



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/119680/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Zhou, D., Li, J., Pang, L.X. et al. (2017) Novel water insoluble (NaxAg2-x) MoO4 (0 ≤ x ≤ 2) microwave dielectric ceramics with spinel structure sintered at 410 degrees. Journal of Materials Chemistry C, 5 (24). pp. 6086-6091. ISSN: 2050-7526

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c7tc01718a>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

**Novel water insoluble and sustainable  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) microwave dielectric ceramics with spinel structure sintered at 410 degrees**

Di Zhou,<sup>\*a,b</sup> Jing Li,<sup>a</sup> Li-Xia Pang,<sup>b,c</sup> Da-Wei Wang,<sup>b</sup> and Ian M. Reaney<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Electronic Materials Research Laboratory, Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education & International Center for Dielectric Research, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, Shaanxi, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, S1 3JD, UK

<sup>c</sup>Micro-optoelectronic Systems Laboratories, Xi'an Technological University, Xi'an 710032, Shaanxi, China

## **Abstract**

In the present work, a novel series of water insoluble ultra-low temperature firing  $(\text{Na},\text{Ag})_2\text{MoO}_4$  microwave dielectric were prepared via the traditional solid state reaction method. A spinel structured solid solution was formed in the full composition range in the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ). As  $x$  increased from 0 to 2.0, cell volume decreased linearly from 9.32 Å to 9.10 Å. Sintering behavior were described using a so-called 'bowing' effect and densification was achieved below 420 °C for  $0.5 \leq x \leq 1.2$  with grain size, 1 to 5 μm. Optimum microwave dielectric properties were obtained for  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramics sintered at 410 °C with a permittivity ~ 8.1, a microwave quality factor ~ 44,800 GHz and the temperature coefficient of the resonant frequency ~ - 82 ppm/°C at 13.9 GHz. Silver within the solid solution

---

\*Corresponding author E-mail address: zhoudi1220@gmail.com & d.zhou@sheffield.ac.uk (Di Zhou), i.m.reaney@sheffield.ac.uk (Ian M. Reaney)

inhibited hydrolyzation of ceramics and also reduced their sintering temperature. Compared with the sintering temperatures of traditional microwave dielectric ceramic ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $> 1400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) and normal low temperature co-fired ceramics ( $< 960\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ), this system will save lots of energy during processing and accelerate developments of sustainable electronic materials and devices.

## I. Introduction

Microwave dielectric ceramics play an important role in modern communication devices with research dominated by the need for i), low loss (high quality value,  $Qf$ ) materials with low permittivity ( $\epsilon_r < 5$ ) ii), ceramics with large  $\epsilon_r (>150)$ ; iii), ultra high  $Qf$  materials ( $> 100,000\text{ GHz}$ ) iv), lower cost materials fabricated from sustainable oxides resources and v), low sintering temperature ceramics, chemically compatible with low cost metal electrodes.<sup>1-5</sup>

To meet the requirement of miniaturization, integration, and reliability, low temperature co-fired ceramic technology (LTCC) plays an important role in fabrication of modern microwave devices. LTCC technology is defined as the co-firing dielectric and internal electrode layers.<sup>3,6</sup> LTCC technology requires microwave dielectric ceramics with lower sintering temperature than the melting point of metal electrode, such as  $961\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for silver and  $660\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for aluminum, along with chemical compatibility. Most traditional microwave dielectric ceramics have sintering temperature  $> 961\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and thus require sintering aids, such as glasses and low melting point oxides to reduce processing temperatures but this is usually associated with deterioration of  $Qf$ .<sup>7-9</sup>

In recent years, so-called ultra-low temperature co-fired ceramic technology (ULTCC) has attracted much attention. In ULTCC, microwave dielectric ceramics can be densified without sintering aids since they are fabricated from intrinsically low

melting point oxides.<sup>10-14</sup> A series of ULTCCs have been explored in Mo-rich, Bi-rich, Te-rich, B-rich, Li-rich, and V-rich systems, such as BaTe<sub>4</sub>O<sub>9</sub> sintered at 550 °C with  $\epsilon_r \sim 17.5$ ,  $Qf$  value  $\sim 54,700$  GHz and temperature coefficient of frequency (TCF)  $\sim -90$  ppm/°C,<sup>10</sup> Bi<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> sintered at 620 °C with  $\epsilon_r \sim 38$ ,  $Qf$  value  $\sim 12,500$  GHz and TCF  $\sim +31$  ppm/°C,<sup>11</sup> (Li,Bi)(Mo,V)O<sub>4</sub> with  $\epsilon_r > 75$ ,  $Qf$  value  $> 8,000$  GHz.<sup>12</sup> Such low sintering temperatures make it possible to employ Al in the multi-layer co-fired fabrication method. A prototype multilayer capacitor with five active layers sintered at 640 °C using Bi<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> as the dielectric and Al as an electrode layer was reported in our previous work.<sup>15</sup> In the last decade, many MoO<sub>3</sub> based microwave dielectric ceramics have been explored due to their low melting point,  $\sim 795^\circ\text{C}$ . However, the MoO<sub>3</sub> is water soluble and molybdate-based microwave dielectric ceramics can suffer from surface degradation due to reaction with atmospheric moisture. Examples of water soluble molybdate ceramics include, Li<sub>2</sub>O-MoO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O-MoO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O-MoO<sub>3</sub> etc.<sup>16-18</sup> Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> was first reported<sup>16</sup> as a microwave dielectric ceramic with a  $\epsilon_r \sim 5.5$ ,  $Qf$  value  $\sim 46,000$  GHz, TCF  $\sim -160$  ppm/°C, and a low sintering temperature  $\sim 540^\circ\text{C}$ . Subsequently, Kähari et al.<sup>[19]</sup> reported that dense ceramics can be obtained by moistening Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> powders at 120 °C and a pressure of 130 MPa due to re-crystallization during the volatilization of water, which can be represented as a pressure-mediated hydrothermal method. Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub> may also be used to fabricate dense composite ceramics, such as Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>-BaTiO<sub>3</sub> as well as organic-inorganic composites at  $< 150$  °C.<sup>20-22</sup> It is evident that water soluble microwave dielectric materials may play an important role in the novel low temperature fabrication of electronic materials but for high  $Qf$  applications whose surfaces are exposed to ambient, water solubility is detrimental in achieving extended lifetimes. In previous work, Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ag<sub>2</sub>O and ZnO effectively suppressed the

hygroscopicity of molybdates, such as  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_9$ ,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$  and  $\text{Li}_2\text{Zn}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{12}$ <sup>5,11,23</sup> Water insoluble microwave dielectric ceramics are essential for industry since they can be easily manufactured by using traditional solid state reaction method and with device geometries optimized through tape-casting and screen printing. In 2014, novel spinel structured water insoluble  $\text{NaAgMoO}_4$  compound was reported with  $\epsilon' \sim 7.9$ ,  $Qf \sim 33,000$  GHz and TCF  $\sim -120$  ppm/ $^\circ\text{C}$  and sintered at  $400$   $^\circ\text{C}$  which is the lowest conventional sintering temperature yet reported for ULTCC.<sup>14</sup>

Generally, ULTCCs occur in low eutectic point oxides.  $\text{NaAgMoO}_4$  is spinel structured with general formula  $\text{A}_2\text{BO}_4$ , in which oxygen ions form a cubic close-packed array with 8 out of 64 tetrahedra ( $\text{T}_d$ ) and 16 out of 32 octahedra ( $\text{O}_h$ ) occupied within the structure.<sup>24,25</sup> Spinel structure is ubiquitous, highly adaptive and a number of spinel structured materials have been widely studied due to their magnetic,<sup>26</sup> dielectric<sup>27</sup> and semi-conducting properties.<sup>28</sup> Besides  $\text{NaAgMoO}_4$ ,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4$  are also spinel structured<sup>23,29,30</sup> In general, Ag-based oxides are insoluble with respect to Li, Na and K counterparts.<sup>31,32</sup> Hence compositions in the solid solution  $(\text{Na,Ag})_2\text{MoO}_4$  look attractive to avoid reaction with ambient moisture. Moreover, modifying the Na:Ag ratio may tune TCF closer to zero. Hence, this solid solution is considered ideal to potentially synthesize temperature-stable ULTCC compositions suitable for MW applications.

## II. Experimental

Reagent-grade  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{CO}_3$ , and  $\text{MoO}_3$  (>99%, Fuchen Chemical Reagents, Tianjin, China) were weighed according to the stoichiometric formulation  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $x=0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 1.8, 2.0$ ). Powders were mixed and milled for 4 h using a planetary mill. The powder mixture was then dried and calcined at  $350 \sim 550$   $^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h. The calcined powders were ball milled for 4.5 h to obtain fine powders.

and pressed into cylinders (10 mm in diameter and 4 ~ 5 mm in height) at 100 MPa. Samples were sintered 2 h at 380 °C to 620 °C. Room temperature X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed using with CuK $\alpha$  radiation (Rigaku D/MAX-2400 X-ray diffractometry, Tokyo, Japan) from 10-80 ° 2 $\theta$  at a step size of 0.02 °. The results were analyzed by the Rietveld profile refinement method, using FULLPROF program. As-fired and fractured surfaces were observed by using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI, Quanta 250 F). Dielectric properties at microwave frequency were measured with the TE<sub>01 $\delta$</sub>  dielectric resonator method with a network analyzer (HP 8720 Network Analyzer, Hewlett-Packard) and a temperature chamber (Delta 9023, Delta Design, Poway, CA). The temperature coefficient of resonant frequency TCF ( $\tau_f$ ) was calculated with the following formula:

$$TCF(\tau_f) = \frac{f_T - f_{T_0}}{f_{T_0} \times (T - T_0)} \times 10^6 \quad (1)$$

where  $f_T$  and  $f_{T_0}$  are the TE<sub>01 $\delta$</sub>  resonant frequencies at temperature T and T<sub>0</sub>, respectively.

### III. Results and discussions

X-ray diffraction patterns of the (Na<sub>x</sub>Ag<sub>2-x</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub> (0 ≤ x ≤ 2) ceramics sintered at optimal temperatures are presented in Figure 1a. All compositions crystallized in the spinel structure with no second phase peaks apparent in the spectra, suggesting that the spinel structure is stable for the (Na<sub>x</sub>Ag<sub>2-x</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub> (0 ≤ x ≤ 2). With increased Na concentration, the relative intensity of the (111) and (220) peaks increased accompanied by a decrease in (222) and (440). Refinements were performed on the (Na<sub>1.2</sub>Ag<sub>0.8</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub> and (Na<sub>1.8</sub>Ag<sub>0.2</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub> samples using Fullprof software based on the XRD data. As shown in Figure 1b and 1c, the refined cell parameters are  $a = b = c = 9.202$  (0) Å and 9.133 (5) Å for the (Na<sub>1.2</sub>Ag<sub>0.8</sub>)MoO<sub>4</sub> ( $R_p = 11.9$  %,  $R_{wp} = 14.3$  %,

and  $R_{\text{exp}} = 7.07 \%$ ) and  $(\text{Na}_{1.8}\text{Ag}_{0.2})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $R_p = 8.32 \%$ ,  $R_{\text{wp}} = 10.5 \%$ , and  $R_{\text{exp}} = 5.91 \%$ ), respectively, each arising from a face centered cubic structure with space group Fd-3m, based on the model (ICSD #159740) reported by Bouhemadou et al.<sup>33</sup> All cell parameters are listed in Table I and II and a schematic crystal structure of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) sample is presented in the insert of Figure 1e.<sup>34</sup> Na and Ag cations are randomly arranged in the CN6, octahedra, whereas the Mo cations are tetrahedrally (CN4) coordinated. Cell parameters of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of x value are shown in Figure 1f which decrease almost linearly with the increase of x in accordance with Vegard's law which may be explained by the larger ionic radius of  $\text{Ag}^+$  (1.15Å) with respect to  $\text{Na}^+$ (1.02 Å) in CN6.<sup>35</sup> For the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  co-fired samples with both silver and aluminum, only peaks of the spinel phase and respective metals are observed with no additional peaks in the XRD traces, implying that  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  material did not react with either Ag or Al at 410°C.

SEM images of as-fired and fractured surfaces of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered 410 °C are shown in Figure 2a. A dense and homogeneous microstructure is observed in the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered at 410 °C with grain size 1 ~ 5 μm, similar to  $(\text{NaAg})\text{MoO}_4$  and smaller than that of pure  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$ .<sup>14,23</sup> The densification temperature and relative densities (theoretical density was obtained from cell parameters shown in Figure 1; bulk densities for  $x < 1.5$  were obtained using Archimedes' method and for  $x \geq 1.5$  sample simply using mass / volume) of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of x are shown in Figure 2b. Sintering temperatures of the two end members,  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$  and  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4$ , are 450 °C and 620 °C, respectively.<sup>17,23</sup> The sintering temperature did not change linearly but went through a minimum of 400 °C at  $x = 0.1$ , behavior previously observed in solid

solutions such as  $\text{Bi}(\text{Sb}_{1-x}\text{Ta}_x)\text{O}_4$  and  $(\text{Ag,Bi})(\text{Mo,V})\text{O}_4$ .<sup>36,37</sup> This ‘bow-shaped’ behavior extends even to, for example, calculation of the band gap in ZnO–CrO, III–V compounds,<sup>38,39</sup> and is described by the following second order equation:

$$S.T.(x) = 0.5xS.T._{(\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4)} + (1-0.5x) \times S.T._{(\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4)} - bx(1-0.5x) \quad (2)$$

where  $S.T._{(\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4)}$  and  $S.T._{(\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4)}$  correspond to sintering temperatures of the end member  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4$  and  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$ , respectively. The parameter  $b$  is the bowing parameter which is influenced by a number of different crystal chemical factors such as the difference in ionic radius and electronegativity. When fitted to Eq. (2), the observed nonlinearity in sintering temperatures of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics yielding a bowing parameter,  $b = 248.6$  °C.

The spinel structure primitive cell contains two  $\text{Ag}_2\text{MoO}_4$  units that provide a total of 42 vibrational degrees of freedom. Group theoretical considerations give rise to five Raman-active modes:<sup>40-43</sup>

$$\Gamma = A_{1g} + E_g + 3F_{2g} \quad (3)$$

As shown in Figure 3a, the  $A_{1g}$  mode at  $\sim 890 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the Mo–O bond symmetric stretching vibration of the  $\text{MoO}_4$  unit, whereas the  $T_{2g}$  mode at  $780 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  represents asymmetric stretching. The  $F_{2g}$  mode found at  $370 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the asymmetric bending mode of the  $\text{MoO}_4$  units. The  $E_g$  mode at  $279 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to a twofold degenerate  $E_g$  symmetric bending mode of the  $\text{MoO}_4$  units. As reported in the literature, there should be another  $F_{2g}$  mode at about  $100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to triply degenerate  $F_{2g}$  associated with translations of the  $\text{MoO}_4$  units (or Ag cations). Due to the measurement limit, this mode was not obtained here. Wavenumbers of the stretching and bending modes of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of  $x$  are presented in Figure 3b. All the modes were blue-shifted as a function of  $x$ . Hardcastle and Wachs<sup>44</sup> gave a empirical relation

between Raman stretching frequencies of molybdenum-oxygen bonds and their respective bond distances in molybdenum oxide compounds in 1990 as following:

$$\nu(\text{cm}^{-1}) = 32895 \times \exp(-2.073R) \quad (4)$$

where  $\nu$  is the Raman shift and  $R$  is the Mo-O bond distance. The calculated Mo-O bond length lies between 1.741 ~ 1.749 Å as shown in Figure 3b. The Mo-O bond length decreased linearly with  $x$  in  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$ , corresponding well with the XRD analysis discussed above.

Microwave dielectric  $\epsilon_r$ ,  $Qf$  and TCF of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of  $x$  are shown in Figure 4. Microwave dielectric properties as a function of sintering temperature were not shown for clarity and the densification temperatures were obtained from both density and permittivity as a function of sintering temperature.  $\epsilon_r$  is stable at  $\sim 8$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1.2$  but then decreases to  $\sim 5$  for  $1.5 \leq x \leq 2.0$ . The ionic polarizability of  $\text{Na}^+$  is reported by Shannon to be  $1.80 \text{ \AA}^3$ , whilst that of  $\text{Ag}^+$  was calculated as  $2.25 \text{ \AA}^3$  in previous work.<sup>14,45</sup> Microwave  $\epsilon_r$  is determined by photon absorption and far-infrared region of the EM spectrum and only ionic and electron displacive polarization contributes. Typically, microwave  $\epsilon_r$  changes linearly in solid solution materials as described by Shannon's additive rule but the sudden drop between  $1.2 \leq x \leq 1.5$  does not follow the predicted trend. The polarizability of  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$  is  $\sim 3.28 \text{ \AA}^3$ ,<sup>46,47</sup> larger than those of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Ag}^+$ . Therefore it is  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$  ion within the  $\text{MoO}_4$  tetrahedron which contributes primarily to  $\epsilon_r$  in the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) system. As discussed previously, the smaller ionic radius of  $\text{Na}^+$  with respect to  $\text{Ag}^+$  decreases the dimensions of the  $[\text{Na,Ag}]\text{O}_6$  octahedra, resulting in a linear decrease in cell volume with  $\text{MoO}_4$  tetrahedra forced to accommodate the decreasing cell volume. The effective polarizability of  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$  ion is thus reduced as the

Mo-O bond length shrinks. Although this simple concept explains the decrease in  $\epsilon_r$ , it does not explain the non-linear trend and this phenomena requires further study.

$Qf$  values of this system increased from below 20,000 GHz to above 40,000 GHz as  $x$  increased from 0 to above 1.2, concomitant with a shift in TCF from  $\sim -140$  ppm/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at  $x = 0$  to  $\sim -60$  ppm/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at  $x = 2.0$ . The best microwave dielectric properties were obtained for the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered  $410^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a  $\epsilon_r \sim 8.1$ ,  $Qf$  value = 44,800 GHz and TCF =  $-82$  ppm/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$  at 13.9 GHz. Comparison of its microwave dielectric properties with other systems with a permittivity  $\sim 8$  from literatures are presented in Table 3.<sup>5,14,16,23,48</sup> Moreover, the substitution of Ag up to 10 mol. % for Na ( $x=1.8$ ), effectively suppresses hydrolyzation which, in combination with the chemical compatibility of these ceramics with Al and Ag electrodes, suggests that they have potential for exploitation in ULTCC technology.

#### **IV. Conclusions**

In summary, a spinel structured solid solution was formed in the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics prepared via solid state reaction method. The cell volume and Mo-O bond length both decreased with  $x$ . Within the composition range  $0.5 \leq x \leq 1.2$ , ceramics densified below  $420^{\circ}\text{C}$  with microwave  $\epsilon_r \sim 8$ ,  $30,000 < Qf < 44,800$  GHz and values between  $-120 \leq \text{TCF} \leq -82$  ppm/ $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Combined with our previous study illustrating the chemically compatible with silver and aluminum electrodes, these compositions have the potential to play an important role in ULTCC technology.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1632146), the Young Star Project of Science and Technology of Shaanxi Province (2016KJXX-34), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University, and the 111 Project of China (B14040). The SEM work was done at International Center for

Dielectric Research (ICDR), Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China and the authors thank Ms. Yan-Zhu Dai for her help in using SEM. Ian M. Reaney would like to acknowledge the Sustainability and Substitution of Functional Materials and Devices EPSRC (EP/L017563/1) and Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant, EP/N010493/1.

## References

- 1 I. M. Reaney & D. Iddles, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2006, **89**, 2063.
- 2 R. J. Cava, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, **11**, 54.
- 3 M. T. Sebastian, H. Jantunen, *Int. Mater. Rev.*, 2008, **53**, 57.
- 4 H. T. Kim, S. H. Kim, S. Nahm, J. D. Byun and Y. Kim, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1999, **82**, 3043.
- 5 D. Zhou, C. A. Randall, L. X. Pang, H. Wang, X. G. Wu, J. Guo, G. Q. Zhang, L. Shui and X. Yao, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2011, **94**, 802.
- 6 L. X. Pang, D. Zhou, Z. M. Qi, W. G. Liu, Z. X. Yue and I. M. Reaney, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 2695.
- 7 H. Jantunen, R. Rautioaho, A. Uusimaki and S. Leppavuori, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2010, **20**, 2331.
- 8 H. Kagata, T. Inoue, J. Kato and I. Kameyama, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 1992, **31**, 3152.
- 9 L. X. Pang and D. Zhou, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2010, **93**, 3614.
- 10 D. K. Kwon, M. T. Lanagan and T. R. ShROUT, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2005, **88**, 3419.
- 11 D. Zhou, H. Wang, X. Yao and L. X. Pang, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2008, **91**, 3419.
- 12 D. Zhou, L. X. Pang, J. Guo, Z. M. Qi, T. Shao, X. Yao, C. A. Randall, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 21412.
- 13 L. X. Pang, D. Zhou, J. Guo, Z. X. Yue and X. Yao, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 130.
- 14 D. Zhou, L. X. Pang, Z. M. Qi, B. B. Jin, X. Yao, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 5980.
- 15 D. Zhou, C. A. Randall, A. Baker, H. Wang, L. X. Pang and X. Yao, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2010, **93**, 1443.
- 16 D. Zhou, C. A. Randall, H. Wang, L. X. Pang and X. Yao, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2010, **93**, 1096.

- 17 G. Q. Zhang, H. Wang, J. Guo, L. He, D. D. Wei and Q. B. Yuan, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 528.
- 18 G. Q. Zhang, J. Guo, L. He, D. Zhou, H. Wang, J. Koruza and M. Kosec, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **97**, 241.
- 19 H. Kähäri, M. Teirikangas, J. Juuti and H. Jantunen, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **97**, 3378.
- 20 H. Kähäri, M. Teirikangas, J. Juuti and H. Jantunen, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2015, **98**, 687.
- 21 H. Kähäri, M. Teirikangas, J. Juuti and H. Jantunen, *Ceram. Int.*, 2016, **42**, 11442.
- 22 J. Guo, S. S. Berbano, H. Guo, A. L. Baker, M. T. Lanagan and C. A. Randall, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 7115.
- 23 D. Zhou, W. B. Li, L. X. Pang, J. Guo, Z. M. Qi, T. Shao, Z. X. Yue and X. Yao, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **97**, 3597.
- 24 M. Gracia, J. F. Marco, J. R. Gancedo, J. L. Gautier, E. I. Ríos, N. Menéndez and J. Tornero, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2003, **13**, 844.
- 25 D. S. Mathew and R. S. Juang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2007, **129**, 51.
- 26 V. G. Harris, A. Geiler, Y. Chen, S. D. Yoon, M. Wu, A. Yang, Z. Chen, P. He, P. V. Parimi, X. Zuo, C. E. Patton, M. Abe, O. Acher and C. Vittoria, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2009, **321**, 2035.
- 27 W. C. Tsai, Y. H. Liou, Y. C. Liou, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B*, 2012, **177**, 1133.
- 28 A. Feteira, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2009, **92**, 967.
- 29 J. Donohue and W. Shand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1947, **69**, 222.
- 30 K. G. Bramnik and H. Ehrenberg, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2004, **630**, 1336.
- 31 D. R. Lide, *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (90th ed.)*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press (2009).

- 32 J. A. Dean, *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry 16th Edition*, McGraw-Hill, INC. (1999).
- 33 A. Bouhemadou, R. Khenata, D. Rached, F. Zerarga and M. Maamache, *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2007, **38**, 203.
- 34 K. Momma and F. Izumi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, **44**, 1272.
- 35 R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Cryst. Phys. Diffr. Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751.
- 36 D. Zhou, H. Wang, X. Yao and L. X. Pang, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 2008, **91**, 2228.
- 37 D. Zhou, L. X. Pang, Z. M. Qi and X. Yao, *Inor. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 9222.
- 38 G. P. Donati, R. Kaspi and K. J. Malloy, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2003, **94**, 5814.
- 39 S. Adachi, *Properties of Semiconductor Alloys: Group-IV, III-V and II-VI Semiconductors*, Wiley, New York (2009).
- 40 J. V. B. Moura, J. G. da Silva Filho, P. T. C. Freire, C. Luz-Lima, G. S. Pinheiro, B. C. Viana, J. Mendes Filho, A. G. Souza-Filho and G. D. Saraiva, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2016, **86**, 97.
- 41 G. D. Saraiva, W. Paraguassu, M. Maczka, P. T. C. Freire, Jr. J. A. Lima, C. W. A. Paschoal, J. Mendes Filho and A. G. Souza Filho, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 937.
- 42 V.P. Mahadevan Pillai, T. Pradeep, M. J. Bushiri, R. S. Jayasree and V.U. Nayar, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*, 1997, **53**, 867.
- 43 R. H. Busey and Jr. O. L. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1964, **41**, 215.
- 44 F. D. Hardcastle and I. E. Wachs, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 1990, **21**, 683.
- 45 D. Zhou, L. X. Pang, H. D. Xie, J. Guo, B. He, Z. M. Qi, T. Shao, X. Yao and C. A. Randall, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **2**, 296.
- 46 G. K. Choi, J. R. Kim, S. H. Yoon and K. S. Hong, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 2007, **27**, 3063.

47 R. D. Shannon, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1993, **73**, 348.

48 I. S. Cho, D. W. Kim, J. R. Kim and K. S. Hong, *Ceram. Int.*, 2004, **30**, 1181.

Table 1 Refined atomic fractional coordinates from XRD data for the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  sample and the lattice parameters at room temperature are  $a = b = c = 9.202(0) \text{ \AA}$ . The space group is Fd-3m (227).

Atom	Site	Occ.	x	y	z	Biso
Mo	8a	0.04167	0.12500	0.12500	0.12500	0.67564
Na	16d	0.05000	0.50000	0.50000	0.50000	1.15366
Ag	16d	0.03333	0.50000	0.50000	0.50000	1.15366
O	32e	0.16667	0.23460	0.23460	0.23460	0.76760

Table 2 Refined atomic fractional coordinates from XRD data for the  $(\text{Na}_{1.8}\text{Ag}_{0.2})\text{MoO}_4$  sample and the lattice parameters at room temperature are  $a = b = c = 9.133 (5) \text{ \AA}$ . The space group is Fd-3m (227).

Atom	Site	Occ.	x	y	z	Biso
Mo	8a	0.04167	0.12500	0.12500	0.12500	0.32704
Na	16d	0.07500	0.50000	0.50000	0.50000	0.78023
Ag	16d	0.00833	0.50000	0.50000	0.50000	0.78023
O	32e	0.16667	0.23460	0.23460	0.23460	0.59198

Table 3 Comparison of microwave dielectric properties of ULTCCs with a permittivity ~ 8

Composition	Phase	S.T. (°C)	$\epsilon_r$	Qf (GHz)	TCF (ppm/°C)	Ref.
NaAgMoO <sub>4</sub>	spinel	400	7.9	33,000	-120	14
Li <sub>2</sub> O-B <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -SiO <sub>2</sub> -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -CaO	composite	550	8.0	2,400	-48	48
Ag <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub>	spinel	450	8.1	17,000	-133	23
(Na <sub>1.2</sub> Ag <sub>0.8</sub> )MoO <sub>4</sub>	spinel	410	8.1	44,800	-82	this work
Li <sub>2</sub> Ca <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	composite	630	8.5	108,000	-89	5
Li <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>4</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	Anorthic	520	8.8	7,700	-66	16


## Figure Captions

**Fig. 1** X-ray diffraction patterns of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics sintered at optimal temperatures (a), the experimental (circles) and calculated (line) X-ray powder diffraction profiles of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered at 410 °C for 2 h ( $R_p = 11.9 \%$ ,  $R_{wp} = 14.3 \%$ ,  $R_{exp} = 7.07 \%$ ) (b), the  $(\text{Na}_{1.8}\text{Ag}_{0.2})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered at 470 °C (c) for 2 h ( $R_p = 8.32 \%$ ,  $R_{wp} = 10.5 \%$ ,  $R_{exp} = 5.91 \%$ , the short vertical lines below the patterns mark the positions of Bragg reflections. The bottom continuous line is the difference between the observed and the calculated intensity), XRD patterns of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  co-fired samples with 30 wt. % Ag and 30 wt. % Al at 410 °C for 2 h (d), and schematic crystal structure of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic (e).


**Fig. 2** SEM images of as-fired and fractured surfaces of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered 410 °C (a) and densification temperatures of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramics as a function of x with relative density marked (b).

**Fig. 3** Raman spectra of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics (a) and mode shift as a function of x (b).


**Fig. 4** Microwave dielectric permittivity, Qf value and TCF value of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of x.




**Fig. 1** X-ray diffraction patterns of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics sintered at optimal temperatures (a), the experimental (circles) and calculated (line) X-ray powder diffraction profiles of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered at 410 °C for 2 h ( $R_p = 11.9\%$ ,  $R_{wp} = 14.3\%$ ,  $R_{exp} = 7.07\%$ ) (b), the  $(\text{Na}_{1.8}\text{Ag}_{0.2})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered at 470 °C (c) for 2 h ( $R_p = 8.32\%$ ,  $R_{wp} = 10.5\%$ ,  $R_{exp} = 5.91\%$ , the short vertical lines below the patterns mark the positions of Bragg reflections. The bottom continuous line is the difference between the observed and the calculated intensity), XRD patterns of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  co-fired samples with 30 wt. % Ag and 30 wt. % Al at 410 °C for 2 h (d), and schematic crystal structure of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic (e).



**Fig. 2** SEM images of as-fired and fractured surfaces of the  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic sintered  $410^{\circ}\text{C}$  (a) and densification temperatures of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramics as a function of  $x$  with relative density marked (b).



**Fig. 3** Raman spectra of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics (a) and mode shift as a function of  $x$  (b).



**Fig. 4** Microwave dielectric permittivity, Qf value and TCF value of the  $(\text{Na}_x\text{Ag}_{2-x})\text{MoO}_4$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 2$ ) ceramics as a function of x.

## A table of contents entry

A novel series of spinel structured ultra-low temperature co-fired microwave dielectric  $(\text{Na,Ag})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramics were studied in detail. The  $(\text{Na}_{1.2}\text{Ag}_{0.8})\text{MoO}_4$  ceramic can be well sintered at  $410^\circ\text{C}$  with a permittivity  $\sim 8.1$ , a quality factor (Qf)  $\sim 44,800\text{ GHz}$  and grain size  $1 \sim 5\ \mu\text{m}$ .

