



This is a repository copy of *Influence of Ar Pressure on the Magnetic Properties of Amorphous FeGaSiB Thin Films*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/117999/>

Version: Accepted Version

Conference or Workshop Item:

Abbas, Q.A., Morley, N.A. orcid.org/0000-0002-7284-7978, Johansson, A. et al. (1 more author) (2017) Influence of Ar Pressure on the Magnetic Properties of Amorphous FeGaSiB Thin Films. In: INTERMAG 2017, 24-28 Apr 2017, Dublin.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2017.2696059>

© 2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other users, including reprinting/ republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted components of this work in other works. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Influence of Ar Pressure on the Magnetic Properties of Amorphous FeGaSiB Thin Films

Qayes A. Abbas^{1,2}, *Student Member, IEEE*, Nicola A. Morley¹, *Member, IEEE*, August Johansson³ and Tom Thomson³, *Senior Member, IEEE*.

¹ Dept of Materials Science & Engineering, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, UK, S1 3JD

² Dept of Phys, College of Education for Pure Science, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq

³ School of Computer Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Magnetostrictive amorphous FeSiB and FeGaSiB thin films, thickness 50nm have been grown by the co-sputtering-evaporation technique with a range of Ar pressure (4 - 8 μ bar) to control the Ga percentage within the films and study their effect on the magnetic, structural and magnetostriction properties. By x-ray diffraction, it was found that all the films had an amorphous structure and the only peaks present were for Si substrate. Using a magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometer, it was found that, for the FeSiB films, the anisotropy field (H_k) increased slowly as the pressure increased, while for the FeGaSiB films, the saturation field (H_s) \approx 4000 A/m for all pressures. For both the film sets, the coercive field (H_c) was less than 800 A/m. The magnetostriction constants (λ_s) of the FeSiB thin films increased with increasing pressure. While for the FeGaSiB films, the magnetostriction constant decreased with increasing the sputtering gas pressure, with the maximum $\lambda_s = 11.4$ ppm, at the lowest pressure 4 μ bar. Thus it was determined that the addition of Ga atoms reduced the intrinsic stress within the films, while maintaining the amorphous morphology.

Index Terms—Magnetostrictive, thin films, amorphous, magnetic properties, MEMS.

I. INTRODUCTION

AMORPHOUS MAGNETOSTRICTIVE materials in the form of thin films have become of interest for microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) such as magnetostrictive sensors [1, 2]. The inverse magnetostrictive effect in thin films is defined as a change in magnetisation under applied stress [3, 4]. Current work has demonstrated the promise of amorphous magnetic FeGaSiB thin films for use in applications that involve low saturation field (<5kA/m) and high magnetostriction constant (>20ppm). These applications include low field sensors and artificial multiferroic heterostructures, which use electric fields to control the magnetisation via the strain induced by the piezoelectric substrate [5, 6].

Sputtering parameters such as Ar pressure affect the magnetic, structural and magnetostriction properties of the deposited films as a result of their sensitivity to the growth parameters [7]. The sputtering pressure induces intrinsic stresses, which can be either tensile or compressive dependent on varying Ar pressure [8]. Other stresses arise from the lattice misfit between the film and the substrate and the difference between the thermal expansion coefficient of both film and substrate. Javed et al [9], studied the effect of pressure on the

magnetic properties and structure of magnetostrictive Fe_{100-x}Ga_x (19 \leq x \leq 23) thin films produced by co-sputtering-evaporation. They found that increasing the pressure greater than 3 μ bar, changed the anisotropy of the films from uniaxial to isotropic. They also found that the composition of Ga within the films was influenced by the pressure due to inelastic collisions with the atoms of sputtering gas, hence changed the ratio of Fe:Ga atoms. While the effective magnetostriction constant for all the samples was a constant around 60 ppm with varying the pressure. Further work by Javed et al [10], investigated magnetostrictive 50nm thick Fe_{100-x}Ga_x (14 \leq x \leq 32) thin films grown on Si substrates using the co-sputtering-evaporation technique and studied the effect of growth parameters (Ar pressure, Power of target and Ga rate) on the structure, magnetic properties and magnetostriction constant. They found that by varying the Ar pressure and holding the other parameters constant, the Fe atoms were affected thermally, such that the saturation field (H_s) decreased strongly with increasing the pressure. This was due to the intrinsic stress within the films and the change in Ga percentage. Lou et al [11] studied the addition of B to FeGa films to achieve amorphous FeGaB films for microwave applications. They found that 9% B and above gave amorphous films. For 12% B in FeGa films the coercive field was 80 A/m, the anisotropy field was 2 kA/m and the magnetostrictive constant was 70ppm. They didn't investigate further different Fe:Ga ratios nor the effect of sputtering pressure on the magnetic properties. While Ali [7] studied the magnetic properties of FeSiBC films as a function of Ar sputtering pressure. He found that the coercive field strongly depended on the sputter pressure.

The motivation of this work is the study of the effects of Ar pressure (p_{Ar}) on the growth of magnetostrictive amorphous FeSiB and FeGaSiB thin films. This paper presents an investigation of amorphous FeSiB and FeGaSiB films, comparing the result of magnetostriction constants and

Manuscript received April 1, 2015; revised May 15, 2015 and June 1, 2015; accepted July 1, 2015. Date of publication July 10, 2015; date of current version July 31, 2015. (Dates will be inserted by IEEE; “published” is the date the accepted preprint is posted on IEEE Xplore®; “current version” is the date the typeset version is posted on Xplore®). Corresponding author: N. A. morley (e-mail: n.a.morley@sheffield.ac.uk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier (inserted by IEEE).

magnetic properties as a function of the Ar pressure and Ga addition.

II. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Amorphous FeSiB and FeGaSiB thin films were deposited by a co-sputtering- evaporation system on Si (100) substrates which were cleaned before deposition with acetone and IPA. The amorphous films were made independent of rapid quenching processes. Si substrates were attached to a glass slide using PMMA. After deposition, the samples were cleaned again with acetone and IPA. The composition of the sputter target was Fe₈₅Si₁₀B₅. Two types of films were deposited with a thickness 50 nm, sputter power (P_{FeSiB}) of 20W, and the substrate-target distance (d) 60 mm. The first was amorphous FeSiB thin films for a range of Argon gas pressures (p_{Ar}) (4 - 8 μbar). The second was amorphous FeGaSiB thin films with the same pressure conditions above, but with the addition of Ga, evaporated at a constant arbitrary rate, $R_{\text{Ga}} = 0.2$. The rate was measured using a rate monitor, with the evaporation power being varied to achieve a constant rate during growth. The substrate holder was rotated during the growth, to avoid the effect of the sputter gun magnetron field, which can induce anisotropy into the films. In the transverse mode, the magnetic properties of the two film sets were measured on a magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometer, with the max magnetic field applied being 40 kA/m, which was sufficient to saturate the films. Characterization of the magnetic properties was carried out by measuring the normalized hysteresis loops on unstrained films. The magnetic anisotropy and saturation fields were determined by measuring the normalised hysteresis loops at different magnetic field directions with respect to an edge of the sample, with angles from 0° to 180°. To measure the magnetostriction constant (λ_s) [13] at room temperature, the inverse magnetostrictive effect (Villari effect) [10, 12] measurement was used. This was done by applying a strain to the films using different bend radii and measuring the normalised hysteresis loop for each radius. To analysis the data, the straight line method was used on the data to define the saturation field (H_s) (or anisotropy field (H_k)) [14]. The H_s (H_k) were plotted as a function of bending radii ($1/R$) and the magnetostriction constant (λ_s) calculated from [13, 14].

$$\lambda_s = \frac{d(H_s)}{d\left(\frac{1}{R}\right)} \frac{2\mu_0 M_s (1-\nu^2)}{3tE} \quad (1)$$

Where, $E=130$ GPa is the substrate Young's Modulus, $t=380$ μm is the substrate thickness, and $\nu=0.28$ is the substrate poisson ration, $\mu_0 M_s$ is the films' saturation induction, and the radii were $R=300, 400$ and 500mm .

The Bruker D2 phaser model X-ray diffractometer with the Source Cu $K_{\alpha 1}$ (wavelength =1.54184 \AA) was used to investigate the film's structure. In the $\theta/2\theta$ mode, the measurements were taken twice. The first was for the 2θ range from 30° to 80° to study the structure of the films (for bcc Fe 2θ $\langle 110 \rangle = 44.61^\circ$ and for bcc Fe-Ga 2θ $\langle 110 \rangle = 44.29^\circ$ (10%Ga) to 43.81° (25%Ga) [15] and silicon substrate (peaks at $2\theta = 69^\circ$

$\langle 004 \rangle$) and 33° ($\langle 200 \rangle$). The second was for the 2θ range from 35° to 55° to avoid the Si substrates peaks. The DMS model 10 Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) was used to measure the magnetic moment of the samples at room temperature, with an external field about 40 kA/m. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) using a thermo theta probe was used to determine the compositions of the films. The parameters of the measurement were dwelled time 100 ms (10 scans total of hi res), pass energy of 40 eV, and the source was an X-ray monochromator Al K_{α} X-ray. For one sample, the measurements were run three times on three different positions on the film surface and an average taken.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The substrate temperature was around 19°C for the FeSiB thin film during the growth while it was around 31°C for FeGaSiB thin films for the variation of pressure (p_{Ar}). This variation in temperature should not change the growth mechanism. It is likely that the increase in temperature is due to the evaporator used during the FeGaSiB thin film growth, as the temperature of evaporation for the Ga atoms was $\sim 1000^\circ\text{C}$, while the FeSiB was sputtered physically. Hence the addition of "hot" Ga atoms to the FeSiB films will increase the growth temperature. From the XPS measurements, for all the FeGaSiB films, Ga was detected, meaning that the Ga atoms had enough energy to travel through the plasma, without being scattered.

A. XRD Results




Fig. 1. XRD for the different pressures of FeGaSiB thin films. The LHS inset shows the Si peaks. The RHS shows the FeGaSiB film without the Si peaks.

Fig 1 shows the XRD of 50 nm thick FeGaSiB thin films for different (Ar) gas pressures (p_{Ar}). The results showed that all the films had an amorphous structure and all the peaks present were for the Si substrate. The left side insert fig shows the Si peaks at 2θ 33° and the right side insert fig shows the XRD pattern of the FeGaSiB thin films with a 2θ range (35° to 55°) to avoid the substrate peaks. It is clear from the right side insert fig there are no peaks present at $2\theta \sim 45^\circ$ to indicate the existence of crystalline Fe or FeGa. There is a broad peak at $2\theta \sim 50^\circ$, which is expected for amorphous films. Hence all the

films had an amorphous structure. The results show that the adding of Ga into amorphous FeSiB thin films does not affect the morphology also changing the Ar gas pressure does not affect the film morphology. This means the film morphology is independent of pressure.

B. Magnetic Properties

Fig 2 shows the results of the VSM measurements for FeGaSiB thin films which were measured at room temperature to avoid any thermal effects of high temperatures and to avoid annealing the films. From fig 2, it can be seen that the magnetisation of the FeGaSiB films decreases with increasing pressure. This will be due to the Ar pressure changing the percentage of non-magnetic atoms (Ga, Si, B) within the films, so leading to a change in the composition of films [9, 10]. This will be due to the increase in pressure changing the mean free paths of all the atoms, as Ga is evaporated it is likely to have a higher energy than the sputtered elements, meaning that its mean free paths at higher pressures is longer than the other elements, so increasing the concentration in the films. This will change the local environment within the amorphous films, as the magnetic moment of Fe atoms depends on the nearest neighbours.




Fig. 2. Saturation magnetisation as a function of pressure for the FeGaSiB films

The anisotropy and saturation fields were determined from the unstrained hysteresis loops measured on the MOKE, as a function of the angle between the applied magnetic field and an edge of the sample. It was found that for the FeSiB films, all the films had uniaxial anisotropy, while the FeGaSiB films were isotropic. As all the films were amorphous, the anisotropy will be dominated by the stress within the films. Thus the FeSiB films have a large intrinsic stress, while the addition of Ga has reduced this stress within the FeGaSiB films. As the anisotropy field can be proportional to the stress in the film and is given by [16, 9]:

$$H_k \approx \frac{2K}{\mu_0 M_s} \approx \frac{3\lambda_s \sigma}{\mu_0 M_s} \quad (2)$$

Where K is the anisotropy constant, $\mu_0 M_s$ is the saturation induction and σ is the intrinsic stress.

Fig 3 shows the effect of Ar pressure on the anisotropy/saturation fields for FeSiB and FeGaSiB films. For the FeSiB films, H_k increased gradually as the pressure increased, suggesting that the intrinsic stresses within the films increased with pressure. While for the FeGaSiB films $H_s \approx 4000$ A/m for all pressures. This means the addition of Ga into FeSiB reduced the stress in the films, so giving stability to the saturation field over the pressure range. Fig 4 shows the coercive fields as a function of Ar pressure for FeSiB and FeGaSiB thin films. For both the film sets, H_c is less than 800 A/m, showing that neither pressure nor Ga changed the coercivity. This is expected as the coercive field strongly depends on intrinsic properties such as the grain size, and as both film sets were amorphous, the films will contain no grains.




Fig. 3. Saturation/Anisotropy Fields as a function of pressure for the FeSiB and FeGaSiB films




Fig. 4. Coercive Fields as a function of pressure for the FeSiB and FeGaSiB films




Fig. 5. Magnetostriction constant λ_s as a function of pressure for the FeSiB and FeGaSiB films

From fig 5, it is observed that the magnetostriction constant of the FeSiB thin films increased with increasing pressure. From equation (2), it is observed that the magnetostriction constant, λ_s , is related to the intrinsic stress in the film. From previous work, the intrinsic stress is tensile as this leads to an increase in elastic properties, hence an increase in the magnetostriction constant, λ_s . Thus the increasing pressure causes an increase in tensile stress within the FeSiB films, which increased both the magnetostriction constant λ_s and anisotropy field. While for the FeGaSiB films, the magnetostriction constant, λ_s , decreases with an increase of sputtering gas pressure. The films have higher magnetostriction constants, λ_s , at the lower pressures compared to the FeSiB film, with the maximum $\lambda_s = 11.4$ ppm, at pressure $4\mu\text{bar}$. From the anisotropy and saturation field measurements, it is concluded that the addition of Ga reduces the tensile stress within the films, thus these intrinsic stresses no longer dominate the magnetostriction constant, λ_s . The reduction in stress is due to the distribution of Ga atoms in the amorphous structure changing the local environment around the Fe atom. This means that the magnetostriction constant, λ_s , now depends on the saturation magnetization (refer to eqn (1) and (2)), which depends on the Ga concentration (Fig. 2), as both the saturation magnetisation and magnetostriction constant, λ_s , both decrease with the increase in pressure.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

For FeSiB and FeGaSiB films changing the sputtering pressure and the addition of Ga did not affect the film morphology, as all were amorphous. For the FeSiB films, increasing the pressure increased the tensile stress within the films, which increased the saturation field and magnetostriction constant, and meant all the films had uniaxial anisotropy. Thus the large intrinsic stresses dominated the magnetic properties. The addition of Ga atoms to the FeSiB films, reduced this intrinsic stress within the films, as all the films were isotropic and had the same saturation field. The magnetisation of the

FeGaSiB films decreased as the pressure increased, suggesting an increase in Ga within the films. As the intrinsic stress was reduced in the FeGaSiB films, the magnetostriction constants were no longer dominated by the intrinsic stress, so depended on the films magnetisation. Hence, they decreased with increasing pressure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors like to acknowledge the Iraqi Ministry of Higher Education & Scientific Research (MOHESR) for the financial support of this work and we like to acknowledge Dr. Tom J Hayward for his help. The UK's National EPSRC XPS Users' Service (NEXUS), Newcastle University for their help to measure the composition of films. School of Computer Science, University of Manchester, for their help with VSM measurements.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. J. Gibbs, R. Watts, W.J. Karl, A.L. Powell, R.B. Yates, "Microstructures containing piezomagnetic elements", *Sensors Actuators A, Physical*, vol. 59, no. (1-3), pp 229-235, 1997.
- [2] M. R. J. Gibbs, E. W. Hill and P. J. Wright, "Magnetic Materials for MEMS Applications", *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* vol. 37. P.R237-R244, 2004.
- [3] E. du Tre'molet de Lacheisserie, "Magnetostriction: Theory and Applications of Magnetoelasticity", Boca Raton, CRC Press, 1993.
- [4] D. Sander, "The correlation between mechanical stress and magnetic anisotropy in ultrathin films", *Rep. Prog. Phys.* vol. 62, p.809-858, 1999.
- [5] J. Lou, M. Lou, D. Reed, Y. Ren and N. X. Sun, "Giant electric field tuning of magnetism in novel multiferroic FeGaB/Lead Zinc Niobate-Lead Titanate (PZN-PT) heterostructures" *Adv. Mat.* Vol 21, no. 46, pp. 4711-4715, 2009
- [6] W-G. Yang, N. A. Morley, J. Sharp, Y. Tian and W. M. Rainforth, "Strain-mediated converse magnetoelastic coupling strength manipulation by a thin titanium layer", *App. Phys. Lett.* vol. 108, no. 1, pp 12901, 2016
- [7] Mannan Ali, "Growth and Study of Magnetostrictive FeSiBC Thin Films for Device Application", PhD, dissertation, Dept. Of Physics & Astronomy, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, September 1999.
- [8] N. A. Morley, S. L. Yeh, S. Rigdy, A. Javed, and M. R.J. Gibbs, "Development of a cosputtered-evaporation chamber for Fe-Ga Films", *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, vol. 26, no. 4, p. 581-586, 2008.
- [9] A. Javed, N. A. Morley, M. R. J. Gibbs, "Structure, magnetic and magnetostrictive properties of as-deposited Fe-Ga Thin Films". *J Magn Magn Mater*, vol. 321, p. 2877-2882, 2009.
- [10] A. Javed, T. Szumiata, N.A. Morley, M. R. J. Gibbs, "An investigation of the effect of structural order on magnetostriction and magnetic behaviour of Fe-Ga alloy thin films", *Acta Materialia*, vol. 58, p. 4003- 4011, 2010.
- [11] J. Lou, R. E. Insignares, Z. Cai, K. S. Ziemer, M. Liu and N. X. Sun, "Soft magnetism, magnetostriction and microwave properties of FeGaB thin films", *Appl. Phys. Lett.* Vol. 91, pp 182504, 2007
- [12] N. A. Morley, A. Javed, M. R. J. Gibbs, "Effect of a forming field on the magnetic and structural properties of thin Fe-Ga films", *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 105, p. 07A912, 2009.
- [13] A. Javed, N. A. Morley, M. R. J. Gibbs, "Thickness dependence of magnetic and structural properties in Fe 80 Ga 20 Thin Films", *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 107, p. 09A944, 2010.
- [14] H.T. Savage and Charles Adler, "Effect of Magnetostriction in Amorphous Ferromagnets", *Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 99, p. 13-18, 1988.
- [15] J. M. Borrego, J. S. Blazquez, C. F. Conde, A. Conde and S. Roth, "Structural ordering and magnetic properties of arc-melted FeGa alloys", *Intermetallics*, vol 15, p. 193-200, 2007
- [16] R.C. O Handley, *Modern Magnetic Materials Principles and Applications*, Wiley Inc, New York, 1999.