions to 132 determine the spatial localization to a lateral precision of 40nm (25, 26). Tracking was 133 134 coupled to stoichiometry analysis using single GFP photobleaching of foci tracks (22) and single cell copy number quantification (27). These methods enabled us to objectively 135 quantify the number of Mig1 molecules associated with each foci, its effective microscopic 136 diffusion coefficient D and spatiotemporal dynamics in regards to its location in the 137 cytoplasm, nucleus or translocating across the nuclear envelope, as well as the copy number 138 of Mig1 molecules associated with each subcellular region and in each cell as a whole. These 139 analyses indicated ~850-1,300 Mig1 total molecules per cell, dependent on extracellular 140 141 glucose. Quantitative PCR and previous work suggest a higher Mig1 copy number at glucose (-) (27) (Figure. 1D; Table 2 and 3). 142

143 At glucose (-) we measured a mean ~950 Mig1 molecules per cell in the cytoplasmic pool (Figure. 1D) and 30-50 multimeric foci in total per cell, based on interpolating the 144 observed number of foci in the microscope's known depth of field over the entirety of the cell 145 volume. These foci had a mean stoichiometry of 6-9 molecules and mean D of $1-2\mu m^2/s$, 146 extending as high as $6\mu m^2/s$. In nuclei, the mean foci stoichiometry and D was the same as 147 the cytoplasm to within experimental error (Student's t-test, p>0.05, p=0.99 and p=0.83), 148 149 with a similar concentration. Trans-nuclear foci, those entering /leaving the nucleus during observed tracking, also had the same mean stoichiometry and D to cytoplasmic values to 150 within experimental error (p>0.05, p=0.60 and p=0.79). However, at glucose (+) we 151 measured a considerable increase in the proportion of nuclear foci compared to glucose (-), 152 with up to 8 foci per nucleus of mean apparent stoichiometry 24-28 molecules, but D lower 153 by a factor of 2, and 0-3 cytoplasmic/trans-nuclear foci per cell (Figure. 2A and 2B and 154 Figure Supplement 3). 155

156

157 Mig1 cluster localization is dependent on phosphorylation status

158 To understand how Mig1 clustering was affected by its phosphorylation we deleted the SNF1

159 gene which encodes the Mig1-upstream kinase, Snf1, a key regulator of Mig1

160 phosphorylation. Under Slimfield imaging this strain indicated Mig1 clusters with similar

161 stoichiometry and D as for the wild type strain at *glucose* (+), but with a significant

162 insensitivity to depleting extracellular glucose (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1, Figure. 2 –

163 Figure Supplement 1A and B). We also used a yeast strain in which the kinase activity of

164 Snf1 could be controllably inhibited biochemically by addition of cell permeable PP1 analog

165 1NM-PP1. Slimfield imaging indicated similar results in terms of the presence of Mig1

- 166 clusters, their stoichiometry and D, but again showing a marked insensitivity towards
- 167 depleted extracellular glucose indistinguishable from the wild type *glucose* (+) phenotype

168 (Figure. 1 - Figure Supplement 1, Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 1C, Figure. 2 – Figure

- 169 Supplement 2,3 and Table 4). We also tested a strain containing Mig1 with four serine
- phosphorylation sites (Ser222, 278, 311 and 381) mutated to alanine, which were shown to
- affect Mig1 localization and phosphorylation dependence on extracellular glucose (28).
- 172 Slimfield showed the same pattern of localization as the *SNF1* deletion while retaining the
- 173 presence of Mig1 clusters (Figure . 2 Figure Supplement 1D and E). These results suggest
- that Mig1 phosphorylation does not affect its ability to form clusters, but does alter their
- 175 localization bias between nucleus and cytoplasm.
- 176

177 Cytoplasmic Mig1 is mobile but nuclear Mig1 has mobile and immobile states

The dynamics of Mig1 between cytoplasm and nucleus is critically important to its role in 178 gene regulation. We therefore interrogated tracked foci mobility. We quantified cumulative 179 distribution functions (CDFs) for all nuclear and cytoplasmic tracks (12). A CDF signifies the 180 probability that foci will move a certain distance from their starting point as a function of 181 time while tracked. Here, we analyzed only the first displacement of each track to avoid bias 182 183 toward slowly moving foci (12). A mixed mobility population can be modeled as the weighted sum of multiple CDFs characterized by different D. Cytoplasmic foci at glucose 184 (+/-), and nuclear foci at glucose (-), were consistent with just a single mobile population 185 (Figure. 3 – Figure Supplement 1) whose D of 1-2 μ m²/s was consistent with earlier 186 observations. However, nuclear foci at glucose (+) indicated a mixture of mobile and 187 immobile components (Figure. 3A). These results, substantiated by fitting two Gamma 188 functions to the distribution of estimated D(29) for glucose (+) nuclear foci (Figure. 3A, 189 inset), indicate 20-30% of nuclear foci are immobile, consistent with a DNA-bound state. 190 Mean square displacement analysis of foci tracks sorted by stoichiometry indicated Brownian 191 diffusion over short timescales of a few tens of ms but increasingly anomalous diffusion over 192 longer timescales >30ms (Figure. 3B). These results are consistent with glucose (+) Mig1 193 diffusion being impacted by interactions with nuclear structures, similar to that reported for 194 other transcription factors (30). Here however this interaction is dependent on extracellular 195 196 glucose despite Mig1 requiring a pathway of proteins to detect it, unlike the more direct detection mechanism of the prokaryotic lac repressor. A strain in which mCherry labeled 197 Mig1 had its Zn finger deleted ($\Delta aa 36-91$) (18) indicated no significant immobile cluster 198 199 population at glucose (+/-) with CDF analysis (Figure. 3 – Figure Supplement 1). We conclude that Mig1 clusters bind with a relatively high association constant to the DNA via 200 their Zn finger motif with direct glucose dependence. 201

202

Mig1 nuclear translocation selectivity does not depend on glucose but is mediated by interactions away from the nuclear envelope

205 Due to the marked localization of Mig1 towards nucleus/cytoplasm at *glucose* (+/-)

respectively, we asked whether this spatial bias was due to selectivity initiated during

- translocation at the nuclear envelope. By converting trans-nuclear tracks into coordinates
- 208 parallel and perpendicular to the measured nuclear envelope position, and synchronizing
- origins to be the nuclear envelope crossing point, we could compare spatiotemporal dynamics
- of different Mig1 clusters during translocation. A heat map of spatial distributions of
 translocating clusters indicated a hotspot of comparable volume to that of structures of
- budding yeast nuclear pore complexes (*31*) and accessory nuclear structures of cytoplasmic
- nucleoporin filaments and nuclear basket (*32*), with some nuclear impairment to mobility
- consistent with restrained mobility (Figure. 3C). We observed a dwell in cluster translocation

across the 30-40nm width of the nuclear envelope (Figure. 3D). At glucose (+) the proportion

- of detected trans-nuclear foci was significantly higher compared to *glucose* (-), consistent
- with Mig1's role to repress genes. The distribution of dwell times could be fitted using a single exponential function with ~10ms time constant similar to previous estimates for
- single exponential function with ~10ms time constant similar to previous estimates for transport factors (33). However, although the relative proportion of trans-nuclear foci was
- much lower at *glucose* (-) compared to *glucose* (+), the dwell time constant was found to be
- insensitive to glucose (Figure. 3E). This insensitivity to extracellular chemical signal
- demonstrates, surprisingly, that there is no direct selectivity on the basis of transcription
- factor phosphorylation state by nuclear pore complexes themselves, suggesting that cargo
- selectivity mechanisms of nuclear transport (34), as reported for a range of substrates, is blind
- to the phosphorylation state. Coupled with our observation that Mig1 at *glucose* (-) does not exhibit significant immobility in the nucleus and that Mig1 lacking the Zn finger still
- accumulates in the nucleus at *glucose* (+) (Figure 1 Figure Supplement 1), this suggests
- that Mig1 localization is driven by changes in Mig1 binding affinity to other proteins, within
- e.g. the general corepressor complex (35), or outside the nucleus not involving the nuclear
- 230 pore complex.
- 231

Mig1 nuclear foci bound to targets turn over slowly as whole clusters of ~7-9 molecules in >100s

To further understand the mechanisms of Mig1 binding/release during gene regulation we 234 sought to quantify kinetics of these events at Mig1 targets. By modifying our microscope we 235 could implement an independent focused laser path using the same laser source, enabling us 236 to use fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) to probe nuclear Mig1 turnover. 237 The focused laser rapidly photobleached GFP content in cell nuclei in <200ms (Figure. 3F). 238 We could then monitor recovery of any fluorescence intensity by illuminating with 239 millisecond Slimfield stroboscopically as opposed to continuously to extend the observation 240 timescale to >1,000s. Using automated foci detection we could separate nuclear pool and foci 241 content at each time point for each cell. These analyses demonstrated measurable 242 243 fluorescence recovery for both components, which could be fitted by single exponentials indicating fast recovery of pool at both glucose (-) and (+) with a time constant <5s but a 244 larger time constant at *glucose* (+) for nuclear foci >100s (Figure. 3G). Further analysis of 245 246 intensity levels at each time point revealed a stoichiometry periodicity in nuclear foci recovery equivalent to 7-9 GFP molecules (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1A), but no 247 obvious periodicity in stoichiometry measurable from pool recovery. An identical periodicity 248 within experimental error was measured from nuclear foci at glucose (+) in steady-state 249 (Figure. 4A). These periodicity values in Mig1 stoichiometry were consistent with earlier 250 observations for cytoplasmic and trans-nuclear clusters at glucose (+/-), and in the nucleus at 251 glucose (-), with mean stoichiometry ~7 molecules. These data taken as a whole clearly 252 suggest that molecular turnover at nuclear foci of Mig1 bound to its target genes occurs in 253 units of single clusters, as opposed to single Mig1 monomers. 254

255

256 Mig1 clusters are spherical, a few tens of nm wide

257 Our observations from stoichiometry, dynamics and kinetics, which supported the hypothesis

that functional clusters of Mig1 perform the role of gene regulation, also suggested an

obvious prediction in terms of the size of observed foci: the physical diameter of a multimeric

- cluster should be larger than that of a single Mig1 monomer. We therefore sought to quantify
- 261 foci widths from Slimfield data by performing intensity profile analysis on background-

corrected pixel values over each foci image. The diameter was estimated from the measured 262 width corrected for motion blur due to particle diffusion in the sampling time of a single 263 image frame, minus that measured from single purified GFP molecules immobilized to the 264 coverslip surface in separate in vitro experiments. This analysis revealed diameters of 265 15-50nm at glucose (-), which showed an increase with foci stoichiometry S that could be 266 fitted with a power law dependence S^a (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1B) with optimized 267 exponent a of 0.32 ± 0.06 (\pm SEM). Immuno-gold electron microscopy of fixed cells probed 268 with anti-GFP antibody confirmed the presence of GFP in 90nm cryosections with some 269 evidence of clusters containing up to 7 Mig1 molecules (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1C), 270 271 however, the overall labeling efficiency was relatively low with sparse labelling in the nucleus in particular, possibly as a consequence of probe inaccessibility, resulting in 272 relatively poor statistics. A heuristic tight packing model for GFP labeled Mig1 monomers in 273 each cluster predicts that, in the instance of an idealized spherical cluster, a = 1/3. Our data at 274 glucose (-) thus supports the hypothesis that Mig1 clusters have a spherical shape. For 275 nuclear foci at *glucose* (+) we measured larger apparent diameters and stoichiometries, 276 consistent with >1 individual Mig1 cluster being separated by less than our measured 277 278 ~200nm optical resolution limit. This observation agrees with earlier measurements of stoichiometry periodicity for nuclear foci at *glucose* (+). In other words, that higher apparent 279 stoichiometry nuclear foci are consistent with multiple individual Mig1 clusters each 280 281 containing ~7 molecules separated by a nearest neighbor distance <200nm and so detected as

a single fluorescent foci.

283

284 Clusters are stabilized by depletion forces

Since we observed Mig1 clusters in live cells using Slimfield imaging we wondered if these 285 could be detected and further quantified using other methods. However, native gel 286 electrophoresis on extracts from Mig1-GFP cells (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 2A) 287 indicated a single stained band for Mig1, which was consistent with denaturing SDS-PAGE 288 combined with western blotting using recombinant Mig1-GFP, and protein extracts from the 289 parental cells which included no fluorescent reporter (Figure. 4 - Figure Supplement 2B and 290 C). Slimfield imaging on purified Mig1-GFP in vitro under identical imaging conditions for 291 live cells similarly indicated monomeric Mig1-GFP foci in addition to a small fraction of 292 brighter foci which were consistent with predicted random overlap of monomer images. 293 However, on addition of low molecular weight polyethylene glycol (PEG) at a concentration 294 known to mimic small molecule 'depletion' forces in live cells (36) we detected significant 295 numbers of multimeric foci (Figure. 4B and Figure Supplement 2D). Depletion is an entropic 296 297 derived attractive force which results from osmotic pressure between particles suspended in solution that are separated by distances short enough to exclude other surrounding smaller 298 particles. Purified GFP alone under identical conditions showed no such effect (Figure. 4 – 299 Figure Supplement 2E). These results support a hypothesis that clusters are present in live 300 cells regardless of the concentration of extracellular glucose, which are stabilized by 301

302 depletion components that are lost during biochemical purification.

303

304 Chromosome structure modeling supports a cluster binding hypothesis

305 We speculated that Mig1 cluster-mediated gene regulation had testable predictions in regards

- to the nuclear location of Mig1 at elevated extracellular glucose. We therefore developed
- quantitative models to simulate the appearance of realistic images of genome-bound Mig1-
- 308 GFP at *glucose* (+).We used sequence analysis to infer locations of Mig1 binding sites in the

309 yeast genome, based on alignment matches to previously identified 17bp Mig1 target patterns

- (37) which comprised conserved AT-rich 5bp and GC-rich 6bp sequences. In scanning the 310 entire S. cerevisiae genome we found >3,000 hits though only 112 matches for likely gene
- 311
- regulatory sites located in promoter regions (Table 5). We mapped these candidate binding 312 sites onto specific 3D locations (Figure. 4C) obtained from a consensus structure for budding
- 313 yeast chromosomes based on 3C data (38). We generated simulated images, adding
- 314 315 experimentally realistic levels of signal and noise, and ran these synthetic data through the
- same tracking software as for experimental data. We used identical algorithm parameters 316
- throughout and compared these predictions to the measured experimental stoichiometry 317 318 distributions.
- In the first instance we used these locations as coordinates for Mig1 monomer 319 binding, assuming that just a single Mig1 molecule binds to a target. Copy number analysis 320 of Slimfield data (Table 2) indicated a mean ~190 Mig1 molecules per cell associated with 321 nuclear foci, greater than the number of Mig1 binding sites in promoter regions. We assigned 322 112 molecules to target promoter binding sites, then assigned the remaining 78 molecules 323 randomly to non-specific DNA coordinates of the chromosomal structure. We included the 324 effects of different orientations of the chromosomal structure relative to the camera by 325 generating simulations from different projections and included these in compiled synthetic 326 datasets. 327
- 328 We then contrasted monomer binding to a cluster binding model, which assumed that a whole cluster comprising 7 GFP labeled Mig1 molecules binds a single Mig1 target. Here 329 we randomly assigned the 190 Mig1 molecules into just 27 (i.e. ~190/7) 7-mer clusters to the 330 331 set of 112 Mig1 target promoter sites. We also implemented improvements of both monomer and cluster binding models to account for the presence of trans-nuclear tracks. Extrapolating 332 the number of detected trans-nuclear foci in our microscope's depth of field over the whole 333 334 nuclear surface area indicated a total of ~130 Mig1 molecules at glucose (+) inside the nucleus prior to export across the cytoplasm. We simulated the presence of these trans-335 nuclear molecules either using 130 GFP-labeled Mig1 molecules as monomers, or as 18 (i.e. 336 ~130/7) 7-mer clusters at random 3D coordinates over the nuclear envelope surface (Figure. 4 337 - Figure Supplement 3). 338
- We discovered that a cluster binding model which included the presence of trans-339 nuclear foci generated excellent agreement to the experimental foci stoichiometry distribution 340 $(R^2=0.75)$ compared to a very poor fit for a monomer binding model $(R^2<0)$ (Figure. 4D). The 341 optimized cluster model fit involved on average ~25% of promoter loci to be bound across a 342 population of simulated cells by a 7-mer cluster with the remaining clusters located non-343 344 specifically, near the nuclear envelope, consistent with nuclear transit. This structural model supports the hypothesis that the functional unit of Mig1-mediated gene regulation is a cluster 345 of Mig1 molecules, as opposed to Mig1 acting as a monomer. 346
- 347

The activator Msn2 also forms functional clusters 348

We wondered if the discovery of transcription factor clusters was unique to specific 349

properties of the Mig1 repressor, as opposed to being a more general feature of other Zn 350

finger transcription factors. To address this question we prepared a genomically encoded GFP 351

fusion construct of a similar protein Msn2. Nrd1-mCherry was again used as a nuclear 352

marker (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1). Msn2 acts as an activator and not a repressor, 353

which co-regulates several Mig1 target genes but with the opposite nuclear localization 354

- response to glucose (18). On performing Slimfield under identical conditions to the Mig1-355
- GFP strain we again observed a significant population of fluorescent Msn2 foci, which had 356
- comparable D and stoichiometry to those estimated earlier for Mig1 (Table 2). The key 357

difference with the data from the Mig1-GFP strain was that Msn2, unlike Mig1, demonstrated 358 high apparent foci stoichiometry values and lower values of D at glucose (-), which was 359 consistent with its role as an activator of the same target genes as opposed to a repressor 360 (Figure. 5A and B). Immuno-gold electron microscopy of fixed Msn2-GFP cells confirmed 361 the presence of GFP in 90nm cryosections with evidence for clusters of comparable 362 diameters to Mig1-GFP (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1C), but with the same technical 363 caveats and poor statistics as reported for the Mig1-GFP dataset. These results support the 364 hypothesis that two different eukaryotic transcription factors that have antagonist effects on 365 the same target genes operate as molecular clusters. 366

To test the functional relevance of Mig1 and Msn2 clusters we performed Slimfield on a strain in which Mig1 and Msn2 were genomically labeled using mCherry and orange fluorescent protein mKO2, respectively (*18*). This strain also contained a plasmid with GFP

labeled PP7 protein to report on nuclear mRNA expressed specifically from the glycogen
 synthase *GSY1* gene, whose expression can be induced by glucose starvation and is a target of

Mig1 and Msn2, labelled with 24 repeats of the PP7 binding sequence (*39*). In switching

from *glucose* (+) to (-) and observing the same cell throughout, we measured PP7

accumulating with similar localization patterns to those of Mig1 clusters at *glucose* (+)

375 (Figure. 5C). No accumulation was observed with the mutant Mig1 lacking the Zn finger, in

376 line with previous observations (*18*). We calculated the numerical overlap integral between

these Mig1 and PP7 foci (Figure. 5D), indicating a high mean of ~0.95, where 1 is the

theoretical maximum for 100% colocalization in the absence of noise (26). We also observed

similar high colocalization between Msn2-mKO2 clusters and PP7-GFP at *glucose* (-)

(Figure. 5E). These results demonstrate a functional link between the localization of Mig1
 and Msn2 clusters, and the transcribed mRNA from their target genes.

382 Mig1 and Msn2 possess intrinsic disorder which may favor clustering

383 Since both Mig1 and Msn2 demonstrate significant populations of clustered molecules in functional cell strains we asked the question if there were features common to the sequences 384 of both proteins which might explain this behavior. To address this question we used multiple 385 sequence alignment to determine conserved structural features of both proteins, and 386 secondary structure prediction tools with disorder prediction algorithms. As expected, 387 sequence alignment indicated the presence of the Zn finger motif in both proteins, with 388 secondary structure predictions suggesting relatively elongated structures (Figure. 6A). 389 However, disorder predictions indicated multiple extended intrinsically disordered regions in 390 both Mig1 and Msn2 sequences with an overall proportion of disordered content >50%, as 391 high as 75% for Mig1 (Figure. 6B; Table 6). We measured a trend from a more structured 392 region of Mig1 towards the N-terminus and more disordered regions towards the C-terminus. 393 Msn2 demonstrated a similar bipolar trend but with the structured Zn finger motif towards the 394 C-terminus and the disordered sequences towards the N-terminus. We then ran the same 395 analysis as a comparison against the prokaryotic transcription factor LacI, which represses 396 expression from genes of the *lac* operon as part of the prokaryotic glucose sensing pathway. 397 The predicted disorder content in the case of LacI was <50%. In addition, further sequence 398 alignment analysis predicted that at least 50% of candidate phosphorylation sites in either 399 Mig1 or Msn2 lie within these intrinsically disordered sequences (Table 6; Figure. 6A). An 400 important observation reported previously is that the comparatively highly structured LacI 401 exhibits no obvious clustering behavior from similar high-speed fluorescence microscopy 402 tracking on live bacteria (4). Intrinsically disordered proteins are known to undergo phase 403 transitions which may enable cluster formation and increase the likelihood of binding to 404 nucleic acids (40, 41). It has been shown that homo-oligomerization is energetically more 405

favorable than hetero-oligomerization (42). Moreover, symmetrical arrangement of the same 406 protein can increase accessibility of the protein to binding partners, generate new binding 407 sites, or increase complex specificity and diversity in general (43). We measured significant 408 changes in circular dichroism of the Mig1 fusion construct upon addition of PEG in the 409 wavelength range 200-230nm (Figure. 6C) known to be sensitive to transitions between 410 ordered and intrinsically disordered states (44, 45). Since the Zn finger motif lies towards the 411 opposite terminus to the disordered content for both Mig1 and Msn2 this may suggest a 412 molecular bipolarity which could stabilize a cluster core while exposing Zn fingers on the 413 surface enabling interaction with accessible DNA. This structural mechanism has analogies to 414 415 that of phospholipid interactions driving micelle formation, however mediated here through 416 disordered sequence interactions as opposed to hydrophobic forces (Figure. 6C). The prevalence of phosphorylation sites located in disordered regions may also suggest a role in 417 418 mediating affinity to target genes, similar to protein-protein binding by phosphorylation and intrinsic disorder coupling (46). 419

420

421 Discussion

Our findings address a totally underexplored and novel aspect of gene regulation with 422 technology that has not been available until recently. In summary, we observe that the 423 repressor protein Mig1 forms clusters which, upon extracellular glucose detection, localize 424 dynamically from the cytoplasm to bind to locations consistent with promoter sequences of 425 its target genes. Similar localization events were observed for the activator Msn2 under 426 glucose limiting conditions. Moreover, Mig1 and Msn2 oligomers colocalized with mRNA 427 transcribed from GSY1 gene at glucose (+/-), respectively. Our results therefore strongly 428 support a functional link between Mig1 and Msn2 transcription factor clusters and target gene 429 expression. The physiological role of multivalent transcription factor clusters has been 430 elucidated through simulations (9) but unobserved until now. These simulations show that 431 432 intersegmental transfer between sections of nuclear DNA was essential for factors to find 433 their binding sites within physiologically relevant timescales and requires multivalency. Previous single-molecule studies of p53(47) and TetR(48) in human cancer cells have also 434 suggested a role for non-specific (i.e. sequence independent) transcription factor searching 435 along the DNA. Our findings address the longstanding question of how transcription factors 436 find their targets in the genome so efficiently. Evidence for higher molecular weight Mig1 437 states from biochemical studies has been suggested previously (49). A Mig1-His-HA 438 construct was overexpressed in yeast and cell extracts run in different glucose concentrations 439 through sucrose density centrifugation. In western blots, a higher molecular weight band was 440 observed, attributed to a hypothetical cofactor protein. However, no cofactor was detected 441 and none reported to date. The modal molecular weight observed was ~four times that of 442 Mig1 but with a wide observed distribution consistent with our mean detected cluster size of 443 ~7 molecules. The authors only reported detecting higher molecular weight states in the 444 nucleus in repressing conditions. 445

446 Clustering of nuclear factors has been reported previously in other systems using 447 single-molecule techniques. In particular, RNA polymerase clustering in the nucleus has been 448 shown to have a functional role in gene regulation through putative transcription factories 449 (*50*, *51*). Other nuclear protein clusters have been shown to have a functional role (*52*) and 450 the Bicoid transcription factor in *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos has been shown to form 451 clusters partially mediated by regions of intrinsic disorder (*53*).

452 Our measured turnover of genome-bound Mig1 has similar timescales to that
453 estimated for nucleoid-bound LacI (4), but similar rates of turnover have also been observed
454 in yeast for a DNA-bound activator (54). Faster off rates have been observed during single

particle tracking of the DNA-bound fraction of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) transcription
factor in mammalian cells, equivalent to a residence time on DNA of just 1s (*12*). Single GR
molecules appear to bind as a homodimer complex on DNA, and slower Mig1 off rates may
suggest higher order multivalency, consistent with Mig1 clusters.

Estimating nearest-neighbor distances between Mig1 promoter sites in the S. 459 cerevisiae genome from the 3C model (Figure. 6D) indicates 20-30% are <50 nm, small 460 enough to enable different DNA segments to be linked though intersegment transfer by a 461 single cluster (6, 9). This separation would also enable simultaneous binding of >1 target 462 (Figure. 6E). The proportion of loci separated by <50nm is also consistent with the estimated 463 proportion of immobile foci and with the proportion of cluster-occupied sites predicted from 464 our structural model. Such multivalency chimes with the tetrameric binding of prokaryotic 465 LacI leading to similar low promoter off rates (4). 466

Measuring the variation of electrostatic charge of residues for the amino acid 467 sequences of both Mig1 and Msn2 (Figure. 6F) we see that the regions in the vicinity of the 468 Zn finger motifs for both proteins have a strong net positive charge compared to the rest of 469 the molecule. If these regions project outwards from a multivalent transcription factor cluster, 470 as per our hypothesized cluster model (Figure. 6E), then the cluster surface could interact 471 electrostatically with the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA to enable a 1D 472 sliding diffusion of the protein along a DNA strand, such that the on rate for the protein-DNA 473 interaction is largely sequence-independent in regards to the DNA. Particular details of this 474 type of transcription factor binding to non-specific regions of DNA have been investigated at 475 476 the level of single transcription factor molecules using computational simulations (55), and suggest initial recognition is most likely via the DNA minor grooves where the phosphates 477 are closer to each other, followed by subsequent interactions between exposed residues on the 478 479 transcription factor surface and nitrogen bases. This lack of sequence dependence for binding is consistent with observations from an earlier live cell single-molecule tracking study of the 480 TetR repressor (48). We also see experimental evidence for this in our study here, in that we 481 find that the best fit model to account for fluorescence images of the nucleus under high 482 glucose conditions is a combination of occupancy of clusters at the target genes (i.e. sequence 483 specific) with random occupancy to other parts of the genome away from the target genes 484 (i.e. sequence non-specific). Ultimate binding to the gene target once encountered could then 485 be mediated through sequence-specific interactions via the Zn finger motif itself. 486

If the haploid genome of budding yeast, containing 12.1Mbp, is modeled as a flexible 487 'virtual' tube of length 4.1mm (12.1 x 10^6 x 0.34nm for each bp separation parallel to the 488 double helix axis of DNA) with a circular cross-section, then we can calculate that the 489 diameter of the tube required in principle to completely occupy the volume of a typical yeast 490 nucleus (roughly a sphere of diameter $\sim 2\mu m$) is 30-40nm. This tube diameter, in the absence 491 of local contributions from histone packing, is thus a rough estimate for the effective average 492 separation of DNA strands in the nucleus (i.e. the 'mesh size'), which is very close to the 493 diameter of clusters we observe. A multivalent transcription factor cluster thus may have only 494 a relatively short distance to diffuse through the nucleoplasm if it dissociates from one DNA 495 strand and then rebinds electrostatically to the next nearest strand, thereby facilitating 496 497 intersegmental transfer. In this scheme, the association interaction between clusters and neighboring DNA strands is predominantly electrostatic and therefore largely, one might 498 speculate, sequence-independent. However, sequence specificity may be relevant in 499 generating higher-order packed structures of chromatin resulting in localized differences to 500 the nearest neighbor separation of different DNA strands, which could therefore influence the 501 rate at which a cluster transfers from one strand to another. In addition, there may also be 502 localized effects of DNA topology that affect transcription factor binding, which in turn 503

would be expected to have some sequence specificity (55). Also, the off rates of cluster
interactions with DNA may be more dependent on the specific sequence. For example, one
might anticipate that the dissociation of translocating clusters would be influenced by the
presence of obstacles, such as other proteins, already bound to DNA which in turn may have
sequence specificity. In particular, bound RNA polymerases present during gene transcription
at sequence specific sites could act as roadblocks to kick off translocating clusters from a
DNA strand, to again facilitate intersegmental transfer.

Several previous experimental studies report observations consistent with 511 512 intersegmental transfer relevant to our study here. For example, an investigation using singlemolecule tracking indicated that transcription factor search times were increased if 513 intersegmental transfer was specifically abrogated (56). These observations are consistent 514 515 with other experiments that selectively enabled intersegmental transfer by altering DNA conformation (57, 58). Also, they are consistent with biochemical measurements that 516 transcription factors spend a high fraction of their time bound to DNA, as opposed to being in 517 solution (56, 59). Furthermore, other light microscopy studies report direct experimental 518 evidence for intersegmental transfer (6, 60). 519

520 It is well-established from multiple studies that 3D diffusion of transcription factors in the nucleoplasm alone cannot account for the relatively rapid search times observed 521 experimentally to find specific targets in the genome (3-6). Constraining the dimensionality 522 of diffusion to just 1D, as in the sliding of weakly bound transcription factors on DNA, 523 speeds up this process, but is limited by encountering obstacles already bound to the DNA 524 which potentially result in dissociation of the transcription factor and then slow 3D diffusion 525 in the nucleoplasm. In our system, we speculate that the clusters we observe can slide on 526 527 DNA in a largely sequence-independent manner but then can cross to neighboring DNA strands in a process likely to have some sequence dependence when an obstacle is 528 encountered, and thus predominantly circumvent the requirement for slow 3D diffusion in the 529 530 nucleoplasm. Minimizing the contribution from the slowest component in the search process 531 may therefore result in an overall reduction in the amount of time required for a given transcription factor to find its gene target. 532

Extensive bioinformatics analysis of proteome disorder across a range of species 533 suggests a sharp increase from prokaryotes to eukaryotes (61), speculatively due to the 534 prokaryotic absence of cell compartments and regulated ubiquitination mechanisms lowering 535 protection of unfolded disordered structures from degradation (62). Our discovery in yeast 536 may reveal a eukaryotic adaptation that stabilizes gene expression. The slow off rate we 537 measure would result in insensitivity to high frequency stochastic noise which could 538 otherwise result in false positive detection and an associated wasteful expression response. 539 We also note that long turnover times may facilitate modulation between co-regulatory 540 factors by maximizing overlap periods, as suggested previously for Mig1/Msn2 (18). 541

Our results suggest that cellular depletion forces due to crowding enable cluster 542 formation. Crowding is known to increase oligomerization reaction rates for low association 543 proteins but slow down fast reactions due to an associated decrease in diffusion rates, and 544 have a more pronounced effect on higher order multimers rather than dimers (36). It is 545 technically challenging to study depletion forces in vivo, however there is growing in vitro 546 and *in silico* evidence of the importance of molecular crowding in cell biology. A particularly 547 striking effect was observed previously in the formation of clusters of the bacterial cell 548 division protein FtsZ in the presence of two crowding proteins – hemoglobin and BSA (63). 549 Higher order decamers and multimers were observed in the presence of crowding agents and 550 these structures are thought to account for as much as 1/3 of the in vivo FtsZ content. 551

552 Similarly, two recent yeast studies of the high-osmolarity glycerol (HOG) pathway also 553 suggest a dependence on gene expression mediated by molecular crowding (64, 65).

The range of GFP labeled Mig1 cluster diameters in vivo of 15-50nm is smaller than the 554 80nm diameter of yeast nuclear pore complexes (66), not prohibitively large as to prevent 555 intact clusters from translocating across the nuclear envelope. An earlier *in vitro* study using 556 sucrose gradient centrifugation suggested a Stokes radius of 4.8 nm for the Mig1 fraction, i.e. 557 558 diameter 9.6nm, large for a Mig1 monomer (49) whose molecular weight is 55.5kDa, e.g. that of monomeric bovine serum albumin (BSA) at a molecular weight of 66kDa is closer to 559 3.5nm (67). The authors ascribed this effect to a hypothetical elongated monomeric structure 560 for Mig1. The equivalent Stokes radius for GFP has been measured at 2.4nm (68), i.e. 561 diameter 4.8nm. Also, for our Mig1-GFP construct there are two amino acids residues in the 562 linker region between the Mig1 and GFP sequences (i.e. additional length 0.7-0.8nm). Thus 563 the anticipated hydrodynamic diameter of Mig1-GFP is 15-16nm. The mean observed ~7-mer 564 cluster diameter from Slimfield data is ~30nm, which, assuming a spherical packing 565 geometry, suggests a subunit diameter for single Mig1-GFP molecules of $\sim 30/7^{1/3} \approx 15.6$ nm, 566 consistent with that predicted from the earlier hydrodynamic expectations. Using Stokes law 567 this estimated hydrodynamic radius indicates an effective viscosity for the cytoplasm and 568 nucleoplasm as low as 2-3cP, compatible with earlier live cell estimates on mammalian cells 569 using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) (69). 570

One alternative hypothesis to that of intrinsically disordered sequences mediating Mig1 571 cluster formation is the existence of a hypothetical cofactor protein to Mig1. However, such a 572 cofactor would be invisible on our Slimfield assay but would result in a larger measured 573 574 hydrodynamic radius than we estimate from fluorescence imaging, which would be manifest as larger apparent viscosity values than those we observe. Coupled to observations of Msn2 575 forming clusters also, and the lack of any reported stable cofactor candidate to date, limits the 576 577 cofactor hypothesis. Pull down assays do suggest that promoter bound Mig1 consists of a complex which includes the accessory proteins Ssn6 and Tup1 (35), however this would not 578 explain the observation of Mig1 clusters outside the nucleus. 579

There may be other advantages in having a different strategy between S. cerevisiae and 580 E. coli to achieve lowered transcriptional regulator off rate. A clue to these may lie in 581 phosphorylation. We discovered that at least 50% of candidate serine or threonine 582 phosphorylation sites in Mig1 and Msn2 lie in regions with high intrinsic disorder, which 583 may have higher sequence-unspecific binding affinities to DNA (40, 41). Thus 584 phosphorylation at sites within these regions may potentially disrupt binding to DNA, similar 585 to observed changes to protein-protein affinity being coupled to protein phosphorylation state 586 587 (46). Previous studies indicate that dephosphorylated Mig1 binds to its targets (70). Thus, intrinsic disorder may be required for bistability in affinity of Mig1/Msn2 to DNA. 588

Wide scale bioinformatics screening reveals a significant prevalence of intrinsic disorder 589 590 in eukaryotic transcription factors (71). Our discovery is the first, to our knowledge, to make a link between predicted disorder and the ability to form higher-order clusters in transcription 591 factors. Thus, our results address the longstanding question of why there is so much predicted 592 disorder in eukaryote transcription factors. Our observations that protein interactions based 593 on weak intracellular forces and molecular crowding has direct functional relevance may 594 stimulate new research lines in several areas of cell biology. For example, our findings may 595 596 have important mechanistic implications for other aggregation processes mediated through intrinsic disorder interactions, such as those of amyloid plaques found in neurodegenerative 597 disorders including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases (72). Increased understanding of 598 599 the clustering mechanism may not only be of value in understanding such diseases, but could enable future novel synthetic biology applications to manufacture gene circuits with, for 600 example, a range of bespoke response times. 601

602

603 Materials and methods

604

605 Strain construction and characterization

We developed Mig1 fluorescent protein strains based on strain YSH1351 (16) using eGFP in 606 607 the first instance and also mGFP/GFPmut3 designed to inhibit oligomerization (23), and photoswitchable mEos2 (24). Mig1-mGFP and Mig1-mEos2 fusions were constructed by 608 introducing into YSH1351 (BY4741 wild type) cells the *mGFP-HIS3* or *mEOs2-HIS3* PCR 609 fragment flanked on its 5' end with 50bp sequence of *MIG1* 3' end and 50bp downstream of 610 MIG1 excluding the STOP codon. The mEOs2-HIS3 and mGFP-HIS3 fragment was 611 amplified from mEOS-his plasmid (GeneArt, Life Technologies) and pmGFP-S plasmid 612 613 designed for this study by inserting the mGFP sequence into plasmid YDp-H. Modified strains in which the SNF1 gene was deleted, $snf1\Delta$, were prepared by compromising the gene 614 with an auxotrophic marker by providing the LEU2 fragment amplified from plasmid YDp-L 615 616 and flanked with 50bp of SNF1 upstream and downstream sequence on 5' and 3' ends, respectively, directly into cells. Strains in which Snf1 kinase activity can be inhibited by 617 25µM 1NM-PP1 in DMSO were prepared by introducing into cells a plasmid with an ATP 618 619 analog-sensitive version of Snf1 with *1132G* mutation (73). DMSO itself has been shown previously not to affect Mig1's behavior under different glucose conditions (17) similar to 620 our own findings (Figure. 2 – Figure supplement 2). All transformations were performed 621 using the lithium acetate protocol (74). 622

623 Cell doubling times of all strains were calculated (75) (Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 624 2A) based on OD₆₀₀ values obtained during cultivation in media supplemented with 4% or 625 0.2% glucose (Bioscreen analyser C). We quantified mRNA relative expression of the 626 *MIG1* gene using qPCR against the constitutive actin gene *ACT1* in the wild type and the 627 Mig1-mGFP strain in cells pre-grown in 4% glucose and then shifted to elevated (4%) 628 and depleted (0.2%) extracellular glucose for 2 h. mRNA isolation and cDNA synthesis were

629 performed as described previously (76).

For Msn2-GFP experiments we used the YSH2350 strain (*MATa msn2-GFP-HIS3 nrd1- mCherry-hphNT1 MET LYS*) in BY4741 background.

632633 Protein production and purification

His-tagged *mCherry*, *eGFP* and *mGFP* genes were amplified by PCR and cloned into pET vectors. An expression pRSET A plasmid containing 6xHis-Mig1-mGFP was obtained commercially (GeneArt, Life Technologies). *Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) carrying the expression plasmid was grown in LB with 100µg/ml ampicillin and 34µg/mlchloramphenicol at 37°C to OD₆₀₀ 0.7. Protein expression was induced by adding isopropylβ-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at final concentration of 1mM for 3h at 30°C. Cells were suspended in 50mM NaH₂PO₄, 10mM Tris, 300mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 0.2mM PMSF,

- 641 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0, and lysed by sonication or by three passages through a 642 chilled Emulsiflex (Avestin). Extracts were cleared (24,000g, 30min) and filtered (pore
- 643 diameter $0.45\mu m$; Millipore, Bedford). All proteins were purified using Ni²⁺ affinity
- 644 chromatography on a 5ml HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare). Mig1-mGFP was eluted with
- a linear gradient 0 0.4 M imidazole in lysis buffer. Mig1-mGFP was further purified by
- 646 size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300, GE Healthcare) and
- 647 concentrated (50 kDa molecular weight cutoff VIVASPIN 20 concentrator). Purity of the
- sample was confirmed by Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gels (Simply Blue Safe Stain, LifeTechnologies).
- 650

651 Media and growth conditions

652 Cells from frozen stocks were grown on plates with standard YPD media (10 g/l yeast 653 extract, 20 g/l bacto-peptone, 20 g/l agar) supplemented with 4% glucose (w/v) at 30° C 654 overnight. For the liquid cultures, the YPD was prepared as above but without agar, and the 655 cells were grown at 30° C while shaking (180 rpm).

For transformants that carried a plasmid with mutated SNF1 (pSNF1-I132G) or PP7-656 2xGFP (pDZ276), minimal YNB media with -URA amino acid supplement was applied. For 657 the growth rate experiments cells were grown on 100 well plates in YNB with complete 658 amino acid supplement and 4% glucose (w/v) until logarithmic phase, subcultured into fresh 659 medium on a new 100 well plate and grown until logarithmic phase again. 10 µl of each 660 culture was resuspended in 250 µl of fresh YNB medium with 4% or 0.2% glucose (w/v) on a 661 new plate and cultivated in Bioscreen analyser C for 96 h at 30°C or 22°C. OD measurements 662 at 600 nm were taken every 10 min with prior shaking. Each strain was represented in 663 sextuplicates. 664

For microscopy experiments on the BY4741 wild type and/or cells with genetically 665 integrated fluorescent proteins, minimal YNB media (1.7 g/l yeast nitrogen base without 666 667 amino acids and (NH₄)₂SO₄, 5 g/l (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.79 g/l complete amino acid supplement as indicated by manufacturer) with appropriate glucose concentrations was used. In brief, cells 668 were first streaked onto YPD plates, grown overnight at 30°C prior to culturing in liquid 669 670 minimal YNB media with complete amino acid supplement and 4% glucose overnight, then sub-cultured into fresh YNB with 4% glucose for 4h with shaking at 30°C. Cultures were 671 spun at 3,000rpm, re-suspended into fresh YNB with (4%) or without (0%) glucose, 672 immobilized in 1µl spots onto an 1% agarose well perfused with YNB minimal media with 673 an appropriate glucose concentration enclosed between a plasma-cleaned BK7 glass 674 microscope coverslip and slide, which permitted cells to continue to grow and divide (20, 21) 675

- 676 while being observed for up to several hours if required. Images were acquired not longer
- than 2 hours after the last media switch.

679 SDS-PAGE

50 ml cultures of YSH1703 transformed with centromeric pMig1-HA and pSNF1-I132G-680 TAP or pSNF1-TAP plasmids were grown until mid-log phase in yeast nitrogen base, 4% 681 glucose, uracil and histidine deficient. Each culture was separated into two new cultures with 682 4% and 0.05% glucose, respectively, and incubated for 30 min. The following procedure was 683 adapted from Bendrioua et al (16). Cells were harvested by centrifugation (3,000rpm, 50s), 684 suspended in 1 ml of 0.1M NaOH for 5 min and spun down. Pellets were suspended in 2 ml 685 of 2M NaOH with 7% β- mercaptoethanol for 2 min and then 50% trichloroacetic acid was 686 687 added. Samples were vortexed and spun down at 13,000rpm. The pellets were washed in 0.5 ml of 1M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), resuspended in 50 µl of 1x SDS sample buffer (62.5 mM 688 Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 3% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.004% bromophenol 689 blue) and boiled for 5 min. The protein extracts were obtained by centrifuging at the maximal 690 speed and collecting the supernatants. For western blotting, 50 µg of extracted proteins were 691 resolved on a Criterion TGX 10% precast polyacrylamide gel, then transferred onto a 692 nitrocellulose membrane (Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer Pack, Bio-Rad) using Trans-Blot Turbo 693 Transfer System (Bio-Rad). After transfer, the membrane was blocked in Odyssey Blocking 694 buffer (LI-COR Biosciences). Mig1 was detected using primary mouse anti-HA (1:2000) 695 696 antibodies (Santa Cruz), then secondary goat anti-mouse IRDye-800CW (1:5000) antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences). The result was visualized using an infrared imager (Odyssey, LI-COR 697 Biosciences), 800nm channel. 698 699

700

Native PAGE 701

- A 50 ml culture of the YSH2862 strain was grown until mid-log phase in rich media with 4% 702
- glucose, then, 25 ml of the culture was transferred into fresh YPD with 4% glucose, and the 703
- rest into YPD with 0.05% glucose for 30 min. The cultures were harvested by centrifugation, 704
- suspended in 0.1ml of solubilization buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1x 705
- protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 0.1% Triton-X100). 400µl of glass beads were added, and 706
- 707 cells were broken by FastPrep, 6m/s, 20 s. Protein extracts were obtained by adding 150 µl of
- solubilization buffer, centrifugation at 13,000 rpm, 5min and collecting the supernatant. 708 Protein quantification was performed by using Bradford with BSA standard (Bio-Rad). 250 709
- 710 µg of total protein extracts were run on a Criterion TGX Stain Free 10% precast
- polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad). Samples were diluted 1:1 with 2x Native Sample Buffer (Bio-711
- Rad). Electrophoresis was performed at 4^oC starting at 100V until the bromophenol blue line 712
- reached the end of the gel. The gel was transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Trans-713
- Blot Turbo Transfer Pack, Bio-Rad) using Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad). 714
- After transfer, the membrane was blocked in Odyssey Blocking buffer (LI-COR 715
- Biosciences), analyzed by immunoblotting with mouse anti-GFP (1:500) antibodies (Roche) 716
- and visualized with goat anti-mouse IRDye-800CW (1:5,000) antibodies (LI-COR 717
- Biosciences) by using an infrared imager (Odyssey, LI-COR Biosciences), 800nm channel. 718
- As a molecular weight reference, a NativeMark Unstained Protein Standards (Invitrogen) 719
- 720 were used.
- 721

722 **Slimfield microscopy**

723 A dual-color bespoke laser excitation single-molecule fluorescence microscope was used (21,

- 27, 77) utilizing narrow epifluorescence excitation of 10µm full width at half maximum 724
- (FWHM) in the sample plane to generate Slimfield illumination. GFP and mCherry excitation 725
- 726 used co-aligned linearly polarized 488 nm and 561 nm wavelength 50 mW lasers (Coherent
- Obis) respectively which could be attenuated independently via neutral density filters 727
- followed by propagation through an achromatic $\lambda/2$ plate to rotate the plane of polarization 728
- 729 prior to separation into two independent paths generated by splitting into orthogonal polarization components by a polarization splitting cube to enable simultaneous Slimfield
- 730 illumination and a focused laser bleach illumination path for fluorescence recovery after 731
- photobleaching (FRAP) when required. The two paths were reformed into a single common 732
- path via a second polarization cube, circularized for polarization via an achromatic $\lambda/4$ plate 733
- with fast axis orientated at 45° to the polarization axes of each path and directed at ~6 W/cm^2 734
- excitation intensity onto the sample mounted on an xyz nanostage (Mad City Labs) via a dual-735
- 736 pass green/red dichroic mirror centered at long-pass wavelength 560nm and emission filters with 25nm bandwidths centered at 525nm and 594nm (Chroma).
- 737
- Fluorescence emissions were captured by a 1.49NA oil immersion objective lens 738 739 (Nikon) and split into green and red detection channels using a bespoke color splitter utilizing a long-pass dichroic mirror with wavelength cut-off of 565nm prior to imaging each channel 740
- onto separate halves of the same EMCCD camera detector (iXon DV860-BI, Andor 741
- Technology, UK) at a pixel magnification of 80 nm/pixel using 5ms camera exposure time. 742 We confirmed negligible measured crosstalk between GFP and mCherry signals to red and 743 green channels respectively, using purified GFP and mCherry sampled in an *in vitro* surface 744
- 745 immobilization assay (details below).
- Three color microscopy was performed on the same microscope, using a 50mW 532nm 746 wavelength laser (Obis) to excite mKO2, coupled into the same optics as before with the 747 748 addition of a 532nm notch rejection filter (Semrock) in both channels of the imaging path.
- This allowed 1mW of laser excitation at the sample. Due to the high copy number of plasmid 749
- expressed PP7-2xGFP and the 48 RNA loci, the 488nm wavelength laser was attenuated to 750

 $~~~10\mu W$. Each fluorophore was separately excited in the following order: mCherry, mKO2

and GFP to prevent crosstalk. mCherry and mKO2 both emit in the 'red' channel of the

microscope, while GFP appears in the 'green' with very limited crosstalk.

754

755 Microfluidics control of single cell imaging

756 To investigate time-resolved glucose concentration-dependent changes in Mig1-GFP

757 localization in individual yeast cells, we used bespoke microfluidics and our bespoke control

software *CellBild* (LabVIEW, National Instruments), enabling cell-to-cell imaging in

response to environmental glucose changes. *CellBild* controlled camera acquisition

synchronized to flow-cell environmental switches via a syringe pump containing an alternate
glucose environment. Microfluidic flow-chambers were based on an earlier 4-channel design
(78).

Prior to each experiment flow-chambers were wetted and pre-treated for 15min with 763 1 mg/ml of concanavalin A (ConA) which binds to the glass surface of the plasma cleaned 764 flow-chamber. Cells were introduced via a side channel and were left to bind ConA for 765 15min to immobilize cells on the surface. Any remaining ConA and unbound cells were 766 washed out and a steady flow of YNB with 0% glucose provided to one of the central 767 channels by gravity feed. A syringe pump synchronized with image acquisition introduced 768 YNB with 4% glucose in the second central channel. The pumped alternate environment 769 reaches cells within 1-2s at a flow rate of 10 µl/min, enabling rapid change between two 770 different glucose concentrations. 771

Slimfield imaging was performed on a similar bespoke microscope setup at comparable
laser excitation intensities and spectral filtering prior to imaging onto a Photometrics *Evolve Delta 512* EMCCD camera at 200 frames per second. Alternating frame laser excitation
(ALEX) was used to minimize any autofluorescence contamination in the red channel
introduced by the blue excitation light.

Around 1-4 cells were imaged in a single field of view for each glucose exchange. The
same flow chamber was used for multiple fields of view such that each cell analyzed may
have experienced up to four glucose exchange cycles.

780

781 Foci detection, tracking and stoichiometry determination

Foci were automatically detected using software written in MATLAB (Mathworks) (25), 782 lateral localization \sim 40nm, enabling estimates of D and stoichiometry. Our bespoke foci 783 detection and tracking software objectively identifies candidate bright foci by a combination 784 of pixel intensity thresholding and image transformation to yield bright pixel coordinates. 785 786 The intensity centroid and characteristic intensity, defined as the sum of the pixel intensities inside a 5 pixel radius region of interest around the foci minus the local background and 787 corrected for non-uniformity in the excitation field are determined by iterative Gaussian 788 789 masking. If the signal-to-noise ratio of the foci, defined as the characteristic intensity per pixel/background standard deviation per pixel, is >0.4 it is accepted and fitted with a 2D 790 radial Gaussian function to determine its sigma width, which our simulations indicate single-791 molecule sensitivity under typical in vivo imaging conditions (27). Foci in consecutive image 792 frames within a single point spread function (PSF) width, and not different in brightness or 793 sigma width by more than a factor of two, are linked into the same track. The microscopic 794 diffusion coefficient D is then estimated for each accepted foci track using mean square 795 displacement analysis, in addition to several other mobility parameters. 796

Cell and nuclear boundaries were segmented from GFP and mCherry fluorescence
 images respectively using a relative threshold pixel intensity value trained on simulated
 images of uniform fluorescence in idealized spherical compartments. An optimized threshold

value of 0.3 times the mean compartment fluorescence intensity segmented the boundary towithin 0.5 pixels.

The characteristic brightness of a single GFP molecule was determined directly from *in* 802 vivo data and corroborated using in vitro immobilized protein assays (22). The intensity of 803 tracked fluorescent foci in live cells was measured over time as described above (Figure. 1-804 Figure Supplement 3). These followed an approximately exponential photobleach decay 805 function of intensity with respect to time. Every oligomeric Mig1-GFP complex as it 806 photobleaches to zero intensity will emit the characteristic single GFP intensity value, I_{GFP} , 807 i.e. the brightness of a single GFP molecule, given in our case by the modal value of all foci 808 809 intensities over time, and can potentially bleach in integer steps of this value at each sampling time point. This value of I_{GFP} was further verified by Fourier spectral analysis of the pairwise 810 distance distribution (22) of all foci intensities which yields the same value to within 811 812 measurement error in our system.

All foci tracks found within 70 image frames of the start of laser illumination were 813 included in the analysis and were corrected for photobleaching by weighting the measured 814 foci intensity I at a time t following the start of laser illumination with a function $\exp(+t/t_b)$ to 815 816 correct for the exponential photobleach decay $I_0 \exp(-t/t_b)$, of each intensity trace with a fixed time constant, where I_0 is the initial unbleached intensity. This photobleach time constant t_b 817 was determined from exponential decay fits to the foci intensities and whole cell intensities 818 819 over time to be 40 ± 0.6 ms. Stoichiometries were obtained by dividing the photobleach estimate for the initial intensity I_0 of a given foci by the characteristic single GFP molecule 820 brightness value I_{GFP} . 821

822 Autofluorescence correction was applied to pool quantification by subtracting the red channel image from the green channel image multiplied by a correlation factor. By 823 comparing wild type and GFP cell images we confirmed that when only the GFP exciting 824 825 488 nm wavelength laser was used the green channel image contained fluorescence intensity from GFP and autofluorescence, while the red channel contains only autofluorescence pixels, 826 consistent with expectations from transmission spectra of known autofluorescent components 827 in yeast cells. We measured the red channel autofluorescence pixels to be linearly 828 proportional to the green channel autofluorescence pixels. The scaling factor between 829 channels was determined by Slimfield imaging of the wild type yeast strain (i.e. non GFP) 830 under the same conditions and comparing intensity values pixel-by-pixel in each channel. A 831 linear relationship between pixels was found with scaling factor of 0.9 ± 0.1 . 832

Copy numbers of Mig1-GFP of the pool component were estimated using a previously 833 developed CoPro algorithm (27). In brief, the cytoplasmic and nuclear pools were modelled 834 835 as uniform fluorescence over spherical cells and nuclei using experimentally measured radii. A model PSF was integrated over these two volumes to create model nuclear and cytoplasmic 836 images and then their relative contributions to the camera background and autofluorescence 837 838 corrected GFP intensity image determined by solving a set of linear equations for each pixel. Dividing the contributions by the characteristic single GFP molecule intensity and correcting 839 for out-of-plane foci yields the pool concentration. 840

Stoichiometry distributions were rendered as objective kernel density estimations (22)
using a Gaussian kernel with bandwidth optimized for normally distributed data using
standard MATLAB routines.

844

845 Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM)

To photoswitch Mig1-mEos2, a 405 nm wavelength laser (Coherent Obis), attenuated to

 $\sim 1 \text{ mW/ cm}^2$ was used in conjunction with the 488 nm and 561 nm lasers on the Slimfield

- microscope, similar to previous super-resolution imaging of yeast cells (79). The 405 nm
- laser light causes mEos2 to photoswitch from a green (excitable via the 488 nm laser) to a red

- (excitable by the 561 nm laser) fluorescent state. Using low intensity 405 nm light generates
- photoactive fluorophore foci, photobleached by the 561 nm laser at a rate which results in an
- approximately steady-state concentration density in each live cell studied. The bright foci
- were tracked using the same parameters and criteria for spot acceptance as the Slimfield data. The tracks were then used to generate a super-resolved image heat map with 20nm pixel size
- The tracks were then used to generate a super-resolved image heat map with 20nm pixel size by the summation of 2D Gaussian functions at each sub-pixel. Here, we assumed a sigma
- width of the 2D Gaussian function of 40nm to match the measured lateral precision following
- automated particle tracking of Mig1-mEos2 foci (27).
- 858

859 Fluorescent protein brightness characterization

- We used a surface-immobilization assay described previously (21, 27) employing antibody 860 conjugation to immobilize single molecules of GFP respectively onto the surface of plasma-861 862 cleaned BK7 glass microscope coverslips and imaged using the same buffer medium and imaging conditions as for live cell Slimfield experiments, resulting in integrated single-863 molecule peak intensity values for mGFP of $4,600 \pm 3,000$ (\pm half width half maximum, 864 HWHM) counts. Similar experiments on unmodified purified Clontech eGFP generated peak 865 866 intensity values of $4,700 \pm 2,000$ counts, statistically identical to that of mGFP (Student *t*-test, p = 0.62) with no significant indication of multimerization effects from the measured 867 distribution of foci intensity values. Similarly, Slimfield imaging and foci stoichiometry 868 analysis on Mig1-mGFP and Mig1-eGFP cell strains were compared in vivo under high and 869 low glucose conditions in two separate cell strains, resulting in distributions which were 870 statistically identical (Pearson's χ^2 test comparing KDEs, Figure. 1 - Figure Supplement 2E 871 872 and F). These results indicated no measurable differences between multimerization state or single-molecule foci intensity between mGFP and eGFP which enabled direct comparison 873 between Mig1-eGFP cell strain data obtained from preliminary experiments here and from 874 875 previous studies (16).
- Maturation effects of mCherry and GFP were investigated by adding mRNA translation 876 inhibitor antibiotic cycloheximide, final concentration 100 µg/ml, for 1h (80), photobleaching 877 cells, then monitoring any recovery in fluorescence as a metric for newly matured fluorescent 878 material in the cell. Cells were prepared for microscopy as before but using cycloheximide in 879 all subsequent preparation and imaging media and imaged using a commercial mercury-arc 880 excitation fluorescence microscope Zeiss Axiovert 200M (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging) onto an 881 ApoTome camera using a lower excitation intensity than for Slimfield imaging but a larger 882 field of view, enabling a greater number of cells to be imaged simultaneously. 883
- Surface-immobilized cells using strain YSH2863 were photobleached by continuous 884 885 illumination for between 3min 40s to 4min until dark using separate filter sets 38HE and 43HE for GFP and mCherry excitation, respectively. Fluorescence images were acquired at 886 subsequent time intervals up to 120min and analyzed using AxioVision software (Figure. S6). 887 The background-corrected total cellular fluorescence intensity was quantified at each time 888 point for each cell using ImageJ software. Comparison between Mig1-GFP fluorescence 889 signal and the green channel signal from the parental strain BY4741, and the Nrd1-mCherry 890 signal and the red channel signal from the parental strain, indicate fluorescence recovery after 891 correction above the level of any autofluorescence contributions of <15% for GFP and 892 mCherry over the timescale of our experiments, consistent with previous estimates of in vivo 893 maturation times for GFP and mCherry (21, 22, 81). 894
- 895

896 Characterizing Mig1-GFP clusters in vitro

⁸⁹⁷ Using Slimfield microscopy under the same imaging conditions as for live cell microscopy ⁸⁹⁸ we measured the fluorescent foci intensity of 1μ g/ml solutions of purified Mig1-mGFP and

mGFP using the normal imaging buffer of PBS, compared with the imaging buffer

- supplemented with 1kDa molecular weight PEG at a concentration of 10% (w/v) used to
- 901 reproduce cellular depletion forces (*36*, *75*).
- 902

903 Circular dichroism measurements

Purified Mig1-mGFP was placed in 25 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.0, by buffer exchange procedure with a Pur-A-Lyser Maxi dialysis Kit (Sigma Aldrich) for 3h at 4^{0} C with constant stirring in 500 ml buffer. Circular dichroism measurements were performed on a Jasco J810 circular dichromator with Peltier temperature control and Biologic SFM300 stop-flow accessory on 0.16mg/ml Mig1-mGFP samples with or without 20% PEG-1000 at 20⁰C, from 260 to 200 nm, a 2 nm band width, 2 sec response time, at the speed of 100 nm/min. The resulting spectrum represents the average of 5 scans, indicating a typical SD error of ~0.1 mdeg

- ellipticity. Spectra from 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ and 25 mM Na₂HPO₄ with 20% (w/v) PEG were used as a background and subtracted from spectra of Mig1-mGFP without or with 20% (w/v)
- 913 PEG respectively.
- 914

915 Immuno-gold electron microscopy

916 Cells for Mig1-GFP and Msn2-GFP strains as well as the wild type control strain containing no GFP were grown using the same conditions as for Slimfield imaging but pelleted down at 917 the end of growth and prepared for immuno electron microscopy using an adaptation of the 918 919 Tokuyasu cryosectioning method (82) following the same protocol that had been previously optimized for budding yeast cells (83) to generate ~90nm thick cryosections, with the 920 exception that the sections were picked up on a drop of 2.3M sucrose, placed on the grid, then 921 922 floated down on PBS, and then immunolabeled immediately, rather than storing on gelatine as occurred in the earlier protocol. The grids used were nickel, with a formvar/carbon support 923 film. In brief, the immunolabeling protocol used a 0.05M glycine in PBS wash of each 924 925 section for 5 min followed by a block of 10% goat serum in PBS (GS/PBS) pre-filtered through a 0.2 µm diameter filter. Then an incubation of 1 h with the primary antibody of 926 rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (ab6556, Abcam) at 1 in 250 dilution from stock in GS/PBS. Then 927 five 3 min washes in GS/PBS. Then incubation for 45 min with the goat anti-IgG-rabbit 928 secondary antibody labeled with 10nm diameter gold (EM.GAR10, BBI solutions) at a 929 dilution of 1 in 10 from stock. Sections were then washed five more times in GS/PBS prior to 930 chemical fixation in 1% glutaraldehyde in sodium phosphate buffer for 10 min, then washed 931 in dH₂0 five times for 3 min each and negative-stained using methyl cellulose 2% in 0.4% 932 uranyl acetate, and then washed twice more in dH₂0 prior to drying for 10 min. Drop sizes for 933 staining, blocking and washing onto sections were 50 µl, while antibody incubations used 934 935 25 µl drops, all steps performed at room temperatures.

Electron microscopy was performed on these dried sections using a 120kV Tecnai 12 936 BioTWIN (FEI) electron microscope in transmission mode, and imaged onto an SIS 937 938 Megaview III camera. From a total of ~150 control cells containing no GFP we could detect no obvious signs of gold labeling. Using approximately the same number of cells for each of 939 the Mig1-GFP and Msn2-GFP strains all images showed evidence for at least one gold foci 940 941 labeling in the cytoplasm, though labeling was largely absent from the nucleus possibly due to poor antibody accessibility into regions of tightly packed DNA since the combined Stokes 942 radii from the primary and secondary antibodies is comparable to the mean effective DNA 943 944 mesh size in the yeast nucleus of a few tens of nm (see Discussion section). We estimate that the thin cryosections occupy $\sim 2.5\%$ of the volume of an average yeast cell and so based on 945 our copy number estimates from fluorescence microscopy in the accessible cytoplasmic 946 947 compartment the maximum number of GFP available for labelling in each cryosection is ~20 molecules. We observed a range of 1-8 gold foci in total per cell across the GFP datasets and 948 so the overall labelling efficiency in these experiments is low at typically 20% or less. 949

However, we observed 10 cells from a set of ~150 from each of the Mig-GFP and Msn2-GFP
strains (i.e. ~7% of the total) which showed >1 gold foci clustering together inside an area of
effective diameter ~50nm or less, with up to 7 gold foci per cluster being observed.

954 Bioinformatics analysis and structural modeling

Bioinformatics analysis was used to identity candidate promoter sequences in the budding yeast genome. The Mig1 target pattern sequence was identified based on 14 promoter sequences (*37*) using the IUPAC nucleotide code. The entire *S. cerevisiae* S288c genome was scanned in order to find all sequences that matched the pattern. The scanning was performed by RNABOB software (*84*), and collated for any further analysis and identification of the sequences lying within promoter regions. All information regarding *S. cerevisiae* genes was obtained from SGD database (<u>http://yeastgenome.org/</u>).

Using a consensus structural model for the budding yeast chromosome based on 3C 962 data (38) we explored various different models of Mig1 binding to the putative promoter 963 sequence identified from the bioinformatics analysis. We generated simulated images from 964 these models adding experimentally realistic levels of signal and noise, and ran these data 965 through the same foci detection and analysis software as for the real live cell data using 966 identical parameters throughout. We then compared these results to the measured 967 experimental stoichiometry (Figure. 4C). Monomer models assume that a single Mig1 968 molecule binds to a target promoter site, whereas cluster models assume that a cluster 969 970 comprising 7 Mig1 molecules (based on our observations of stoichiometry periodicity) binds a single target promoter. Copy number analysis indicated 190 Mig1 molecules per cell on 971 average associated with foci. In the monomer model (Fig. 4C) all 109 promoter sites were 972 assigned a Mig1 molecule and the remaining 81 Mig1 molecules were placed randomly in the 973 222 remaining Mig1 target binding sites within the rest of the genome. In the DNA cluster 974 975 model (Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1) we randomly assigned the observed 190 Mig1 molecules in foci into just 27 clusters to Mig1 target promoter sites. We also tested two 976 nuclear envelope (NE) variants of both models, to account for the trans-nuclear tracks: here, 977 978 typically ~7 Mig1 were observed translocating from the nucleus to the cytoplasm at glucose (+) within the microscope's depth of field; extrapolating this value over the whole nucleus 979 this indicates ~130 Mig1 molecules within the nucleus but less than a single PSF width from 980 the nuclear envelope prior to export to the cytoplasm. We simulated this effect using either 981 130 Mig1 molecules as Mig1 monomers or as 18 (i.e. ~130/7) 7-mer clusters at random 3D 982 coordinates at the simulated nuclear envelope position in the 3C model. Finally, to generate 983 the best fit Mig1 cluster model, we obtained an optimized fit to the data with a mixed 984 985 population model with 75% of cells in the NE cluster model and 25% in the DNA cluster model. We note here that the monomer model can produce higher apparent stoichiometry due 986 to the increased density of resulting foci (although the same density of Mig1). 987

We used bioinformatics to investigate the extent of intrinsic disorder in the amino acid sequence of budding yeast Mig1 and Msn2 proteins as well as the *E. coli lac* repressor LacI, employing the Predictor of Natural Disordered Regions (PONDR) algorithm (85) (online tool http://www.pondr.com/cgi-bin/PONDR/pondr.cgi) with a VL-XT algorithm. We also used the secondary structure prediction algorithm of PyMOL

(http://www.pymolwiki.org/index.php/Dss) to highlight disordered and structured regions and
display the unfolded protein chain, and used PSI-BLAST multiple sequence alignment to
determine conserved structural features of Mig1 for the Zn finger motif in combination with
the DISOPRED (62) algorithm as a comparison to PONDR, which produced very similar
results (online tool http://www.yeastrc.org/pdr/).

998

953

999

1000 Oligomerization state of Mig1-GFP in the 'pool'

Experimental *in vitro* assays of surface immobilized GFP coupled to simulations trained on these single-molecule intensity measurements but using noise levels comparable to *in vivo* cellular imaging conditions (27) indicate single-molecule sensitivity of GFP detection under our millisecond imaging conditions. However, if the nearest neighbor separation of individual GFP 'foci' are less than the optical resolution limit *w* of our microscope (which we measure as ~230 nm for GFP imaging) then distinct fluorescent foci will not be detected and instead will be manifest as a diffusive 'pool'.

1008 If each GFP 'foci' in the pool has a mean stoichiometry *S* then the mean number of GFP 1009 foci, *F*, in the pool is n_{pool}/S and the 'pool' condition for nearest neighbor foci separation *s* 1010 indicates that s < w.

1011 The estimated range of mean total pool copy number from nucleus and cytoplasm 1012 combined, n_{pool} , is ~590-1,100 molecules depending on extracellular glucose conditions. 1013 Approximating the cell volume as equal to the combined volumes of all uniformly separated 1014 foci in the pool (equal to the total number of foci multiplied by the volume of an equivalent 1015 sphere of radius *r*) indicates that $F.4\pi r^3/3 = 4\pi d^3/3$, thus $r = d/F^{1/3}$, where we use the mean 1016 measured cell diameter *d* of ~5 µm.

1017 However, mobile foci with a microscopic diffusion coefficient *D* will diffuse a mean 1018 two-dimensional distance *b* in focal plane of $(4D.\Delta t)^{1/2}$ in a camera sampling time window Δt 1019 of 5 ms. Using $D \sim 6 \ \mu\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ as a lower limit based on the measured diffusion of low 1020 stoichiometry cytoplasmic Mig1-GFP foci detected indicates $b \sim 340$ nm so the movement-1021 corrected estimate for *s* is *r*-*b*, thus *s* < *w* indicates that r < b+w, or $d/F^{1/3} < b+w$.

1022 Therefore, $d(S/n_{pool})^{1/3} < b+w$, and $S < n_{pool}((b+w)/d)^3$. Using ~590-1,100 molecules from 1023 the measured mean range of n_{pool} indicates that the upper limit for S is in the range 0.8-1.4; in 1024 other words, Mig1-GFP foci in the pool are consistent with being a monomer.

1026 Analysis of the mobility of foci

For each accepted foci track the mean square displacement (MSD) was calculated from the optimized intensity centroid at time *t* of (x(t),y(t)) assuming a tracks of *N* consecutive image frames at a time interval $\tau = n\Delta t$ is (86, 87) where *n* is a positive integer is:

$$MSD(\tau) = MSD(n\Delta t) = \frac{1}{N-1-n} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1-n} \left[x(i\Delta t + n\Delta t) - x(i\Delta t) \right]^2 + \left[y(i\Delta t + n\Delta t) - y(i\Delta t) \right]^2$$

= 4D\tau + 4\sigma^2

1032

1031

1025

Here σ is the lateral (xy) localization precision which we estimate as ~40 nm (27). The 1033 microscopic diffusion coefficient D was then estimated from the gradient of a linear fit to the 1034 first four time interval data points of the MSD vs τ relation for each accepted foci track. 1035 1036 To determine the proportion of mobile and immobile Mig1-GFP fluorescent foci we 1037 adapted an approach based on cumulative probability-distance distribution analysis (12). Here we generated cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) for all nuclear and cytoplasmic 1038 tracks, such that the CDF in each dataset is the probability distribution function p_c associated 1039 with r^2 , the square of the displacement between the first and second data points in each single 1040 track, which was generated for each track by calculating the proportion of all tracks in a 1041 dataset which have a value of r^2 less than that measured for that one track. The simplest CDF 1042 model assumes a Brownian diffusion propagator function $f(r^2)$ for a single effective diffusion 1043 coefficient component of: 1044

1045

1046

$$f(r^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi D\Delta t} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4D\Delta t}\right)$$

1047

Here, *D* is the effective diffusion coefficient and Δt is image sampling time per frame (i.e. in our case 5 ms). This gives a CDF single component solution of the form:

1051 $p_c(r^2) = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4D\Delta t}\right)$

1052

We investigated both single and more complex multi-component CDF models using either1,2 or 3 different *D* values in a weighted sum model of:

1055

1056
$$p_c(r^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{4D_i \Delta t}\right) \right)$$

1057

Here *n* is 1, 2 or 3. Multi-component fits were only chosen if they lowered the reduced χ^2 by >10%. For cytoplasmic foci at *glucose* (+/-) and for nuclear foci at *glucose* (-) this indicated 1058 1059 single component fits for diffusion coefficient with a D of ~1-2 μ m²/s, whereas nuclear foci 1060 at glucose (+) were fitted using two components of D, ~20% with a relatively immobile 1061 1062 component, $D \sim 0.1-0.2 \,\mu m^2/s$, and the remainder a relatively mobile component, D \sim 1-2 μ m²/s, while using three components produced no statistically significant improvement 1063 to the fits. These values of D agreed to within experimental error to those obtained using a 1064 1065 different method which fitted two analytical Gamma functions to the distribution of all calculated microscopic diffusion coefficients of tracked foci in the nucleus at glucose (+), 1066 which assumed a total probability distribution function p_{γ} of the form: (29) 1067 1068

1069
$$p_{\gamma}(x,D) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{A_{i}(m/D)^{m} x^{n-1} \exp(-mx/D)}{(m-1)!}$$

1070

Here, *m* is the number of steps in the MSD *vs* τ trace for each foci track used to calculate *D* (i.e. in our instance *m*=4).

1073 We also probed longer time scale effects on foci mobility for each accepted foci 1074 trajectory. Here, average MSD values were generated by calculating mean MSD values for 1075 corresponding time interval values across all foci trajectories in each dataset, but pooling 1076 traces into low stoichiometry (≤ 20 Mig1-GFP molecules per foci) and high stoichiometry (> 1077 20 Mig1-GFP molecules per foci). We compared different diffusion models over a 30 ms 1078 time interval scale, corresponding to the shortest time interval range from any of the mean 1079 MSD trace datasets.

1080 We found in all cases that mean MSD traces could be fitted well (χ^2 values in the 1081 range 1-12) using a subdiffusion model of precision-corrected MSD = $4\sigma^2 + 4K\tau^{\alpha}$, where α 1082 the anomalous diffusion parameter and *K* is the transport parameter, analogous to the 1083 diffusion coefficient *D* in pure Brownian diffusion. Optimized fits indicated values of *K* in 1084 the range 0.08-0.2 μ m²/s and those for α of ~0.4-0.8. Corresponding fits to a purely Brownian 1085 diffusion model (i.e. $\alpha = 1$) generated much poorer fits (χ^2 values in the range 4-90).

We used both short timescale CDF analysis and longer timescale MSD analysis of Mig1
 tracks to try to gain as complete a picture of Mig1 mobility as possible. Short timescales

- 1088 avoid bias from photobleaching and diffusion out of the focal plane but longer timescales
- 1089 sample more of the cellular environment.
- 1090

1091 Analyzing trans-nuclear tracks

The segmentation boundary output for the nucleus was fitted with a smoothing spline function, with smoothing parameter p = 0.9992 to sub-pixel precision. Trajectories which contained points on either side of the nuclear boundary were considered trans-nuclear. The crossing point on the nuclear boundary was found by linearly interpolating between the first pair of points either side of the nuclear boundary. Coordinates were normalized to this point and the crossing time and were rotated such that y' and x' lie perpendicular and parallel to the membrane crossing point.

1099

1100 Investigating Mig1-GFP molecular turnover

1101 Turnover of Mig1-GFP was investigated using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching 1102 (FRAP). In brief a 200 ms 10mW focused laser beam pulse of lateral width ~1 µm was used to photobleach the fluorescently-labelled nuclear contents on a cell-by-cell basis and then \leq 1103 10 Slimfield images were recorded over different timescales spanning a range from 100 ms to 1104 1105 ~1,000 s. The copy number of pool and foci in each image at subsequent time points t post focused laser bleach was determined as described and corrected for photobleaching. These 1106 post-bleach photoactive Mig1-GFP copy number values C(t) could then be fitted using a 1107 single exponential recovery function: 1108

1109

1110
$$C(t) = C(0)(1 - \exp(-t/t_R))$$

1111

1112 Where t_R is the characteristic recovery (i.e. turnover) time (20). These indicated a value of 1113 133 ± 20 s (±SEM) for nuclear foci at glucose (+), and 3 ± 14 s for nuclear pool at 1114 glucose (+) and (-).

1115

1116 Modeling the effective diameter of clusters

1117 The effective diameter *d* of a cluster was estimated from the measured point spread function 1118 width pf_{foci} (defined at twice sigma value of the equivalent Gaussian fit from our single 1119 particle tracking algorithm) corrected for the blur due to particle diffusion in the camera 1120 exposure time of Δt as:

1121

1122
$$d = p_{foci} - p_{GFP} - \sqrt{4D\Delta t}$$

1123

1124 Where *D* is the measured microscopic diffusion coefficient for that track and p_{GFP} is the 1125 measured point spread function width of surface-immobilized GFP (i.e. twice the sigma 1126 width of 230nm measured in our microscope, or 460nm). We explored a heuristic packing 1127 model of $d \sim S^a$ for Mig1-GFP monomers in each cluster, such that a tightly packed spherical 1128 cluster of volume *V* composed of *S* smaller ca. spherical monomers each of volume V_1 and 1129 diameter d_1 varied as $V = S.V_1$ thus $4\pi(d/2)^3 = S.4\pi(d_1/2)^3$, thus in the specific instance of a 1130 perfect spherical cluster model a = 1/3.

1131 In principle, for general shapes of clusters for different packing conformations we 1132 expect $0 \le a \le 1$ such that e.g. if clusters pack as a long, thin rod of Mig1 monomers which 1133 rotates isotropically during time Δt , then a = 1. Whereas, if Mig1 monomers bind to a 1134 putative additional 'anchor' type structure to occupy available binding sites in forming a 1135 cluster, such that the size of the cluster does not significantly change with *S* but is dependent on the size of the putative anchor structure itself, then a = 0. Our optimized fits indicate $a = 0.32 \pm 0.06$ (\pm SEM), i.e. consistent with an approximate spherical shape cluster model.

1138

1139 Modeling the probability of overlap in *in vitro* fluorescent protein characterization

- 1140 The probability that two or more fluorescent protein foci are within the diffraction limit of
- our microscope in the *in vitro* characterization assays was determined using a previously
- reported Poisson model (26) to be ~10% at the *in vitro* protein concentrations used here. Such
- overlapping fluorescent proteins are detected as higher apparent stoichiometry foci.

1145 **PP7 RNA labelling and overlap integral**

- 1146 Similar Slimfield microfluidics experiments were performed on Mig1-mCherry and Mig1-
- mCherry Δ Znf strains containing 24 transcriptional reporter PP7 markers on the GYS1 gene and transformed with plasmids for the PP7 protein labelled with 2 GFPs. Mig1 foci are
- present at *glucose* (+) and upon switching to *glucose* (-) PP7 foci appear in similar locations
- to the Mig1 foci. Although Mig1 foci are mobile, the microscopic diffusion coefficient D for
- immobilized Mig1 is a putative overestimate for the equivalent D of the underlying target
- gene loci, $0.15 \,\mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ from CDF. The plateau of the MSD vs tau plot in Figure. 3B gives an
- estimate of the gene loci mobility range in space (although still an overestimate) and is
- $\sim 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}^2$. The square root of this is less than PSF width, and so colocalization between
- 1155 Mig1 and PP7 foci is expected.
- 1156

The extent of colocalization between Mig1-mCherry and PP7-GFP detected foci was determined by calculating the overlap integral between each pair, whose centroids were within 5 pixels of each other. Assuming two normalized, 2D Gaussian intensity distributions g1 and g2, for green and red foci respectively, centered around (x_1, y_1) with sigma width σ_1 , and around (x_2, y_2) with width σ_2 , the overlap integral v is analytically determined as:

1162

$$v = \exp(-\frac{\Delta r^2}{2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)})$$

1163

1164 Where

1165

1166 $\Delta r^2 = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)^2 + (y_1^2 - y_2^2)^2$

1167

Previous studies have used an overlap integral of over 0.75 as a criteria for colocalization(26).

1170

1171 Software and DNA sequence access

- 1172 All our bespoke software developed, and Mig1 secondary structure prediction 3D coordinates
- 1173 pymolMig1.pdb, are freely and openly accessible via https://sourceforge.net/projects/york-
- biophysics/. The bespoke plasmid sequence information for the GFP reporter is openly
- 1175 accessible via https://www.addgene.org/75360/.
- 1176

1177 Statistical tests and replicates

- 1178 All statistical tests used are two-sided unless stated otherwise. For Slimfield imaging each
- 1179 cell can be defined as a biological replicate sampled from the cell population. We chose
- sample sizes of at least 30 cells which generated reasonable estimates for the sampled
- 1181 stoichiometry distributions, similar to those of previous *in vivo* Slimfied studies (20).
- 1182 Technical replicates are not possible with the irreversible photobleaching assay, however, the

- noise in all light microscopy experiments has been independently characterized for the
- imaging system used previously (27).
- 1185

1186 Acknowledgments

- 1187 Supported by the Biological Physical Sciences Institute, Royal Society, MRC (grant
- 1188 MR/K01580X/1), BBSRC (grant BB/N006453/1), Swedish Research Council and European
- 1189 Commission via Marie Curie-Network for Initial training ISOLATE (Grant agreement nr:
- 1190 289995) and the Marie Curie Alumni Association. We thank Magnus Alm Rosenblad and
- 1191 Sarah Shammas for assistance with RNABOB and PONDR, Marija Cvijovic and Michael
- 1192 Law for help with qPCR data analysis, Andrew Leech and Meg Stark for help with CD and
- 1193 EM. Thanks to Mark Johnston (CU Denver) for donation of Mig1 phosphorylation mutant
- 1194 plasmid, and Michael Elowitz (Caltech) for donation of the Mig1/Msn2/PP7 and Zn finger
- 1195 deletion strain.
- 1196

1197 **Conflict of interest**

- 1198 All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.
- 1199

1200

1201

1202 **References**

1. F. Jacob, J. Monod, Genetic regulatory mechanisms in the synthesis of proteins. J. 1203 1204 Mol. Biol. 3, 318–356 (1961). J. Gertz, E. D. Siggia, B. A. Cohen, Analysis of combinatorial cis-regulation in 1205 2. synthetic and genomic promoters. Nature. 457, 215-8 (2009). 1206 O. G. Berg, R. B. Winter, P. H. Von Hippel, Diffusion-driven mechanisms of protein 1207 3. translocation on nucleic acids. 1. Models and theory. Biochemistry. 20, 6929-6948 1208 (1981). 1209 A. Mahmutovic, O. G. Berg, J. Elf, What matters for lac repressor search in vivo--4. 1210 sliding, hopping, intersegment transfer, crowding on DNA or recognition? Nucleic 1211 Acids Res. 43, 3454-64 (2015). 1212 5. S. E. Halford, J. F. Marko, How do site-specific DNA-binding proteins find their 1213 targets? Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 3040-52 (2004). 1214 D. M. Gowers, S. E. Halford, Protein motion from non-specific to specific DNA by 1215 6. three-dimensional routes aided by supercoiling. EMBO J. 22, 1410-8 (2003). 1216 7. S. T. Whiteside, S. Goodbourn, Signal transduction and nuclear targeting: regulation of 1217 1218 transcription factor activity by subcellular localisation. J. Cell Sci. 104 (Pt 4, 949-55 (1993). 1219 8. C. T. Harbison *et al.*, Transcriptional regulatory code of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature*. 1220 431, 99–104 (2004). 1221 1222 9. H. G. Schmidt, S. Sewitz, S. S. Andrews, K. Lipkow, An Integrated Model of Transcription Factor Diffusion Shows the Importance of Intersegmental Transfer and 1223 Quaternary Protein Structure for Target Site Finding. 9 (2014), 1224 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108575. 1225 10. G.-W. Li, X. S. Xie, Central dogma at the single-molecule level in living cells. *Nature*. 1226 1227 475, 308–15 (2011). P. Hammar et al., The lac repressor displays facilitated diffusion in living cells. 11. 1228 1229 Science. 336, 1595-8 (2012). J. C. M. Gebhardt et al., Single-molecule imaging of transcription factor binding to 12. 1230 1231 DNA in live mammalian cells. Nat. Methods. 10, 421-6 (2013). J. O. Nehlin, M. Carlberg, H. Ronne, Control of yeast GAL genes by MIG1 repressor: 13. 1232 a transcriptional cascade in the glucose response. EMBO J. 10, 3373-7 (1991). 1233 14. E. Frolova, Binding of the glucose-dependent Mig1p repressor to the GAL1 and GAL4 1234 promoters in vivo: regulationby glucose and chromatin structure. Nucleic Acids Res. 1235 27, 1350–1358 (1999). 1236 15. M. J. De Vit, J. a Waddle, M. Johnston, Regulated nuclear translocation of the Mig1 1237 glucose repressor. Mol. Biol. Cell. 8, 1603–18 (1997). 1238 1239 16. L. Bendrioua et al., Yeast AMP-activated Protein Kinase Monitors Glucose Concentration Changes and Absolute Glucose Levels. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 12863–75 1240 (2014). 1241 17. S. Shashkova, A. J. M. Wollman, M. C. Leake, S. Hohmann, The yeast Mig1 1242 transcriptional repressor is dephosphorylated by glucose-dependent and -independent 1243 mechanisms. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 364 (2017), doi:10.1093/femsle/fnx133. 1244

18. Y. Lin, C. H. Sohn, C. K. Dalal, L. Cai, M. B. Elowitz, Combinatorial gene regulation 1245 by modulation of relative pulse timing. Nature. 527, 54-58 (2015). 1246 19. M. Plank, G. H. Wadhams, M. C. Leake, Millisecond timescale slimfield imaging and 1247 automated quantification of single fluorescent protein molecules for use in probing 1248 complex biological processes. Integr. Biol. (Camb). 1, 602–12 (2009). 1249 20. R. Reyes-Lamothe, D. J. Sherratt, M. C. Leake, Stoichiometry and architecture of 1250 active DNA replication machinery in Escherichia coli. Science. 328, 498-501 (2010). 1251 21. A. Badrinarayanan, R. Reyes-Lamothe, S. Uphoff, M. C. Leake, D. J. Sherratt, In vivo 1252 architecture and action of bacterial structural maintenance of chromosome proteins. 1253 1254 Science. 338, 528-31 (2012). 22. M. C. Leake et al., Stoichiometry and turnover in single, functioning membrane 1255 protein complexes. Nature. 443, 355-8 (2006). 1256 D. A. Zacharias, J. D. Violin, A. C. Newton, R. Y. Tsien, Partitioning of lipid-1257 23. 1258 modified monomeric GFPs into membrane microdomains of live cells. Science. 296, 913-6 (2002). 1259 24. S. A. McKinney, C. S. Murphy, K. L. Hazelwood, M. W. Davidson, L. L. Looger, A 1260 bright and photostable photoconvertible fluorescent protein. Nat. Methods. 6, 131-3 1261 (2009).1262 25. H. Miller, Z. Zhou, A. J. M. Wollman, M. C. Leake, Superresolution imaging of single 1263 DNA molecules using stochastic photoblinking of minor groove and intercalating 1264 dyes. Methods. 88, 81-8 (2015). 1265 26. I. Llorente-Garcia et al., Single-molecule in vivo imaging of bacterial respiratory 1266 complexes indicates delocalized oxidative phosphorylation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1267 1837, 811-824 (2014). 1268 27. A. J. M. Wollman, M. C. Leake, Millisecond single-molecule localization microscopy 1269 combined with convolution analysis and automated image segmentation to determine 1270 protein concentrations in complexly structured, functional cells, one cell at a time. 1271 Faraday Discuss. 184, 401–24 (2015). 1272 28. M. J. DeVit, M. Johnston, The nuclear exportin Msn5 is required for nuclear export of 1273 the Mig1 glucose repressor of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr. Biol. 9, 1231-1241 1274 (1999). 1275 29. M. Stracy et al., Live-cell superresolution microscopy reveals the organization of RNA 1276 polymerase in the bacterial nucleoid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, E4390-9 1277 (2015). 1278 30. I. Izeddin et al., Single-molecule tracking in live cells reveals distinct target-search 1279 strategies of transcription factors in the nucleus. *Elife*. **3** (2014), 1280 doi:10.7554/eLife.02230. 1281 1282 31. S. A. Adam, The nuclear pore complex. Genome Biol. 2 (2001) REVIEWS007. C. Strambio-De-Castillia, M. Niepel, M. P. Rout, The nuclear pore complex: bridging 32. 1283 nuclear transport and gene regulation. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11, 490-501 (2010). 1284 33. W. Yang, J. Gelles, S. M. Musser, Imaging of single-molecule translocation through 1285 nuclear pore complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 12887-12892 (2004). 1286 34. A. R. Lowe et al., Selectivity mechanism of the nuclear pore complex characterized by 1287

1288		single cargo tracking. <i>Nature</i> . 467 , 600–603 (2010).
1289 1290	35.	M. A. Treitel, M. Carlson, Repression by SSN6-TUP1 is directed by MIG1, a repressor/activator protein. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 92 , 3132–6 (1995).
1291 1292	36.	Y. Phillip, G. Schreiber, Formation of protein complexes in crowded environments from in vitro to in vivo. <i>FEBS Lett.</i> 587 , 1046–52 (2013).
1293 1294 1295	37.	M. Lundin, J. O. Nehlin, H. Ronne, Importance of a flanking AT-rich region in target site recognition by the GC box-binding zinc finger protein MIG1. <i>Mol. Cell. Biol.</i> 14 , 1979–1985 (1994).
1296 1297	38.	Z. Duan <i>et al.</i> , A three-dimensional model of the yeast genome. <i>Nature</i> . 465 , 363–7 (2010).
1298 1299 1300	39.	I. Unnikrishnan, S. Miller, M. Meinke, D. C. LaPorte, Multiple positive and negative elements involved in the regulation of expression of GSY1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 278 , 26450–7 (2003).
1301 1302 1303	40.	V. N. Uversky, I. M. Kuznetsova, K. K. Turoverov, B. Zaslavsky, Intrinsically disordered proteins as crucial constituents of cellular aqueous two phase systems and coacervates. <i>FEBS Lett.</i> 589 , 15–22 (2015).
1304 1305	41.	J. A. Toretsky, P. E. Wright, Assemblages: functional units formed by cellular phase separation. <i>J. Cell Biol.</i> 206 , 579–88 (2014).
1306 1307	42.	D. S. Goodsell, A. J. Olson, Structural Symmetry and Protein Function. <i>Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.</i> 29 , 105–153 (2000).
1308 1309	43.	J. H. Fong <i>et al.</i> , Intrinsic Disorder in Protein Interactions: Insights From a Comprehensive Structural Analysis. <i>PLoS Comput. Biol.</i> 5 , e1000316 (2009).
1310 1311	44.	K. Sode, S. Ochiai, N. Kobayashi, E. Usuzaka, Effect of reparation of repeat sequences in the human alpha-synuclein on fibrillation ability. <i>Int. J. Biol. Sci.</i> 3 , 1–7 (2007).
1312 1313	45.	C. Avitabile <i>et al.</i> , Circular Dichroism studies on the interactions of antimicrobial peptides with bacterial cells. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 4 , 337–360 (2014).
1314 1315 1316	46.	H. Nishi, J. H. Fong, C. Chang, S. A. Teichmann, A. R. Panchenko, Regulation of protein-protein binding by coupling between phosphorylation and intrinsic disorder: analysis of human protein complexes. <i>Mol. Biosyst.</i> 9 , 1620–6 (2013).
1317 1318 1319	47.	D. Mazza, A. Abernathy, N. Golob, T. Morisaki, J. G. McNally, A benchmark for chromatin binding measurements in live cells. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 40 , e119–e119 (2012).
1320 1321	48.	D. Normanno <i>et al.</i> , Probing the target search of DNA-binding proteins in mammalian cells using TetR as model searcher. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 6 , 7357 (2015).
1322 1323 1324	49.	P. G. Needham, R. J. Trumbly, In vitro characterization of the Mig1 repressor from Saccharomyces cerevisiae reveals evidence for monomeric and higher molecular weight forms. <i>Yeast.</i> 23 , 1151–66 (2006).
1325 1326	50.	I. I. Cisse <i>et al.</i> , Real-Time Dynamics of RNA Polymerase II Clustering in Live Human Cells. <i>Science (80).</i> 341 , 664–667 (2013).
1327 1328	51.	WK. Cho <i>et al.</i> , RNA Polymerase II cluster dynamics predict mRNA output in living cells. <i>Elife</i> . 5 (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.13617.
1329	52.	J. Qian et al., B Cell Super-Enhancers and Regulatory Clusters Recruit AID

1330		Tumorigenic Activity. Cell. 159, 1524–1537 (2014).
1331 1332	53.	M. Mir <i>et al.</i> , Dense Bicoid Hubs Accentuate Binding along the Morphogen Gradient. <i>bioRxiv</i> (2017).
1333 1334	54.	T. S. Karpova <i>et al.</i> , Concurrent fast and slow cycling of a transcriptional activator at an endogenous promoter. <i>Science</i> . 319 , 466–9 (2008).
1335 1336	55.	R. Rohs <i>et al.</i> , Origins of specificity in protein-DNA recognition. <i>Annu. Rev. Biochem.</i> 79 , 233–69 (2010).
1337 1338	56.	J. Elf, GW. Li, X. S. Xie, Probing Transcription Factor Dynamics at the Single-Molecule Level in a Living Cell. <i>Science (80).</i> 316 , 1191–1194 (2007).
1339 1340 1341	57.	M. A. Lomholt, B. van den Broek, SM. J. Kalisch, G. J. L. Wuite, R. Metzler, Facilitated diffusion with DNA coiling. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 106 , 8204–8 (2009).
1342 1343 1344	58.	B. van den Broek, M. A. Lomholt, SM. J. Kalisch, R. Metzler, G. J. L. Wuite, How DNA coiling enhances target localization by proteins. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 105 , 15738–42 (2008).
1345 1346 1347	59.	A. Esadze, J. Iwahara, Stopped-Flow Fluorescence Kinetic Study of Protein Sliding and Intersegment Transfer in the Target DNA Search Process. <i>J. Mol. Biol.</i> 426 , 230–244 (2014).
1348 1349 1350	60.	D. M. Gowers, G. G. Wilson, S. E. Halford, Measurement of the contributions of 1D and 3D pathways to the translocation of a protein along DNA. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 102 , 15883–8 (2005).
1351 1352 1353	61.	B. Xue, A. K. Dunker, V. N. Uversky, Orderly order in protein intrinsic disorder distribution: disorder in 3500 proteomes from viruses and the three domains of life. <i>J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.</i> 30 , 137–49 (2012).
1354 1355 1356	62.	J. J. Ward, J. S. Sodhi, L. J. McGuffin, B. F. Buxton, D. T. Jones, Prediction and functional analysis of native disorder in proteins from the three kingdoms of life. <i>J. Mol. Biol.</i> 337 , 635–45 (2004).
1357 1358 1359 1360	63.	G. Rivas, J. A. Fernández, A. P. Minton, Direct observation of the enhancement of noncooperative protein self-assembly by macromolecular crowding: indefinite linear self-association of bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 98 , 3150–5 (2001).
1361 1362 1363	64.	R. Babazadeh <i>et al.</i> , Osmostress-induced cell volume loss delays yeast Hog1 signaling by limiting diffusion processes and by Hog1-specific effects. <i>PLoS One</i> . 8 , e80901 (2013).
1364 1365 1366	65.	A. Miermont <i>et al.</i> , Severe osmotic compression triggers a slowdown of intracellular signaling, which can be explained by molecular crowding. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 110 , 5725–30 (2013).
1367 1368 1369	66.	J. Ma, W. Yang, Three-dimensional distribution of transient interactions in the nuclear pore complex obtained from single-molecule snapshots. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 107 , 7305–7310 (2010).
1370 1371	67.	I. Axelsson, Characterization of proteins and other macromolecules by agarose gel chromatography. <i>J. Chromatogr. A.</i> 152 , 21–32 (1978).
1372	68.	M. A. Hink et al., Structural dynamics of green fluorescent protein alone and fused

1373		with a single chain Fv protein. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 17556-60 (2000).
1374 1375 1376	69.	LF. Liang, X. Da, TS. Chen, YH. Pei, [Nucleoplasmic viscosity of living cells investigated by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy]. <i>Guang Pu Xue Yu Guang Pu Fen Xi.</i> 29 , 459–62 (2009).
1377 1378	70.	HJ. Schüller, Transcriptional control of nonfermentative metabolism in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. <i>Curr. Genet.</i> 43 , 139–60 (2003).
1379 1380	71.	J. Liu <i>et al.</i> , Intrinsic disorder in transcription factors. <i>Biochemistry</i> . 45 , 6873–88 (2006).
1381 1382	72.	V. N. Uversky, V. B. Patel, Intrinsically disordered proteins and their (disordered) proteomes in neurodegenerative disorders (2015), doi:10.3389/fnagi.2015.00018.
1383 1384 1385	73.	E. M. Rubenstein <i>et al.</i> , Access denied: Snf1 activation loop phosphorylation is controlled by availability of the phosphorylated threonine 210 to the PP1 phosphatase. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 283 , 222–30 (2008).
1386 1387 1388	74.	R. D. Gietz, R. H. Schiestl, Frozen competent yeast cells that can be transformed with high efficiency using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. <i>Nat. Protoc.</i> 2 , 1–4 (2007).
1389 1390	75.	J. Warringer <i>et al.</i> , Trait variation in yeast is defined by population history. <i>PLoS Genet.</i> 7 , e1002111 (2011).
1391 1392	76.	C. Geijer <i>et al.</i> , Initiation of the transcriptional response to hyperosmotic shock correlates with the potential for volume recovery. <i>FEBS J.</i> 280 , 3854–67 (2013).
1393 1394	77.	S. Shashkova, M. C. Leake, Single-molecule fluorescence microscopy review: shedding new light on old problems. <i>Biosci. Rep.</i> 37 (2017).
1395 1396	78.	AK. Gustavsson <i>et al.</i> , Sustained glycolytic oscillations in individual isolated yeast cells. <i>FEBS J.</i> 279 , 2837–47 (2012).
1397 1398 1399	79.	E. M. Puchner, J. M. Walter, R. Kasper, B. Huang, W. A. Lim, Counting molecules in single organelles with superresolution microscopy allows tracking of the endosome maturation trajectory, doi:10.1073/pnas.1309676110.
1400 1401	80.	L. H. Hartwell, J. Culotti, B. Reid, Genetic control of the cell-division cycle in yeast. I. Detection of mutants. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 66 , 352–9 (1970).
1402 1403	81.	A. Khmelinskii <i>et al.</i> , Tandem fluorescent protein timers for in vivo analysis of protein dynamics. <i>Nat. Biotechnol.</i> 30 , 708–14 (2012).
1404 1405	82.	K. T. Tokuyasu, A technique for ultracryotomy of cell suspensions and tissues. <i>J. Cell Biol.</i> 57 , 551–65 (1973).
1406 1407 1408	83.	J. Griffith, M. Mari, A. De Mazière, F. Reggiori, A Cryosectioning Procedure for the Ultrastructural Analysis and the Immunogold Labelling of Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. <i>Traffic.</i> 9 , 1060–1072 (2008).
1409 1410	84.	N. J. Riccitelli, A. Lupták, Computational discovery of folded RNA domains in genomes and in vitro selected libraries. <i>Methods</i> . 52 , 133–40 (2010).
1411 1412 1413	85.	 Z. Obradovic, K. Peng, S. Vucetic, P. Radivojac, A. K. Dunker, Exploiting heterogeneous sequence properties improves prediction of protein disorder. <i>Proteins</i>. 61 Suppl 7, 176–82 (2005).
1414	86.	D. Gross, W. Webb, in Spectroscopic Membrane Probes, L. Loew, Ed. (CRC Press,

- 1415 ed. 2, 1988), pp. 19–45.
- 1416 87. X. Michalet, Mean square displacement analysis of single-particle trajectories with
 1417 localization error: Brownian motion in an isotropic medium. *Phys. Rev. E.* 82, 41914
 1418 (2010).
- 1419 88. M. C. Leake, D. Wilson, M. Gautel, R. M. Simmons, The elasticity of single titin
 1420 molecules using a two-bead optical tweezers assay. *Biophys. J.* 87, 1112–35 (2004).
- M. C. Leake, D. Wilson, B. Bullard, R. M. Simmons, M. R. Bubb, The elasticity of
 single kettin molecules using a two-bead laser-tweezers assay. *FEBS Lett.* 535 (2003),
 doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(02)03857-7.
- P. Rice, I. Longden, A. Bleasby, EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. *Trends Genet.* 16, 276–7 (2000).
- M. C. Schmidt, R. R. McCartney, beta-subunits of Snf1 kinase are required for kinase function and substrate definition. *EMBO J.* 19, 4936–43 (2000).
- G. Berben, J. Dumont, V. Gilliquet, P. A. Bolle, F. Hilger, The YDp plasmids: a
 uniform set of vectors bearing versatile gene disruption cassettes for Saccharomyces
 cerevisiae. *Yeast.* 7, 475–7 (1991).

1431

- 1432 Legends
- 1433

Table 1. S. cerevisiae cell strains and plasmids. List of all strains and plasmids used in this
 study.

Table 2. Copy number data. Mean average and SD of copy number in pool and foci in eachcompartment.

- Table 3. Foci tracking data. Mean average, SD and mean number detected per cell (N) of
 stoichiometry values (molecules), and microscopic diffusion coefficients *D* in each
 compartment detected within the depth of field.
- 1442**Table 4.** $snfl\Delta$ foci tracking and copy number data. Upper panel: Mean average, SD and1443mean number detected per cell (N) of stoichiometry values (molecules), and microscopic1444diffusion coefficients D in each compartment detected within the depth of field. Lower panel:1445Mean average and SD of copy number in pool and foci in each compartment.

Table 5. Number of potential Mig1 target promoter sites per chromosome. List of *S.cerevisiae* chromosomes indicating the length of a chromosome, total number of potential
Mig1 target sites identified and then the number of sites on promoters assuming a promoter
region up to 500bp upstream of a gene.

Table 6. Bioinformatics analysis for intrinsically disordered sequences. Predictions for
 the presence of intrinsically disordered sequences in Mig1, Msn2 and LacI, and of the
 positions of phosphorylation sites in Mig1 and Msn2.

Video 1. Dual-color fluorescence microscopy assay at *glucose* (+). Example cell showing
 glucose (+) nuclear Mig1-GFP localization (green, distinct foci black arrows), Nrd1-mCherry
 (red) and segmented cell body (orange) and nuclear envelope (cyan) indicated, slowed 15x.

Video 2. Dual-color fluorescence microscopy assay at *glucose* (-). Example cell showing
 glucose (-) Mig1-GFP localization (green, distinct foci black arrows), Nrd1-mCherry (red)
 and segmented cell body (orange) and nuclear envelope (cyan) indicated, slowed 200x.

Figure. 1. Single-molecule Slimfield microscopy of live cells reveals Mig1 clusters. (A) 1460 Dual-color fluorescence microscopy assay. Mig1-GFP localization change (cyan, right 1461 panels) depending on glucose availability. (B) Example Slimfield micrographs of change of 1462 Mig1-GFP localization (green) with glucose for three cells, nuclear Nrd1-mCherry indicated 1463 (red, left), mean and SEM errorbounds of total cytoplasmic (yellow) and nuclear (blue) 1464 contributions shown (lower panel), n=15 cells. Display scale fixed throughout each time 1465 1466 course to show pool and foci fluorescence. (C) Example Slimfield micrographs of cells showing nuclear (left), trans-nuclear (center) and cytoplasmic (right) Mig1-GFP localization 1467 (green, distinct foci white arrows), Nrd1-mCherry (red) and segmented cell body (yellow) 1468 1469 and nuclear envelope (blue) indicated. Display scales adjusted to only show foci. (D) Kernel density estimations (KDE) for Mig1-GFP content in pool and foci for cytoplasm and nucleus 1470 1471 at glucose (+/-), n=30 cells.

1472

Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1. Brightfield and fluorescence micrographs of key strains and glucose conditions.

1475 Representative Slimfield fluorescence images obtained from the strains and different

- 1476 extracellular glucose conditions used in this study. Brightfield non-fluorescence images,
- 1477 segmentation perimeter indicated for cell body (orange) and nucleus (cyan), and fluorescence
- images are indicated, the latter showing both green and red channels obtained as the frame
- 1479 average from the first five consecutive Slimfield images. Fluorescence images are of the first
- 1480 illuminated frame and are all normalized by total pixel intensity. Snf1AS represents an ATP
- analog sensitive version of Snf1, Snf1-I132G. For the Mig1-mEos2 strain (inset, bottom
 right) this shows the brightfield image (left panel), a 300 consecutive frame average from the
- red channel after photoconversion (middle panel) and super-resolution false color heat map
- 1484 reconstruction, 40nm lateral resolution, >2,000 localizations (right panel).
- 1485

1486 Figure. 1 - Figure Supplement 2. Fluorescent reporter strains have similar viability to

1487 wild type, with relatively fast maturation of fluorescent protein, and no evidence for

GFP-mediated oligomerization. (A) (left panel) Mean doubling time ± SEM, number of
 cultures n=6; (right panel) relative expression of *MIG1* to constitutive *ACT1* using qPCR in

- the wild type and Mig1-mGFP in cells pre-grown in elevated (4%) and depleted
- 1491 (0.2%) glucose, SD error bars, n=3 repeats for each. (B) 'Monomeric' mGFP (red) vs
- 1492 Standard enhanced eGFP (blue) in vitro intensity KDE distributions. GFP/mCherry

1493 maturation. n=1000 foci (C) After continuous illumination images were taken at subsequent

- time intervals. To prevent appearance of newly synthesized fluorescent proteins, $100 \mu g/ml$
- cycloheximide was added 1h prior to photobleaching. Upper panels represent
- autofluorescence appearance in green and red channels in BY4741 wild type cells. Standard
- 1497 epifluorescence images (green/red) overlaid on brightfield (gray). (**D**) GFP and mCherry
- maturation in minimal YNB media with complete amino acid supplement and 4% glucose.
 The background-corrected total cellular fluorescence intensity for the wild type
- (autofluorescence) and Mig1-GFP:Nrd1-mCherry strain was quantified at each time point for
- each cell in ImageJ. Error bars indicate SEM. (E) and (F) *In vivo* Mig1-GFP *vs* Mig1-mGFP
- 1502 stoichiometry KDE distributions compared in *glucose* (+) and *glucose* (-) respectively
- 1503 $(\chi^2=0.28, p=1x10^{-132} \text{ and } \chi^2=0.011, p=3x10^{-216})$. n=30 cells.

1504 Figure. 1 - Figure Supplement 3. *In vivo* Mig1-GFP foci intensity traces as a function of

- time. Showing the raw tracked intensity (blue line) and filtered (red squares) using an edge
- 1506 preserving Chung-Kennedy filter (88, 89) (15ms window) for (A) nuclear foci (B)
- 1507 cytoplasmic foci and (C) overtracked foci from >0.5s into the photobleach with steps at
- single GFP intensity. (**D**) The intensity distribution of Mig1-GFP *in vivo* at *glucose* (+/-)
- using kernel density estimate. Mode values are identical within error: 5200 ± 1500 , 4600 ± 700
- 1510 respectively.

1511 Figure. 2. Mig1 foci stoichiometry, mobility and localization depend on glucose. Heat

1512 map showing dependence of stoichiometry of detected GFP-labeled Mig1 foci with D under

- (A) glucose (+) and (B) glucose (-) extracellular conditions. Mean values for glucose (+)
- nuclear and *glucose* (-) cytoplasmic foci indicated (arrows). n=30 cells. Heat maps generated
- using 1,000 square pixel grid and 15 pixel width Gaussians at each foci, using variable color
- 1516 scales specified by colorbar on the right.

1517 Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Mig1 phosphorylation does not affect clustering but

1518 regulates localization. Heat maps showing dependence of stoichiometry of detected GFP-

1519 labeled Mig1 foci with D in (A, B) *SNF1* deletion strain, (C) strain with ATP analog sensitive

variant of Snf1, Snf1-I132G, in presence of 1NM-PP1, and (**D**, **E**) strain with four serine

- 1521 phosphorylation sites of Mig1 mutated to alanine.
- 1522

1523 Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 2. Wild type Snf1 and analog sensitive have similar effect

on Mig1. (A) Representative Slimfield fluorescence images showing expected Mig1

localization for a strain carrying an analog sensitive Snf1 and upon treatment with DMSO.

1526 (**B**, **C**) Heat maps showing dependence of stoichiometry of detected GFP-labeled Mig1 foci

- 1527 with microscopic diffusion coefficient D in analog sensitive Snf1.
- 1528

1529 Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 3. Boxplot summary of wild type and mutant Mig1

1530 stoichiometry and microscopic diffusion coefficient. Boxplots for stoichiometry (top) and

1531 diffusion coefficient (bottom). Median in red, quartiles in blue. Black dotted lines mark the

- extrema, limited to 4x and 2x upper quartile value for stoichiometry and microscopic
- 1533 diffusion coefficient *D* respectively with outliers marked with red crosses.
- 1534

1535 Figure. 3. Repressor clusters have heterogeneous mobility depending on localization.

1536 (A) Cumulative probability, *glucose* (+) nuclear tracks (blue) and 2 component exponential

1537 fit (red), with dual Gamma fit to D (inset) with similar parameters. (**B**) Mean MSD vs τ (i.e.

time interval tau) from cytoplasmic (yellow), small (blue, stoichiometry ≤ 20 Mig1-GFP

- molecules) and large nuclear (purple, stoichiometry >20 Mig1-GFP molecules) foci, SEM indicated, on log-log axes, n=30 cells for *glucose* (+) and (-). Anomalous diffusion model fits
- to time intervals \leq 30ms (dashed black line), anomalous coefficient α =0.4-0.8. (C) Heat map
- 1542 of trans-nuclear track localizations normalized to crossover point, generated using 1000
- square pixel grid and 10 pixel width Gaussians at each localization (**D**) distance parallel (left)
- and perpendicular (right) to nuclear envelope with time, normalized to crossover point for
- 1545 Mig1-GFP foci entering (blue) and leaving the nucleus (red), (E) dwell times at nuclear

- envelope and single exponential fits (dotted). (F) Example *glucose* (+) single cell FRAP
 Slimfield images, fixed display scale (G) mean and SEM nuclear intensity after bleaching,
- 1548 n=5 and 7 cells for *glucose* (-/+), respectively.

Figure. 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Cumulative probability distance analysis reveals a
single mobile population in the cytoplasm at glucose (+/-) and in the nucleus and glucose
(-). Cumulative density functions of first displacement in trajectories (blue) with appropriate
fits (red). Bottom right panel indicates Mig1 mutant for which the Zn finger domain has been
deleted.

- 1555 **Figure. 4. Mig1 clusters are stabilized by depletion forces and bind to promoter targets.**
- (A) Zoom-in on pairwise difference distribution for stoichiometry of Mig1-GFP foci, 7-mer
 intervals (dashed) and power spectrum (inset), mean and Gaussian sigma error (arrow). (B)
- intervals (dashed) and power spectrum (inset), mean and Gaussian sigma error (arrow). (B)
 Stoichiometry for Mig1-GFP clusters *in vitro* in PEG absence (blue)/presence (red). n=1000
- foci. Inset shows the full range while outer zooms in on cluster stoichiometry. (C) 3C model
- of chromosomal DNA (blue shaded differently for each chromosome) with overlaid Mig1
- promoter binding sites from bioinformatics (red), simulated image based on model with
- realistic signal and noise added (inset). (**D**) Cluster (red) and monomer (dark blue) model
- 1563 (goodness-of-fit $R^2 < 0$) for Mig1-GFP stoichiometry (10 replicates) compared against
- 1564 experimental data (cyan, $R^2=0.75$).
- 1565 **Figure. 4 Figure Supplement 1. Additional Mig1 cluster investigations. (A)** Zoom-in on
- 1566 pairwise difference distribution for stoichiometry of GFP-labeled Mig1 foci detected during
- 1567 FRAP, ~8-mer intervals (dashed lines) and power spectrum (inset) shown, mean and
- 1568 Gaussian sigma error (arrow). (B) GFP-labeled Mig1 cluster size as a function of
- 1569 stoichiometry with power law fit indicated. (C) Immuno-gold transmission electron
- microscopy for negatively stained 90nm cryosections of (upper panel) two different Mig1 GFP cells and (lower panel) two different Msn2-GFP cells, with zoom in (inset).
- 1572
- Figure. 4 Figure Supplement 2. In vitro cluster characterization. (A) Native PAGE of 1573 1574 total cell protein extracts obtained from cells grown in 4% glucose (+) and 0.05% glucose (-) conditions followed by western blotting and probing with anti-GFP antibodies. (B) 1575 Coomassie staining of purified Mig1-mGFP fraction indicates a single band that corresponds 1576 to the size of a Mig1-GFP monomer (molecular weight 83.4kDa). (C) Mig1 phosphorylation 1577 1578 status is detected by SDS-PAGE on total cell protein extracts obtained from cells grown in 1579 different glucose conditions followed by western blotting. De/phosphorylation of Mig1 in glucose (+/-) respectively is not affected by the SNF1- I132G mutation. (D) Slimfield images 1580 of Mig1-GFP clusters in vitro in the absence (left) and presence of PEG (right), display 1581 intensity scaled in units of GFP per 5 pixel circular region of interest (ROI). (E) Distribution 1582 1583 of stoichiometry for mGFP clusters in vitro in absence (blue)/presence (red) of PEG and the expected distribution of overlapping mGFP monomers (yellow). 1584
- 1585

Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 3. Additional 3C modelling. (A) 3C model (blue) with
overlaid bound Mig1 clusters to promoter binding sites from bioinformatics (red), and Mig1
clusters near the NE (green); (B) predicted stoichiometry distributions for GFP-labeled Mig1

- 1589 foci in the nucleus at elevated extracellular glucose for a range of different binding models, including: a model which simulates both nuclear envelope (NE) translocating clusters and 1590
- cluster binding to promoter targets (yellow), a model which simulates both nuclear envelope
- 1591 (NE) translocating monomers and monomer binding to promoter targets and DNA (blue), and 1592
- a model which simulates just cluster binding to promoter targets but excludes any effects 1593
- 1594 from translocating clusters (purple). These models are optimized to the experimentally
- determined stoichiometry distribution (cyan); (C) predicted Mig1 monomer stoichiometry 1595
- 1596 distributions for Mig1 bound to promoter sites in three different orientations ~10° apart.
- 1597

Figure. 5. Msn2 and Mig1 forms functional clusters colocalized to transcribed mRNA 1598

- from their target genes. (A) Kernel density estimations for Msn2-GFP in pool and foci for 1599 1600 cytoplasm and nucleus at glucose (+/-). (B) Heat maps showing dependence of stoichiometry
- 1601 and D of detected Msn2-GFP foci, n=30 cells. (C) Slimfield imaging on the same cell in
- which microfluidics is used to switch from glucose (+) to glucose (-) indicating the 1602
- 1603 emergence of PP7-GFP foci at glucose (-) which are coincident with Mig1-mCherry foci at
- glucose (+), dependent on the Mig1 Zn finger (same intensity display scales throughout). 1604
- These Mig1 and PP7 foci have a high level of colocalization as seen from (**D**) the distribution 1605
- of the numerical overlap integral between foci in red and green channels at glucose (+) and 1606 glucose (-) respectively, peaking at ~0.95. n=21 cells. (E) Two example cells showing at
- 1607 glucose (-) Msn2-mKO2 foci colocalize with PP7-GFP foci. PP7-2xGFP and Msn2-mKO2 1608
- images are frame averages of ~1,000 frames, Mig1-mCherry is a Slimfield image. 1609
- 1610

Figure. 6. Mig1 and Msn2 contain disordered sequences which may mediate cluster 1611

formation. (A) Structural prediction for Mig1; Zn finger motif (cyan), disordered sections 1612 (red) from PyMOL, beta sheet (gray), phosphorylation sites (yellow); zoom-in indicates 1613

- structure of conserved Zn finger from PSI-BLAST to PDB ID: 4R2E (Wilms tumor protein, 1614
- WT1). (B) DISOPRED prediction for Mig1 and Msn2; disordered regions (red), Zn finger 1615
- regions (cyan). (C) Circular dichroism of Mig1-GFP in vitro in PEG absence (blue)/presence 1616
- 1617 (orange) (D) Distribution of nearest neighbor distances for Mig1 sites within promoters on same (blue) or different (red) chromosome. (E) Schematic of depletion-stabilized Mig1
- 1618 cluster bound to multiple promoter targets (Zn finger PDB ID: 4R2E). (F) Amino acid 1619
- residue electrostatic charge plots for Mig1 and Msn2 from EMBOSS (90) Residues 'D' and 'E' 1620
- are assigned a charge of -1, 'K' and 'R' a charge of +1, and the residue 'H' is assigned a charge 1621
- of +0.5, then a rolling 75 amino acid residue window is used. 1622

Figures and Tables

Strain name	Background	Genotype	Source/Reference
YSH1351	S288C	MATa HIS3D0 LEU2D1 MET15D0 URA3D0	S. Hohmann collection
YSH1703	W303-1A	MATa mig1Δ::LEU2 snf1Δ::KanMX	S. Hohmann collection
YSH2267	BY4741	MATa his3D1 leu2D0 met15D0 ura3D0 mig1∆::KanMX NRD1-	S. Hohmann collection
		mCherry-hphNT1	
YSH2350	BY4741	MATa MSN2-GFP-HIS3 NRD1-mCherry-hphNT1 MET LYS	(64)
YSH2856	BY4741	MATa MIG1-eGFP-KanMX NRD1-mCherry-HphNT1 snf1∆::LEU2	This study
		METLYS	
YSH2348	BY4741	MATa MIG1-GFP-HIS3 NRD1-mCherry-hphNT1 MET LYS	(16)
YSH2862	BY4741	MATa MIG1-GFPmut3-HIS3	This study
YSH2863	BY4741	MATa MIG1-GFPmut3-HIS3 NRD1-mCherry-HphMX4	This study
YSH2896	BY4741	MATa MIG1-mEOs2-HIS3	This study
ME404	BY4741	"BY4741 MSN2-mKO2::LEU2 MIG1- mCherry::spHIS5 GSY1-	(18)
		24xPP7::KANMX msn4∆ mig2∆ nrg1::HPHMX nrg2::Met15	
		SUC2::NatMX"	
ME412	BY4741	BY4741 MSN2-mKO2::LEU2 MIG1(Δaa36-91)-	(18)
		mCherry::spHIS5 GSY1-24xPP7::KANMX msn4Δ	
		miq2∆nrq1::HPHMX nrq2::Met15	
	DV4741	MIC1(App26.01) mCharnwapHISE CSV1.24xPB7::KANMX map44	(10)
	D14/41	wild I (2000-91)-IIICITETTYSprii 55 G511-24XPP1KANWX IIIST142	(10)
		τιιιg2Δτιτg1ΠΡΗΜΧ πτg2::Μet15	

Plasmid name	Description	Source/Reference
pMIG1-HA	HIS3	(91)
pSNF1-TAP	URA3, in pRS316	S. Hohmann collection
pSNF1-I132G-TAP	URA3, in pRS316	S. Hohmann collection
pmGFPS	HIS3, GFPmut3 S65G, S72A, A206K	This study
pMig1-mGFP	6xHIS-Mig1-GFPmut3 in pRSET A	This study
pmEOs2	mEOs2-HIS3 in pMK-RQ	This study
YDp-L	LEU2	(92)
YDp-H	HIS3	(92)
BM3726	Mig1 (Ser222,278,311,381 \rightarrow Ala), URA3, in pRS316	M. Johnston collection (28)
pDZ276	PP7-2xGFP::URA3	(18)

Table 1. S. cerevisiae cell strains and plasmids.

	Mig1-GFP				Msn2-GFP			
	Glucose (+)		Glucose (-)		Glucose (+)		Glucose (-)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Cytoplasmic	509	274	949	394	1422	977	2487	1360
Pool								
Nuclear Pool	77	101	140	97	551	608	1692	1221
Total Pool	586	336	1088	392	1973	1585	4179	2581
Cytoplasmic	57	79	311	212	333	196	776	635
Spots								
Nuclear Spots	190	99	35	63	81	138	320	269
Total Spots	246	100	345	203	414	334	1096	904
Total	580	276	1156	399	1755	1173	3263	1995
Cytoplasm								
Total Nuclear	226	155	176	124	632	746	2012	1490
Total Cell	806	353	1331	352	2387	1919	5274	3485

 Table 2. Copy number data.

	Mig1-G	iFP					Msn2-G	FP					
	Glucos	Glucose (+)			Glucose (-)			Glucose (+)			Glucose (-)		
	Mea	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	
	n												
Stoichiometry of	19.0	16.2	7.2	8.5	4.8	5.8	34.5	26.6	3.5	46.5	31.6	4.7	
Nuclear Spots													
Diffusion Constant	0.8	0.8	7.2	1.3	1.5	5.8	0.7	0.9	3.5	0.9	0.9	4.7	
of Nuclear Spots													
(µm²/s)													
Stoichiometry of	10.6	10.2	1.0	8.7	5.3	5.1	21.8	16.7	1.9	43.9	35.0	0.9	
Trans-Nuclear Spots													
Diffusion Constant	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.5	1.6	5.1	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.1	1.1	0.9	
of Trans-Nuclear													
Spots (µm²/s)													
Stoichiometry of	6.6	4.9	1.1	7.2	3.7	17.8	25.7	19.5	4.8	30.1	17.5	4.0	
Cytoplasmic Spots													
Diffusion Constant	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	17.8	1.2	1.1	4.8	1.0	1.4	4.0	
of Cytoplasmic													
Spots (µm²/s)													

Table 3. Foci tracking data.

	Mig1-GFP <i>snf1</i> Δ					
	Glucose (+)			Glucose (-)		
	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν
Stoichiometry of Nuclear Spots	17.5	10.9	13.2	23.5	15.4	10.9
Diffusion Constant of Nuclear Spots (µm²/s)	1.1	1.1	13.2	0.7	0.8	10.9
Stoichiometry of Trans-Nuclear Spots	8.9	6.0	1.2	12.7	6.1	0.5
Diffusion Constant of Trans-Nuclear Spots (µm²/s)	1.9	2.0	1.2	1.1	1.4	0.5
Stoichiometry of Cytoplasmic Spots	6.2	2.2	5.0	8.3	4.1	9.1
Diffusion Constant of Cytoplasmic Spots (µm²/s)	1.3	1.2	5.0	1.0	1.2	9.1
Copy Numbers						
Cytoplasmic Pool	947	728	30	608	450	30
Nuclear Pool	807	398	30	611	325	30
Total Pool	1754	1127	30	1219	775	30
Cytoplasmic Spots	118	169	30	334	374	30
Nuclear Spots	162	69	30	164	71	30
Total Spots	280	238	30	498	445	30
Total Cytoplasm	1065	897	30	941	824	30
Total Nuclear	969	467	30	775	396	30
Total Cell	2034	1364	30	1717	1220	30

Table 4. $snf1\Delta$ foci tracking and copy number data.

Chromosome	length (bp)	N sites identified	N promoter sites
I	230218	41	1
II	813184	134	10
III	316620	52	2
IV	1531933	240	14
V	576874	109	8
VI	270161	58	4
VII	1090940	168	13
VIII	562643	92	2
IX	439888	94	8
Х	745751	125	6
XI	666816	117	6
XII	1078177	194	12
XIII	924431	157	6
XIV	784333	135	3
XV	1091291	185	11
XVI	948066	163	6

 Table 5. Number of potential Mig1 target promoter sites per chromosome.

Msn2:	
Predicted residues: 704	Number Disordered Regions: 12
Number residues disordered: 394	Longest Disordered Region:145
Overall percent disordered: 55.97 Average P	rediction Score: 0.5577
Predicted disorder segment [1]-[2] Average S	trength= 0.8759
Predicted disorder segment [16]-[33]	Average Strength= 0.6958
Predicted disorder segment [55]-[199]	Average Strength= 0.8311
Predicted disorder segment [222]-[249]	Average Strength= 0.8237
Predicted disorder segment [322]-[365]	Average Strength= 0.8820
Predicted disorder segment [410]-[428]	Average Strength= 0.7475
Predicted disorder segment [469]-[480]	Average Strength= 0.6545
Predicted disorder segment [510]-[549]	Average Strength= 0.8040
Predicted disorder segment [572]-[641]	Average Strength= 0.9319
Predicted disorder segment [660]-[667]	Average Strength= 0.6829
Predicted disorder segment [694]-[695]	Average Strength= 0.5325
Predicted disorder segment [699]-[704]	Average Strength= 0.6783

Mig1:	
Predicted residues: 504	Number Disordered Regions: 9
Number residues disordered: 372	Longest Disordered Region: 95
Overall percent disordered: 73.81 Average P	rediction Score: 0.7008
Predicted disorder segment [1]-[12]	Average Strength= 0.8252
Predicted disorder segment [25]-[33]	Average Strength= 0.6502
Predicted disorder segment [77]-[171]	Average Strength= 0.8758
Predicted disorder segment [173]-[240]	Average Strength= 0.9051
Predicted disorder segment [242]-[249]	Average Strength= 0.5554
Predicted disorder segment [254]-[272]	Average Strength= 0.7890
Predicted disorder segment [292]-[310]	Average Strength= 0.8225
Predicted disorder segment [327]-[386]	Average Strength= 0.8355
Predicted disorder segment [423]-[504]	Average Strength= 0.9136

Laci:		
Predicted residues: 360	Number Disordered Regions: 8	
Number residues disordered: 149	Longest Disordered Region: 48	
Overall percent disordered: 41.39 Average	Prediction Score: 0.4418	
Predicted disorder segment [1]-[4] Average	Strength= 0.6245	
Predicted disorder segment [18]-[52]	Average Strength= 0.6710	
Predicted disorder segment [55]-[81]	Average Strength= 0.7443	
Predicted disorder segment [88]-[100]	Average Strength= 0.5841	
Predicted disorder segment [186]-[187]	Average Strength= 0.5429	
Predicted disorder segment [238]-[256]	Average Strength= 0.6208	
Predicted disorder segment [258]-[258]	Average Strength= 0.5028	
Predicted disorder segment [313]-[360]	Average Strength= 0.8331	

Phosphorylation sites of Mig1 and Msn2 (uniprot.org, accessed February, 2016):

Mig1 Phosphorylation site	Disorder segment	Msn2 Phosphorylation site	Disorder segment
S264	[254]-[272]	S194	[55]-[199]
S278	-	S201	-
T280	-	S288	-
S302	[292]-[310]	S304	-
S311	[292]-[310]	S306	-
S314		S308	-
S80	[77]-[171]	S432	-
S108	[77]-[171]	S451	-
S214	[173]-[240]	S582	[572]-[641]
S218	[173]-[240]	S620	[572]-[641]
S222	[173]-[240]	S625	[572]-[641]]
S303	[292]-[310]	T627	[572]-[641]
S310	[292]-[310]	S629	[572]-[641]
S350	[327]-[386]	S633	[572]-[641]
S367	13271-13861		
S370	13271-13861		
T371	13271-13861		
S377	13271-13861		
S379	[327]-[386]		
S381	[327]-[386]		
S400	-		
S402	-		
T455	[423]-[504]		

Table 6. Bioinformatics analysis for intrinsically disordered sequences.



Figure. 1. Single-molecule Slimfield microscopy of live cells reveals Mig1 clusters.



Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 1. Brightfield and fluorescence micrographs of key strains and glucose conditions.



Figure. 1 – Figure Supplement 2. Fluorescent reporter strains have similar viability to wild type, with relatively fast maturation of fluorescent protein, and no evidence for GFP-mediated oligomerization.





Figure. 2. Mig1 foci stoichiometry, mobility and localization depend on glucose.



Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Mig1 phosphorylation does not affect clustering but regulates localization.



Figure. 2 – Figure Supplement 2. Wild type Snf1 and analog sensitive have similar effects on Mig1.



stoichiometry and microscopic diffusion coefficient.



Figure. 3. Repressor clusters have heterogeneous mobility depending on localization.



Figure. 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Cumulative probability distance analysis reveals a single mobile population in the cytoplasm at *glucose* (+/-) and in the nucleus at *glucose* (-).



Figure. 4. Mig1 clusters are stabilized by depletion forces and bind to promoter targets.



Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 1. Additional Mig1 cluster investigations.



Figure. 4 – Figure Supplement 2. *In vitro* cluster characterization.





Figure. 5. Msn2 and Mig1 forms functional clusters colocalized to transcribed mRNA from their target genes



Figure. 6. Mig1 and Msn2 contain disordered sequences which may mediate cluster formation.