

This is a repository copy of Impact of different eddy covariance sensors, site set-up, and maintenance on the annual balance of CO2 and CH4 in the harsh Arctic environment.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/106626/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Goodrich, J.P., Oechel, W.C., Gioli, B. et al. (4 more authors) (2016) Impact of different eddy covariance sensors, site set-up, and maintenance on the annual balance of CO2 and CH4 in the harsh Arctic environment. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 228-9. pp. 239-251. ISSN 0168-1923

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.07.008

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs (CC BY-ND) licence. This licence allows for redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the original authors. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Site	Model	Measurement height (m)	Orientation (°)	Heating configuration (dist. to Metek (cm))
CMDL	Gill WMP ^a	4.17	35	non-heated
BES	CSAT3 ^ª	2.18	60	non-heated
	CSAT3a	2.28	175	non-heated (35)
ATQ	Gill R3	2.28	0	non-heated (56)
	Metek	2.28	94	continuous
IVO	Metek ^ª	3.42	205	intermittent

 Table 1. Sensor configurations and distances between analyzers at each site.

Sonic anemometers

Gas analyzers

Site	Model	Measurement height (m)	Gas species	Intake tube length (m) (dist. to CP (cm)) ^b	Dist. to primary anemometer (cm)
CMDL	LGR-FGGA-24EP	4.18	$CO_2/H_2O/CH_4$	5.71	18.00
	LI-7700	4.12	CH_4	(22.8)	23.10
BES	LI-7500	1.60	CO ₂ /H ₂ O	(36.5)	20.00
	LGR-FGGA-24EP	2.00	$CO_2/H_2O/CH_4$	4.50	16.50
ATQ l	LI-7200	2.25	CO ₂ /H ₂ O	1.2	44.00
	LGR-FGGA-24EP	2.30	CO ₂ /H ₂ O/CH ₄	3.12 (7.20)	43.00
IVO	LI-7200	3.22	CO ₂ /H ₂ O	1.2	14.00
	LI-7700	3.12	CH_4	-	45.00

^aPrimary anemometer used for flux calculation in gas analyzer comparisons.

^bDistance to the closed or (en)closed-path (CP) analyzer used in comparisons.

Site	Flux	Sensor (pair)	% data remaining
	Н	CSAT	53
ATQ	н	Metek (con)	62
	Н	Gill R3	45
IVO	Н	Metek (int)	59
BES	F _{CO2}	7500 (OP) - CSAT	25
	F _{CO2}	LGR (CP) - CSAT	44
	F _{co2}	7200 (CP) - CSAT	43
ATQ	F _{CO2}	LGR (CP) - CSAT	46
	F _{CO2}	LGR (CP) - MTK (con)	52
DEC	LE	7500 (OP) - CSAT	31
BES	LE	LGR (CP) - CSAT	49
	LE	7200 (CP) - CSAT	43
ATQ	LE	LGR (CP) - CSAT	52
	LE	LGR (CP) - MTK (con)	61
ATQ	F _{CH4}	LGR (CP) - CSAT	34
	F _{CH4}	LGR (CP) - MTK (con)	33
CMDI	F _{CH4}	7700 (OP) - Gill WP	26
	F _{CH4}	LGR (CP) - Gill WP	55
Ινο	F _{CH4}	Metek (int)	42

Table 2. Data coverage for fluxes calculated with each sensor configuration. The continuously heated Metek is indicated by (con), the intermittently heated Metek is indicated by (int), closed- and (en)closed-path gas analyzers are indicated by (CP), and open-path analyzers are indicated by (OP).

		Spectral correction factors		
Site	Flux & sensor	Median	1st quartile	3rd quartile
REC	<i>F</i> _{CO2} 7500	1.14	1.13	1.16
DES	F _{CO2} LGR	1.29	1.23	1.35
ATO	<i>F</i> _{CO2} 7200	1.15	1.11	1.20
AIQ	F _{CO2} LGR	1.34	1.25	1.47
DEC	LE 7500	1.14	1.13	1.15
DES	LE LGR	3.33	2.63	3.99
470	LE 7200	2.34	1.99	2.89
AIQ	LE LGR	2.07	1.78	2.48
CMDI	<i>F</i> _{CH4} 7700	1.15	1.13	1.17
CIVIDE	F _{CH4} LGR	1.49	1.38	1.65

Table 3. Comparison of summary statistics associated with spectral

 correction factors applied to fluxes at each site with different gas analyzers.

Site	Gas analyzer	Anemometer	Annual F _{co2} [gC m ⁻² yr ⁻¹]
	LGR	CSAT	7.9 (± 1.3)
ATQ	LGR	Metek (heated)	7.5 (± 1.4)
	7200	CSAT	9.3 (± 1.1)
BES*	LGR	CSAT	-14.2 (± 1.7)
	7500	CSAT	-17.0 (± 1.1)

Table 4. Annual total F_{CO2} estimated with different sensorconfigurations at ATQ and BES.

* Flux integrals for BES were calculated for 1 May to 31 October.



Figure 1. Comparisons of half-hourly sensible heat flux (H) at ATQ derived from the (unheated) CSAT3 anemometer and the (unheated) Gill R3 (n = 634) (a) and the heated Metek (n = 634) (b) from 1 October 2013 to 30 September 2014. The gray symbols in (b) represent data collected after heating of the Metek at ATQ was fully de-activated from 17 March to 11 June 2015 (n = 581). Regression coefficients with the 'con' subscript represent results from the continuously heated Metek data.



Figure 2. Comparisons of the CSAT3 and the heated Metek at ATQ of half-hourly variance in T_s (n = 634) (a) and w (n = 554) (b) from 1 October 2013 to 30 September 2014. The gray symbols represent data collected after heating of the Metek at ATQ was fully de-activated from 17 March to 11 June 2015 (n = 543). Regression coefficients with the 'con' subscript represent results from the continuously heated Metek data.



Figure 3. Comparison of daily mean CO_2 (n = 89) (a), LE (n = 81) (b), and CH_4 (n = 141) (c) fluxes derived from the heated Metek sonic anemometer (y-axis) and the non-heated CSAT3 anemometer, both paired with the LGR closed-path analyzer at ATQ. Half-hourly data were only used in daily mean calculations if both sensor pairs had good quality data (non-gaps).



Figure 4. Sensible heat fluxes from IVO during a heating activation of the intermittently heated Metek anemometer. The solid red line shows the duration of heating. Grey points show noisy data resulting from the build-up of ice and snow and the resulting activation of anemometer heating.



Figure 5. Comparisons of daily mean F_{CO2} (a), LE (c) and F_{CH4} (e) between open and closed-paths sensors at BES and CMDL, and comparisons of F_{CO2} (b) and LE (d) between closed and (en)closed-path sensors ATQ.



Figure S1. Comparisons of LE between closed and (en)closed-path sensors ATQ. The (en)closed-path LI-7200 was equipped with tube and rain cup of the larger pre-2013 design, which were subsequently replaced by smaller designs in mid-2014, greatly reducing attenuation of the H_2O signal and improving comparisons with the closed-path LGR-FGGA-24EP.



Figure S2. Daytime normalized binned-ensemble-averaged w'T' cospectra plotted versus normalized frequency for three sonic anemometers during periods when data from different anemometers were available at the same time: a. ATQ between the 15 August and the 27 August 2013, b. ATQ between 8 October and 31 October 2013, and c-IVO between the 8 October and 31 October 2013. Kaimal model for unstable and neutral conditions and-4/3 dampening slope are also shown for each site to provide a reference as to what should be expected for a high-frequency drop-off. * indicates that the Gill WMP used in CMDL has been added as for information purpose.



Figure S3. Daytime normalized binned-ensemble-averaged flux cospectra of CO₂ (a, d, g, j), H₂O (b, e, h, k) and CH₄ (c, f, i, l) plotted versus normalized frequency for three experimental sites during common periods when data from different measurements systems were available at the same time. Kaimal's model for unstable and neutral conditions and -4/3 slope are also shown for each site to provide a reference as to what should be expected for a high-frequency drop-off.