

This is a repository copy of Genome integration and excision by a new Streptomyces bacteriophage, ϕ Joe.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/110735/

Article:

Fogg, Paul C M orcid.org/0000-0001-5324-4293, Haley, Joshua A, Stark, W Marshall et al. (1 more author) (2017) Genome integration and excision by a new Streptomyces bacteriophage, φJoe. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. e02767-16. pp. 1-43. ISSN: 0099-2240

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02767-16

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.






Figure S1: Schematic of the φJoe integrating plasmid, pCMF92. Locations of the φJoe *int* gene and *attP* site are indicated along with relevant plasmid features – origin of transfer (OriT), apramycin resistance gene (aac3(IV)) and *E. coli* replication origin (pUC19 Ori). After integration of the plasmid, the PstI sites shown were used to confirm that integration into the *S. coelicolor* genome had occurred and to identify the *attB* sites by recircularization and recovery of the intervening DNA. Unique restriction sites in intergenic regions of the plasmid are shown in blue.




Figure S2: Circos comparison of the *S. coelicolor* SCO2603-encoding putative mobile genetic element (MGE) to related MGEs in other strains. A tBlastn alignment was carried out using six protein queries from *S. coelicolor* - SCO2603 (Integrase), RDF (previously unassigned, located between SCO2605 and SCO2606), SCO2604/SCO2605 (hypothetical proteins within the putative MGE) and SCO2602/SCO2606 (genes flanking the putative MGE). Ten nucleotide subject sequences from different species (as labelled) were chosen to represent the broad diversity of sequence content detected. The E-value cut-off was set to 1x10⁻⁵ and the HSPs to 100. Ribbons are coloured by query protein; integrase (orange), RDF (blue), flanking genes (green), hypothetical genes within the putative MGE (Yellow). The histograms above each genome are coloured to reflect relative homology to the φJoe sequence based on Blast score (Red>Orange>Green>Blue).




Figure S3: Maps of the substrate and product plasmids for *in vivo* (A & B) and *in vitro* (C) recombination reactions. *In vivo* recombination of attB and attP (A) or attL and attR (B) by the ϕ Joe integrase excises the intervening $lacZ\alpha$ gene to produce a replicating plasmid (Product A) and a non-replicating $lacZ\alpha$ circular DNA (Product B), the latter of which is subsequently lost. C. *In vitro* recombination of attB and attP containing plasmids produces a co-integrant plasmid (pCMF98). The reaction can be reversed to reform the substrates in the presence of the RDF. The substrate and product plasmids can be distinguished and quantified on an agarose gel after Xhol cleavage at the indicated sites.




Figure S4: Representative agarose gel showing φJoe integrase *in vitro* integration reactions with *S. venezuelae attB* (*attBsv*) or the reconstituted *S. coelicolor attB* (*attBsc*) as substrates. The concentration of φJoe Integrase for each reaction is indicated above the image. Reactions were stopped after 2 h. A very faint band was present for the recombined *attBsc* x *attP* plasmid, with a peak equivalent to ~1.5% of total DNA when 200 nM integrase was used, compared to substantial recombination for *attBsv* at all Int concentrations.




Figure S5: Molecular phylogenetic analyses of (A) serine integrases and (B) RDFs. Protein sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega and evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (2). The evolutionary history was inferred using the Maximum Likelihood method (3). Trees with the highest log likelihood (A: -16440.7661 & B: -3155.4478) are shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 16 and 14 amino acid sequences, respectively. A total of 782 positions for the integrases and 300 for the RDFs were in the final dataset after all positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated.




Figure S6: Alignment of putative RDFs carried by representative SCO2603-like integrase encoding MGEs. The sequences shown are not an exhaustive survey of the RDFs from MGEs that encode a SCO2603-like integrase but are intended to represent a diverse selection of the MGEs, in terms of size and gene content (see Fig. S3). Shading is based on the BLOSUM62 similarity matrix with a 70% threshold assigned. φJoe, Danzina, Lannister, Lika, Sujidade and Zemlya RDF sequences are included for comparison and are discussed in the main text. All other sequences were identified in published *Streptomyces* genomes. Where only a strain designation is given (e.g. WM6319) it is because the species was not stipulated in the genome database. The original sequence identified in *S. coelicolor* A3(2) is labelled SCO2603. Other strain designations are as follows: *S. cattleya* 46488, *S. fulvoviolaceus* NRRL B-2870, *S. griseoaurantiacus* M045, *S. phaeopurpureus* DSM 40125 and *S. regalis* NRRL3151. Structure prediction is shown beneath the alignment (Structure) where h = alpha helix and e = beta sheet.




Figure S7: A. Prediction of coiled coil motifs in φJoe Int C-terminal domain using COILS (4). The amino acid position is listed on the X-axis and the probability score on the Y-axis. Predictions were made using window sizes of 21 or 28 amino acids. **B.** Swiss model prediction of the φJoe Int C-terminal domain structure using A118 Int as a template (PDB: 4kis) (5). The putative coiled coil domain is highlighted in orange and corresponds to residues A395-T453. The Global Model Quality Estimation for the model is 0.29 and the sequence identity is 16.55%. **C.** JPred4 secondary structure prediction for φJoe Int C-terminal domain (6). Coils are shown as red tubes and sheets as green arrows, the amino acid positions are indicated above the plots and confidence values below (scale 0-9).

Supplementary Table S1. φJoe structural proteome determined by MS:MS

| Gene | Annotation | Mass | Score | Matches | Sequences | emPAI^ |
|------|-------------------|--------|-------|---------|-----------|--------|
| g09 | Portal | 52019 | 1401 | 33 | 24 | 3.23 |
| g10 | Unknown Function | 40058 | 164 | 4 | 4 | 0.35 |
| g11 | Scaffold Protein | 19449 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0.16 |
| g12 | Major Capsid | 38928 | 3689 | 107 | 55 | 98.96 |
| g13 | Unknown Function | 16663 | 468 | 8 | 8 | 3.06 |
| g14 | Head-Tail Adaptor | 12773 | 126 | 2 | 2 | 0.57 |
| g16 | Unknown Function | 18449 | 337 | 9 | 8 | 2.57 |
| g17 | Unknown Function | 25499 | 165 | 3 | 2 | 0.26 |
| g20 | Tail Tape Measure | 153936 | 324 | 9 | 8 | 0.17 |
| g21 | Unknown Function | 30343 | 153 | 3 | 3 | 0.34 |
| g22 | Unknown Function | 43342 | 428 | 6 | 6 | 0.51 |
| g24 | Unknown Function | 40324 | 441 | 7 | 6 | 0.56 |
| g27 | Unknown Function | 44698 | 326 | 6 | 5 | 0.40 |
| g28 | Unknown Function | 12903 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0.25 |

[^] The Exponentially Modified Protein Abundance Index (emPAI) offers approximate, label-free, relative quantitation of the proteins in a mixture based on protein coverage by the peptide matches in a database search result (1)

Supplementary Table S2. Accession numbers/protein IDs for sequences used in this study

| Species | Genome | Integrase | RDF |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Bacteriophage | | | |
| фЈое | KX815338 | APC43293 | APC43292 |
| фСАМ | JX889246 | AFV51369 | u/k |
| Lannister | NC_028827 | YP_009200991 | YP_009200990 |
| Zemlya | NC_021339 | YP_008060284 | n/a |
| Lika | NC_021298 | YP_008050906 | n/a |
| Sujidade | NC_021304 | YP_008051452 | n/a |
| Amela | NC_028904 | YP_009208329 | u/k |
| Verse | KT186229 | AKY03881 | u/k |
| Danzina | KT124228 | AKY03507 | AKY03506 |
| R4 | NC_019414 | YP_006990167 | u/k |
| φRv1^ | NC_000962 | NP_216102 | NP_216100 |
| Bxb1 | NC_002656 | NP_075302 | NP_075314 |
| SV1 | NC_018848 | YP_006906969 | u/k |
| TP901-1 | NC_002747 | NP_112664 | NP_112670 |
| SPBc^ | NC_000964 | NP_390049 | NP_389863 |
| фВТ1 | NC_004664 | NP_813744 | NP_813719 |
| фС31 | NC_001978 | NP_047974 | NP_047948 |
| TG1 | NC_018853 | YP_006907228 | YP_006907201 |
| Anabaena variabilis ATCC 29413^ | CP000117 | ABA25082 | ABA23430 |
| Putative Mobile Genetic Elements | | | |
| Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) | NC_003888 | NP_626840 | n/a |
| S. phaeopurpureus DSM 40125 | KQ948183 | KUM72918 | KUM72731 |
| Streptomyces WM6391 | JXWX01000030 | KKD13794 | KKD13791 |
| Streptomyces UNC401CLCol | NZ_JMLN01000030 | WP_028961125 | WP_028961127 |
| Streptomyces Tu 6176 | NZ_KK106990 | WP_017944909 | WP_037893069 |
| Streptomyces regalis NRRL 3151 | NZ_LLZG01000265 | WP_062705520 | WP_062705458 |
| S. fulvoviolaceus NRRL B-2870 | NZ_JOEY01000013 | WP_052424710 | WP_030601555 |
| S. griseoaurantiacus M045 | NZ_AEYX01000002 | WP_040893440 | WP_040893426 |
| S. cattleya DSM 46488 | NC_017586 | WP_041825041 | WP_014142485 |
| Streptomyces NRRL F-5650 | NZ_JOGV01000009 | WP_031038341 | WP_031038376 |
| Streptomyces NRRL F-5123 | NZ_JOHY01000007 | WP_052397223 | WP_031514555 |

^{^ =} Prophage/MGE located within a bacterial genome sequence u/k = unknown; RDF not known at this time

n/a = not applicable; predicted RDF gene is not annotated in the database

References

- 1. **Ishihama Y, Oda Y, Tabata T, Sato T, Nagasu T, Rappsilber J, Mann M**. 2005. Exponentially Modified Protein Abundance Index (emPAI) for Estimation of Absolute Protein Amount in Proteomics by the Number of Sequenced Peptides per Protein. Mol Cell Proteomics **4**:1265–1272.
- 2. **Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S**. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol **30**:2725–2729.
- 3. **Jones DT**, **Taylor WR**, **Thornton JM**. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. Bioinformatics **8**:275–282.
- 4. **Lupas a**, **Van Dyke M**, **Stock J**. 1991. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. Science **252**:1162–4.
- 5. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Cassarino TG, Bertoni M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. 2014. SWISS-MODEL: Modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W252-8.
- 6. **Drozdetskiy A, Cole C, Procter J, Barton GJ**. 2015. JPred4: A protein secondary structure prediction server. Nucleic Acids Res **43**:W389–W394.