UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *The cone snails of Cape Verde: marine endemism at a terrestrial scale:marine endemism at a terrestrial scale.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/104148/</u>

Article:

Peters, Howard, O'Leary, Bethan Christine orcid.org/0000-0001-6595-6634, Hawkins, Julie Patricia et al. (1 more author) (2016) The cone snails of Cape Verde: marine endemism at a terrestrial scale:marine endemism at a terrestrial scale. Global Change Biology. pp. 201-213. ISSN 1354-1013

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2016.06.006

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 The cone snails of Cape Verde: marine endemism at a terrestrial scale

- 2 Howard Peters^a, Bethan C. O'Leary^{*a}, Julie P. Hawkins^a, Callum M. Roberts^a
- ³ ^aEnvironment Department, Wentworth Way, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5NG, UK
- 4 **Corresponding author*
- 5 *email: bethan.oleary@york.ac.uk
- 6 *Phone: +44 (0)1904 322999
- 7
- 8
- 9

10 Abstract

- 11 Cape Verde in the Eastern Atlantic is typical of many island groups in supporting a wealth of endemic
- 12 species both terrestrial and marine. Marine gastropod molluscs of the genus *Conus*, commonly
- 13 known as cone snails, occur in coastal tropical waters throughout the globe, but in Cape Verde their
- 14 endemism reaches its apogee with 53 out of 56 species occurring nowhere else, the majority of
- 15 which are restricted to single islands and frequently to single bays. However, Cape Verde is rapidly
- 16 moving to a tourism-based economy with a projected boom in infrastructure development often
- 17 coincidental with the shallow-water habitat of many range-restricted *Conus*. The conservation
- assessment of *Conus* to standards of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN)
- 19 Red List of Endangered Species, found that 45.3% of 53 species assessed from Cape Verde are
- threatened or near-threatened with extinction compared to 7.4% of 579 species in the rest of the world. The only three *Conus* species globally assessed as Critically Endangered and on the cusp of
- extinction are all endemic to Cape Verde. Our analysis of *Conus* species distribution, together with
- 22 extinction are an enderme to cape verde. Our analysis of comes species distribution, together with 23 spatial data of coastal protected areas and tourism development zones, identify important areas for
- future research and new marine protection. Our findings show that endemism with its associated
- risks for *Conus* in Cape Verde has worldwide parallels with many non-marine taxa, while our
- 26 proposed strategy for *Conus* conservation extends beyond the confines of the country and this
- 27 taxonomic group.
- 28 Keywords: Conus; conservation; Red List; mollusc; threat, tourism

29

31 1. Introduction

- 32 Small islands and archipelagos, isolated by distance and ocean currents, support centres of
- 33 endemism in both terrestrial and marine taxa (Roberts et al., 2002). However, these endemism
- 34 'hotspots' are often subject to threats from natural and anthropogenic forces that can have a
- disproportionate impact on the biodiversity they support (Fordham & Brook, 2010). Cape Verde in
- 36 the tropical Eastern Atlantic is such a 'hotspot' and although it is poorly represented by mammals, it
- 37 is rich in endemic invertebrates including 473 species of arthropod and 140 species of beetle
- 38 (Triantis et al., 2010), and widely recognised for its endemic plants (Duarte et al., 2008; Romeiras et
- al., 2016) and reptiles (Vasconcelos et al., 2013). In the surrounding seas endemic zoanthids occur
- 40 (Reimer et al., 2010), and it is also here that marine endemism reaches its apogee in the venomous
- 41 marine gastropod genus *Conus* (Peters et al., 2013).
- 42 Cape Verde is an archipelago of ten volcanic islands and several islets (Fig. 1) 570 km west of Senegal
- 43 and is the most southerly of the Macaronesian islands. There is also a shallow seamount known as
- 44 the João Valente Shoals between the islands of Boa Vista and Maio, with a platform at 14 m that is
- 45 probably a guyot (Ramalho, 2011). The Canary Current flowing south-west from Morocco brings
- 46 nutrient-rich waters to the region attracting both artisanal and international fishing fleets (Mundt,
- 47 2012). With the exception of Santa Luzia, all the islands are inhabited. Service industries account for
- 48 73% of the country's economy, with agriculture and fisheries together constituting only 9%
- 49 (Nshimyumuremyi & Simpasa, 2015). Cape Verde has few natural resources apart from marine
- 50 products and services and the land is generally unsuited to agriculture, such that around 80% of food
- 51 is imported (de Carvalho, 2013).
- 52 Tourism is now considered Cape Verde's primary economic force and including directly associated
- 53 sectors, is responsible for 40% of gross domestic product (2014), forecast to increase to 49% by
- 54 2025 with visitor numbers expected to reach nearly 701 000 by 2025 (World Travel & Tourism
- 55 Council, 2015). In addition to attracting foreign investment tourism also drives the construction
- 56 sector (AfDB et al. 2013) including new harbour facilities at Porto Grande, São Vicente, and
- 57 international airports on Boa Vista and São Vicente to augment those already on Sal and Santiago.
- 58 Plans have also been agreed for the development of a large international casino on the islet of Santa
- 59 Maria off the southern coast of Praia, Santiago (Semedo & Gomes, 2015).
- To support and develop tourism while protecting the natural environment, Integrated Tourism
 Development Zones (ZDTI) have been delineated (Cabo Verde, 1994) and selected for geographical
 location and landscape suitability (Fig. 2). Tourism Reserve and Protection Zones serving as buffers
 to ZDTIs offer some natural protection from development, although incursion from ZDTIs into these
- cones has made their value questionable (GEF/UNDP, 2013). Prior to development for each ZDTI a
- 65 management plan is required which must then undergo a full environmental impact assessment. No
- 66 form of extraction is allowed within a ZDTI (Decree-Law 29/2006). ZDTIs are managed by Cabo Verde
- 67 Investimentos (CVI) and the Sociedade de Desenvolvimento Turistico das Ilhas de Boa Vista e Maio
- 68 (SDTIBM) on behalf of the government. Currently, there are 25 ZDTIs designated principally around
- 69 Santiago, Maio, Boa Vista, Sal and São Vicente, in which large-scale investment in infrastructure is
- 70 anticipated (Fig. 2) (SDTIBM, 2010).
- 71 In 2003, Decree-Law No. 3/2003 (44/2006 amended) nominated 47 protected areas (PA) for Cape
- 72 Verde (Tables S1 & S2); however, not all have been gazetted and most are not staffed owing to a
- complexity of land ownership and lack of funding (Laurie & Benchimol, 2013). All have suffered
- from a general lack of management capability (Laurie & Benchimol, 2013; UNDP, 2009). A large-
- scale initiative to consolidate all PAs under a single structural plan was launched in 2009 through a
- 76 joint enterprise between the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the Global
- 77 Environment Facility (GEF), and the Government of Cape Verde (UNDP, 2009). The project's long-

- 78 term goal was "to conserve globally significant terrestrial and marine biodiversity in priority
- recosystems of Cape Verde through a protected area system's approach". Central to the approach
- 80 was to be the establishment of a Protected Area Autonomous Authority (PAAA). The project was
- 81 scheduled for completion by December 2014, however, the latest report indicates that lack of
- 82 financing for the PAAA together with failure of enforcement of environmental legislation threatens
- 83 its sustainability (Laurie & Benchimol, 2013).

84 Currently, 27 PAs have been scheduled that encompass coastal elements of which one, Baía da 85 Murdeira, is exclusively marine (Fig. 2, Table S1). Protection of the shoreline, although typically 86 planned as a constraint on development in order to preserve the landscape, should coincidentally 87 reduce disturbance to taxa that dwell in the shallows. Unfortunately however, the PA network was 88 not created in a scientifically structured way with many areas selected on the basis of features such 89 as their landscape merit, bird nesting sites or recreational appeal without consideration to an overall 90 conservation objective (Vasconcelos et al., 2012). Additionally, they are subject to little in the way of 91 planned wildlife inventories or biodiversity monitoring programmes (Vasconcelos et al., 2012).

92 The Second National Environmental Action Plan, PANA II, (2004), a governmental umbrella 93 programme for environmental management for the years 2004-2014 currently has no successor publication. However, echoing concerns expressed through PANA II, the UNDP on Protected Areas 94 95 (PAs) in Cape Verde identified key threats to the marine environment quoting: increasing pollution 96 from the dumping of waste, effluent and oil; a lack of waste collection; no effective regulation to 97 compel boats to segregate oil from other effluents; increasing discharge of urban wastewater into 98 the seas; and lack of pollution contingency planning (UNDP, 2009). Development in the interior of 99 the islands has led to deposition of sediment in coastal areas and widespread excavation of marine 100 sand for construction (de Carvalho & Araújo, 2006; Höflinger, 2014; UNDP, 2009). From further 101 afield, oil spills from offshore drilling in Mauritania could be transported by the Canary Current and 102 carried ashore in Cape Verde, as proven by Mauritanian fish traps finding their way onto Cape Verde

103 beaches (FAO/UNEP, 2007).

104 Cone snails of the genus Conus occur within tropical and subtropical coastal waters throughout the 105 world where they have evolved into more than 630 species (Kohn, 1990). Cone snails are 106 carnivorous gastropods that with few exceptions feed exclusively on either fish, molluscs or worms 107 (Duda et al., 2001). They in turn are preyed upon by other carnivorous taxa most notably crabs 108 (Dietl & Hendricks, 2006) and are therefore important components in the marine food web. To 109 immobilize their prey, all cone snails utilise venom known as 'conotoxins' that comprise a complex 110 cocktail of peptides delivered via radulae that have been adapted through evolution into harpoons (Olivera, 1997). Biomedical research of conotoxins (Bingham et al., 2010) has gained traction during 111 112 the past 25 years, but today less than two per cent of toxins has so far been characterised (Kaas et 113 al., 2010). Nevertheless, with each species of cone snail developing up to 100 discrete toxins 114 targeted at a broad range of highly-specific cellular receptor sites, and with little replication between 115 species, a considerable reservoir of potential pharmacological agents remains to be explored for use 116 in a wide range of medical therapies (Terlau & Olivera, 2004). Furthermore, outside their ecological 117 and pharmacological utility, cone snails help support a global industry in the trade for specimen 118 shells and shellcraft (Dance, 1966; Floren, 2003; Rice, 2007).

An analysis of the findings by Peters et al. (2013) from their global assessment of 632 species of

- 120 Conus for the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species
- revealed that those endemic to the Eastern Atlantic and to Cape Verde in particular represent a
- disproportionate number of globally threatened species (Fig. S1) (IUCN, 2013; Peters et al., 2013;
- 123 Tenorio, 2012). Fifty-three of the 56 *Conus* assessed for the Red List for Cape Verde are endemic
- 124 (Monteiro et al, 2004; Peters et al., 2013). This includes 24 species, representing over one-third

(36%) of the 67 *Conus* species assessed as 'threatened' or 'near threatened' globally, and 45% of the
 53 Cape Verde endemic species, compared to 18% of 231 remaining endemic species across the rest
 of the world. Such a high concentration of endemic marine species of the same genus is exceptional
 and may be unsurpassed (Duda & Rolán 2005). Across Macaronesia, other areas are largely devoid
 of *Conus* (Monteiro et al., 2004).

130 Unlike many cone snails, all endemic Cape Verde Conus larvae are lecithotrophic, and obtain 131 nourishment through an egg sac during their pre-metamorphic dispersal phase (Kohn & Perron, 132 1994; Perron, 1981). This has resulted in low larval production with limited dispersal ability but 133 accelerated speciation and probably accounts for an unusual diversity of species with the majority 134 restricted to single islands or even single bays (Cunha et al., 2005). Main cladogenetic events of 135 Conus in the archipelago are associated with episodes of low sea level that caused an increase in 136 available shallow-water habitat. The increase in the habitat area combined with the reduced 137 dispersal abilities of Conus larvae and the irregular shape of the Cape Verde coastline, created 138 conditions for genetic differentiation to occur (Cunha et al. 2005; Cunha et al. 2008). A recent study 139 also showed that sea surface temperature is an equally important predictor of *Conus* diversity in 140 Cape Verde, as demonstrated by ecological models (Cunha et al., 2014). This high degree of 141 endemism among Cape Verde Conus with a hereditary loss of functionality to freely disperse, low 142 larval production, sensitivity to sea-surface temperatures and highly restricted range, has set the

143 scene for an elevated threat of extinction.

144 With the exception of *C. atlanticoselvagem*, all Cape Verde endemic *Conus* occur within snorkel

depth and only seven descend deeper than 5 m, with none below 15 m (Peters et al., 2013).

146 Although small in size, they generally display an attractive pattern and may easily be gathered by

tourists or by international shell traders for direct sale (e.g. www.caboverdeshells.com,

www.shellauction.net) where only live animals offer the quality of shell demanded by seriouscollectors.

150 To understand why an exceptionally high ratio of threatened cone snail species occurs on Cape 151 Verde compared to other regions with high species richness of Conus (Peters et al., 2013; Peters et 152 al., 2015) we analysed the threats to all Cape Verde's 53 endemic species. Building on threat data, 153 species' distribution and bathymetry, we explored the proximity of threatened species across their 154 combined occupancy against areas zoned for tourism development and sites designated for marine 155 and coastal protection, to consider effectiveness of current conservation planning. We identified 156 areas of high value to Conus that we consider a priority for future research and conservation, also 157 highlighting where threats to the marine environment generally may be greatest. These areas are 158 likely to require environmental impact assessments in future planning of shoreline development. 159 More broadly our study offers guidance not only for the protection of endemic Conus of Cape Verde 160 but helps to inform future strategy on marine management that can be applied equally to other 161 regions of high endemism worldwide, whether marine or terrestrial.

162

163 2. Methods

164 2.1. IUCN Red List assessment

We analysed extinction threats to the 53 *Conus* species endemic to Cape Verde within a global Red List assessment, prepared to IUCN standards (IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee 2010) as described in Peters et al. (2013). A recent revision of *Conus* systematics resulting in reclassification to 82 genera (Tucker & Tenorio, 2009; Bouchet et al., 2011) is gaining broad acceptance (Petuch pers. comm. 2014). However, with the new taxonomy not yet universally adopted (Kohn 2014), and to facilitate cross-referencing, all species in our study are referred to by their original Linnaean

genus, *Conus*, as presented in the Red List. Furthermore, 19 recently described species endemic to

172 Cape Verde from sites in Boa Vista, Maio and Sal (Afonso & Tenorio, 2014; Cossignani & Fiadeiro,

- 2014; Cossignani, 2014; Tenorio et al., 2014) have been excluded from this analysis as they have not
 yet been assessed for the Red List and their range is unknown except for the type locality which
- 175 provides no measurable distribution.

176 Three Red List 'threatened' categories define the highest levels of threat to a species, namely: 177 Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU), broadly representing 'extremely 178 high', 'very high', and 'high' risk of extinction. Species assessed for likely elevation to a 'threatened' 179 category are categorized as Near Threatened (NT); those with insufficient data for categorization are 180 classed as Data Deficient (DD) and species not considered to be at current or imminent threat are 181 categorized as Least Concern (LC). Note that although Data Deficient species are not classified as threatened, research suggests that a high proportion of species assessed for this category are also at 182 183 risk (Howard & Bickford, 2014; Morais et al., 2013). Of 632 Conus species assessed world-wide, all 3 184 CR, 4 of 11 EN, and 5 of 27 VU are endemic to Cape Verde. A further 12 species within Cape Verde 185 from the global total of 26 are categorised as NT, and there is a single DD species from the global

186 total of 87 (Peters et al., 2013).

187 For our analysis, we extracted data held on the IUCN Red List for each species including associated 188 spatial data. We examined each species' distribution and bathymetric profile with evidence of 189 abundance, sub-populations and habitat preference. For enhanced accuracy in mapping species 190 richness, we used species distribution trimmed to only include the area within each species' 191 reported bathymetric range using data from General Bathymetric Chart of the Ocean (GEBCO, 2013). 192 ArcGIS version 10.1 with Python version 2.7 (Environmental Systems Research Institute) were used 193 to analyse the data. All data were standardised onto 1 km² grid cells and projected to Lambert 194 Conformal Conic. With the exception of two species (C. atlanticoselvagem and C. luquei), all endemic 195 Conus in Cape Verde are found in the shallow littoral zone in waters up to two metres or less. At 196 these depths precision is found wanting in most published bathymetric chart data, giving rise to 197 small mapping discrepancies in the areas of occurrence of taxa living there. Consequently a few 198 species appear to lie further offshore than would be expected. Nevertheless, their locations still 199 remain relevant to their proximity to neighbouring regions zoned for development, as well as those 200 subject to protection.

201 2.2 Tourism in Cape Verde

Islands earmarked for major development include Boa Vista, Maio, Sal, São Vicente and Santiago.
The scope of the development for Boa Vista and Maio are described in reports by SDTIBM (SDTIBM,
2013a, 2013b). The most detailed descriptions for Sal and São Vicente ZDTIs descriptions have been
published by the Millennium Challenge Account – Cabo Verde II (Millennium Challenge Account,
2012a, 2012b). ZDTIs have also been described for Santiago in Cape Verde government bulletin No.
20 of 23 May 1994.

To explore trends in tourism, we analysed statistical data using descriptive statistics published by Cape Verde National Institute of Statistics (INE, 2015) on annual visitor numbers for each island,

- 210 including hotel occupancy for the ten years from 2005 to 2014 inclusive (INE, 2015).
- 211 2.3. Protected Areas
- By reference to PANA II, (2004) together with UNDP project 4176 (Laurie & Benchimol, 2013; UNDP,
- 213 2009) and local development plans, and through exploring the effectiveness of current marine
- 214 protection strategies, we considered the location of all scheduled marine and coastal protected

- 215 areas in relation to Conus species' distribution. The exposure of Conus to possible disturbance from
- 216 ZDTI development on the islands of Boa Vista, Maio, Sal, São Vicente and Santiago were analysed
- 217 through a review of existing ZDTI plans and their spatial proximity.
- 218 2.4. Proposed priority areas for research and conservation
- 219 We adopted four progressively expanding 'scenarios' to provide a context from which the most

appropriate priority marine areas for future *Conus* research and conservation could be selected.

Each 'scenario' or Proposed Priority Areas for Conservation (PPAC) used the consolidated ranges of all species categorized as: (1) CR; (2) CR and EN; (3) CR, EN and VU; and (4) CR, EN, VU and NT. These

223 PPACs were selected to ensure priority for biogeographical areas containing the most highly

threatened species. Incidental species' representation was also considered to identify the added

- value of each PPAC. We considered the use of a fifth scenario including the distribution of the single
- 226 DD species, however this was found to be unnecessary as the range of this species was fully
- 227 represented in scenario 3. We believe this approach is effective in identifying areas with the widest
- range of threatened *Conus* that may be managed economically.
- 229

230 **3. Results**

231 3.1. Conus species richness and distribution

Table 1 describes the 53 species of *Conus* assessed, of which 81% (43) are restricted to a single island

and mostly within a small area (including *C. atlanticoselvagem* from the João Valente Shoals

between Boa Vista and Maio). Distribution is weighted towards the east with the Leeward group in

the south having disproportionately fewer species than the Windward group in the north (Figs. 2 and

3). Species richness is greatest on the islands of Sal, Boa Vista and Maio which together account for

41 species (Fig. 3). Together with the distribution of endemic *Conus* species richness, Figure 2 also

- 238 describes PAs with a marine element, and ZDTIs.
- 239 3.2. Tourism

240 Statistics from hoteliers for the decade from 2005 to 2014 show registered establishments increased

by 73%, hotel arrivals by 131%, and total number of nights spent by 265% (Figs. S2 and S3). Visitors

- are concentrated on Sal and Boa Vista which together account for nearly 90% of total nights spent in
- 243 Cape Verde (Fig S3).
- 244 3.3. Conus Status by island

Table 1 summarises the assessment category of all endemic species by island (Fig. 1) with the
rationale to support this and an outline of the development status of each island. Threatened (CR,
EN, VU), Near Threatened (NT) and Data Deficient (DD) species are described in greater detail below:

248 3.3.1. Santo Antão

Santo Antão hosts a single species of *Conus*, namely *C. fernandesi* (EN), a recently described and
 scarce species that occurs along just one kilometre of coast, off Porto Novo and close to the small

- but busy ferry port, where it is at risk from accidental discharge of oil and other pollutants.
- 252 3.3.2. São Vicente and Santa Luzia
- Eight species of *Conus* are endemic to these two islands, five of which occur on both. *C. curralensis*(NT) is restricted to Santa Luzia with *C. denizi* (NT) and *C. lugubris* (CR) restricted to São Vicente.

With the exception of *C. lugubris* all São Vicente *Conus* occur off the island's east coast. *C. lugubris*,
however, is limited to the north shore of the island with its centre of population in the Baía de

- 257 Salamansa. Most of the shallow water, rocky habitats occupied by this species have been disturbed,
- and most, if not all of their populations are thought to have been extirpated. No specimens of *C*.
- 259 *lugubris* have been collected since the 1980s. *C. decorates* (VU), occurs along the southeast coast of
- 260 São Vicente where it is subject to disturbance from beach tourism, fishers and shell collectors. It is
- also found along three kilometres in the southwest of Santa Luzia where populations are considered
 scarce. A further population at Salamansa in the north of São Vicente has been lost. *C. bellulus* (DD)
- scarce. A further population at Salamansa in the north of São Vicente has been lost. *C. bellulus* (DD)
 has not been recorded for several years and has probably always been scarce. There are two other
- 264 NT species, namely *C. navarroi* and *C. saragasae*. As with *C. curralensis* and *C denizi* both occupy
- highly restricted ranges in shallow water where they are at risk from pollution, over-gathering and
- 266 habitat loss, although not yet at a level where they are at immediate risk.
- 267 3.3.3. São Nicolau

268 The island only hosts *C. kersteni*, which is restricted to the southwest. This species has been 269 assessed as NT on a precautionary basis owing to its highly restricted range.

270 3.3.4. Sal

271 Twelve species of *Conus* are endemic to Sal. These include one CR, *C. mordeirae*; two EN, *C.*

272 ateralbus and C. cuneolus; and three VU, C. felitae, C. fontonae and C. regonae. All six threatened

273 species occur within snorkel reach at depths from approximately one to five metres along the

western coast of the island, and except *C. fontonae* and *C. regonae* are principally located along Baía

- da Murdeira. The ranges of *C. ateralbus* and *C. cuneolus* also extend two kilometres to the south into
 Baía do Algodoeiro, while *C. cuneolus* also occurs along the southern bay of Santa Maria. North of
- 277 Baía da Murdeira, *C. fontonae* occurs in Baía da Fontona to the south of the port of Palmeira, and C.
- 278 *regonae* has its habitat extending to the north and south of the port. Both of these range-restricted
- 279 shallow water species are threatened because of risk to their habitat from marine pollution, in
- particular the accidental discharge of oil from boat traffic including tankers and other commercialvessels using the port of Palmeira.

282 *C. mordeirae*, with its population restricted to the bay that bears its name, has been observed to be

- in decline, with the highest density of taxa occurring adjacent to resort developments. Similarly, *C.*
- *felitae* occurs solely in the north of the bay where plans have been mooted to extend development.
 Under such eventuality and in the absence of special conservation measures, this species may
- 286 require re-categorisation from VU to CR.

287 3.3.5. Boa Vista

288 Boa Vista has the greatest diversity of *Conus* with 21 species of which 15 are endemic to the island. 289 All three threatened species occur off the west coast of which C. salreiensis (CR) is only found in the 290 northwest of Boa Vista in the bay at Sal Rei and its adjacent islet. Harbour construction in the early 291 1990s impacted abundance and this species is now mainly found off the islet where it is at risk from 292 pollution and human disturbance. C. crotchii (EN) occurs from Morro de Areia south to Santa 293 Mónica in the centre of the new tourism zone where paved roads and resort hotels are under 294 construction. This places it at high risk from damage to habitat during the construction phase and of 295 continuing disturbance thereafter from holidaymakers. C. teodorae (VU) also occurs around Sal Rei 296 continuing north to Baía Teodora for 4.5 km. Around the southern half of its range it is subject to the same pressures as C. salreiensis. 297

- 298 There are seven NT species found off Boa Vista of which five are endemic to the island: *C derrubado*
- restricted to just five kilometres of coast in the north; *C. diminutus* which is found along two 2
- kilometre sites in the west; *C. evorai* and *C luquei* which occur off Baía das Gatas in the northeast
- 301 with another population of *C. evorai* at the islet off Sal Rei; and *C. trochulus* which with *C. josephinae* 302 occurs along the western shores of Boa Vista adjacent to part of the development zone and
- 302 occurs along the western shores of Boa Vista adjacent to part of the development zone and
 303 continuing north to Sal Rei. There is a sub-population of *C. josephinae* also on Maio. With the
- 304 exception of C. trochulus and C. josephinae, all these NT species have highly restricted ranges, and
- 305 although not at immediate risk as they are sufficiently remote from main centres of tourism, they
- 306 may become threatened in the future. *C atlanticoselvagem* (NT) occurs on the João Valente Shoals
- which are only visited by lobster fishers, and although within SCUBA depths the shoals do not at
- 308 present attract divers. However, its solitary site and the potential for over-gathering or habitat
- 309 degradation have placed this species as a candidate for future review.
- 310 3.3.6. Maio, Santiago, Fogo and Brava
- 311 There are no Threatened or Near Threatened *Conus* species on these islands except where they also
- 312 occur on other islands (Table 1). However, in the light of recent tourism resort and casino
- development plans it may be necessary to review their assessments over the short term.
- 314 3.6. Proposed Priority Areas for Conservation (PPAC)
- 315 Proposed priority areas for future research (e.g. ground truthing surveys) and conservation (PPACs)
- occur mostly around Sal, particularly to the southwest, and in the west of Boa Vista (Fig. 4). Other
- 317 smaller pockets occur around Santo Antão, São Vicente and Santa Luzia. The combined areas range
- from 35 km² to 311 km², depending on which level of PPAC is adopted, representing between 4%
- and 33% of the entire range of *Conus* across Cape Verde (Table S3) and between approximately
 0.12% and 1.11% of Cape Verde's territorial waters. Subject to physical survey, between 36% and
- 93% of species would be represented in any conservation initiative (Table S3). Under the most
- 322 protective PPAC, i.e. number 4 (CR, EN, VU and NT), only four species, all of Least Concern, would be
- 323 unrepresented: C. antoniomonteiroi, C. furnae, C. melissae and C. verdensis
- 3.7. Tourism Development Zones (ZDTIs) with proximity to Proposed Priority Areas for Conservation(PPACs)
- Table 1 describes the ZDTIs designated on each island and Figure 4 shows the position of the ZDTIs in relation to PAs and PPACs. ZDTIs in close proximity to PPACs include:
- 1. Boa Vista: Chave (PPACs 1-4), Morro de Areia (PPACs 2-4) and Santa Mónica (PPAC 2).
- 2. Sal: Morrinho Branco (PPACs 2-4) and Murdeira e Algodoeiro (PPACs 1-4).
- 330 3. São Vicente: Salamansa (PPAC 2), Sul da Baia, Ponta de Saragaça and Vale Palha Carga, and 331 Calheta (PPACs 3-4).
- 332
- Areas requiring the most urgent research and potential protection (PPAC 1) are located around the islands of Boa Vista, Sal and São Vicente (Fig. 4 and Table S4). Currently, only Sal has PA conservation support for PPAC 1 (CR) and 2 (CR & EN) areas through the Baía da Murdeira Nature Reserve and the Costa da Fragata Nature Reserve. Only under PPAC 4 do other islands offer any existing protection to PPACs. No PPACs are located around the islands of Brava, Fogo, Santiago, Branco or Raso.
- 338

4. Discussion

340 Cape Verde is a centre of endemism for both terrestrial and marine organisms (Freitas, 2014;

Romeiras et al., 2016; Vasconcelos et al., 2012). Twelve Cape Verde *Conus* species are classified as

threatened on the Red List of which three attain the highest risk category of Critically Endangered. A

343 further 12 species have been assessed as Near Threatened. All these species have highly restricted

ranges, low population sizes and an inability to freely disperse which exposes them to an elevated

risk of extinction from a range of pressures. As numbers decline, low-density populations fall subject

- to the 'Allee Effect' and become unable to locate a mate (Berec et al. 2007), exacerbated by
- inadequate genetic diversity to ensure a healthy population (Briggs, 1966).

There are many who consider marine taxa to be less susceptible to extinction risk than terrestrial species (Roberts & Hawkins, 1999; Webb & Mindel, 2015). However, our assessment of Cape Verde

- species (Roberts & Hawkins, 1999; Webb & Mindel, 2015). However, our assessment of Cape Verde
 Conus suggests that threats to its marine endemic species may be comparable to its non-marine
- endemics. For example, in a Red List assessment of Cape Verde reptiles, only three were non-
- 352 endemic from the 37 extant species recorded of which approximately 35% are within a threatened
- 353 category (CR/EN/VU) with none listed as near threatened (NT) (Vasconcelos et al., 2013). This
- 354 compares similarly to three non-endemic *Conus* from 56 species occurring in the archipelago, of
- which 43% are either threatened or near-threatened. Vasconcelos et al. (2013) state natural
- disasters as representing one of the principle threats to Cape Verde reptiles, but as with *Conus*,
- 357 threats to reptiles are exacerbated by their highly restricted range further aggravated by specimen
- 358 collection. A similar picture emerges elsewhere when comparing Cape Verde cone snails with other
- 359 endemic non-marine taxa at risk: for example, the global threat attributed to island endemic birds
- 360 (De Lima et al., 2011; Johnson & Stattersfield, 1990; Stattersfield et al., 1998) equates with the 23%
- 361 of endemic *Conus* in Cape Verde threatened with extinction (CR/EN/VU).
- To-date most tourism in Cape Verde has been concentrated on Sal and Boa Vista, but there is intent to expand to other islands, in particular low-tourism areas of Maio (SDTIBM, 2013b), São Vicente
- 364 (Laurie & Benchimol, 2013), and Santiago (Nshimyumuremyi & Simpasa, 2015). It has been reported
- that the government would like to see one million visitors by 2020 although many believe this would
- 366 be unsustainable (Baker, 2009). In common with many developing countries, Cape Verde suffers
- from inadequate management of its natural resources with damaging practices such as sand
 extraction. New harbour construction has already resulted in the decline of *C. salreiensis* leading to
- 369 its Critically Endangered status. Disturbance to habitats from tourism infrastructure projects has had
- 370 similar impacts on the viability of Cape Verde's two other Critically Endangered species, namely *C*.
- 371 *lugubris* and *C. mordeirae*. With multi-million dollar investments also driving an emerging
- 372 international resort and casino sector, exemplified by large-scale construction that will cover the
- islet of Santa Maria off Santiago (Semedo & Gomes, 2015), this can be expected to continue.
- Our analysis has shown that Cape Verde endemic *Conus* with their narrow bathymetric range biased
 towards coastal shallows combined with a restricted geographical distribution places all species at
- 376 risk especially in areas of development for tourism. Although some species are targeted by
- 377 specimen shell collectors this is not yet believed to have had a major impact on the viability of most
- 378 (Tenorio pers. comm. 2013). However, rare species already facing pressures from other factors may
- 379 be pushed further towards extinction by irresponsible gathering for shells.
- A recent study revealed there to be scant awareness among citizens of the islands' vulnerability to climate change and its likely impacts (de Carvalho, 2013). It is currently unknown what effect, if any, the hurricane on September 1, 2015 will have had on shallow water marine organisms. This
- hurricane, the most easterly ever recorded in the tropical Atlantic (NOAA, 2015) is possibly the
- harbinger of future extreme weather events caused by climate change. Certainly, elevated sea-
- 385 surface temperatures combined with increasing acidification of the oceans create an uncertain

future for all marine calcifying taxa (Doney et al., 2009). This includes cone snails (Peters et al., 2015)
and other molluscs whose larvae are at particular risk (Gazeau et al., 2013).

Recognising this lack of environmental awareness, PANA II incorporated programmes of popular
 education and environmental awareness including marine protection into its strategic plans.

390 Furthermore, the use of ZDTIs to control development with enforceable environmental impact

391 assessments and designation of 47 protected areas, further underscore the government's

392 commitment. This is to be applauded, however, this could be put at risk through a shortage of

393 political will and lack of funding (UNDP, 2009).

Biodiversity hotspots and centres of endemism such as Cape Verde benefit from integrated

395 conservation strategies incorporating both marine and terrestrial ecosystems to the benefit of all

taxa within boundaries (Roberts et al., 2002). In its current form, the protected area network in Cape
 Verde is primarily an ad-hoc collection of zones selected as much for their landscape appeal as for

any planned ecological purpose, and generally lack management and scientific monitoring

399 (Vasconcelos et al., 2012). Our proposed priority area approach for *Conus* will enhance Cape Verde's

400 conservation initiative and coincidentally help conserve other shallow water taxa, in particular

401 sessile and semi-sessile marine invertebrates and the habitats in which they occur (Dumas et al.,

402 2013; Edgar & Barrett, 1999; Linares et al., 2011). This can be particularly effective where terrestrial

and marine reserves are treated as a combined entity (Roberts et al., 2002). However, to be

404 effective, such areas need to be fully-enforced, permanent no-take zones (Edgar et al., 2014).

To secure the future of Cape Verde *Conus*, further direct and enforceable action is needed before
projected increases in tourism are realised. With customs control of threatened species unrealistic,
we recommend an export ban on all Cape Verde *Conus* either animals or shells, with exception only
through special licence for scientific research. Population assessments with ongoing monitoring of all *Conus* species should be initiated using PPACs as a guide. We have identified 311 km² of *Conus*habitat as PPACs, 11% of which, i.e. those identified under PPAC 1 (Figure 4) should be considered
for immediate protection under the precautionary principle although Sal protected areas in

412 particular already offer protection to some of the PPACs.

413 In pursuit of effective Conus conservation, we recommend further species' habitat and bathymetric 414 assessments via mapping and ground survey techniques, to strengthen knowledge and ensure 415 current and future marine reserves provide adequate protection. With many ZDTIs adjacent to 416 PPACs, further marine protection may be needed. ZDTIs planned for other islands should be 417 considered in line with PPACs. To meet its international commitments under the Convention on 418 Biological Diversity (ratified March 1995), Cape Verde needs to not only legally define protected 419 areas but to also develop effective and transparent management, monitoring and enforcement 420 strategies. To achieve this we strongly support the establishment of a Protected Area Autonomous 421 Authority (PAAA). We recognise that marine protection can only be effective if it is supported by the 422 populace and in particular by those who are likely to feel disadvantaged by its implementation such 423 as fishers. Consideration therefore needs to be given to the impact on current activity within the 424 proposed areas and how regional authorities, whose responsibility will be to manage and enforce

425 the designated areas, can play a central role supported by international organisations.

426 Through this study, we have identified shortfalls in current conservation strategy in an attempt to

427 propose solutions against further declines in Cape Verde's *Conus* populations, and to protect the

428 country's shallow water habitat to the benefit of many taxonomic groups, including anthozoa such

429 as sea anemones (Monteiro et al., 1997), corals, crustaceans such as lobsters *Panulirus regius* and *P*.

430 *charlestoni*, already severely over-fished, as well as many species of fish and other molluscs (Duarte

431 & Romeiras, 2009), and organisms such as amphipods and isopods (Stock & Vonk, 1992).

432 Worldwide, our methodology is applicable to other regions of high endemism to help inform their

- 433 marine management strategies. Our findings clearly illustrate that marine organisms can face similar
- 434 levels of extinction risk to non-marine taxa (McKinney, 1998; Roberts & Hawkins, 1999; Webb &
- 435 Mindel, 2015) and that many of the management issues raised through our analysis apply to
- 436 conservation planning in general, thereby supporting the high value of systematic and integrated
- 437 conservation.
- 438

439 Acknowledgements

440 The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of Kent Carpenter at IUCN Global Marine Species 441 Assessment for his technical assistance; Mark Westneat, Audrey Aronowsky, Sarah Kim and Beth 442 Sanzenbacher at the Biodiversity Synthesis Center, Chicago for their organisation of the Conus 443 synthesis workshop at the Field Museum in Chicago; Philippe Bouchet, José Coltro, Tom Duda, Alan 444 Kohn, Eric Monnier, Hugh Morrison, Ed Petuch, Guido Poppe, Gabriella Raybaudi-Massilia, Sheila 445 Tagaro, Manuel Jiménez Tenorio, Stephan Veldsman and Fred Wells for volunteering their time and 446 expertise during the assessment and at the synthesis workshop; Monika Böhm, Heather Harwell, 447 Andrew Hines, Suzanne Livingstone, Jonnell Sanciangco and Mary Seddon for facilitating at the 448 synthesis workshop; Mike Filmer for helping to resolve the many taxonomic issues; Bryce Stewart for 449 his critical review; Hannah Cubaynes, Zarozinia Sheriff and all the interns who assisted with species 450 research; Klaus & Christina Groh of ConchBooks for use of images and maps for the Red List; Mia 451 Theresa Comeros and Angela Goodpaster for their work on the Red List maps; and Caroline Pollock 452 and Janet Scott of the IUCN Red List Unit for bringing the assessment to publication.

453

454 Funding bodies

- 455 The Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) and Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)
- 456 generously supported the Red List research under grant ES/I900764/1. The authors gratefully
- 457 acknowledge funding from the Biodiversity Synthesis Center of the Encyclopedia of Life (EOL) at the
- 458 Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago for the *Conus* Synthesis Workshop; also Tom Haas and the
- 459 New Hampshire Charitable Foundation and the Thomas W. Haas Foundation for their support of the
- 460 Global Marine Species Assessment under the IUCN Global Species Programme.

461 Role of the funding source

- 462 The funders played no role in the study, design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data;
- 463 writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.
- 464
- 465
- 466

467 Literature

- AfDB, OECD, UNDP, & UNECA. (2013). African Economic Outlook 2013, Western African Countries.
 African Development Bank. Dakar, Senegal. Retrieved from
 www.africaneconomicoutlook.org/en
- Afonso, C. M. L., & Tenorio, M. J. (2014). Recent findings from the islands of Maio and Boa Vista in
 the Cape Verde archipelago, West Africa: Description of three new Africonus species
 (Gastropoda: Conidae). *Xenophora Taxonomy*, *3*, 47–60.
- Baker, B. (2009). Cape Verde: Marketing Good Governance. *Africa Spectrum*, 44(2), 135–147.
 Retrieved from http://hup.sub.uni-hamburg.de/giga/afsp/article/view/129/129
- Berec, L., Angulo, E., & Courchamp, F. (2007). Multiple Allee effects and population management.
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 22(4), 185–91. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.12.002
- Bingham, J.-P., Mitsunaga, E., & Bergeron, Z. L. (2010). Drugs from slugs: past, present and future
 perspectives of omega-conotoxin research. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, *183*(1), 1–18.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2009.09.021
- Briggs, J. C. (1966). Oceanic Islands, Endemism, and Marine Paleotemperatures. *Systematic Biology*,
 15(2), 153–163. http://doi.org/10.2307/sysbio/15.2.153
- Cabo Verde. (1994). Presidencia do Conselho de Ministros Decreto-Regulamentar 7/94. *Boletim Oficial*, 1(20), 22. Retrieved from http://www.sdtibm.cv/documentos/BO/bo_I_23-051994_20.pdf
- 486 Cossignani, T. (2014). Dieci nuovi coni da Capo Verde. *Malacologia Mostra Mondiale*, *82*(1), 18–29.
- 487 Cossignani, T., & Fiadeiro, R. (2014). Quattro nuovi coni da Capo Verde. *Malacologia Mostra* 488 *Mondiale*, *83*(2), 14–19.
- Cunha, R. L., Castilho, R., Rüber, L., & Zardoya, R. (2005). Patterns of cladogenesis in the venomous
 marine gastropod genus Conus from the Cape Verde islands. *Systematic Biology*, *54*(4), 634–50.
 http://doi.org/10.1080/106351591007471
- Cunha, R. L., Lima, F. P., Tenorio, M. J., Ramos, A. A., Castilho, R., & Williams, S. T. (2014). Evolution
 at a different pace: Distinctive phylogenetic patterns of cone snails from two ancient oceanic
 archipelagos. *Systematic Biology*, *63*(6), 971–987. http://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syu059
- Cunha, R. L., Tenorio, M. J., Afonso, C., Castilho, R., & Zardoya, R. (2008). Replaying the tape:
 recurring biogeographical patterns in Cape Verde Conus after 12 million years. *Molecular Ecology*, *17*(3), 885–901. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03618.x
- 498 Dance, S. P. (1966). *Shell Collecting an Illustrated History*. Faber and Faber.
- de Carvalho, J. M. C. (2013). Elaboration of the Third International Conference on Sustainable
 Development in Small Island States in Development. Praia, Cape Verde: UNDP.
- de Carvalho, M. L., & Araújo, S. I. (2006). Terceiro Relatório Nacional sobre o Estado da
 Biodiversidade em Cabo Verde. *Direcção Geral Do Ambiente (Ministério Do Ambiente E Agricultura)*. Retrieved from http://hdl.handle.net/10961/1825
- De Lima, R. F., Bird, J. P., & Barlow, J. (2011). Research effort allocation and the conservation of
 restricted-range island bird species. *Biological Conservation*, 144(1), 627–632.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.021
- 507 Dietl, G. P., & Hendricks, J. R. (2006). Crab scars reveal survival advantage of left-handed snails.
 508 *Biology Letters*, *2*, 439–442. http://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0465
- 509 Doney, S. C., Fabry, V. J., Feely, R. A., & Kleypas, J. A. (2009). Ocean Acidification: The Other CO2

- 510 Problem. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, *1*(1), 169–192.
- 511 http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834
- 512 Duarte, M. C., Rego, F., Romeiras, M. M., & Moreira, I. (2008). Plant species richness in the Cape
 513 Verde Islands eco-geographical determinants. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *17*, 453–466.
 514 http://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-007-9226-y
- 515 Duarte, M. C., & Romeiras, M. M. (2009). Cape Verde Islands. In R. G. Gillespie & D. A. Clague (Eds.),
 516 *Encyclopedia of Islands, Encyclopedias of the Natural World No. 2* (pp. 143–148). Berkeley CA:
 517 University of California Press.
- Duda, T. F., Kohn, A. J., & Palumbi, S. R. (2001). Origins of diverse feeding ecologies within Conus, a
 genus of venomous marine gastropods. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 73(4), 391–
 409. http://doi.org/10.1006/bijl.2001.0544
- Duda, T. F., & Rolán, E. (2005). Explosive radiation of Cape Verde Conus, a marine species flock.
 Molecular Ecology, 14(1), 267–72. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02397.x
- Dumas, P., Jimenez, H., Peignon, C., Wantiez, L., & Adjeroud, M. (2013). Small-Scale Habitat
 Structure Modulates the Effects of No-Take Marine Reserves for Coral Reef
- 525 Macroinvertebrates. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(3), e58998. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058998
- Edgar, G. J., & Barrett, N. S. (1999). Effects of the declaration of marine reserves on Tasmanian reef
 fishes, invertebrates and plants. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 242, 107–
 144.
- Edgar, G. J., Stuart-Smith, R. D., Willis, T. J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S. C., Banks, S., ... Edgar, S. C.
 (2014). Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected areas with five key
 features. *Nature*, *506*, 216–220. http://doi.org/10.1038/nature13022
- FAO/UNEP. (2007). Canary Current Large Marine Ecosystem Project GEF/6030-04-10. Retrieved from
 http://www.canarycurrent.org/
- Floren, A. S. (2003). *The Philippine Shell Industry with Special Focus on Mactan, Cebu.* Retrieved from
 http://www.oneocean.org/download/db_files/philippine_shell_industry.pdf
- Fordham, D. A., & Brook, B. W. (2010). Why tropical island endemics are acutely susceptible to
 global change. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *19*(2), 329–342. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10531008-9529-7
- Freitas, R. (2014). The coastal ichthyofauna of the Cape Verde Islands: a summary and remarks on
 endemism. *Zoologia Caboverdiana*, 5(1), 1–13.
- 541 Gazeau, F., Parker, L. M., Comeau, S., Gattuso, J.-P., O'Connor, W. A., Martin, S., ... Ross, P. M.
 542 (2013). Impacts of ocean acidification on marine shelled molluscs. *Marine Biology*, *160*(8),
 543 2207–2245. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2219-3
- 544 GEBCO. (2013). General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans. Retrieved from 545 http://www.gebco.net/data_and_products/
- 546 GEF/UNDP. (2013). Mainstreaming biodiversity conservation into the tourism sector in synergy with 547 a further strengthened protected areas system in Cape Verde. Retrieved from
- 548 http://www.thegef.org/gef/sites/thegef.org/files/gef_prj_docs/GEFProjectDocuments/Biodiver 549 sity/Cape Verde - (5524) - Mainstreaming biodiversity conservation into the t/08-28-
- 550 13_PIF_document_2nd_Resubmission.pdf
- Höflinger, L. (2014). The Sand Thieves: World's Beaches Become Victims of Construction Boom. *Der Spiegel*, (40). Retrieved from http://www.spiegel.de/international/world/global-sand-stocks disappear-as-it-becomes-highly-sought-resource-a-994851.html

- Howard, S. D., & Bickford, D. P. (2014). Amphibians over the edge: silent extinction risk of Data
 Deficient species. *Diversity and Distributions*, 1–10. http://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12218
- 556 INE. (2015). Instituto Nacional de Estatística Cabo Verde 2014. Retrieved from http://www.ine.cv/
- 557 IUCN. (2013). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Retrieved from http://www.iucnredlist.org
- IUCN Standards and Petitions Subcommittee. (2010). Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List
 Categories and Criteria. Version 8.0. Retrieved from
- 560 https://www.iucn.org/about/work/programmes/species/who_we_are/about_the_species_sur
- vival_commission_/ssc_leadership/ssc_sub_committees/standards_and_petitions_sub_commi
 ttee/
- Johnson, T. H., & Stattersfield, A. J. (1990). A global review of island endemic birds. *Ibis*, 132(2), 167–
 180.
- Kaas, Q., Westermann, J. C., & Craik, D. J. (2010). Conopeptide characterization and classifications:
 An analysis using ConoServer. *Toxicon*, *55*(8), 1491–1509.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2010.03.002
- 568 Kohn, A. J. (1990). Tempo and Mode of Evolution in Conidae. *Malacologia*, 32(1), 55–67.
- Kohn, A. J. (2014). *Conus of the southeastern United States and Caribbean*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
 University Press.
- Kohn, A. J., & Perron, F. E. (1994). *Life History and Biogeography Patterns in Conus.* Oxford Science
 Publications.
- Laurie, A., & Benchimol, C. (2013). *Mid-Term Review: Consolidation of Cape Verde's Protected Areas System GEF PIMS: 4176*. Cape Verde.
- Linares, C., Garrabou, J., Hereu, B., Diaz, D., Marschal, C., Sala, E., & Zabala, M. (2011). Assessing the
 Effectiveness of Marine Reserves on Unsustainably Harvested Long-Lived Sessile Invertebrates.
 Conservation Biology, 26(1), 88–96. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01795.x
- 578 McKinney, M. L. (1998). Is marine biodiversity at less risk? Evidence and implications. *Diversity and* 579 *Distributions*, 4(1), 3–8.
- 580 Millennium Challenge Account. (2012a). Compendio de Legislacao (Producto 1) V.final Anexo I Ilha
 581 do Sal.
- 582 Millennium Challenge Account. (2012b). Compendio de Legislacao (Producto 1) V.final Anexo III Ilha
 583 do Sao Vicente.
- Monteiro, A., Tenorio, M. J., & Poppe, G. T. (2004). A Conchological Iconography. The Family
 Conidae. The West African and Mediterranean Species of Conus. Germany: ConchBooks,
 Hackenheim.
- Monteiro, F. A., Solé-Cava, A. M., & Thorpe, J. P. (1997). Extensive genetic divergence between
 populations of the common intertidal sea anemone Actinia equina from Britain, the
 Mediterranean and the Cape Verde Islands. *Marine Biology*, *129*(3), 425–433.
 http://doi.org/10.1007/s002270050183
- Morais, A. R., Siqueira, M. N., Lemes, P., Maciel, N. M., De Marco, P., & Brito, D. (2013). Unraveling
 the conservation status of Data Deficient species. *Biological Conservation*, *166*, 98–102.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.06.010
- Mundt, M. (2012). The effects of EU fisheries partnership agreements on fish stocks and fishermen:
 The case of Cape Verde. *Working Paper, Institute for International Political Economy Berlin, No.* 12/2012, (12), 51.
- 597 NOAA. (2015). Wait, a hurricane formed where in the Atlantic? Retrieved from

- 598 https://www.climate.gov/news-features/event-tracker/wait-hurricane-formed-where-atlantic
- 599 Nshimyumuremyi, A., & Simpasa, A. (2015). Cabo Verde 2015. African Economic Outlook, 14.
- Olivera, B. M. (1997). E.E. Just Lecture, 1996. Conus venom peptides, receptor and ion channel
 targets, and drug design: 50 million years of neuropharmacology. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*,
 8(11), 2101–9.
- PANA II. (2004). Plano de Acção Nacional para o Ambiente II Cabo Verde 2004-2014. Retrieved from
 http://www.governo.cv/documents/PANAII-sintese-final.pdf
- Perron, F. E. (1981). Larval Growth and Metamorphosis of Conus (Castropoda : Toxoglossa) in
 Hawaii. *Pacific Science*, *35*(1), 25–38.
- Peters, H., O'Leary, B. C., Hawkins, J. P., Carpenter, K. E., & Roberts, C. M. (2013). Conus: first
 comprehensive conservation red list assessment of a marine gastropod mollusc genus. *PloS One*, 8(12), e83353. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083353
- Peters, H., O'Leary, B. C., Hawkins, J. P., & Roberts, C. M. (2015). Identifying species at extinction risk
 using global models of anthropogenic impact. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(2), 618–628.
 http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12749
- 613 Ramalho, R. A. S. (2011). *Building the Cape Verde Islands*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Reimer, J. D., Hirose, M., & Wirtz, P. (2010). Zoanthids of the Cape Verde Islands and their
 symbionts : previously unexamined diversity in the Northeastern Atlantic. *Contributions to Zoology*, *79*(4), 147–163.
- Rice, T. (2007). A Catalog of Dealers' Prices for Shells: Marine, Land and Freshwater, 23rd edition. Of
 Sea and Shore Publications.
- Roberts, C. M., & Hawkins, J. P. (1999). Extinction risk in the sea. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
 14(6), 241–246.
- Roberts, C. M., McClean, C. J., Veron, J. E. N., Hawkins, J. P., Allen, G. R., McAllister, D. E., ... Werner,
 T. B. (2002). Marine biodiversity hotspots and conservation priorities for tropical reefs. *Science*(*New York, N.Y.*), 295(5558), 1280–4. http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067728
- Romeiras, M. M., Catarino, S., Gomes, I., Fernandes, C., Costa, J. C., Caujapé-Castells, J., & Duarte, M.
 C. (2016). IUCN Red List assessment of the Cape Verde endemic flora : towards a global
 strategy for plant conservation in Macaronesia. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *180*,
 413–425. http://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12370
- 628 SDTIBM. (2010). Boa Vista & Maio Duas ilhas, um destino diferente. *FazBem*, 1–68.
- SDTIBM. (2013a). The Special Tourism Areas (ZTE) of the island of Boa Vista. Boa Vista and Maio
 Islands Tourism Development Corporation. Retrieved from
- http://www.sdtibm.cv/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=82&Itemid=115&Ian
 g=en
- SDTIBM. (2013b). The Special Tourism Areas (ZTE) of the island of Maio. Boa Vista and Maio Islands
 Tourism Development Corporation. Retrieved from
- 635http://www.sdtibm.cv/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=83&Itemid=116&Ian636g=en
- 637 Semedo, J. M., & Gomes, S. (2015). Estudio de Impacte Ambiental do Cape Verde Integrated Resort &
 638 Casino Cidade Cultural Ilhéu de Santa Maria: Resumo não técnico. Praia, Cape Verde.
- Stattersfield, A. J., Crosby, M. J., Long, A. J., & Wege, D. C. (1998). *Endemic Bird Areas of the World. Priorities for biodiversity conservation. BirdLife Conservation Series 7.* Cambridge, UK.
- 641 Stock, J. H., & Vonk, R. (1992). Marine interstitial Amphipoda and Isopoda (Crustacea) from Santiago,

- 642 Cape Verde Islands. *Bijdragen Tot de Dierkunde*, 62(1), 21–36.
- Tenorio, M. J. (2012). Conus assessment. In *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.2.* (www.iucnre). IUCN. Retrieved from www.iucnredlist.org
- Tenorio, M. J., Afonso, C. M. L., Cunha, R. L., & Rolán, E. (2014). New species of Africonus
 (Gastropoda, Conidae) from Boa Vista in the Cape Verde Archipelago: Molecular and
 Morphological Characterization. *Xenophora Taxonomy*, *2*, 5–21.
- Terlau, H., & Olivera, B. M. (2004). Conus venoms: a rich source of novel ion channel-targeted
 peptides. *Physiological Reviews*, *84*(1), 41–68. http://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00020.2003
- Triantis, K. A., Borges, P. A. V., Hortal, J., & Whittaker, R. J. (2010). The Macaronesian province:
 patterns of species richness and endemism of arthropods. In A. R. M. Serrano, P. A. V. Borges,
 M. Boieiro, & P. Oromí (Eds.), *Terrestrial Artrhropods of Macaronesia Biodiversity, Ecology and Evolution*. Sociedade Portuguesa de Entomologia.
- 654 UNDP. (2009). Consolidation of Cape Verde's Protected Areas System. United Nations Development
 655 Programme UNDP GEF PIMS no. 4176. Retrieved from
- 656 https://info.undp.org/docs/pdc/Documents/CPV/00058319_PRO_DOC_4176_Consolidacao_Ar 657 eas_Protegidas_CV.docx
- Vasconcelos, R., Brito, J. C., Carranza, S., & Harris, D. J. (2013). Review of the distribution and
 conservation status of the terrestrial reptiles of the Cape Verde Islands. *Oryx*, 47, 77–87.
 http://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605311001438
- Vasconcelos, R., Brito, J. C., Carvalho, S. B., Carranza, S., & Harris, D. J. (2012). Identifying priority
 areas for island endemics using genetic versus specific diversity The case of terrestrial reptiles
 of the Cape Verde Islands. *Biological Conservation*, *153*, 276–286.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.04.020
- Webb, T. J., & Mindel, B. L. (2015). Global Patterns of Extinction Risk in Marine and Non-marine
 Systems. *Current Biology*, 25(4), 1–6. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.023
- 667 World Travel & Tourism Council. (2015). *Travel & Tourism Economic Impact Cape Verde*. London.

669 Tables

670 **Table 1.** Assessed Cape Verde endemic *Conus* (N=53) by island with rationale on threatened and near threatened species and proximity to areas zoned for tourism

671 development (ZDTIs). Abbreviations for IUCN Red List species status - CR Critically Endangered, EN Endangered, VU Vulnerable, NT Near Threatened, LC Least Concern, DD

Data Deficient.

Island	Species names and Red List	Species' distribution (except	PAs with marine	Threats	Tourism Development
Santo	(a) <i>C. fernandesi</i> (EN)	Recently described (2008);	None	Accidental discharge of oil	None
Antão		Porto Novo for 1 km to S of		and other pollutants from	
		island.		small but busy ferry port.	
				Scarce	
São Vicente	(a) C. bellulus (DD)*	All except (f) restricted to E of	None	(a) Probably always scarce.	N: Salamansa (5/2008)
	(b) C. decorates (VU)*	island.		(b) Population in N lost from	SW: São Pedro (7/2008)
	(c) <i>C. denizi</i> (NT)	(a) Not recorded for several		development, other scarce	SW: Vale de Flamengos
	(d) C. grahami (LC)*	years.		and suffering disturbance.	(12/2007)
	(e) <i>C. navarroi</i> (NT)*	(b) Calhau S to Saragaça.		(c,e) Pollution, over-	SE: Saragaça e Topinho
	(f) C. lugubris (CR)	(c) Praia Grande in NE of island.		gathering & habitat loss.	(6/2008)
	(g) C. saragasae (NT)*	(e) Calhau		(f) Most of the shallow	S: Palha Carga (7/94)
		(f) Restricted to N where		water, rocky habitats	S: Praia Grande (7/94)
	* also on Santa Luzia	centred at Baía de Salamansa.		disturbed; probably now	NE: Norte e Sul da Baia das
		(g) Calhau to Baía de Saragaça		extirpated. None collected	Gatas (5/2011)
				since 1980s.	
				(g) as (c,e).	
Santa Luzia	(a) C. curralensis (NT)	(a) Restricted to SW of island.	Santa Luzia	(a) Pollution, over-gathering	None
		See also São Vicente (*):		& habitat loss.	
	C. decorates: Cur			See also São Vicente (*):	
		3 km in SW of island		C. decorates, C. navarroi and	
		C. navarroi: Praia Francisca for 2		C. saragasae are all of highly	
	km.			restricted range on Santa	
		C. saragasae: Água Doce and		Luzia and at risk from	
		Curral for 2 km.		pollution and gathering.	
São Nicolau	(a) <i>C. kersteni</i> (NT)	Tarrafal in SW and other	None	Highly restricted range with	None
		reported sightings.		few locations. Pollution.	

Island	Spe	cies names and Red List	Species' distribution (except	PAs with marine	Threats	Tourism Development
	cate	gory of endemic Conus	Least Concern)	element		Zones (ZDTI)
Sal	(a)	C. antoniomonteiroi (LC)	All except (e,k) restricted to W	Salinas Pedra	(b,c,d,i) Tourism	W: Murdeira e
	(b)	C. ateralbus (EN)	of island.	Lume e Cagarral;	development.	Algodoeiro (12/2005)
	(c)	C. cuneolus (EN)	(b) Baía da Murdeira then 2 km	Costa da Fragata;	(e,k) Marine pollution	S: Santa Maria Este e
	(d)	C. felitae (VU)	to S into Baía do Algodoeiro.	Ponta do Sinó;	especially accidental	Oeste (14/2009)
	(e)	C. fontonae (VU)	(c) As (b), continuing further S	Rabo de Junco;	discharge of oil from tankers	SE: Morrinho Branco
	(f)	C. longilineus (LC)	to Baía da Santa Maria.	Serra Negra.	and other vessels using port	(15/2007)
	(g)	C. melissae (LC)	(d) N of Baía da Murdeira.		of Palmeira.	NE: Pedra de Lume
	(h)	C. miruchae (LC)	(e) Regona in N to Petinha in S,			(11/2005)
	(i)	C. mordeirae (CR)	incl. Fontona Bay.			
	(j)	C. pseudocuneolus (LC)	(i) Baía da Murdeira .			
	(k)	C. regonae (VU)	(k) Pedro Lume to Ponta de			
	(I)	C. serranegrae (LC)	Rabo de Junco in N of island.			
Boa Vista	(a)	C. atlanticoselvagem (NT)	All except (a,g,I,m) restricted to	I. de Baluarte;	(a) Only visited by lobster	NW: Chave (2/2007)
	(b)	C. boavistensis (LC)	W of island.	I. dos Pássaros;	fishers, and does not at	W: Morro de Areia
	(c)	C. borgesi (LC)	(a) João Valente Shoals.	I. de Curral Velho;	present attract divers.	(1/2009)
	(d)	<i>C. crotchii</i> (EN)	(d) Morro de Areia S. to Santa	Ponta do Sol;	Potential for over-gathering	SW: Santa Mónica
	(e)	C. damottai (LC)***	Mónica, in centre of Morro de	Boa Esperança;	and/or habitat degradation.	(21/2009)
	(f)	C. delanoyae (LC)	Areia ZDTI and near Chave ZDTI.	Morro de Areia;	(d) Damage to habitat	
	(g)	C. derrubado (NT)	(g) Derrubado for 5 km in N.	Tartaruga;	during resort construction	
	(h)	C. diminutus (NT)	(h) Two 2 km sites: Baía de Sal	Parque do Norte;	and then tourism.	
	(i)	<i>C. evorai</i> (NT)	Rei and Morro da Areia.	I. de Sal-Rei.	(g,h,i,l,m) All NT with highly	
	(j)	C. fuscoflavus (LC)	(i) Three sites: Praia Zebraca,		restricted ranges at risk	
	(k)	C. irregularis (LC)***	Baía das Gatas in NE and the		from increased tourism	
	(I)	C josephinae (NT)***	islet off Sal Rei in W of island.		although not currently	
	(m)	<i>C. luquei</i> (NT)	(l) Ponto do Rincão in N to Sal-		threatened.	
	(n)	C. messiasi (LC)	Rei and S to Morro de Areía .		(q) Impact from harbour	
	(o)	C. pseudonivifer (LC)**	Also on Maio.		construction in early 1990s;	
	(p)	C. roeckeli (LC)	(m) Baía das Gatas in NE for 4		now mainly found off the	
	(q)	C. salreiensis (CR)	km.		islet where at risk from	
	(r)	C. teodorae (VU)	(q) Baía Teodora and Sal Rei		pollution and human	
	(s)	C. trochulus (NT)	with islet.		disturbance. Very scarce.	
	(t)	C. venulatus (LC)*	(r) As (q), also possibly further		(r) As (q) although less	
	(u)	C. vulcanus (LC)	to N.		scarce.	

Island	Species names and Red List category of endemic <i>Conus</i>	Species' distribution (except Least Concern)	PAs with marine element	Threats	Tourism Development Zones (ZDTI)
	 * also on Maio, Sal, Santiago. ** also on Sal, Santiago *** also on Maio. 	(s) Baía Teodora and Baía de Sal-Rei to Curral Velho; adjacent to part of ZDTI.		(s) As (g,h,i,l,m)	
Maio	 (a) C. calhetae (LC) (b) C. claudiae (LC) (c) C. crioulus (LC) (d) C. fantasmalis (LC) (e) C. infinitus (LC) (f) C. isabelarum (LC) (g) C. maioensis (LC) (h) C. raulsilvai (LC) 	All spp. LC.	Casas Velhas; Terras Salgadas; Lagoa de Cimidor; Praia do Morro; Barreiro Figueira; Salinas de Porto Inglés.	None immediate	S: Sul da Vila do Maio (4/2008) SE: Ribeira D. João (4/2008) NW: Pau Seco (18/97)
Santiago	(a) C. verdensis (LC)	All spp. LC.	None	None immediate	 SE: Norte da cidade da Praia (7/94) SE: Sudoeste da Praia E: Achada Baleia (7/94); E: Mangue Monte Negro (7/94); E: Porto Coqueiro (7/94); E: Achada Lage (7/94);
Brava	(a) C. furnae (LC)	All spp. LC.	None	None immediate	

678 Figures



Figure 1. Map of Cape Verde with protected areas with a marine or coastal element shown in green, major

towns as black circles and airports with a plane symbol. Protected Area names and sizes may be cross-referenced to the key in this map from Table S1.



Figure 2. Conus Species richness around Cape Verde with Tourism Development Zones (ZDTIs, Boa Vista, Maio,
 Sal, São Vicente and Santiago only) and Protected Areas (PAs) with a marine or coastal element.



Figure 3. Number of *Conus* species occurring on each Cape Verde island by category of threat. The four
eastern islands of Sal, Boa Vista, Maio and Santiago that together host five species that occur across more than
one of the islands are shown consolidated under 'Multi-island spp'. Only one species (*C. atlanticoselvagem*,
classified as NT) occurs between two islands (Boa Vista and Maio) and this has been allocated to Boa Vista.
Key: CR Critically Endangered, EN Endangered, VU Vulnerable, NT Near Threatened, LC Least Concern, DD Data
Deficient



- Figure 4. Proposed Priority Areas for *Conus* research and conservation (PPACs) in Cape Verde according to four
 progressively expanding scenarios: PPAC 1 represents the range of all Critically Endangered (CR) species (N=3);
 PPAC 2, all CR and Endangered (EN) species (N=7); PPAC 3, all CR, EN and Vulnerable (VU) species (N=12); and
 PPAC 4, all CR, EN, VU and Near Threatened (NT) species (N=24). Additional information on each PPAC is
- 700 provided in Table S4.

703 Supplementary Material - Tables

Table S1. Legally defined Protected Areas (PAs) of Cape Verde (UNDP, 2009). PL Protected Landscape; INR
 Integrated Natural Reserve; NR Nature Reserve; NP Natural Park; NM Natural Monument. These definitions
 are presented in Table S2.

Marine Coast	Name of PA	Desig- nation	Terr- estrial	Marine Coast	Land (ha)	Sea (ha)	TOTAL (ha)
Nr		-	ΡΑ	РА	ΡΑ	PA	
	BOA VISTA						
	Monte Caçador e Pico Forcado	PL	x		3 365.02		3 365.02
01	Ilhéu de Baluarte	INR		х	7.65		7.65
02	Ilhéu dos Passaros	INR		х	0.68		0.68
03	Ilhéu de Curral Velho	INR		х	0.51		0.51
04	Ponta do Sol	NR		x	456.79		456.79
05	Boa Esperança	NR		х	3 130.29		3 130.29
06	Morro de Areia	NR		х	2 100.24		2 100.24
07	Tartaruga	NR		х	1 766.42		1 766.42
08	Parque do Norte	NP		х	8 964.64	7 524.45	16 489.09
09	Ilhéu de Sal-Rei	NM		х	89.98		89.98
	Monte Santo António	NM	х		457.91		457.91
	Monte Estancia	NM	х		763.3		763.3
	Curral Velho	PL	х		1 636.87		1 636.87
	Rocha Estancia	NM	х		253.44		253.44
	MAIO						
10	Casas Velhas	NR	-	х	137.95		137.95
11	Terras Salgadas	NR		х	1 980.40	3 868.47	5 849.87
12	Lagoa de Cimidor	NR		х	50.63		50.63
13	Praia do Morro	NR		х	21.85		21.85
14	Barreiro e Figueira	NP		х	1 079.00		1 079.00
15	Salinas de Porto Inglés	PL		х	337		337
	Monte Penoso e Monte Branco	PL	x		1 117.80		1 117.80
	Monte Santo António	PL	х		881.73		881.73
	SANTA LUZIA						
16	Santa Luzia	NR		х	3 500.00		3 500.00
	SANTIAGO						
	Serra Malagueta	NP	x		1 200.00		1 200.00
	Serra do Pico de Antónia	NP	x		0		0

	SANTO ANTÃO						
	Morroços	NP	Х		671		671
17	Pombas	PL		х	0		0
	Topo da Coroa	NP	Х		3 500.00		0
	Cova/Paúl/RªTorre	NP	Х		3 217.00		3 217.00
	Cruzinha	NR	Х		1 117.80		1 117.80
	SÃO NICOLAO						
	Monte do Alto das Cabaças	NR	Х		0		0
	Monte Gordo	NP	Х		2,500.00		2,500.00
	SÃO VICENTE						
	Monte Verde	NP	Х		800		800
	FOGO						
	Fogo	NP	Х		8 468.51		8 468.51
	SAL						
18	Salinas Pedra Lume e Cagarral	PL		х	806.96		806.96
19	Costa da Fragata	NR		x	351.68		351.68
20	Ponta do Sinó	NR		х	89.28		89.28
21	Rabo de Junco	NR		х	151.21		151.21
22	Serra Negra	NR		х	335.9		335.9
	Morrinho do Açúcar	NM	Х		5.87		5.87
	Morrinho do Filho	NM	Х		13		13
23	Monte Grande	PL		х	1 320.76		1 320.76
	Salinas de Santa Maria	PL	Х		78.44		78.44
24	Marinha Baía da Murdeira	NR		х		2 066.63	2 066.63
25	Buracona-Ragona	PL		x	518.71		518.71
	ILHÉUS						
26	Ilhéus de Branco e Raso	INR		х	1 000.00		1 000.00
27	Ilhéu do Rombo	INR		х	450		450

Table S2. Protected Area definitions. Source: Decree-Law 3/2003.

Designation and number designated	Definition and management
Nature Reserve (15 Nature Reserves designated but no Partial Natural Reserves or Temporal Natural Reserves)	Areas of special ecological and scientific interest. There are two further subsets Partial Natural Reserves and Temporal Natural Reserves. Partial Nature Reserves offer protection to a specific natural resource, whether a single species, group of species or a particular habitat. Uses are permitted that are compatible with the purpose of protection. Temporal nature reserves are small areas established for a limited period of time to allow recovery of the resource or of specific ecological systems.
Integrated Natural Reserve (5 designated)	Areas of special ecological and scientific interest. Integral Natural Reserves offer protection to the entire ecosystem. They restrict further development and human use.
National Park (None designated)	Areas unaffected by human exploitation and occupation which have a special scientific, socio-economic, educational, recreational or landscape aesthetic interest. Exploitation and human occupation are prohibited beyond visits for recreational or cultural purposes.
Natural Park (11 designated)	Large areas containing predominantly natural habitats, species or representative samples of the country's biodiversity. Within these there may be a traditional local population. Natural Parks aim to conserve, protect and/or restore natural environments and cultural resources: they promote socio-economic development compatible with nature conservation to improve the quality of life for local communities; educational, recreational and scientific use is encouraged.
Natural Monument (6 designated)	Moderate sized areas which contain at least one natural or cultural element of exceptional value (e.g. rarity, uniqueness, scientific interest, ecological or cultural function). They are protected to safeguard the feature(s) of interest and prohibit activities which changes them.
Protected Landscape (10 designated)	Terrestrial or coastal areas modified by human activity with a particular aesthetic quality or cultural value. Protection focuses on preserving and restoring the characteristics that define them.
Site of Scientific Interest (None designated)	Areas, usually of a small size, which contain natural elements of scientific interest or animal or plant populations threatened with extinction.

Table S3: Proposed Priority Areas for Conservation (PPACs). Note: Total area occupied by *Conus* is 945 km²

Key: CR Critically Endangered, EN Endangered, VU Vulnerable, NT Near Threatened, LC Least Concern, DD Data
 Deficient.

PPAC	Area represented (km²)	% of <i>Conus</i> range	Total targeted <i>Conus</i> spp.	Total Incidental, i.e. non- target, spp	Total target and non-target <i>Conus</i> spp.	% spp. represented in each assessment category	Total spp. represented as % of all <i>Conus</i> spp.	Total Nr. Conus spp. not represented in each assessment category	Total <i>Conus</i> spp. not represented
1. CR	35	3.7	CR: 3	EN: 2	19	CR: 100	35.9	CR: 0	34
spp				VU: 3		EN: 50		EN: 2	
				NT: 4		VU: 60		VU: 2	
				LC: 7		NT: 33		NT: 8	
				DD: 0		LC: 25		LC: 21	
						DD: 0		DD: 1	
2. CR,	119	12.6	CR: 3	VU: 3	23	CR: 100	43.4	CR: 0	30
EN			EN: 4	NT: 4		EN: 100		EN: 0	
spp				LC: 9		VU: 60		VU: 2	
				DD: 0		NT 33		NT 8	
						LC: 32		LC: 19	

						DD: 0		DD: 1	
3. CR,	175	18.5	CR: 3	NT: 8	32	CR: 100	60.4	CR: 0	21
EN,			EN: 4	LC: 11		EN: 100		EN: 0	
VU			VU: 5	DD: 1		VU: 100		VU: 0	
spp						NT 67		NT 4	
						LC: 39		LC: 17	
						DD: 100		DD: 0	
4. CR,	311	32.9	CR: 3	LC: 24	49	CR: 100	92.5	CR: 0	4
EN,			EN: 4	DD: 1		EN: 100		EN: 0	
VU,			VU: 5			VU: 100		VU: 0	
NT			NT: 12			NT 100		NT O	
spp						LC: 86		LC: 4	
						DD: 100		DD: 0	

Table S4: Proportion of PPACs located within existing PAs. Results are only reported for islands where *Conus*

715 are found and where priority areas have been identified. Cells are counted as being protected if the existing PA

716 designation covers at least part of the cell. Key: CR Critically Endangered, EN Endangered, VU Vulnerable, NT

717 Near Threatened.

718

			Per PPAC			Cumulative	
PPAC Scenario	Island	Total No. <i>Conus</i> cells	No. <i>Conus</i> cells in PAs	% protected	Total No. <i>Conus</i> cells	No. <i>Conus</i> cells in PAs	% protected
	Boa Vista	19	0	0	19	0	0
1 CR only	Sal	10	10	100	10	10	100
,	Sao Vicente	6	0	0	6	0	0
	Boa Vista	39	0	0	58	0	0
2	Sal	29	19	66	39	29	74
CR/EN	Sao Vicente	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Santo Antão	12	0	0	12	0	0
	Boa Vista	0	0	0	58	0	0
	Sal	17	1	6	56	30	54
3	Sao Vicente	34	0	0	40	0	0
CR/EN/VU	Santo Antão	0	0	0	12	0	0
	Santa Luzia	4	0	0	4	0	0
	Boa Vista	47	11	23	105	11	10
	Sal	0	0	0	56	30	54
	Sao Vicente	9	0	0	49	0	0
4 CR/FN/VU/NT	Santo Antão	0	0	0	12	0	0
	Santa Luzia	11	0	0	15	0	0
	Maio	2	2	100	2	2	100
	Sao Nicolau	15	0	0	15	0	0
	João Valente Shoals	46	0	0	46	0	0

720 Supplementary Material – Figures







725 **Figure S2.** Hotel arrivals in Cape Verde 2005-2014. Source: Instituto Nacional de Estatística Cabo Verde.



Figure S3. Nights spent by visitors to Cape Verde 2005-2014. Source: Instituto Nacional de Estatística
 Cabo Verde.