



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/110216/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Silvertown, JD, Wong, BPY, Sivagurunathan, KS et al. (2017) Remineralization of natural early caries lesions in vitro by P₁₁-4 monitored with photothermal radiometry and luminescence. *Journal of Investigative and Clinical Dentistry*, 8 (4). e12257. ISSN: 2041-1618

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jicd.12257>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Title: Remineralization of natural early caries lesions in vitro by P₁₁-4 monitored with The Canary System® and The Canary Lab

Authors: Joshua D. Silvertown^a, PhD; Bonny P.Y. Wong^a, BSc; Koneswaran S. Sivagurunathan^a, PhD, Stephen H. Abrams^{a,*}, DDS; Jennifer Kirkham^b, PhD; Bennett T. Amaechi^c, BDS, MS, PhD

^aQuantum Dental Technologies Inc, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

^bUniversity of Leeds, Dept. Oral Biology, Great Britain

^cUniversity of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Stephen H. Abrams

Quantum Dental Technologies Inc

748 Briar Hill Avenue

Toronto, ON M6B 1L3

Canada

Phone Number: 416-785-4547

Email Address: stephen@thecanarysystem.com

Funding Source: Quantum Dental Technologies

Introduction:

The recent Global Burden of Disease study showed that untreated caries in the permanent dentition ranked first out of 291 diseases, affecting more than 35% of the global population, while untreated caries in deciduous teeth ranked 10th (9% of the global population)¹. Employing methods and agents that are effective in monitoring the progression and the promotion of remineralization of early caries lesions will be an important part in the future armory of clinicians. There is currently no consensus view as to how the white spot lesion, indicative of early caries, should be treated ². Clinicians can: 1) monitor the lesion to determine whether or not it is getting bigger (but this requires reliable and non-invasive tools to do so), then excavate and fill if necessary; 2) apply fluoride treatments, then proceed as in (1) or 3) place a small restoration - a filling- based upon clinical judgment. There is a finite lifespan to all restorative procedures so trying to remineralize lesions may help to avoid the initial placement and then replacement of restorations.

The white spot (opacity) that is characteristic of early caries is due to porosity within the underlying enamel caused by acid dissolution (demineralisation) of the existing hydroxyapatite crystal structure. In order to enable regeneration or remineralisation of this subsurface lesion, a scaffold is needed to template hydroxyapatite formation and help to align the crystals analogous to the role of matrix proteins during enamel formation ³. This scaffold needs to be able to infiltrate into the demineralised tissue. As such, the scaffold should ideally form spontaneously within the lesion body.

Previous experiments have shown that monomeric self-assembling peptide P₁₁-4 diffuses into the subsurface of early caries lesions and self-assembles into 3D fibrillar scaffolds in response to local conditions of high ionic strength and acidic pH within the lesion body ⁴. The assembled P₁₁-4 scaffold is capable of templating *de novo* hydroxyapatite crystal nucleation and supports mineral crystal growth in a process of biomimetic mineralization, regenerating the enamel analogous to the enamel matrix during enamel formation ⁴. In previous studies,

P₁₁₋₄ was shown to promote subsurface mineralisation in the presence of saliva both *in vitro* and *in vivo* ⁴⁻⁷. P₁₁₋₄ is now the basis of a product, Curodont™ Repair (Credentis AG, Switzerland) which is now approved and available for clinical use in the treatment of early enamel lesions.

An adjunct method capable of detecting and quantitatively monitoring the progression of early caries would be of value in the prevention, treatment and development of new therapies for dental caries. In recent years, two instruments aimed at addressing these needs have been developed and commercialized by Quantum Dental Technologies Inc (Canada). The Canary System® has been used as a clinical aid for the non-invasive early detection and monitoring of caries lesions⁸⁻¹⁰, while the Canary Lab is a non-destructive imaging research instrument for detecting and monitoring dental caries and acid erosion *in vitro* ¹¹. Both The Canary System and Canary Lab directly assess the status of the tooth crystal structure by using energy conversion technology termed photothermal radiometry and luminescence (PTR-LUM). The PTR-LUM detection technique has been validated by previous *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and has been shown to have the ability to detect and monitor demineralization and remineralization of early caries lesions ^{9, 12-17}. Intensity-modulated laser light at a fixed frequency is shone on to the tooth surface and the light is converted into both heat (PTR) and light of longer wavelength (LUM), thereby generating thermal infrared and optical emissions at the same frequency ⁹. At the same time, simultaneously captured back-scattered laser light carries information about the near-surface-structure-related optical properties of scanned teeth. The Canary System measures four signals from an area as wide as 1.5 mm and as deep as 5 mm: (i) strength of the converted heat (PTR amplitude; PTR-A); (ii) time delay of the converted heat to reach the surface conductively (PTR phase; PTR-P); (iii) strength of the converted luminescent and back-scattered laser light (LUM amplitude; LUM-A) and (iv) time delay of the converted luminescent light (LUM phase; LUM-P). An algorithm combines these four signals to generate the “Canary Number” (CN), which reflects the status of the tooth crystal structure or

mineral architecture. The CN increases as early mineral loss from the tooth (incipient caries) causes small changes in the ultrastructure, creating a more porous, less mineral-dense environment. Correspondingly, if remineralization of the lesion progresses, the CN decreases as the lesion becomes less porous and exhibits a denser mineral environment.

Our hypothesis was that a single treatment with P₁₁₋₄ could promote the regeneration of natural early caries lesions in human teeth over time. Thus the objective of the present study was to determine the ability of the P₁₁₋₄ to promote the remineralization of natural initial caries lesions. The remineralization process was monitored by The Canary System® and Canary Lab, which have been established as useful tools for quantitative monitoring of the remineralization of early caries lesions of enamel following administration of a therapeutic non-invasive intervention.

Methods:

Study Design. Tooth selection: Following approval (IRB Approval #: HSC20080233N) by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (UTHSCSA), freshly extracted permanent human teeth (molars and premolars) obtained from the clinics of the UTHSCSA dental school were collected and examined. Teeth were selected on the basis that they included smooth surfaces without debris, stains, plaques, restorations, sealants or cavitations, but with visually sound enamel and early caries lesions, identified as white or brown spots. All tooth samples were stored and periodically refreshed in deionized distilled water to avoid dehydration.

Baseline Readings: Baseline CN readings were obtained by an experienced operator scanning all of the selected enamel sites (both sound and caries) on all of the teeth using The Canary System (Model: L-CS-CO-001) and The Canary Lab (Model: L-CL-CO-001) according to manufacturer's instructions (Quantum Dental Technologies Inc; Toronto, Canada). The smooth surfaces were photographed and the positions of the examined sites were clearly marked on each photograph to ensure measurements at identical sites were performed in follow-up measurements. Teeth were randomly assigned to three experimental groups: 1) Treatment Group, treated with P₁₁-4 (Curodont™ Repair, Credentis AG, Windisch, Switzerland) according to instructions given by the manufacturer (20 second exposure to NaClO (3%); 20 second rinsing with H₂O; 20 second exposure to dental Etching Gel (containing 37% phosphoric acid); 20 second rinsing with H₂O); 5 min contact time of P₁₁-4 solution; 2) Control Group, sites remained untreated throughout the experiment, and 3) Placebo Group, treated with an identical formulation and cleaning procedure to the Treatment Group but without P₁₁-4 applied as described in (1) above. After application of P₁₁-4 and placebo by a clinician blinded to the sample identities, all examination sites were re-scanned in a blinded-fashion with The Canary System and Canary Lab. These scans were designated as Day 0. All tooth samples were then stored and refreshed daily in 10-15mL artificial saliva solution in 50mL Falcon Tubes (MgCl₂·6H₂O (0.148 mmol/L), K₂HPO₄ (4.59 mmol/L), KH₂PO₄ (2.38 mmol/L), KCL (8.39 mmol/L), calcium lactate (1.76 mmol/L),

fluoride (0.05 ppm) as NaF, sodium carboxymethylcellulose (2.25 mmol/L), methyl-4-hydroxybenzoate (13.14 mmol/L), pH adjusted to 7.2 using KOH¹⁸ for a total of 50 days. Sites were scanned with The Canary System and Canary Lab after 7, 14, 30, and 50 days.

The Canary System assessment. A total of 34 visibly sound and 28 visibly carious smooth surface sites were scanned using The Canary System comprising of: Control Group, 10 sound and 9 carious sites; Treatment Group, 14 sound and 10 carious sites and Placebo Group, 10 sound and 9 carious sites. The Canary System® was calibrated prior to use in accordance with the manufacturer's operating instructions to obtain readings from the smooth surface sites at baseline and Days 0, 7, 14, 30, and 50. Each tooth sample was removed from the remineralization solution, rinsed thoroughly with clean deionized distilled water for 20 seconds, and air-dried for five seconds before measurement. Three measurements were taken for each site and the average CN was calculated at each time point.

The Canary Lab assessment. A region measuring ≤ 6 mm x 6 mm was identified on each smooth surface site of interest (measurement resolution = 250 μ m where each measurement site had 25 x 25 pixels) and was scanned using the Canary Lab. A total of 305 visibly sound sites and 936 carious sites were scanned comprising of: Control Group, 106 sound and 243 carious sites; Treatment Group, 75 sound and 386 carious sites and Placebo Group, 124 sound and 307 carious sites. The Canary Lab was calibrated prior to use in accordance with the manufacturer's operating instructions to obtain readings from the smooth surface at baseline and Days 0, 7, 14, 30, and 50. Tooth samples were prepared for scanning similar to what was performed, as above, for The Canary System assessments.

Histological Validation. After all the measurements were completed at Day 50, polarized light microscopy (PLM) was performed by a blinded operator who is an expert in cariology to confirm the presence or absence of a clinically significant caries lesion at each examination site. A tooth section was cut at a sagittal plane from the center of each site, and was examined histologically under the polarizing light microscope (Model BH-2, Olympus, Japan). For each section, the image was captured using a digital camera (Axio Cam ICc 1,

Zeiss, Germany) connected to the microscope. These images were then used to measure the depth (μm) of each lesion using the PLM AxioVs40 4.8.1.0 Software (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

Data and Statistical Analyses. For The Canary System, a time series plot was produced to show mean CNs and changes in the CNs for the following six categories of samples as functions of time after treatment: 1) Untreated sound sites; 2) Untreated carious sites; 3) Treated sound sites; 4) Treated carious sites; 5) Placebo sound sites; and 6) Placebo carious sites. Statistically significant differences in CN compared to baseline were determined by Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test ($p < 0.05$). Statistically significant differences in the changes of the CN between different categories were determined by Student t-test ($p < 0.05$). For statistical analyses, the CN of each site was identified as “sound” or “carious”, where CN readings were ranked according to the Canary Scale: 0 to 20 = sound; 21 to 100 = carious.

Results:

Representative photographs of selected scanning regions for The Canary System (Panels A and B), PLM images (Panel C), and Canary Lab images (Panel D) for representative samples from each of the Control (Figure 1), Treated (Figure 2) and Placebo (Figure 3) groups are shown.

Over the course of the 50-day study, mean CNs derived from the CS from all sound sites among all groups remained in the “healthy” zone ($CN \leq 20$). CNs from carious sites in the Placebo and Untreated groups remained in the “decayed” zone ($CN > 20$). However, by Day 30, the average CN began to significantly decrease ($p=0.03$) for carious samples treated with P₁₁₋₄ suggesting enamel regeneration. This significant ($p = 0.008$) decrease in CN continued through to Day 50 at the end of the study ($p = 0.008$). Overall, carious sites treated with P₁₁₋₄ decreased in CN from mean 44 ± 3.8 at baseline to mean 24 ± 4.9 at day 50 (Figure 4). By Day 50, there were no significant differences in CNs between carious samples treated with P₁₁₋₄ and untreated sound samples, P₁₁₋₄-treated sound samples, or placebo sound samples, suggesting that carious samples treated with P₁₁₋₄ resulted in almost full regeneration to a sound sample state.

When examining the PTR-LUM signals behind CNs derived from the CS, significant differences were found between baseline and Day 50 samples treated with P₁₁₋₄ ($p < 0.05$). PTR-A and PTR-P responses (which are directly proportionate to CN) decreased between baseline (84.4 ± 20.0 and 34.1 ± 2.0) and Day 50 (34.6 ± 14.8 and 28.7 ± 1.9) after treatment with P₁₁₋₄, respectively. LUM-Amplitude response (which is indirectly proportional to CN) increased between baseline (46.5 ± 1.0) and Day 50 ($10.4.3 \pm 13.8$) after treatment with P₁₁₋₄ ($p = 0.003$). No significant differences were found in PTR-LUM signals between days among the study groups (data not shown).

Canary Lab images (Panels D, Figures 1-3) clearly illustrated and provided quantification for the changes in the carious sites associated with P₁₁₋₄ treatment. The individual images and values of PTR-A, PTR-P and LUM-A values, and hence CN, all indicated remineralization of the lesions. Canary Lab permitted objective, systematic measurements of the 6 mm x 6 mm regions from each tooth surface acquired in a series of 0.25 mm steps. (The Canary System measures one single spot on the tooth that is 1.5 mm wide by 5 mm deep for each step). Canary Lab images of carious sites were captured at baseline and again on day 50 after treatment P₁₁₋₄. Overall, the mean CN from the region-of-interest (6 mm x 6 mm) significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) between baseline (65 ± 7.6) and after the 50th day of treatment (45 ± 2.9) with P₁₁₋₄ (data not shown).

Overall, CN and PTR-LUM values obtained with The Canary System and the Canary Lab indicated a significant regression ($p < 0.05$) of natural caries lesions after a single application of P₁₁₋₄ compared with Control and Placebo groups. When examining the delta (relative change in CN unit from baseline) between time points, changes in CN were evident when comparisons were made between Untreated vs P₁₁₋₄-Treated and Placebo vs P₁₁₋₄-Treated groups starting at Day 7 with the largest Delta at Days 30 and 50 ($p < 0.001$). Small but significant changes of CNs derived from scans with The Canary System were only observed at individual time points for the sound enamel sites and did not show a clear trend (Table 1).

PLM was employed to validate the presence or absence of caries at scanned sites (Table 2) and was found to be 100% aligned with the visual identification of carious sites from samples in all three groups. PLM also had a very strong correlation with the visual identification of sound sites in the Untreated (10/10) and Treated Groups (13/14). However, in the Placebo Group, PLM indicated that 6/10 sites were correctly identified as sound sites, and 4/10 sites had some degree of demineralisation with an average lesion depth of $272 \pm 136 \mu\text{m}$. It appeared that the amount and size of the demineralized area (as indicated by PLM) in these sites in the Placebo group, that had been visually identified as sound sites, was so small that

readings from the Canary also did not detect them as such (i.e. CN >20). In contrast, the degree of demineralization of PLM-validated carious sites in all three groups were clearly more advanced and could be seen visually as white spots, with average lesion depths for P₁₁-4-Treated, Control, and Placebo groups of 732±424 µm, 527±212 µm, and 447±191 µm, respectively. Therefore, despite the fact that the visually sound sites allocated to the Placebo-Sound group did appear to have small areas of caries on the PLM, this did not impact on the overall results of the study because these sites occupied a very small area and were clinically identified as sound according to The Canary System when the Canary laser is pulsed at a frequency of 2 Hz.

Discussion:

In the present era of preventive and minimal invasive dentistry, the benefit of early detection and remineralization or arrest of caries lesions cannot be overemphasized. In addition to saving the cost of restorative treatment, it would prevent invasive intervention that commits the tooth into a circle of treatment and re-treatment, known as the 'death spiral of restorations' ¹⁹. Promotion of the natural repair processes by influencing etiological factors such as oral hygiene education, dietary control, and local fluoridation ²⁰ requires patient compliance to be successful. A "natural" repair process, such as a regenerative treatment of caries, which does not rely upon the patient's compliance, is becoming a focus in dentistry ²¹. P₁₁-4 has been described as a biomimetic mineralisation agent and has shown promising results in *in vitro* ^{4, 22} and *in vivo* studies ^{5, 7, 23}. P₁₁-4 assembles to form hierarchical 3D fibrillar networks under physiological conditions such as what would be anticipated within caries lesions ²⁴⁻²⁶. The peptide was designed such that on assembly into fibrils, surface charge domains arising from glutamate residues arrayed along the fibrillar surface would provide potential nucleating sites for hydroxyapatite crystals and the fibrillar matrix as a whole would support secondary crystal growth as a Guided Enamel Regeneration. In

support of this hypothesis, *in vitro* data has shown a net mineral gain after application of P₁₁₋₄ to artificial caries lesions after 5d of oscillating pH⁴.

In the present study, the effects of Curodont™ Repair, which contains P₁₁₋₄, on the remineralisation of natural caries lesions was monitored and quantified using The Canary System and Canary Lab. The results showed a significant decrease in the PTR-LUM values (i.e. Canary Number) after one application of P₁₁₋₄ compared to controls, where no significant decreases in CNs were observed for early caries left untreated or treated with a sham application including the preparation of the lesion site with NaOCl and Etching gel (30% H₃PO₄). The controls showed that spontaneous remineralisation of the natural lesions on human teeth with artificial saliva was not possible under the laboratory conditions used here. In addition, treatment of the lesion surfaces by etching did appear to have initiated measurable remineralisation. Each tooth used in the study provided its own internal control as sound enamel sites were chosen on each tooth for all three treatment groups to provide a comparison with the carious sites. For these sites, CNs remained constant, as expected, throughout the treatment period. For the carious lesions treated with P₁₁₋₄ both The Canary System and the Canary Lab showed the same trend in lesion remineralisation. Canary Numbers from The Canary System showed the greatest decrease by Day 30 after treatment; however, the greatest decrease in CN from The Canary Lab did not occur until the Day 50 measurement. This may be explained by differences in the ways in which these two systems measure samples. For each carious site, a one point (~1.0 mm ± 0.2 mm effective beam spot at the tip contact point) scan was performed using The Canary System and the average CN was taken from an average of 3 repeat point scans. In the case of Canary Lab, a carious region ≤6 x 6 mm which was divided into 25 x 25 pixels was examined. Each pixel (or site; 250 µm x 250 µm) was scanned by Canary Lab and a CN was obtained. The average CN was taken from the CNs obtained from all sites (25 x 25) within the examined carious region. Therefore, Canary Lab examined a much larger number of point scans per carious region than The Canary System, which only measured a relatively localized scan area per carious

site. This most likely resulted in average CNs that appeared to show a more gradual decrease over time. Previous studies showed good correlation between the PTR-LUM technology and artificially induced enamel demineralization (mineral losses) with transverse microradiography (TMR) and microcomputer tomography (μ -CT) results^{27, 28}. For future studies involving The Canary System, TMR and μ -CT, which measure not only lesion depth but also mineral losses, may be additional methods to examine correlation between CNs and mineral losses.

We elected to use a non-pH cycling experimental strategy for this work as our main objective was to investigate the ability of P₁₁₋₄ to promote the remineralization of natural caries lesions. We were therefore unable to determine the effect of cycling and lower pH on any new mineral deposited within the lesions but earlier work using artificial caries-like lesions under conditions of oscillating pH clearly demonstrated an inhibition of demineralisation following application of P₁₁₋₄ and a net gain of mineral over the course of the 5d experiment, supporting the findings reported here⁴.

Conclusion:

Based on measurements obtained from natural lesions of human enamel, one treatment with P₁₁₋₄ enabled enamel regeneration, presumably by promoting *de novo* remineralisation of the early caries lesions as detected and monitored by The Canary System and the Canary Lab.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to acknowledge support for Professor Jennifer Kirkham through the NIHR Leeds Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit.

References:

1. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380(9859):2197-223.
2. Makhija SK, Gilbert GH, Funkhouser E, et al. Characteristics, detection methods and treatment of questionable occlusal carious lesions: findings from the national dental practice-based research network. *Caries Res* 2014;48(3):200-7.
3. Kirkham J, Zhang J, Brookes SJ, et al. Evidence for charge domains on developing enamel crystal surfaces. *J Dent Res* 2000;79(12):1943-7.
4. Kirkham J, Firth A, Vernals D, et al. Self-assembling peptide scaffolds promote enamel remineralization. *J Dent Res* 2007;86(5):426-30.
5. Brunton PA, Davies RP, Burke JL, et al. Treatment of early caries lesions using biomimetic self-assembling peptides - a clinical safety trial. *Br Dent J* 2013;215(4):E6.
6. Jablonski-Momeni A, Heinzl-Gutenbrunner M. Efficacy of the self-assembling peptide P11-4 in constructing a remineralization scaffold on artificially-induced enamel lesions on smooth surfaces. *J Orofac Orthop* 2014;75(3):175-90.
7. Schlee M, Rathe F, Schad T, et al. Klinischer Effekt biomimetischer Mineralisation bei Approximalkaries. *Stomatologie* 2014;111(4-5):175-81
8. Jeon JG, Sivagurunathan K, Garcia J, et al. Dental Diagnostic Clinical Instrument ("Canary") Development Using Photothermal Radiometry and Modulated Luminescence. 15 Int. Conf. Photoacoustic Photothermal Phenomena (15-ICPPP). Leuven, Belgium: Journal of Physics: Conference Series; 2009.
9. Garcia J, Mandelis A, Abrams S, Matvienko A. Photothermal Radiometry and Modulated Luminescence: Application to Dental Caries Detection. In: Popp J, Tuchin VV, Chiau A, Heinemann SH, editors. *Handbook of Biophotonics, Vol. 2: Photonics for Health Care*: Wiley-VCH; 2011. p. 1047-51.
10. Sivagurunathan K, Abrams S, Garcia J, et al. Using PTR-LUM ("The Canary System™") for in-vivo detection of dental caries: clinical trial results. 55th ORCA Conference. Montpellier, France; 2010.
11. Sivagurunathan K, Hellen A, Silvertown JD, et al. Detection, Monitoring and Imaging Dental Erosion with The Canary Lab. *J Dent Res* 2013;92:2026.
12. Matvienko A, Mandelis A, Hellen A, et al. Quantitative Analysis of Incipient Mineral Loss in Hard Tissues. Paper presented at: SPIE BiOS, 2009; San Jose, USA.
13. Jeon JG, Hellen A, Matvienko A, et al. Experimental Investigation of Demineralization and Remineralization of Human Teeth Using Infrared Photothermal Radiometry and Modulated Luminescence. *Proc SPIE* 2008;6856:68560B.
14. Hellen A, Matvienko A, Abrams S. Theoretical Analysis of Dental Demineralization using Photothermal Radiometry. *Proc SPIE* 2008;6865:68560W1-9.
15. Hellen A, Mandelis A, Finer Y. Photothermal Radiometry and Modulated Luminescence Examination of Demineralized and Remineralized Lesions. *J Phys Conf Ser* 2010;2014(1):012024.
16. Hellen A, Mandelis A, Finer Y, Amaechi BT. Quantitative examination of demineralized and remineralized dental lesions using photothermal radiometry and modulated luminescence. *Proc SPIE* 2010;7548:75484G.
17. Jan J, Baker WW, Mathews SM, et al. Proximal caries lesion detection using the Canary Caries Detection System: an in vitro study. *J Investig Clin Dent* 2015:in press.
18. Amaechi BT, Higham SM. In vitro remineralisation of eroded enamel lesions by saliva. *J Dent* 2001;29(5):371-6.
19. Qvist V. Longevity of restorations: the 'death spiral'. In: Fejerskov O, Kidd EA, editors. *Dental caries: the disease and its clinical management*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Munksgaard; 2008. p. 444-55.
20. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet* 2007;369(9555):51-9.

21. Fisher J, Johnston SC, Hewson N, et al. FDI Global Caries Initiative; implementing a paradigm shift in dental practice and the global policy context. *Int Dent J* 2012;in press.
22. Kind L, Dziadowiec I, Schulz G, et al. Comparing remineralization of natural and artificial carious lesions in human teeth. *SPIE Smart Structures/NDE*. San Diego; 2014.
23. Alkilzy M, Tarabaih A, Splieth C. Efficacy, Clinical Applicability and Safety, of Curodont(TM) Repair in Children with Early Occlusal Caries. *Caries Res* 2015;49(4):311.
24. Aggeli A, Bell M, Boden N, et al. Responsive gels formed by the spontaneous self-assembly of peptides into polymeric beta-sheet tapes. *Nature* 1997;386(6622):259-62.
25. Aggeli A, Bell M, Carrick LM, et al. pH as a trigger of peptide beta-sheet self-assembly and reversible switching between nematic and isotropic phases. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125(32):9619-28.
26. Aggeli A, Nyrkova IA, Bell M, et al. Hierarchical self-assembly of chiral rod-like molecules as a model for peptide beta -sheet tapes, ribbons, fibrils, and fibers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98(21):11857-62.
27. Jeon RJ, Hellen A, Matvienko A, et al. In vitro detection and quantification of enamel and root caries using infrared photothermal radiometry and modulated luminescence. *J Biomed Opt* 2008;13(3):034025.
28. Jeon RJ, Matvienko A, Mandelis A, et al. Detection of interproximal demineralized lesions on human teeth in vitro using frequency-domain infrared photothermal radiometry and modulated luminescence. *J Biomed Opt* 2007;12(3):034028.




Figure 1. Representative Control Group (untreated) sample. (A) Time-course photographs of tooth surface examined. Visually, the tooth surface did not change in appearance over the treatment period. (B) Sites examined by scanning. Sound sites are outlined by green circles, and carious sites are outlined by black circles. (C) Polarized light microscopy image of examination site B at Day 50. The lesion was 605 μm in depth. (D) Time-course of Canary Lab images of the tooth were comparable throughout treatment period.




Figure 2. Representative Treatment Group tooth sample treated with P₁₁₋₄. (A) Time-course photographs of tooth surface examined. Visually, the tooth surface did not change in appearance over the treatment period. (B) Sites examined by scanning. Sound sites are outlined by green circles, and carious sites are outlined by black circles. (C) Polarized light microscopy image of examination site B at Day 50. The lesion was 550 μm in depth. (D) Time-course of Canary Lab images of tooth. Remineralization of the treated tooth structures were reflected in the Canary Lab images by the fact that colors representing higher Canary Numbers (orange/red) were replaced with colors representing lower Canary Numbers (yellow/green).




Figure 3. Representative Placebo Group sample. Placebo Group samples were treated with an identical formulation to the test group but without P₁₁₋₄ after preparation of the site. (A) Time-course photographs of tooth surface examined. Visually, the tooth surface did not change in appearance over the treatment period. (B) Sites examined by scanning. Sound sites are outlined by green circles, and carious sites are outlined by black circles. (C) Polarized light microscopy image of examination site at Day 50. The lesion was 311 μm in depth. (D) Canary Lab images of tooth were comparable throughout treatment period.




Figure 4. Mean Canary Numbers from each group plotted over time. Untreated Group: no treatment; Treated Group: samples treated with P₁₁₋₄; Placebo Group: samples were treated with an identical formulation as the test group but without P₁₁₋₄ after preparation of the site. CNs from all sound sites among all groups remained in the healthy zone (≤ 20). CNs from carious sites in the Placebo and Untreated groups remained in the decayed zone (>20). CNs from carious sites treated with P₁₁₋₄ exhibit a significant and sustained decrease starting at day 30. Asterisks (*) represent statistically significant differences in Canary Numbers compared to baseline. Statistically significant differences were determined by Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test ($p < 0.05$).

	BASELINE	D0	D7	D14	D30	D50
Caries-Sites						
UNTREATED VS P ₁₁₋₄	0.0	-0.8	-6.4	-9.5	-19.8	-22.4
UNTREATED VS PLACEBO	0.0	-0.1	0.1	-0.3	-0.7	-2.0
PLACEBO VS P ₁₁₋₄	0.0	-0.7	-6.5	-9.3	-19.0	-20.4
Sound Enamel-Sites						
UNTREATED VS P ₁₁₋₄	0.0	-1.7	-3.2	-0.7	-0.7	-2.4
UNTREATED VS PLACEBO	0.0	-0.9	-1.8	1.1	-0.7	-2.0
PLACEBO VS P ₁₁₋₄	0.0	-0.8	-1.3	-1.8	0.0	-0.4

Table 1. Changes of the Canary Number within one group compared to other groups. Statistically significant values ($p < 0.05$) in bold and highly significant values ($p < 0.001$) in bold and italic.

Group	Visually Sound Sites		Visually Carious Sites	
	Number of Sites Confirmed by PLM	Average Lesion Depth (μm) of Sites Identified as Carious	Number of Sites Confirmed by PLM	Average Lesion Depth (μm)
CONTROL (UNTREATED)	10/10 (100%)	0	9/9 (100%)	527 \pm 212
PLACEBO	6/10 (60%)	272 \pm 136	9/9 (100%)	468 \pm 215
P ₁₁₋₄ -TREATED	13/14 (93%)	250	10/10 (100%)	732 \pm 424

Table 2. Polarized light microscopy (PLM) results of tooth samples. PLM was employed to validate the presence or absence of caries at scanned sites.