



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Macroscopic findings, incidence and characteristics of microscopic colitis in a large cohort of patients from the United Kingdom*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/118922/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Kane, JS, Rotimi, O and Ford, AC (2017) Macroscopic findings, incidence and characteristics of microscopic colitis in a large cohort of patients from the United Kingdom. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology*, 52 (9). pp. 988-994. ISSN 0036-5521

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00365521.2017.1334813>

---

(c) 2017, Taylor & Francis. This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* on 31 May 2017 available online:  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00365521.2017.1334813>

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

**Accepted 21<sup>st</sup> May 2017**

**TITLE PAGE**

**Title:** Macroscopic Findings, Incidence, and Characteristics of Microscopic Colitis in a Large Cohort of Patients from the United Kingdom.

**Short “running” title:** Macroscopic Findings in MC in a UK cohort.

**Authors:** John S. Kane\* <sup>1,2</sup>, Olorunda Rotimi <sup>3</sup>, Alexander C. Ford<sup>1,2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Leeds Gastroenterology Institute, St. James’s University Hospital, Leeds, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Leeds Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Histopathology, St James’s University Hospital, Leeds, UK.

**Correspondence:** Dr. Jack Kane

Leeds Gastroenterology Institute

4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bexley Wing

St. James’s University Hospital

Beckett Street

Leeds

United Kingdom

LS9 7TF

Email: [jackjohnkane@gmail.com](mailto:jackjohnkane@gmail.com)

Telephone: +447971165843

Facsimile: +441132429722

**Word count:** 3298

**Biographical and contact details for authors:**

1. Dr John (Jack) Kane is a Specialist Registrar in Gastroenterology at the Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust and Academic Clinical Fellow at the University of Leeds.

2. Dr Olorunda Rotimi is a Consultant Histopathologist at the Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust.

Email: [olorunda.rotimi@nhs.net](mailto:olorunda.rotimi@nhs.net)

Address: Department of Histopathology  
Bexley Wing  
St. James's University Hospital  
Beckett Street  
Leeds  
United Kingdom  
LS9 7TF

3. Professor Alexander Ford is a Professor of Gastroenterology at the University of Leeds and an Honorary Consultant Gastroenterologist at the Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust.

Email: [alexfl2399@yahoo.com](mailto:alexfl2399@yahoo.com)

Address: Leeds Gastroenterology Institute  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bexley Wing  
St. James's University Hospital  
Beckett Street  
Leeds  
United Kingdom  
LS9 7TF

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** Microscopic colitis (MC) is classically associated with normal or near-normal endoscopic appearances. However, non-specific macroscopic findings have been described, the importance of biopsy location for confirming a diagnosis of MC is unclear, and reported incidence data from the United Kingdom are limited. This study was designed to assess macroscopic features, incidence, demographics, and location and positivity of biopsy samples in MC.

**Materials and Methods:** Retrospective, cross-sectional study of individuals with newly diagnosed MC.

**Results:** From 2010 to 2015, 540 cases of MC were reported. Macroscopic findings occurred in 16.5% (n = 89) cases, with trends towards increased frequency of ulceration or linear scarring in collagenous colitis (CC). The mean incidence of MC was 11.3 per 100,000 population/year, including 291 (53.9%) with CC (incidence 6.1 per 100,000/year), 203 (37.6%) with lymphocytic colitis (incidence 4.2 per 100,000/year), and 46 (8.5%) with MC, not otherwise specified. Most individuals were female (70.2%). Common features in patients with MC included symptom duration <6 months, weight loss, abdominal pain, and use of proton pump inhibitors, statins, or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. In individuals with right- and left-sided biopsies taken, 98.2% had diagnostic features in both. However, rectal biopsies were only positive in 88.7%.

**Conclusions:** One-in-six patients with MC demonstrated distinct macroscopic findings at colonoscopy. Our data confirm a female preponderance in MC, a relatively short symptom duration, and use of certain drugs as common features. Both right- and left-sided biopsies were frequently positive, suggesting flexible sigmoidoscopy and biopsy could confirm a diagnosis in certain individuals.

**Keywords:** Microscopic colitis  
Inflammatory bowel disease  
Epidemiology  
Endoscopy  
Pathology

**Abbreviations:** ANOVA analysis of variance  
CC collagenous colitis  
IBD inflammatory bowel disease  
LC lymphocytic colitis  
MC microscopic colitis  
MC-NOS microscopic colitis, not otherwise specified  
NSAID non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug  
PPI proton pump inhibitor  
SSRI selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor  
SD standard deviation  
UK United Kingdom

## Introduction

Microscopic colitis (MC) is an important but potentially overlooked cause of chronic diarrhoea associated with a significant impact on health related quality of life [1, 2]. Two main histological subtypes are described: collagenous (CC) and lymphocytic colitis (LC), although cases not meeting diagnostic criteria are often defined as MC, not otherwise specified or incomplete MC [3, 4]. The presentation and subsequent management of patients is similar, regardless of histological subtype [5]. Analyses of large pathology databases have shown that MC occurs in approximately 10% of all individuals presenting with diarrhoea [6, 7], with a reported incidence of up to 21 per 100,000 person-years [8]. However, incidence data from the United Kingdom (UK) are scarce [9, 10].

The aetiology, pathophysiology, and optimum treatments for MC are unknown, and there are controversies regarding the nomenclature of the condition. Specific macroscopic features have been described in case reports, including linear streaks and mucosal tears, mucosal ulceration, or other non-specific features [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. More recently, several larger cohorts have described subtle macroscopic findings in up to 30% of individuals with MC, occurring with greater frequency in collagenous colitis [20]. There have also been case reports describing macroscopic changes sufficient to raise a clinical suspicion of classical inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) at the time of colonoscopy [19, 21]. Given these findings, the adequacy of the term ‘microscopic’ colitis has been questioned [22, 23, 24].

Regardless of the presence of macroscopic features, the recommendation for making a diagnosis of MC has been to take multiple random colonic biopsies in any individual presenting with persistent watery diarrhoea [25, 26, 27]. Some authors recommend taking biopsies from all anatomical segments of the colon [28], but more recently a UK consensus statement concluded that all individuals with chronic diarrhoea undergoing colonoscopy

should have right-sided, left-sided, and rectal biopsies [29], because of concerns that cases of MC with features seen only in the right colon will otherwise be missed [28, 30]. This is in contrast to the results from some studies, which suggest that flexible sigmoidoscopy and left-sided biopsies alone would be sufficient to make a diagnosis in >95% of individuals [20, 31, 32].

We have therefore examined all of these issues in a large cohort of patients with MC from the UK. Our first aim was to assess the frequency of any subtle or gross macroscopic changes, including differences between histological subtypes, and to identify cases with findings more in keeping with classical IBD, such as ulceration or bleeding. The second aim was to estimate the incidence of MC, and common features, including presenting symptoms, co-morbidities, and prescribed medications. Finally, the third aim was to examine the site, location, and diagnostic yield of colonic biopsies in individuals with confirmed MC, to help inform future guidelines in terms of the optimal diagnostic strategy for the condition.

## **Methods**

### **Participants and Setting**

We identified all patients newly diagnosed with MC from histology reports generated in the histopathology department at the Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust, West Yorkshire during a 6-year period (January 2010 –December 2015). The hospitals are the sole provider of secondary care services to the entire population of almost 800,000 people in the city of Leeds in the North of England. Individuals with a diagnosis of CC, LC, or MC, not otherwise specified were included. We excluded patients who had a diagnosis of MC documented previously, and those patients who were tertiary referrals from another hospital in a different city. The relevant local research ethics committee in Leeds was approached, and confirmed that ethics approval was not required for a study such as this, which was a retrospective review of routinely collected clinical information.

### **Data Collection and Synthesis**

The medical records for each individual were accessed in order to obtain patient demographics, presenting features, details of relevant medication use (as described by previous investigators)[33], relevant co-morbidities, and type of procedure undertaken. Reports from either the index colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy were available via the ADAM endoscopy reporting system (Fujifilm Europe GmbH, Dusseldorf, Germany). We reviewed the index endoscopy reports for the presence of any documented macroscopic findings and, where recorded, the number and location of biopsies taken.

The histology reports from the biopsies taken at the index colonoscopy for each individual were then accessed, in order to determine the subtype of MC. We grouped cases by subtype based on the diagnosis recorded by the reporting histopathologist. At our institution, the following reference standards are used: collagenous colitis is defined as the presence of a subepithelial collagen band of  $\geq 10\mu\text{m}$  in thickness, in association with diffuse chronic inflammation, and lymphocytic colitis defined using a threshold of  $>20$  intra-epithelial lymphocytes per 100 epithelial cells, with associated diffuse chronic inflammation, but no thickening of the subepithelial collagen band. Other investigators have demonstrated that there is little inter-observer variability in the diagnosis of MC [34]. In this study we also included cases in which the final recorded diagnosis did not specify the subtype of MC and classified these as MC, not otherwise specified as, at our centre, the reported diagnostic criteria for incomplete collagenous or lymphocytic colitis are not yet used [35].

Biopsy results were grouped into right-sided (proximal to the splenic flexure), left-sided (including descending or sigmoid colon), or rectal, and recorded as positive or negative for MC, or exhibiting non-specific changes, if there was inflammation that was non-diagnostic for MC, or a mild increase in intra-epithelial lymphocytes. Furthermore, we documented if the recorded diagnosis was definite or probable for MC as has previously been reported [36].

### **Statistical Analysis**

To assess for differences in demographic characteristics, presenting features, current prescribed medications, co-morbidities, and macroscopic findings between MC subtypes, continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations (SD), and compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Categorical variables were compared

between groups using a  $\chi^2$  test. We also compared demographic characteristics, presenting features, current prescribed medications, and co-morbidities, according to presence or absence of macroscopic findings. Due to multiple comparisons, statistical significance was defined as a P value of  $<0.01$  for all these analyses, which were performed using SPSS for Windows version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

There were 568 patients with a diagnosis of MC over the 6-year period. After excluding 28 patients who had a previous diagnosis evident from existing histology reports or clinic letters, there were 540 new cases of MC identified. Most individuals underwent full colonoscopy, but there were 81 (15.0%) individuals who underwent flexible sigmoidoscopy only.

### Macroscopic Findings at Endoscopy in MC

Overall macroscopic changes were reported in 89 (16.5%) patients, and an endoscopic diagnosis of 'colitis' was recorded in 26 (4.8%) individuals (Table 1). The latter was usually labelled as indeterminate colitis by the endoscopist, but there was one case where a diagnosis of suspected Crohn's colitis was made, based on patchy inflammation and frank ulceration. Macroscopic changes occurred most frequently in CC, although this difference was not statistically significant ( $P = 0.07$ ). There were trends towards ulceration and linear scarring occurring more frequently in CC, compared with LC or MC, not otherwise specified ( $P = 0.04$  and  $P = 0.02$ , respectively).

We compared the demographic characteristics, presenting features, current prescribed medications, and co-morbidities, between those with, and those without macroscopic findings. These analyses revealed no differences in terms of demographic features or co-morbidities (Supplementary Table 1). However, there were significant differences in presenting features and medication use according to whether or not any macroscopic findings were present. Patients with abdominal pain were significantly more likely to have an endoscopic diagnosis of colitis or ulceration, while patients taking NSAIDs or aspirin were

more likely to have any macroscopic finding, and those taking NSAIDs were more likely to have ulceration.

### **Epidemiology of MC**

Overall, between 82 and 99 new cases were identified per year among the 800,000 population of Leeds, corresponding to an incidence of MC of 10.3 to 12.4 per 100,000 population per year. The most frequent subtype was CC occurring in 291 patients (53.9%), followed by LC in 203 (37.6%), giving incidence rates by subtype of 6.1 per 100,000/year for CC and 4.2 per 100,000/year for LC. There were also 46 (8.5%) individuals with a recorded diagnosis of MC, not otherwise specified, giving an incidence of 0.96 per 100,000/year. In terms of the histological diagnoses made, a definite diagnosis was made in 503 (93.1%) and a diagnosis of probable MC made in 37 (6.9%) cases.

Table 2 outlines the demographics, presenting features, co-morbidities, and current prescribed medications of all individuals with MC, and according to subtype. The mean age of included individuals was 64.9 years, and 379 (70.2%) were female. A duration of diarrhoea <6 months was the commonest presenting feature, followed by weight loss, and abdominal pain, although the latter was not present in almost 80% of patients. The most commonly prescribed medications were proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), followed by statins, and then non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Thyroid disease was present in 32 (5.9%) patients, and a documented diagnosis of coeliac disease in 24 (4.4%). Individuals with CC were more likely to be female, more likely to report weight loss, more likely to be taking NSAIDs, and there were trends towards them being older ( $P = 0.01$ ), and more likely to be taking PPIs ( $P = 0.02$ ), while those with LC were significantly more likely to have co-existent coeliac disease.

### **Diagnostic Yield of Biopsies in MC**

Table 3 summarises the number of patients with biopsies taken from each segment, as well as the diagnostic yield from these. For both right- and left-sided biopsies the yield for MC was very high. Right-sided biopsies were diagnostic in 98.7% of patients, compared with 98.9% for left-sided biopsies. In comparison, rectal biopsies had a lower yield for a diagnosis of MC, with biopsies confirmatory in 88.7% of patients. There were no cases of MC diagnosed with positive rectal biopsies without changes in either right- or left-sided colonic biopsies. Seven (14.0%) of 50 patients in whom terminal ileal biopsies were obtained had features described as considered compatible with a diagnosis of MC, and in another nine (18.0%) patients non-specific changes, including raised intra-epithelial lymphocytes, were documented.

In total, 451 individuals had both right- and left-sided biopsies taken. This included five individuals undergoing flexible sigmoidoscopy, but in whom biopsies from the transverse colon were obtained. Both right- and left-sided biopsies were diagnostic in 442 (98.2%) of these patients (Table 4). A diagnosis of MC would have been missed in four individuals if only left-sided biopsies were taken. Three of these patients had documented normal histology on left-sided biopsy and the fourth had non-specific features. In two of these cases rectal biopsies were taken, which were also non-specific. There were four patients who had features of MC only in the left-sided biopsies, with right-sided biopsies showing non-specific changes in three patients and normal histology in the fourth.

## Discussion

In this single centre, retrospective study, MC occurred in 540 individuals. Macroscopic findings at lower gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy occurred in one in six individuals with MC, with non-specific features such as erythema or petechiae the most frequent findings, although almost 5% of individuals had an endoscopic diagnosis of colitis recorded. Overall, macroscopic findings were more common in CC, but this difference was not statistically significant. They were also significantly more prevalent in patients with abdominal pain, and those taking NSAIDs or aspirin. The average age of patients with MC was 64.9 years and 70% were female, and the incidence was from 10.3 to 12.4 per 100,000 population per year. The commonest subtype was CC, occurring in 53.9%, and these individuals were more likely to be female, more likely to be taking NSAIDs, and more likely to present with weight loss than those with LC. Patients with LC were more likely to have a pre-existing diagnosis of coeliac disease. Finally, either right- or left-sided colonic biopsies were diagnostic for MC in more than 98% of patients with MC, but taking only rectal biopsies would have led to a missed diagnosis in >10% of cases.

This study included a large cohort of individuals with MC referred from primary care centres in the UK, all of whom had lower GI endoscopy findings recorded using standardised endoscopy software. However, there are some limitations. As this was a retrospective study, we were limited by the quality of clinical documentation by the responsible physician, meaning that data for presenting features, co-morbidities, and medications may be inaccurate or missing in some patients. In addition, although lower GI endoscopy reporting was standardised, decisions regarding the site of biopsy, and number of samples obtained, were at the discretion of the endoscopist. Furthermore, we did not review the original histological specimens, but recorded the reporting histopathologist's diagnosis, potentially leading to misclassification of patients as having, or not having, MC. However, both the recognition of

low inter-observer variability in diagnosing CC and LC [34], and the observed high proportion of cases with a definite recorded diagnosis, especially compared with a previous Canadian study [36], is reassuring. More recently histological diagnoses of incomplete collagenous or lymphocytic colitis have been proposed, which may be relevant in cases of MC, not otherwise specified, such as those seen in our study, but it should be noted that criteria for these diagnoses are not yet widely accepted and the ultimate clinical course and treatment is not thought to differ [37]. Finally, we did not collect stool markers of inflammation and assess whether these varied by subtype, or according to presence of macroscopic findings. Faecal calprotectin testing was not used consistently or routinely within our department during the time this study was conducted and, in any case, in a recent study faecal calprotectin levels, although higher than among individuals with functional bowel disease, were still within the normal range[38].

Of note, the overall incidence of MC appears high compared with other reported data from the UK. A previous study in the UK reported an incidence of only 0.27% per 100,000 population per year, but included only 90 cases over a 7-year period, and was conducted between 1990 and 1996. In comparison, a previous meta-analysis, which included two small UK studies published in abstract form [39, 40], calculated pooled incidence rates of 4.14 per 100,000/year for CC and 4.85 per 100,000/year for LC [9]. These incidence rates are more in keeping with our data, and the analysis incorporated data from studies with similar methodology to our study; with investigators reviewing all pathology reports, but not necessarily all histology specimens [8, 41]. More recent studies have confirmed higher incidence rates, with Bonderup et al. reporting rates as high as 14.9 per 100,000/year for CC and 9.8 per 100,000/year for LC in Denmark [42]. More modest incidence rates of 5.3 per 100,000/year and 2.6 per 100,000/year for CC and LC respectively were reported in a French study published more recently, but it should be noted that this only included individuals

diagnosed between 2005 and 2007 [43]. Although the reasons for these regional variations in incidence are not clear, increasing awareness of MC as a potential cause of chronic diarrhoea, and improved recognition of the importance of taking colonic biopsies are likely to have increased the incidence rates, compared with the previous UK data, which were reported almost 20 years ago [10].

In keeping with data from other prospective cohorts we observed a clear female preponderance in patients with MC, and an average age of greater than 60 years of age [44, 45]. We also observed a higher proportion of those with CC were female, which is in keeping with other studies [6]. The finding that CC was more likely to be associated with certain drugs, and LC more likely to be associated with coeliac disease, may implicate different factors in the aetiology and pathogenesis of these two MC subtypes. We observed a lower prevalence of coeliac disease than in a recent Danish study (4.4% versus 6%) [20], and diagnoses consistent with co-existent autoimmune diseases were recorded less frequently than in other prospective studies [44]. This may relate, in part, to our retrospective study design, which could be limited by incomplete documentation of relevant co-morbidities, or failure to screen individuals with MC for coeliac disease, due to a lack of awareness of an association between the two conditions.

The prevalence of macroscopic findings of 16.5% in individuals with MC observed in our study was lower than in a recent Swedish cohort study reporting subtle endoscopic findings such as erythema, oedema, or abnormal vessel pattern in 37% of individuals with CC and 25% with LC [20]. However, other studies have reported macroscopic findings in 20-30% of individuals with all subtypes of MC [46, 47, 48], and it may be that endoscopist-related factors, such as degree of experience and training, affects the detection of subtle macroscopic signs [36]. Although our results were not statistically significant, there was a trend towards macroscopic features being more likely in CC, which is consistent with

previous published data [20]. This may relate to the higher rates of NSAID use we observed among those with CC. Regarding specific macroscopic findings, we observed linear scarring in only 9 individuals with CC, but this is in keeping with previous case reports [15, 17, 49]. We also found that almost 5% of individuals with MC had endoscopic features suggesting or mimicking ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease, most of whom were subsequently found to have CC on histology, again in keeping with several case reports [19, 50]. Unfortunately, in our study the location of macroscopic features within the colon was not clear in the majority of cases so we were unable to comment on whether these were more likely to be seen in the right colon, as in one previous case report [51].

The high yield of both right- and left-sided colonic biopsies for diagnosing MC seen in our cohort is similar to data reported by Bjornbak et al., in which >95% of individuals had positive biopsies from either the right or left side of the colon [37] and in a recent study using data from France [52]. These findings support previous suggestions that flexible sigmoidoscopy with left-sided biopsies could be a reasonable diagnostic test for MC in some individuals [30, 53]. In contrast, without performing a full colonoscopy, there is the potential to miss other concerning pathology including colonic cancer or Crohn's disease. However, it is not uncommon for patients with chronic diarrhoea to have a full colonoscopy without random colonic biopsies being obtained [54]. Our data suggest that in this situation, if the original colonoscopy was macroscopically normal, a flexible sigmoidoscopy could be undertaken subsequently to obtain left-sided biopsies, rather than the patient having to undergo a repeat colonoscopy. This approach could also be used in patients who have had a recent normal colonoscopy for other reasons, or in those deemed unfit for colonoscopy.

In summary, this study has highlighted differences in the associated features across MC subtypes, suggesting potentially different aetiologies. It is also clear that macroscopic features are not uncommon in MC, and the diagnosis should be considered even in

individuals with features that may fit with ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease. This suggests that it may be more appropriate to refer to these colitides by their histologic subtype, rather than by the term MC. A key priority remains to improve the awareness of these conditions, ensuring that patients with chronic diarrhoea with features suggestive of MC, and who may therefore be at risk of this condition, have an appropriate endoscopic evaluation with biopsies taken. However, the overall yield of colonic biopsies for MC in individuals with chronic diarrhoea, but a macroscopically normal colonoscopy, remains low. Clinical tools to stratify individuals, reducing the costs of obtaining and interpreting potentially unnecessary biopsies from low-risk individuals, and identifying those at higher risk of MC may also have a role [55, 56, 57].

## **Disclosures of Interest**

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

## **Funding**

This work was supported by an investigator-initiated grant from Dr. Falk Pharma UK Ltd.

## **Acknowledgements**

None.

## **Guarantor of the Article**

JSK is guarantor

## **Specific Author Contributions**

JSK and ACF devised the study. OR provided access to the histopathology database and reviewed any unclear cases. JSK, ACF and OR drafted the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Hjortswang H, Tysk C, Bohr J, Benoni C, Vigren L, Kilander A, Larsson L, Taha Y, Strom M. Health-related quality of life is impaired in active collagenous colitis. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2011;43:102-9. Epub 2010/07/20.
2. Nyhlin N, Wickbom A, Montgomery SM, Tysk C, Bohr J. Long-term prognosis of clinical symptoms and health-related quality of life in microscopic colitis: a case-control study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2014;39:963-72. Epub 2014/03/13.
3. Langner C, Aust D, Ensari A, Villanacci V, Becheanu G, Miehke S, Geboes K, Münch A. Histology of microscopic colitis-review with a practical approach for pathologists. *Histopathology.* 2015;66:613-26.
4. Magro F, Langner C, Driessen A, Ensari A, Geboes K, Mantzaris GJ, Villanacci V, Becheanu G, Nunes PB, Cathomas G, Fries W, Jouret-Mourin A, Mescoli C, de Petris G, Rubio CA, Shepherd NA, Vieth M, Eliakim R. European consensus on the histopathology of inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2013;7:827-51.
5. Pardi DS, Kelly CP. Microscopic Colitis. *Gastroenterology.* 2011;140:1155-65.
6. Munch A, Aust D, Bohr J, Bonderup O, Fernandez Banares F, Hjortswang H, Madisch A, Munck LK, Strom M, Tysk C, Miehke S. Microscopic colitis: Current status, present and future challenges: Statements of the European Microscopic Colitis Group. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2012;6:932-45.

7. Genta RM, Sonnenberg A. The yield of colonic biopsy in the evaluation of chronic unexplained diarrhea. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;27:963-7.
8. Gentile NM, Khanna S, Loftus EV, Smyrk TC, Tremaine WJ, Harmsen WS, Zinsmeister AR, Kammer PP, Pardi DS. The epidemiology of microscopic colitis in Olmsted County from 2002 to 2010: a population-based study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;12:838-42.
9. Tong J, Zheng Q, Zheng Q, Zhang C, Lo R, Shen J, Ran Z. Incidence, prevalence, and temporal trends of microscopic colitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110:265-76; quiz 77.
10. Kitchen PA, Levi AJ, Domizio P, Talbot IC, Forbes A, Price AB. Microscopic colitis: the tip of the iceberg? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2002;14:1199-204. Epub 2002/11/20.
11. Wickbom A, Lindqvist M, Bohr J, Ung K-A, Bergman J, Eriksson S, Tysk C. Colonic mucosal tears in collagenous colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2006;41:726-9.
12. Umeno J, Matsumoto T, Nakamura S, Jo Y, Yada S, Hirakawa K, Yoshimura R, Yamagata H, Kudo T, Hirano A, Gushima M, Yao T, Nakashima Y, Iida M. Linear mucosal defect may be characteristic of lansoprazole-associated collagenous colitis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2008;67:1185-91.
13. Nomura E, Kagaya H, Uchimi K, Noguchi T, Suzuki S, Suzuki M, Onodera H, Tateno H. Linear mucosal defects: A characteristic endoscopic finding of lansoprazole-associated collagenous colitis. *Endoscopy*. 2010;42:E9-E10.

14. Milestone AN, Teare JP, Goldin RD. Linear ulceration in collagenous colitis: A case series and literature review. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2010;71 AB343.
15. McDonnell WM, Loura F, Pointon MJ, Greenson JK. Cat scratch colon. *Endoscopy.* 2007;39:459-61.
16. Labianca O, Zulli C, Maurano A. Mucosal tears occurred during colonoscopy in outpatient with ulcerative colitis: A case report. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2016;48:e152-e3.
17. Che C, Pais S. Cat scratch colon: A rare endoscopic finding. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2012;107:S460.
18. Allende DS, Taylor SL, Bronner MP. Colonic perforation as a complication of collagenous colitis in a series of 12 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2008;103:2598-604.
19. Chiba M, Sugawara T, Tozawa H, Tsuda H, Abe T, Tokairin T, Ono I, Ushiyama E. Lansoprazole-associated collagenous colitis: Diffuse mucosal cloudiness mimicking ulcerative colitis. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2009;15:2166-9.
20. Mellander M-R, Ekblom A, Hultcrantz R, Löfberg R, Öst Å, Björk J. Microscopic colitis: a descriptive clinical cohort study of 795 patients with collagenous and lymphocytic colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2015;51:556-62.
21. Carroccio A, Catalano T, Fiorino M, Bongiov A, Napoli G, Di Prima L, Ambrosiano G, Pace M, Scaturro A, Di Fede G. Collagenous colitis presenting with bloody diarrhea and

rectal erosions in a patient with celiac disease: A case report. *Italian Journal of Medicine*. 2010;4:254-8.

22. Yung DE, Koulaouzidis A, Fineron P, Plevris JN. Microscopic colitis: a misnomer for a clearly defined entity? *Endoscopy*. 2015;47:754-7.

23. Smirnidis A, Trimble KC, Lessells A, Koulaouzidis A. Endoscopic findings in collagenous colitis; not always microscopic. *Gut*. 2012;61:A154.

24. Koulaouzidis A, Saeed AA. Distinct colonoscopy findings of microscopic colitis: not so microscopic after all? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2011;17:4157-65.

25. Rex DK, Schoenfeld PS, Cohen J, Pike IM, Adler DG, Fennerty MB, Lieb JG, 2nd, Park WG, Rizk MK, Sawhney MS, Shaheen NJ, Wani S, Weinberg DS. Quality indicators for colonoscopy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110:72-90. Epub 2014/12/03.

26. Schiller LR, Pardi DS, Sellin JH. Chronic Diarrhea: Diagnosis and Management. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;15:182-93.e3. Epub 2016/10/19.

27. Fine KD, Schiller LR. AGA technical review on the evaluation and management of chronic diarrhea. *Gastroenterology*. 1999;116:1464-86.

28. Fernández-Bañares F, Casanova MJ, Arguedas Y, Beltrán B, Busquets D, Fernández JM, Fernández-Salazar L, García-Planella E, Guagnozzi D, Lucendo AJ, Manceñido N, Marín-Jiménez I, Montoro M, Piqueras M, Robles V, Ruiz-Cerulla A, Gisbert JP. Current

concepts on microscopic colitis: evidence-based statements and recommendations of the Spanish microscopic colitis group. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2015;43:400-26.

29. Rees CJ, Thomas Gibson S, Rutter MD, Baragwanath P, Pullan R, Feeney M, Haslam N. UK key performance indicators and quality assurance standards for colonoscopy. *Gut.* 2016;65:1923-9. Epub 2016/08/18.

30. Carpenter HA, Tremaine WJ, Batts KP, Czaja AJ. Sequential histologic evaluations in collagenous colitis. Correlations with disease behavior and sampling strategy. *Dig Dis Sci.* 1992;37:1903-9. Epub 1992/12/01.

31. Fine KD, Seidel RH, Do K. The prevalence, anatomic distribution, and diagnosis of colonic causes of chronic diarrhea. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2000;51:318-26. Epub 2000/03/04.

32. Matteoni CA, Wang N, Goldblum JR, Brzezinski A, Achkar E, Soffer EE. Flexible sigmoidoscopy for the detection of microscopic colitis. *Am J Med.* 2000;108:416-8. Epub 2000/04/12.

33. Beaugerie L, Pardi DS. Review article: drug-induced microscopic colitis - proposal for a scoring system and review of the literature. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22:277-84.

34. Limsui D, Pardi DS, Smyrk TC, Abraham SC, Lewis JT, Sanderson SO, Kammer PP, Dierkhising RA, Zinsmeister AR. Observer variability in the histologic diagnosis of microscopic colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2009;15:35-8. Epub 2008/07/16.

35. Langner C, Aust D, Ensari A, Villanacci V, Becheanu G, Miehke S, Geboes K, Munch A. Histology of microscopic colitis-review with a practical approach for pathologists. *Histopathology*. 2015;66:613-26.
36. Andrews CN, Beck PL, Wilsack L, Urbanski SJ, Storr M. Evaluation of endoscopist and pathologist factors affecting the incidence of microscopic colitis. *Can J Gastroenterol*. 2012;26:515-20.
37. Bjørnbak C, Engel PJH, Nielsen PL, Munck LK. Microscopic colitis: clinical findings, topography and persistence of histopathological subgroups. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;34:1225-34.
38. von Arnim U, Wex T, Ganzert C, Schulz C, Malfertheiner P. Fecal calprotectin: a marker for clinical differentiation of microscopic colitis and irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2016;9:97-103.
39. Rajan J, Noble C, Anderson C, Satsangi J, Lessels AM, Arnott IDR. The epidemiology and clinical features of collagenous colitis in Lothian. *Gut*. 2005;54:A99-A100.
40. Heron T, Walsh S, Mowat C. Microscopic colitis in tayside: Clinical features, associations, and behaviour. *Gut*. 2005;54:A84-A.
41. Verhaegh BPM, Jonkers DMAE, Driessen A, Zeegers MP, Keszthelyi D, Masclee AAM, Pierik MJ. Incidence of microscopic colitis in the Netherlands. A nationwide population-based study from 2000 to 2012. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2015;47:30-6.

42. Rasmussen J, Engel PJH, Wildt S, Fiehn A-MK, Munck LK. The Temporal Evolution of Histological Abnormalities in Microscopic Colitis. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2015;10:262-8.
43. Fumery M, Kohut M, Gower-Rousseau C, Duhamel A, Brazier F, Thelu F, Nagorniewicz F, Lamarche F, Nguyen-Khac E, Sabbagh C, Loreau J, Colombel JF, Savoye G, Chatelain D, Dupas JL. Incidence, Clinical Presentation, and Associated Factors of Microscopic Colitis in Northern France: A Population-Based Study. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2016;published online Sept 24. DOI: 10.1007/s10620-016-4306-z Epub 2016/09/24.
44. Macaigne G, Lahmek P, Locher C, Lesgourgues B, Costes L, Nicolas MP, Courillon-Mallet A, Ghilain J-M, Bellaïche G, de Montigny-Lehnardt S, Barjonet G, Vitte R-L, Faroux R, Lambare B, Fleury A, Pariente A, Nahon S. Microscopic Colitis or Functional Bowel Disease With Diarrhea: A French Prospective Multicenter Study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109:1461-70.
45. O'Toole A, Coss A, Holleran G, Keegan D, Doherty G, Sheahan K, Mulcahy H, O'Donoghue D. Microscopic colitis: clinical characteristics, treatment and outcomes in an Irish population. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2014;29:799-803.
46. Nguyen M, Correia C, Manolopoulou M, LoSavio A. Are endoscopic mucosal changes clinically significant in patients with microscopic colitis? A retrospective study on clinical characteristics and outcomes. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;150:S-476.
47. Olesen M, Eriksson S, Bohr J, Jarnerot G, Tysk C. Lymphocytic colitis: a retrospective clinical study of 199 Swedish patients. *Gut*. 2004;53:536-41. Epub 2004/03/16.

48. Bohr J, Tysk C, Eriksson S, Abrahamsson H, Jarnerot G. Collagenous colitis: a retrospective study of clinical presentation and treatment in 163 patients. *Gut*. 1996;39:846-51. Epub 1996/12/01.
49. Fasoulas K, Terzoudis S, Lazaraki G, Atmatzidis S, Beltsis A, Pilpilidis I, Chatzimavroudis G, Katsinelos P. Cat scratch colon: An endoscopic finding suggesting collagenous colitis. *Ann Gastroenterol*. 2010;23:311-3.
50. Saleem A, Brahmhatt PA, Khan S, Young M, LeSage GD. Microscopic Colitis with Macroscopic Endoscopic Findings. *Case Rep Med*. 2013;2013:1-2.
51. Resch A, Eherer A, Langner C. Pseudomembranes Carpet the Right Colon due to Collagenous Colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2016;published online Oct 8. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.10.002. . Epub 2016/10/12.
52. Macaigne G, Lahmek P, Locher C, Boivin JF, Lesgourgues B, Yver M, Costes L, Alsamad IA, Cucherousset J, Charpignon C, Guyot H, Lambare B, Ghilain JM, Cales V, de Montigny-Lenhardt S, Bellaiche G, Pariente A, Nahon S. Over 90% of cases of Microscopic Colitis can be diagnosed by performing a short colonoscopy. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2017. Epub 2017/02/22.
53. Tanaka M, Mazzoleni G, Riddell RH. Distribution of collagenous colitis: utility of flexible sigmoidoscopy. *Gut*. 1992;33:65-70. Epub 1992/01/01.
54. Nojkov B, Onea M, Cappell MS. Random colonic mucosal biopsies during colonoscopy performed for chronic diarrhea: differences in practice patterns between

gastroenterologists and surgeons in a study of 300 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109:776-7. Epub 2014/05/07.

55. Kane JS, Sood R, Law GR, Gracie DJ, To N, Gold MJ, Ford AC. Validation and modification of a diagnostic scoring system to predict microscopic colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2016;51:1206-12.

56. Kane JS, Rotimi O, Everett SM, Samji S, Michelotti F, Ford AC. Development and Validation of a Scoring System to Identify Patients With Microscopic Colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13:1125-31.

57. Cotter TG, Binder M, Harper EP, Smyrk TC, Pardi DS. Optimization of a Scoring System to Predict Microscopic Colitis in a Cohort of Patients With Chronic Diarrhea. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2017;51:228-34.

**Table 1. Macroscopic Findings at Colonoscopy in 540 Patients with Microscopic Colitis, and According to Subtype.**

	<b>All Patients (n = 540)</b>	<b>Collagenous Colitis (n = 291)</b>	<b>Lymphocytic Colitis (n = 203)</b>	<b>MC, not Otherwise Specified (n = 46)</b>	<b>P value*</b>
<b>Any macroscopic findings (%)</b>	89 (16.5)	56 (19.2)	30 (14.8)	3 (6.5)	0.07
<b>Erythema (%)</b>	40 (7.4)	25 (8.6)	15 (7.4)	0 (0)	0.12
<b>Petechiae (%)</b>	27 (5.0)	12 (4.1)	12 (5.9)	3 (6.5)	0.59
<b>Endoscopic diagnosis of colitis (%)</b>	26 (4.8)	21 (7.2)	4 (2.0)	1 (2.2)	0.02
<b>Oedema or congestion (%)</b>	24 (4.4)	12 (4.1)	12 (5.9)	0 (0)	0.20
<b>Reduced vascularity (%)</b>	19 (3.5)	14 (4.8)	5 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.15
<b>Ulceration (%)</b>	11 (2.0)	10 (3.4)	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	0.04
<b>Linear scars (%)</b>	9 (1.7)	9 (3.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.02
<b>Contact or point bleeding (%)</b>	9 (1.7)	7 (2.4)	2 (1.0)	0 (0)	0.31

\*P value for Pearson  $\chi^2$ .

**Table 2. Demographics, Presenting Features, Current Prescribed Medications, and Co-morbidities in 540 Patients with Microscopic Colitis, and According to Subtype.**

	<b>All Patients (n = 540)</b>	<b>Collagenous Colitis (n = 291)</b>	<b>Lymphocytic Colitis (n = 203)</b>	<b>MC, not Otherwise Specified (n = 46)</b>	<b>P value*</b>
<b>Mean age (SD)</b>	64.9 (12.5)	67.2 (11.5)	63.8 (13.5)	66.5 (13.8)	0.01
<b>Female (%)</b>	379 (70.2)	223 (76.6)	126 (62.1)	30 (65.2)	0.002
<b>Presenting features (%)</b>					
Duration of diarrhoea <6 months	286 (53.0)	165 (56.7)	98 (48.3)	23 (50.0)	0.59
Weight loss	152 (28.1)	95 (32.6)	51 (25.1)	6 (13.0)	0.003
Abdominal pain	120 (22.2)	68 (23.4)	44 (21.7)	8 (17.4)	0.37
Nocturnal stools	69 (12.8)	43 (14.8)	22 (10.8)	4 (8.7)	0.13

<b>Prescribed medications (%)</b>					
PPIs	197 (36.5)	120 (41.2)	58 (28.6)	19 (41.3)	0.02
Statins	83 (15.4)	48 (16.5)	28 (13.8)	7 (15.2)	0.54
NSAIDs	71 (13.1)	54 (18.6)	11 (5.4)	6 (13.0)	<0.001
Aspirin	57 (10.6)	35 (12.0)	16 (7.9)	6 (13.0)	
Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs)	47 (8.7)	22 (7.6)	21 (10.3)	4 (8.7)	0.29 0.43
<b>Co-morbidities (%)</b>					
Thyroid disease	32 (5.9)	19 (6.5)	9 (4.4)	4 (8.7)	0.89
Coeliac disease	24 (4.4)	7 (2.4)	16 (7.9)	1 (2.2)	0.008
Inflammatory arthritis	7 (1.3)	7 (2.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.02
Other inflammatory Disease	22 (4.1)	14 (4.8)	7 (3.4)	1 (2.2)	0.31

\*P value for one-way ANOVA for continuous data and Pearson  $\chi^2$  for comparison of categorical data.

**Table 3. Location and Diagnostic Yield of Colonic Biopsies in 540 Patients with Microscopic Colitis.**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Total Number of Patients with Biopsies</b>	<b>Median Number of Biopsies (range)</b>	<b>Positive for Microscopic Findings (%)</b>	<b>Negative Microscopic Findings (%)</b>	<b>Non-specific Changes (%)</b>
<b>Terminal ileum</b>	50	2 (1 – 6)	7 (14.0)	34 (68.0)	9 (18.0)
<b>Right colon (proximal to splenic flexure)</b>	457	3 (1 – 12)	451 (98.7)	1 (0.2)	5 (1.1)
<b>Left colon (distal to splenic flexure)*</b>	530	3 (1 – 12)	524 (98.9)	3 (0.6)	3 (0.6)
<b>Rectum</b>	292	2 (1 – 6)	259 (88.7)	14 (4.8)	19 (6.5)

\*Includes patients who had a flexible sigmoidoscopy only.

**Table 4. Yield of Right-sided Versus Left-sided Biopsies in 451 Patients with Microscopic Colitis.**

	<b>All Patients (n = 451)</b>	<b>Collagenous Colitis (n = 237)</b>	<b>Lymphocytic Colitis (n = 177)</b>	<b>MC, not Otherwise Specified (n = 37)</b>
<b>Both left-sided and right-sided biopsies diagnostic (%)</b>	443 (98.2)	234 (98.7)	170 (96.0)	37 (100)
<b>Only left-sided biopsies diagnostic (%)</b>	4 (0.9)	3 (1.3)	1 (0.6)	0 (0)
<b>Only right-sided biopsies diagnostic (%)</b>	4 (0.9)	0 (0)	4 (2.3)	0 (0)