



This is a repository copy of *Evaluation of biases present in the cohort multiple randomised controlled trial design: a simulation study*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/118058/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Candlish, J. orcid.org/0000-0001-5280-7891, Pate, A., Sperrin, M. et al. (1 more author) (2017) Evaluation of biases present in the cohort multiple randomised controlled trial design: a simulation study. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 17 (17).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-017-0295-7>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: Evaluation of biases present in the cohort multiple randomised controlled trial design: a simulation study

Jane Candlish^{1,2*}, Alexander Pate¹, Matthew Sperrin¹, Tjeerd van Staa^{1,3} and on behalf of GetReal Work Package 2

Erratum

After publication of the original article [1], it came to the authors' attention that there was an error affecting the References. The published Reference 14 [2] is incorrect, and should have cited a different article by Pate et al. [3].

Pate et al. [3] should have been included in the Reference list as 14, and the subsequent references (and citations within the article text) should have been renumbered.

Author details

¹Health eResearch Centre, Farr Institute for Health Informatics Research, University of Manchester, Vaughan House, Portsmouth Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. ²School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, 30 Regent St, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK. ³Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Published online: 22 March 2017

References

1. Candlish J, Pate A, Sperrin M, van Staa T, GetReal Work Package 2. Evaluation of biases present in the cohort multiple randomised controlled trial design: a simulation study. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2017;17:17. doi:10.1186/s12874-017-0295-7.
2. Wu J, Zhu S, Yao GL, Mohammed MA, Marshall T. Patient factors influencing the prescribing of lipid lowering drugs for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in UK general practice: a national retrospective cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(7):1–10.
3. Pate A, Candlish J, Sperrin M, Van Staa TP. Cohort Multiple Randomised Controlled Trials (cmRCT) design: efficient but biased? A simulation study to evaluate the feasibility of the Cluster cmRCT design. *BMC Med Res Meth.* 2016;16:109.

* Correspondence: jane.candlish@sheffield.ac.uk

¹Health eResearch Centre, Farr Institute for Health Informatics Research, University of Manchester, Vaughan House, Portsmouth Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

²School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, 30 Regent St, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK

