



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/121628/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Myhre, G, Myhre, CL, Forster, PM et al. (2017) Halfway to doubling of CO₂ radiative forcing. *Nature Geoscience*, 10 (10). pp. 710-711. ISSN: 1752-0894

<https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo3036>

© 2017, Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Nature Geoscience*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Halfway to doubling of CO₂ radiative forcing

2
3 Gunnar Myhre^{1*}, Cathrine Lund Myhre², Piers M. Forster³, Keith P. Shine⁴

4
5 ¹CICERO Center for International Climate and Environmental Research, Oslo, Norway

6 ²NILU-Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Kjeller, Norway

7 ³University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

8 ⁴Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK

9 *Corresponding author (gunnar.myhre@cicero.oslo.no)

10
11 The “double CO₂” experiment has become a standard experiment in climate science, and a convenient
12 way of comparing the sensitivity of different climate models. Double CO₂ was first used by Arrhenius¹ in
13 the 19th century and in the classic paper by Manabe and Wetherald², published 50 years ago, which
14 marked the start of the modern era of climate modeling. Doubling CO₂ now has an iconic role in climate
15 research. The equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) is defined as the global-mean surface temperature
16 change resulting from a doubling of CO₂³⁻⁵, which is a headline result in Intergovernmental Panel on
17 Climate Change (IPCC) assessments. In its most recent assessment IPCC concluded that the ECS “is likely
18 in the range 1.5 to 4.5°C”. We show that we are now halfway to doubling of CO₂ since pre-industrial
19 times in terms of radiative forcing, but not in concentration.


20 The greenhouse effect due to change in CO₂ – quantified using calculations of radiative forcing – follows,
21 to a good approximation, a logarithmic dependence on the ambient concentration in the atmosphere
22 over the last 1000 years⁶. Due to this relationship between radiative forcing and CO₂ concentration, the
23 radiative forcing due to a doubling of CO₂ is approximately independent of background levels. A
24 doubling of CO₂ is estimated by IPCC to cause a radiative forcing of 3.7 W m⁻². Recent detailed radiative
25 transfer calculations arrived at a similar estimate⁷. The uncertainties are small for the radiative forcing
26 due to CO₂; uncertainties associated with spectroscopic parameters that underpin forcing calculations
27 are estimated to be less than 1% in a recent study⁸, with overall uncertainties assessed to be 10%⁶ (with
28 90% confidence). Forcing estimates of doubling of CO₂ from global climate models have the same best
29 estimate as the IPCC value⁶, even though these models include rapid atmospheric adjustments, which
30 modify the forcing calculated using a radiative transfer model.

31 It is timely to assess where we are now, relative to a doubling. The global-mean CO₂ abundance in 2016
32 was 403 ppm according to global observations⁹ which is less than 50% higher than the pre-industrial CO₂
33 concentration of 278 ppm. However, due to the logarithmic forcing relationship, a halfway to doubling
34 of CO₂, in terms of radiative forcing, has now been reached. Figure 1a illustrates that this halfway point
35 happened at 393 ppm, which was reached in 2012. A halfway to doubling in the CO₂ concentration is
36 417 ppm and will be reached before 2025 with current CO₂ growth rates. Hence, at CO₂ concentrations
37 between of 393 and 417 ppm we are more than a halfway to CO₂ doubling in radiative forcing, but not in
38 concentration (Figure 1a).

39 Climate change over the industrial era is caused by several anthropogenic climate drivers in addition to
40 CO₂, including other atmospheric gases and aerosols and changes to the land surface⁶. Increases in
41 concentrations of well-mixed greenhouse gases (WMGHGs) other than CO₂ (notably CH₄, N₂O and
42 halocarbons) contribute to a stronger greenhouse effect. The combined radiative forcing from all
43 WMGHGs is 3.1 W m⁻² in 2015 (Figure 1b) and hence in CO₂-equivalent forcing terms, is 84% of the way
44 to a doubling. This value includes a recent estimate of methane's radiative forcing which incorporated
45 its absorption of solar radiation; this update resulted in an increase in the 1750-2011 CH₄ forcing from
46 0.48 (the value in IPCC fifth assessment⁶) to 0.61 W m⁻²⁷. This increase is, in radiative forcing terms,
47 close to the increase in CO₂ concentration over the 5 year period from 2010 to 2015. Consequently, we
48 estimate that total WMGHG radiative forcing will be equivalent to doubling of CO₂, with present growth
49 rates, by around 2030 (Figure 1b). This is almost 5 years earlier than is estimated without the update to
50 the CH₄ forcing. Aerosols generally cool the Earth and have historically countered much of this additional
51 WMGHG forcing. The total anthropogenic forcing is expected to be close to the CO₂-only forcing, but
52 aerosols add uncertainty⁶. Nevertheless, in terms of radiative forcing we are more than half way to a
53 doubling of CO₂.


54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72

73 a



74

75 b



76

77 **Figure 1:** Radiative forcing due to CO₂ and all well-mixed greenhouse gases (WMGHG). **a,** The CO₂
78 radiative forcing shown as a function of its global-mean abundance calculated using the IPCC forcing
79 expressions⁶. Dotted lines are for a 50% increase in concentration (vertical) and radiative forcing
80 (horizontal). **b,** Radiative forcing for all WMGHGs using the IPCC forcing expressions⁶, except for CH₄
81 where a stronger forcing, based on recent detailed calculations, is used⁷. Historical values are based on
82 observed concentrations. Radiative forcing for CO₂, N₂O and halocarbons for the 2000-2010 period and
83 future scenarios are from IPCC¹⁰. CH₄ concentrations are from IPCC¹⁰. For year 2015 the global annual
84 mean concentrations of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O are from NOAA⁹, and for halocarbons the relative increase
85 since 2010 are from the Arctic Zeppelin observatory. Preliminary data for 2016 is included⁹, which may
86 be subject to small changes. Growth in WMGHG radiative forcing in the 2010-2016 period is 0.04 W m⁻²
87 yr⁻¹; the asterix shows the date at which the total WMGHG forcing equals a CO₂ doubling by
88 extrapolating this trend.

89

90

91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117

¹ Arrhenius, S. *Philos. Mag. J. Sci.* **41**, 237–276 (1896).
² Manabe, S. and Wetherald, R. T. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **24**, 241-259 (1967).
³ Forster, Piers M. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **44**, 85-106 (2016).
⁴ Roe, G. H. and Baker, M. B. *Science* **318**, 629-632 (2007).
⁵ Collins, M. et al. in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013), pp. 1029–1136.
⁶ Myhre, G. et al. in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by T. F. Stocker et al. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013), pp. 659-740.
⁷ Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 12614-12623 (2016).
⁸ Mlynczak, Martin G. et al. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 5318-5325 (2016).
⁹ Blunden, J. and Arndt, D.S. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **98**, Si–S277 (2017).
¹⁰ Prather, M. et al. in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by T. F. Stocker et al. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013), pp. 1395-1445.