



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/109135/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Amodio, A, Adedeji, AF, Castronovo, M et al. (2016) PH-Controlled Assembly of DNA Tiles. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 138 (39). pp. 12735-12738. ISSN: 0002-7863

<https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b07676>

© 2016, American Chemical Society. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

pH-controlled assembly of DNA tiles

Alessia Amodio, Abimbola Feyisara Adedeji, Matteo Castronovo, Elisa Franco, and Francesco Ricci

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b07676 • Publication Date (Web): 15 Sep 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on September 16, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

pH-controlled assembly of DNA tiles

Alessia Amodio,¹ Abimbola Feyisara Adedeji,^{2,3} Matteo Castronovo,^{2,3,4} Elisa Franco⁵ and Francesco Ricci^{1,*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Rome, Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133, Rome, Italy; ² PhD School of Nanotechnology, Department of Physics, University of Trieste, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste, Italy; ³ Department of Medical and Biological Sciences, University of Udine, Piazzale Kolbe 4, 33100 Udine, Italy; ⁴ School of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK; ⁵ Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521;

*Corresponding author: francesco.ricci@uniroma2.it

ABSTRACT:

We demonstrate a strategy to trigger and finely control the assembly of supramolecular DNA nanostructures with pH. Control is achieved via a rationally designed strand displacement circuit that responds to pH, and activates a downstream DNA tile self-assembly process. We observe that the DNA structures form under neutral/basic conditions, while the self-assembly process is suppressed under acidic conditions. The strategy presented here demonstrates a modular approach towards building systems capable of processing biochemical inputs and finely controlling the assembly of DNA-based nanostructures under isothermal conditions. In particular, the presented architecture is relevant for the development of complex DNA devices able to sense and respond to molecular markers associated with abnormal metabolism.

In Nature, several vital cellular tasks, such as the formation of the cell membrane or of stable host-guest complexes rely on thermodynamically driven molecular assembly processes based on relatively weak interactions.¹ The assembly of such complexes is usually finely controlled by a series of biological inputs and molecular cues.² Inspired by this observation, researchers in the field of supramolecular chemistry have exploited non-covalent interactions to achieve controlled self-assembly of synthetic moieties and to build complex nanostructures of defined geometries.^{1,3} Because of its predictable base-pairing interactions and its low synthesis cost, DNA represents one of the best biomaterials to design and assemble complex structures with nanoscale features. Such structures have reached a level of complexity that would have been impossible to imagine 20 years ago: using synthetic DNA oligonucleotides we can now build 2D and 3D nano-scale objects with virtually arbitrary shape.^{4,5} Input-responsive DNA assemblies, engineered to exhibit functional dynamic behaviors such as opening and closing or moving in response to biochemical inputs, have been also built.⁶

One of the current limitations of responsive DNA nanostructures is that responses are generally encoded in the structure itself (for instance, via input-responsive domains or aptamers), and can be modulated exclusively as

a function of the input concentration or intensity. For example, DNA nanofabrication has been controlled directly using small synthetic ligands or light irradiation.^{7,8} Finer control of assembly is desirable in many applications, such as sensing, metabolic engineering⁹ or nanomanufacturing. This limitation can be mitigated by using input-triggerable DNA strand displacement circuits to control assembly.¹⁰ Here, we demonstrate the viability of this approach with a pH triggerable DNA catalyst to control the assembly of DNA-based nanostructures.

We focus on DNA structures self-assembling from DNA tiles, one of the best-characterized approaches to build scalable DNA architectures.^{11,12} In this strategy, DNA strands are designed to form rigid building blocks, called tiles (Fig. S1i) that can self-assemble into lattices, ribbons, or tubular structures through single-stranded overhangs. DNA tile assembly can be triggered by an upstream DNA strand displacement circuit¹⁰: inactive (protected) DNA tiles can be activated (deprotected) by the output of a DNA catalytic circuit,¹³ which is in turn triggered by a DNA catalyst molecule. This approach allows the isothermal assembly of DNA nanostructures, achieving control of the composition and timing of the process.^{14,15}

To achieve pH-controlled, circuit-tunable assembly of DNA nanostructures we re-engineered a DNA strand displacement catalytic circuit (Fig. 1) to be responsive to pH, and we used it to direct a well-known DNA tile self-assembly process based on double-crossover tiles (Fig. S1i). pH-control is achieved by taking advantage of the well characterized pH sensitivity of triplex DNA, which requires the protonation of the N₃ of cytosine in the third strand to form (average pK_a of cytosines in triplex structure is ≈ 6.5).¹⁶ Specifically, we designed a pH-dependent substrate (Fig. 1, purple strand) that prevents the strand displacement reaction with the Catalyst strand (C) at acidic pH, due to the formation of Hoogsteen interactions in addition to the Watson-Crick base-pairings. Only at basic pHs (when Hoogsteen interactions are destabilized), the accessibility of the DNA substrate, needed for enabling such strand displacement circuit, is restored. In turn, tile assembly can occur efficiently upon Catalyst addition (Fig. 1, right) under these pH conditions.




Figure 1. pH-controlled self-assembly of DNA tiles. We have achieved pH-controlled DNA tile assembly by coupling an upstream re-engineered pH-controlled circuit with a downstream DNA tile self-assembly process. 1) In the pH-dependent upstream circuit a Catalyst (C) binds to a pH-dependent substrate leading to the release of a Deprotector strand (D). 2) The deprotector strand, in turn, activates a downstream self-assembly reaction by irreversibly associating with a protected tile (PT). This leads to reactive double crossover tiles (RT) self-assembling into lattices and nanotubes (right). The pH-dependent substrate is implemented with a clamp-like triplex-forming DNA strand that, under acidic pHs, can form a triplex complex inhibiting the strand displacement reaction with the Catalyst.

The designed upstream pH-dependent circuit can be finely controlled with pH. To demonstrate this, we have characterized in isolation the pH-dependent strand displacement circuit, by using an external, optically labelled reporter (R) that stoichiometrically reacts with the liberated Deprotector strand (D) (Fig. 2a). We have first characterized the pH-dependency of the substrate complex by employing a triplex forming strand (T) (responsible for the substrate formation) labelled with a fluorophore and a quencher (Fig. 2b, left). This allows us to monitor the folding and unfolding of the triplex structure at different pHs (Fig. 2b, right). As expected, under acidic pHs (favouring triplex formation) a low fluorescence signal is observed, suggesting folding of the triplex complex. By increasing the solution's pH the fluorescence signal increases, consistently with the destabilization of Hoogsteen interactions (Fig. 2a, right). pH of semiprotonation (the average pKa due to several interacting protonation sites) for this triplex complex is 7.5, which is in agreement with previous observations of similar triplex forming sequences.^{16,17}

pH-dependent triplex formation in the substrate of the downstream catalytic network allows rational control of the output concentration by simply changing the solution's pH. At pH 5.0, which is acidic enough for having the clamp-like strand forming a triplex, inactive complex, the addition of the Catalyst strand (C) results in no significant fluorescence change (Fig. 2c, left). This suggests that the circuit is fully suppressed. At pH 8.0, which inhibits triplex formation, strand displacement successfully proceeds with a fast kinetic upon catalyst's addition (Fig. 2c, right). The activation level of the circuit can be modulated by changing the pH of the solution (Fig. 2d and SI2) or the Catalyst concentration (Fig. SI3). However, probably due to the presence of the triplex forming sequence, the circuit catalytic efficiency is poorer than previously reported (Fig. SI4).¹⁰ At high catalyst concentration, the complete circuit converts the gradual pH-dependency shown in Fig. 2b into a digital-like response; in contrast, at low catalyst concentration the circuit response remains gradual. A control experiment involving a pH-independent substrate where the triplex forming portion has been substituted with a random sequence unable to form a triplex structure, shows no effect of pH over the entire pH range explored, and over a wide range of catalyst concentrations (Fig. 2d, right, grey dots; Fig. SI5, SI6 and SI7).




Figure 2. (a) Upstream pH-dependent DNA circuit. (b) Triplex formation in the pH-dependent substrate complex was studied by incorporating a pH-insensitive FRET pair at the ends of the clamp-like triplex forming strand (left), and measuring the fluorescence signal at different pHs (right). Stable triplex formation is observed only at pHs below 7.0. (c) The pH-dependent triplex complex in the substrate inhibits strand displacement reaction upon catalyst addition (pH 5.0, left). At basic pHs the destabilization of Hoogsteen interactions leads to substrate activation, which allows strand displacement reaction in the presence of D (pH 8.0, right). (d) The pH-dependence of the catalyst/substrate reaction can be finely controlled at different pHs. Here, strand displacement reaction is followed by fluorescence measurements in a solution

containing the pH-dependent substrate (10 nM), the fuel strand (F) (20 nM) and an external, optically-labelled reporter (30 nM) (see experimental section) that stoichiometrically reacts with the released deprotector strand (D) to give a fluorescence signal. The catalyst was added at a high concentration (30 nM) to better highlight pH-dependence of the circuit. All experiments were performed in a TAE 1x buffer + 15 mM MgCl₂, at 25° C with the pH adjusted using small aliquots of HCl (1 M) and NaOH (1 M). Error bars here and in the following figures represent the average and standard deviations (average RSD% = 6%) of three independent measurements.

The pH controllable catalyst can be used to direct the assembly of DNA nanostructures using pH. To do this we have interconnected the above-characterized pH-dependent circuit with a DNA tile self-assembly process.^{10,11a,11b,12} Fluorescence microscopy images (Fig. 3, top) and AFM images (Fig. 3, center) confirm that tiles assemble only at neutral/basic pHs, while no assemblies are observed over the same reaction time at acidic pHs (pH 5.0 and 6.0). Tile assembly largely yields tubular structures according to fluorescence microscopy and AFM images. As a control experiment we used a pH-independent substrate and observed assembly of DNA tiles in the entire pH range investigated (Fig. 3, bottom). Moreover, statistical analysis shows that the length and yield of nanotubes formed with the pH-dependent substrate under basic conditions (average length = 0.91 μm and yield = 23±10%, at pH 8.0) is comparable to those of the control pH-independent substrate at both pH 8.0 (length = 0.75 μm and yield = 12±6%) and 5.0 (length = 0.79 μm and yield = 19±12%) (for details see experimental section and Fig. S18). As a further demonstration that pH does not affect the downstream tile assembly reaction, we have exogenously added the Deprotector to a solution containing protected tiles and observed pH-independent tile assembly (Fig. S19). Conversely, the absence of Catalyst leads to no nanotubes formation (Fig. S10).




Figure 3. pH-dependent self-assembly of DNA tiles. (Top) The upstream, pH-dependent DNA circuit coupled with a downstream tile self-assembly process (Fig. 1) allows to control DNA tile self-

assembly with pH. At acidic pHs (pH 5.0, 6.0) no formation of assemblies is observed with optical fluorescence microscopy. By increasing the pH of the solution (pH 7.0, 8.0) we achieve evident formation of DNA lattices. (Center) pH-dependent lattices were also imaged with atomic force microscopy (AFM). (Bottom) A control experiment using a pH-independent substrate (unable to form a pH-dependent triplex structure (Fig. S15)) leads to pH-independent assembly of DNA tiles. All the experiments shown here and in the following figure were performed using the following concentrations of reagents: Protected Tile (PT) (200 nM), Fuel (F) (440 nM), pH dependent substrate or control substrate (220 nM) and Catalyst (C) (20 nM). The assembly was achieved in TAE 1x buffer + 15 mM MgCl₂, at 25° C with the pH adjusted using small aliquots of HCl (1 M) and NaOH (1 M). For all the fluorescence microscopy experiments, a cy3-labeled tile central strand (t₄, see SI) was used to detect nanotubes formation. AFM images of the pre-adsorbed nanostructures on freshly cleaved mica (see SI) were obtained with AC mode in TAE 1x buffer + 15 mM MgCl₂ buffer, with 1 Hz scan rate, 256 pixel X 256 pixel image definition and processed with second order flattening.

The kinetics of DNA tile assembly was studied with AFM. Images derived from the reaction samples (upstream pH-dependent circuit + protected tiles) at different intervals after the addition of the Catalyst strand were obtained. No tiles assembly at pH 5.0 (Fig. 4, top) and pH 6.0 (Fig. S11) was observed during the entire time-frame investigated. At pH 8.0 a substantial amount of assembled tiles can be observed within 30 minutes after Catalyst addition (Fig. 4, bottom). We note that assembly of small lattices at pH 5.0 was observed under AFM after 4 days since the start of the reaction, presumably due to leak of the Deprotector from the substrate (Fig. S12).¹⁰




Figure 4. Kinetic of pH-dependent self-assembly of DNA tiles. The self-assembly of DNA tiles was followed by AFM images at different time intervals. No tile assembly is observed within the time-frame of the experiment at pH 5.0. In contrast, at basic pH (pH 8.0), DNA lattices can be clearly observed after just 15 minutes from Catalyst addition. See Fig. 3 for experimental details.

In this work we have demonstrated a modular architecture to regulate the self-assembly of DNA nanostructures with the sole change of pH. We did so by integrating an upstream pH-dependent strand displacement circuit into an already-characterized, downstream DNA tile self-assembly process. Our architecture is potentially relevant in biomedical applications of DNA nanotechnology. For example, pH dysregulation is a hallmark of several diseases,¹⁸ including cancer. The availability of pH-triggerable DNA nanostructures will have intriguing potential applications in drug-delivery research.¹⁹ Moreover, because we have recently demonstrated that the pH-dependence of triplex DNA could be finely regulated by simply changing the triplex-forming sequence,^{16b}

we anticipate the possibility of programming the assembly of different DNA nanostructures at different pH thresholds. Finally, many enzymes are known that catalyze either proton-producing or proton-consuming reactions.²⁰ Therefore, different enzymes and enzymatic substrates could be used as molecular, functional inputs to control the isothermal assembly of DNA nanostructures.

The approach described here could also be expanded to consider a wider range of molecular inputs controlling the self-assembly of supramolecular structures. In fact, apart from pH, it is in principle possible to engineer upstream DNA strand displacement circuits activated by the presence of proteins, antibodies or other relevant biomolecular inputs so that the downstream self-assembly process is input-specific. In principle, logic or dynamic circuits could replace the catalytic network we considered, providing the system with expandable signal processing capacity. Finally, because strand displacement circuits can be designed to be highly specific and to respond orthogonally to a specific input, it would be possible to control the simultaneous assembly of different structures using multiple inputs in a highly programmable fashion, and thus leading to a better temporal and spatial regulation of the assembly processes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro, AIRC (project n. 14420) (FR), by the European Research Council, ERC (project n.336493) (FR), (project n.269051-Monalisa's Quidproquo) (MC), by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN) (FR), and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award Number DE-SC0010595 (EF).


SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE


Supporting methods, figures. This material is available free of charge at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES


- (1) Mattia, E.; Otto, S. *Nat Nanotechnol* **2015**, *10*, 111.
- (2) (a) Desai, A.; Mitchison, T. J. *Cell Dev. Biol.* **1997**, *13*, 83. (b) Lloyd, C.; Chan, J. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2004**, *5*, 13. (c) Li, R.; Gundersen, G. G. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2008**, *9*, 446.
- (3) (a) Li, J.; Nowak, P.; Otto, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9222. (b) Subhabrata, M.; Fortuna, I.; Ferrante, C.; Scrimin, P.; Prins J. L. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 725.
- (4) (a) He, Y.; Tian, Y.; Ribbe, A. E.; Mao, C. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 15978. (b) Ke, Y.; Ong, L. L.; Shih, W. M.; Yin, P. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 1177. (c) Wei, B.; Dai, M.; Yin, P. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 623. (d) Zhao, Z.; Liu, Y.; Yan, H. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 2997.
- (5) (a) Fu, J.; Liu, M.; Liu, Y.; Woodbury, N. W.; Yan, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5516. (b) Fu, J.; Yang, Y. R.; Johnson-Buck, A.; Liu, M.; Liu, Y.; Walter, N. G.; Woodbury, N. W.; Yan, H. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *9*, 531.
- (6) (a) Douglas, S. M.; Bachelet, I.; Church, G. M. *Science* **2012**, *335*, 831. (b) Gerling, T.; Wagenbauer, K. F.; Neuner, A. M.; Dietz, H. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 1446.
- (7) (a) Liu, Z.; Li, Y.; Tian, C.; Mao, C. *Biomacromolecules* **2013**, *14*, 1711. (b) McLaughlin, C. K.; Graham, D. H.; Sleiman, H. F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5647. (c) Yang, Y.; Endo, M.; Hidaka, K.; Sugiyama, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20645.
- (8) (a) Dohno, C.; Atsumi, H.; Nakatani, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 3499. (b) Han, D.; Huang, J.; Zhu, Z.; Tuan, Q.; You, M.; Chen, Y.; Tan, W. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 4670. (c) Yang, Y.; Endo, M.; Hidaka, K.; Sugiyama, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20645.
- (9) Delebecque, C. J.; Lindner, A. B.; Silver, P. A.; Aldaye, F. A. *Science* **2011**, *333*, 470.
- (10) Zhang, D. Y.; Hariadi, R. F.; Choi, H. M. T.; Winfree, E. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1965.
- (11) (a) Mao, C., LaBean, T. H., Reif, J. H. & Seeman, N. C. *Nature* **2000**, *407*, 493. (b) Winfree, E., Liu, F., Wenzler, L. A.; Seeman, N. C. *Nature* **1998**, *394*, 539. (c) Zhang, S.; Fu, T. J.; Seeman, N. C. *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 8062.
- (12) (a) Chen, H.; Weng, T.-W.; Riccitelli, M. M.; Cui, Y.; Irudayaraj, J.; Choi, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6995. (b) Rothmund, P. W. K., Ekani-Nkodo, A., Papadakis, N., Fyngenson, D. K.; Winfree, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 16344.
- (13) (a) Zhang, D. Y.; Seelig, G. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 103. (b) Zhang, D. Y.; Turberfield, A. J.; Yurke, B.; Winfree, E. *Science* **2007**, *318*, 1121.
- (14) (a) Bath, J.; Turberfield, A. J. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2007**, *2*, 275. (b) Krishnan, Y.; Simmel, F. C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3124.
- (15) (a) Seelig, G.; Soloveichik, D.; Zhang, D. Y.; Winfree, E. *Science* **2006**, *314*, 1585. (b) Turberfield, A. J.; Mitchell, J. C.; Yurke, B.; Mills, A. P., Jr.; Blakey, M. I.; Simmel, F. C. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *90*, 118102/1. (c) Wang, F.; Lu, C.-H.; Willner, I. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 2881.
- (16) (a) Amodio, A.; Bin, Z.; Porchetta, A.; Idili, A.; Castronovo, M.; Fan, C.; Ricci, F. *J Am Chem Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16469. (b) Idili, A.; Vallée-Bélisle, A.; Ricci, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5836.
- (17) Porchetta, A.; Idili, A.; Vallée-Bélisle, A.; Ricci, F. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 4467.
- (18) Webb, B. A.; Chimenti, M.; Jacobson, M. P.; Barber, D. L. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2011**, *11*, 671.
- (19) (a) Sellner, S.; Kocabey, S.; Nekolla, K.; Krombach, F.; Liedl, T.; Rehberg, M. *Biomaterials* **2015**, *53*, e453.
- (20) Del Grosso, E.; Dallaire, A. M.; Vallée-Bélisle, A.; Ricci, F. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 8407.

TOC Graphic







653x181mm (300 x 300 DPI)




358x588mm (300 x 300 DPI)



pH-dependent substrate (epifluorescence microscope)




pH-dependent substrate (AFM)




Control (epifluorescence microscope)

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

255x241mm (150 x 150 DPI)



383x172mm (300 x 300 DPI)



85x44mm (300 x 300 DPI)