



The  
University  
Of  
Sheffield.

This is a repository copy of *Improving nebuliser adherence in an adult CF centre - differences in unadjusted adherence vs normative adherence*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/107372/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Hoo, Z., Curley, R., Walters, S. et al. (2 more authors) (2016) Improving nebuliser adherence in an adult CF centre - differences in unadjusted adherence vs normative adherence. *Pediatric Pulmonology*, 51 (S45). S449-S449. ISSN 8755-6863

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23576>

---

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Improving nebuliser adherence in an adult CF centre - differences in unadjusted adherence vs normative adherence, Hoo, Z. et al, Poster Session Abstracts (2016) *Pediatr Pulmonol.*, 51: S449, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.23576>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

CONTROL ID: 2534461

CONTACT (NAME ONLY): MJ Wildman

### Abstract Details

PRESENTATION TYPE: Poster

CURRENT CATEGORY: PSYCHOSOCIAL/BEHAVIORAL

KEYWORDS: adherence, quality improvement.

AWARDS:

### Abstract

**TITLE:** IMPROVING NEBULISER ADHERENCE IN AN ADULT CF CENTRE - DIFFERENCES IN UNADJUSTED ADHERENCE VS NORMATIVE ADHERENCE

**AUTHORS (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME):** Hoo, ZH <sup>1, 2</sup>; Curley, R <sup>2, 1</sup>; Walters, SJ <sup>1</sup>; Campbell, MJ <sup>1</sup>; Wildman, MJ <sup>2, 1</sup>

### **INSTITUTIONS (ALL):**

1. ScHARR, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, United Kingdom.

2. Sheffield Adult CF Centre, Northern General Hospital, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, United Kingdom.

### **ABSTRACT BODY:**

#### **Abstract Body: Background**

Interventions to support preventative inhaled therapy adherence are important for effective self-management.

Treatment rationalisation can reduce burden and potentially improve adherence but may lead to suboptimal treatment regime. Defining a minimum treatment regime[1] given a person's characteristics (normative regime) provides a helpful metric to understand adherence rate over time and may well differ from measures of unadjusted adherence that provide less precision in estimating quality of care.

### **Aim**

To explore the impact of different adherence definitions (unadjusted vs normative) as adherence changed in an adult CF centre between 2013 & 2014

### **Methods**

This is a retrospective analysis of the objective nebuliser adherence data measured with chipped I-neb nebulisers for 2013 & 2014 in the Sheffield Adult CF Centre. People just on non-chipped devices for inhaled therapy, on ivacaftor or with previous lung transplantation were excluded.

Unadjusted adherence was calculated as a percentage of the agreed regimen between clinicians and people with CF. Normative adherence, which takes into account a person's characteristics when defining the minimum required treatment regime, was calculated with previously described methods.[1] For example, the denominator for a person with chronic pseudomonas (requires mucolytic & antibiotic) who only agreed to use nebulised dornase alfa once daily is 1 neb/day in the calculation of % agreed adherence; but the denominator is 3 neb/day in the calculation of % normative adherence.[1]

### **Results**

89/166 (50.3%) adults were on I-neb in 2013, which increased to 97/170 (54.8%) in 2014. There was general improvement across all adherence metrics.

79 adults were on I-neb for both years. Among this cohort, 7 had regime rationalisation while 10 had regime augmentation. Those with regime rationalisation have lower normative adherence in 2013 compared to those with regime augmentation (median 20.7%, IQR 15.8-56.1% vs median 42.8%, IQR 4.4-86.5%). Regime rationalisation was associated with improved unadjusted adherence (median change 7.1%, IQR -11.0% to 10.0%), but there was reduction in both normative adherence (median change -0.8%, IQR -10.2% to 2.5%) and total nebuliser used per week (median change -0.2, IQR -3.3 to 1.2). Regime augmentation had the opposite effect (median change in unadjusted adherence -5.0%, IQR -15.2% to 20.5%; median change in normative adherence 5.9%, IQR -3.3% to 27.6%; median change in total nebuliser used per week 1.6, IQR -0.6 to 3.8).

## Conclusions

There was a genuine improvement in the use of preventative nebuliser among adults with CF in Sheffield between 2013 and 2014. It is however crucial to consider the metric used to measure adherence for the purpose of quality improvement. Regime rationalisation, which is commonly instituted when adherence is low, can appear to improve unadjusted adherence without actually improving the overall nebuliser use.

[1] Hoo ZH et al, Patient Prefer Adherence 2016; 10: 1-14

Adherence metrics in 2013 & 2014					
.	% Unadjusted adherence Median (IQR)	% Normative adherence Median (IQR)	Wilcoxon signed rank test comparing % unadjusted adherence vs % normative adherence	Total nebuliser used per week Median (IQR)	Number of people with inadequate regime (%)
2013 (N = 89)	36.9 (19.1 to 64.7)	32.8 (14.5 to 58.1)	< 0.001	7.3 (3.2 to 13.6)	25 (28.1%)
2014 (N = 97)	44.9 (19.3 to 77.1)	39.6 (14.6 to 65.4)	< 0.001	7.8 (3.4 to 16.1)	20 (20.6%)

(No Image Selected)