This is a repository copy of *Fast optical preparation, control, and readout of a single quantum dot spin*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/121592/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:
Ramsay, A.J., Boyle, S.J., Kolodka, R.S. et al. (6 more authors) (2008) Fast optical preparation, control, and readout of a single quantum dot spin. Physical Review Letters , 100. 197401. ISSN 0031-9007

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.197401

Reuse
Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

arXiv:0710.3738v3 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 18 Mar 2008

Fast optical preparation, control, and read-out of single quantum dot spin

A. J. Ramsay,[1,*] S. J. Boyle,[1] R. S. Kolodka,[1] J. B. B. Oliveira,[1,2]
J. Skiba-Szymanska,[1] H. Y. Liu,[3] M. Hopkinson,[3] A. M. Fox,[1] and M. S. Skolnick[1]

[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S3 7RH, United Kingdom*
[2] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual Paulista - UNESP, Bauru-SP, 17.033-360, Brazil*
[3] *Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S1 3JD, United Kingdom*

(Dated: February 11, 2013)

We propose and demonstrate the sequential initialization, optical control, and read-out of a single spin trapped in a semiconductor quantum dot. Hole spin preparation is achieved through ionization of a resonantly excited electron-hole pair. Optical control is observed as a coherent Rabi rotation between the hole and charged exciton states, which is conditional on the initial hole spin state. The spin-selective creation of the charged exciton provides a photocurrent read-out of the hole spin state.

PACS numbers: 78.67.Hc, 42.50.Hz, 71.35.Pq

The ability to sequentially initialize, control and read-out a single spin is an essential requirement of any spin based quantum information protocol [1]. This has not yet been achieved for promising schemes based on the optical control of semiconductor quantum dots [2]. These schemes seek to combine the picosecond optical gate speeds of excitons [3, 4, 5, 6], with the potential for millisecond coherence times of quantum dot spins [7, 8, 9], by optically manipulating the spin via the charged exciton. This results in a system where the potential number of operations before coherence loss could be extremely high, in the range 10^{4-9}, and in a system compatible with advanced semiconductor device technologies. A number of important milestones have recently been reached, but these focus on the continuous initialization of an electron [10, 11] or hole spin [12], detection of a single quantum dot spin [13, 14], or optical control of ensembles of 10^{6-7} spins [15, 16].

In this letter, we demonstrate sequential triggered on-demand preparation, optical manipulation, and picosecond time-resolved detection of a single hole spin confined to a quantum dot, thus demonstrating an experimental framework for the fast optical manipulation of single spins. This is achieved using a single self-assembled InGaAs quantum dot embedded in a photodiode structure. The hole spin is prepared by ionizing an electron-hole pair created by resonant excitation. A second laser pulse then drives a coherent Rabi oscillation between the hole and positive trion states, which due to Pauli blocking is conditional on the initial hole spin state, key requirements for the optical control of a spin via the trion transition. Due to Pauli blockade, creation of the charged exciton provides a photocurrent read-out of the hole spin state.

First we shall describe the principle of operation. The qubit is represented by the spin states of the heavy-hole ($J = \frac{3}{2}$), where logical states 0(1), are the spin up (down) states ($m_J = \pm\frac{3}{2}$). Figure 1 shows an idealized quantum dot, embedded in an n-i-Schottky diode structure. An electric-field is applied, such that the electron tunnelling rate is much faster than the hole tunnelling rate. The experiments use a sequence of two circularly polarized, time-separated laser pulses, with a time-duration shorter than the electron tunnelling time, labelled the 'preparation' and 'control' pulses. Figure 1 illustrates the steps (a-d) involved in the preparation, and read-out of the hole spin.



FIG. 1: Illustration of operating principle. *Preparation:* (a) Resonant excitation of the $0 - X^0$ transition creates a spin polarized electron-hole pair. Filled (open) arrows are electron (hole) respectively. (b)Under applied electric-field the electron tunnels from the dot, leaving a spin-polarized hole. *Read-out:* (c) A circularly polarized π-pulse creates a charged exciton only if the hole is in the target spin state. (d) Carriers tunnel from the dot, the creation of a charged exciton resulting in a change in the photocurrent proportional to the occupation of the target hole spin state. Inset: *Control* (i) Energy-levels of heavy-hole/charged exciton system which acts as two decoupled 2-level atoms: $h_\pm - X^+_\pm$. (ii) Driving a Rabi rotation with σ_+ circular polarization addresses the $h_- - X^+_-$ transition only.

Preparation:(a) The circularly polarized preparation pulse resonantly excites the ground-state neutral exci-

ton transition ($0 - X^0$), driving a Rabi rotation through an angle equal to the pulse-area of π [17]. This creates a spin-polarized electron-hole pair with near unit probability. (b) Under the action of the applied electric-field the electron will tunnel from the dot, resulting in a photocurrent proportional to the final exciton population of up to one electron per pulse, which for a 76-MHz repetition rate is 12.18 pA [3, 4]. Since the electron tunnelling rate is much faster than for the hole, the electron tunnels from the dot leaving a spin polarized hole.

Control: (inset) To control the $h - X^+$ transitions, the following control scheme is used. Because the spin lifetimes are long compared with the duration of the control laser pulse, the heavy-hole/charged-exciton 4-level system acts as two decoupled 2-level atoms, as illustrated in fig. 1(inset). The optical selection rules are a result of Pauli-blocking, with each hole spin-state coupling to a single auxiliary state: $|h_\pm\rangle - |X^+_\pm\rangle$. For a laser on resonance with the $h - X^+$ transition the control Hamiltonian is [17]:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_+ & 0 & 0 \\ \Omega_+ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_- \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Omega_\pm$ are the Rabi frequencies of the circular polarization components of the control laser, and the basis is $|\psi\rangle = (|h_-\rangle, |X^+_-\rangle, |h_+\rangle, |X^+_+\rangle)$. The control laser pulse implements the unitary operation $\hat{U}(\Theta_+, \Theta_-) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\int H dt\right)$:

$$\hat{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\Theta_+}{2}\right) & -i\sin\left(\frac{\Theta_+}{2}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sin\left(\frac{\Theta_+}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\Theta_+}{2}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos\left(\frac{\Theta_-}{2}\right) & -i\sin\left(\frac{\Theta_-}{2}\right) \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sin\left(\frac{\Theta_-}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\Theta_-}{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Theta_\pm = \int \Omega_\pm dt$ are the pulse-areas of the circularly polarized laser components. Control of the phase of the hole spin could be achieved as follows. A σ_+ polarized control laser addresses one transition only. For an initial-state of $|\psi\rangle = (a_-, 0, a_+, 0) \equiv (a_-, a_+)$, a σ_z-gate imparting a relative phase-shift of π between the hole spin states would be implemented when $\Theta_- = 0,\ \Theta_+ = 2\pi$:

$$\hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The phase-shift arising from a 2π Rabi-rotation has been verified in four-wave mixing experiments on the neutral exciton of an interface dot [6]. Further discussion of this control scheme can be found in refs. [17, 18].

Read-out: (c-d) Creation of the charged exciton results in a change in the photocurrent signal, and hence a read-out proportional to the probability that the hole is in the target spin state at that instant in time.



FIG. 2: Photocurrent vs laser detuning. (lower) Single circular polarized π-pulse, with $0 - X^0$ peak. (middle,upper) Two pulse spectra, with preparation-pulse resonant with $0 - X^0$ transition, with short (long) time-delay. The upper trace is offset for clarity. Note the emergence of the $h - X^+$ line at longer time-delays for cross-polarized excitation.

Full details of the device can be found in ref. [19], where inversion recovery measurements on this dot confirm that the neutral exciton coherence is limited by electron tunnelling. Due to the electron-hole exchange interaction the exciton transitions are linearly polarized and have a fine-structure splitting of $h/(230 \pm 10$ ps). Resonant excitation in step (a) with circular polarization creates a spin-polarized exciton. A combination of the fine-structure beat, and the time for the electron to tunnel leads to some loss of spin polarization. However, at the reverse bias of 0.8 V used in the experiment, the electron tunnelling rate ($\Gamma_e^{-1} = 35 - 40$ ps) is fast compared with the period of the fine-structure beat, minimizing any loss of spin orientation, and is slow enough to observe weakly damped Rabi oscillations (see later). At the same time the slow hole tunnelling rate ($\Gamma_h^{-1} \sim$ ns) is much faster than the repetition rate of the laser ensuring the dot is initially in the crystal ground-state.

Figure 2 presents one and two color photocurrent spectra to show the preparation and detection of a single hole spin. The lower trace of fig. 2 presents the case of single pulse excitation. A single peak corresponding to the neutral exciton transition ($0 - X^0$) is observed, with lineshape determined by the Gaussian pulse shape (FWHM=0.2 meV).

In the case of two color excitation, a preparation pulse with pulse-area π is tuned to the $0 - X^0$ transition, to create a neutral exciton with a probability close to one. The photocurrent is then recorded as a function of the detuning of the control-pulse, which also has a pulse-area of π. The middle traces of fig. 2 show two-color photocurrent spectra for a time-delay of 7 ps, much shorter than the electron tunnelling time. For co-polarized pulses there

is a dip at the $(0 - X^0)$ transition, since the pulse-pair is now equivalent to a 2π-pulse. For the cross-polarized case there is only a very weak $(0 - X^0)$ feature, but importantly there is an additional peak at a detuning of +1.32 meV, corresponding to the heavy-hole to charged exciton transition $(h - X^+)$ [20]. As the time-delay increases the electron tunnels from the dot, and the heavy-hole population grows, as seen in the upper traces of fig. 2. At a time-delay of 133 ps, which is much longer than the 35-40 ps electron tunnelling time, the exciton is completely ionized, resulting in a weak polarization insensitive $(0 - X^0)$ peak, and a stronger polarization sensitive $h - X^+$ peak.

The $(0-X^0)$ and the $(h-X^+)$ features in the two pulse spectra have the opposite selection rules. For $(0 - X^0)$, the Coulomb interaction shifts the energy of the biexciton by -2.16 meV and out-of-resonance with the spectrally narrow laser pulse preventing the absorption of the cross-polarized control-pulse. In the case of the positive trion, absorption of a co-polarized pulse is forbidden by the Pauli exclusion principle, since it would result in two holes of the same spin, as shown in fig. 1(inset). By contrast cross-polarized excitation of the positive trion results in a change in photocurrent proportional to the occupation of the target hole spin state. The energy separation between the X^0 and X^+ transitions is in close agreement with PL measurements.

From the amplitudes of the $h - X^+$ peaks for cross (4.2 pA) and co-polarized (0.88 pA) excitation at a time-delay of 133 ps, we deduce that when there is a hole, there is at least an 83% probability of the hole occupying the desired spin state. At 133 ps, there is also a $0 - X^0$ peak, indicating an approximately 20% probability of the dot occupying the crystal ground-state, implying that no hole of either spin has been prepared, possibly due to radiative recombination of the neutral exciton. This demonstrates steps a-d in fig. 1, showing preparation, and detection of a single spin.

Figure 3(a) presents time-resolved measurements from which the heavy-hole population can be deduced. The preparation-pulse creates a neutral exciton, whilst the control-pulse of pulse-area π, resonant with $h - X^+$, probes the population of the target hole spin state. For cross-circular excitation an exponential rise is observed as the electron tunnels from the dot, and the heavy-hole population increases until saturation (as illustrated in figs. 1(b)). The hole population has an exponential rise time of 40-ps, consistent with the electron tunnelling time [19]. After the fast initial rise the hole population slowly decays with a lifetime in excess of 600-ps. Due to the electron-hole exchange interaction of the neutral exciton, the hole ends up with the opposite spin about 20% of the time, resulting in a slower rise of the co-polarized signal [21]. This is the first time-resolved measurement of a single quantum dot spin with sub-nanosecond time resolution.



FIG. 3: (a) Time-resolved measurements of heavy-hole population. Photocurrent vs time-delay between pre-pulse, and a control-pulse resonant with the $h-X^+$ transition. (b)Rabi rotation of the $h-X^+$ transition, where creation of the charged exciton is conditional on the heavy-hole spin state. The π-pulse provides a read-out of the hole spin state. (c) Polarization dependence $(\Omega_-, \Omega_+) \equiv \Omega[\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha)]$ of the $h - X^+$ Rabi rotation. (▼) Inverse period of $h - X^+$ Rabi rotation vs polarization angle α of the control pulse, for a σ_- preparation pulse. The line shows the $|\sin(\alpha)|$ dependence confirming the independence of the $h_\pm - X^+_\pm$ transitions. (▲) Amplitude of Rabi rotation for σ_+-polarized control-pulse, versus the polarization angle of the preparation pulse.

To demonstrate control of the $h - X^+$ transition, as depicted in fig. 1(inset), we study the Rabi rotation of the transition. Figure 3(b) shows the photocurrent versus pulse-area of the control pulse, at a time-delay of 133 ps. Two pulses are incident on the sample: the preparation-pulse, and a control pulse of variable pulse-area resonant with the $h - X^+$ transition. A background photocurrent linear in power has been subtracted [3, 4]. For cross-circular excitation more than two periods of a weakly damped Rabi oscillation are observed. For co-circularly polarized excitation, the Rabi rotation is suppressed. The results in fig. 3(b) demonstrate a Rabi rotation of a charged exciton conditional on the initial spin state. Previous reports of a Rabi oscillation of a charged exciton were for *uninitialized* spins, in both an ensemble of quantum dots [15], and for an excited state

charged exciton of unknown charge [22].

To confirm that the 4-level $h - X^+$ system behaves as two decoupled two-level optical transitions, which are rotated by $\hat{U}$ when excited by the control laser, we studied the polarization dependence of the $h - X^+$ Rabi rotation. In the first experiment a σ_- preparation pulse is used to create an initial state which is predominantly $|h_-\rangle$: $|\psi\rangle \approx (1, 0, 0, 0)$. The Rabi rotation is then measured as a function of the polarization of the control pulse, defined as: $(\Omega_-, \Omega_+) \equiv \Omega[\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha)]$. The amplitude of the Rabi rotation is almost constant, but the inverse period is equal to the $|\sin(\alpha)|$ amplitude of the σ_+ component of the Rabi frequency of the control pulse, as seen in fig. 3(c). This demonstrates that the $|h_\mp\rangle$ state only interacts with $\sigma_\pm$ polarized light.

In the second experiment, the polarization of the control pulse is fixed at σ_-, and the Rabi rotation is measured as a function of the polarization of the preparation pulse. The period of the Rabi rotation is constant, but the amplitude exhibits a $\sin^2(\alpha)$ dependence reflecting the occupation of the $|h_-\rangle$ state, as shown in fig. 3(c). This demonstrates that the polarization of the preparation pulse can be used to control the initial populations of the hole spin states $|h_\pm\rangle$, in the mixed state. The distinct $|\sin(\alpha)|$ and $\sin^2(\alpha)$ dependencies of these measurements, further confirm that $\hat{U}$ is a good approximation of the action of the control pulse.

Armed with tools for the sequential initialization and read-out of a single spin, a number of future experiments are now possible. For example, a magnetic field in the Voigt configuration may be used to achieve an arbitrary phase-shift on a single spin [23]. To observe the precession of the hole spin a preparation and read-out pulse sequence would be applied. The data presented here strongly suggests that when a third circularly polarized control pulse with a pulse-area of 2π is applied, an operation $\hat{U}(0, 2\pi) \approx \hat{\sigma}_z$ will induce a relative phase-shift of π between the hole spin states, resulting in a phase-jump in the spin precession. The phase-shift can then be controlled using the detuning of the laser [24].

To summarize, using a photodiode structure we demonstrate sequential initialization, coherent optical control, and photocurrent read-out of a single hole spin. This work establishes an experimental platform for investigating optical control of single quantum dot spins, which marries the ultrafast coherent control of excitons with the long coherence times of spin based qubits.

This work was funded by EPSRC UK GR/S76076 and the QIPIRC UK. J. B. B. O acknowledges financial support from CAPES Brazil. Following submission of this work, Mikkelsen *et al* [25] reported the coherent precession of a single electron spin.

* Electronic address: a.j.ramsay@shef.ac.uk

[1] D. Loss and D. P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A **57** 120 (1998).

[2] A. Imamoglu, D. D. Awschalom, G. Burkard, D. P. DiVincenzo, D. Loss, M. Sherwin, and A. Small, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 4204 (1999).

[3] A. Zrenner, E. Beham, S. Stufler, F. Findeis, M. Bichler, and G. Abstreiter, Nature **418**, 612 (2002).

[4] S. Stufler, P. Ester, A. Zrenner, and M. Bichler, Phys. Rev. B **72** 121301(R) (2005).

[5] X. Li, Y. Wu, D. G. Steel, D. Gammon, T. H. Stievater, D. S. Katzer, D. Park, C. Piermarocchi, and L. J. Sham Science **301**, 809 (2003).

[6] B. Patton, U. Woggon, and W. Langbein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** 266401 (2005).

[7] M. Kroutvar, Y. Ducommun, D. Heiss, M. Bichler, D. Schuh, G. Abstreiter, J. J. Finley, Nature **432** 81 (2004).

[8] D. Heiss, S. Schaeck, H. Huebl, M. Bichler, G. Abstreiter, J. J. Finley, D. V. Bulaev, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **76** 241306(R) (2007).

[9] A. Greilich, D. R. Yakovlev, A. Schabaev, AI. L. Efros, I. A. Yugova, R. Oulton, V. Stavarache, D. Reuter, A. Wieck, and M. Bayer, Science, **313**, 341 (2006).

[10] M. Atature, J. Dreiser, A. Badolato, A. Hogele, K. Karrai, and A. Imamoglu, Science **312** 515 (2006).

[11] X. Xu, Y. Wu, B. Sun, Q. Huang, J. Cheng, D. G. Steel, A. S. Bracker, D. Gammon, C. Emary, and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 097401 (2007).

[12] B. D. Gerardot, D. Brunner, P. A. Dalgarno, P. Öhberg, S. Seidl, M. Kroner, K. Karrai, N. G. Stoltz, P. M. Petroff, and R. J. Warburton, Nature **451** 441 (2008).

[13] J. Berezovsky, M. H. Mikkelsen, O. Gywat, N. G. Stoltz, L. A. Coldren, and D. D. Awschalom, Science, **314** 1916 (2006).

[14] M. Atature, J. Dreiser, A. Badolato, and A. Imamoglu, Nat. Phys. **3** 101 (2007).

[15] A. Greilich, R. Oulton, E. A. Zhukov, I. A. Yugova, D. R. Yakovlev, M. Bayer, A. Shabaev, AI. L. Efros, I. A. Merkulov, V. Stavarache, D. Reuter, and A. Wieck, Phys. Rev. Lett. , **96**, 227401 (2006).

[16] Y. Wu, E. D. Kim, X. Xu, J. Cheng, D. G. Steel, A. S. Bracker, D. Gammon, S. E. Economou, and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** 097402 (2007).

[17] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang *Quantum computation and Quantum information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000), p279, p303 and p319.

[18] A. Nazir, B. W. Lovett, S. D. Barrett, T. P. Spiller, and G. A. D. Briggs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 150502 (2004).

[19] R. S. Kolodka, A. J. Ramsay, J. Skiba-Szymanska, P. W. Fry, H. Y. Liu, A. M. Fox, and M. S. Skolnick, Phys. Rev. B **75** 193306 (2007).

[20] M. E. Ware, E. A. Stinaff, D. Gammon, M. F. Doty, A. S. Bracker, D. Gershoni, V. L. Korenev, Ş. C. Bădescu, Y. Lyanda-Geller, and T. L. Reinecke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** 177403 (2005).

[21] Spin scattering due to electron-hole exchange could be reduced by applying a B-field parallel to the growth direction.

[22] L. Besombes, J. J. Baumberg and J. Motohisa, Phys.

Rev. Lett. **90**, 257402 (2003).
[23] S. E. Economou, and T. L. Reinecke, Phys. Rev. Lett. , **99** 217401 (2007).
[24] E. M. Gauger, S. C. Benjamin, and B. W. Lovett, Quant ph:- 0708.1692 (2007).
[25] M. H. Mikkelsen, J. Berezovsky, N. G. Stolz, L. A. Coldren, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature Physics, **3**, 771 (2007).