

This is a repository copy of DRI-Grass: a new experimental platform for addressing grassland ecosystem responses to future precipitation scenarios in south-east Australia.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/112549/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Power, Sally A, Barnett, Kirk, Ochoa-Hueso, Raul et al. (6 more authors) (2016) DRI-Grass: a new experimental platform for addressing grassland ecosystem responses to future precipitation scenarios in south-east Australia. Frontiers in Plant Science. 1373.

ISSN: 1664-462X

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01373

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





DRI-Grass: a new experimental platform for addressing grassland ecosystem responses to future precipitation scenarios in south-east Australia

Sally A. Power^{1*}, Kirk L. Barnett¹, Raul Ochoa Hueso¹, Sarah L. Facey¹, Eleanor Gibson-Forty^{1, 2}, Uffe N. Nielsen¹, Susan E. Hartley³, David T. Tissue¹, Scott N. Johnson¹

¹Western Sydney University, Australia, ²Cardiff University, United Kingdom, ³York University, United Kingdom

Submitted to Journal:

Frontiers in Plant Science

Specialty Section:

Agroecology and Land Use Systems

Article type:

Methods Article

Manuscript ID:

216626

Received on:

28 Jun 2016

Revised on:

14 Aug 2016

Frontiers website link:

www.frontiersin.org



Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

SP, SJ, UN and DT designed and led set up of the experimental facility. SP contributed to data collection and analysis, and led overall data interpretation and writing. UN led nematode/microarthropod data collection, analysis and interpretation and assisted with writing. SJ led plant SI data analysis and interpretation and assisted with writing. DT contributed to data interpretation and writing. KB implemented root herbivore treatments, led collection of vegetation and scarab data, contributed substantially to data analysis and also writing. RO and EG-F contributed to field data collection and assisted with writing. SF led aboveground invertebrate sampling and analysis, and contributed to writing. SH ran foliar SI analyses, and contributed to data interpretation and writing.

Keywords

Climate Extremes, community ecology, drought, NPP, plant-herbivore interactions, rainfall manipulation, root herbivory

Abstract

Word count: 6 and contrasting levels of root herbivory

Funding statement

The DRI-Grass facility was constructed with funds from Western Sydney University. Research activity was supported by a project grant to SAP and SNJ from the Hermon Stade Foundation (P00021516) and funding provided by Western Sydney University. The Australasian Congress of Grassland Invertebrate Ecology provided financial assistance for open access publication fees. UN is supported by funding from the Australian Research Council (DP150104199).

Ethics statement

(Authors are required to state the ethical considerations of their study in the manuscript including for cases where the study was exempt from ethical approval procedures.)

Did the study presented in the manuscript involve human or animal subjects: No

1 DRI-Grass: a new experimental platform for addressing

2 grassland ecosystem responses to future precipitation

3 scenarios in south-east Australia

4 5 6 7 8 9	Sally A. Power ^{1*} , Kirk L. Barnett ¹ , Raul Ochoa-Hueso ¹ , Sarah L. Facey ¹ , Eleanor V-J Gibson-Forty ^{1,2} , Susan E. Hartley ³ Uffe N. Nielsen ¹ , David T. Tissue ¹ and Scott N. Johnson ¹
10	
11	¹ Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag
12	1797, Penrith, NSW, 2751, Australia
13	
14	² Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of Tübingen, Germany
15	
16	³ York Environment and Sustainability Institute, Department of Biology, University of
17	York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom
18	
19	*Correspondence: Sally A Power, Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western
20	Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW, 2751, Australia; tel. +61 2 4570
21	1359, email: s.power@westernsydney.edu.au

Abstract

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

22

Climate models predict shifts in the amount, frequency and seasonality of rainfall. Given close links between grassland productivity and rainfall, such changes are likely to have profound effects on the functioning of grassland ecosystems and modify species interactions. Here, we introduce a unique, new experimental platform - DRI-Grass (Drought and Root herbivore Interactions in Grasslands) – that exposes a southeastern Australian grassland to five rainfall regimes (Ambient (AMB), increased amount (IA, +50%), reduced amount (RA, -50%), reduced frequency (RF, single rainfall event every 21 days, with total amount unchanged) and summer drought (SD, 12-14 weeks without water, December-March)), and contrasting levels of root herbivory. Incorporation of a belowground herbivore (root-feeding scarabs) addition treatment allows novel investigation of ecological responses to the twin stresses of altered rainfall and root herbivory. We quantified effects of permanently installed rain shelters on microclimate by comparison with outside plots, identifying small shelter effects on air temperature (-0.19°C day, +0.26°C night), soil water content (SWC; -8%) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; -16%). Shelters were associated with modest increases in net primary productivity (NPP), particularly during the cool season. Rainfall treatments generated substantial differences in SWC, with the exception of IA; the latter is likely due to a combination of higher transpiration rates associated with greater plant biomass in IA and the low water-holding capacity the well-drained, sandy soil.

Growing season NPP was strongly reduced by SD, but did not respond to the other rainfall treatments. Addition of root herbivores did not affect plant biomass and there were no interactions between herbivory and rainfall treatments in the first year of study. Root herbivory did, however, induce foliar silicon-based defences in Cynodon dactylon and Eragrostis curvula. Rapid recovery of NPP following resumption of watering in SD plots indicates high functional resilience at the site, and may reflect adaptation of the vegetation to historically high variability in rainfall, both within- and between years. DRI-Grass provides a unique platform for understanding how ecological interactions will be affected by changing rainfall regimes and, specifically, how belowground herbivory modifies grassland resistance and resilience to climate extremes. **Key words:** Climate extremes, community ecology, drought, NPP, plant-herbivore interactions, rainfall manipulation, root herbivory Introduction Grasslands cover more than 40% of the Earth's land surface (Williams et al., 1968). Many support tremendous biodiversity, underpin grazing and animal production, and store more than one-third of global terrestrial carbon stocks (Trumper et al., 2009).

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

Given the close relationship between rainfall and both the productivity and diversity of

grasslands (Sala *et al.*, 1988; Walter *et al.*, 2012), future changes in rainfall regimes are likely to have a substantial impact on the ability of grasslands to provide these important ecosystem services.

Climate models predict changes in the overall amount and seasonality of rainfall, and increased intervals between rain events (i.e. reduced rainfall frequency) (Easterling *et al.*, 2000; IPCC 2013; Fischer *et al.*, 2013). Of particular note is the expectation that prolonged and more intense droughts, in combination with warmer temperatures, will combine to expose ecosystems to more frequent extreme climates, pushing today's ecosystems into uncharted climate territory (Kayler *et al.*, 2015). The seasonality of rainfall inputs is also a crucial determinant of grassland dynamics, with seedling establishment, productivity and senescence all influenced by the amount and timing of growing season rainfall (Huxman *et al.*, 2004). Indeed, even small increases in winter rainfall have been shown to influence the functioning of grassland ecosystems in the following spring (Fry *et al.*, 2014a). Furthermore, there is a growing body of evidence that reductions in the frequency of rainfall events are at least as (and sometimes more) important as reductions in the size of events, in terms of their effects on key ecological processes (Fay *et al.*, 2003; Knapp *et al.*, 2008; Heisler-White *et al.*, 2009; Peng *et al.*, 2013).

Shifts in rainfall regimes are not only expected to have a major impact on the composition and functioning of grasslands (Fry *et al.*, 2016), but are also likely to modify interactions between plants and their associated herbivores (Staley *et al.*, 2007; Johnson *et al.*, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2014). Invasive root-feeding scarab beetles were accidentally introduced to Australia in the first part of the twentieth century (recently

reviewed by Frew et al. 2016) and, in pastures, their collective mass can exceed that of mammals grazing aboveground (Britton 1978). Because root herbivory is hidden and occurs by attrition, losses in primary productivity are less conspicuous than those due to aboveground herbivory, but can be up to 25% in grassland systems (Seastedt & Murray 2008). Even minor root herbivory can damage plants and alter their physiology by: (i) decreasing nutrient and water uptake, (ii) causing disproportionate resource losses by severing roots, (iii) diverting assimilates away from shoot growth for root re-growth, (iv) imposing leaf water deficits, and (v) causing infection (Johnson & Murray 2008; Zvereva & Kozlov 2012). The resulting effects on plant biomass and metabolism are often larger (Meyer et al. 2009) and differ from those caused by aboveground herbivores (Zvereva & Kozlov 2012). Impairment of root function via root herbivory has parallels with water stress imposed via periods of drought. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis has shown that root herbivory and drought reduced plant growth to a greater extent than any other combination of biotic and abiotic stresses (Zvereva & Kozlov 2012). Moreover, root herbivory can change plant community composition in grasslands via preferential feeding on certain plants (Schallhart et al., 2012).

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

DRI-Grass (<u>D</u>rought and Root Herbivore <u>I</u>nteractions in a <u>Grass</u>land Ecosystem) is a new experimental platform designed to examine ecosystem responses to the twin stresses of altered rainfall and root herbivory. Uniquely, DRI-Grass includes shifts in the size, frequency and seasonality of rainfall events, and incorporates a factorial belowground herbivore addition treatment to investigate interactions between these abiotic and biotic stresses. It joins a new generation of drought experiments (*sensu* Thompson *et al.*, 2013) that incorporate realism in terms of both future rainfall

scenarios (e.g. Jentsch *et al.*, 2007; Hoover *et al.*, 2014; Knapp *et al.*, 2015) and also trophic complexity (Johnson *et al.* 2011, 2015; Zhu *et al.*, 2014). Despite the clear importance of root herbivores for the functioning of grassland ecosystems (Frew *et al.* 2016), their role in moderating grassland resistance and resilience under changing rainfall regimes has rarely been examined in long term field-scale experiments.

Here we introduce DRI-Grass, presenting microclimatic data that demonstrate the impacts of shelter infrastructure on the physical and biotic environment. We also present data on early vegetation responses to test the hypotheses that: 1) reduced rainfall amount and summer-long drought, will reduce aboveground productivity to a greater degree than a shift in rainfall frequency towards fewer, but larger events (with annual rainfall amount unchanged); and 2) root herbivory will alter plant quantitative (e.g. ANPP) and qualitative (e.g. chemical) responses to altered rainfall regimes. In focusing on our approach and methodology, this paper aims to provide the methodological detail that will assist other researchers interested in constructing experimental platforms that incorporate both biotic and abiotic stressors. Presentation of selected early results is intended to provide a broad indication of the ecosystem responses that can be measured using this multi-stressor, multi-trophic approach.

The DRI-Grass experimental platform

The study site is located in Richmond, New South Wales, Australia (S33 36'35, E150 44'18), at an elevation of 25m a.s.l. Mean annual rainfall at the site is 806 mm (Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology, Richmond - UWS Hawkesbury

Station; http://www.bom.gov.au), with summer being the wettest season and winter generally the driest. Seasonal mean maximum/minimum temperatures are 29.4/18.8 °C in summer and 17.3/3.2 °C in winter. The soil is a Blackendon Sand, with a sandy loam texture and a water holding capacity of 20-22%. There is a mineral hardpan present at approximately 90 cm depth. Table 1 summarises the soil characteristics of the site.

The experiment is situated within a former pasture grassland, comprising a total of 62 plant species (Table S1), of which ~12 species are common. The most abundant species include the C₄ grasses *Axonopus fissifolius, Cynodon dactylon, Cymbopogon refractus, Eragrostis curvula* and *Paspalum dilatatum,* the C₃ grasses *Microleana stipoides* and *Lolium perenne*, and the C₃ forbs *Hypochaeris radicata* and *Plantago lanceolata*. The site had been under grazing management until 2001; since this time grazers were removed, the site was fenced and subsequently mown every 2-3 months, until the experiment commenced in June 2013.

Rainout shelter design

Shelter frames are made from 25 mm galvanized steel tubing and covered with a single sheet of clear Acrylic cast Perspex (1.88 m x 2.49 m, Mulford Plastics, Silverwater, NSW, Australia). Roofs are at a maximum height of 140 cm, sloping at a 20° angle down to a low-end height of 70 cm (Figure 1). Shelters are orientated along a SW-NE axis, with the low end facing into the direction of the prevailing wind. All rainfall is intercepted and directed away from the plots. Water treatments are applied

following each rainfall event, using an irrigation system controlled by a Campbell logger (CR1000) and a series of 16-Channel AC/DC Relay Controller units (SDM-CD16AC units; Campbell Scientific, Thuringowa, QLD, Australia) that control solenoid valve opening/closure, and thus regulate delivery of water to individual plots. To simulate rainfall patterns that reflect actual rainfall events, the amount of water delivered is proportionate to the amount of precipitation that has fallen in the previous 24 hours (i.e. AMB receives the same amount of rainfall as measured at the site in the previous 24 h; IA receives 50% more; and RA receives 50% less than the ambient amount). Target amounts of water are set using a calibrated flow meter. Water is delivered to each plot via a network of polyethylene pipes and four 90° spray heads per plot, mounted at a height of 30-45 cm (moveable, depending on vegetation height) at the corners of each shelter. An impermeable root barrier is installed within each plot, just inside the roof footprint, to a depth of 30 cm, giving an actual plot size of 1.8 m x 2.0 m (i.e. 3.6 m²). This barrier prevents incursion of roots from outside the experimental plots and minimizes horizontal water flow between plots and the surrounding grassland area.

187

188

189

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

Environmental monitoring

190

191

192

193

194

Rainfall is measured using a tipping bucket rain sensor (0.2 mm graduation, ICT International, Armidale, NSW, Australia) and air temperature is measured on site every five minutes (model 107 sensor, with radiation shield, Campbell Scientific, Thuringowa, QLD, Australia). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) is recorded at

195 15 minute intervals (Apogee sensors, model SQ-110, ICT International, Armidale, 196 NSW, Australia), under three shelters and in three unsheltered (outside) plots. 197 198 Soil moisture TDR probes (CS616, Campbell Scientific, Thuringowa, QLD, Australia) 199 with 30 cm long prongs are installed at an angle of 30°, to integrate moisture readings 200 for the top 15 cm of the soil profile, in half of the plots (n=3 per treatment 201 combination). Regular (approximately every 4-6 weeks) measurements of soil 202 moisture are also conducted manually in all plots, using a theta probe (Delta T 203 Devices, UK), to determine whether automatically logged moisture readings from 204 permanently sensored plots are representative of the respective treatments. 205 206 Given the open-sided nature of the shelters and the potential for rain ingress under 207 windy conditions, edge effects on soil moisture were quantified under a range of 208 conditions, including during dry periods and after small, medium and large rainfall 209 events. Soil moisture (0-10 cm depth) was measured using a theta probe inserted in a 5 210 x 5 grid system, covering 25 points per plot, evenly spaced at a distance of 40 cm from 211 the plot boundary and 40 cm from the next grid point. These within-plot measurements 212 were compared with readings taken immediately outside of the shelters (eight 213 replicates – two along each side of the plot). 214 215 216 **Experimental design** 217 218 The experiment comprises five different rainfall treatments, three of which are crossed 219 with a root herbivory treatment (detailed below). All treatment combinations are

replicated six times, in a fully randomized block design (n=48 (i.e. 8 x 6) for sheltered plots). There are also 12 unsheltered plots (hereinafter referred to as "Outside Plots (OP)) - six with herbivore additions and six without the addition of herbivores making a total of 60 experimental plots. Rainfall treatments comprise: a) sheltered control (AMB), b) reduced rainfall amount (RA: 50% reduction of ambient), c) reduced rainfall frequency (RF: ambient rainfall amount, as a single application once every 21 days), d) increased rainfall amount (IA: 50% increase of ambient, and e) summer drought (SD: complete removal of all rainfall for a 12-14 week period, December-March, with ambient rainfall thereafter). Unsheltered (outside control) plots (OP) receiving ambient rainfall were also included to evaluate the magnitude of shelter effects. Rainfall treatment effects were assessed by comparing the four altered scenarios (RA, RF, IA and SD) to the sheltered control plots (AMB). Rainfall treatments commenced on 21st June 2013. Root herbivore treatment: Three of the rainfall treatments (AMB, RA, RF) and OP also include a belowground herbivore addition treatment (n=6 for each treatment combination). To impose the herbivore addition treatment, 27 g of locally collected adult scarab beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) were added to the herbivore addition plots in December 2013, and an additional 9 g of adult beetles were added to each plot in February-March 2014. Adult beetles were added to plots by placing them within mesh enclosures in the plots, and allowing them to oviposit for a period of three days on each occasion, before mesh enclosures were removed. In order to control for the effects of the mesh enclosures on vegetation, identical structures were placed on paired (herbivore-free) plots at the same time. We verified the efficacy of herbivore

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

treatments 18 months after beetle additions (October 2015) via destructive, within-plot soil excavation and associated sampling. This involved excavating two holes (25 cm x 10 cm) per plot to a depth of 20 cm; samples were separated into two depths: 0-10 cm and 10-20 cm, and sieved. Macro and mesofauna were collected, identified under a dissecting microscope and counted.

Coordinated sampling campaigns

We undertake regular, coordinated sampling campaigns, both above- and belowground, to determine treatment impacts on plant, microbial and invertebrate communities, and associated changes in ecosystem properties and processes. Details of these sampling campaigns are outlined below, with selected data presented in this methods paper; further data characterizing above- and belowground responses will be presented in subsequent publications.

Vegetation monitoring

Non-destructive vegetation cover measurements are conducted approximately every 4 months by placing a 1m² quadrat with 25 sub-divisions in the centre of each plot and recording species level presence/absence data in each sub-division. Since October 2013, twice-yearly harvests (April and October) of all aboveground plant material have been undertaken. For this, vegetation is cut to ground level within the central 1m² of each plot and, in a randomly selected subsample (20-40% of the harvested material), live (green) material is sorted to species level and separated from dead

biomass. All plant material is oven-dried at 80°C for 48 hours, and weighed to provide a measure of growing season (Oct-April) and cool season (April-Oct) productivity for all plots.

Immediately prior to the harvests in October 2013, April 2014 and October 2013,

273

274

270

271

272

Invertebrate monitoring

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

aboveground invertebrates were sampled from each of the plots using a 'G-Vac' suction sampler (SH 86C, Stihl AG & Co. KG, Germany). The device was passed over the plots in a zigzag pattern for 20 seconds, with all dislodged material and invertebrates captured in a fitted organza bag. In addition, quarterly from October 2016 until April 2015, yellow sticky card traps (Bugs for Bugs, Mundubbera, Australia) were suspended from the centre of each shelter roof (or at the same height for unsheltered controls) for a period of one week to capture flying invertebrates. Invertebrates from both suction samples and sticky traps were identified to at least Order level (except for two groups taken to Subclass only – Acari and Collembola). To quantify belowground invertebrate responses to altered rainfall regimes, two composite soil samples, each composed of two soil cores (3 cm diameter, 10 cm depth) are collected at the beginning (October) and end (April) of each growing season for extraction of soil nematodes and microarthropods. We focus on these two groups as they are the two most abundant soil invertebrate groups. Nematodes and microarthropods are extracted using standard techniques (Baermann, 1917; Tullgren, 1918). Nematodes are classified to trophic level based on morphology under an inverted microscope, and counts converted to individuals per kg dry soil.

Microarthropods are initially sorted into springtails, oribatid, mesostigamatid and other mites (for more detail see Nielsen *et al.*, In Press). More detailed analyses will be undertaken on archived samples over the course of the experiment. Further assessments of soil invertebrate groups that require more destructive sampling campaigns will be undertaken at a later stage in the experiment to avoid substantial disturbance.

Plant, soil and microbial analyses

Leaf material was sampled from three grass species (*Cynodon dactylon, Eragrostis curvula* and *Microlaena stipoides*) in November 2014 and analysed for silicon concentrations. Ground plant material was pressed at 11 tons into 5 mm thick cylindrical pellets with a manual hydraulic press using a 13 mm die (Specac, Orpington, UK). Si concentration (% dry mass) was determined using a commercial P-XRF analyser (Niton XL3t900 GOLDD analyser: Thermo Scientific Winchester, UK) held in a test stand (SmartStand, Thermo Scientific, Winchester, UK) (Reidinger *et al.*, 2012).

Since April 2014, we have carried out regular sampling campaigns to investigate treatment effects on bulk soil properties (e.g., chemistry, nutrient availability) and processes (e.g., enzyme activities). Soil samples comprise 8-10 cores (0-10 cm deep, 1 cm wide) per plot. Analyses for soil chemistry, microbial and enzyme activity are conducted using fresh soil samples; molecular analyses (qPCR and MiSeq Illumina high-throughput sequencing) are carried out on DNA extracted from frozen samples,

using the PowerSoil® kit (MoBio). Results of soil and microbial analyses will be presented in a subsequent paper.

321

319

320

322

Statistical analysis

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

323

All analyses were carried out using linear models in R (Version 3.2.4, R Core Team, 2016). Shelter effects on PAR and air temperature were evaluated for month-long periods in summer (November 2014) and winter (August 2014), to compare differences between AMB (sheltered) control plots and outside (unsheltered) control plots. Data from all 48 sheltered plots were used to evaluate rainfall treatment effects on plant biomass. Data were first inspected for homogeneity of variances and normality of errors and, where necessary, log, box-cox or arc-sine transformation was carried out prior to analyses (Crawley, 2012). Treatment effects were evaluated by first fitting the full model (rainfall treatment, herbivore addition and their interactions) and then model simplification was undertaken by removing non-significant terms. When neither the interaction between rainfall treatment and herbivore addition, nor herbivore addition on its own were significant (P<0.10), herbivore-added plots were retained in the analysis to assess rainfall treatment effects. When overall treatment effects were significant, Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests were used to determine significance between treatment levels; results were considered significant if P<0.05. Soil moisture data (27 November 2013 to 25 November 2014) obtained from automatic sensors were averaged per week and the effects of rainfall treatment were evaluated with a repeated-measures linear mixed-effects model (lme in the nlme

package (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2016)) with plot nested within treatment as a random effect. In order to test for the effect of root herbivore addition, generalized linear mixed models were constructed with the lmer() function in the lme4 package (Bates *et al.*, 2015), and Chi square (χ^2) values between models with and without the herbivore interaction were compared (Faraway, 2006). *Post-hoc* comparisons were performed with glht() in the multcomp package with a 'Benjamini–Hochberg' correction (Hothorn *et al.*, 2008).

The effect of watering treatment on aboveground invertebrate abundance was assessed using linear models on square-root transformed abundance data. Watering and herbivore addition treatments were included in the model as independent variables along with scaled plot biomass, given the documented effect of underlying plant structure on sampling efficiency (Facey & Torode, 2016). Effects of root herbivore addition on the presence/abundance of scarabs in the soil were analyzed with a zero-inflated-poisson model in the *pcsl* package, and model significance evaluated using a likelihood ratio (lr) test (Jackman, 2015).

362 Results and Discussion

Shelter effects on microclimate

Differences in air temperature between unsheltered and sheltered plots varied diurnally and between seasons (Table 2). On average (24 hour mean), sheltered plots were 0.04 °C warmer than unsheltered ones, representing non-significant daytime cooling and

nighttime warming associated with shelter roofs; this phenomenon is well known from previous studies using permanently installed shelter infrastructure (Fay et al., 2000; Beier et al., 2004; Vogel et al., 2013). Whilst temperature was only minimally affected by the presence of shelter roofs, effects on PAR were more substantial. On average, PAR was significantly lower under shelters than in outside plots (-15.9%; $F_{1.2}=145.3$, P<0.01). Interception losses averaged 17.4% during summer months ($F_{1,2}$ = 139.5, P<0.01) and 13.1% in winter ($F_{1,2}=198.9$, P<0.01). This is directly comparable to light interception values reported for similar studies in Germany (15%, Vogel et al., 2013) and the USA (21%, Fay et al., 2000) where, like ours, shelter roofs cover the entire plot area. Lower levels of PAR interception have been associated with shelter infrastructure where roofs cover a smaller proportion of the plot area. For example, Gherardi & Sala (2013) report reductions of just 3% and 6% for shelters covering 50% and 80% of the plots, respectively, while Yahdjian & Sala (2002) found a 10% reduction in PAR associated with roofs covering 80% of the plot area. Light interception is an unavoidable artifact of field experiments involving fixed roofs. Unless within-shelter PAR is above light-saturation levels for much of the growing season (e.g. Fay et al., 2000), shelter-induced reductions in PAR are likely to have implications for photosynthesis and, depending on other resource constraints, potentially also productivity. Whilst we only measured PAR, it is worth noting that other shelter-associated changes in spectral characteristics can also influence other photosensitive ecosystem processes. For example, Vogel et al. (2013) attributed differences in litter decomposition rates and plant metabolic profiles to contrasting levels of UV radiation associated with shelter roofs in a recent rainfall manipulation experiment, advocating for the need to include roofed controls in shelter-based studies.

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

Outside plots had slightly higher soil water content (SWC) compared to sheltered AMB plots (Table 3), although differences were not statistically significant (χ^2 =0.254, df= 1, p=0.614). Given the link between canopy transpiration rates and SWC (Patrick *et al.*, 2014), these differences may be due to slightly higher transpirational water loss associated with greater vegetation biomass in AMB compared to OP (see below). A second possible explanation for these differences could be the method for water delivery to plots. The relatively small droplet size of water applied via sprinklers increases the chance of both spray drift and higher levels of canopy interception (and subsequent evaporation) (Moss & Green, 1983), both of which could result in lower SWC for a given water application, compared to natural rainfall.

SWC within 25 cm of the edge of RA, RF and SD plots was typically 0-0.5 % higher than in the centre of the plot. Immediately after heavy ambient rainfall episodes, differences of up to 2.8% were noted, but overall differences in SWC between the centre and outside 25 cm of the plot area were small. The biggest differences were observed in SD plots, following a large rainfall event during the period of total rainfall exclusion, when within-plot SWC was particularly low. At this time, average SWC was 23.9% outside of these shelters, while values within SD plots ranged from 2.5% in the plot centre, to 3.3% and 6.3% at distances of 50 cm and 25 cm from the outer edge of the plots, respectively. In the context of ambient rainfall incursion, we estimate the size of the edge effect to be approximately 25 cm. This is directly comparable with values reported for similar shelters elsewhere (e.g. 20 cm; Gherardi & Sala, 2013), and confirms that the combination of roof interception, impermeable root barrier and a

well-drained, sandy soil provide effective hydrological isolation of our experimental plots under all but the wettest/windiest conditions.

Shelter effects on plant productivity

The differences in SWC, air temperature and PAR between AMB and OP were associated with modest differences in ANPP. Growing season ANPP was 10.8% higher, and cool season ANPP was 29.7% higher in AMB compared to OP (Figure 2), although neither of these differences were statistically significant. The larger shelter effects on cool season productivity were driven by a significantly greater accumulation of dead plant material in AMB plots (+51%; F_{1,22}=7.87, P<0.001). Although shelter impacts on ANPP were not statistically significant, the biological relevance of 10-30% differences in productivity is arguably high and emphasises the need to compare treatment effects to sheltered controls (AMB). The importance of controlling for shelter artifacts has been raised in rainfall manipulation studies elsewhere, with shelter infrastructure associated with altered NPP, decomposition and carbon fluxes (Fay *et al.*, 2000; Vogel *et al.*, 2013). Based on information on how shelters modify the microclimate in our study, and associated biological responses, all rainfall and herbivore treatment effects are evaluated against sheltered AMB plots, with unsheltered plots used to provide a context for interpreting these effects.

Treatment effects and seasonal patterns in soil water content

- Ambient rainfall at the site for the 12-month period from June 2013 to May 2014 was
- 597 mm, lower than the 30 year mean of 806 mm. During the first year of the

experiment, summer rainfall was particularly low, with less than half the long-term seasonal average falling in the local area. Temporal trends in SWC are illustrated in Figure 3. Treatment differences reflect both the timing of ambient rainfall and that of imposed treatments with, for example, the three-weekly periodicity of the RF treatment, and the summer-long water withholding in the SD treatments, clearly reflected in soil moisture patterns.

Table 3 summarises overall and seasonal treatment effects on SWC for the first 12 months of the experiment. The biggest differences were seen during the summer (December-February), corresponding to the period of maximum plant growth and the timing of the SD treatment. There was a significant overall effect of rainfall treatment on SWC but no effects of herbivore addition, nor an interaction between the two treatments. *Post-hoc* analyses revealed that moisture levels were higher in AMB compared to RA plots; RF experienced greater variation in soil moisture, with periods where SWC was higher and others where it was lower than the other treatments, during the 21-day watering cycle. The lowest seasonal mean SWCs were associated with different treatments in different seasons; in winter and spring RF plots had the driest soils, while in autumn SD had the lowest SWC.

Annual mean SWC was consistent between all reduced rainfall treatments (RA, RF and SD) and clearly demonstrates that contrasting rainfall regimes can result in similar long-term mean SWC, despite highly contrasting patterns both within- and between-seasons. Increasing rainfall variability (i.e. longer inter-pulse intervals) has been associated with increased (or decreased) mean SWC, depending on background climatic conditions and soil type (Zeppel *et al.*, 2014). Under mesic conditions,

reducing the frequency of rainfall events (with no change in total rainfall amount) has been found to lower mean SWC (Harper *et al.*, 2005; Fay *et al.*, 2011), but in arid systems similar reductions in frequency can actually increase mean SWC, particularly in deeper soil horizons (Heisler-White *et al.*, 2008, 2009). With a long-term mean rainfall of 806 mm for the local area, SWC in the RF treatment in our study parallels that at other mesic sites and highlights the importance of changes in the pattern, as well as the amount of rainfall for ecosystem hydrology under climate change.

Unlike field-based rainfall manipulations elsewhere (Fay *et al.*, 2000; Gherardi & Sala, 2013), differences in seasonal means (Table 3) and temporal patterns (Figure 3) in SWC between AMB and IA treatments at our site were minimal. This likely reflects greater transpirational water loss associated with higher plant biomass in IA, and the high drainage capacity and relatively low soil water-holding capacity (Atwell *et al.*, 1999) of our sandy soils, compared to other studies (e.g. silty clay loam; Fay *et al.*, 2000). It also emphasizes that impacts of future shifts in rainfall regime will be contingent not only on the nature of the change, but will also depend on the climate context and soil conditions at a given site.

Early vegetation responses to rainfall and root herbivore treatments

Total ANPP in the first growing season (October 13-April 14) was significantly affected by rainfall treatment ($F_{4,43}$ =7.70, P=9.03e⁻⁰⁵), but there was no effect of herbivore addition, nor interactions between rainfall and herbivore treatments at this time. *Post-hoc* comparisons reveal that rainfall effects on ANPP were driven primarily by a significant reduction (-62.3%, P=0.0004) in biomass in SD plots (168.4 ± 46.2 g

 m^{-2}) compared to AMB (446.6 ± 49.4 g m^{-2} , Figure 4a). ANPP in IA and RA treatments were not significantly different from AMB, but there was a clear gradient in productivity, increasing from 370.9 (\pm 35.8) g m⁻² in RA to 556.3 (\pm 74.7) g m⁻² in IA. This represents a positive linear relationship between ANPP and water inputs for these treatments, despite the absence of a clear relationship with mean SWC. ANPP in RF plots was similar to AMB, despite a somewhat higher mean SWC in RF plots. Treatment effects on live (green) harvested biomass in April were very similar to those for total aboveground productivity, with a significant overall effect of rainfall ($F_{4,43}$ = 6.20, P=0.0005) but not herbivore addition, nor interactions between the two treatments (Figure 4b). The amount of dead plant material harvested at the end of the growing season was fairly consistent across plots, with no significant treatment effects (Figure 4c). However, the ratio of live to dead material differed significantly (F_{4.43}=3.76, P=0.0104) between contrasting rainfall regimes, with dead material representing 17.8 % of total aboveground biomass in AMB plots, but 58.8 % in SD plots (Figure 4d). Taken together, these early data indicate that the total amount of growing season rainfall is a more important determinant of vegetation productivity at our site than the frequency of those inputs. Close relationships between rainfall amount and plant growth are well established (Sala et al., 1988, Hsu et al., 2012; Southon et al., 2012). However, the lack of biomass response to altered rainfall frequency contrasts with recent studies that report negative impacts on species productivity, cover and nutritional quality (Walter et al., 2012; Jones et al., 2016), as well as greater impacts on ecosystem processes, than reducing total rainfall amount in both mesic (Heisler-

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

White *et al.*, 2009; Fay *et al.*, 2011) and (semi-) arid grasslands (Heisler-White *et al.*, 2008, Miranda *et al.*, 2009). In our study, plant community resistance to altered rainfall frequency may reflect the high variability in rainfall; coefficients of variation in seasonal rainfall are naturally high (particularly during spring) at our site compared to other sites (e.g. Walther *et al.*, 2012) and it is likely that the vegetation has adapted to historically high levels of rainfall variability. The potential for changes in plant community composition to buffer changes in ecosystem functioning under more variable rainfall conditions (Fry *et al.*, 2014b; Gherardi & Sala, 2015) may also explain the lack of biomass response to RF treatment in our study, and will be a subject for future investigation.

Cool-season (April-October) ANPP and live biomass were not affected by either rainfall or herbivore addition treatments, or their interactions (Figure 5). Treatment effects on dead biomass were only significant for rainfall (F_{4,43}=3.329, P=0.018), with more dead plant material in RF (+32.1%, P=0.017) than AMB at this time. Although not statistically significant, there was nearly twice as much live plant material in SD plots in the October harvest as in AMB (P=0.096), demonstrating very rapid vegetation recovery once the summer-long drought was released. This, together with levels of cool-season productivity in all water-manipulated treatments that were higher than AMB plots, implies a high degree of climate resilience at our site. The ability for water-stressed ecosystems to recover is likely associated with rapid recovery of formerly dominant species, or compensatory growth by other (previously sub-ordinate or newly recruited) species within these plots. Previous rainfall manipulation studies have shown contrasting rates of recovery, with evidence of both rapid return to predrought levels of ANPP (Hoover *et al.*, 2014) and legacy effects persisting for many

years (Haddad *et al.*, 2002, Sala *et al.*, 2012). Shifts in plant community composition represent a key mechanism by which physiologically-driven decline in NPP under drought can be offset (Hoover *et al.*, 2014, Gherardi & Sala, 2015). Compositional change will, therefore, be closely monitored at our site over the next 3-5 years to establish the relationship between diversity, community-weighted functional traits and both resistance and resilience to rainfall change.

The absence of effects of root herbivore addition on plant productivity responses is not surprising, given the timing of additions (December 2013 and February/March 2014) in relation to the first growing season (October 2013-April 2014). Furthermore, given scarab preferences for grazing on more nutritious grass species (e.g. C₃ species; Johnson *et al.*, 2014), shifts in community composition may be more likely than impacts on plot-level productivity. Other studies (e.g. Schallhart *et al.*, 2012) report root herbivore-associated plant community change, and this may become more apparent in our study over time.

Invertebrate responses

Root herbivore treated plots contained significantly higher abundances of root-feeding insects (mostly scarabs) than those that were not inoculated (23.3 m $^{-2}$ ± 9.9 $_{(0-20 \text{ cm depth})}$ and (5.6 m $^{-2}$ ± 2.7 $_{(0-20 \text{ cm depth})}$, respectively) (Log-likelihood $_5$ = -39.6, P= 0.0052). Preliminary results from the aboveground invertebrate sampling campaigns found that invertebrate abundance was not significantly influenced by the imposed rainfall

regimes or root herbivore treatment (Table 4). However, this lack of response in the

invertebrate community may change as more data become available from subsequent sampling campaigns. In particular, we may expect invertebrate abundance above ground to be negatively affected by the reductions in plant material occurring when the SD treatment is imposed (December to March).

No effects of altered precipitation were observed on terms of the abundances of nematodes, nematode trophic group or microarthropods after more than 1.5 years' climate manipulation (i.e. April 2015; Nielsen *et al.*, In Press). However, there were subtle, significant changes in nematode feeding guild composition and diversity in SD plots, suggesting that nematodes are sensitive to extreme events in this grassland (Nielsen *et al.*, In Press). Similar results have been observed in other studies (e.g. Cesarz *et al.*, 2015). These responses will be investigated in depth later in the experiment, to determine if belowground invertebrate responses are amplified or ameliorated over time.

A number of plant chemical characteristics have been measured, but here we focus on silicon (Si) concentrations because grasses typically accumulate high levels of Si and this has been shown to increase their resistance to both abiotic (e.g. drought) and biotic (e.g. herbivory) stress (Epstein, 1999, Cooke and Leishman, 2011). In particular, Si has been demonstrated to be an inducible defense against aboveground herbivores (Massey *et al.*, 2007). We found similar patterns of induction in two of our three sampled grasses, *Cynodon dactylon* and *Eragrostis curvula*, in response to belowground herbivore addition (Figure 6). To our knowledge, this is the first example of belowground herbivores inducing this defense in grasses. Future work will report

whether this effect persists and whether rainfall treatments moderate the induction of this important plant defense.

594

592

593

595

596

Conclusion

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

This paper introduced a new experimental platform that, uniquely, combines multilevel rainfall manipulation with contrasting levels of root herbivory. Early data clearly identify the importance of shelter controls in rainfall manipulation experiments of this type, in order to assess potential shelter artifacts that may otherwise obscure treatment effects. This SE Australian grassland exhibited relatively high resistance of NPP to changes in the size and frequency of rainfall inputs, except under extreme summer drought (SD). The rapid recovery of NPP in SD plots after ambient rainfall inputs were resumed indicates that low ecosystem resistance to climate extremes is not necessarily associated with low functional resilience. This may reflect adaptation of the plant community to the naturally high variability in rainfall that can occur both between- and within- years in Australia, with annual inputs at our site varying by as much as 66% below and 114% above the long-term mean. The absence of a productivity response to herbivore addition may be a consequence of the timing of this treatment in relation to the first growing season, compensatory growth by affected plant species and/or changes in plant community composition. This research platform will allow ongoing monitoring of ecological responses to novel combinations of abiotic and biotic stresses, and identification of mechanisms underlying observed above- and belowground responses.

One of the biggest challenges in ecosystem ecology today is to improve our understanding of the mechanisms by which plant physiological and morphological responses to climate change affect interactions within- and between- trophic levels, and ecological feedbacks (Van der Putten et al., 2010). The DRI-Grass experimental platform provides the opportunity to gain important new insight into how ecological interactions are affected by changing rainfall regimes and, specifically, how belowground herbivory modifies grassland resistance and resilience to climate extremes. **Funding** The DRI-Grass facility was constructed with funds from Western Sydney University. Research activity was supported by a project grant to SAP and SNJ from the Hermon Slade Foundation (P00021516) and funding provided by Western Sydney University. The Australasian Congress of Grassland Invertebrate Ecology provided financial assistance for open access publication fees. UN is supported by funding from the Australian Research Council (DP150104199). Acknowledgements

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

We gratefully acknowledge the technical skills and ongoing support of colleagues

involved in the construction and maintenance of the facility, especially Burhan Amiji,

Craig Barton, Goran Lopaticki and Lanilà Demarta. We would also like to thank the

642	many people who helped with data collection and analysis, including Corey Anderson,
643	Lilia Serano Grijalva, Will Balmont, Julien Shawyer, Anita Wesolowski, Jules Wright,
644	Valentina Arca, Juan Piñeiro Nevado, Shun Hasegawa and John Hughes. This
645	methods paper was published as part of a series of articles from the ninth Australasian
646	Congress of Grassland Invertebrate Ecology.
647	
648	
649	References
650	
651 652 653	Atwell, B. J., Kriedemann, P. E., and Turnbull, C. G. N. (1999). <i>Plants in Action: Adaption in nature, performance in cultivation</i> . Melbourne, Australia: Macmillan Education Australia Pty Ltd.
654 655	Baermann, G., (1917) Eine einfache Methode zur Auffindung von Ankylostomum (Nematoden) Larven in Erdproben. <i>Geneesk Tijdschr Ned-Indie</i> 57, 131–137.
656 657	Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. <i>J. Stat. Software</i> , 67, 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
658 659 660 661	Beier, C., Emmett, B., Gundersen, P., Tietema, A., Peñuelas, J., Estiarte, M., <i>et al.</i> (2004). Novel approaches to study climate change effects on terrestrial ecosystems in the field: Drought and passive night time warming. <i>Ecosystems</i> 7, 583–597.
662 663	Britton, E. B. (1978). A revision of the Australian chafers (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Melolonthinae). Vol. 2. Tribe Melolonthini. <i>Aust. J. Zool. Suppl. Ser.</i> 26, 1–150.
664 665 666 667	Carlyle, C. N., Fraser, L. H., and Turkington, R. (2011). Tracking soil temperature and moisture in a multi-factor climate experiment in temperate grassland: do climate manipulation methods produce their intended effects? <i>Ecosystems</i> 14, 489–502. doi:10.1007/s10021-011-9425-y.
668 669 670 671	Cesarz, S., Reich, P.B., Scheu, S., Ruess, L., Schaefer, M., and Eisenhauer, N. (2015). Nematode functional guilds, not trophic groups, reflect shifts in soil food webs and processes in response to interacting global change factors. <i>Pedobiologia</i> 58, 23–32. doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2015.01.001
672 673	Cooke, J. & Leishman, M.R. (2011). Is plant ecology more siliceous than we realise? <i>Trends Plant Sci.</i> , 16, 61-68. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.10.003.

- 674 Crawley, M. J. (2012). *The R book*. 2nd Edition. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- 675 Crutchfield, B. A., and Potter, D. A. (1995). Tolerance of cool-season turf grasses to
- feeding by Japanese Beetle and Southern Masked Chafer (Coleoptera:
- 677 Scarabaeidae) grubs. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88, 1380–1387.
- Easterling, D. R., Meehl, G. A., Parmesan, C., Changnon, S. A., Karl, T. R., and
- Mearns, L. O. (2000). Climate extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts.
- 680 *Science* 289, 2068–2074. doi:10.1126/science.289.5487.2068.
- 681 Epstein, E. (1999) Silicon. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 50, 641-664.
- DOI: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.50.1.641.

- Faraway, J.J. (2006). Extending the linear model with R: generalized linear, mixed
- 685 effects and nonparametric regression models. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Fay, P. A., Blair, J. M., Smith, M. D., Nippert, J. B., Carlisle, J. D., and Knapp, A. K.
- 687 (2011). Relative effects of precipitation variability and warming on tallgrass
- prairie ecosystem function. *Biogeosciences* 8, 3053–3068. doi:10.5194/bg-8-
- 689 3053-2011.
- 690 Fay, P. A., Carlisle, J. D., Knapp, A. K., Blair, J. M., and Collins, S. L. (2003).
- Productivity responses to altered rainfall patterns in a C 4-dominated grassland.
- 692 *Oecologia* 137, 245–251. doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1331-3.
- 693 Fay, P. A., Carlisle, J. D., Knapp, A. K., Blair, J. M., and Collins, S. L. (2000).
- Altering rainfall timing and quantity in a mesic grassland ecosystem: Design and
- performance of rainfall manipulation shelters. *Ecosystems* 3, 308–319.
- 696 Field, C. B., Barros, V., Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Dokken, D. J., Ebi, K. L., et al.
- 697 (2012). Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate
- change adaptation. A special report of working groups I and II of the
- intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge
- 700 University Press doi:10.1596/978-0-8213-8845-7.
- Fischer, E. M., Beyerle, U., and Knutti, R. (2013). Robust spatially aggregated
- projections of climate extremes. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 3, 1033–1038.
- Facey, S.L. and Torode, M.D. (2016). An assessment of the effect of sward height on
- suction sampling efficiency for the capture of grassland invertebrates using a G-
- Vac device. In Proceedings of the 9th Australasian Conference of Grassland
- 706 *Invertebrate Ecology*. Sydney, Australia.
- Frew, A., Barnett, K., Nielsen, U. N., Riegler, M., and Johnson, S. N. (2016).
- Belowground ecology of scarabs feeding on grass roots: current knowledge and
- future directions for management in Australasia. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 321.
- 710 Fry, E. L., Manning, P., Allen, D. G. P., Hurst, A., Everwand, G., Rimmler, M., et al.
- 711 (2013). Plant functional group composition modifies the effects of precipitation

- change on grassland ecosystem function. *PLoS One* 8, e57027.
- 713 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057027.
- 714 Fry, E.L., Manning, P. and Power, S.A. (2014a). Ecosystem functions are resistant to
- extreme changes to rainfall regimes in a mesotrophic grassland. *Plant Soil* 381,
- 716 351-365. doi 10.1007/s11104-014-2137-2.

- Fry, E.L., Power, S.A., and Manning P. (2014b). Trait-based classification and manipulation of plant functional groups for biodiversity–ecosystem function
- 720 experiments. J. Veg. Sci. 25, 248–261. Doi: 10.1111/jvs.12068.

- 722 Fry, E.L., Manning, P. Macdonald, C., Hasegawa, S., De Palma, A. Power, S.A. et al.,
- 723 (2016). Shifts in microbial communities do not explain the response of grassland
- ecosystem function to plant functional composition and rainfall change. *Soil Biol.*
- 725 *Biochem.* 92, 199-210. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.10.006.
- Gherardi, L. A., and Sala, O. E. (2013). Automated rainfall manipulation system: a
- reliable and inexpensive tool for ecologists. *Ecosphere* 4, 1–10.
- 728 doi:10.1890/ES12-00371.1.
- 729 Gherardi, L. A., and Sala, O. E. (2015). Enhanced interannual precipitation variability
- increases plant functional diversity that in turn ameliorates negative impact on
- 731 productivity. *Ecol. Lett.* 18, 1293–1300. doi:10.1111/ele.12523.
- Haddad, N. M., Tilman, D., and Knops, J. M. H. (2002). Long-term oscillations in
- grassland productivity induced by drought. *Ecol. Lett.* 5, 110–120.
- 734 doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00293.x.
- 735 Harper, C. W., Blair, J. M., Fay, P. A., Knapp, A. K., and Carlisle, J. D. (2005).
- Increased rainfall variability and reduced rainfall amount decreases soil CO₂ flux
- 737 in a grassland ecosystem. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 11, 322–334. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 738 2486.2005.00899.x.
- Heisler-White, J. L., Blair, J. M., Kelly, E. F., Harmoney, K., and Knapp, A. K.
- 740 (2009). Contingent productivity responses to more extreme rainfall regimes
- across a grassland biome. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 15, 2894–2904. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 742 2486.2009.01961.x.
- Heisler-White, J. L., Knapp, A. K., and Kelly, E. F. (2008). Increasing precipitation
- event size increases aboveground net primary productivity in a semi-arid
- 745 grassland. *Oecologia* 158, 129–140. doi:10.1007/s00442-008-1116-9.
- Hoover, D. L., Knapp, A. K., and Smith, M. D. (2014). Resistance and resilience of a
- grassland ecosystem to climate extremes. *Ecology* 95, 2646–2656.
- 748 doi:10.1890/13-2186.1.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F. & Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general
- parametric models. *Biometrical Journal* 50, 346–363. doi:
- 751 10.1002/bimj.200810425

- Hsu, J. S., Powell, J., and Adler, P. B. (2012). Sensitivity of mean annual primary
- production to precipitation. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18, 2246–2255.
- 754 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02687.x.
- Hunter, M. D. (2001). Out of sight, out of mind: the impacts of root-feeding insects in
- natural and managed systems. *Agric. For. Entomol.* 3, 3–9.
- Huxman, T. E., Snyder, K. A., Tissue, D., Leffler, A. J., Ogle, K., Pockman, W. T., et
- 758 *al.* (2004). Precipitation pulses and carbon fluxes in semiarid and arid
- 759 ecosystems. *Oecologia* 141, 254–268.
- 760 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (2013). Climate Change 2013:
- 761 The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press, UK.
- Jackman, S. 2015. Pscl: Classes and Methods for R Developed in the Political Science
- Computational Laboratory, Stanford University (version R package version
- 764 1.4.9). Stanford, California: Department of Political Science, Stanford University.
- 765 http://pscl.stanford.edu/.
- Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., and Beierkuhnlein, C. (2007). A new generation of climate-
- change experiments: events, not trends. Front. Ecol. Environ. 5, 365–374.
- 768 doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[365:ANGOCE]2.0.CO;2.
- Johnson, S. N., and Murray, P. J. (2008). Root feeders: An ecosystem perspective.
- 770 CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
- Johnson, S. N., Staley, J. T., McLeod, F. A. L., and Hartley, S. E. (2011). Plant-
- mediated effects of soil invertebrates and summer drought on above-ground
- 773 multitrophic interactions. *J. Ecol.* 99, 57–65. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 774 2745.2010.01748.x.
- Johnson, S.N., Lopaticki, G., and Hartley, S.E. (2014). Elevated atmospheric CO₂
- triggers compensatory feeding by root herbivores on a C3 but not a C4 grass.
- 777 *PloS ONE*, 9, e90251. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090251
- Johnson, S. N., Lopaticki, G., Barnett, K., Facey, S. L., Powell, J. R., and Hartley, S.
- E. (2015). An insect ecosystem engineer alleviates drought stress in plants
- without increasing plant susceptibility to an above-ground herbivore. *Funct. Ecol.*
- 781 30, 894–902. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12582.
- 782 Jones, S. K., Collins, S. L., Blair, J. M., Smith, M. D., and Knapp, A. K. (2016).
- Altered rainfall patterns increase forb abundance and richness in native tallgrass
- 784 prairie. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 20120. doi:10.1038/srep20120.
- Lee, M.A., Manning, P. Walker, C.S., and Power, S.A. (2014). Plant and arthropod
- community sensitivity to rainfall manipulation but not nitrogen enrichment in a
- successional grassland ecosystem. *Oecologia* 176, 1173–1185. doi:
- 788 10.1007/s00442-014-3077-5.

- Kayler, Z. E., De Boeck, H. J., Fatichi, S., Grünzweig, J. M., Merbold, L., Beier, C., et
- 790 al. (2015). Experiments to confront the environmental extremes of climate
- 791 change. Front. Ecol. Environ. 13, 219–225. doi:10.1890/140174.
- Knapp, A. K., Beier, C., Briske, D. D., Classen, A. T., Luo, Y., Reichstein, M., et al.
- 793 (2008). Consequences of more extreme precipitation regimes for terrestrial
- 794 ecosystems. *Bioscience* 58, 811–821. doi:10.1641/B580908.
- Knapp, A. K., Carroll, C. J. W., Denton, E. M., La Pierre, K. J., Collins, S. L., and
- Smith, M. D. (2015). Differential sensitivity to regional-scale drought in six
- 797 central US grasslands. *Oecologia* 177, 949–957. doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3233-6.
- Massey, F.P., Ennos, A.R., and Hartley, S.E. (2007). Herbivore specific induction of
- 799 silica-based plant defences. *Oecologia*, 152, 677-683. doi:10.1007/s00442-007-
- 800 0703-5.
- Masters, G. J., Brown, V. K., and Gange, A. C. (1993). Plant mediated interactions
- between above- and below-ground insect herbivores. *Oikos* 66, 148–151.
- Meyer, K.M., Vos, M., Mooij, W.M., Hol, W.H.G., Termorshuizen, A.J., Vet, L.E.M.
- et al. (2009). Quantifying the impact of above- and belowground higher trophic
- levels on plant and herbivore performance by modeling. *Oikos*, 118, 981–990.
- 806 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17220.x
- Miranda de Dios, J., Padilla, F. M., Lázaro, R., and Pugnaire, F. I. (2009). Do changes
- in rainfall patterns affect semiarid annual plant communities? J. Veg. Sci. 20,
- 809 269–276. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.05680.x.
- Moss, A. J., and Green, P. (1983). Movement of solids in air and water by raindrop
- impact. Effects of drop-size and water-depth variations. *Soil Res.* 21, 257–269.
- 812 doi:10.1071/SR9830257.
- Nielsen, U. N., Gilarte, P., Ochoa-Hueso, R., Tissue, D. T., Power, S. A., and Johnson,
- S. N. (In Press). Effects of altered precipitation patterns on soil fauna in an
- experimental grassland. In Proceedings of the 9th Australasian Conference of
- 616 Grassland Invertebrate Ecology. Sydney, Australia.
- Patrick, L., Cable, J., Ignace, D., Potts, D., Barron-Gafford, G., Van Gestel, N. et al.
- 818 (2007). Effects of an increase in summer precipitation on leaf, soil and ecosystem
- fluxes of CO₂ and H₂O in a sotol-grassland in Big Bend National Park, Texas.
- 820 *Oecologia* 151, 704-718.
- 821 Peng, S., Piao, S., Shen, Z., Ciais, P., Sun, Z., Chen, S., et al. (2013). Precipitation
- amount, seasonality and frequency regulate carbon cycling of a semi-arid
- grassland ecosystem in Inner Mongolia, China: A modeling analysis. *Agric. For.*
- 824 *Meteorol.* 178-179, 46–55. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.02.002.
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. and Team, T.R.C. (2016). nlme: Linear
- and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. *R package version 3.1-128*.

827 R Core Team (2016). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R 828 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 829 Reidinger, S., Ramsey, M.H., and Hartley, S.E. (2012). Rapid and accurate analyses of silicon and phosphorus in plants using a portable X-ray fluorescence 830 spectrometer. New Phytologist, 195, 699-706. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-831 832 8137.2012.04179.x 833 Sala, O. E., Parton, W. J., Joyce, L. A., and Lauenroth, W. K. (1988). Primary 834 production of the central grassland region of the United States. Ecology 69, 40– 835 45. doi:10.2307/1943158. 836 Sala, O. E., Gherardi, L. A., Reichmann, L., Jobbágy, E., and Peters, D. (2012). 837 Legacies of precipitation fluctuations on primary production: theory and 838 data synthesis. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 367, 3135-3144. doi: 839 10.1098/rstb.2011.0347 840 Schallhart, N., Tusch, M.J., Wallinger, C., Staudacher, K., and Traugott, M. (2012). 841 Effects of plant identity and diversity on the dietary choice of a soil-living insect 842 843 herbivore. *Ecology*, **93**, 2650-2657. Seastedt, T. R., and Murray, P. J. (2008). "Root herbivory in grassland ecosystems," in 844 Johnson, S.N., Murray, P.J., editors. Root Feeders - an ecosystem perspective. 845 846 Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing. 54-67. Southon, G. E., Green, E. R. Jones, A. G., Barker, C. G., and Power, S.A. (2012). 847 848 Long-term nitrogen additions increase likelihood of climate stress and affect 849 recovery from wildfire in a lowland heath. Glob. Chang. Biol. 18, 2824–2837. 850 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02732.x 851 Staley, J. T., Mortimer, S. R., Morecroft, M. D., Brown, V. K., and Masters, G. J. 852 (2007). Summer drought alters plant-mediated competition between foliar- and 853 root-feeding insects. Glob. Chang. Biol. 13, 866–877. doi:10.1111/j.1365-854 2486.2007.01338.x. 855 Thompson, R. M., Beardall, J., Beringer, J., Grace, M., and Sardina, P. (2013). Means 856 and extremes: building variability into community-level climate change 857 experiments. Ecol. Lett. 16, 799–806. doi: 10.1111/ele.12095 858 Trumper, K., Bertzky, M., Dickson, B., van der Heijden, G., Jenkins, M., and 859 Manning, P. (2009). The Natural Fix? The role of ecosystems in climate 860 mitigation. A UNEP rapid response assessment. Cambridge, UK. 861 Tullgren, A. (1918). Ein sehr einfacher Ausleseapparat für terricole Tierfaunen. 862 Zeitschrift für angewandte Entomologie 4, 149–150. 863 Van der Putten, W. H., Macel, M., and Visser, M. E. (2010). Predicting species 864 distribution and abundance responses to climate change: why it is essential to 865 include biotic interactions across trophic levels. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 365, 2025–2034. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0037. 866

Villani, M. G., Allee, L. L., Diaz, A., and Robbins, P. S. (1999). Adaptive strategies of 867 868 edaphic arthropods. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 44, 233-256. 869 doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.44.1.233. 870 Villani, M. G., and Wright, R. J. (1988). Use of radiography in behavioural studies of turfgrass-infesting scarab grub species (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Bull. ESA, 871 872 132–144. 873 Vogel, A., Fester, T., Eisenhauer, N., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Weisser, W. 874 W., et al. (2013). Separating drought effects from roof artifacts on ecosystem 875 processes in a grassland drought experiment. PLoS One 8, e70997. 876 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070997. 877 Walter, J., Grant, K., Beierkuhnlein, C., Kreyling, J., Weber, M., and Jentsch, A. 878 (2012). Increased rainfall variability reduces biomass and forage quality of 879 temperate grassland largely independent of mowing frequency. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 148, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2011.11.015. 880 881 Williams, R. E., Allred, B. W., Denio, R. M., and Paulsen, H. A. (1968). Conservation, 882 development, and use of the world's rangelands. J. Range Manag. 21, 355–360. Yahdijan, L., and Sala, O. E. (2002). A rainout shelter design for intercepting different 883 884 amounts of rainfall. *Oecologia* 133, 95–101. doi:10.1007/s00442-002-1024-3. 885 Zeppel, M. J. B., Wilks, J. V., and Lewis, J. D. (2014). Impacts of extreme precipitation and seasonal changes in precipitation on plants. Biogeosciences 11, 886 887 3083-3093. doi:10.5194/bg-11-3083-2014. 888 Zhu, H., Wang, D., Wang, L., Fang, J., Sun, W., and Ren, B. (2014). Effects of altered 889 precipitation on insect community composition and structure in a meadow steppe. 890 Ecol. Entomol. 39, 453-461. DOI: 10.1111/een.12120 891 Zvereva, E. L., and Kozlov, M. V. (2012). Sources of variation in plant responses to 892 belowground insect herbivory: A meta-analysis. *Oecologia* 169, 441–452. 893 doi:10.1007/s00442-011-2210-y. 894

Table 1. Soil properties at the DRI-Grass field site. *Exchangeable nutrient concentrations obtained using ion exchange membranes (Plant Root Simulators®); values measured at 0-10 cm depth.

8	9	9
9	0	0

	Soil property	Value (units)
	Texture	84.4 % sand
		7.4 % silt
		9.2 % clay
	SOM content	2.4 %
	pН	6.4
	Total N	0.011 mg g ⁻¹
	Total P	0.0016 mg g ⁻¹
	*Exchangeable NO ₃	17.1 μg cm ⁻² 90 days ⁻¹
	*Exchangeable NH ₄	3.6 μg cm ⁻² 90 days ⁻¹
	*Exchangeable PO ₄	1.55 μg cm ⁻² 90 days ⁻¹
	Bulk density	1.66 g cm ⁻³
	C:N ratio	12.98
_	Water holding capacity	0.21 ml ml ⁻¹

Table 2. Shelter effects on canopy air temperature and PAR.

		Air temperature (°C)			PAR		
		•			(mean daily mol m ⁻²)		
		Outside	Shelter	Diff (°C)	Outside	Shelter	Diff (%)
Overall	24 hour	15.73	15.77	+0.04	-	-	-
	Daylight hours	19.43	19.24	-0.19	34.98	29.43	-15.9%
	Night time	12.04	12.30	+0.26	-	-	-
Summer (Nov)	24 hour	20.46	20.48	+0.02	-	-	-
	Daylight hours	23.70	23.55	-0.15	41.54	34.30	-17.4%
	Night time	17.23	17.42	+0.19	-	-	-
Winter (Aug)	24 hour	11.15	11.21	+0.06	-	-	-
	Daylight hours	15.30	15.08	-0.22	27.41	23.80	-13.1%
	Night time	7.01	7.35	+0.34	-	-	-

Table 3. Mean seasonal and annual volumetric soil water content (SWC, %) and seasonal rainfall (mm), 2013-2014. Values in brackets represent ± 1 SE. Rainfall treatment effects on SWC are evaluated for all sheltered plots (i.e. excluding unsheltered control plots). Ambient rainfall means and coefficients of variation (CoV) also summarized by season, for the past 30 years (1982-2012).

Treatment	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn	Annual
Ambient (sheltered)	14.0 (0.49) a	10.3 (0.45) a	10.0 (0.32) a	13.0 (0.34) a	11.8 (0.22) a
Reduced amount	12.2 (0.46) b	9.2 (0.48) a	8.6 (0.20) a	10.0 (0.23) b	9.9 (0.19) b
Increased amount	13.0 (0.51) a	10.2 (0.55) a	9.8 (0.43) a	13.0 (0.43) a	11.4 (0.25) ab
Reduced frequency	11.1 (0.43) b	7.7 (0.40) a	8.6 (0.45) a	12.5 (0.40) a	10.0 (0.23) ab
Summer drought	13.8 (0.50) a	10.9 (0.51) a	8.7 (0.30) a	7.3 (0.03) b	10.0 (0.22) ab
Treatment effects (df=1,4)	$\chi^2=23.5$, $P=0.0001$	$\chi^2 = 7.85$, P=0.097	$\chi^2=8.06$, P=0.089	$\chi^2 = 21.4$, P=0.0003	$\chi^2 = 15.3$, $P = 0.009$
(41 1,1)	1 0.0001	1 0.057	1 0.009	1 0.0003	1 0.007
Outside plots (unsheltered)	14.3 (0.55)	11.4 (0.55)	10.5 (0.36)	15.3 (0.45)	12.8 (0.26)
Ambient rainfall (mm)					
06/2013-05/2014	80.4	230.9	124.7	160.6	596.7
30-year mean	137.6	182.4	280.7	205.6	806.3
30-year CoV	77.3%	41.4%	43.4%	60.8%	26.1%

918
919 Table 4. Mean total aboveground invertebrate abundances (individuals) from the first
920 sampling campaign (October 2013). Values in brackets represent ± 1 SE.

	Mean total aboveground invertebra		
	abundance		
Rainfall treatment	Sticky traps	Vacuum sample	
Ambient	216.8 (20.2)	133.8 (36.8)	
Increased Amount	209.3 (18.9)	225.5 (61.9)	
Reduced Amount	233.4 (19.0)	165.8 (43.4)	
Reduced Frequency	227.8 (15.2)	396.5 (143.0)	
Summer Drought	237.5 (27.0)	230.0 (59.7)	
D : CH	$F_{4.39} = 0.430$	$F_{4.39} = 9.70$	
Rainfall	P = 0.786	P = 0.435	
TT 1:	$F_{1.39} = 1.506$	$F_{1.39} = 0.297$	
Herbivore	P = 0.227	P = 0.589	
D : C11411 1:	$F_{2.39} = 0.302$	$F_{2.39} = 0.015$	
Rainfall*Herbivore	P = 0.741	P = 0.989	

923 924 925	Figure legends
926	Figure 1. Schematic of DRI-Grass rainout shelter design.
927	
928	Figure 2. Harvested plant biomass in sheltered ambient and outside (unsheltered) plots
929	in a) April 2014 (growing season) and b) October 2014 (cool season).
930	
931	Figure 3. Temporal trends in soil water content, by treatment from November 2013 to
932	November 2014.
933	
934	Figure 4. Rainfall treatment effects on growing season biomass (April 2014 harvest):
935	a) Aboveground NPP (October-April), b) live biomass, c) dead biomass, d) live:dead
936	biomass ratio. Values are means +/- 1SE.
937	
938	Figure 5. Rainfall treatment effects on cool season biomass (October 2014 harvest): a)
939	Aboveground NPP (April-October), b) live biomass, c) dead biomass, d) live:dead
940	biomass ratio. Values are means +/- 1SE.
941	
942	Figure 6. Effects of root herbivore addition treatment on foliar silicon concentrations
943	in Cynodon dactylon and Eragrostis curvula.











