This is a repository copy of *Individual variation in corticosterone and personality traits in the blue tit Cyanistes caeruleus*.

Article:

Arnold, Kathryn E. orcid.org/0000-0002-6485-6065, Herborn, Katherine A., Henderson, Lindsay J. et al. (2 more authors) (2016) Individual variation in corticosterone and personality traits in the blue tit Cyanistes caeruleus. Behaviour. 1611–1637. ISSN 0005-7959

1

Individual variation in corticosterone and personality

traits in the blue tit *Cyanistes caeruleus*

4

5   Kathryn E. Arnold[a], Katherine A. Herborn[b,], Lindsay J. Henderson[b], Aileen Adam[c], Lucille Alexander[d, e]

6   and Neil Evans[c]

7

8   [a] Environment Department, University of York, YO10 5DD, UK

9   [b] Centre for Behaviour and Evolution, IoN, Newcastle University, Henry Wellcome Building,

10   Framlington Place, Newcastle NE2 4HH, UK

11   [c] Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, School of Life Sciences, College

12   of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow Glasgow G12 8QQ

13   [d] WALTHAM® Centre for Pet Nutrition, Waltham-on-the-Wolds, UK

14   [e] Current Address: Enterprise and Business Development Office, University of Leicester,

15   University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

16

17   Running headline: Stress hormones and personality traits

18

19   Tel. +44 1904 322997

20   Email: Kathryn.Arnold@york.ac.uk

1 **Summary**

2

3 Variation in personality traits is predicted to reflect physiology, but the extent to which variations in

4 stress hormones derive from differences in personality and/or state-dependent factors remains

5 unclear. To investigate this, wild blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus* were briefly brought into captivity and

6 scored for personality and corticosterone (Cort) concentrations. More active females had lower

7 baseline Cort than less active individuals. Exploratory tendency and neophobia did not co-vary with

8 baseline Cort. Stress-induced Cort concentrations were correlated negatively with exploratory

9 tendency and haematocrit, but positively with mass gain in captivity. Baseline and stress-induced

10 Cort concentrations in wintering blue tits were associated with state-dependent variables sex, age

11 and personality traits. Key to interpreting the physiology of personality traits seems to be their

12 interactions with other traits that mediate ability to utilise resources, and thus influence an

13 individual's perception of its current and future energy balance.

14

Introduction

When exposed to the same environmental or social stimuli, under standardised conditions, the behavioural (Verbeek et al., 1996; Gosling, 2001) and physiological responses (Cockrem, 2007) of individuals of the same species often differ. Behavioural differences that are stable within individuals measured repeatedly across a range of situations or contexts are often referred to as 'personality traits' (Gosling, 2001). While the heritability of personality traits (Drent et al., 2003; Oers et al., 2004; Oers et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2007) suggests that they have a genetic basis, they can also be affected by early environmental conditions (e.g. (Arnold et al., 2007; Stamps & Groothuis, 2010). Similarly, both genes and early environmental conditions have been shown to affect physiological systems such as the stress axis of adults (Monaghan, 2008; Koolhaas et al., 2010). The consistent nature of these differences between individuals suggests they may contribute towards variability in fitness-related traits among individuals (Buchanan, 2000; Both et al., 2005; Blas et al., 2007; Bonier et al., 2009).

In birds, the physiological stress response is modulated by both basal levels and the acute release of the adrenal steroid corticosterone (Cort), amongst other hormones. Basal concentrations of Cort have been reported to vary in response to environmental variables such as weather (Astheimer et al., 1995; Rubenstein, 2007) food availability (Jenni-Eiermann et al., 2008), as well as state-dependent variables such as an individual's body condition (Raja-aho et al., 2010) or health status (Martin, 2009). These changes in basal Cort can trigger a series of physiological and behavioural changes that should restore homeostasis. For example the increase in Cort seen following food restriction simulates increased motivation to feed (Lohmus et al., 2003; Long & Holberton, 2004; but see Piersma et al., 2000). Chronic elevations of basal Cort, due to long term or repeated exposure to a stressor, have been reported to have negative effects, suppressing insulin production hence rendering the animal prone to fatigue and disease (reviewed in Buchanan, 2000, see also (Goutte et al., 2010Hau & Goymann, 2015). However, high basal Cort might not necessarily

1   signal challenging conditions: basal Cort also varies consistently across individuals under the same

2   conditions, with some individuals showing relatively high and others showing low levels of Cort. The

3   extent to which such differences in basal Cort reflect individual differences in personality and fitness

4   are still the focus of discussion (Bonier et al., 2009; Angelier et al., 2010; Dingemanse et al., 2010;

5   Koolhaas et al., 2010; Hau & Goymann, 2015).

6   Rapid on-set, acute stress responses evoked in response to an 'emergency' often involve an

7   immediate increase in blood glucose, to facilitate rapid behavioural reactions such as fight or flight

8   (Cockrem & Silverin, 2002a) and are generally considered to be adaptive (Buchanan, 2000). In Parids,

9   like many small passerines (Wingfield & Kitayskya, 2002), the acute stress response is generally

10 characterised by a rise in Cort that usually peaks between ten and approximately 40 minutes after

11 the stressor before declining (Cockrem & Silverin, 2002b; Henderson et al., 2014)). The level and

12 timing of the peak in Cort varies both within and between species (Bokony et al., 2009; Cockrem et

13 al., 2009; Henderson et al., 2014). Extensive blood sampling is not possible in small birds, so

14 researchers generally present data on 'stress-induced' (rather than strictly peak) Cort

15 concentrations, measured after an animal has been held for a set time under standardised restraint

16 conditions (Wingfield, 1994). As physiological responses to stress are often accompanied by changes

17 in behaviour, there is a prediction, with some supporting data, that personality types might show

18 associated consistent differences in both basal and acute Cort levels (Cockrem, 2007; Hau &

19 Goymann, 2015). These effects might be directly related, for example more neophobic juvenile rats

20 have a higher glucocorticoid response as adults (Cavigelli & McClintock, 2003), or indirect, for

21 example where personality affects the ability to acquire or control food, which might have an impact

22 on both stress-induced and baseline Cort (Koolhaas et al., 2010). Similarly, age, sex and dominance

23 status can affect resource holding potential and thus energetic balance (Gosler, 1996); Fat reserves

24 reflect a trade-off between starvation (high fat reserves are beneficial) and predation (high fat

25 reserves slow down escape flight, so are costly) resulting in great tits *Parus major* living under high

26 perceived predation risk carrying lower fat reserves than under low perceived predation risk.

1   Moreover, dominant birds (adult males) carried the least fat, presumably because they were able to

2   displace subordinate birds (juvenile females) at feeders, so had a low risk of starvation despite their

3   low fat reserves (Gentle & Gosler, 2000). Thus, age, sex and dominance status might also influence

4   both baseline and stress-induced Cort (Rubenstein, 2007). So although there is evidence of

5   correlations between an individual's personality and its glucocorticoid profile (Carere et al., 2003;

6   Cavigelli & McClintock, 2003; Cockrem, 2007; Martins et al., 2007; Cavigelli et al., 2009; Koolhaas et

7   al., 2010), causality is difficult to assign.

8   Assessments of 'personality traits' in animals result in individuals being traditionally defined

9   as falling somewhere on a linear continuum: neophobic-neophilic or bold-shy (Wilson et al., 1994);

10   aggressive-passive; (Huntingford, 1976); active-inactive (Sih et al., 1992) and fast-slow explorers

11   (Verbeek et al., 1994). Such personality traits are often highly correlated within individuals, for

12   example activity and exploration (Dingemanse et al., 2007; Martin & Reale, 2008), or boldness and

13   aggression (Bell, 2005). A commonly described trait correlation is the "proactive-reactive" syndrome

14   (Carere, 2003; Koolhaas et al., 2007). Such correlations imply proximate links between traits, via

15   genetic linkage or shared physiology (Verbeek et al., 1994). In a review, Cockrem (2007) concluded

16   that across avian species, birds with 'proactive' (bold, fast exploring, aggressive etc.) personalities

17   have relatively active behavioural responses and low stress-induced Cort concentrations, whilst birds

18   with reactive personalities have relatively passive behaviour and large stress-induced Cort

19   concentrations. However, correlations can also occur between traits that are not mechanistically

20   connected but rather subject to the same selection pressures or jointly determined by other factors

21   (Bell & Sih, 2007; Dingemanse et al., 2007; Ruiz-Gomez et al., 2008; Carere et al., 2010).

22   Furthermore, not all species show correlations between such suites of behaviours (e.g. (Bell & Sih,

23   2007; Herborn et al., 2010; Herborn et al., 2011) which would suggest that the regulation of these

24   traits may differ between species. Thus, while neophobia and exploratory tendency are correlated in

25   many but not all species (Herborn et al., 2010) they could differ in their associations with baseline

26   and stress-induced Cort concentrations within individuals and across populations. This is in line with

1   Cockrem et al.'s (2009) assertion that there is no optimum Cort response for all conditions that

2   maximises fitness.

3   Whether or not an individual's hormonal stress response is a function of environmental and

4   state-dependent variables or associated with personality is important for understanding the

5   mechanisms underlying fitness variation. In this study, we investigated the environmental, state-

6   dependent and behavioural factors that might be associated with inter-individual differences in

7   baseline and stress-induced Cort in wild blue tits *Cyanistes caeruleus*. In contrast to studies of the

8   closely related great tit (Verbeek et al., 1996), we have previously shown that neophobia and

9   exploratory tendency are uncorrelated in blue tits (Herborn et al., 2010). This would suggest that in

10   the blue tit these traits can potentially differ in their relationships with basal and/or stress-induced

11   Cort. Moreover, we have found that personality types differed in antioxidant defences, and it was

12   the combination of an individual's personality traits that proved important (Arnold et al., 2015). This

13   suggests that the physiology underlying personality traits is complex. Here, we specifically addressed

14   whether an individual's baseline or stress-induced plasma Cort concentrations were correlated with:

15   1) weather variables on the day of capture, as these would affect opportunities to forage and thus

16   metabolic state; 2) personality traits (neophobia and two measures of behaviour in a novel

17   environment: exploratory tendency and activity); 3) state-dependent variables (condition,

18   haematocrit, change in mass in captivity and motivation to feed) and permanent inter-individual

19   differences (or variables that would not change within a season i.e sex, age and size), as these may

20   also affect foraging opportunities in the wild and therefore hormonal status.

21

22   **Material and methods**

23

24   The study was conducted over two winters between 2007 and 2009 on a population of blue tits

25   living in oak dominated woodland on the east bank of Loch Lomond, UK (56°08'N 4°37'W) (Arnold et

26   al., 2007). In October 2007, eight feeding stations were established at approximately 500m intervals

1   (Herborn et al., 2010). These feeding stations were removed at the end of February 2008 and

2   reinstalled in the same positions between October 2008 and February 2009. Between November and

3   February, birds were captured as they approached the feeding stations, using mist-nets. Mist-netting

4   was conducted between dawn and noon, three times at each feeding station in the winter of 2007-8

5   and twice in 2008-9. Birds arrived in captivity generally between 10:00 and 12:00, within 15 minutes

6   journey time from their capture site. A total of 125 blue tits were caught, with 69 birds (54 male: 15

7   female) for which we had a Cort sample and a full set of behavioural data being included in this

8   study. For the other 56 individuals, in most cases we did not have a large enough blood sample to

9   run the Cort analyses as well as other planned blood assays, in a few cases the bird did not perform

10   properly in one of the behavioural trials so had to be excluded and/or a morphometric measurement

11   was missing. This sex ratio bias reflected that of the population of birds caught at the feeders

12   (Herborn et al., 2010). Birds were then taken into captivity for 2 days in 2007/8 and 3 days in 2008/9.

13   In 2007/8 roughly two hours after blood sampling, birds were then released at the site of capture. In

14   2008/9, birds were released on day 3 following the second exploration trial.

15

16
     Environmental variables on the day of capture

17   Variables that may have affected foraging immediately prior to capture and hence metabolic state

18   were recorded. These included day length, rainfall (mm) and minimum and maximum temperature

19   for the day of capture. Weather data were collated from records for Glasgow Bishopton sent to us

20   by the Met office.

21

22
     Personality traits

23   After removal from the mist-net, birds were held in cloth bags and transported, up to 3km, to the

24   Scottish Centre for Ecology and the Natural Environment. After arrival birds were scored for their

25   morphometrics and housed indoors, at a temperature of 17°C±1°C on a 12:12 hour light:dark cycle

26   (to enable us to conduct all of the tests and minimise the time the birds would be held in captivity

whilst standardising conditions across birds) . Trials ran from mid-November until the end of

January, during which time the natural daylength was at maximum 8hr30 and minimum 7hr. Each

bird was housed individually in a 150cm x 50cm x 50cm high cage, with six cages per room arranged

such that birds were visually but not acoustically occluded from one another. Birds were fed peanut

granules, Haiths' Prosecto™ insectivorous mix and water *ad libitum*, supplemented with around ten

Tenebrio molitor and two *Galleria mellonella* larvae per day. All birds were observed eating within 10

minutes of arrival in captivity. After arrival birds were left undisturbed for a minimum of 2 hours and

a maximum of 3 hours before the start of personality trait assessments. As we wanted to return the

birds to the wild as soon as possible, we did not allow the birds a long acclimation period in captivity.

We know that the personality traits measured in captivity predicted neophobia and exploratory

tendency measured for the same individuals in the wild weeks or even months later (Herborn et al.

2010). So we have some evidence that the short acclimation period was not having significant

negative impacts on our behavioural assays. Three behavioural traits, exploratory tendency, activity

and neophobia were assayed as fully described in Herborn et al (2010):

Exploratory tendency was assessed within what would become the home cage of the focal

bird. Each cage was split into two halves with an opaque cage divider (Figure S1 in Electronic

Supplementary material (ESM)). On arrival into captivity, the bird was introduced to one side of the

cage, selected at random, and allowed to acclimate for two hours thereby creating a "familiar" and,

behind the divider, a "novel" environment. To assay exploratory tendency and not neophobia, the

arrangement of plastic plants and perches was the same in each cage half, so that the novel

environment was novel only in that it was unexplored. At the start of the trial, the food bowl and any

spilt food were removed and 30 mins after that the water bowl was also removed, this was to

ensure that the birds did not spent the whole trial eating or drinking, thus making it difficult to

determine their motivation for moving. After a further 30 mins, the researcher removed the opaque

cage divider and observed the focal bird for 10 mins. Unlike other exploration trials (e.g. (Verbeek et

al., 1994), individuals had the option of remaining within the familiar environment. This allowed us

to distinguish activity due to exploration which is related to information gathering about a novel

environment, from activity due to escape behaviours which is not necessarily linked to information

gathering (Mettke-Hofmann et al., 2009).The number of movements (hop or flight) in each side of

the cage was recorded, with the endpoint of each movement defining the side of the cage: novel or

familiar. Exploratory tendency was calculated as the number of movements in the novel

environment minus the number of movements in the familiar environment. In 2008/9, the

exploratory tendency trial was repeated between 08:00 and 011:00 on day 3 of captivity before

releasing the birds. This was to allow us to calculate the repeatability of this behavioural trait. To

create a new novel environment, the paper lining the cage was changed from white to brown or vice

versa. Also, the arrangement and size of perches and artificial plant material were similar between

these cage types, but different leaf shapes were used in the brown versus white-lined cages.

Activity was derived from the same exploration trial, as the number of movements in both

the familiar and novel side of the cage. We described behaviour in the exploration trial in these two

ways to determine whether patterns relating to exploration were due to individual variation in true

exploratory tendency, as reflected by space use within the trial, or simply activity levels, as

commonly reported in other exploration studies (Verbeek et al., 1994; Martins et al., 2007).

Neophobia was assessed over two trials conducted between 13:00 and 17:00 on day 1 and

08:00 and 11:00 on day 2. Each trial had two phases: a novel object phase and a disturbance control

phase. Food and water were removed for 30 mins prior to each phase. Water was removed so that

during the experiment only one resource, food, was available. In the novel object phase, the

observer then returned the food bowl with one of two novel objects (different novel objects were

used in the two trials) placed inside. The objects were a bright pink plastic frog and half of a purple

rubber ball, of similar size (approximately 4cm diameter and 2cm height) (Figure S2 in ESM). The

latency to land on familiar food bowl was recorded. The object was then removed and the water

returned. The disturbance control phase was completed so as to allow interpretation of the results

relative to any possible differences in an individual's motivation to feed, or their response to

1   disturbance by the observer returning the food bowl to the cage (van Oers et al., 2005). Thus in a

2   random order either one hour before or after the novel object phase, the latency to feed was

3   measured by food bowl removal and replacement as before but without a novel object. Neophobia

4   was defined as the latency in the novel object phase minus the latency in the disturbance phase.

5   Mean latency to approach the food bowl was significantly greater when a novel object was present

6   (Wilcoxon Signed ranks Z = - 3.34, N = 68, p = 0.001), than when absent. Thus the presence of the

7   novel object modified behaviour so could be said to induce neophobia.

8   Repeatability of neophobia, exploratory tendency or activity scores between day 1 and day 2

9   was calculated using the mean squares from an analysis of variance, following Lessells and Boag

10   (1987). Neophobia across days 1 and 2 (ANOVA; r = 0.29, $F_{63, 68}$ = 1.811, p = 0.009), as well as

11   exploratory tendency (r = 0.27, $F_{36, 68}$ = 1.71, p = 0.04) and activity (r = 0.42, $F_{36,68}$ = 2.56, p = 0.001)

12   across days 1 and 3 were repeatable. In the following results, only data from the first of each trial

13   per individual were analysed as they were therefore considered representative of the individual's

14   personality traits but ensured no carry-over effects through learning or habituation to the

15   experiments. This also meant that we had a larger sample size for exploratory tendency and activity

16   than if we had taken a mean of both trials.

17

18   *State-dependent and permanent inter-individual differences*

19   Next, we determined whether state-dependent (i.e. changeable within a season) variables, and

20   permanent inter-individual differences (fixed at least within one season) predicted an individual's

21   Cort concentration. An individual's state was assessed as its body condition (residual of mass at

22   blood sampling against tarsus length), haematocrit and change in mass in captivity (mass at blood

23   sampling after 24 hours of captivity – mass at capture).

24   The duration of the first and second feeding bouts in each disturbance trial (see below) were

25   taken as a measure of hunger and motivation to feed. Neither of these measures differed

26   significantly between the two years, juveniles and adults or between the sexes (Mann-Whitney U-

1   test p > 0.11 in all cases), so the data were pooled. The duration of the two feeding bouts were

2   correlated, i.e. consistent, within individuals (Kendall's tau b = 0.23, N = 56, p = 0.027), so from the

3   first disturbance trial we analysed just the duration of the second feeding bout as an index of hunger

4   and motivation to feed in case there was any disturbance associated with returning the bowl to the

5   cage in the first feeding bout.

6

7   Permanent variables (unchanged within a field season) were also assessed and recorded for

8   each bird. Wing length was used as a measure of overall body size (not measured in one bird). Age

9   (juvenile/adult) was determined from plumage traits (Svensson, 1992). Sex was determined from a

blood sample using primers P2 and P8 (Arnold et al., 2007).

10

11   *Blood sampling*

12   Approximately 24 hours after capture, birds were blood sampled. Birds were sampled after

13   undergoing behavioural assays as there is some evidence that blood sampling and/or associated

14   handling can change the physiology and behaviour of birds (e.g. (Le Maho et al., 1992; Cockrem et

15   al., 2009; Dickens et al., 2009). Moreover, in captivity all the birds had received *ad libitum* food,

16   constant temperature and light/dark cycles and minimal social interactions, thus many of the

17   environmental factors known to affect Cort concentrations had been standardised across individuals

18   (Romero et al., 2000; Pravosudov et al., 2001). During blood sampling, two birds per room were

19   removed from their cages with the order randomly assigned. For baseline Cort, birds were blood

20   sampled within 3 mins of the researcher entering the aviary room, which is generally considered to

21   be representative of baseline Cort concentrations (Romero & Reed, 2005). For the stress-induced

22   Cort, the bird was put into a cloth bag upon capture, removed after 14 mins and blood sampled 15

23   mins after initial disturbance. Caged birds were then left undisturbed for one hour before re-entry to

24   each room to collect samples from different birds. The last birds to be sampled had therefore been

25   disturbed a maximum of twice that day, but disturbance frequency did not significantly influence

26   either baseline (ANOVA $F_{2,35}$ = 0.065, p = 0.94) or stress-induced Cort (ANOVA $F_{2,29}$ = 0.092, p =

1 0.91). To collect the blood, a sterile 25 gauge needle was used to prick the brachial vein. Blood

2 samples were collected in 75 µl heparinized capillaries and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 14,000 g. A

3 haematocrit score (a measure of anaemia) was taken for each tube before the red cells and plasma

4 were split, red cells mixed with ethanol and samples stored at -70 ºC until assayed. Less than 10% of

5 the circulating blood volume was collected, as defined by the UK Home Office. Collecting this volume

6 of is not considered to have negative impacts on the welfare of a bird. As we could only take enough

7 blood from each bird for a single Cort assay, due to ethical considerations and the limits defined by

8 the UK Home Office, we only have either baseline or stress-induced Cort concentration from each

9 individual.

10

Corticosterone assays

11 Corticosterone concentrations were measured after extraction of 5-20µl aliquots of plasma in

12 diethyl ether, using a double antibody radioimmunoassay (Wingfield et al., 1992). Primary antibody

13 Esoterix B183, Secondary antibody Sigma goat anti-rabbit and [3H]-corticosterone label (GE

14 Healthcare, UK). See Henderson et al. (2013) for full methods. Extraction efficiency was 93 ±8%

15 (mean ± SD). Recoveries were measured for samples independently and individual corticosterone

16 concentrations adjusted accordingly. The assay detection limit was 0.03 ng/ml. Samples were run

17 within two assays. Inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 6.68% and 4.35±0.001%

18 respectively.

19

20 *Ethical Note*

21 All work was carried out in accordance with ASAB/ABS's guidelines for the treatment of animals in

22 research. Work was under license of the UK Home Office and subject to ethical review by

23 WALTHAM® Centre for Pet Nutrition and the University of Glasgow. Captive studies were completed

24 and feeders removed 2 months before the first record of nest building in the area. Birds had on

25 average gained (2.97% ± 7.3%) body mass whilst in captivity. 108 out of the total 125 birds released

26 were later recorded using the feeders or were re-trapped in the area.

1

2   *Statistical Methods*

3   Analyses were carried out using SPSS (Ver 20). Prior to analysis, data were checked for normality,

4   homogeneity of variance and co-linearity. Where these assumptions were violated, data were

5   transformed or non-parametric tests were carried out. The distribution of stress-induced Cort

6   concentrations, for example, showed a slight skew and a log transformation improved the situation.

7   In addition, Cook's distances were calculated for all co-variates and subsequently neophobia data

8   from three individuals and exploration data from one individual were excluded as extreme outliers

9   that would have distorted the outcome of the analyses. Exploratory tendency (Shapiro–Wilks test:

10   $W_{120} = 0.94$, $p < 0.0001$), activity during the exploration trial ($W_{120} = 0.95$, $p < 0.0001$) and neophobia

11   (Shapiro–Wilks test: $W_{78} = 0.89$, $p = <0.0001$) were leptokurtic and it was not possible to normalise

12   their distributions. Exploration scores (Mann-Whitney U-test Age: $z = -0.38$, $N = 64$, $p = 0.71$; sex: $z =$

13   -0.23, $N = 60$, $p = 0.82$), activity levels (Age: $z = -0.39$, $N = 64$, $p = 0.70$; sex: $z = -0.94$, $N = 60$, $p = 0.35$)

14   and neophobia scores (Mann-Whitney U-test Age: $z = -1.25$, $N = 63$, $p = 0.21$; sex $z = -0.88$, $N = 59$, p

15   $= 0.38$) did not differ between adults and juveniles or males and females, therefore data were

16   pooled to analyse other sources of between-individual variation. With the Bonferroni correction

17   threshold p-value of 0.004, all other morphometric (mass at capture, mass at blood sampling, wing

18   length) and environmental variables (rainfall, minimum temperature and maximum temperature on

19   day of capture) were non-significant in relation to neophobia, exploratory tendency and activity.

20   Therefore analyses of these traits were calculated using actual scores, rather than, for example,

21   residuals or transformed data.

22   General linear models (GLMs) were run with Cort plasma concentration (basal or peak) as

23   the dependent variable, sex and age (adult or juvenile) as factors, and change in mass in captivity

24   (mass on day 2 – mass on day1), wing length, haematocrit and personality traits as co-variates. The

25   interactions between sex or age and personality traits or change in mass in captivity were also

26   included in the full model. All tests were two-tailed. Means ± S.E. are presented.

1

2 **Results**

3

4 Neither basal nor stress induced Cort concentrations differed significantly between years (Mann-

5 Whitney U-test z = -0.36, N = 69, p = 0.72) so data were pooled. Baseline Cort concentrations varied

6 among individuals, from 0.33 – 13.21 ng/ml. Stress-induced plasma Cort concentrations were

7 significantly higher (mean = 10.55 ng/ml ± 0.94, range from 1.32 – 23.83 ng/ml) than baseline

8 concentrations (mean = 4.17 ng/ml ± 0.55; GLM $F_{1, 66}$ = 30.81, p < 0.0001), although we did not have

9 both measures from the same individual.

10

11 *Environmental variables on the day of capture*

12 Neither baseline nor stress-induced Cort concentrations were significantly related with daily rainfall

13 (Baseline: Kendall's tau = 0.13, N = 38, p = 0.27; Stress-induced: Kendall's tau = 0.07, N = 28, p =

14 0.63), minimum daily temperature (Baseline: Kendall's tau = 0.02, N = 38, p = 0.87; Stress-induced:

15 Kendall's tau = -0.04, N = 28, p = 0.75) or maximum daily temperature on the day of capture

16 (Baseline: Kendall's tau = 0.00, N = 38, p = 1.0; Stress-induced: Kendall's tau = 0.06, N = 28, p = 0.63).

17

18 *Personality traits*

19 As mentioned above, we only used data from the first of each trial per individual. In these first trials,

20 neophobia scores varied from -117s to 597s with a mean of 85.59s ± 19.18 (six birds scored zero and

21 six birds failed to complete the trial), with positive scores indicating highly neophobic birds and

22 negative scores meaning that the birds were not neophobic. Exploratory tendency ranged from -280

23 to 226 with a mean of 9.69s ± 11.79 (three birds scored zero and two birds failed to complete the

24 trial) and total activity level from 0 – 467 with a mean of 233.42s ±15.90 (two birds scored zero, i.e.

25 did not move, and two failed to complete the trial). High scores indicated highly exploratory and

26 active birds respectively.

1   Neophobia scores were not correlated with either exploratory tendency (Kendall's tau = -

2   0.04, N = 62, p = 0.69) or activity levels during the exploration trial (Kendall's tau = 0.01, N = 62, p =

3   0.89). Activity levels and exploratory tendency were uncorrelated (Kendall's tau = 0.051, N = 67, p =

4   0.54). Thus, exploratory tendency, activity levels during the exploration trial and neophobia are

5   distinct personality traits (i.e. were repeatable across time), not simply three measures of the same

6   trait or by-products of differences in activity levels. Also, we did not find evidence of a behavioural

7   syndrome.

8   Baseline Cort concentrations were significantly related to the interaction between sex and

9   activity ($F_{1, 36}$ = 5.46, p = 0.026; sex $F_{1, 36}$ = 8.82, p = 0.006, activity level $F_{1, 36}$ = 10.09, p = 0.003;Fig.

10   1a). Specifically, more active birds had lower baseline Cort than inactive birds. The slope of this

11   relationship was much steeper for females than males. Using a backwards stepwise process, non-

12   significant interactions then age, exploratory tendency (Fig. 1b) and neophobia (Fig. 1c) were

13   removed from the model. The sample size for females in this analysis was only six, so we repeated

14   the analysis just for males. For males there was no significant correlation between activity levels and

15   baseline Cort (Pearson correlation = -0.244, N = 31, p = 0.185).

16   Stress-induced Cort was uncorrelated with activity ($F_{1, 28}$ = 0.02, p > 0.8; Fig. 1d). There was a

17   non-significant tendency for more neophobic birds to have lower stress-induced Cort concentrations

18   than less neophobic birds ($F_{1, 24}$ = 3.40, p = 0.079; Fig. 1e). Stress-induced Cort was significantly

19   associated with exploratory tendency ($F_{1, 24}$ = 5.04, p = 0.035; Fig. 1f), with more exploratory

20   individuals having lower stress-induced Cort concentrations than slow explorers.

21

22
State-dependent and permanent inter-individual differences

23   Mass at capture did not differ between age classes (adult mean = 11.24 ± 0.11g, juvenile mean =

24   11.15 ± 0.11g; GLM $F_{1,64}$ = 0.38, p = 0.54) neither did condition at capture (GLM $F_{1,64}$ = 0.66, p = 0.43).

25   At capture, males weighed more (male mean = 11.33 ± 0.09g, female mean = 10.89 ± 0.09g; GLM

26   $F_{1,67}$ = 6.12, p = 0.016) and were in better condition (GLM $F_{1,66}$ = 6.46, p = 0.013) than females. Birds

1   that were in poor condition upon capture put on significantly more mass in captivity than those in

2   better condition (Kendall's tau = -0.32, N = 68, p < 0.0001).

3   Following GLM modelling to investigate the relationship(s) between baseline Cort

4   concentrations and state-dependent traits, all variables dropped out of the model (p > 0.2) except

5   the interaction between age and change in mass (GLM $F_{1, 35}$ = 5.92, p = 0.021; age $F_{1, 35}$ = 2.76, p =

6   0.11; change in mass $F_{1, 35}$ = 0.36, p = 0.55; Fig. 2). Baseline Cort was lower in juveniles that increased

7   in mass whilst in captivity than compared with those that lost mass, with the opposite relationship in

8   adults.

9   When the above model was re-run with stress-induced Cort as the dependent variable;

10   change in mass ($F_{1,28}$ = 5.26, p = 0.030; Fig. 3a) and haematocrit level ($F_{1,28}$ = 5.40, p = 0.044; Fig. 3b)

11   remained in the GLM. Across all individuals, birds that put on more mass in captivity, typically those

12   that were in poor condition at capture, had higher stress-induced Cort concentrations than those

13   that lost mass in captivity. Also, birds that had lower haematocrit levels (i.e. were more anaemic)

14   had higher stress-induced Cort concentrations than those with higher haematocrit scores.

15   Change in mass and haematocrit contributed additively to the model and were not

16   correlated with one another (Kendall's tau b = - 0.13, N= 65, p = 0.14). Age, sex, condition at blood

17   sampling and feeding bout duration (an index of hunger and motivation to feed on day 1) dropped

18   out of the model.

19

20   **Discussion**

21

22   In this study, we investigated extrinsic and intrinsic correlates of baseline and stress-induced plasma

23   Cort concentrations in wild blue tits held under standard conditions. Both baseline and stress-

24   induced Cort concentrations varied widely despite all animals being maintained at a standard

25   temperature with *ad libitum* food availability for the 24 hours prior to blood sampling. Weather on

26   the day of capture, which might indicate the condition of birds on entry to the study, was not related

to Cort. Notably, neophobia and exploratory tendency, although significantly repeatable within individuals, at least over a 2-3 day interval, did not predict and were not related to baseline Cort concentrations. There was a hint that birds that were generally more active in the exploration trial had lower baseline Cort than less active individuals, but this was driven by data from a small number of females. Unfortunately, given the small number of females sampled, we cannot interpret this sex-difference further. With stress-induced Cort, more exploratory individuals had lower Cort concentrations than less exploratory birds. In contrast, there was a tendency for more neophobic birds to exhibit lower stress-induced Cort than less neophobic birds. There were also relationships between Cort and individual state. Variation in baseline Cort concentrations was determined by a significant interaction between age and change in mass in captivity. Juveniles that had gained mass in captivity had a lower baseline Cort concentration than those that declined in mass. Conversely adults that put on more mass in captivity had higher baseline Cort than those that lost mass. With stress-induced Cort though, all birds that put on mass in captivity had higher stress-induced Cort concentrations than those that lost mass, although condition at blood sampling did not contribute significantly to the model. Birds with a low haematocrit level also had higher stress-induced Cort concentrations than birds with higher haematocrit levels.

Our mixed evidence for associations between behavioural responses to novel and potentially stressful situations and physiological responses to stress are intriguing but not without precedent (Carere et al., 2003; Martins et al., 2007; Scheid & Noe, 2009; Schoech et al., 2009; Scheid & Noe, 2010). One interpretation of our results is that our behavioural assays did not generate neophobia in blue tits. This was unlikely because most birds took significantly longer to approach the food bowl in the presence of the novel object than in the disturbance phase, although a few individuals were clearly not neophobic, approaching the food faster in the presence than absence of the novel object. This is consistent with the notion that the object created a motivational conflict between desire to feed and to avoid the object which is perceived as a risk (Mettke-Hofmann et al., 2002). However, whether the novel objects induced 'stress' is harder to determine. The classic flight

1 or fight response to acute stress, is typically (Buchanan, 2000) but not always (Muller et al., 2006)

2 associated with an elevation in Cort in wild animals. The few studies on birds comparing Cort before

3 and after presentation of a novel object, or more broadly Cort concentrations in high neophobic

4 versus low neophobic individuals, find different responses depending on the experimental design.

5 Generally, those placing a novel object with food identify a Cort response but those presenting the

6 novel object in a neutral location do not (Daisley et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2007; Tobler & Sandell,

7 2007; but see Apfelbeck & Raess, 2008). This absence of a Cort response (although of course other

8 stress hormones might have been elevated) in neutral studies comes even when accompanied by

9 typical "stress" behaviours – e.g. aversions, tonic responses, distress call (Daisley et al., 2005).

10 Furthermore, within the same species, latency to approach a novel object in a neutral location is not

11 necessarily correlated with latency to approach a novel object with food, suggesting they stimulate

12 different behaviours (Mettke-Hofmann et al., 2002). However, stereotypical stress behaviours are

13 not necessarily evidence of physiological stress (Muller et al., 2006). Neophobia, i.e. the avoidance of

14 novelty, might be a behavioural strategy to avoid a potentially stressful situation. Therefore, we

15 cannot assume that neophobia is an index of responsiveness to stressors in general. Neophobia is

16 simply a behavioural response to something unfamiliar which may or may not be dangerous or

17 stressful. Indeed, contrary to predictions, we found a tendency for more neophobic birds to show a

18 lower hormonal stress response than low neophobia birds, but this needs to be interpreted with

19 caution. Moreover, we also have the issue that we might have captured a biased sample of the least

20 neophobic individuals in the population. Certainly we caught fewer females than males which might

21 suggest that we were lacking data on individuals who were subordinate at feeders, but also

22 potentially those that avoided novel food sources in the wild. The ecological significance of

23 neophobia in the wild is still to be fully assessed.

24 Exploration has been previously shown to be linked with stress-induced Cort in some but

25 not all species studied (reviewed in Cockrem, 2007), although interpretation of the patterns can be

26 complex. For example, in captive populations of passerines subject to selection on either

1   behavioural or hormonal responses to stressors, Cort and behaviour are sometimes related: In two

2   lines of great tits (*Parus major*) selected to be either fast or slow explorers, Carere et al. (2003)

3   found that slow exploring birds had higher stress-induced Cort metabolites in their faeces and less

4   impairment in activity than fast birds when stressed (Carere et al., 2003). In zebra finches

5   (*Taeniopygia guttata*), over five generations researchers selected for high or low stress-induced

6   Cort. In the low Cort line, stress-induced Cort concentration was positively correlated with

7   exploratory behaviour but no relationship was present in the high Cort or control lines (Martins et

8   al., 2007). Thus, the results were ambiguous, but provide some evidence of heritable coping styles

9   suggesting selective maintenance of variation in Cort secretion patterns within populations. In

10   contrast to these studies in which the measurement of exploration and activity were confounded

11   (Carere et al., 2003; Martins et al., 2007) we found no relationship between exploration and baseline

12   Cort. On the contrary, experimentally increasing circulating Cort can increase activity levels in birds

13   (Breuner et al., 1998). Thus we suggest that exploratory tendency *per se* is not a behavioural

14   indicator of chronic stress, or that individuals that are chronically stressed are less likely to gather

15   information about a novel environment. Comparison of our behavioural results with those of others

16   (Marchetti & Drent, 2000; van Overveld & Matthysen) suggests that interpretation of "exploratory

17   tendency", and particularly its importance in explaining differences in variation in information

18   acquisition and use, is dependent on the method by which exploration is scored. The differing

19   patterns of covariation between circulating Cort concentrations and personality traits suggests that

20   the physiological mechanisms and potentially the costs of different personality traits will vary. Thus,

21   we would emphasize the need to check the assumptions that exploration, activity and neophobia /

22   risk responsiveness are always correlated or that they represent three measures of the same trait

23   (Reale et al., 2007).

24   One issue to be explored is the impact of bringing birds into captivity on baseline Cort

25   measurements and both behavioural and hormonal stress responsiveness. In order to standardise

26   the food, temperature and day length experienced by the birds and to ensure that time spent in the

1   mist net did not influence the baseline Cort measure (Romero & Reed, 2005), we decided to blood

2   sample birds after 24 hours in captivity. However, it might be considered that this reflects a

3   disturbed state. Recent work by Dickens et al (2009) on chukars (*Alectoris chukar*) showed that on

4   the first day of captivity (which involved transport of 100km rather < 3km as in our study) birds lost

5   weight, haematocrit decreased and both baseline and stress-induced Cort concentrations were

6   raised. These effects took 3 to 9 days to fully attenuate. In contrast, we found that after 24 and 48

7   hours of captivity, the majority of blue tits had gained rather than lost body mass. Moreover, the

8   plasma concentrations of Cort we measured in captivity were similar to those measured in the field

9   at the capture site (basal Cort in the field in 2008 only: 3.2 ng/ml ±1.8, n = 36; in captivity: 4.2 ng/ml

10 ±3.1, n = 16, t-test P = 0.22; (Henderson et al., 2013)). Thus, the elevated stress markers seen in

11 chukars (Dickens et al., 2009) might have been associated with the long distance transport, during

12 which animals often do not feed or drink, rather than captivity *per se*, as has been observed in

13 livestock (Fazio & Ferlazzo, 2003). More research is needed to determine whether individuals with

14 different personality traits vary in how they cope with captivity. It should be noted that we have

15 previously shown that neophobia and exploratory tendency measured in the wild have been shown

16 to be consistent over several weeks to months and was predicted by measurements taken in

17 captivity using analogous methods and the same individuals (Herborn et al., 2010). Thus, whilst only

18 reflecting a snapshot in time, we feel that our results have some ecological relevance at least for our

19 study population.

20   Our data suggest that both baseline and stress-induced Cort concentrations in wintering

21 blue tits are associated with state-dependent and permanent variables, as well as personality traits.

22 This is in line with evidence that Cort is involved in maintaining a homeostatic energy balance,

23 allowing individuals to respond to food shortages and environmental perturbations (Wingfield &

24 Kitayskya, 2002; Bonier et al., 2009; Hau & Goymann, 2015). For adults only, in the case of baseline

25 Cort, and birds (independent of age), in the case of elevated Cort, birds that put on more mass in

26 captivity had higher plasma Cort concentrations. Moreover birds that were light on entry into

1   captivity gained more mass during captivity than relatively heavy individuals. What we do not know,

2   and could only find out with manipulative experiments, is whether the poor quality birds gained

3   mass because of their high Cort concentrations (which can stimulate hyperphagia (Landys et al.,

4   2004)) or the rapid increase in mass resulted in raised baseline and stress-induced Cort. There was

5   no sex difference in the relationship between basal Cort and change in mass, although on entry into

6   captivity males were in better condition than females. In contrast to adults, for juveniles the

7   relationship between baseline Cort and mass gain was negative. However, juveniles and adults did

8   not, on average, differ significantly in mass upon capture or their mass change in captivity. Thus,

9   juveniles may have a different stress physiology and/or feedback mechanisms from adults (Bonier et

10   al., 2009). Experience in coping with environmental perturbations and/or relative dominance status

11   have also been shown to influence how birds respond to changes in food supply (Gosler, 1996;

12   Grieco et al., 2002), and thus potentially Cort levels.

13   For stress-induced Cort, high concentrations were associated with low haematocrit

14   (indicating poor condition) and a greater mass increase. These data indicate that high Cort may

15   signal individuals in poor condition – which might be true for both basal and stress-induced Cort.

16   However, this needs further study, not least because one limitation of our study is that we did not

17   have basal and stress-induced Cort from the same individual for welfare reasons. Thus, we do not

18   know whether blue tits with high basal Cort also had high stress-induced Cort (which might actually

19   indicate a relatively small change in Cort in response to stress) or those with low basal Cort could

20   have high stress-induced Cort (i.e. had a relatively great physiological response to restraint).

21   Selection experiments have shown that basal and stress-induced Cort levels are not necessarily

22   linked (Martins et al., 2007), but this requires more investigation in relation to behavioural

23   responses.

24   To conclude, exploratory tendency, activity and neophobia in blue tits were uncorrelated

25   and showed differing patterns of co-variation with state-dependent, permanent and physiological

26   traits. As individuals vary along these three independent axes of behavioural variation, the

1 underlying physiological mechanisms, and indeed the costs (Herborn et al., 2011), may vary in a

2 complex fashion. More research both under captive and wild conditions is needed to determine

3 whether both baseline and stress-induced Cort, i.e. physiological responses to chronic and acute

4 stress, are linked with individual variation in behaviour directly or indirectly via homeostatic control

5 of energetic balance. Of particular interest is how personality traits and other factors that affect

6 ability to control resources interact, and thus influence an individual's current and future energy

7 balance.

8

9 **Acknowledgements**

10

16

17 **References**

18 ANGELIER, F., WINGFIELD, J. C., WEIMERSKIRCH, H. & CHASTEL, O. (2010). Hormonal correlates of individual quality in a
19 long-lived bird: a test of the 'corticosterone-fitness hypothesis'. — Biology Letters 6, 846-849.
20 APFELBECK, B. & RAESS, M. (2008). Behavioural and hormonal effects of social isolation and neophobia in a
21 gregarious bird species, the European starling (Sturnus vulgaris). — Hormones and Behavior 54, 435-
22 441.
23 ARNOLD, K. E., HERBORN, K. A., ADAM, A. & ALEXANDER, L. (2015). Individual variation in the oxidative costs of
24 personality traits. — Functional Ecology 29, 522-530.
25 ARNOLD, K. E., RAMSAY, S. L., GOULD, C. & ADAM, A. (2007). Parental prey selection affects risk taking behaviour
26 and spatial learning in avian offspring. — Proc R Soc Lond B 274, 2563-2569.
27 ASTHEIMER, L. B., BUTTEMER, W. A. & WINGFIELD, J. C. (1995). Seasonal and acute changes in adrenocortical
28 responsiveness in an arctic breeding bird. — Horm Behav 29, 442-457.
29 BELL, A. M. (2005). Behavioural differences between individuals and two populations of stickleback
30 (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). — Journal of Evolutionary Biology 18, 464-473.
31 BELL, A. M. & SIH, A. (2007). Exposure to predation generates personality in threespined sticklebacks
32 (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). — Ecology Letters 10, 828-834.
33 BIRO, P. A. & DINGEMANSE, N. J. (2009). Sampling bias resulting from animal personality. — Trends in Ecology &
34 Evolution 24, 66-67.

BLAS, J., BORTOLOTTI, G. R., TELLA, J. L., BAOS, R. & MARCHANT, T. A. (2007). Stress response during development predicts fitness in a wild, long lived vertebrate. — Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 8880-8884.

BOKONY, V., LENDVAI, A. Z., LIKER, A., ANGELIER, F., WINGFIELD, J. C. & CHASTEL, O. (2009). Stress response and the value of reproduction: Are birds prudent parents? — American Naturalist 173, 589-598.

BONIER, F., MARTIN, P. R., MOORE, I. T. & WINGFIELD, J. C. (2009). Do baseline glucocorticoids predict fitness? — Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24, 634-642.

BOTH, C., DINGEMANSE, N. J., DRENT, P. J. & TINBERGEN, J. M. (2005). Pairs of extreme avian personalities have highest reproductive success. — Journal of Animal Ecology 74, 667-674.

BREUNER, C. W., L, G. A. & C, W. J. (1998). Noninvasive corticosterone treatment rapidly increases activity in Gambel's white-crowned sparrows (Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii). — General and Comparative Endocrinology 111, 386-394.

BUCHANAN, K. L. (2000). Stress and the evolution of condition-dependent signals. — Trends in Ecology and Evolution 15, 156-160.

CARERE, C. (2003). Personalities as epigenetic suites of traits. — Ph.D., Groningen.

CARERE, C., CARAMASCHI, D. & FAWCETT, T. W. (2010). Covariation between personalities and individual differences in coping with stress: Converging evidence and hypotheses. — Current Zoology 56, 728-740.

CARERE, C., GROOTHUIS, T. G. G., MOSTL, E., DAAN, S. & KOOLHAAS, J. M. (2003). Fecal corticosteroids in a territorial bird selected for different personalities: daily rhythm and the response to social stress. — Hormones & Behavior 43, 540-548.

CAVIGELLI, S. A. & McCLINTOCK, M. K. (2003). Fear of novelty in infant rats predicts adult corticosterone dynamics and an early death. — Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100, 16131-16136.

CAVIGELLI, S. A., RAGAN, C. M., MICHAEL, K. C., KOVACSICS, C. E. & BRLISCKE, A. P. (2009). Stable behavioral inhibition and glucocorticoid production as predictors of longevity. — Physiology & Behavior 98, 205-214.

COCKREM, J. F. (2007). Stress, corticosterone responses and avian personalities. — Journal of Ornithology 148, S169-S178.

COCKREM, J. F., BARRETT, D. P., CANDY, E. J. & POTTER, M. A. (2009). Corticosterone responses in birds: Individual variation and repeatability in Adelie penguins (Pygoscelis adeliae) and other species, and the use of power analysis to determine sample sizes. — General and Comparative Endocrinology 163, 158-168.

COCKREM, J. F. & SILVERIN, B. (2002a). Sight of a predator can stimulate a corticosterone response in the great tit (Parus major). — General and Comparative Endocrinology 125, 248-255.

—. (2002b). Variation within and between birds in corticosterone responses of great tits (*Parus major*). — General and Comparative Endocrinology 125, 197-206.

DAISLEY, J. N., BROMUNDT, V., MOSTL, E. & KOTRSCHAL, K. (2005). Enhanced yolk testosterone influences behavioral phenotype independent of sex in Japanese quail chicks Coturnix japonica. — Hormones and Behavior 47, 185-194.

DICKENS, M. J., EARLE, K. A. & ROMERO, L. M. (2009). Initial transference of wild birds to captivity alters stress physiology. — General and Comparative Endocrinology 160, 76-83.

DINGEMANSE, N. J., EDELAAR, P. & KEMPENAERS, B. (2010). Why is there variation in baseline glucocorticoid levels? — Trends in Ecology & Evolution 25, 261-262.

DINGEMANSE, N. J., WRIGHT, J., KAZEM, A. J. N., THOMAS, D. K., HICKLING, R. & DAWNAY, N. (2007). Behavioural syndromes differ predictably between 12 populations of three-spined stickleback. — Journal of Animal Ecology 76, 1128-1138.

DRENT, P. J., OERS, K. V. & NOORDWIJK, A. J. V. (2003). Realized heritability of personalities in the great tit (*Parus major*). — Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 270, 45-51.

FAZIO, E. & FERLAZZO, A. (2003). Evaluation of stress during transport. — Veterinary Research Communications 27, 519-524.

GENTLE, L.K. and GOSLER, A.G., 2001. The effects of altering perceived predation risk on the fat reserves of wild Great Tits, Parus major., Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B*, 268, 487-491.

GOSLER, A. G. (1996). Environmental and social determinants of winter fat storage in the great tit Parus major. — Journal of Animal Ecology 65, 1-17.

GOSLING, S. D. (2001). From mice to men: What can we learn about personality from animal research? — Psychological Bulletin 127, 45-86.

1   GOUTTE, A., ANGELIER, F., WELCKER, J., MOE, B., CLEMENT-CHASTEL, C., GABRIELSEN, G. W., BECH, C. & CHASTEL, O. (2010).
2   Long-term survival effect of corticosterone manipulation in Black-legged kittiwakes. — Gen Comp
3   Endocrinol 167, 246-51.
4   GRIECO, F., VAN NOORDWIJK, A. J. & VISSER, M. E. (2002). Evidence for the effect of learning on timing of
5   reproduction in blue tits. — Science 296, 136-138.
6   HAU, M. & GOYMANN, W. (2015). Endocrine mechanisms, behavioral phenotypes and plasticity: known
7   relationships and open questions. — Frontiers in Zoology 12, 1-15.
8   HENDERSON, L. J., EVANS, N. P., HEIDINGER, B. J., ADAMS, A. & ARNOLD, K. E. (2014). Maternal condition but not
9   corticosterone is linked to offspring sex ratio in a passerine bird. — PloS one 9, e110858.
10 HENDERSON, L. J., HEIDINGER, B. J., EVANS, N. P. & ARNOLD, K. E. (2013). Ultraviolet crown coloration in female blue
11   tits predicts reproductive success and baseline corticosterone. — Behavioral Ecology 24, 1299-1305.
12 HERBORN, K., COFFEY, J., LARCOMBE, S., ALEXANDER, L. & ARNOLD, K. E. (2011). Oxidative profile varies with
13   personality in European greenfinches. — Journal of Experimental Biology 214, 1732-9.
14 HERBORN, K. A., MACLEOD, R., MILES, W. T. S., SCHOFIELD, A. N. B., ALEXANDER, L. & ARNOLD, K. E. (2010). Personality in
15   captivity reflects personality in the wild. — Animal Behaviour 79, 835-843.
16 HUNTINGFORD, F. A. (1976). Relationship between anti-predator behavior and aggression among conspecifics in
17   3-spined Stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. — Animal Behaviour 24, 245-260.
18 JENNI-EIERMANN, S., E, E. G., GRUEBLER, M., SCHWABL, H. & L, L. J. (2008). Glucocorticoid response to food
19   availability in breeding barn swallows (Hirundo rustica). — Gen Comp Endocrinol 155, 558-565.
20 KOOLHAAS, J. M., DE BOER, S. F., BUWALDA, B. & VAN REENEN, K. (2007). Individual variation in coping with stress: A
21   multidimensional approach of ultimate and proximate mechanisms. — Brain Behavior and Evolution
22   70, 218-226.
23 KOOLHAAS, J. M., DE BOER, S. F., COPPENS, C. M. & BUWALDA, B. (2010). Neuroendocrinology of coping styles:
24   towards understanding the biology of individual variation. — Front Neuroendocrinol 31, 307-21.
25 LANDYS, M. M., RAMENOFSKY, M., GUGLIELMO, C. G. & WINGFIELD, J. C. (2004). The low-affinity glucocorticoid
26   receptor regulates feeding and lipid breakdown in the migratory Gambel's white-crowned sparrow
27   Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii. — Journal of Experimental Biology 207, 143-154.
28 LE MAHO, Y., KARMANN, H., BRIOT, D., HANDRICH, Y., ROBIN, J. P., MIOSKOWSKI, E., CHEREL, Y. & FARNI, J. (1992). Stress
29   in birds due to routine handling and a technique to avoid it. — American Journal of Physiology 263,
30   R775-R781.
31 LOHMUS, M., SANDBERG, R., HOLBERTON, R. L. & MOORE, F. R. (2003). Corticosterone levels in relation to migratory
32   readiness in red-eyed vireos (Vireo olivaceus). — Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 54, 233-239.
33 LONG, J. A. & HOLBERTON, R. L. (2004). Corticosterone secretion, energetic condition, and a test of the migration
34   modulation hypothesis in the hermit thrush (Catharus guttatus), a short-distance migrant. — Auk 121,
35   1094-1102.
36 MARCHETTI, C. & DRENT, P. J. (2000). Individual differences in the use of social information in foraging by captive
37   great tits. — Animal Behaviour 60, 131-140.
38 MARTIN, J. G. A. & REALE, D. (2008). Temperament, risk assessment and habituation to novelty in eastern
39   chipmunks, Tamias striatus. — Animal Behaviour 75, 309-318.
40 MARTIN, L. B. (2009). Stress and immunity in wild vertebrates: Timing is everything. — General and Comparative
41   Endocrinology 163, 70-76.
42 MARTINS, T. L. F., ROBERTS, M. L., GIBLIN, I., HUXHAM, R. & EVANS, M. R. (2007). Speed of exploration and risk-taking
43   behavior are linked to corticosterone titres in zebra finches. — Hormones and Behavior 52, 445-453.
44 METTKE-HOFMANN, C., LORENTZEN, S., SCHLICHT, E., SCHNEIDER, J. & WERNER, F. (2009). Spatial Neophilia and Spatial
45   Neophobia in Resident and Migratory Warblers (Sylvia). — Ethology 115, 482-492.
46 METTKE-HOFMANN, C., WINKLER, H. & LEISLER, B. (2002). The significance of ecological factors for exploration and
47   neophobia in parrots. — Ethology 108, 249-272.
48 MONAGHAN, P. (2008). Review. Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and environmental change. —
49   Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 363, 1635-45.
50 MULLER, C., JENNI-EIERMANN, S., BLONDEL, J., PERRET, P., CARO, S. P., LAMBRECHTS, M. & JENNI, L. (2006). Effect of
51   human presence and handling on circulating corticosterone levels in breeding blue tits (Parus
52   caeruleus). — Gen Comp Endocrinol 148, 163-71.
53 OERS, K. V., DRENT, P. J., GOEDE, P. D. & NOORDWIJK, A. J. V. (2004). Realized heritability and repeatability of risk-
54   taking behaviour in relation to avian personalities. — Proc. Roy. Soc. B. 271, 65-73.
55 OERS, K. V., JONG, G. D., NOORDWIJK, A. J. V., KEMPENAERS, B. & DRENT, P. J. (2005). Contribution of genetics to the
56   study of animal personalities: a review of case studies. — Behaviour 142, 1185-1206.

PIERSMA, T., RENEERKENS, J. & RAMENOFSKY, M. (2000). Baseline corticosterone peaks in shorebirds with maximal energy stores for migration: A general preparatory mechanism for rapid behavioral and metabolic transitions? — General and Comparative Endocrinology 120, 118-126.

PRAVOSUDOV, V. V., KITAYSKY, A. S., WINGFIELD, J. C. & CLAYTON, N. S. (2001). Long-term unpredictable foraging conditions and physiological stress response in mountain chickadees (Poecile gambeli). — General and Comparative Endocrinology 123, 324-331.

RAJA-AHO, S., SUORSA, P., VAINIO, M., NIKINMAA, M., LEHIKOINEN, E. & EEVA, T. (2010). Body condition is associated with adrenocortical response in the barn swallow (_Hirundo rustica_ L.) during early stages of autumn migration. — Oecologia 163, 323-332.

REALE, D., READER, S. M., SOL, D., MCDOUGALL, P. T. & DINGEMANSE, N. J. (2007). Integrating animal temperament within ecology and evolution. — Biological Reviews 82, 291-318.

ROMERO, L. M. & REED, J. M. (2005). Collecting baseline corticosterone samples in the field: is under 3 min good enough? — Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 140, 73-79.

ROMERO, L. M., REED, J. M. & WINGFIELD, J. C. (2000). Effects of weather on corticosterone responses in wild free-living passerine birds. — General and Comparative Endocrinology 118, 113-122.

RUBENSTEIN, D. R. (2007). Stress hormones and sociality: integrating social and environmental stressors. — Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 274, 967-975.

RUIZ-GOMEZ, M. D., KITTILSEN, S., HOGLUND, E., HUNTINGFORD, F. A., SORENSEN, C., POTTINGER, T. G., BAKKEN, M., WINBERG, S., KORZAN, W. J. & OVERLI, O. (2008). Behavioral plasticity in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) with divergent coping styles: When doves become hawks. — Hormones and Behavior 54, 534-538.

SCHEID, C. & NOE, R. (2009). The performance of rooks in a cooperative task depends on their temperament. — Anim Cogn 13, 545-53.

SCHEID, C. & NOE, R. (2010). The performance of rooks in a cooperative task depends on their temperament. — Animal Cognition 13, 545-553.

SCHOECH, S. J., RENSEL, M. A., BRIDGE, E. S., BOUGHTON, R. K. & WILCOXEN, T. E. (2009). Environment, glucocorticoids, and the timing of reproduction. — General and Comparative Endocrinology 163, 201-207.

SIH, A., KATS, L. B. & MOORE, R. D. (1992). Effects of Predatory Sunfish on the Density, Drift, and Refuge Use of Stream Salamander Larvae. — Ecology 73, 1418-1430.

STAMPS, J. & GROOTHUIS, T. G. G. (2010). The development of animal personality: relevance, concepts and perspectives. — Biological Reviews 85, 301-325.

SVENSSON, L. (1992). Identification Guide to European Passerines. — BTO, Thetford, Norfolk.

TOBLER, M. & SANDELL, M. I. (2007). Yolk testosterone modulates persistence of neophobic responses in adult zebra finches, Taeniopygia guttata. — Hormones and Behavior 52, 640-645.

VAN OERS, K., KLUNDER, M. & DRENT, P. J. (2005). Context dependence of personalities: risk-taking behavior in a social and a nonsocial situation. — Behavioral Ecology 16, 716-723.

VAN OVERVELD, T. & MATTHYSEN, E. (2010). Personality predicts spatial responses to food manipulations in free-ranging great tits (Parus major). — Biology Letters 6, 187-190.

VERBEEK, M. E. M., BOON, A. & DRENT, P. J. (1996). Exploration, aggressive behavior and dominance in pair-wise confrontations of juvenile male great tits. — Behaviour 133, 945-963.

VERBEEK, M. E. M., DRENT, P. J. & WIEPKEMA, P. R. (1994). Consistent individual-differences in early exploratory-behavior of male great tits. — Animal Behaviour 48, 1113-1121.

WILSON, D. S., CLARK, A. B., COLEMAN, K. & DEARSTYNE, T. (1994). Shyness and Boldness in Humans and Other Animals. — Trends in Ecology & Evolution 9, 442-446.

WINGFIELD, J. C. (1994). Modulation of the adrenocortical response to stress in birds. — In: Perspectives in Comparative Endocrinology, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa (K. G. D. e. al., ed), p. 520-528.

WINGFIELD, J. C. & KITAYSKYA, A. S. (2002). Endocrine responses to unpredictable environmental events: Stress or anti-stress hormones? — Integrative and Comparative Biology 42, 600–609.

WINGFIELD, J. C., VELCK, C. M. & MOORE, M. C. (1992). Seasonal changes of the adrenocortical response to stress in birds of the Sonoran desert. — J Exp Zool 264, 419-428.

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Relationships between personality traits and plasma Cort concentrations. Baseline Cort concentrations were related to a) activity level in females (crosses, solid line, N = 6), but not in males (circles, dashed line, N = 31); and unrelated to b) exploratory tendency (N = 37); and c) neophobia (N = 35). Stress-induced Cort concentrations were d) unrelated to activity levels (N = 29); e) marginally related to neophobia (N = 24); and f) significantly related to exploratory tendency (N = 29).

Fig. 2: Relationships between change in mass over two days of captivity (mass at blood sampling on day 2 – mass at capture from wild) and baseline plasma Cort concentrations for juveniles (circles, dashed line, N = 13) and adults (stars, solid line, N = 23).

Fig. 3: Relationships between stress-induced plasma Cort concentrations and a) change in mass over two days of captivity (mass at blood sampling on day 2 – mass at capture from wild)(N = 30); b) Mean haematocrit level (N = 30).

1   Figure 1

2

3

5

6

7

8   Low   High   Low   High
9

1

2 Figure 2


3
4
5

1   Figure 3

2


3

4
5
6

1   SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

2   (a)

9   (b)

20   **Figure S1:** Apparatus used during the captive exploration trials (a) Photo of cage set up. (d)
21   Schematic of cage set up; the food was removed 1hr and water bowl 30min before the start of the
22   trial, via the door.

1

2   (a)

3

4

5   **(b)**

6

7

Figure S2: The apparatus used for neophobia trial. (a) A photo of the novel objects used in the neophobia trial: A: half a purple rubber ball, B: a plastic pink frog. (b) A photo of a blue tit approaching the novel object in a food bowl (photo credits: Katherine Herborn).

11

12
13