



This is a repository copy of *Routine vaccination practice after adult and paediatric allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant: a survey of UK NHS programmes.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/114155/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Miller, P.D., de Silva, T.I., Skinner, R. et al. (8 more authors) (2017) Routine vaccination practice after adult and paediatric allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant: a survey of UK NHS programmes. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, 52. pp. 775-777. ISSN 0268-3369

<https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2016.362>

---

2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. This is an author produced version of a paper subsequently published in *Bone Marrow Transplantation*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Routine Vaccination Practice after Adult and Paediatric Allogeneic**  
2 **Haematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant: A Survey of UK NHS Programmes**

3

4 Paul D E Miller<sup>1\*</sup>, Thushan I de Silva<sup>2</sup>, Roderick Skinner<sup>3</sup>, Maria Gilleece<sup>4</sup>, Andrew  
5 Peniket<sup>5</sup>, Angela Hamblin<sup>5</sup>, Diana Greenfield<sup>6</sup>, Chloe Anthias<sup>1,7</sup>, Karl Peggs<sup>8</sup>, Alejandro  
6 Madrigal<sup>1</sup>, John A Snowden<sup>9</sup> on behalf of the BSBMT Clinical Trials Committee

7

8 <sup>1</sup>Anthony Nolan Research Institute, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street, London, NW3 2QU,  
9 [paul.miller@anthonymolan.org](mailto:paul.miller@anthonymolan.org), t 020 7284 8278

10 <sup>2</sup>Department of Infection and Tropical Medicine, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS  
11 Foundation Trust, Sheffield

12 <sup>3</sup>Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Haematology and Oncology, Newcastle upon  
13 Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne

14 <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Haematology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds

15 <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Haematology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation  
16 Trust, Oxford

17 <sup>6</sup>Specialised Cancer Services, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,  
18 Sheffield

19 <sup>7</sup>Department of Haemato-Oncology, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London,  
20 United Kingdom

21 <sup>8</sup>Department of Clinical Haematology, University College London Hospitals NHS  
22 Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

23 <sup>9</sup>Department of Clinical Haematology, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation  
24 Trust, Sheffield

25

26 \*Corresponding Author

27

28 Despite advances in supportive care, infection remains a significant cause of morbidity  
29 and mortality post haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT). Impaired humoral  
30 immunity, marked by a decline in antibody titres to vaccine preventable diseases (VPD),  
31 is observed within months and may continue for years post-HSCT(1). HSCT recipients  
32 are at increased risk of morbidity and mortality from influenza virus infection and  
33 invasive pneumococcal disease(2,3), two relatively common VPDs. The impact of  
34 declining antibody titres post-HSCT on susceptibility to other VPDs is unclear, but cases  
35 of *Haemophilus influenzae*, pertussis, and measles are documented(4–6). It is therefore  
36 considered best practice to try and offer HSCT recipients the same level of protection  
37 against all VPDs as the general population, and immunogenicity studies have  
38 demonstrated that post-HSCT antibody titres can be boosted by vaccination(7–9). FACT-  
39 JACIE International Standards for Haematopoietic Cellular Therapy require, therefore,  
40 that schedules are in place(10). To define this schedule of post-HSCT vaccination, UK  
41 HSCT programmes can refer to guidelines from several major societies, along with  
42 consensus conference proceedings and recommendations from national paediatric  
43 groups(11–14). In the absence of supportive data, guidelines recommend standard  
44 vaccination schedules unmodified by disease indication, stem cell source or conditioning  
45 regimen, however the specifics of the schedules vary across these guidelines.

46

47 We surveyed the adult and paediatric allogeneic HSCT programmes of the UK National  
48 Health Service (NHS) with the aim of assessing homogeneity of practice and  
49 determining how clinical care aligns with current evidence, recommendations and  
50 guidelines. We defined a Routine Vaccination Programme (RVP) as a ‘series of scheduled  
51 vaccinations administered after allogeneic HSCT as standard post-transplant care’ and  
52 developed a 25 question web-based survey, with questions grouped into four themes:  
53 RVP service organization, RVP vaccine selection, RVP commencement and delay, and  
54 monitoring of response to vaccines. Response options were mapped to current

55 recommendations and guidelines, and asked specifically about current RVP practice.  
56 Respondents were advised to refer to local guidelines or standard operating procedures  
57 (SOP) when completing the survey. The survey was developed in conjunction with an  
58 infectious disease physician, senior adult and paediatric alloHSC T physicians, and  
59 alloHSC T nurse specialists all with an interest and expertise in vaccination. The survey  
60 was piloted with 5 HSC T specialists and optimized accordingly. An invitation to  
61 participate was e-mailed by the British Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation  
62 (BSBMT) to all 27 adult and 12 paediatric UK alloHSC T programme directors. Directors  
63 were invited to complete the survey or delegate to the healthcare professional taking  
64 primary responsibility for RVP. The survey was open between May and December 2015.  
65  
66 100% of adult and 83% of paediatric HSC T programmes responded to the survey. The  
67 age range of patients treated by paediatric programmes is 0-20 years. The majority of  
68 surveys were completed by HSC T programme directors (54%) or consultant grade HSC T  
69 physicians (30%), with the remainder completed by HSC T nurse specialists (8%),  
70 pharmacists (5%) or non-consultant grade physicians (3%). 95% of responding  
71 programmes were JACIE accredited having completed at least 1 cycle, with 5% working  
72 towards JACIE accreditation.  
73  
74 All responding adult and paediatric programmes recommend a RVP for HSC T recipients.  
75 However, only a minority of adult (8%) and paediatric (10%) programmes offer  
76 vaccination on site; the remainder refer HSC T recipients to primary care for vaccine  
77 administration. Nearly two-thirds (65%) of programmes do not maintain a record of  
78 vaccine administration in patients' case notes. RVP practice has been audited by 54% of  
79 HSC T programmes that maintain vaccination records compared to only 29% that do not.  
80 The survey did not enquire about the scope of audits undertaken. Most adult (97%) and  
81 paediatric (80%) programmes maintain a document controlled SOP detailing RVP

82 schedules. Adult programmes mostly base RVP SOPs and/or RVP practice on  
83 international HSCT specific vaccination guidelines(11,12) (70%). In contrast, paediatric  
84 programmes tend to use national HSCT specific guidelines(14) (60%), with a minority  
85 (10%) using international guidelines. HSCT programmes were not asked to submit  
86 SOPs for analysis.

87

88 Almost all adult and paediatric programmes recommend an inactivated vaccine  
89 targeting the VPDs covered by the UK NHS vaccination schedule: diphtheria-tetanus-  
90 pertussis, *Haemophilus influenzae* B, pneumococcal, seasonal influenza virus,  
91 meningococcal and polio virus vaccines (Table 1). The exception to this is the Human  
92 Papilloma Virus (HPV) vaccine, which is recommended for female HSCT recipients by all  
93 paediatric programmes but only 15% of adult programmes. Where a number of vaccine  
94 formulations are available, programmes vary in their selection with some  
95 recommending vaccines known to be poorly immunogenic in this patient group, for  
96 example the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. In a minority of cases  
97 vaccine selection is left to the discretion of the administering primary care practitioner.  
98 In the UK, high risk individuals are immunized against Hepatitis B; a minority of adult  
99 (33%) and paediatric (20%) programmes recommend this vaccine as routine. Adult  
100 programmes appear cautious around administration of live attenuated vaccines, with  
101 only half recommending Measles-Mumps-Rubella (MMR) vaccines to measles  
102 seronegative patients. In contrast, all paediatric programmes recommend this vaccine.  
103 A minority (20%) of paediatric programmes, and no adult programmes recommend a  
104 live attenuated varicella vaccine to seronegative recipients.

105

106 Programmes commence RVP at a range of time points from 3 to 18 months post HSCT  
107 (Table 2). 20% of paediatric programmes distinguish between recipients of related and  
108 unrelated donors, commencing RVP in the former at 12, and the later at 18 months.

109 Most adult programmes (74%) do not use a marker of immune reconstitution to guide  
110 initiation of RVP, while 70% of paediatric programmes use lymphocyte subsets alone  
111 (40%) or with immunoglobulin levels (30%).  
112

113 The approach to vaccination of HSCT recipients with chronic graft versus host disease  
114 (cGVHD) or on immunosuppressive therapy (IST) varies across programmes.  
115 Programmes were asked to indicate the lowest or 'threshold' cGVHD grade by NIH  
116 criteria, and lowest or 'threshold' combination of IST, that necessitates deferral of  
117 inactivated and live attenuated vaccines. While the majority of paediatric (80%) and  
118 adult (74%) programmes defer inactivated vaccines if recipients have active cGVHD, the  
119 threshold grade prompting deferral varies (Table 2). The remaining 20% of paediatric  
120 and 26% of adult programmes administer inactive vaccines to HSCT recipients with  
121 active cGVHD regardless of grade. All paediatric and the majority (78%) of adult  
122 programmes defer inactivated vaccines if recipients are on IST. Again, there is no  
123 consensus on the lowest IST combination that necessitates deferral (Table 2).

124 Concerning live attenuated vaccines, 19% of adult and 60% of paediatric programmes  
125 give moderate or severe cGVHD as the threshold grade for deferral, but would  
126 administer to recipients with mild cGVHD. A single adult programme reports dual agent  
127 IST as the threshold combination for deferral of live attenuated vaccines, otherwise all  
128 programmes defer if recipients are taking any single agent IST including corticosteroids.  
129

130 Half of paediatric programmes, and 44% of adult programmes routinely monitor  
131 serological response to vaccinations. 30% of adult programmes monitor serological  
132 response to vaccine if clinically indicated. Indications given are as follows: illness from  
133 a VPD (100%), Ongoing IST (75%), active GVHD (38%). All of the 30% of paediatric  
134 programmes that monitor response if clinically indicated, give illness from VPD as the  
135 sole indication.

136

137 With a 95% response rate, this survey provides a current and comprehensive picture of  
138 RVP practice across adult and paediatric UK NHS allogeneic HSCT programmes. A  
139 weakness of the survey format is that it relies on self-reporting, rather than independent  
140 verification of practice. Reassuringly, routine post-HSCT vaccination has been adopted  
141 by all responding adult and paediatric programmes, representing 100% and 83% of all  
142 UK allogeneic programmes respectively. However, we identified variation across all  
143 survey themes. This heterogeneity may be attributed to an evidence base insufficient to  
144 provide detailed practical guidance, conflicting recommendations between guidelines,  
145 tension between international recommendations and national vaccine licensing  
146 restrictions, and in some cases a lack of familiarity with current guidelines.

147

148 Our findings highlight the need for review of local post-HSCT vaccination schedules  
149 alongside the current evidence base. Areas that are particularly pressing include  
150 vaccine selection, and vaccination of HSCT recipients with cGVHD or on IST. In the UK  
151 (and elsewhere), this may be best delivered at national level as a harmonized guideline  
152 and/or policy that synthesizes best practice recommendations and national licensing  
153 considerations, thereby providing HSCT programmes and primary care teams who  
154 administer vaccines a single reference source. In the UK national vaccination  
155 programmes are commissioned for delivery by primary care and most HSCT  
156 programmes refer recipients for vaccine administration. A recent single centre audit  
157 reported that completion rate of vaccination schedules is low(15). Given that many  
158 HSCT programmes are not maintaining records of vaccine administration,  
159 communication with primary care, monitoring and audit should form a central  
160 component of national guidelines, and may be facilitated by the inclusion of a  
161 vaccination checklist.

162

163 In summary, this national survey has highlighted highly variable delivery of RVP across  
164 a national healthcare system, with limited quality assurance as to whether accepted  
165 practice recommendations are met. Although there remains a clear need for robust data  
166 to better inform re-vaccination practice following HSCT, harmonized health service  
167 policies are warranted to ensure coordinated delivery of this important aspect of post-  
168 transplant care by HSCT teams, patient referral centres and primary care.

169

170

- 171 1. Parkkali T, Ruutu T, Stenvik M, Kuronen T, Käyhty H, Hovi T, et al. Loss of  
172 protective immunity to polio, diphtheria and Haemophilus influenzae type b after  
173 allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *APMIS*. 1996;104(5):383–8.
- 174 2. Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Perez-Bercoff L, Abecasis M, Campuzano JBN,  
175 Cannata-Ortiz MJ, et al. Outcome of pandemic H1N1 infections in hematopoietic  
176 stem cell transplant recipients. *Haematologica*. 2011;96(8):1231–5.
- 177 3. Kumar D, Humar a, Plevneshi a, Siegal D, Franke N, Green K, et al. Invasive  
178 pneumococcal disease in adult hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: a  
179 decade of prospective population-based surveillance. *Bone Marrow Transplant*.  
180 2008;41(8):743–7.
- 181 4. Lossos IS, Breuer R, Or R, Strauss N, Elishoov H, Naparstek E, et al. Bacterial  
182 Pneumonia in Recipients of Bone Marrow Transplantation. *Transplantation*.  
183 1995;60(7):672–8.
- 184 5. Kochethu G, Clark FJ, Craddock CF. Pertussis: should we vaccinate post  
185 transplant? *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2006;37(8):793–4.
- 186 6. Machado CM, Goncalves FB, Pannuti CS, Dulley FL, de Souza V. Measles in bone  
187 marrow transplant recipients. *Blood*. 2002;99(8):83–7.
- 188 7. Ljungman P, Wiklund-Hammarsten M, Duraj V, Hammarström L, Lönnqvist B,  
189 Paulin T, et al. Response to tetanus toxoid immunization after allogeneic bone



- 190 marrow transplantation. *J Infect Dis.* 1990;162(2):496–500.
- 191 8. Parkkali T, Stenvik M, Ruutu T, Hovi T, Volin L, Ruutu P. Randomized comparison  
192 of early and late vaccination with inactivated poliovirus vaccine after allogeneic  
193 BMT. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Nature Publishing Group; 1997;20(8):663–8.
- 194 9. Cordonnier C, Ljungman P, Juergens C, Maertens J, Selleslag D, Sundaraiyer V, et  
195 al. Immunogenicity, Safety, and Tolerability of 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate  
196 Vaccine Followed by 23-Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine in  
197 Recipients of Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Aged  $\geq$  2 Years:  
198 An Open-Label Study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61:313–23.
- 199 10. FACT-JACIE. FACT-JACIE International Standards for Hematopoietic Cellular  
200 Transplantation Product Collection, Processing and Administration [Internet].  
201 2015. Available from: <http://www.jacie.org/standards>
- 202 11. Tomblyn M, Chiller T, Einsele H, Gress R, Sepkowitz K, Storek J, et al. Guidelines  
203 for preventing infectious complications among hematopoietic cell transplant  
204 recipients: a global perspective. Preface. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* Macmillan  
205 Publishers Limited; 2009;15(8):1143–238.
- 206 12. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, Davies EG, Avery R, Tomblyn M, et al. 2013 IDSA  
207 clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. *Clin*  
208 *Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2014 Feb [cited 2015 Jan 2];58(3):e44-100. Available from:  
209 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311479>
- 210 13. Hilgendorf I, Wolff D, Meisel R. Vaccination of allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell  
211 transplant recipients: Report from the International Consensus Conference on  
212 Clinical Practice in chronic GVHD. *Vaccine.* 2011;29:2825–33.
- 213 14. Children’s Cancer and Leukaemia Group. Vaccinations for Paediatric Patients  
214 Treated With Standard-Dose Chemotherapy and Haematopoietic Stem Cell  
215 Transplantation ( HSCT ) Recipients. 2014.

216 15. Meiring J, de Silva TI, Snowden JA. A study of adherence to a vaccination  
217 schedule following adult allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplants in UK  
218 transplant centre. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2015;50(S1):s203-4.  
219