



This is a repository copy of *Blinded Comparison of Magnetically Assisted Gastric Capsule Endoscopy and Conventional Endoscopy in Recurrent and Refractory Iron Deficiency Anaemia: A Feasibility Study.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/113914/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Proceedings Paper:**

Hale, M.F., Drew, K., Kurien, M. et al. (2 more authors) (2016) Blinded Comparison of Magnetically Assisted Gastric Capsule Endoscopy and Conventional Endoscopy in Recurrent and Refractory Iron Deficiency Anaemia: A Feasibility Study. In: GUT. BSG 2016, 20-23 Jun 2016, Liverpool, UK. , A234-A235.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312388.439>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# BSG 2016 - Abstract Submission

*Endoscopy (including video)*

BSG16-ABS-1429

## BLINDED COMPARISON OF MAGNETICALLY ASSISTED GASTRIC CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY AND CONVENTIONAL ENDOSCOPY IN RECURRENT AND REFRACTORY IRON DEFICIENCY ANAEMIA: A FEASIBILITY STUDY.

M. F. Hale<sup>\*</sup> 1, K. Drew<sup>1</sup>, M. Kurien<sup>1</sup>, R. Sidhu<sup>1</sup>, M. E. McAlindon<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, United Kingdom

**Does this abstract contain original data?:** Yes

**Will this abstract be published/presented prior to June 2016?:** No

**This abstract is:** None of the above

**Does your Endoscopy abstract include a video?:** No

**Preferred presentation type:** Oral or Poster

**Introduction:** Magnetically assisted capsule endoscopy (MACE) of the stomach has been demonstrated to be safe and feasible.<sup>1</sup> The aim of this prospective feasibility study was to compare the ability of MACE to recognise gastric landmarks compared to conventional flexible endoscopy in patients with recurrent or refractory iron deficiency anaemia.

**Methods:** Twenty patients with recurrent/refractory iron deficiency anaemia were enrolled between Jan-Nov 2015. After conventional oesophagogastroduodenoscopy, MACE was performed using MiroCam Navi (Intromedic, Seoul, Korea). Visualisation of major upper gastrointestinal landmarks was graded on a 1-5 scale (1=poor, 5=excellent), abnormalities were recorded. Primary outcome measure: gastric landmark recognition. Secondary outcome measures: pathology detection, patient tolerance.

**Results:** MACE achieved satisfactory visualisation (grade 4 or 5) in 11% for the gastro-oesophageal junction, 21% for the cardia, 16% for the fundus, 79% for the anterior wall of the gastric body, 79% for the posterior wall, 79% for the greater curvature, 84% for the lesser curvature, 95% for the antrum and 89% for the pylorus. 38 pathological findings were identified (*Table 1*). Patients experienced less pain, discomfort and distress during MACE compared to flexible endoscopy (p=0.0009, p=0.001 and p=0.006 respectively).

Table 1: Pathological findings detected during each procedure

Findings	MACE only	OGD only	Both MACE & OGD
Erythema	0	0	7
Bleeding	1	0	1
Hiatal hernia	0	9	2
Erosion(s)	4	3	3
Polyp(s)	0	1	2
Bile reflux	1	1	1
Metaplasia	1	0	0
Angioectasia	1	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>

*Abbreviations: MACE=magnetically assisted capsule endoscopy, OGD=oesophagogastroduodenoscopy*

**Conclusion:** MACE can visualise gastric landmarks, detect a variety of gastric pathology and is better tolerated than conventional endoscopy. Capsule identification of hiatal hernias is difficult and may improve with experience.<sup>2</sup> Better oesophageal visualisation is possible with other models and it seems likely that Mirocam Navi could be developed to this end. Proximal gastric views may be more reliable using a double ended capsule, better depth of illumination, and perhaps with control of capsule movement, all of which need further study before MACE becomes a viable alternative to conventional endoscopy.

- References:**
1. Hale MF, Drew K, Sidhu R, McAlindon ME. Does magnetically assisted capsule endoscopy improve small bowel capsule endoscopy completion rate? A randomised controlled trial. *Endosc Int Open*. 2016 Feb; 4(2):E215-21
  2. Marelli L, Jaboli FM, Jackson L, Palmer H, Erian G, Hamilton M, Epstein O. A pilot study comparing ESO-2 capsule endoscopy with conventional upper endoscopy for the assessment of uncomplicated heartburn and dyspepsia. *Frontline Gastroenterol* 201;4:96-101

**Disclosure of Interest:** None Declared