

This is a repository copy of EQ-5D in Central and Eastern Europe:2000-2015.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/103841/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Rencz, F., Gulacsi, L., Drummond, M.F. orcid.org/0000-0002-6126-0944 et al. (10 more authors) (2016) EQ-5D in Central and Eastern Europe:2000-2015. Quality of life research. pp. 2693-2710. ISSN: 1573-2649

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-016-1375-6

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Title page

Title: EQ-5D in Central and Eastern Europe: 2000-2015

Authors:

Fanni Rencz^{1,2}, László Gulácsi¹, Michael Drummond³, Dominik Golicki⁴ Valentina Prevolnik Rupel⁵, Judit Simon⁶, Elly A. Stolk⁷, Valentin Brodszky¹, Petra Baji¹, Jakub Závada⁸, Guenka Petrova⁹, Alexandru Rotar¹⁰, Márta Péntek¹

- 1 Corvinus University of Budapest, Department of Health Economics, Fővám tér 8., H-1093 Budapest, Hungary
- 2 Semmelweis University Doctoral School of Clinical Medicine, Üllői út 26., H-1085 Budapest, Hungary
- 3 Centre for Health Economics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK
- 4 Department of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland, ul. Banacha 1b, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
- 5 Institute for Economic Research, Kardeljeva ploščad 17, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 6 Department of Health Economics, Centre for Public Health, Medical University of Vienna, Kinderspitalgasse 15/1 1090 Vienna, Austria
- 7 Erasmus University Rotterdam, Institute of Health Policy and Management, P.O. Box 1738 3000 DR Rotterdam The Netherlands
- 8 Institute of Rheumatology, Na Slupi 4, Prague, 128 00, Czech Republic
- 9 Department of Social Pharmacy and Pharmacoeconomics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University, Sofia, Bulgaria
- 10 Department of Social Medicine, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 9, 22660, 1100 DD Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding statement: None.

Conflict of interest: FR, LG, MD, JS, VB, PB, JZ, GP and MP have nothing to disclose.

DG reports grants and non-financial support from EuroQol Group, outside the submitted work; and he is a member of the EuroQol Group, a not-for-profit organization that develops and distributes instruments that assess and value health.

VPR is member of the EuroQol Group.

EAS reports grants and other from EuroQol research foundation, outside the submitted work.

AR is a salaried employee of Sanofi-Aventis Romania. The review is strictly the personal point of view of the author and it does not reflect the position of Sanofi-Aventis Romania.

Ethical standard: No ethical approval is required because this is a systematic review.

Informed consent: Informed consent is not required because this is a systematic review.

Corresponding author:

László Gulácsi M.D., Ph.D. Corvinus University of Budapest Fővám tér 8., H-1093 Budapest, Hungary E-mail: laszlo.gulacsi@uni-corvinus.hu

Phone: +36 1 482-5033 Fax: +36 1 482-5033

Abstract

Objective: Cost per quality-adjusted life year data are required for reimbursement decisions in many Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries. EQ-5D is by far the most commonly used instrument to generate utility values in CEE. This study aims to systematically review the literature on EQ-5D from eight CEE countries.

Methods: An electronic database search was performed up to July 1, 2015 to identify original EQ-5D studies from the countries of interest. We analysed the use of EQ-5D with respect to clinical areas, methodological rigor, population norms and value sets.

Results: We identified 143 studies providing 152 country-specific results with a total sample size of 81,619: Austria (n=11), Bulgaria (n=6), Czech Republic (n=18), Hungary (n=47), Poland (n=51), Romania (n=2), Slovakia (n=3) and Slovenia (n=14). Cardiovascular (20%), neurologic (16%), musculoskeletal (15%) and endocrine/nutritional/metabolic diseases (14%) were the most frequently studied clinical areas. Overall 112 (78%) of the studies reported EQ VAS results and 86 (60%) EQ-5D index scores, of which 27 (31%) did not specify the applied tariff. Hungary, Poland and Slovenia have population norms. Poland and Slovenia also have a national value set.

Conclusions: Increasing use of EQ-5D is observed throughout CEE. The spread of health technology assessment activities in countries seems to be reflected in the number of EQ-5D studies. However, improvement in informed use and methodological quality of reporting is needed. In jurisdictions where no national value set is available, in order to ensure comparability we recommend to apply the most frequently used UK tariff. Regional collaboration between CEE countries should be strengthened.

Keywords: EQ-5D, health-related quality of life, value sets, health technology assessment, cost-effectiveness analysis, Central and Eastern Europe

Introduction

Over the past decade health technology assessment (HTA) has been implemented in most Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries based on methodologies of international standards [1]. These countries have formal HTA organisations involved in reimbursement decision making of health technologies. To support reimbursement decisions, cost-effectiveness analyses, or rather cost-utility analyses, in which quality of life or quality-adjusted life year (QALY) is the preferred outcome measure, are required in many CEE countries [2-8]. Currently in the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Romania, health interventions are deemed to be generally accepted if their incremental cost per QALY gain is not greater than 3 times the country's GDP per capita [9,10,2].

The EQ-5D is by far the most commonly used preference-based instrument to calculate utility scores in CEE [11]. The main advantages of EQ-5D are its widespread use, briefness and the simplicity of administration. Official language versions are available for all the national languages of CEE countries. On the contrary, only very limited data is available from CEE countries using other preference-based measures such as SF-6D [12-14] and Health Utilities Index (HUI) [15] or directly measured utilities such as time trade-off or standard gamble [16-19]. Of note, HUI has not been validated in the national languages of CEE countries with the exception of Austria.

HTA bodies in the UK (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, NICE) [20] and the US (Washington Panel on Cost-Effectiveness in Health & Medicine) [21] recommend using utilities obtained by indirect measures in cost-utility analyses. These recommendations were adopted by Hungary, Poland and Romania, which have included the EQ-5D as a preferred instrument in their HTA guidelines (Table 1) [2,4]. In Austria, the latest HTA guideline also recommends indirect methods of utility elicitation but without a specific recommendation of the EQ-5D [5]. In Slovakia, in contrast, direct methods are required [3], whilst in other CEE countries there is no preferred choice of instrument to derive utilities [5,6,22,8,7]. Little is known, however, about how far CEE countries have actually used the EQ-5D.

Prior systematic reviews have been conducted on various fields related to the use of EQ-5D including psychometric properties of the measure (e.g. minimal clinically important difference or responsiveness to clinical change)[23-25], EQ-5D valuation studies [26] and a

number of prevalent disease areas [27-36]. Nonetheless, the real-life use of EQ-5D in terms of geographical regions and as a proxy to HTA has not been reviewed to date.

The purpose of this study is, therefore, to systematically review the published literature on EQ-5D from selected CEE countries, namely Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia. Specifically, we aim to discuss the following issues:

- What has been the growth and composition of the literature on the EQ-5D in CEE?
- In which clinical areas has the EQ-5D been used in CEE?
- What are decision-makers' guidance on the approach for estimating utilities and does the literature match these?
- What is the quality of the studies and study reporting?
- What could/should analysts do in situations where there is no national value set and what are the implications of the various options?

Methods

EQ-5D

The EQ-5D questionnaire is a descriptive system that focuses on five health dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression [37,38]. Three versions of the instrument have been developed: a 3-level (EQ-5D-3L), 5-level (EQ-5D-5L) and a youth version (EQ-5D-Y) for children and adolescents aged 7 to 12 years [39-42]. In the EQ-5D-3L, each dimension has three response categories (representing no problems, some problems and severe problems), whereas in the EQ-5D-5L, responses may be one of five levels of severity (no problems, slight problems, moderate problems, severe problems, extreme problems). EQ-5D index scores can be attached to each health state description by applying societal preference weights to the self-report provided by the respondent. A number of value sets have been developed based on preferences of the general population elicited using a direct method such as time trade-off and EQ-5D visual analogue scale. In addition to the descriptive self-classifier, the EQ-5D contains a 20 cm visual analogue scale (EQ VAS) ranging from 0 (worst imaginable health) to 100 (best imaginable health) along which the respondents rate their current health.

Data collection

We followed the PRISMA checklist for reporting systematic reviews in our study [43]. A database search was performed using MEDLINE via PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, CINAHL, PsycINFO and The Cochrane Library up to July 1, 2015. We also conducted a search of the EuroQol Group database to identify additional studies with EQ-5D. The search strategy used the combination of the following terms: (euroqol OR euro qol OR eq5d OR eq 5d OR eq-5d) AND (Austria* OR Bulgaria* OR Hungar* OR Czech OR Poland OR Polish OR Romania* OR Slovak* OR Sloven*). Reference lists of articles identified through computerised search were also reviewed for relevant studies. Pooling their country expertise, the authors also hand searched for further papers from their own countries, which were published in journals not indexed in electronic databases. There were no language restrictions.

Articles were included in this review if they met the following criteria: i) full-text published paper; ii) represented an original research; iii) the study population originated from Austria, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia or Slovenia; and iv) the

article reported EQ-5D measurement (psychometric) properties or scores on the EQ-5D index or EQ VAS or percentage dimension scores from either the adult or paediatric population. When interim or multiple studies reported data on the same patient population, only one paper was included, preferably the one which had a larger sample size. Multi-country studies, where EQ-5D outcomes of the CEE countries were not reported separately from other countries, were excluded.

Study abstracts that potentially met the inclusion criteria were identified, and full-text articles were retrieved for further review. Articles were assessed for eligibility by two independent investigators (F.R. and L.G.) with any disagreements resolved by discussion or a third researcher (M.P.).

Experts from all countries under study were involved in the systematic discussion of the situation in their country, based on a common set of questions.

Data extraction

A Microsoft Excel spreadsheet was developed to collect data from the identified studies. This covered general characteristics of the papers (year of publication, language and funding source), methodological features of the study (method of data collection, design, setting and study year), characteristics of the study population (patients/general population, diagnosis and sample size), version of the questionnaire (EQ-5D-3L or EQ-5D-5L or EQ-5D-Y), tariffs used, mean EQ-5D index scores, mean EQ VAS scores, percentage dimension scores and total number of utilities (when EQ-5D index scores were stratified by subgroups, e.g. age, clinical types, severity) reported in the paper. Only participants who completed the EQ-5D were included in the sample size for studies. Diagnoses were classified according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10) [44].

Results

Search results

Results of the study selection process and reasons for exclusions are detailed in Figure 1. The electronic search of databases identified 108 articles that fulfilled our predefined inclusion

criteria. An additional 35 papers were found through hand searching by the authors, resulting in a total of 143 papers. Two of them were large European multi-country studies that involved more than one CEE country [45,46]. Overall 152 reports on country level results were obtained: Austria (n=11) [47-57], Bulgaria (n=6) [45,46,58,59,13], Czech Republic (n=18) [60,61,45,46,62-66,20,67-74], Hungary (n=47) [11,75,76,45,77-93,14,94,18,95-116,12], Poland (n=51) [117,118,45,46,119-165], Romania (n=2) [45,46], Slovakia (n=3) [166-168] and Slovenia (n=14) [169-178,45,46,179,180].

Characteristics of the studies

Main study characteristics are displayed in Table 2. The first EQ-5D studies in CEE were published from Slovenia (2000) [170], Hungary (2001) [114], Austria and Poland (2003) [51,181], followed by Slovakia (2004) [168], the Czech Republic (2007) [70,71], Romania (2013) [45] and Bulgaria (2014) [13]. In the past 15 years, the number of publications has steadily increased in the region (Figure 2). Two-thirds of the studies were published in English (n=97; 68%) and all non-English papers had an English abstract. The largest number of non-English articles was observed in Hungary (n=18; 38%). However, the highest rate of non-English reports within a country was found in the Czech Republic (n=8; 44%). Overall 105 (73%) studies stated the source of funding. The lack of a funding statement was most prevalent in Poland (n=22; 43%).

The majority of the studies had a cross-sectional design (n=85; 59%). For longitudinal studies (n=55; 38%), the length of follow-up ranged from 10 days [171] to 9.5 years [51]. Registry-based studies (n=3) were identified only in the Czech Republic and Hungary [77,64,74].

Almost all studies (n=126; 88%) were conducted as an on-site survey using a paper-based questionnaire. In addition, we identified six online or e-mail [11,80-82,182,173] and six postal surveys [86,95,53,123,174,180]. In three studies patients were permitted to complete the questionnaire at home and return it later [91,170,179].

Total sample size of all studies from the eight countries was 81,619 with Poland (39%) and Hungary (34%) representing the majority. Fifty-seven (40%) studies had a sample size of less than 100 and there were 20 (14%) studies involving more than 1000 participants. Studies of the two largest populations were a general population sample from Hungary (n=9,407) [80] and a group of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients (n=8,537) from Poland [145].

Population norms

There were 14 studies (10%) that involved the general population. These were conducted in Bulgaria (n=1), Hungary (n=4), Poland (n=6) and Slovenia (n=3). Among these, we found representative population norms from Hungary, Poland and Slovenia [11,136,149,115,164,180]. Further, local population norm is available for the city of Burgas in Bulgaria [59].

Clinical areas

Most studies were based on data from patient populations (n=116; 81%). A wide range of clinical areas were covered by the studies, of which diseases of the circulatory system (n=29; 20%), nervous system (n=23; 16%), musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (n=21; 15%), and endocrine, nutritional and metabolic conditions (n=20; 14%) were the most frequent (Figure 3). Hungary led in the number of musculoskeletal (n=13; 29%) and neurologic studies (n=12; 28%), Poland in cardiovascular (n=17; 33%), and nutritional/metabolic studies (n=9; 18%), and the Czech Republic in cardiovascular research (n=7; 39%). There were EQ-5D studies from all eight CEE countries in circulatory diseases. The most common diagnoses were ischaemic heart disease (n=14), diabetes (n=10), Parkinson's disease (n=9), multiple sclerosis (n=8), chronic pain and rheumatoid arthritis (n=6 for both) (Figure 4). Four studies assessed not only the patients' health status but the caregivers' as well [89,81,82,182].

EQ-5D results: versions of questionnaire, methods of reporting and scores

Eleven studies (8%) employed the EQ-5D-5L [46,65,88,97,77,81,82,130,164,160,165]. No articles reported results on the youth version of the questionnaire (EQ-5D-Y), possibly because the validation process of the CEE national language versions was only completed in May 2015. There were two studies from Hungary that administered the EQ-5D adult version on paediatric patients aged 6-17, due to the lack of validated Hungarian version of the EQ-5D-Y [81,82].

A total of 112 (78%) studies reported EQ VAS scores. We found nine studies [134,147,140,141,159,129,117,118,127] that reported only EQ VAS results despite using the descriptive system and five other studies that applied only EQ VAS but not the descriptive system [150,137,125,158,159]. Further, in four studies only the descriptive system was administered without the EQ VAS [116,174,175,178].

Out of the 104 (73%) studies that reported responses to the EQ-5D self-classification system, 67 (47%) indicated the percentage of respondents across the five dimensions and 86 (60%) calculated an EQ-5D index score. Almost half of these studies applied the UK tariff (n=41, 48% of 86 studies). Overall 27 (31% of 86 studies) did not specify which tariff was used to calculate the EQ-5D index score. Poland and Slovenia are the only CEE countries with their own national tariff [120,183,184]. In Hungary, Bulgaria and Romania, studies were mainly based only the UK value set, in Austria and the Czech Republic on the UK or European, and in Poland and Slovenia on the UK or available national value set.

Total number of EQ-5D index scores reported using the EQ-5D from CEE was 542 and the majority was originated from Hungary (n=248; 46%), Poland (n=104; 19%) and the Czech Republic (n=93; 17%). Mean or median EQ-5D index and EQ VAS scores of studies varied widely and typically patient populations represented the lowest and general population the highest scores in most of the countries (Appendix).

Discussion

The growth and composition of the literature on the EQ-5D in CEE

In this review, we identified 143 published EQ-5D studies in eight CEE countries from the past 15 years. The first publication on EQ-5D from CEE was dated 10 years after the development of the measure. Thereafter, however, has been an increasing trend in the number of published studies from CEE up to 2015 (Figure 2). The growth was the most striking in Hungary and Poland after 2007. For comparison, in August 2015, the EuroQol database contained the following number of studies from other countries: the UK (n=628), Spain (n=234), US (n=230), the Netherlands (n=228), and Germany (n=155) and Canada (n=98). Nonetheless, these are only approximate estimates, because as it is outlined in Figure 1, the records identified for each country in the EuroQol database can differ from the actual number

of studies meeting the inclusion criteria of this review. One reason for the lag of CEE behind North America, and Northern and Western Europe is that the first EQ-5D studies were published for these countries in the early 1990s, whereas for CEE not until the 2000s. This can be explained by the later introduction of requirements to demonstrate cost-effectiveness evidence for reimbursement decisions [185-187].

Clinical areas where EQ-5D is used in CEE

In CEE, cardiovascular (20%), neurologic (16%), musculoskeletal (15%), and nutritional and metabolic diseases (14%) represented the four main fields of research in CEE. Interestingly, in spite of cancer being among the most frequent disease-specific applications of the EQ-5D [29], we found only four oncological studies from CEE: breast cancer [73], lymphoma, leukaemia and myeloma multiplex [70], bone metastases in breast cancer or myeloma multiplex [60] and bladder cancer [14].

After reviewing these 143 papers from CEE, it is unclear why these four clinical areas have become the subject of most EQ-5D studies. The motivation in the background of many studies is not apparent, as 27% of the studies did not include a financial disclosure and 15% were non-funded studies. We assume that a higher-level strategy in topic selection for EQ-5D studies does not exist in CEE countries. Some patterns, however, can be identified that make a bridge between the clinical areas investigated and the funding received. In Hungary, for example, 75% of EQ-5D studies carried out in conditions of the nervous system (including five out of the six Hungarian studies in Parkinson's disease) were at least partly funded by government organisations. Thus, in Hungary 53% of all governmental sponsorship was directed to the field of neurological research. Similarly, in the Czech Republic, 57% of studies referred to diseases of the circulatory system and received funding from government organisations (50% of all governmental financed studies of the country). It seems therefore that neurological conditions in Hungary and cardiovascular diseases in the Czech Republic were priorities for state-funded EQ-5D research. On the other hand, no similar health policy strategy among projects funded by the EU or the EuroQol Group could be identified. From the latter two sources, mainly data collection for establishing country-specific population norms and various EQ-5D related methodological researches were financed in CEE. Considering all eight countries, the diseases that received the most funding from pharmaceutical or medical device companies and foundations were diabetes including diabetic neuropathy, ischaemic heart disease, multiple sclerosis and osteoporosis.

Only four studies assessed EQ-5D of caregivers; however, having a family member with a chronic illness may impose a substantial burden on the caregiver. In the recent years, the literature concerning this spillover effect has largely evolved, but this QALY loss is typically still not incorporated in cost-effectiveness analyses [188-191].

The distribution of clinical areas covered by the studies in CEE cannot be compared to other countries or regions due to the lack of such data. Between 2009 and 2013, over 6,800 EQ-5D studies have been registered [192]. Nevertheless, no sort order, such as ICD groups or individual diagnoses, is given for the total number of registrations, that hampers to produce a meaningful comparison with our data [192].

Methodological deficiencies in studies

Substantial heterogeneity was found in the quality of papers. Methodological deficiencies, such as the lack of reporting either EQ-5D index scores (40%) or EQ VAS scores (22%) and not stating which tariff was used (in the 31% of the studies that calculated EQ-5D index score), were the leading causes of poor quality. Some studies indicated recording only the EQ VAS but not the descriptive system [150,167,158,137,125,159]. In one study, the EQ VAS scale was modified to range from 0 to 10 [173]. Alternative scoring techniques occurred in some studies; for example, the ordinal numeric labels for the health state levels in the instrument (1-3 or 1-5) were treated as cardinal values that can be summed [51,90,95,163,54,116,126,131,65,171,173], which is a flawed concept because these numbers have no intrinsic arithmetic properties. Another study expressed the EQ-5D index score as a percentage [72], which can be misleading when considering the possible negative values. To overcome these methodological shortcomings, the standards outlined in the EQ-5D User Guide should be met [193]. Further, stating the period when the study was conducted (25%) and disclosing the source of financing (27%) were commonly missing in published studies. These are important points to improve methodological quality and the transferability of studies.

Overall, 7% of studies were RCTs (Austria n=2, Hungary n=5, Poland n=2 and Slovakia n=1), which seems low considering the rate of RCTs to total number of EQ-5D studies registered globally between 2009 and 2013 (29% of some 6,800 studies) [192]. This might be partly explained by the fact that, according to the HTA guidelines in CEE countries, patient-reported study data from clinical trials is not necessary for reimbursement submissions [1].

Also, multi-country RCTs, in which no individual results of CEE countries were reported, were excluded from this review.

Decision-makers' guidance on the approach for estimating utilities in CEE

In countries such as Poland (n=51) and Hungary (n=47), where the most EQ-5D studies have been carried out within CEE, HTA bodies encourage the use of indirect methods, particularly the EQ-5D, to elicit utilities for economic evaluations in reimbursement applications (Table 1) [2,4]. In contrast, we have found very few published papers from the other six countries. In most of these countries, there is no preferred choice of instrument to assess utilities with the exception of Slovakia, where direct methods are recommended according to HTA guidelines, and Romania which suggests the EQ-5D based on UK and French recommendations [3,5,8,1]. Apparently, the inclusion of EQ-5D as a preferred instrument in national HTA guidelines plays a key role in promoting the use of EQ-5D.

In all countries studied, pharmaceutical companies are required to submit quality of life data as well as cost-effectiveness or cost-utility analysis, when demanding reimbursement for new drugs (Table 1). Yet it is unclear how these results are used [194-196]. In the near future, given the developments of HTA, the use of health state utility evaluations as an aid to healthcare decision makers will probably sharply increase in CEE. However, to be relevant for health policy decisions, studies must be valid, comparable, reliable, relevant to the policy context and communicated to the appropriate decision makers.

Up unto the end of 2013 more than 2,500 reimbursement decisions have been made in a group of selected CEE countries such as Poland, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Bulgaria[1]. In contrast the number of EQ-5D studies was merely 75 during this period. Transferring EQ-5D data from other jurisdictions seems to be the major source of the cost/QALY calculations and financing decision-making in CEE [9]. Nevertheless, in HTA guidelines of CEE countries, no guidance is provided as to how (and from which countries) to transfer EQ-5D results. Cost/QALY calculations based on EQ-5D results from other countries might be biased due to various methodological reasons (e.g. differences in tariffs, patient populations, response-scale heterogeneity etc.) [197,198]. Thus, more emphasis should be placed on generating locally-relevant data in the future.

What could/should analysts do in situations where there is no national value set and what are the implications of the various options?

To date, only two CEE countries have their own national value sets: Poland and Slovenia. (Poland is not listed on the website of EuroQol (www.euroqol.org) as their tariff was not produced as a part of the EuroQol Group.) In Austria, the Czech Republic, Poland and Slovenia, different value sets have been used to calculate EQ-5D index scores (despite the fact that Poland and Slovenia have their own national tariffs). This has the drawback that it prevents a proper comparison between results of studies undertaken in the same country. In Hungary, in contrast, the UK value set was applied pragmatically in all studies including the population norm, which has been used as a reference in several studies to assess the utility loss of specific patient populations. Although using other countries' value sets for economic purposes is acceptable in the absence of local tariffs, it has weaknesses because variations in utility values assigned to the same EQ-5D health states can occur among countries [199-204]. By employing tariffs deriving from the population of another country, utility weights and QALY gains may represent different societal and even cultural values; thus, healthcare resource allocation decisions based on these estimates of QALYs gained may not reflect the societal preferences in the country of interest.

We believe that in those countries, such as Hungary and the Czech Republic, where the number of studies is relatively high and clearly shows an increasing trend, the establishment of country-specific tariffs should be promoted. In practice, however, two major issues would remain unresolved, comparability between earlier and new studies and across countries. If all CEE countries developed their own value sets, the comparisons across the region will become more challenging because of the differences caused by the methodological variations in developing new value sets [197]. Therefore, when a country constructs its own value set (for the 3-level and/or the 5-level versions), we suggest presenting the results thereafter both with the new national and the UK tariffs which has been used as a kind of "common language" up to date to calculate the EQ-5D index score in the region. Results of earlier EQ-5D studies, wherever feasible, could be adapted by applying the new tariff as well. We believe that otherwise the loss of consistency and comparability across CEE countries largely outweighs the gain achieved with the more accurate utility values resulting from the application of different country-specific tariffs. In further studies in CEE countries with no national value set, we recommend the application of the UK tariff, which has been used in the largest number of studies in the region. Further, similarly to the European value set, which was developed in six countries including Finland, Germany, The Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and the UK [205], a common CEE EQ-5D tariff could be the first step of the collaboration between CEE countries. This would facilitate regional comparisons, and these are assumed to share some characteristics that might drive the health state valuations, a regional tariff would be more appropriate to be used for financial decisions until country-specific value sets are obtained.

Conclusions

Research activity with the EQ-5D is increasing in the CEE region; however, some clinical areas with high health and economic impact are understudied. Considerable variability can be observed between countries both in terms of the proliferation of use and the methodological quality of studies. Our results provide a basis to develop research agenda at the national level as well as for regional collaborations. Other countries may also learn from the experience from this review. First, late adopters, such as CEE, are those that indeed determine the worldwide spread of the EQ-5D. Second, if payers and policymakers in a country are fully committed to cost-effectiveness, they are more likely to take the extra burden of appropriate measurement of health outcomes. Third, as transferring utilities from one country to another remains the major source of utilities, there is a need for some translational guidelines for taking utility estimates and adapting them for local use.

 $Table\ 1\ Preferred\ outcomes\ in\ the\ pharmacoeconomic\ guidelines\ in\ CEE\ countries$

	Preferred analytical technique	Preferred outcome measure	Preferred method to derive utility	Reference		
Austria	CMA, CEA, CUA	Depends on research question	Preference-based, indirect methods	Methodenhandbuch für Health Technology Assessment Version 1.2012 (2012)[5]		
Bulgaria	CEA/CUA	QoL, QALY	Quality of life measures (not specified)	Methodological guideline for presentation of documents for evaluation of the efficacy, safety and pharmacoeconomic indicators of medicinal products for application for inclusion into the Positive Drug List (2015) [22]		
Czech Republic	not recommended	QoL, QALY	Disease-specific or generic questionnaires	Czech Pharmacoeconomic Society (2009) [8]		
Hungary	CMA, CEA, CUA	QoL, QALY	Using a preference-based multidimensional health related quality of life questionnaire (EQ-5D, Health Utilities Index, a Quality of Well-Being Scale or Years of Healthy Life) is recommended in the first place.	Ministry of Human Resources, Hungary: Technical Guideline for the Making of Health-Economic Analyses (2013) [2]		
Poland	CEA, CUA, CCA	QoL, QALY	The preference measurement for the purposes of utility assessment is possible by using direct or indirect preference measuring methods. It is recommended to use indirect methods for preference measurement – validated questionnaires in Polish. While measuring preferences with the EQ-5D questionnaire, it is advised to use the Polish utility standard set obtained by means of the TTO method.	Agency for Health Technology Assessment. Guidelines for conducting Health Technology Assessment (HTA). Version 2.1. Warsaw (2009) [4]		
Romania	CEA/CUA	QALY	Having benchmarking NICE/SMC/AWMSG recommendations report EQ-5D can be considered preferred	Gulácsi et al. 2014 [1]		
Slovakia	CUA if the treatment has an impact on health-related QoL that is significant to the patient or if there are multiple patient-relevant clinical outcome parameters	QoL, QALY	TTO or SG (VAS)	Ministry of Health, Slovakia (2008) [3]		

	expressed in different units.			
Slovenia	CEA/CUA/CMA/CA	QALY (intermediate outcomes can be accepted, such as blood pressure, cholesterol, glycosylated hemoglobin, and hospitalization)	There is no preferred instrument.	Assembly of the Health Insurance Institute (2010) [7]

AWMSG = All Wales Medicines Strategy Group, CA = cost analysis, CCA = cost consequences analysis, CEA = cost-effectiveness analysis, CMA = cost minimisation analysis, CUA = cost-utility analysis, NICE = National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, QoL = quality of life, QALY = quality adjusted life year, SMC = Scottish Medicines Consortium, SG = standard gamble, TTO = time trade-off, VAS = visual analogue scale

Table 2 Characteristics of the studies

Variables	Number of country-level results (n=152) (includes two multi-country studies involving 5 and 6 CEE countries, respectively) [45,46]								Number of studies (n=143)	
Variables	Austria	Bulgaria	Czech Republic	Hungary	Poland	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	TOTAL	Proportion
Total number of studies	11	6	18	47	51	2	3	14	143	100%
English	10	5	10	29	35	2	2	13	97	68%
Other language	1	1	8	18	16	0	1	1	46	32%
Publication year of the first EQ-5D study	2003	2014	2007	2001	2003	2013	2004	2000	-	-
Study sample*										
Population-based: general population	0	1	0	4	6	0	0	3	14	10%
Population-based: elderly	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	8	6%
Population-based: other	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	3	8	6%
Not population-based	8	4	17	43	41	2	3	12	116	81%
Total sample size of studies	3483	2488	4047	2811	3198 8	1043	1423	9032	81619	
<50	0	1	4	8	8	0	2	1	24	17%
50-99	3	0	2	14	14	0	0	0	33	23%
100-499	6	2	11	19	17	0	0	7	55	38%
500-999	1	2	1	2	5	2	0	2	11	8%
≥1000	1	1	0	4	7	0	1	4	20	14%
Study design										
RCT	2	0	0	5	2	0	1	0	10	7%
nonrandomized clinical trial	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	3%
prospective cohort	4	0	11	8	8	0	2	5	38	27%
retrospective cohort	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	2%
case-control cross-sectional	0	0	7	31	35	0 2	0	9	2 85	1% 59%
other	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1%
Method of data collection*	0	U	U	1	U	U	U	U	1	1 70
On-site	10	5	18	40	50	2	3	7	126	88%
Internet-based / e-mail	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	6	4%
Postal survey	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	6	4%
Take home survey	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	2%
Telephone interview	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1%
Setting										
single centre	6	0	10	14	27	0	1	5	63	44%
multicentre	3	4	6	24	15	2	1	4	50	35%
patient registry	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	2%
N/A	2	2	0	6	7	0	0	5	22	15%
NR	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	5	3%
Study year(s)										
Reported	11	6	16	33	33	2	2	13	107	75%
Not reported	0	0	2	14	18	0	1	1	36	25%
EQ-5D		4	2	_	_	1		4	4.4	0.01
Used EQ-5D-5L	0	1	2	5	5	1	0	1	11	8%
Reported dimension percentage scores	5	2	6	21	27	0	0	6	67	47%

Reported EQ VAS results	6	5	14	38	46	2	2	8	112	78%
Reported results on EQ-5D										
descriptive system or										
calculated index score	8	4	16	44	30	1	0	10	104	73%
Reported EQ-5D index score	6	5	15	42	17	2	1	7	86	60%
Reported tariff used*	3	4	7	30	17	2	0	5	59 §	69%
UK ^{§§}	2	4	3	30	6	2	0	3	41 [§]	48%
Polish	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	12 [§]	14%
Slovenian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2 §	2%
European	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	6 §	7%
US	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2 §	2%
Tariff not specified	3	1	8	12	0	0	1	2	27 [§]	31%
Total number of EQ-5D										
index scores	62	22	93	248	104	2	3	8	542	-
Funding source reported*									105	73%
European Union	2	2	1	5	0	0	1	1	12	8%
EuroQol Group	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	3%
Foundation	1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	11	8%
Government organisation	2	1	8	17	3	0	0	0	31	22%
University	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	6	4%
				5	5	1	1	2	23	16%
Pharma/device company	4	1	4	3						
Pharma/device company Other	4 3	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	9	6%
		1 1 0		1 1		-	0	2 0	9	6% 2%
Other	3	1	0	1 1 11	2	0				

ICD-10 = International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision; N/A = not applicable; NR = not reported; RCT = randomised controlled trial

^{*} One study may refer to more than one subgroup within the following categories: study sample, methods of data collection, tariffs used and funding source.

[§] Percentage indicates the rate to the total number of studies that estimated EQ-5D utility score (n=86).

^{§§} All studies from Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania employed the UK tariff but in some studies other tariffs were as well applied together with the UK one.

Figure legends

Figure 1 PRISMA flowchart of the study selection

Searched: July 1, 2015.

- * Literature search was conducted on a country basis; thus, the total number of studies is slightly higher than the real number of studies.
- ** Total number of studies in this row is not equal to the sum of the 'country columns', because one study included 6 and another 5 CEE countries [45,46].

Figure 2 Number of EQ-5D studies published in CEE countries from 2000 onwards

CEE - Central and Eastern Europe

Figure 3 ICD-10 classification of the studies

One study may refer to more than one ICD-10 group. The sum of percentages is less than 100% as the percentages indicate the proportion of a given ICD-10 group out of the total number of studies including population-based studies.

Figure 4 Most common diagnoses/clinical areas among the studies

The size of bubbles refer to the total number of patients of studies in a given diagnosis/disease group (i.e. the numbers in brackets after diagnoses). For studies that assessed EQ-5D in various patients groups, only the number of patients with the diagnosis/or clinical area of interest is included in this figure.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PAOD = peripheral arterial occlusive disease

Appendix - Lowest mean EQ-5D index and EQ VAS scores by country

	EQ-5D index score	Diagnosis	Reference	EQ VAS	Diagnosis	Reference
Austria	0.11	multiple sclerosis Expanded Disability Status Scale > 6.5	[57]	38.00	multiple sclerosis Expanded Disability Status Scale > 6.5	[57]
Bulgaria	0.63	diabetes	[13]	58.00	diabetes	[13]
Czech Republic	0.16	rheumatoid arthritis before biological therapy	[74]	51.00	neuropathic pain	[68]
Hungary	0.02	rheumatoid arthritis with Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index>2.6	[113]	30.00	secondary dystonia	[88]
Poland	-0.03	stroke, Modified Rankin Scale 5	[160]	22.50	low back pain or sciatic nerve pain	[125]
Romania	0.75	coronary heart disease	[45]	59.15	coronary heart disease	[46]
Slovakia	0.52	degenerative lumbar spine preoperatively	[166]	51.00	critical limb ischaemia	[167]
Slovenia	0.54	multiple sclerosis	[176]	49.20	multiple sclerosis	[176]

References

- 1. Gulacsi, L., Rotar, A. M., Niewada, M., Loblova, O., Rencz, F., Petrova, G., et al. (2014). Health technology assessment in Poland, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania and Bulgaria. *Eur J Health Econ*, *15 Suppl 1*, S13-25.
- 2. Az Emberi Eroforrások Minisztériuma szakmai irányelve az egészség-gazdaságtani elemzések készítéséhez (hatályos: 2013.03.01-től) (2013). *Egészségügyi Közlöny* (3), 1314-1334.
- 3. Vyhláška Ministerstva zdravotníctva Slovenskej republiky č. 343/2008 zo 14. augusta 2008 o podrobnostiach farmako-ekonomického rozboru lieku. http://www.health.gov.sk/Zdroje?/Sources/dokumenty/zdravotnicke_pomocky/Vyhlaska_343_2008_FE_rozbor_lieku.pdf Accessed: 31 August 2015
- 4. Agency for Health Technology Assessment. Guidelines for conducting Health Technology Assessment (HTA). Version 2.1. (April 2009). http://www.ispor.org/peguidelines/source/Poland Guidelines-for-Conducting-HTA English-Version.pdf Accessed: 4 September 2015
- 5. Gesundheit Österreich GmbH. Methodenhandbuch für Health Technology Assessment. Version 1.2012. Wissenschaftlicher Ergebnisbericht. (2012). http://www.goeg.at/cxdata/media/download/berichte/MHB_Vorabversion_2012.pdf
 Accessed: 14 February 2016
- 6. Ministry of Health, Romania. HTA Order 722/2013. http://www.ms.ro/documente/ORDIN%20HTA 772 1523.pdf Accessed: 11 September 2015
- 7. Assembly of the Health Insurance Institute of Slovenia. Rules on the Classification of Medicine on the List (Official Gazette of RS, no. 110/2010, dated 12/31/2010 no. 5779) (2010).
- 8. Doležal, T. What is the role of HE in decision making process? Czech perspective perspective. *ISPOR 12th Annual European Congress*, 24-27 October 2009, http://www.ispor.org/congresses/paris1009/documents/CzechRepublicChapterSlides.p df Accessed: 1 September 2015
- 9. Gulacsi, L., Rencz, F., Pentek, M., Brodszky, V., Lopert, R., Hever, N. V., et al. (2014). Transferability of results of cost utility analyses for biologicals in inflammatory conditions for Central and Eastern European countries. *Eur J Health Econ*, *15 Suppl 1*, S27-34.
- 10. The Act on Reimbursement of Medicines, Foodstuffs Intended for Particular Nutritional Purposes and Medical Devices from 12th of May, 2011 (Journal of Laws, No. 122, item 696)
- 11. Baji, P., Brodszky, V., Rencz, F., Boncz, I., Gulácsi, L., & Péntek, M. (2015). A magyar lakosság egészségi állapota 2000 és 2010 között. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 156(50.), 2035-2044.
- 12. Szende, A., Leidy, N. K., Ståhl, E., & Svensson, K. (2009). Estimating health utilities in patients with asthma and COPD: Evidence on the performance of EQ-5D and SF-6D. *Quality of Life Research*, *18*(2), 267-272.
- 13. Yordanova, S., Petkova, V., Petrova, G., Dimitrov, M., Naseva, E., Dimitrova, M., et al. (2014). Comparison of health-related quality-of-life measurement instruments in diabetic patients. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 28(4), 769-774.
- 14. Hever, N. V., Pentek, M., Ballo, A., Gulacsi, L., Baji, P., Brodszky, V., et al. (2015). Health related quality of life in patients with bladder cancer: a cross-sectional survey and validation study of the hungarian version of the bladder cancer index. *Pathol Oncol Res*, 21(3), 619-627.

- 15. Felder-Puig, R., Frey, E., Sonnleithner, G., Feeny, D., Gadner, H., Barr, R. D., et al. (2000). German cross-cultural adaptation of the Health Utilities Index and its application to a sample of childhood cancer survivors. *Eur J Pediatr*, 159(4), 283-288.
- 16. Rencz, F., Brodszky, V., Pentek, M., Bereczki, D., & Gulacsi, L. (2015). Health state utilities for migraine based on attack frequency: a time trade-off study. *Neurol Sci*, 36(2), 197-202.
- 17. Obaidi, L. A., & Mahlich, J. (2015). A potential gender bias in assessing quality of life a standard gamble experiment among university students. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res*, 7, 227-233.
- 18. Inotai, A., Rojkovich, B., Fulop, A., Jaszay, E., Agh, T., & Meszaros, A. (2012). Health-related quality of life and utility in patients receiving biological and non-biological treatments in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int*, 32(4), 963-969.
- 19. Tillinger, W., Mittermaier, C., Lochs, H., & Moser, G. (1999). Health-related quality of life in patients with Crohn's disease: influence of surgical operation--a prospective trial. *Dig Dis Sci*, 44(5), 932-938.
- 20. Kala, P., Tretina, M., Poloczek, M., Ondrasek, J., Malik, P., Pokorny, P., et al. (2013). Quality of life after transcatheter aortic valve implantation and surgical replacement in high-risk elderly patients. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub*, 157(1), 75-80.
- 21. Siegel, J. E., Torrance, G. W., Russell, L. B., Luce, B. R., Weinstein, M. C., & Gold, M. R. (1997). Guidelines for pharmacoeconomic studies. Recommendations from the panel on cost effectiveness in health and medicine. Panel on cost Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 11(2), 159-168.
- 22. Методологични указания за представяните документи за оценка на ефикасността, безопасността и фармакоикономическите показатели на лекарствени продукти при кандидатстване за включване в Позитивен лекарствен списък. (Приети на Заседание на НСЦРЛП Протокол № 114/23.04.2015 г.) (2015).
- 23. Coretti, S., Ruggeri, M., & McNamee, P. (2014). The minimum clinically important difference for EQ-5D index: a critical review. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 14(2), 221-233.
- 24. Tordrup, D., Mossman, J., & Kanavos, P. (2014). Responsiveness of the EQ-5D to clinical change: is the patient experience adequately represented? *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 30(1), 10-19.
- 25. Payakachat, N., Ali, M. M., & Tilford, J. M. (2015). Can The EQ-5D Detect Meaningful Change? A Systematic Review. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 33(11), 1137-1154.
- 26. Xie, F., Gaebel, K., Perampaladas, K., Doble, B., & Pullenayegum, E. (2014). Comparing EQ-5D valuation studies: a systematic review and methodological reporting checklist. *Med Decis Making*, 34(1), 8-20.
- 27. Janssen, M. F., Lubetkin, E. I., Sekhobo, J. P., & Pickard, A. S. (2011). The use of the EQ-5D preference-based health status measure in adults with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med*, 28(4), 395-413.
- 28. Pickard, A. S., Wilke, C., Jung, E., Patel, S., Stavem, K., & Lee, T. A. (2008). Use of a preference-based measure of health (EQ-5D) in COPD and asthma. *Respir Med*, 102(4), 519-536.
- 29. Pickard, A. S., Wilke, C. T., Lin, H. W., & Lloyd, A. (2007). Health utilities using the EQ-5D in studies of cancer. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 25(5), 365-384.
- 30. Davis, S., & Wailoo, A. (2013). A review of the psychometric performance of the EQ-5D in people with urinary incontinence. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, 11, 20.

- 31. Dyer, M. T., Goldsmith, K. A., Sharples, L. S., & Buxton, M. J. (2010). A review of health utilities using the EQ-5D in studies of cardiovascular disease. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, 8, 13.
- 32. Hounsome, N., Orrell, M., & Edwards, R. T. (2011). EQ-5D as a quality of life measure in people with dementia and their carers: evidence and key issues. *Value Health*, *14*(2), 390-399.
- 33. Moller, A. H., Erntoft, S., Vinding, G. R., & Jemec, G. B. (2015). A systematic literature review to compare quality of life in psoriasis with other chronic diseases using EQ-5D-derived utility values. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas*, *6*, 167-177.
- 34. Noyes, J., & Edwards, R. T. (2011). EQ-5D for the assessment of health-related quality of life and resource allocation in children: a systematic methodological review. *Value Health*, *14*(8), 1117-1129.
- 35. Papaioannou, D., Brazier, J., & Parry, G. (2011). How valid and responsive are generic health status measures, such as EQ-5D and SF-36, in schizophrenia? A systematic review. *Value Health*, *14*(6), 907-920.
- 36. Derrett, S., Black, J., & Herbison, G. P. (2009). Outcome after injury-a systematic literature search of studies using the EQ-5D. *J Trauma*, 67(4), 883-890.
- 37. EuroQol--a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life (1990). *Health Policy*, *16*(3), 199-208.
- 38. Brooks, R. (1996). EuroQol: the current state of play. Health Policy, 37(1), 53-72.
- 39. Herdman, M., Gudex, C., Lloyd, A., Janssen, M., Kind, P., Parkin, D., et al. (2011). Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). *Quality of Life Research*, 20(10), 1727-1736.
- 40. Janssen, M. F., Pickard, A. S., Golicki, D., Gudex, C., Niewada, M., Scalone, L., et al. (2013). Measurement properties of the EQ-5D-5L compared to the EQ-5D-3L across eight patient groups: a multi-country study. *Quality of Life Research*, 22(7), 1717-1727.
- 41. Ravens-Sieberer, U., Wille, N., Badia, X., Bonsel, G., Burstrom, K., Cavrini, G., et al. (2010). Feasibility, reliability, and validity of the EQ-5D-Y: results from a multinational study. *Quality of Life Research*, 19(6), 887-897.
- 42. Wille, N., Badia, X., Bonsel, G., Burstrom, K., Cavrini, G., Devlin, N., et al. (2010). Development of the EQ-5D-Y: a child-friendly version of the EQ-5D. *Quality of Life Research*, 19(6), 875-886.
- 43. Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ*, 339, b2535.
- 44. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10). (2015). http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2015/en Accessed: 4 September 2015
- 45. De Smedt, D., Clays, E., Doyle, F., Kotseva, K., Prugger, C., Pajak, A., et al. (2013). Validity and reliability of three commonly used quality of life measures in a large European population of coronary heart disease patients. *Int J Cardiol*, *167*(5), 2294-2299.
- 46. De Smedt, D., Clays, E., Hofer, S., Oldridge, N., Kotseva, K., Maggioni, A. P., et al. (2015). Validity and reliability of the HeartQoL questionnaire in a large sample of stable coronary patients: The EUROASPIRE IV Study of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*
- 47. Barbist, M. T., Renn, D., Noisternig, B., Rumpold, G., & Hofer, S. (2008). How do medical students value health on the EQ-5D? Evaluation of hypothetical health states compared to the general population. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, 6, 111.

- 48. Borgstrom, F., Lekander, I., Ivergard, M., Strom, O., Svedbom, A., Alekna, V., et al. (2013). The International Costs and Utilities Related to Osteoporotic Fractures Study (ICUROS) Quality of life during the first 4 months after fracture. *Osteoporosis International*, 24(3), 811-823.
- 49. Cichocki, M., Quehenberger, V., Zeiler, M., Adamcik, T., Manousek, M., Stamm, T., et al. (2015). Effectiveness of a low-threshold physical activity intervention in residential aged care results of a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 10, 885-895.
- 50. Gamper, G., Willeit, M., Sterz, F., Herkner, H., Zoufaly, A., Hornik, K., et al. (2004). Life after death: posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors of cardiac arrest--prevalence, associated factors, and the influence of sedation and analgesia. *Crit Care Med*, 32(2), 378-383.
- 51. Ghosh, P., Djordjevic, M., Schistek, R., Baier, R., & Unger, F. (2003). Does gender affect outcome of cardiac surgery in octogenarians? *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann*, 11(1), 28-32.
- 52. Hofer, S., Kullich, W., Graninger, U., Wonisch, M., Gassner, A., Klicpera, M., et al. (2009). Cardiac rehabilitation in Austria: long term health-related quality of life outcomes. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, 7, 99.
- 53. Kobelt, G., Berg, J., Lindgren, P., Plesnilla, C., Baumhackl, U., Berger, T., et al. (2006). Costs and quality of life of multiple sclerosis in Austria. *Eur J Health Econ*, 7 *Suppl 2*, S14-23.
- 54. Prugger, M., & Berger, T. (2013). Assessing the long-term clinical benefit of prolonged-release fampridine tablets in a real-world setting: a review of 67 cases. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas*, 4, 75-85.
- 55. Quehenberger, V., Cichocki, M., & Krajic, K. (2014). Sustainable effects of a low-threshold physical activity intervention on health-related quality of life in residential aged care. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, *9*, 1853-1864.
- 56. Winter, Y., Von Campenhausen, S., Gasser, J., Seppi, K., Reese, J. P., Pfeiffer, K. P., et al. (2010). Social and clinical determinants of quality of life in Parkinson's disease in Austria: A cohort study. *Journal of Neurology*, 257(4), 638-645.
- 57. Ganzinger, U., Badelt, C., Vass, K., Strasser-Fuchs, S., Fazekas, F., Berger, T., et al. (2004). Krankheitskosten der multiplen Sklerose in Österreich. *Der Nervenarzt*, 75(10), 1000-1006.
- 58. Naseva, E., Bratovanova, S., Stoicheval, M., & Gardeva, M. (2015). Health related quality of life A comparison of EQ-5D index calculation methods. *General Medicine*, 16(3), 3-8.
- 59. Vankova, D., Kerekovska, A., Kostadinova, T., & Todorova, L. (2015). Researching health-related quality of life at a community level: results from a population survey conducted in Burgas, Bulgaria. *Health Promot Int*
- 60. Abrahámová, J. (2007). Quality of life improvement in patients with bone metastases treated by clodronate. *Praktický lékař*, 87(11), 648-654.
- 61. Bulkova, V., Fiala, M., Havranek, S., Simek, J., Sknouril, L., Januska, J., et al. (2014). Improvement in quality of life after catheter ablation for paroxysmal versus long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation: a prospective study with 3-year follow-up. *J Am Heart Assoc*, 3(4)
- 62. Fiala, M., Wichterle, D., Bulkova, V., Sknouril, L., Nevralova, R., Toman, O., et al. (2014). A prospective evaluation of haemodynamics, functional status, and quality of life after radiofrequency catheter ablation of long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation. *Europace*, 16(1), 15-25.

- 63. Haman, L., Dostalova, H., & Parizek, P. (2012). Catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation—Single center experience. *Cor et Vasa*, *54*(6), e369-e374.
- 64. Horak, P., Skacelova, M., Hejduk, K., Smrzova, A., & Pavelka, K. (2013). Abatacept and its use in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in the Czech Republic-data from the ATTRA registry. *Clin Rheumatol*, *32*(10), 1451-1458.
- 65. Špirudová, L., & Nol, J. (2014). Quality of life of patients after lung transplantation. *Kontakt*, 16(1), 12-20.
- 66. Židková, A., Chytra, I., Šestáková, B., Kasal, E., & Týblová, I. (2012). Quality of life one year after discharge from ICU. *Anest. intenziv. Med.*, 23(2), 75-81.
- 67. Sauerland, S., Weiner, S., Hausler, E., Dolezalova, K., Angrisani, L., Noguera, C. M., et al. (2009). Validity of the Czech, German, Italian, and Spanish version of the Moorehead-Ardelt II questionnaire in patients with morbid obesity. *Obes Facts*, 2 *Suppl 1*, 57-62.
- 68. Dolezal, T., Vocelka, M., & Klimes, J. (2012). Clinical effectiveness of 8% capsaicin dermal patch in neuropathic pain Noninterventional real-life clinical study in Czech Republic. *Bolest*, 15(1), 28-32.
- 69. Otruba, P. (2015). Neuritogen and diabetic neuropathic pain. *Bolest*, 18(1), 29-35.
- 70. Slovacek, L., Slovackova, B., Blazek, M., & Jebavy, L. (2007). Quality of life in patients with multiple myeloma and malignant lymphoma undergoing autologous progenitor stem cell transplantation: The effect of selected psychosocial and health aspects on quality of life: A retrospective analysis. *Reports of Practical Oncology and Radiotherapy*, 12(2), 101-108.
- 71. Slovacek, L., Slovackova, B., & Chovanec, V. (2007). The effect of femoral and popliteal percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty on patients' quality of life. *Sao Paulo Medical Journal*, 125(4), 250-252.
- 72. Slovacek, L., Slovackova, B., Pavlik, V., & Slanska, I. (2009). Sibutramine Its impact on health-related quality of life and depression among adult obese non-diabetic patients. *Bratislava Medical Journal*, 110(8), 496-499.
- 73. Slovacek, L., Slovackova, B., Slanska, I., Petera, J., & Priester, P. (2010). Quality of life and depression among metastatic breast cancer patients. *Medical Oncology*, 27(3), 958-959.
- 74. Zavada, J., Uher, M., Jarkovsky, J., Vencovsky, J., & Pavelka, K. (2014). Assessment of utility score EQ-D and estimating the Cost-utility of the first year of treatment by TNF inhibitors in a cohort of patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis during-results from the Czech biologics registry ATTRA. Česká Revmatologie, 22(1), 10-16.
- 75. Balogh, O., Pentek, M., Gulacsi, L., Farkas, K., Jarai, Z., Landi, A., et al. (2013). Quality of life and burden of disease in peripheral arterial disease: a study among Hungarian patients. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 154(12), 464-470.
- 76. Brodszky, V., Péntek, M., Komoly, S., Bereczki, D., Embey-Isztin, D., Torzsa, P., et al. (2015). Quality of life of patients with non-diabetic peripheral neuropathic pain; results from a cross-sectional survey in general practices in Hungary. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 68(9-10), 325-330.
- 77. Deli, G., Balas, I., Doczi, T., Janszky, J., Karadi, K., Aschermann, Z., et al. (2015). Deep Brain Stimulation Can Preserve Working Status in Parkinson's Disease. *Parkinsons Dis*, 2015, 936865.
- 78. Minier, T., Pentek, M., Brodszky, V., Ecseki, A., Karpati, K., Polgar, A., et al. (2010). Cost-of-illness of patients with systemic sclerosis in a tertiary care centre. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*, 49(10), 1920-1928.
- 79. Péntek, M., Brodszky, V., Érsek, K., Jelics, N., Jermendy, G., Winkler, G., et al. (2009). Health status and quality of life of insulin treated diabetic adults cross-sectional

- survey of 480 patients attending general practitioners and diabetology centres. *Diabetologia Hungarica*, 17(3), 249-260.
- 80. Pentek, M., Brodszky, V., Gulacsi, A. L., Hajdu, O., van Exel, J., Brouwer, W., et al. (2014). Subjective expectations regarding length and health-related quality of life in Hungary: results from an empirical investigation. *Health Expectations*, 17(5), 696-709.
- 81. Péntek, M., Herczegfalvi, Á., Molnár, M. J., Szőnyi, L., Kosztolányi, G., Pfliegler, G., et al. (2015). Duchenne-féle izomdisztrófiával élő betegek és gondozóik betegségterhei. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle, in press*
- 82. Pentek, M., Kosztolanyi, G., Melegh, B., Halasz, A., Pogany, G., Baji, P., et al. (2014). Health related quality of life and disease burden of patients with cystic fibrosis and their caregivers: Results of the European BURQOL-RD survey in Hungary. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 155(42), 1673-1684.
- 83. Péntek, M., Licker-Fóris, E., Lovas, K., Kaló, Z., Tóth, M., Tulassay, Z., et al. (2003). Assessment of quality of life in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis A comparative study on the Hungarian adaptations of EuroQoL and Nottingham Health Profile. *Ca&Csont*, 6(4), 158-163.
- 84. Rencz, F., Brodszky, V., Pentek, M., Balogh, O., Remenyik, E., Szegedi, A., et al. (2014). Disease burden of psoriasis associated with psoriatic arthritis in Hungary. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 155(48), 1913-1921.
- 85. Vokó, Z., Inotai, A., Horváth, C., Bors, K., Speer, G., & Kaló, Z. (2013). Quality of life in patients with osteoporosis in Hungary. *Lege Artis Medicinae KID*, *3*(4), 35-41.
- 86. Agh, T., Inotai, A., & Meszaros, A. (2011). Factors associated with medication adherence in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respiration*, 82(4), 328-334.
- 87. Bosnyak, E., Herceg, M., Pal, E., Aschermann, Z., Janszky, J., Kesmarki, I., et al. (2014). Are branded and generic extended-release ropinirole formulations equally efficacious? A rater-blinded, switch-over, multicenter study. *Parkinsons Dis*, 2014, 158353.
- 88. Deli, G., Balas, I., Komoly, S., Doczi, T., Janszky, J., Illes, Z., et al. (2012). Treatment of dystonia by deep brain stimulation: a summary of 40 cases. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 65(7-8), 249-260.
- 89. Ersek, K., Kovacs, T., Wimo, A., Karpati, K., Brodszky, V., Pentek, M., et al. (2010). Costs of dementia in Hungary. *J Nutr Health Aging*, *14*(8), 633-639.
- 90. Gombos, T., Kertesz, K., Csikos, A., Soderhamn, U., Soderhamn, O., & Prohaszka, Z. (2008). Nutritional form for the elderly is a reliable and valid instrument for the determination of undernutrition risk, and it is associated with health-related quality of life. *Nutr Res*, 28(2), 59-65.
- 91. Hanko, B., Kazmer, M., Kumli, P., Hragyel, Z., Samu, A., Vincze, Z., et al. (2007). Self-reported medication and lifestyle adherence in Hungarian patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Pharm World Sci*, 29(2), 58-66.
- 92. Herceg, M., Nagy, F., Pal, E., Janszky, J., Kesmarky, I., Komoly, S., et al. (2012). Pramipexole may be an effective treatment option in essential tremor. *Clin Neuropharmacol*, 35(2), 73-76.
- 93. Heredi, E., Rencz, F., Balogh, O., Gulacsi, L., Herszenyi, K., Hollo, P., et al. (2014). Exploring the relationship between EQ-5D, DLQI and PASI, and mapping EQ-5D utilities: a cross-sectional study in psoriasis from Hungary. *Eur J Health Econ*, *15 Suppl 1*, S111-119.
- 94. Horvath, K., Aschermann, Z., Acs, P., Deli, G., Janszky, J., Karadi, K., et al. (2014). Testretest validity of Parkinson's disease sleep scale 2nd version (PDSS-2). *J Parkinsons Dis*, 4(4), 687-691.

- 95. Kiraly, E., & Gondos, T. (2012). Cardiovascular diseases and the health-related quality of life after total hip replacement. *J Clin Nurs*, 21(19-20), 2843-2850.
- 96. Kovacs, C., Pecze, M., Tihanyi, A., Kovacs, L., Balogh, S., & Bender, T. (2012). The effect of sulphurous water in patients with osteoarthritis of hand. Double-blind, randomized, controlled follow-up study. *Clin Rheumatol*, *31*(10), 1437-1442.
- 97. Kovacs, N., Aschermann, Z., Acs, P., Bosnyak, E., Deli, G., Janszky, J., et al. (2014). The impact of levodopa-carbidopa intestinal gel on health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 67(7-8), 245-250.
- 98. Kulisch, A., Benko, A., Bergmann, A., Gyarmati, N., Horvath, H., Kranicz, A., et al. (2014). Evaluation of the effect of Lake Heviz thermal mineral water in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, controlled, single-blind, follow-up study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*, 50(4), 373-381.
- 99. Pentek, M., Gulacsi, L., Majoros, A., Piroth, C., Rubliczky, L., Boszormenyi Nagy, G., et al. (2012). Health related quality of life and productivity of women with overactive bladder. *Orvosi Hetilap*, 153(27), 1068-1076.
- 100. Pentek, M., Gulacsi, L., Rojkovich, B., Brodszky, V., van Exel, J., & Brouwer, W. B. (2014). Subjective health expectations at biological therapy initiation: a survey of rheumatoid arthritis patients and rheumatologists. *Eur J Health Econ*, *15 Suppl 1*, S83-92.
- 101. Pentek, M., Harangozo, J., Egerhazi, A., Kelemen, O., Gulacsi, L., Baji, P., et al. (2012). Health related quality of life and disease burden of patients with schizophrenia in Hungary. *Psychiatr Hung*, 27(1), 4-17.
- 102. Rencz, F., Kovacs, A., Brodszky, V., Gulacsi, L., Nemeth, Z., Nagy, G. J., et al. (2015). Cost of illness of medically treated benign prostatic hyperplasia in Hungary. *Int Urol Nephrol*, 47(8), 1241-1249.
- 103. Tamas, G., Gulacsi, L., Bereczki, D., Baji, P., Takats, A., Brodszky, V., et al. (2014). Quality of life and costs in Parkinson's disease: a cross sectional study in Hungary. *PLoS One*, 9(9), e107704.
- 104. Tefner, I. K., Gaal, R., Koroknai, A., Rathonyi, A., Gati, T., Monduk, P., et al. (2013). The effect of Neydharting mud-pack therapy on knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, controlled, double-blind follow-up pilot study. *Rheumatol Int*, 33(10), 2569-2576.
- 105. Tefner, I. K., Kovacs, C., Gaal, R., Koroknai, A., Horvath, R., Badruddin, R. M., et al. (2015). The effect of balneotherapy on chronic shoulder pain. A randomized, controlled, single-blind follow-up trial. A pilot study. *Clin Rheumatol*, *34*(6), 1097-1108.
- 106. Tefner, I. K., Nemeth, A., Laszlofi, A., Kis, T., Gyetvai, G., & Bender, T. (2012). The effect of spa therapy in chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled, single-blind, follow-up study. *Rheumatol Int*, 32(10), 3163-3169.
- 107. Bokor, M., & Szentesi, A. (2010). The effect of Stalevo-dosing on quality of life of Parkinsonian patients with wearing-off. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 63(7-8), 247-251.
- 108. Brodszky, V., Pentek, M., Blint, P. V., Geher, P., Hajdu, O., Hodinka, L., et al. (2010). Comparison of the Psoriatic Arthritis Quality of Life (PsAQoL) questionnaire, the functional status (HAQ) and utility (EQ-5D) measures in psoriatic arthritis: Results from a cross-sectional survey. *Scandinavian Journal of Rheumatology*, 39(4), 303-309.
- 109. Klivenyi, P., & Vecsei, L. (2008). The use of Stalevo in Hungary for patients with Parkinson disease and its effect on the quality of life. *Ideggyogyaszati szemle*, 61(1-2), 42-48.
- 110. Meszaros, A., & Vincze, Z. (2003). Analysis of quality of life among patients with bronchial asthma or rheumatoid arthritis. *Orvosi Hetilap*, *144*(9), 423-427.

- 111. Pentek, M., Bereczki, D., Gulacsi, L., Mikudina, B., Aranyi, Z., Juhos, V., et al. (2013). Survey of adults with epilepsy in Hungary: Health related quality of life and costs. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 66(7-8), 251-261.
- 112. Pentek, M., Gulacsi, L., Rozsa, C., Simo, M., Iljicsov, A., Komoly, S., et al. (2012). Health status and costs of ambulatory patients with multiple sclerosis in Hungary. *Ideggyogyaszati Szemle*, 65(9-10), 316-324.
- 113. Pentek, M., Szekanecz, Z., Czirjak, L., Poor, G., Rojkovich, B., Polgar, A., et al. (2008). Impact of disease progression on health status, quality of life and costs in rheumatoid arthritis in Hungary. *Orvosi Hetilap*, *149*(16), 733-741.
- 114. Szende, A., & Molnar, L. (2001). Inequalities in health status and inequity in the delivery of health care in Hungary. *Archives of Hellenic Medicine*, *18*(2), 169-179.
- 115. Szende, A., & Nemeth, R. (2003). Health-related quality of life of the Hungarian population. *Orvosi Hetilap*, *144*(34), 1667-1674.
- 116. Voko, Z., Nemeth, R., Nagyjanosi, L., Jermendy, G., Winkler, G., Hidvegi, T., et al. (2014). Mapping the Nottingham Health Profile onto the Preference-Based EuroQol-5D Instrument for Patients with Diabetes. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, 4, 31-36.
- 117. Banaszkiewicz, M., Wawrzonkowska, I., & Andruszkiewicz, A. (2014). The health behaviours and their selected predictors and quality of women's life in postmenopausal period on the example of the patients of Multi-Municipal Hospital dr E. Warmiński in Bydgoszcz. *Przedsiębiorczość i Zarządzanie, 12*(1), 263-279.
- 118. Borowiak, E., & Barylska, A. (2007). Problems of seniors in House of Day's Stay challenge for nurse. *Problemy Pielęgniarstwa*, 15(1), 13-19.
- 119. Farkowski, M. M., Pytkowski, M., Maciag, A., Golicki, D., Wood, K. A., Kowalik, I., et al. (2014). Gender-related differences in outcomes and resource utilization in patients undergoing radiofrequency ablation of supraventricular tachycardia: results from Patients' Perspective on Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation of AVRT and AVNRT Study. *Europace*, 16(12), 1821-1827.
- 120. Golicki, D., Jakubczyk, M., Niewada, M., Wrona, W., & Busschbach, J. J. (2010). Valuation of EQ-5D health states in Poland: first TTO-based social value set in Central and Eastern Europe. *Value in Health*, *13*(2), 289-297.
- 121. Jarosławska, B., & Błaszczyk, B. (2012). Quality of life of patients after ischamic stroke treated in hospital area of the administrative unit. *Studia Medyczne*, 26(2), 19-29.
- 122. Kołtowski, L., Drohomirecka, A., Palczewski, M., & Cichoń, R. (2011). Short-Term Improvement of Patients' Quality of Life After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting a Prospective Single-Center Study Based on the EQ-5D Assessment Tool. *Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 20(4), 447-453.
- 123. Kwaśniewska, M., Bielecki, W., & Drygas, W. (2004). Sociodemographic and clinical determinants of quality of life in urban population of Poland. *Central European Journal of Public Health*, 12(2), 63-68.
- 124. Kwaśniewska, M., & Drygas, W. (2005). Quality of life in patients with risk factors of coronary heart disease. *Przeglad lekarski*, 62(9), 863-870.
- 125. Pasek, J., Kwiatek, P., Pasek, T., Szajkowski, S., Szewc, A., & Sieroń, A. (2012). Application of magnetic field and visible light in the treatment of low back pain and sciatic neuralgia. *Aktualn Neurol*, 12(1), 65-68.
- 126. Pasek, J., Szajkowski, S., Suszyński, K., Wiaderkiewicz, J., & Kwiek, S. (2009). The quality of life at patients with the extrapyramidal system diseases after stereotactic surgery and rehabilitation. *Annales Academiae Medicae Silesiensis*, 63(6), 32-39.
- 127. Puto, G., Ocetkiewicz, T., & Zawisza, K. (2008). Influence of depression and cognitive function on subjective assessment of quality of life in patients over 80 years old with myocardial ischemia. *Gerontologia Polska*, 15(3), 90-96.

- 128. Skowron, A., & Turska, W. (2008). Assessment of the quality of life among patient with arterial hypertension and diabetes type 2. Farmacja Polska, 64(17), 745-796.
- 129. Uchmanowicz, I., Jankowska, B., Panaszek, B., & A.M., F. (2010). The impact of sociodemographic factors on health related quality of life (HRQoL) of patients with asthma. *Współczesna Alergologia Info*, *5*(2), 57-65.
- 130. van Hout, B., Janssen, M. F., Feng, Y. S., Kohlmann, T., Busschbach, J., Golicki, D., et al. (2012). Interim scoring for the EQ-5D-5L: mapping the EQ-5D-5L to EQ-5D-3L value sets. *Value in Health*, 15(5), 708-715.
- 131. Woźniak, J., Królicka, A., Pokryszko-Draga, A., Włodarczyk, I., Dudek, K., & Włodarczyk, K. (2012). Assessment of overall efficiency, daily living activities and quality of life in elderly adults with suspected dementia. *Psychogeriatria Polska*, *9*(4), 149-160.
- 132. Zuraw, W., Golicki, D., Jurecka, A., & Tylki-Szymanska, A. (2011). Quality of life among polish Fabry patients a cross-sectional study quality of life among polish Fabry patients. *Central European Journal of Medicine*, 6(6), 741-749.
- 133. Borowiak, E., & Kostka, T. (2004). Predictors of quality of life in older people living at home and in institutions. *Aging Clin Exp Res*, *16*(3), 212-220.
- 134. Deskur-Smielecka, E., Borowicz-Bienkowska, S., Brychcy, A., Wilk, M., Przywarska, I., & Dylewicz, P. (2009). Why patients after acute coronary syndromes do not participate in an early outpatient rehabilitation programme? *Kardiologia Polska*, 67(6), 632-638.
- 135. Dudzinska, M., Tarach, J. S., Zwolak, A., Kurowska, M., Malicka, J., Smolen, A., et al. (2013). Type 2 diabetes mellitus in relation to place of residence: evaluation of selected aspects of socio-demographic status, course of diabetes and quality of life--a cross-sectional study. *Ann Agric Environ Med*, 20(4), 869-874.
- 136. Golicki, D., & Niewada, M. (2015). General population reference values for 3-level EQ-5D (EQ-5D-3L) questionnaire in Poland. *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej,* 125(1-2), 18-26.
- 137. Kaleta, D., & Jegier, A. (2004). Leisure time physical activity and subjective health state in adults. *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej, 111*(5), 537-545.
- 138. Kleczynski, P., Bagienski, M., Sorysz, D., Rzeszutko, L., Trebacz, J., Tomala, M., et al. (2014). Short- and intermediate-term improvement of patient quality of life after transcatheter aortic valve implantation: a single-centre study. *Kardiologia Polska*, 72(7), 612-616.
- 139. Koltowski, L., Koltowska-Haggstrom, M., Filipiak, K. J., Kochman, J., Golicki, D., Pietrasik, A., et al. (2014). Quality of life in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention--radial versus femoral access (from the OCEAN RACE Trial). *Am J Cardiol*, *114*(4), 516-521.
- 140. Machaczka, M., Kampe Bjorkvall, C., Wieremiejczyk, J., Paucar Arce, M., Myhr-Eriksson, K., Klimkowska, M., et al. (2015). Impact of imiglucerase supply shortage on clinical and laboratory parameters in norrbottnian patients with Gaucher disease type 3. *Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz)*, 63(1), 65-71.
- 141. Maselbas, W., Chabik, G., & Czlonkowska, A. (2010). Persistence with treatment in patients with Wilson disease. *Neurol Neurochir Pol*, 44(3), 260-263.
- 142. Matusiak, L., Bieniek, A., & Szepietowski, J. C. (2010). Psychophysical aspects of hidradenitis suppurativa. *Acta Derm Venereol*, 90(3), 264-268.
- 143. Pierzchala, K., Adamczyk-Sowa, M., Dobrakowski, P., Kubicka-Baczyk, K., Niedziela, N., & Sowa, P. (2015). Demographic characteristics of MS patients in Poland's upper Silesia region. *Int J Neurosci*, 125(5), 344-351.

- 144. Szyca, R., Zimmermann, A., & Leksowski, K. (2010). Influence of low-molecular-weight heparin on the life quality of patients after intravascular medical procedures in comparison to patients who were treated with oral anticoagulants. *Polski Merkuriusz Lekarski*, 29(171), 157-161.
- 145. Boros, P. W., & Lubinski, W. (2012). Health state and the quality of life in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Poland: A study using the EQ-5D questionnaire. *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej, 122*(3), 73-81.
- 146. Borowiak, E., & Kostka, T. (2006). Influence of chronic cardiovascular disease and hospitalisation due to this disease on quality of life of community-dwelling elderly. *Quality of Life Research*, *15*(7), 1281-1289.
- 147. Gierat-Haponiuk, K., Haponiuk, I., Szalewska, D., Chojnicki, M., Jaworski, R., Niedoszytko, P., et al. (2015). Effect of complex cardiac rehabilitation on physical activity and quality of life during long-term follow-up after surgical correction of congenital heart disease. *Kardiologia Polska*, 73(4), 267-273.
- 148. Golicki, D., Dudzinska, M., Zwolak, A., & Tarach, J. S. (2015). Quality of life in patients with type 2 diabetes in Poland comparison with the general population using the EQ-5D questionnaire. *Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 24(1), 139-146.
- 149. Golicki, D., Niewada, M., Jakubczyk, M., Wrona, W., & Hermanowski, T. (2010). Self-assessed health status in Poland: EQ-5D findings from the Polish valuation study. *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej, 120*(7-8), 276-281.
- 150. Grabowska, P., Targowski, T., & Jahnz-Rozyk, K. (2006). Evaluation of quality of life and knowledge about tobacco smoking toxicity among patients hospitalized in Department of Pneumonology. *Przeglad lekarski*, 63(10), 1071-1074.
- 151. Jegier, A., Szmigielska, K., Bilinska, M., Brodowski, L., Galaszek, M., Mrozek, P., et al. (2009). Health-related quality of life in patients with coronary heart disease after residential vs ambulatory cardiac rehabilitation. *Circulation Journal*, *73*(3), 476-483.
- 152. Kaleta, D., & Jegier, A. (2005). Ambulatory cardiac rehabilitation and selected quality of life parameters among men with coronary artery disease. *Przeglad lekarski*, 62(7), 657-660.
- 153. Kostka, J., Borowiak, E., & Kostka, T. (2014). Nutritional status and quality of life in different populations of older people in Poland. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 68(11), 1210-1215.
- 154. Mitosek-Szewczyk, K., Kulakowska, A., Bartosik-Psujek, H., Hozejowski, R., Drozdowski, W., & Stelmasiak, Z. (2014). Quality of life in Polish patients with multiple sclerosis. *Advances in Medical Sciences*, *59*(1), 34-38.
- 155. Noone, D., O'Mahony, B., van Dijk, J. P., & Prihodova, L. (2013). A survey of the outcome of prophylaxis, on-demand treatment or combined treatment in 18-35-year old men with severe haemophilia in six countries. *Haemophilia*, 19(1), 44-50.
- 156. Nowakowska-Glab, A., & Maniecka-Bryla, I. (2011). Relation between occupation and health related quality of life of pregnant women. *Medycyna Pracy*, 62(6), 601-607.
- 157. Nowakowska-Glab, A., Maniecka-Bryla, I., Wilczynski, J., & Nowakowska, D. (2010). Evaluation of antenatal quality of life of hospitalized women with the use of Mother-Generated Index--pilot study. *Ginekologia polska*, 81(7), 521-527.
- 158. Salabura, B., Klimek-Poskorz, E., & Sokol, B. (2005). The quality of life in patients after myocardial infraction treated with coronary angioplasty. *Fizjoterapia*, *13*(3), 33-41.
- 159. Wrobel, M. P., Szymborska-Kajanek, A., Wystrychowski, G., Biniszkiewicz, T., Sieron-Stoltny, K., Sieron, A., et al. (2008). Impact of low frequency pulsed magnetic fields on pain intensity, quality of life and sleep disturbances in patients with painful diabetic polyneuropathy. *Diabetes and Metabolism*, 34(4), 349-354.

- 160. Golicki, D., Niewada, M., Buczek, J., Karlińska, A., Kobayashi, A., Janssen, M. F., et al. (2015). Validity of EQ-5D-5L in stroke. *Quality of Life Research*, 24(4), 845-850.
- 161. Kostka, T., & Bogus, K. (2007). Independent contribution of overweight/obesity and physical inactivity to lower health-related quality of life in community-dwelling older subjects. *Zeitschrift für Gerontologie und Geriatrie*, 40(1), 43-51.
- 162. Kostka, T., & Jachimowicz, V. (2010). Relationship of quality of life to dispositional optimism, health locus of control and self-efficacy in older subjects living in different environments. *Quality of Life Research*, 19(3), 351-361.
- 163. Łabuz-Roszak, B., Kubicka-Bączyk, K., Pierzchała, K., Horyniecki, M., Machowska-Majchrzak, A., Augustyńska-Mutryn, D., et al. (2013). Quality of life in multiple sclerosis—Association with clinical features, fatigue and depressive syndrome. *Psychiatria Polska*, *47*(3), 433-441.
- 164. Golicki, D., & Niewada, M. (2015). EQ-5D-5L Polish population norms. Arch Med Sci
- 165. Golicki, D., Niewada, M., Karlinska, A., Buczek, J., Kobayashi, A., Janssen, M. F., et al. (2015). Comparing responsiveness of the EQ-5D-5L, EQ-5D-3L and EQ VAS in stroke patients. *Quality of Life Research*, 24(6), 1555-1563.
- 166. Khoshab, H. A., & Sloboda, T. (2015). Minimal access spinal technologies (MAST) fusion procedures for the treatment of the degenerative lumbar spine (a part of multicentral prospective study). Case Report. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*, 36(3), 231-235.
- 167. Klepanec, A., Mistrik, M., Altaner, C., Valachovicova, M., Olejarova, I., Slysko, R., et al. (2012). No difference in intra-arterial and intramuscular delivery of autologous bone marrow cells in patients with advanced critical limb ischemia. *Cell Transplant*, 21(9), 1909-1918.
- 168. Rosa, J., Vanuga, P., Noskovic, M., & Ritomsky, A. (2004). Profile of patients presenting with postmenopausal osteoporosis treated with raloxifene. *Osteologicky Bulletin*, 9(1), 18-21.
- 169. Dernovsek, M. Z., Prevolnik Rupel, V., Rebolj, M., & Tavcar, R. (2001). Quality of life and treatment costs in schizophrenic outpatients, treated with depot neuroleptics. *European Psychiatry*, 16(8), 474-482.
- 170. Kersnik, J. (2000). Observational study of home visits in Slovene general practice: patient characteristics, practice characteristics and health care utilization. *Family Practice*, 17(5), 389-393.
- 171. Klemenc-Ketis, Z. (2011). Disability in patients with chronic non-specific low back pain: validation of the Slovene version of the Oswestry Disability Index. *Zdravstveno Varstvo*, 50(2), 87-94.
- 172. Klemenc-Ketis, Z., & Kersnik, J. (2014). Health seeking behaviour in general population with psychological symptoms. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 26(2), 181-186.
- 173. Klemenc-Ketis, Z., Kersnik, J., Eder, K., & Colaric, D. (2011). Factors Associated with Health-Related Quality of Life among University Students. *Srpski Arhiv Za Celokupno Lekarstvo*, *139*(3-4), 197-202.
- 174. Klemenc-Ketis, Z., Smogavec, M., Softic, N., & Kersnik, J. (2011). Health-related quality of life: a population based study from Slovenia. *Central European Journal of Public Health*, 19(1), 7-12.
- 175. Korosec Jagodic, H., Jagodic, K., & Podbregar, M. (2006). Long-term outcome and quality of life of patients treated in surgical intensive care: a comparison between sepsis and trauma. *Crit Care*, 10(5), R134.
- 176. Pavsic, K., Pelicon, K., Ledinek, A. H., & Sega, S. (2015). Short-term impact of fampridine on motor and cognitive functions, mood and quality of life among multiple sclerosis patients. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*, *139*, 35-40.

- 177. Prevolnik Rupel, V., & Ogorevc, M. (2014). Use of the EQ-5D Instrument and Value Scale in Comparing Health States of Patients in Four Health Care Programs among Health Care Providers. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, 4, 95-99.
- 178. Zelko, E., Svab, I., & Pavlic, D. R. (2015). Quality of Life And Patient Satisfaction with Family Practice Care in a Roma Population With Chronic Conditions in Northeast Slovenia. *Zdravstveno Varstvo*, 54(1), 18-26.
- 179. Prevolnik Rupel, V., Marušič, D., & S, K. (2005). Quality of life of the coronary club members. (44), 151-160.
- 180. Rebolj, M., & Prevolnik Rupel, V. (2001). Socioeconomic inequalities in health of the Slovenian population measured by the EQ-5D instrument. In A. Norinder, P. KM, & P. Roos (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 18th of the EuroQol Group, 6th-7th September, 2001, Copanhagen, Denmark* (pp. 199-213).
- 181. Borowiak, E., & Kostka, T. (2003). Physical activity of elderly inhabitants of Lodz. *Polish Journal of Sports Medicine*, 19(4), 139-146.
- 182. Iskrov, G. G., Stefanov, R. S., Lopez-Bastida, J., Linertova, R., Oliva-Moreno, J., & Serrano-Aguilar, P. (2015). Economic Burden And Health-Related Quality Of Life Of Patients With Cystic Fibrosis In Bulgaria. *Folia Med (Plovdiv)*, *57*(1), 56-64.
- 183. Golicki, D., Niewada, M., Hout, B. V., Janssen, M. F., & Pickard, A. S. (2014). Interim EQ-5D-5L Value Set for Poland: First Crosswalk Value Set in Central and Eastern Europe. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, 4(1), 19-23.
- 184. Prevolnik Rupel, V., & Ogorevc, M. (2012). The EQ-5D health states value set for Slovenia. *Zdravstveno Varstvo*, 51(2), 128-140.
- 185. Gulacsi, L., Boncz, I., & Drummond, M. (2004). Issues for countries considering introducing the "fourth hurdle": the case of Hungary. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 20(3), 337-341.
- 186. Gulacsi, L., Brodszky, V., Pentek, M., Varga, S., Vas, G., & Boncz, I. (2009). History of health technology assessment in Hungary. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, *25 Suppl 1*, 120-126.
- 187. Nizankowski, R., & Wilk, N. (2009). From idealistic rookies to a regional leader: the history of health technology assessment in Poland. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 25 Suppl 1, 156-162.
- 188. Prosser, L. A., Lamarand, K., Gebremariam, A., & Wittenberg, E. (2015). Measuring family HRQoL spillover effects using direct health utility assessment. *Med Decis Making*, 35(1), 81-93.
- 189. Wittenberg, E., & Prosser, L. A. (2013). Disutility of illness for caregivers and families: a systematic review of the literature. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 31(6), 489-500.
- 190. Wittenberg, E., Ritter, G. A., & Prosser, L. A. (2013). Evidence of spillover of illness among household members: EQ-5D scores from a US sample. *Med Decis Making*, 33(2), 235-243.
- 191. Tilford, J. M., & Payakachat, N. (2015). Progress in measuring family spillover effects for economic evaluations. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 15(2), 195-198.
- 192. Brooks, R. (2012). *The EuroQol Group After 25 Years*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- 193. Rabin, R., Oemar, M., & Oppe, M. (2011). EQ-5D-3L User Guide: Basic information on how to use the EQ-5D-3L instrument. *Rotterdam: EuroQol Group*
- 194. Kolasa, K., Schubert, S., Manca, A., & Hermanowski, T. (2011). A review of Health Technology Assessment (HTA) recommendations for drug therapies issued between 2007 and 2009 and their impact on policymaking processes in Poland. *Health Policy*, 102(2-3), 145-151.

- 195. Kolasa, K., & Wasiak, R. (2012). Health technology assessment in Poland and Scotland: comparison of process and decisions. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 28(1), 70-76.
- 196. Niewada, M., Polkowska, M., Jakubczyk, M., & Golicki, D. (2013). What influences recommendations issued by the agency for health technology assessment in Poland? A glimpse into decision makers' preferences. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, 2(2), 267-272.
- 197. Knies, S., Evers, S. M., Candel, M. J., Severens, J. L., & Ament, A. J. (2009). Utilities of the EQ-5D: transferable or not? *Pharmacoeconomics*, 27(9), 767-779.
- 198. Knott, R. J., Black, N., Hollingsworth, B., & Lorgelly, P. K. (2016). Response-Scale Heterogeneity in the EQ-5D. *Health Econ*
- 199. Gunther, O. H., Roick, C., Angermeyer, M. C., & Konig, H. H. (2008). The responsiveness of EQ-5D utility scores in patients with depression: A comparison with instruments measuring quality of life, psychopathology and social functioning. *J Affect Disord*, 105(1-3), 81-91.
- 200. Heijink, R., van Baal, P., Oppe, M., Koolman, X., & Westert, G. (2011). Decomposing cross-country differences in quality adjusted life expectancy: the impact of value sets. *Popul Health Metr*, *9*(1), 17.
- 201. Matter-Walstra, K., Klingbiel, D., Szucs, T., Pestalozzi, B. C., & Schwenkglenks, M. (2014). Using the EuroQol EQ-5D in Swiss cancer patients, which value set should be applied? *Pharmacoeconomics*, 32(6), 591-599.
- 202. Norman, R., Cronin, P., Viney, R., King, M., Street, D., & Ratcliffe, J. (2009). International comparisons in valuing EQ-5D health states: a review and analysis. *Value in Health*, *12*(8), 1194-1200.
- 203. Stark, R. G., Reitmeir, P., Leidl, R., & Konig, H. H. (2010). Validity, reliability, and responsiveness of the EQ-5D in inflammatory bowel disease in Germany. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*, 16(1), 42-51.
- 204. Bernert, S., Fernandez, A., Haro, J. M., Konig, H. H., Alonso, J., Vilagut, G., et al. (2009). Comparison of different valuation methods for population health status measured by the EQ-5D in three European countries. *Value in Health*, 12(5), 750-758.
- 205. Greiner, W., Weijnen, T., Nieuwenhuizen, M., Oppe, S., Badia, X., Busschbach, J., et al. (2003). A single European currency for EQ-5D health states. Results from a six-country study. *Eur J Health Econ*, 4(3), 222-231.