



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/118935/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

van Zwieten, C, Cook, SR, Voss, J et al. (2017) Waste disposal in late Iron Age and early Roman Silchester: A geochemical comparison of pits, post holes, ditches and wells in Insula IX. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 15. pp. 1-7. ISSN: 2352-409X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.06.044>

---

(c) 2017, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Waste disposal in late Iron Age and early Roman Silchester: a geochemical comparison  
2 of pits, post holes, ditches and wells in Insula IX.

3 Cindy van Zwieten<sup>1</sup>, Samantha R Cook<sup>\*1</sup>, Jochan Voss<sup>2</sup> and Michael G Fulford<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas A  
4 Pankhurst<sup>1</sup> and Catherine M. Barnett<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>SAGES, The University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6AB

6 <sup>2</sup>The Department of Mathematics, The University of Leeds,

7 \*Corresponding author s.r.cook@reading.ac.uk

## 8 **Abstract**

9 Bulk chemical analysis was undertaken on samples taken from 143 negative features  
10 (wells, pits, post-holes, cess pits and ditches) across the area of excavation at Silchester  
11 Hampshire in order to help us understand the disposal of waste during late Iron Age  
12 and earliest Roman occupation. Results show that it is possible to split features into  
13 waste disposal which included animal/human waste and those which probably did not.  
14 It is also possible to identify post-holes based on organic matter content. This work  
15 forms part of the larger Town Life project run by the University of Reading.

16 Keywords: Iron Age, geochemistry, waste disposal

## 17 **Introduction**

18 Since 2002 a sampling strategy using bulk geochemical analysis (x-ray fluorescence) has  
19 been developed in the context of the Silchester Roman Town Life Project in Insula IX,  
20 where excavation by the Department of Archaeology at the University of Reading began  
21 in 1997 and was concluded in 2014. The aim of the strategy has been to enhance our  
22 knowledge of the changing use of space and of occupational behaviour within the area  
23 under investigation, some 3025m<sup>2</sup>, which represents about one quarter of this entire  
24 block (*insula*) of the Roman town. Using XRF as the principal technique of investigation,  
25 research initially focused on the interior of one mid-Roman house (House 1) (Cook *et al.*,  
26 *et al.*, 2005; 2011), then on the wider use of hearths across the excavated area (Cook *et al.*,  
27 2010) and, more recently, on the differential use of space of the timber-framed  
28 buildings occupying the area under excavation in the late 1<sup>st</sup> and early 2<sup>nd</sup> century AD  
29 (Period 2) (Cook *et al.*, 2014).

30 As the excavation reached the earliest occupation layers, representing the initial  
31 settlement of the late Iron Age from c. 20BC (Period 0) and the earliest post-Roman  
32 conquest phase from the mid-40s to the last quarter of the 1<sup>st</sup> century AD (Period 1), a  
33 much greater density of pitting and well digging was found than in subsequent phases.  
34 It is generally assumed that the pits, even if originally dug in order to extract water or  
35 building materials such as gravel or clay, were eventually used to receive waste of all  
36 kinds. Even wells, once abandoned as a source of water, were used in a similar way.  
37 Typically such features contain quantities of discarded pottery, ceramic building  
38 material and animal bone as well as the macroscopic waste from metalworking. There  
39 are also the rarer, items of material culture like metalwork, including items such as  
40 coins and personal items. Programmes of environmental sampling use flotation to  
41 recover the carbonised remains of seeds and wood charcoal, while the residues from  
42 these processes produce finds not usually recovered in hand excavation such as small  
43 mammal and fish bone, mineralised seed and plant remains and the microscopic  
44 remains of metalworking. Waterlogged contexts producing well preserved seed and  
45 plant remains, as well as perishable materials such as leather, textiles and wood give an  
46 indication of the range of organic materials which do not normally survive.

47 The geochemistry of the soils reported here is designed to complement the comparative  
48 analysis of the contents of pits and wells based largely on the macroscopic finds of  
49 material culture and faunal remains and to investigate potential patterning that will  
50 shed light on variations in occupational behaviour across the excavated area. It will also  
51 help moderate initial interpretations made in the field, for example that certain pits  
52 were used for cess disposal. Underlying the approach is an assumption that the pits and  
53 wells will produce a distinctive geochemistry. In order to test this, the study has been  
54 broadened to include samples from ditches, gullies and post-holes. The latter, for  
55 example, are generally interpreted as such on the basis of their size, but the  
56 geochemistry may help to distinguish small pits actually used for waste disposal and  
57 holes dug to take the structural components of buildings.

## 58 **Methods**

59 Samples were taken from negative features across the excavation at Silchester Insula IX,  
60 these features were characterised during the excavation as pits (sixty four features),

61 ditches/gullies (nineteen features) post-holes (sixty features) and wells (three  
62 features). The features were classified as follows:

63 Pits: features excavated for a variety of purposes such as storage and disposal of human  
64 and animal waste. Ditch/gully: features which have been used for drainage, either for  
65 roads or buildings, enclosures or defences.

66 Posthole: features used to hold posts, either for a fence or building.

67 Well: a feature used to draw drinking water for human and/or animal consumption.

68 The samples were allowed to dry, then dis-aggregated and passed through a 1 mm  
69 sieve. The number of sample analysed necessitated a technique that was both rapid and  
70 relatively low cost, in this case x-ray fluorescence was chosen. The samples were then  
71 ground and pressed into pellets with a KBr backing for analysis by X-ray fluorescence  
72 (XRF) using a Philips PW1480 XRF with Philips X40 analytical software. Analytical  
73 quality was determined by running multiple sub-samples and certified reference  
74 material was used to check the accuracy of analysis. Organic matter content of selected  
75 samples was determined using loss-on-ignition at 500°C.

76 The bulk (the XRF analysis providing total element concentrations) geochemistry of the  
77 samples has then been compared both against each other and against background soil  
78 samples collected from outside the Roman town wall at Silchester, The aim of the work  
79 is to examine variability and elucidate any differences which may enhance the  
80 interpretation of individual features and, more generally, of occupational behaviours  
81 across the excavated area in the late Iron Age and earliest Roman period.

## 82 **Results**

83 In order to begin to understand the chemical fingerprints of each type of feature the  
84 average concentrations of both major and trace elements were first considered in  
85 relation to the mean background concentrations (Table 1a), these were then plotted to  
86 obtain an “average chemical fingerprint” for each feature type (Fig. 2). At first glance  
87 there are six elements which appear enriched within the samples from the  
88 anthropogenic features; these are copper, zinc, strontium, phosphorus, calcium and  
89 manganese.

90

91 Copper and zinc are found at highest concentrations in the cess and rubbish pit samples.  
92 The samples from these features contained greater amounts of organic matter (Table  
93 1b) than the well samples but less than the post-hole samples. Unsurprisingly given its  
94 affinity for organic matter Cu has the largest correlation with organic matter content  
95 (0.46). The explanation for the high organic matter but lower copper concentration in  
96 the post holes may be due to the nature of the infilling and/or decay of the posts *in-situ*,  
97 particularly if the post was charred, examples of charred posts were found in the forum  
98 basilica excavations (Fulford and Timby 2000, 29). Pit 12462 was the only feature  
99 analysed that was interpreted during excavation as a cess pit. However the chemical  
100 signal from the samples analysed (Table1, Fig.2) demonstrates that this feature, whilst  
101 contained elevated P concentrations, is not markedly different from the chemical  
102 signature obtained from the pits. Cess and rubbish pits contain a variety of human and  
103 animal waste which is likely to be higher in Cu (Oonk *et al.*, 2009), whereas the material  
104 from the postholes is more likely to be packing for the post (rubble, soil) and soil infill  
105 into the void left by the decayed post. Zinc is also found in highest concentrations in the  
106 rubbish pits, in all probability for the same reason as Cu.

107

108 Strontium is also indicative of anthropogenic activity and has been shown to be  
109 associated with food preparation, animal penning and burning (Middleton, 2004). The  
110 highest concentrations of Sr were found in the cess and rubbish pit samples here.

111

112 Phosphorus is the most widely used anthropogenic indicator in archaeological  
113 sediments and it is a key element in living systems (Middleton 2004, Oonk 2009),  
114 phosphorus enrichment occurs most frequently as a result of disposing of excrement,  
115 waste and organic decay (Gauss *et al.*, 2013). Phosphorus can enter the sediment and  
116 soil system by a variety of human processes (Schlezingner and Howes, 2000; Holliday  
117 and Gartner, 2007), and has been used as a general indicator of occupation intensity  
118 (Schlezingner and Howes, 2000; Wells *et al.*, 2000; Marwick, 2005). It is not surprising,  
119 therefore that all the negative features sampled contain P concentrations well above our  
120 baseline (Table 1b), the highest concentrations of P are found in the pit samples with  
121 the cess pit samples second. This is as one would expect given the contents of the cess  
122 pit and the rubbish pits, both can be expected to have contained both animal and human

123 excrement as well as ash from fires and plant remains both of which are sources of P  
124 (Middleton , 2004; Kanthilatha, Boyd & Chang, 2014).

125

126 Of the sampled features the wells contained the lowest levels of P, notably, of the  
127 samples analysed using loss on ignition as a method of determining organic matter, the  
128 wells had the lowest average organic matter content. In order to further investigate the  
129 distribution of P across the site and within the samples bubble plots were used to show  
130 varying concentrations (Fig. 3). Figure 3a shows the distribution of P concentrations in  
131 pits across the site, several pits stand out as having comparatively elevated P. These  
132 samples were from contexts 11970 and 11971, which were part of a cluster of Period 0  
133 pits located towards the northern limit of excavation, while pits 12005 and 13539 were  
134 located close to the centre of the excavated area and were likely associated with early  
135 Roman activity. Feature 14322 was interpreted during excavation as a pit, yet was later  
136 recognised as post hole forming part of the northern wall of a substantial late Iron Age  
137 structure (Fig.1)

138

139 The samples from the ditches (Fig. 3) which run along the N-S street contain higher  
140 concentrations of P than the samples from ditches in the middle of the site, this is  
141 perhaps not surprising given that this road would have carried animal traffic and the  
142 ditches would have received run-off from the road. The bubble plot for the post-hole  
143 samples (Fig 3c) clearly shows that two samples contain far more P than the others.  
144 These samples belong to post holes 13717 which were associated with a possible later  
145 Iron Age structure and 12837 that truncated a construction trench associated with a  
146 further later Iron Age structure. (Fig 1)

147

148 Calcium has also long been regarded as a good indicator of human activities (Middleton  
149 and Price, 1996; Middleton, 2004; Oonk *et al.*, 2009b), and food production areas tend  
150 to contain elevated concentrations of phosphorus as well as calcium (Middleton, 2004).  
151 All the negative features sample contain high Ca concentrations with the pits and well  
152 samples having highest Ca and the ditch/gully samples lowest.

153

154 Manganese behaves in a similar way to Ca (Middleton, 2004) and is associated with  
155 plant remains. It is not surprising then that the cess pit and rubbish pit samples contain

156 the most Mn, with the ditch/gully samples also containing similar Mn concentrations,  
157 perhaps indicative of vegetation washed or swept into the gullies.

158

159 Zirconium is depleted compared to background in all feature types but this is likely to  
160 be due to different weathering rates of the background soils and sampled features.

161 Zirconium has no anthropogenic source and behaves in a conservative manner, thus as  
162 weatherable minerals are removed from the profile Zr appears enriched (Whitfield *et*  
163 *al.*, 2011).

#### 164 **Analysis of results**

165 In order to further understand the differentiation between the different feature samples  
166 we employed a variety of statistical techniques. Principal component analysis (PCA)  
167 produced results which did not show much separation between the groups. An  
168 approach which considered individual variables one-at-a time was then chosen and box  
169 plots were produced (Fig. 4). A standard R boxplot function was used with outliers  
170 labelled as circles, the box shows the upper, median and lower quartile and the  
171 “whiskers” show the range of the (non-outlier) data. Therefore if the notches on two of  
172 the boxes do not overlap there is strong evidence for the medians of the distributions  
173 being different. In this way we can easily compare the different features.

174 Figure 4 shows the box plots for each type of feature, in this plot blue = well+cess,  
175 yellow = pits, green = postholes and red = ditches/gullies. This plot shows that pits have  
176 high P, Sr, Cu and Mn and wells are correspondingly low in these elements. It is difficult  
177 to separate the ditches and post-holes using this technique, the post-holes contain more  
178 Na Ti, and Zr than the ditches whilst the ditches are higher in P and Pb, perhaps a  
179 reflection of the waste matter present in ditches but not in post-holes.

#### 180 **Summary and conclusions**

181 The different negative features sampled at Silchester, post-holes, pits, ditches/gullies  
182 and wells have been characterised according to their bulk chemistry in an attempt to  
183 understand whether it is possible to determine their function using chemistry alone.

184 The results show that it is possible to split features into waste disposal which included  
185 animal/human waste and those which probably did not. It is also possible to identify  
186 post-holes based on organic matter content.

187 The samples analysed here are all taken from the earliest occupation associated with  
188 the beginning of urban life in Southern Britain. The timespan ranges from the origin of  
189 the late Iron Age *oppidum* at Calleva, c. 20BC, through the earliest phase of occupation  
190 after the Roman conquest of SE Britain in AD 43-44, to c.AD70, about 90 years. Although  
191 the results show higher concentrations of elements in some rubbish/cess pits, all the  
192 analysed samples across the whole excavated area show significantly above-  
193 background results for elements indicative of human and animal occupation. They do  
194 not show any concentrations of elements indicative of metalworking or any other  
195 specialised occupation, as for example identified in the later (Period 2) phase at Insula  
196 IX, late 1<sup>st</sup> century/early 2<sup>nd</sup> century AD (Cook et al., 2014).

197 On-site interpretations can be ambiguous and it is here that further investigation using  
198 techniques other than traditional archaeology can be of assistance. Features  
199 interpreted as post-holes for example are found to contain elevated organic matter and  
200 lower concentrations of phosphorus than pits and ditches.

201 Ditches that run along street fronts are higher in phosphorus than those away from  
202 main thoroughfares. This highlights the importance of across-site variation and of  
203 archaeological context, not all samples from each type of feature are the same but vary  
204 according to the use of space.

205 The well samples are noticeably lower in anthropogenic elements notably again P, but  
206 also in Cu, Mn and Sr.

207 While the statistical tests performed on the data did not produce a definitive separation  
208 of feature type, we were able to extract some differences in sample characteristics,  
209 particularly for ditches and pits (higher P in both these sample types) and post-holes  
210 with lower P and higher organic matter. It is perhaps a reflection of the multi-purpose  
211 use of pits, both household and animal/human waste that makes it hard to separate  
212 them from cess pits, and indeed even post holes may have been backfilled with general  
213 rubbish after use.

214 The samples analysed here are all from contexts stratified beneath those which were  
215 analysed and interpreted in Cook et al., (2014). An important question is whether there  
216 has been downward mobility of elements which may have influenced the results  
217 presented here. While this cannot be completely discounted, it is reassuring that the

218 concentrations of elements individually and collectively are distinct. The samples taken  
219 from Period 2 (Cook et., 2014) are considerably higher in metallic elements associated  
220 with craft or industrial processes, this not evident in these earlier occupation levels.

221 At present there are no comparative data available from the earliest phases of other  
222 Late Iron Age and earliest Roman urban communities in Britain or elsewhere, but it is  
223 clearly desirable that this research be developed further to gain an insight into the  
224 characterisation and comparative analysis of early urban settlements.

## 225 **Acknowledgements**

226 The authors gratefully acknowledge the comments and suggestions provided by an  
227 anonymous reviewer and the editor of this journal. We are also grateful for funding  
228 provided by the Headley Trust (CVZ).

## 229 **References**

230 **Bowen, H. C. & Wood, P. D. (1968)** Experimental storage of corn underground and its  
231 implication for Iron age settlements. *Bulletin Institute of Archaeology*, 7, 1-4.

232

233 **Cook S.R., Clarke, A.S., Fulford M.G. and Voss J.(2014)** Characterising the use of urban  
234 space: a geochemical case study from Calleva Atrebatum (Silchester, Hampshire, UK)  
235 Insula IX during the late first/early second century AD. *J.Arch.Sci.* 50 108-116

236

237 **Fulford, M.G. and Timby, J., (2000)** *Late Iron Age and Roman Silchester: Excavations on*  
238 *the Site of the Forum-Basilica, 1977, 1980-86*, Britannia Monograph 15, London

239

240 **Gauss, R.K., B\_atora, J.,Nowaczinski, E.,Rassmann,K., Schukraft,G. (2013)** The Early  
241 Bronze Age settlement of Fidv\_ar, Vr\_able (Slovakia): reconstructing prehistoric  
242 settlement patterns using portable XR. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40, 2942-2960.

243

244 **Holliday, V.T., Gartner,W.G. (2007)** Methods of soil P analysis in archaeology. *Journal*  
245 *of Archaeological Science* 34, 301-333.

246 **Kanthilatha, N., Boyd, W. & Chang, N. (2014)** Multi-element characterization of  
247 archaeological floors at the prehistoric archaeological sites at Ban Non Wat and Nong  
248 Hua Raet in Northeast Thailand. *Quaternary International* 08 1-13

249 **Marwick, B. (2005)** Element concentrations and magnetic susceptibility of anthrosols:  
250 indicators of prehistoric human occupation in the inland Pilbara, Western Australia.  
251 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32, 1357-1368.

252 **Nielsen N.H & Kristiansen S. M (2014)** Identifying ancient manuring: traditional  
253 phosphate vs. multi-element analysis of archaeological soil. *J.Arch.Sci* 42 390-398

254 **Nelum Kanthilatha, William Boyd & Nigel Chang (2014)** Multi-element  
255 characterization of archaeological floors at the prehistoric archaeological sites at Ban  
256 Non Wat and Nong Hua Raet in Northeast Thailand. *Quaternary International*.  
257 **Middleton, W.D. (2004)** Identifying chemical activity residues on prehistoric house  
258 floors: a methodology and rationale for multi-elemental characterization of a mild acid  
259 extract of anthropogenic sediments. *Archaeometry* 46, 47-65.  
260  
261 **Middleton, W.D., Price, T.D. (1996)** Identification of activity areas by multi-element  
262 characterization of sediments from modern and archaeological house floors using  
263 inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological*  
264 *Science* 23, 673-687.  
265  
266 **Oonk, S., Slomp, C.P., Huisman, J. (2009)** Geochemistry as an aid in Archaeological  
267 prospection and site interpretation: current issues and research directions.  
268 *Archaeological Prospection* 16, 35-51.  
269  
270 **Oonk, S., Slomp, C.P., Huisman, D.J., Vriend, S.P. (2009b)** Effects of site lithology on  
271 geochemical signatures of human occupation in archaeological house plans in  
272 the Netherlands. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36, 1215-1228.  
273  
274 **Schlezingner, D.R., Howes, B.L. (2000)** Organic phosphorus and elemental ratios as  
275 indicators of prehistoric human occupation. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27, 479-  
276 492.  
277  
278 **Smith D.N (20 13)** Defining an indicator package to allow identification of 'cesspits' in  
279 the archaeological record. 40 (1), 526-543  
280 **Wells, E.C., Terry, R.E., Hardin, P.J., Parnell, J.J., Houston, S.D., Jackson, M.W. (2000)**  
281 Chemical analysis of ancient anthrosols at Piedras Negras, Guatemala. *Journal of*  
282 *Archaeological Science* 27, 449-462.  
  
283 **Whitfield C, Watmore A & Aherne J (2011)** Evaluation of elemental depletion  
284 weathering rate estimation methods on acid-sensitive soils of north-eastern Alberta,  
285 Canada. *Geoderma* 166 (1) 189-197

286

287 **Figure headings:**

288

289 **Figure 1 Plan of excavation site at Silchester showing location of features**  
290 **sampled.**

291

292 **Figure 2 Elemental fingerprints for samples taken from negative features at**  
293 **Silchester compared to the average background sample. Concentrations in mg/kg**  
294 **dry weight.**

295 **Figure 3 Bubble diagram showing relative concentrations of phosphorus found in**  
296 **samples from pits, ditches and post-holes. Grid shown with eastings and**  
297 **northings.**

298

299 **Figure 4 Standard R boxplot function plots with outliers labelled as circles, the**  
300 **box shows the upper, median and lower quartile and the “whiskers” show the**  
301 **range of the (non-outlier) data. Blue = well+cess, yellow = pits, green = postholes**  
302 **and red = ditches/gullies**

303

304

305