

This is a repository copy of *A Danzer set for Axis Parallel Boxes*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <a href="https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/112578/">https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/112578/</a>

#### Article:

Simmons, David orcid.org/0000-0002-9136-6635 and Solomon, Yaar (2016) A Danzer set for Axis Parallel Boxes. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. pp. 2725-2729. ISSN: 0002-9939

https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/12911

### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



#### A DANZER SET FOR AXIS PARALLEL BOXES

## DAVID SIMMONS AND YAAR SOLOMON

ABSTRACT. We present concrete constructions of discrete sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 2$ ) that intersect every aligned box of volume 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and which have optimal growth rate  $O(T^d)$ .

## 1. Introduction

A set  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is called a *Danzer set* if there exists an s > 0 such that D intersects every convex set of volume s. The question whether a discrete Danzer set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of growth rate  $O(T^d)$  exists is due to Danzer, see [CFG, Go, GL], and has been open since the sixties.

There are several variants of this question. One is to weaken the Danzer property in the following sense. We say that  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is a dense forest if there is a function  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(T) \xrightarrow{T \to \infty} 0$  so that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and for every direction  $v \in \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$ , the distance between Y and the line segment of length T which starts at x and proceeds in direction v is less than  $\varepsilon(T)$ . Intuitively, as it was presented in [Bi], T is the maximal distance that a man can see when standing in a forest with a trunk of radius  $\varepsilon$  located at each element of Y. Note that every Danzer set is a dense forest with  $\varepsilon(T) = O(T^{-1/(d-1)})$ , and a dense forest with  $\varepsilon(T) = O(T^{-(d-1)})$  is a Danzer set. A construction of a dense forest of growth rate  $O(T^d)$  is given in [SW], and another construction in the plane follows from the proof of [Bi, Lemma 2.4].

One other interesting direction is to look for Danzer sets with faster growth rates. A Danzer set of growth rate  $O(T^d(\log T)^{d-1})$  is given in [BW]; this bound was improved recently in [SW] by a probabilistic construction that gives growth rate  $O(T^d \log T)$ .

The second statement is proven as follows: let D be a dense forest with  $\varepsilon(T) = O(T^{-(d-1)})$ , and let  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a box (i.e. a parallelotope with adjacent faces orthogonal) with volume s and shortest edge length  $2\varepsilon$ . Since the volume of a box is the product of the length of its sides, R has an edge of length at least  $T := \left(\frac{s}{2\varepsilon}\right)^{1/(d-1)}$ . Let L be the line segment parallel to this edge, passing through the center of R, and of length  $T - 2\varepsilon$ . If R does not contain any points of D, then the distance from L to D is at least  $\varepsilon$ , which implies that  $\varepsilon \leq O(T^{-(d-1)}) = O(\varepsilon/s)$ . For s sufficiently large, this is a contradiction, so every box of sufficiently large volume intersects D. Since every convex set contains a box of volume at least a constant times the volume of the convex set, this shows that D is a Danzer set.

Another approach in trying to weaken the Danzer problem is by hitting a smaller family of sets, instead of all the convex sets. John's theorem [Jo] implies that replacing convex sets by boxes<sup>2</sup> gives an equivalent question. In this note we consider a question that arises naturally from the Danzer problem. We say that  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is an align-Danzer set if there is an s > 0 such that D intersects every aligned box of volume s. In our main results, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 below, we present simple constructions for align-Danzer sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of growth rate  $O(T^d)$ . Neither of these constructions is new, but the viewpoint of seeing them as connected with Danzer's problem is new.

We denote by  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  the subset of  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  consisting of those bi-infinite sequences that contain only finitely many 1s.

Theorem 1.1. The set

$$D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \left( \pm \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n 2^n, \pm \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n 2^{-n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (a_n) \in \{0, 1\}_{Fin}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}$$

is an align-Danzer set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of growth rate  $O(T^2)$ .

The set in Theorem 1.1 is a variant of the binary version of the well-known van der Corput sequence (see e.g. [vdC]).

Although the set D in Theorem 1.1 is given very explicitly, and the proof is by elementary means, it only solves the problem in dimension 2, and no simple higher-dimensional extension comes to mind. To solve the problem in higher dimensions we use a dynamical approach.

For a fixed  $d \geq 2$  let  $A \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  be the subgroup of diagonal matrices with positive entries, and let  $\Omega$  be the space of all lattices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition 1.2** ([Sk, p.6]). A lattice  $\Lambda \in \Omega$  is admissible if its orbit under A is precompact in  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Corollary of [Sk, Theorem 1.2]). For every  $d \geq 2$  there exists an admissible lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and every admissible lattice is an align-Danzer set.

Although Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of [Sk, Theorem 1.2], we provide the proof since it is elementary. We also refer to the discussions in [GL, p. 24-31] for additional reading.

As a direct consequence we reprove a result in computational geometry, that follows from a result of Halton on low discrepancy sequences, see [Ha]. We remark that Corollary 1.4 is not stated in [Ha], but it is well known in the computational geometry and combinatorics communities that Halton's construction satisfies it.

**Corollary 1.4.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $\varepsilon$ -nets of optimal sizes  $O(1/\varepsilon)$  for the range space  $(X, \mathcal{R})$ , where  $X = [0, 1]^d$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \{aligned boxes\}$ .

This Corollary follows directly from the above Theorems by restricting to a bounded cube and rescaling to  $[0,1]^d$ . We refer to [AS, Ma] for a more comprehensive reading about the notions in Corollary 1.4.

- **Remark 1.5.** Align Danzer sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of growth rate  $O(T^d)$  can also be constructed by modifying the proof of [SW, Theorem 1.4] to work for aligned boxes and then combining with the result of [Ha] or [vdC] in the unit cube. Nonetheless, our constructions here are simple and the proofs are straightforward.
- 1.1. **Acknowledgements.** We thank Sathish Govindarajan, Shakhar Smorodinsky, and Barak Weiss for useful discussions that helped us understand the status of the problem. We also thank the referee for helpful comments.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that D intersects every aligned box of volume 64. It suffices to show that

$$D_{+} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n} 2^{n}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{n} 2^{-n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : (a_{n}) \in \{0, 1\}_{Fin}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}$$

intersects every aligned box of volume 16 that sits in  $\mathbb{R}^2_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [0, \infty)^2$ .

Let  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2_+$  be an aligned box of volume 16, and denote its lower left vertex by (x,y). Let t>0 be such that the lower right and the upper left vertices of R are (x+t,y) and  $(x,y+\frac{16}{t})$  respectively. We define a sequence  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  so that  $\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n2^n,\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n2^{-n}\right)\in R$ . For each integer k, we denote by  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\geq k}$  and  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\leq k}$  the subsets of

For each integer k, we denote by  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\geq k}$  and  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\leq k}$  the subsets of  $\{0,1\}^{\geq k}$  and  $\{0,1\}^{\leq k}$ , respectively, consisting of those sequences that contain only finitely many 1s. Here  $\{0,1\}^{\geq k}$  is the set of all sequences in  $\{0,1\}$  of the form  $(a_k, a_{k+1}, \ldots)$ , and  $\{0,1\}^{\leq k}$  is the set of all sequences in  $\{0,1\}$  of the form  $(\ldots, a_{k-2}, a_{k-1})$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $2^k \leq \frac{t}{2} < 2^{k+1}$ . Observe that  $\sum_{n < k} a_n 2^n < 2^k \leq \frac{t}{2}$  for any sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{< k}$ , and that the interval  $(x, x + \frac{t}{2})$  intersects the set

$$2^{k}\mathbb{N} = \left\{ \sum_{n \ge k} a_n 2^n : (a_n) \in \{0, 1\}_{Fin}^{\ge k} \right\}.$$

Then we may choose the  $a_n$ s for  $n \ge k$  so that  $\sum_{n \ge k} a_n 2^n \in (x, x + \frac{t}{2})$ , and thus for any choice of the  $a_n$ s for n < k (and in particular for the choice described below) we have  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n 2^n \in (x, x + t)$ .

The analysis of the y coordinate is similar. Here  $2^{-k-1} < \frac{2}{t} \le 2^{-k}$ , and therefore  $2^{-k+1} < \frac{8}{t} \le 2^{-k+2}$ . We have  $\sum_{n \ge k} a_n 2^{-n} < 2^{-k+1} < \frac{8}{t}$  for any sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $\{0,1\}_{Fin}^{\ge k}$ , and the interval  $(y,y+\frac{8}{t})$  intersects the set

$$2^{-k+1}\mathbb{N} = \left\{ \sum_{n < k} a_n 2^{-n} : (a_n) \in \{0, 1\}_{Fin}^{\leq k} \right\}.$$

Then we may choose the  $a_n$ s for n < k so that  $\sum_{n < k} a_n 2^{-n} \in (y, y + \frac{8}{t})$ , and thus for any choice of the  $a_n$ s for  $n \ge k$  (and in particular for the choice described above) we have  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n 2^{-n} \in (y, y + \frac{16}{t})$ .

It is left to show that D (or  $D_+$ ) is of growth rate  $O(T^2)$ . To see that, consider the set

$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \left( \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n 2^n, \sum_{n < 0} a_n 2^{-n} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (a_n) \in \{0, 1\}_{Fin}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Observe that the mapping  $g: D_+ \to B$  which is defined in the obvious way by

$$\left(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n2^n,\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}a_n2^{-n}\right)\stackrel{g}{\mapsto}\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}a_n2^n,\sum_{n<0}a_n2^{-n}\right)$$

is a bijection, and for any  $(x,y) \in D_+$  we have  $\|(x,y) - g(x,y)\|_2 \le \sqrt{5}$  (where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the Euclidean norm). But since  $B = \mathbb{N} \times 2\mathbb{N}$ , the assertion follows.

**Remark 2.1.** We want to stress that D is not a Danzer set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and not even a dense forest. To see it, observe that symmetric sequences  $(a_n)$  correspond to points on the line y=x. On the other hand, non-symmetric sequences correspond to points (x,y) with |x-y| > 1, and in particular D misses a neighborhood of the line  $y=x+\frac{1}{4}$ .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Fix  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $V = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d t_i = 0\}$ , and for each  $\mathbf{t} \in V$  let  $g_{\mathbf{t}} \in \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  be the diagonal matrix whose entries are  $e^{t_i}$ . Then  $\mathbf{t} \mapsto g_{\mathbf{t}}$  is a homomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let K be a totally real number field of degree d, and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be its ring of integers. Let  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d : K \to \mathbb{R}$  be the Galois embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\Phi : K \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be their direct sum. Then  $\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . To see that  $\Lambda$  is admissible, fix  $\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\alpha) \in \Lambda$ , and observe that

if  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^{d} |\phi_i(\alpha)| = |N(\alpha)| \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Here N denotes the norm in the field K. In particular,  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} |x_i| \geq 1$  and thus  $\prod_{i=1}^{d} |e^{t_i}x_i| \geq 1$  for all  $\mathbf{t} \in V$ . It follows that  $|e^{t_i}x_i| \geq 1$  for some  $i = 1, \ldots, d$  and thus  $||g_{\mathbf{t}}\mathbf{x}|| \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  were arbitrary, Mahler's compactness criterion shows that  $\Lambda$  is admissible.

For the second part of the proof, let  $\Lambda$  be an admissible lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let R be an aligned box disjoint from  $\Lambda$ . Then there exists  $\mathbf{t} \in V$  such that  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$  is a cube. By assumption  $g_{\mathbf{t}}\Lambda$  is in a compact subset  $K \subseteq \Omega$ , hence the codiameter<sup>3</sup> of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}\Lambda$  is bounded above by a constant independent of  $\mathbf{t}$ . But since  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$  is disjoint from  $g_{\mathbf{t}}\Lambda$ , the distance from the center of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$  to the complement of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$ , i.e. half the edge length of the cube  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$ , is bounded above by the distance from the center of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$  to  $g_{\mathbf{t}}\Lambda$ , which is in turn bounded above by the codiameter of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}\Lambda$ . Thus both the diameter and the volume of  $g_{\mathbf{t}}R$  are bounded above by a constant independent of  $\mathbf{t}$ . Since  $\operatorname{Vol}(R) = \operatorname{Vol}(g_{\mathbf{t}}R)$ , the proof is complete.

#### References

- [AS] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, <u>The probabilistic method</u> (third edition), John Wiley and Sons, (2008).
- [Bi] C. Bishop, A set containing rectifiable arcs QC-locally but not QC-globally, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 7 (2011), no. 1, 121–138.
- [BW] R. P. Bambah and A. C. Woods, *On a problem of Danzer*, Pacific J. Math. 37, no. 2 (1971), 295–301.
- [vdC] J. G. van der Corput, Verteilungsfunktionen. I. Mitt. (German) Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam 38 (1935), 813–821.
- [CFG] H. T. Croft, K. J. Falconer, and R. K. Guy, <u>Unsolved problems in geometry</u>, Springer (1991).
- [Go] T. Gowers, Rough structures and classification, in <u>Visions in mathematics</u>, N. Alon, J. Bourgain, A. Connes, M. Gromov, V. Milman (eds.), Birkhäuser (2000), 79–117.
- [GL] P. M. Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker, <u>Geometry of numbers</u>, Second edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987).
- [Ha] J. H. Halton, Algorithm 247: Radical-inverse quasi-random point sequence, Cummon. AMC 7, 12, (1964), 701–702.
- [Jo] F. John, Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions, in Studies and essays presented to R. Courant on his 60th birthday, Interscience Publishers (1948) 187–204.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The *codiameter* of a lattice  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is the diameter of the quotient space  $\mathbb{R}^d/\Gamma$  (with respect to the quotient metric  $d([\mathbf{x}],[\mathbf{y}]) = \min\{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \text{ representatives of } [\mathbf{x}],[\mathbf{y}]\}$ ), or equivalently the maximum of the function  $\mathbb{R}^d \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto d(\mathbf{x},\Gamma)$ . The codiameter is continuous as a function of the lattice.

- [Ma] J. Matousek, <u>Lectures on discrete geometry</u>, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., Secaucus, NJ, USA, (2002).
- [Sk] M. M. Skriganov, Ergodic theory on SL(n), Diophantine approximations and anomalies in the lattice point problem, Invent. Math. 132 (1998), no. 1, 1–72.
- [SW] Y. Solomon and B. Weiss, *Dense forests and Danzer sets*, preprint 2014, http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3807.

University of York, Department of Mathematics, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK

E-mail address: David.Simmons@york.ac.uk

URL: https://sites.google.com/site/davidsimmonsmath/

STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STONY BROOK, NY

E-mail address: yaar.solomon@stonybrook.edu

URL: http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~yaars/