

This is a repository copy of *Non-essential MCM-related proteins mediate a response to DNA damage in the archaeon Methanococcus maripaludis*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/116843/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Walters, Alison D and Chong, James P J orcid.org/0000-0001-9447-7421 (2017) Non-essential MCM-related proteins mediate a response to DNA damage in the archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis*. *Microbiology*. pp. 1-9. ISSN: 1465-2080

<https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000460>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Microbiology

Non-essential MCM-related proteins mediate a response to DNA damage in the archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis* --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	MIC-D-16-00444R2
Full Title:	Non-essential MCM-related proteins mediate a response to DNA damage in the archaeon <i>Methanococcus maripaludis</i>
Article Type:	Standard
Section/Category:	Physiology and metabolism
Corresponding Author:	James Chong University of York York, UNITED KINGDOM
First Author:	Alison D. Walters
Order of Authors:	Alison D. Walters James P.J. Chong
Abstract:	<p>The single minichromosome maintenance (MCM) protein found in most archaea has been widely studied as a simplified model for the MCM complex that forms the catalytic core of the eukaryotic replicative helicase. Organisms of the order Methanococcales are unusual in possessing multiple MCM homologues. The <i>Methanococcus maripaludis</i> S2 genome encodes four MCM homologues, McmA - McmD. DNA helicase assays reveal that the unwinding activity of the three MCM-like proteins is highly variable despite sequence similarities and suggests additional motifs that influence MCM function are yet to be identified. While the gene encoding McmA could not be deleted, strains harbouring individual deletions of genes encoding each of the other MCMs display phenotypes consistent with these proteins modulating DNA damage responses. <i>M. maripaludis</i> S2 is the first archaeon in which MCM proteins have been shown to influence the DNA damage response.</p>



Non-essential MCM-related proteins mediate a response to DNA damage in the
archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis*

Alison D. Walters^{1,2} and James P. J. Chong^{1*}

¹Department of Biology (Area 5), University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York,
YO10 5DD. UK.

²Current address: NIH/NIDDK, 8 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD20892, USA.

* corresponding author

Tel: +44 1904 328628

Fax: +44 1904 328505

Email: james.chong@york.ac.uk

Running title: MCM-mediated DNA damage response in archaea

Key words: MCM, DNA damage, DNA replication, methanogen

28 ABSTRACT

29 The single minichromosome maintenance (MCM) protein found in most archaea has
30 been widely studied as a simplified model for the MCM complex that forms the catalytic
31 core of the eukaryotic replicative helicase. Organisms of the order *Methanococcales* are
32 unusual in possessing multiple MCM homologues. The *Methanococcus maripaludis* S2
33 genome encodes four MCM homologues, McmA – McmD. DNA helicase assays reveal
34 that the unwinding activity of the three MCM-like proteins is highly variable despite
35 sequence similarities and suggests additional motifs that influence MCM function are yet
36 to be identified. While the gene encoding McmA could not be deleted, strains
37 harbouring individual deletions of genes encoding each of the other MCMs display
38 phenotypes consistent with these proteins modulating DNA damage responses. *M.*
39 *maripaludis* S2 is the first archaeon in which MCM proteins have been shown to
40 influence the DNA damage response.

INTRODUCTION

The eukaryotic minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex comprises six homologous proteins, MCM2 – MCM7, all of which are required for DNA replication initiation and fork progression *in vivo*. MCM genes in eukaryotes have been demonstrated to be essential through the generation of temperature sensitive and degon mutants [1]. The MCMs appear to act as a nucleation point for the formation of the Cdc45-MCM-GINS (CMG) multi-protein complex necessary for DNA unwinding in eukaryotes [2]. Within the CMG complex, MCMs provide the replicative helicase activity required by eukaryotes during chromosomal DNA replication [3]. Unwinding activity in this complex is likely to be tightly controlled, as evidenced by the number of post-translational modifications reported for the MCM proteins [4-6]. The intracellular concentration of MCMs also has an important influence on the ability of cells to cope with replicative stress. Reduction of MCM concentrations reduces the ability of cells to cope with replicative challenges [7-9]. MCMs are a target of the ATM/ATR DNA damage checkpoint [10,11], which can be triggered by the Mre11-Rad50 complex binding to double-stranded DNA breaks [12,13]. Additional evidence suggests that the MCMs, in particular MCM3 [14], may directly influence DNA replication checkpoints to ensure replicative integrity [15-19], although the precise role MCMs play in the modulation of DNA repair pathways is still unclear. Other eukaryotic MCM paralogues have been shown to have a role in the repair of meiotic DNA breaks in mice [20], mammalian DNA mismatch repair [21] and the facilitation of DNA repair at homologous recombination sites [22].

Archaeal MCM homologues have been used as simplified models for understanding the mechanisms employed by the MCM complex in DNA unwinding [23]. Biochemical analysis of archaeal MCMs has led to the identification of a number of motifs that are essential for DNA binding, ATP hydrolysis and DNA helicase activities [24-26]. In all archaea studied to date, with the exception of *Thermococcus kodakarensis*, a single functional MCM has been identified that forms a homohexameric complex possessing these activities [27].

Members of the archaeal order *Methanococcales* possess between two and eight MCM homologues [28,29]. *Methanococcus maripaludis* S2 encodes four MCM homologues [28,30] corresponding to ORF numbers MMP0030, MMP0470, MMP0748 and

MMP1024. We have named these genes *mcmA*, *B*, *C* and *D* respectively [28]. Homologues of McmA and McmD are conserved in all *Methanococcales* species and appear to have arisen from an ancient duplication [28]. Phylogenetic analysis shows that the *M. maripaludis* MCMs are more closely related to one another than to MCMs from other archaea (Fig. 1(a)). While archaea with multiple MCMs have been identified outside the order *Methanococcales*, in most of these species there are truncations or mutations in residues that are essential for DNA helicase activity that result in the presence of only a single functional MCM protein [31,32]. An exception to this general observation is in *T. kodakarensis*, where the genome encodes three MCMs (MCM1-3), all of which are expressed, but only one of which (MCM3) is essential [33]. Deletion of *MCM1* or *MCM2* in *T. kodakarensis* did not affect cell growth or viability, indicating that they are non-essential for DNA replication [33]. As in *T. kodakarensis*, multiple sequence alignments of the *M. maripaludis* proteins with other archaeal proteins show that the motifs known to be required for MCM function are all conserved in McmA-D (Fig. 1(b)). Thus, all four of the *M. maripaludis* MCMs could potentially function as DNA helicases. McmD possesses additional amino acids between the second pair of cysteines within the zinc finger (Fig. 1(b)) and a C-terminal 20 amino acid insert, reminiscent of an insert observed in eukaryotic MCM3 [28]. The four *M. maripaludis* MCMs co-purify when co-expressed in *E. coli*, indicating that they can form heteromeric complexes *in vitro* [28]. *M. maripaludis* represents an interesting model for studying MCM function not only because it has multiple MCM homologues but, unusually for an archaeon, a well-established set of genetic tools are available for this organism [34] which allows both genetic and biochemical experiments to be used in the dissection of MCM function.

In this study we demonstrate that at least two of the four *M. maripaludis* MCMs (McmA and McmB) show robust DNA helicase activity *in vitro*. We have determined that only *mcmA* appears to be essential but that mutant strains deleted for non-essential MCMs show changes in cell cycle distribution and their responses to DNA damage. We have demonstrated that multiple MCM proteins are required for normal proliferation in this organism and that deletion of non-essential MCMs has significant effects on DNA damage responses.

METHODS

110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142

Multiple sequence alignments were generated using ClustalX [35] and were used to construct a neighbour-joining tree.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

His-tagged proteins were expressed in Rosetta BL21(DE3) (Novagen) at 37 °C or Arctic Express (RIL) (Stratagene) at 12 °C. Expression was induced at 0.8 OD_{600nm} by 0.5 mM IPTG (final concentration). Cells were sonicated in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 5 mM imidazole, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 µg ml⁻¹ pepstatin, 1 µg ml⁻¹ leupeptin, 1 µg ml⁻¹ aprotinin) with 0.75 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme and 5 µg ml⁻¹ DNase. Lysate was clarified by centrifugation (50000 xg) and bound to 1 ml Talon beads (Clontech), washed with 10 column volumes (cv) of wash buffer (lysis buffer plus 10 mM imidazole) and protein was eluted in elution buffer (lysis buffer plus 150 mM imidazole). Fractions were pooled, diluted 1:3 in dilution buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol) and loaded on a 1 ml Source Q column (GE Healthcare), washed with 10 cv start buffer and eluted over a 20 cv gradient to 500 mM NaCl. Elution fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE and concentrated into 10 mM Tris pH 7.5.

Strand displacement assays

Forked substrate DNA was prepared by γ - ^{32}P labelling oligo HS2 (5'-TTTGTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGCCGACGTGCCAGGCCGACGCGTCCC-3') and annealing to HS1 (5'GGGACGCGTCGGCCTGGCACGTGGGCCGCTGCGGCCAGGCACCCGATGGCGTTTGTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTT-3') as described [36]. A 10 μl reaction containing HDB [27], 2.5 mM ATP, 150 mM potassium glutamate and 1 nM labelled substrate was prepared on ice. 10 μl protein aliquots (0-2400 fmol hexamer) in 50 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.6 were prepared on ice. 10 μl of the reaction mix was added to each protein aliquot and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 1 hour. Substrate alone was boiled for 5 minutes then placed on ice. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 5 μl 200 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.4 pmol μl^{-1} unlabelled HS2 oligo, 1 μg μl^{-1} proteinase K. DNA was separated on 12% native polyacrylamide gels, dried and visualised using a phosphorimager (BioRad). Results were quantified using Quantity

One software (BioRad).

Markerless mutagenesis in *M. maripaludis* S2

Genetic manipulations were carried out using the Mm900 (S2 Δhpt) strain of *M. maripaludis* [37]. Deletion plasmids were constructed by cloning 500 bp of upstream and downstream flanking DNA into the *Not* I site of pCRPrTNeo including codons for the five N-terminal and C-terminal amino acids of each MCM to ensure read-through (oligonucleotide sequences available on request) [37]. Transformations and markerless mutagenesis were carried out as described [37]. New strains were streak-purified, screened by PCR and analysed by Southern blot.

Southern blots

Southern blotting was carried out using DIG-labelling and detection kit according to manufacturer's instructions (Roche). Genomic DNA from individual strains was digested with the following restriction enzymes to generate appropriate fragments for probing: *mcmA* (*Pst* I), *mcmB* (*Sac* I, *Pvu* II), *mcmC* (*Pst* I, *Sac* I), *mcmD* (*Nci* I, *Xho* I). Regions of interest were detected using digoxin random hexamer-labelled probes to 500 bp flanking regions of each MCM (Fig. S1). Blots were visualised by CPSD detection (Roche) and exposing to photographic film for 1-5 minutes.

Culture and cell sampling of *M. maripaludis*

M. maripaludis was cultured in McCas liquid media as described [37]. For batch culture of *M. maripaludis*, 2 litres of modified McCas medium was prepared in a sealed 3 litre bioreactor (Applikon Ltd.) as previously described [38]. The medium was inoculated using 5x 5 ml cultures of *M. maripaludis* at an OD_{600nm} of 0.7-1.0. After inoculation, optical density was measured at 600nm every 2-5 hours. Sodium dithionite was added to samples before OD_{600nm} was measured aerobically.

Flow cytometry

1 ml of *M. maripaludis* culture was centrifuged (16000 xg, 5 minutes, room temperature). The pellet was resuspended in 100 μ l of TSE buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 380 mM NaCl, 200 mM KCl). 1 ml ice cold (77% ethanol, 600 mM LiCl) was added, the sample was vortexed then stored at 4 °C. Before analysis, fixed cells were pelleted (16000 xg, 5 minutes, room temperature), resuspended in 1 ml buffer A (10 mM Tris pH

7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂), spun and then resuspended in 150 µl buffer A containing 100 µg ml⁻¹ mithramycin A / 20 µg ml⁻¹ ethidium bromide. Stained cells were analysed by Apogee A40 MiniFCM with a 50 mW 405 nm laser. 100,000-500,000 cells were analyzed for each sample. Data were processed using FlowJo (Treestar).

DNA damage

DNA damage assays were conducted under strict anaerobic conditions. For UV damage assays, 10⁸-10⁹ cells were diluted in McCas medium and spotted on McCas plates. Spots were air dried and then exposed to UV (254 nm). Post-treatment, plates were shielded from visible light. UV dosage was measured using a Blak-Ray UV meter (UVP, Inc). For ionising radiation damage assays, aliquots of cultures were exposed to a calibrated X-ray dose from an X-ray generator. After exposure to X-rays, 10⁸-10⁹ cells were diluted in McCas medium and spotted on McCas plates. Plates were pressurised to 20 PSI with a 4:1 ratio of H₂:CO₂ and then incubated at 37 °C for 5 days.

RESULTS

McmA and McmB display in vitro DNA helicase activity

To investigate whether individual MCMs possessed DNA helicase activity, hexahistidine-tagged recombinant McmA, McmB and McmC were purified using affinity and anion exchange chromatography (Fig. 2(a)). McmD was largely insoluble when expressed recombinantly, even when protein folding was facilitated by the presence of *Oleispira antarctica* chaparones Cpn10 and Cpn60 at 12°C. Size exclusion chromatography of soluble Mcms A-C under different salt conditions support the notion that these complexes might form a range of multimeric complexes in solution (Fig. S2). Walker A motif lysine to glutamate (K>E) mutants were expressed and purified in the same manner and used as negative controls in DNA helicase assays (Fig. 2(b)-(d)). The helicase activity of individual MCMs was tested using a strand displacement assay with a forked substrate containing a 25 bp double-stranded region [36]. Both McmA and McmB showed protein concentration-dependent helicase activity (Fig. 2(b),(c)). The unwinding activity of McmB at the highest protein concentration (82% of substrate) was slightly higher than that of McmA (77% of double stranded substrate). However, McmB displayed considerably higher DNA unwinding rates than McmA at lower protein concentrations (Fig. 2(e)). In contrast, we were unable to detect any significant DNA helicase activity in McmC over the same range of concentrations (Fig. 2(d)).

McmA is essential

In order to ascertain whether any of the *M. maripaludis* MCMs were essential, deletions of each of the four individual MCMs were undertaken using a markerless mutagenesis strategy [37]. Genomic DNA was isolated from the resulting strains and analysed by Southern blotting to confirm whether a deletion mutant could be generated for each MCM gene. Deletion mutants were isolated for *mcmB*, *mcmC* and *mcmD*, demonstrating that these three genes are non-essential (Fig. 3(b)-(d)). We were unable to isolate a *mcmA* deletion strain despite screening more than 75 colonies from three independent transformations, consistent with the hypothesis that this gene is essential (Fig. 3(a)). This observation is supported by a recent genome-wide transposon mutagenesis study in *M. maripaludis* that classified McmA as “possibly essential” [39].

Deletion of non-essential MCMs results in proliferation defects

We generated growth curves for each of the Δmcm strains from batch cultures grown in a 3 litre anaerobic fermenter to compare to WT (Mm900, Fig. 4(a),(b)), [37]. In all cases doubling times of the Δmcm strains were shorter than WT, although specific growth rates and doubling times of $\Delta mcmB$ and $\Delta mcmD$ were very similar to those calculated for WT (Table 1). $\Delta mcmC$ displayed an obvious decrease in calculated doubling time compared to WT of ~20% (Table 1). Lag phases for all Δmcm strains were longer than observed for WT (Fig. 4(a)). Further experiments are required to understand this phenomenon.

DNA content and cell size for samples taken throughout the growth period were analysed by flow cytometry (Fig. 4(c)-(e)) and compared between WT and Δmcm cells at similar optical densities across the entire growth range. The cell cycle distribution of *M. maripaludis* is similar to that observed for *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii* [40]. *M. maripaludis* cells show a broad distribution of DNA content and cell size, with no distinct genome peaks visible during exponential growth in contrast to the distinct genome peaks observed for *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*, *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* and *Sulfolobus solfataricus* [32,40,41]. This observation supports the previous observation [42], that *M. maripaludis* cells are highly polyploid under normal growth conditions, as is the case for exponentially growing bacteria [43] and halophilic archaea [44].

Although some consistent minor differences between WT and $\Delta mcmB$ or $\Delta mcmC$ cells were observed, overall these deletions appeared to have no significant effects on cell size or DNA content compared to WT (Fig. 4(c), (d)). $\Delta mcmD$ cells were larger than WT in all growth phases. $\Delta mcmD$ cells also possessed a greater DNA content than WT in early and mid-log growth (Fig. 4(e)). $\Delta mcmD$ cells with a very low DNA content increased dramatically in late log/stationary phase to become the dominant population. This phenotype could be indicative of DNA breakage, perhaps caused by incomplete DNA replication, aberrant DNA segregation, defective cell division or an inability to effectively repair DNA damage accumulated during growth.

MCMs mediate a DNA damage response

To determine whether the $\Delta mcmD$ cell cycle distribution differences we observed were due to a defect in the ability of these cells to respond to DNA damage, we subjected WT and mutant strains to increasing doses of UV radiation. Consistent with previous reports [45] we found *M. maripaludis* S2 cells to be highly sensitive to UV damage (Fig. 5(a)). This sensitivity was dramatically increased in $\Delta mcmD$ but slightly reduced in both $\Delta mcmB$ and $\Delta mcmC$, which were more resistant to low doses of UV damage than WT. These phenotypes were confirmed by exposing the same strains to ionising radiation, where $\Delta mcmD$ also showed hypersensitivity this type of damage (Fig. 5(b)). Consistent with our observations for UV damage, $\Delta mcmB$ and $\Delta mcmC$ showed an increased resistance to ionising radiation compared to WT (Fig. 5(b)).

DISCUSSION

We have produced recombinant protein for three highly similar McmA-type MCMs from *M. maripaludis* S2. McmA and McmB displayed DNA helicase activity but McmC did not. Interestingly, although measurements by size exclusion chromatography shows complexes of different sizes under different conditions for McmA and McmB, they were still able to unwind DNA. This situation is similar to that described for the eukaryotic MCMs where a complex of MCMs 4, 6 and 7 is sufficient for *in vitro* helicase activity (probably as a dimer of trimers), but the active complex *in vivo* is additionally modulated by the presence of other MCM subunits [46]. *M. maripaludis* encodes multiple RecJ homologues, several of which have been shown to be non-essential, and a single GINS protein, which is probably essential [39]. We have previously reported the recovery of a

complex containing all four recombinant *M. maripaludis* Mcm proteins, supporting the notion that a heteromeric complex may be formed *in vivo* [28]. It is also possible that more than one Mcm complex is formed *in vivo*, providing different functions. The absence of helicase activity in McmC and the faster unwinding rate of McmB suggest that additional amino acids to those already identified in the MCM proteins are critical for modulating helicase activity in complexes formed by individual proteins. A detailed analysis of the McmC sequence compared to McmA/McmB could provide important insights into the modulation of MCM helicase activity and the molecular mechanisms governing this activity in eukaryotes.

Our results demonstrate that *M. maripaludis* possesses multiple functional MCMs, one of which is essential, with the other three causing defects in cell proliferation and the response to DNA damage when deleted. *mcmA* could not be deleted and displays robust helicase activity *in vitro*. McmB had more vigorous DNA helicase activity than McmA *in vitro* and when deleted, increased resistance to DNA damage. $\Delta mcmC$ displayed a faster growth rate than WT and increased resistance to DNA damage. In contrast, $\Delta mcmD$ showed a striking increase in DNA damage sensitivity. A previous shotgun proteomics study detected peptides for McmA, McmB and McmD *in vivo* [47]. These data support our findings that McmB and McmD have functional roles *in vivo*. While peptides for McmC were not detected, this does not definitively prove that such peptides were not present. We have been unable to obtain sufficient soluble McmD to conduct helicase assays, so whether McmD is an active helicase remains unknown. Our previous genome context analysis revealed an upstream ORF of unknown function that is likely to be operonic with *mcmD* in *M. maripaludis* S2 [28]. Interestingly, this ORF is highly conserved throughout the *Methanococcales* (Fig. S3, S4), but not found in any other species. The positioning of this ORF contiguous with *mcmD* is conserved among the mesophilic *Methanococcales*. It is possible that co-expression of this smaller ORF with McmD would produce soluble protein to allow biochemical analysis.

We have previously noted that McmD possesses a modified zinc finger and C-terminal 20 amino acid insert and similar features are found in eukaryotic MCM3 [28]. MCM3 has been implicated in the regulation of the eukaryotic MCM complex [4], and has been shown to be specifically phosphorylated by ATM/ATR kinases [10]. An apparent requirement for the specific proteolysis of eukaryotic MCM3 before apoptosis can be

induced has also been reported [48,49]. Thus the notion of a specialised Mcm as a nexus for a modulatory or checkpoint decision is not without precedent. The response of $\Delta mcmD$ to UV and ionizing radiation supports the notion that McmD is important either in modulating a response to DNA damage or that McmD is important in controlling the polyploidy observed in *M. maripaludis*, which in turn could influence the cell's ability to repair damage through homologous recombination pathways as reported for *Deinococcus*. The altered cell size and DNA content of $\Delta mcmD$ measured using flow cytometry, supports the hypothesis that McmD may have a role in proliferation control.

$\Delta mcmB$ or $\Delta mcmC$ strains are more resistant to DNA damage than WT. This response is reminiscent of phenotype observed in polyploid *Haloflex volcanii* when the DNA repair genes *mre11* and *rad50* are deleted [50]. It has been suggested the Mre11-Rad50 complex delays the repair of damage by homologous recombination to allow DNA repair to occur more rapidly using microhomology mediated end-joining, avoiding the complications inherent in using homologous recombinational repair in a polyploid organism. *H. volcanii mre11 rad50* mutants therefore undergo homologous repair more readily than WT, enhancing cell survival but reducing the recovery rate from DNA damage [50]. $\Delta mcmB$ or $\Delta mcmC$ strains may bypass the preferred DNA damage response to similarly undergo homologous recombination to repair DNA damage. Whether the DNA repair processes that take place under these circumstances are error-prone or error-free and whether the long-term fitness of $\Delta mcmB$ or $\Delta mcmC$ strains is reduced remains to be determined.

The responses to deletion of MCM genes in *M. maripaludis* have allowed us to clearly describe the first example of an archaeal organism where MCMs play a role in the response to DNA damage. This observation indicates that, as in eukaryotes, the multiple MCMs in *M. maripaludis* have evolved to perform specialized functions. Interestingly, protein interaction studies in *T. kodakarensis* show that non-essential MCM1 and MCM2 co-purify with proteins with known roles in DNA repair [51], although a role for these MCMs in DNA repair has not been established. Our data demonstrating that multiple functional MCMs are present in *M. maripaludis* indicate that this organism provides a useful biochemical and genetic system that could provide further insight into eukaryotic MCM function.

345 FUNDING INFORMATION

346 The Worldwide Universities Network provided travel grant funds to A.D.W. This work
347 was supported in part by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council
348 PhD studentship. J.P.J.C. is a Royal Society Industry Fellow.

349

350 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

351 Thanks to John Leigh and Tom Lie for providing strains, plasmids and expertise in *M.*
352 *maripaludis* genetics, Jo Milner for the loan of the UV dosimeter.

353

354 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

355 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

356

REFERENCES

1. **Labib K, Tercero JA, Diffley JF.** Uninterrupted MCM2-7 function required for DNA replication fork progression. *Science* 2000;288:1643–1647.
2. **Ilves I, Petojevic T, Pesavento JJ, Botchan MR.** Activation of the MCM2-7 helicase by association with Cdc45 and GINS proteins. *Molecular Cell* 2010;37:247–258.
3. **Bochman ML, Schwacha A.** The Mcm complex: unwinding the mechanism of a replicative helicase. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2009;73:652–683.
4. **Takei Y, Assenberg M, Tsujimoto G, Laskey R.** The MCM3 acetylase MCM3AP inhibits initiation, but not elongation, of DNA replication via interaction with MCM3. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002;277:43121–43125.
5. **Sheu Y-J, Stillman B.** Cdc7-Dbf4 phosphorylates MCM proteins via a docking site-mediated mechanism to promote S phase progression. *Molecular Cell* 2006;24:101–113.
6. **Lei M, Kawasaki Y, Young MR, Kihara M, Sugino A et al.** Mcm2 is a target of regulation by Cdc7-Dbf4 during the initiation of DNA synthesis. *Genes Dev.* 1997;11:3365–3374.
7. **Ibarra A, Schwob E, Méndez J.** Excess MCM proteins protect human cells from replicative stress by licensing backup origins of replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2008;105:8956–8961.
8. **Woodward AM, Göhler T, Luciani MG, Oehlmann M, Ge X et al.** Excess Mcm2-7 license dormant origins of replication that can be used under conditions of replicative stress. *J. Cell Biol.* 2006;173:673–683.
9. **Maki K, Inoue T, Onaka A, Hashizume H, Somete N et al.** Abundance of prereplicative complexes (Pre-RCs) facilitates recombinational repair under replication stress in fission yeast. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2011;286:41701–41710.
10. **Cortez D, Glick G, Elledge SJ.** Minichromosome maintenance proteins are direct targets of the ATM and ATR checkpoint kinases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2004;101:10078–10083.
11. **Shi Y, Dodson GE, Mukhopadhyay PS, Shanware NP, Trinh AT et al.** Identification of carboxyl-terminal MCM3 phosphorylation sites using polyreactive phosphospecific antibodies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007;282:9236–9243.
12. **Lee J-H, Paull TT.** ATM activation by DNA double-strand breaks through the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 complex. *Science* 2005;308:551–554.
13. **D'Amours D, Jackson SP.** The Mre11 complex: at the crossroads of dna repair and checkpoint signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2002;3:317–327.
14. **Han X, Pozo FM, Wisotsky JN, Wang B, Jacobberger JW et al.**

- 395 Phosphorylation of mini-chromosome maintenance 3 (MCM3) by Chk1 negatively
396 regulates DNA replication and checkpoint activation. *Journal of Biological*
397 *Chemistry* 2015;289:24716–24723.
- 398 15. **Komata M, Bando M, Araki H, Shirahige K.** The direct binding of Mrc1, a
399 checkpoint mediator, to Mcm6, a replication helicase, is essential for the
400 replication checkpoint against methyl methanesulfonate-induced stress. *Mol. Cell.*
401 *Biol.* 2009;29:5008–5019.
- 402 16. **Bailis JM, Luche DD, Hunter T, Forsburg SL.** Minichromosome maintenance
403 proteins interact with checkpoint and recombination proteins to promote s-phase
404 genome stability. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2008;28:1724–1738.
- 405 17. **Trenz K, Smith E, Smith S, Costanzo V.** ATM and ATR promote Mre11
406 dependent restart of collapsed replication forks and prevent accumulation of DNA
407 breaks. *EMBO J.* 2006;25:1764–1774.
- 408 18. **Han X, Aslanian A, Fu K, Tsuji T, Zhang Y.** The interaction between checkpoint
409 kinase 1 (Chk1) and the minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex is
410 required for DNA damage-induced Chk1 phosphorylation. *Journal of Biological*
411 *Chemistry* 2014;289:24716–24723.
- 412 19. **Ilves I, Tamberg N, Botchan MR.** Checkpoint kinase 2 (Chk2) inhibits the activity
413 of the Cdc45/MCM2-7/GINS (CMG) replicative helicase complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
414 *Sci. U.S.A.* 2012;109:13163–13170.
- 415 20. **McNairn AJ, Rinaldi VD, Schimenti JC.** Repair of Meiotic DNA Breaks and
416 Homolog Pairing in Mouse Meiosis Requires a Minichromosome Maintenance
417 (MCM) Paralog. *Genetics* 2017;205:529–537.
- 418 21. **Park J, Long DT, Lee KY, Abbas T, Shibata E et al.** The MCM8-MCM9 complex
419 promotes RAD51 recruitment at DNA damage sites to facilitate homologous
420 recombination. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2013;33:1632–1644.
- 421 22. **Traver S, Coulombe P, Peiffer I, Hutchins JRA, Kitzmann M et al.** MCM9 Is
422 Required for Mammalian DNA Mismatch Repair. *Molecular Cell* 2015;59:831–839.
- 423 23. **Bell SD, Botchan MR.** The minichromosome maintenance replicative helicase.
424 *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2013;5:a012807.
- 425 24. **McGeoch AT, Trakselis MA, Laskey RA, Bell SD.** Organization of the archaeal
426 MCM complex on DNA and implications for the helicase mechanism. *Nat. Struct.*
427 *Mol. Biol.* 2005;12:756–762.
- 428 25. **Jenkinson ER, Chong JPJ.** Minichromosome maintenance helicase activity is
429 controlled by N- and C-terminal motifs and requires the ATPase domain helix-2
430 insert. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2006;103:7613–7618.
- 431 26. **Kasiviswanathan R, Shin J-H, Melamud E, Kelman Z.** Biochemical
432 characterization of the *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*
433 minichromosome maintenance (MCM) helicase N-terminal domains. *J. Biol. Chem.*

- 434 2004;279:28358–28366.
- 435 27. **Chong JP, Hayashi MK, Simon MN, Xu RM, Stillman B.** A double-hexamer
436 archaeal minichromosome maintenance protein is an ATP-dependent DNA
437 helicase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2000;97:1530–1535.
- 438 28. **Walters AD, Chong JPJ.** An archaeal order with multiple minichromosome
439 maintenance genes. *Microbiology* 2010;156:1405–1414.
- 440 29. **Krupovic M, Gribaldo S, Bamford DH, Forterre P.** The evolutionary history of
441 archaeal MCM helicases: a case study of vertical evolution combined with
442 hitchhiking of mobile genetic elements. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2010;27:2716–2732.
- 443 30. **Hendrickson EL, Kaul R, Zhou Y, Bovee D, Chapman P et al.** Complete
444 genome sequence of the genetically tractable hydrogenotrophic methanogen
445 *Methanococcus maripaludis*. *J. Bacteriol.* 2004;186:6956–6969.
- 446 31. **McGeoch AT, Bell SD.** Extra-chromosomal elements and the evolution of cellular
447 DNA replication machineries. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2008;9:569–574.
- 448 32. **Majerník AI, Lundgren M, McDermott P, Bernander R, Chong JPJ.** DNA
449 content and nucleoid distribution in *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*. *J.*
450 *Bacteriol.* 2005;187:1856–1858.
- 451 33. **Pan M, Santangelo TJ, Li Z, Reeve JN, Kelman Z.** *Thermococcus kodakarensis*
452 encodes three MCM homologs but only one is essential. *Nucleic Acids Research*
453 2011;39:9671–9680.
- 454 34. **Sarmiento FB, Leigh JA, Whitman WB.** Genetic systems for hydrogenotrophic
455 methanogens. *Meth. Enzymol.* 2011;494:43–73.
- 456 35. **Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG.** The
457 CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence
458 alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 1997;25:4876–
459 4882.
- 460 36. **Shin J-H, Jiang Y, Grabowski B, Hurwitz J, Kelman Z.** Substrate requirements
461 for duplex DNA translocation by the eukaryal and archaeal minichromosome
462 maintenance helicases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003;278:49053–49062.
- 463 37. **Moore BC, Leigh JA.** Markerless mutagenesis in *Methanococcus maripaludis*
464 demonstrates roles for alanine dehydrogenase, alanine racemase, and alanine
465 permease. *J. Bacteriol.* 2005;187:972–979.
- 466 38. **Haydock AK, Porat I, Whitman WB, Leigh JA.** Continuous culture of
467 *Methanococcus maripaludis* under defined nutrient conditions. *FEMS Microbiol.*
468 *Lett.* 2004;238:85–91.
- 469 39. **Sarmiento F, Mrázek J, Whitman WB.** Genome-scale analysis of gene function
470 in the hydrogenotrophic methanogenic archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis*.
471 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2013;110:4726–4731.

472 40. **Bernander R, Poplawski A.** Cell cycle characteristics of thermophilic archaea. *J.*
473 *Bacteriol.* 1997;179:4963–4969.

474 41. **Maisnier-Patin S, Malandrin L, Birkeland N-K, Bernander R.** Chromosome
475 replication patterns in the hyperthermophilic euryarchaea *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*
476 and *Methanocaldococcus (Methanococcus) jannaschii*. *Mol. Microbiol.*
477 2002;45:1443–1450.

478 42. **Hildenbrand C, Stock T, Lange C, Rother M, Soppa J.** Genome copy numbers
479 and gene conversion in methanogenic archaea. *J. Bacteriol.* 2011;193:734–743.

480 43. **Cooper S, Helmstetter CE.** Chromosome replication and the division cycle of
481 *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 1968;31:519–540.

482 44. **Breuert S, Allers T, Spohn G, Soppa J.** Regulated polyploidy in halophilic
483 archaea. *PLoS ONE* 2006;1:e92.

484 45. **Kiener A, Gall R, Rechsteiner T, Leisinger T.** Photoreactivation in
485 *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum*. *Archives of Microbiology* 1985;143:147–
486 150.

487 46. **Ishimi Y.** A DNA helicase activity is associated with an MCM4, -6, and -7 protein
488 complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997;272:24508–24513.

489 47. **Xia Q, Hendrickson EL, Zhang Y, Wang T, Taub F et al.** Quantitative
490 proteomics of the archaeon *Methanococcus maripaludis* validated by microarray
491 analysis and real time PCR. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 2006;5:868–881.

492 48. **Schwab BL, Leist M, Knippers R, Nicotera P.** Selective proteolysis of the
493 nuclear replication factor MCM3 in apoptosis. *Exp. Cell Res.* 1998;238:415–421.

494 49. **Schories B, Engel K, Dörken B, Gossen M, Bommert K.** Characterization of
495 apoptosis-induced Mcm3 and Cdc6 cleavage reveals a proapoptotic effect for one
496 Mcm3 fragment. *Cell Death Differ.* 2004;11:940–942.

497 50. **Delmas S, Shunburne L, Ngo H-P, Allers T.** Mre11-Rad50 promotes rapid
498 repair of DNA damage in the polyploid archaeon *Haloferax volcanii* by restraining
499 homologous recombination. *PLoS Genet* 2009;5:e1000552.

500 51. **Li Z, Santangelo TJ, Cuboňová L, Reeve JN, Kelman Z.** Affinity purification of
501 an archaeal DNA replication protein network. *MBio* 2010;1.

502

503

Table 1

Growth rates of Mm900 (wild type) and Δmcm strains calculated from Fig. 4(b).

Strain	Specific growth rate (μ)	Doubling time (hours) $T_2 = \ln 2 / \mu$
Mm900 (WT)	0.0029	3.98
$\Delta mcmB$	0.0032	3.61
$\Delta mcmC$	0.0036	3.20
$\Delta mcmD$	0.0030	3.85

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1

Multiple potentially functional MCMs in *M. maripaludis*.

(a) The *Methanococcus maripaludis* MCMs are more related to each other than to other archaeal MCMs. Phylogenetic tree of *M. maripaludis* MCMs (Mmp) compared to MCM sequences from *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* (Mth), *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* (Afu), *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (Sso), *Aeropyrum pernix* (Ape) and *Korarchaeum cryptophilum* (Kcr).

(b) *M. maripaludis* MCMs appear to contain all the sequence motifs known to be required for helicase activity. Alignment of the sequences used in (a) in the same order showing conservation of motifs and essential residues that have been experimentally determined to be required for helicase activity. The helix-2 insert (h2-i) is not conserved at amino acid level, but is present in all sequences and shown as a box. Catalytically important amino acids are shown in bold, residues that deviate from typical motifs, but are known to support function are shaded.

Figure 2

Biochemical characterisation of MCMs in *M. maripaludis*.

(a) SDS-PAGE gels showing purified recombinant McmA, B and C proteins after affinity (Co^{2+}) and anion exchange (AX) chromatography. (b) strand displacement assay for McmA. Protein concentrations are indicated in fmol hexamer. K>E indicates Walker A mutant of McmA (1200 fmol hexamer), -ATP is wild type protein (1200 fmol hexamer) in the absence of ATP. (c) strand displacement assay for McmB. Lanes and protein

concentrations are as indicated for (b). (d) strand displacement assay for MmC. Lanes and protein concentrations are as indicated for (b). (e) quantification of strand displacement activities for MmA (closed circles), MmB (open circles) and MmC (crosses), representative data were acquired from the figures in (b)-(d). Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

Figure 3

Three of the four MCMs in *M. maripaludis* can be deleted.

The Mm900 (WT) strain was subjected to markerless mutagenesis (Moore and Leigh, 2005) to delete MCM genes. Strains were recovered and subjected to Southern blot to confirm whether deletion strains could be generated. In all cases, lane 1 contains molecular weight markers, lane 2 WT genomic DNA, lane 3 the relevant merodiploid to show that the mutagenesis was successful. (a) no deleted strains of *mcmA* were recovered. Lanes 4-23 are WT strains recovered from markerless mutagenesis. (b) $\Delta mcmB$ strains were identified in lanes 13, 21 and 23. (c) $\Delta mcmC$ strains were identified in lanes 4, 6, 8, 12, 16 and 21. (d) $\Delta mcmD$ deleted strains were identified in lanes 8, 11 and 20.

Figure 4

MCM deletions result in proliferation defects.


(a) Time course measurements of OD₆₀₀ as an indication of cell number. WT (Mm900, closed circles) or *M. maripaludis* strains harbouring deletions in *mcmB* (open circles), *mcmC* (closed squares) or *mcmD* (open squares) were grown in a 2L batch culture and sampled as indicated. (b) Exponential growth data from (a) replotted as ln(OD₆₀₀) for the calculation of doubling times (see Table 1). Symbols as for (a), regressions shown as grey dotted lines. (c) - (e) Flow cytometry indicates that deletion of non-essential MCMs in *M. maripaludis* results in a proliferation phenotype. (c) $\Delta mcmB$, (d) $\Delta mcmC$, (e) $\Delta mcmD$. In each panel the profile for WT cells at a similar OD₆₀₀ is shown in grey, the MCM deleted strain profile is shown as a black line. Discontinuities at the mid-point in each curve are due to automatic switching between different photomultipliers for detection of small signals in the Apogee flow cytometer used to make these measurements. Within each group of panels, the left column panels show light scatter as an indication of cell size; the right column panels show fluorescence as an indication of

DNA content. Event number is normalized. Data are plotted on a logarithmic scale. Numbers indicate the OD₆₀₀ of deletion strain (top) compared to wild type (bottom).

Figure 5

Δmcm strains show DNA damage phenotypes.


(a) WT *M. maripaludis* (Mm900, closed circles), *ΔmcmB* (diamonds), *ΔmcmC* (triangles) or *ΔmcmD* (open circles) strains were plated at different dilutions before being irradiated with UV light (254 nm) as indicated. Surviving cells were calculated by enumerating colonies formed. The mean and standard errors for three independent experiments are shown. *ΔmcmB* and *ΔmcmC* strains are more resistant to low UV doses than WT, whereas *ΔmcmD* is more sensitive to this type of damage. (b) The same strains, indicated by the same symbols as (a) were subjected to ionizing radiation (X-rays) as indicated. *ΔmcmD* was substantially more sensitive to DNA damage than WT or the *ΔmcmB* and *ΔmcmC* strains, which were more resistant to damage. The mean and standard errors for three independent experiments are shown.



Walters and Chong - Figure 1

Figure 2

[Click here to download Figure Walters_Chong_Figure_2.eps](#)



Walters and Chong - Figure 2






Figure 4



● WT (Mm900) ○ $\Delta mcmC$
 ■ $\Delta mcmB$ □ $\Delta mcmD$








Figure 5




Walters and Chong - Figure 5


McmA




McmB



McmC



McmD




Walters and Chong

Fig. S1

Genomic context for MCM genes with position of restriction enzyme sites used to generate fragments for Southern blots (see Fig. 3). Genomic position in bp indicated at the top of each panel, RE site indicated in bp from beginning of excerpt. Deleted region indicated in red. Probe for Southern blot indicated in blue. Yellow arrows indicate genes and direction of ORF. Text indicates expected fragment sizes for WT and deleted Southern blot fragments.

high salt (30 mM Tris7.5, 300 mM NaCl)


low salt (30 mM Tris7.5, 150 mM NaCl)



Walters and Chong

Fig. S2

Absorbance traces (280 nm) from size exclusion chromatography: protein samples were loaded on a 2.6 mL Superose 6 column and eluted at 50 μ L/min in the buffer indicated



Walters and Chong

Fig. S3

Phylogenetic tree showing relatedness of all MMP1025 homologues described to date. Boxed genes are found immediately upstream of genes encoding MCM homologues and are likely operonic. MMP1025 homologues are found in all *Methanococcales* species sequenced to date, correlating with the presence of *McmD* homologues, but are found in no other species.

M. maripaludis S2	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVVNERHVPLWMLSKSDEERIN--FDLPWTNLQDLAISLYELKREQQKSKELLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLSDESMA
M. maripaludis X1	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVAVNEKHVPLWMLSKSDEERIN--FDLPWTNLQDLAISLYELKREQQKSKELLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLSDESMA
M. maripaludis C6	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVVNERHVPLWMLTEGDEERIN--FDLPWTNLQDLAIYLYELKREQQKSKELLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLSDESMA
M. maripaludis C7	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVVNERHVPLWMLTEGDEERIN--FDLPWTNLQDLAIYLYELKREQQKSKELLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLSDESMA
M. maripaludis C5	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVVNERHVPLWMLNEGDEERIN--FDLPWTNLQDLAIYLYELKREQQKSKELLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLSDESMA
M. vanniellii_SB	o	---MDVYDILFLKCTEYEVLLNEKQIPLWMIKKENALNVN--FDLPWNNLQDLAIYLYELKREQQKSKDLKCNLEEIIIVGISYLSKSKSGSLLANESIG
Mcc. jannaschii	x	---MDVYEILYQFCLEYEVLDDDEKIPLWKLKKEDDLKVD--LDLPWTSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIVEILVGIALLKPEEGSNYMG--LVT
Mcc. jannaschii DSM2661	x	MKNMDVYEILYQFCLEYEVLDDDEKIPLWKLKKEDDLKVD--LDLPWTSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIVEILVGIALLKPEEGSNYMG--LVT
Mcc. bathoardescens	x	---MDVYEILYQFCLEYKVLNDEEVPLWKLKKEDDLKAN--LDLPWNSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIVEILVGIALLKPEDGNMYMG--LVT
Mcc. sp. FS406-22	x	---MDVYETLYQLCLEYKVLDDKEVPLWKLKKEDDLKAN--LDLPWTSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIEILVGIALLKPEEGSNYMG--LVT
Mcc. fervens AG86	x	---MDVYEILYQSCLEYKVLNNGEETPLWKLKKEDDLKVD--LDLPWTSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIVEILVGIALLKPEEGSNYMG--LVT
Mcc. vulcanius M7	x	---MDVYETLYQFCLEYEVLDDKKVPLWKLKKEDDLSDV--LDLPWNSIRDIAIYLYELKKKQQNSKELIKCDIVEILVGIALLKAEED--YMR--HVH
Mcc. infernus ME	x	---MDVYETLYNLCLHEHEVKDKKIPLWKCKS--LEEVED--LNLPKWSLRELTLYLYELVTRQRESTEFIKFDIVKVLVGLALLREDVYG----VTT
Mcc. villosus KIN24 T80	x	---MDVYEVLVQACLEYEVLDDGKRVPLWKVKKEDDLKVD--FRLPWNLSRELAVHLYELKSKQQSKELIRVNVLVEILIGIAFLKVEDEFGSIC--NV-
Mtc. okinawensis IH1	o	---MDVYEVLVQKCLEYEVIVDGKEVPLWKLKKEEDIANGNVDFDLQWDSLQDLAISLYELKKEQQKSKELIKYPLEEVIIGIAFLKSKSGYLITDDMNN
M. aeolicus Nankai-3	o	---MDVYEVLVQKCLEYEVIIIDGKEIPLWKLKKENLDNANFNVNQWDSLQDLAISLYELKKEQQKSKELIKFPIEEEILVAMAFKSKTKGYLITDDINN
Mt. igneus Kol5	x	---MDVYEILVQKCLEYEVLLDDEKIPLWKLKKEDDLKVN--FGLPWENLQDLAIYLYELKKEQQRSKELIKCDIAEILVGI AFLKPKKSGSLIADES LG
Mt. formicicus Mc-S-70	x	---MDVYEILFRKCLEYEVLLDDEKVPLWKLKKEDDLKVN--FGLPWENLQDLAIYLYELKKEQQRSKELIKCDISEILVGI AFLKSEKSN SLIADET LG
Mtc. thermolithotrophicus	o	---MDVYEVLFEKCLEYEVLLNEKKIPLWKLKKEDDLNDV--FDLPWEHIQDLAIYLYELKREQQKSKELLKCDIDEILVGMAFLKSKSGSLISDEL TG
M. voltae A3	o	---MDAYSLLFLKCTEYEVYKGETKVPWLWQITKEDIKAKNVNFDLPWSSIQDLAITLFDILKDQRRNPDLTYLNLLEEIIIVGISLNFSESGTLISNQDMA

Walters and Chong, Fig. S4: ClustalX alignment of all existing MMP1025 homologues. 'o' indicates genes that are upstream and likely operonic with McmD homologues, 'x' indicates non-operonic genes.