



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Electronic patient records, past, present and future*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/103265/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Peckham, D orcid.org/0000-0001-7723-1868 (2016) *Electronic patient records, past, present and future*. *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews*, 20 (Supplement). pp. 8-11. ISSN 1526-0542

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prrv.2016.06.005>

© 2016, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Electronic patient records, past, present and future

Key words: Cystic Fibrosis, Electronic Patient Record, Online Access

Abstract

The health informatics revolution was spear-headed in the 1980s by pioneers in primary care who worked in an opportune environment and were able to successfully implement electronic patient records (EPR) as far back as the 1990s. Although the ambitious and costly National Programme for IT failed to deliver an integrated EPR, the project achieved the creation of the Spine, the N3 Network, choose and book, picture archiving, communication systems and standards which have allowed integration. Real change is taking place within the NHS with the launch of exciting new projects focusing on true integration and secure data flows across primary, community and secondary care. These changes have been brought about by the realisation that linking “best in class” is more likely to secure a successful cost-effective national integrated EPR.

Introduction

Although computing in the form of devices such as the abacus that speed up arithmetic calculation have a long history extending back over two millennia, it is only in the last three decades that powerful digital technologies have become widely available and are now ubiquitous in modern life. With the introduction of the internet, cloud virtualisation and improved processing speed and power, the technology is now available to support an "electronic NHS" with fully integrated care records. Health provision is multifaceted and unlike many other industries such as food retailing and banking, it has proved difficult to fully exploit the potential of contemporary computing technologies in the NHS. However this is changing and there is a new impetus to integrate digital technologies acknowledging that a "one thing does not fits all" approach is key to the delivery of a more efficient, safer and user-friendly NHS. This short review explores the past, present and future development of electronic patient records in the UK and explores how some of these

advances are impacting on the Electronic Paper Records (EPR) system in the Leeds Cystic fibrosis Unit(1).

The Primary Care Revolution

The health informatics revolution was spear-headed in the 1980s by pioneers in primary care who worked in an opportune environment and who were early to recognise the potential benefits of EPR within the health service. The advantages that GPs had over secondary care included the fact that they were independent contractors, worked in a relatively closed environment and had direct access to the long established Lloyd George envelopes(2). These key documents had been in existence since the first world war and not only held the basic health record but also followed the patient if or when they moved practice(2). By the 1990's the majority of GP practices had installing digital clinical records which have since evolved into high quality EPRs. Despite early incentives and investments in primary care, the opportunity of developing true interoperability between best in class systems both within primary, community and secondary care was missed.

The National Program

The introduction in 1990s of the NHS number, shared NHS administrative registers and an information network established a national user identifier and an early infrastructure for data sharing(3). This was followed by the ambitious National Programme for IT (NPFIT), which cost over £10 billion and failed to deliver a national integrated electronic patient record (4-6). The failure of this high cost scheme highlights the pitfalls of over ambitious top-down projects that are broad in scope, lack rigorous methodology, are deficient in practicality and fail to ensure user engagement. Lessons should have been learnt since an estimated 60-80% of healthcare IT projects had already resulted in failure(3, 7). Despite the negativity associated with IT failure, important successes were achieved. These include the creation of the Spine, a secure N3 Network, the introduction of “choose and book” and a picture archiving and communication system (PACS). While it is highly unlikely that the government’s ambition of making the NHS paperless by 2018 will be

achieved, some of the legacies from NPFIT will have long-term influences on future developments.

Secondary Care

Secondary care has long been plagued by a severe lack of resources, under investment in IT infrastructures and the inherent complexity of having to connect a large number of legacy systems which are often incompatible. Early investment has tended towards administration systems (PAS) which have been central to secondary care infrastructure. Unfortunately the NPFIT inadvertently delayed many in-house developments and stopped secondary care from procuring commercial software. Despite this some hospitals have successfully introduced EPR with variable functionality and real change is taking place within the NHS with the launch of exciting new projects focusing on true integration and secure data flows across primary, community and secondary care. These changes have been brought about by the realisation that linking “best in class” is more likely to secure a successful cost-effective national integrated electronic patient record. However there is still a long way to go.

The Benefits

The successful introduction of user-friendly and efficient EPR has the potential to deliver significant benefits. Examples include fast, reliable access to patient data for health care professionals, performance and resource data, automation of routine processes, improved accuracy of data, standardisation of codes, patient access, automated registry data completion, bidirectional integration with patient data, data mapping, research and improved safety. These long-term benefits will only be achieved if there is user “buy in” and appropriate integration with key hospital systems such as the patient administration systems and order communications. Full integration is some way off but the benefit of the functionality of specialist systems should always be assessed as conformity to common standard processes within any institution can significantly impact on efficiency and quality of data. Seamless integration with mobile technology will also be important as it will form an increasing part of the professional’s interaction with EPR.

Data Language

Many EPR are electronic versions of the paper records with facilities for digital dictation and links to secondary systems such as e-prescribing, clinic lists and electronic order communications. This type of system can be efficient but is unlikely to herald a new era of data integration. While natural language processing and data mining techniques can be used to extract codes, they can struggle to extract the context of data and the accuracy of the original text terms may be ill defined and not always as accurate as they could be. This is in contrast with many primary care systems which use a more integrated approach where data is often inputted through coded templates and where the health care professional can choose and /or change the various diagnoses. Only a fraction of this functionality is presently being used in primary care but the tools for high quality data input are available. In a recent study from Leeds, we assessed the quality of diagnoses in more than 100 consecutive discharge summaries from the respiratory wards. We found that the primary and other diagnoses extracted from the discharge summary and notes were often inaccurate or absent. By not coding and linking all data within a record, a standard language is not created capable of delivering standardised communication between teams and networks as well as support to administration, high quality audit and research. The use of coded information allows data to be recorded in a consistent and transferrable way. This process will be helped by the move towards a common coding language, namely the Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT) which will become the standard clinical terminology for the NHS in England.

Patient Access in Primary Care

The majority of GP practices now offer online appointment booking and the ordering of repeat prescriptions. From April 2015, patients have been able to access their primary care summary information online(8, 9). Benefits to patients has been reported including increased control over their own health, greater understanding and improved adherence to both care plans and medications(9-11). In contrast access to health information can cause anxiety over security and privacy and can

make patients feel less optimistic (10). Additional potential benefits include improved patient and MDT relationships, more empowered and satisfied patients, time saving, transparency, shared decisions, positive behavioural change and opportunities for education(9, 10, 12-14). In some studies, online access has results in increase workload and usage of clinical services (9, 15, 16).

Over 40 million patients now have their data for demographics, current medications, adverse reactions and allergies held in the national summary care record which is a copy of information from the GP record. Individuals can opt out from sharing their primary care record with the national summary care record.

The Personal Health Record

The explosion in mobile devices, improved internet connectivity and the wide array of user-friendly applications has heralded a new era of personal health records. Third party devices, health tracking apps and the mobile phones can automatically collect health-related data, including physiological measurements which can be integrated and displayed in third party platforms or free applications such as Google Fit and Apple Health. This “big data” has significant commercial value and can be linked to lifestyle metrics, service planning, patient-based research (eg Apple Research Kit) and importantly the EPR(17). While many companies are investing heavily in new products and functionality, optimization for secondary care will only occur if platforms are able to integrate with future EPRs. Similarly collaboration across mobile platforms would be hugely advantageous.

The Leeds Cystic Fibrosis EPR

We have previously described the successful implementation of the EPR in the Leeds adult and paediatric CF Units (1, 18). The move away from handwritten and typed paper records has been very successful and delivered cost savings, improved efficiency, high quality audit, research and positive user and patient feedback. The key components which led to the success of this project included early integration to ICE order comms and result server, fully configurable interface, user buy-in, continued clinical development and leadership. The system uses an intelligent graphical interface that tailors the way information is presented to both clinicians and

patients. Over 600 codes have now been embedded in templates allowing all physiological, clinical, medication and pathology data to be collected in real time (1). Patients can view and obtain graphical feedback at each clinic visit including trends in parameters such as lung function, weight and inflammatory markers. This visual data has provided invaluable feedback with fluctuation in parameters such as FEV1 showing significant correlation with reported drug adherence(18).

The Leeds EPR evolved through modification of a leading primary care platform (EMIS web – Egton Medical Information Systems) which is used by a large number of healthcare organisations. We have been able to take advantage of new innovative technologies, and developments resulting from government policies such as online patient access. The lack of interoperability between our EPR and new hospital IT platform/Portal has caused some difficulties. These have mainly related to out of hours access and sharing of information with healthcare professionals in other departments.

Linking Personal and Medical Records

More recently new functionality has been implemented including a mobile version of the EPR for community care and secure patient access to their Leeds CF medical record. Different levels of access can be configured for each patient and includes Test results, Current problems, Current medication, Recent documents, Immunisation, Allergies and Recent consultations. Work has also been completed on integrating the Apple Health dashboard with the patient's EMIS web record. Data is not automatically downloaded into the patients EMIS record but can be visualised and superimposed within the secondary care record. Unlike telehealth monitoring, the patient has full responsibility for their own data which is shared following patient consent.

Future Direction

The introduction of the EPR in secondary care which is long overdue will be successfully implemented across the NHS as long as there is user buy-in and

delivery of projects by clinicians for clinicians. Integration remains the key stumbling block but should not hold back developments. Interoperability standards are evolving and will be key to the success of the best of breed approach to EPR's. In our experience, waiting for the perfect solution or trying to mould your requirements to a generic system would have negatively impacted on the quality, efficiency and safety of our service. We are currently working on a three year project to develop novel IT solutions which place the patient at the centre of their health care and connect clinical data across platforms to ensure full integration.

Competing interests: D Peckham undertakes development work for Egton Medical Information Systems (EMIS).

1. Peckham D, Etherington C, White H, Mehta A, Shaw N, Morton A, et al. The development and deployment of integrated electronic care records in a regional adult and paediatric cystic fibrosis unit. *Journal of cystic fibrosis : official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society*. 2014 Dec;13(6):681-6. PubMed PMID: 24670246.
2. Floyd CB, White DH. A medical record folder for the Lloyd George envelope. *J R Coll Gen Pract*. 1986 Jan;36(282):19-20. PubMed PMID: 3701688. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC1960341.
3. The National Programme for IT in the NHS. A case history [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <https://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~rja14/Papers/npfit-mpp-2014-case-history.pdf>.
4. The dismantled National Programme for IT in the NHS [Internet]. House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts. 2013. Available from: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201314/cmselect/cmpubacc/294/294.pdf>.
5. Greenhalgh T, Keen J. England's national programme for IT. *Bmj*. 2013;346:f4130. PubMed PMID: 23810959.
6. Robertson A, Bates DW, Sheikh A. The rise and fall of England's National Programme for IT. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*. 2011 Nov;104(11):434-5. PubMed PMID: 22048671. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3206716.
7. Brown T. Modernisation or failure? IT development projects in the UK public sector. *Financial Accountability & Management*; 2001. p. 363-81.
8. The power of information: putting all of us in control of the health and care information we need (DoH, London). [Internet]. 2012.
9. Mold F, de Lusignan S, Sheikh A, Majeed A, Wyatt JC, Quinn T, et al. Patients' online access to their electronic health records and linked online services: a systematic review in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2015 Mar;65(632):e141-51. PubMed PMID: 25733435. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4337302.

10. Patients report benefits from online access to doctors' notes. *Bmj*. 2012;345:e6600. PubMed PMID: 23034851. eng.
11. Ross SE, Moore LA, Earnest MA, Wittevrongel L, Lin CT. Providing a web-based online medical record with electronic communication capabilities to patients with congestive heart failure: randomized trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2004 May 14;6(2):e12. PubMed PMID: 15249261. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC1550594.
12. Fisher B. Patients' access to their electronic record: offer patients access as soon as you can. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2013 Jun;63(611):e423-5. PubMed PMID: 23735414. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3662460. eng.
13. Bronson DL, O'Meara K. The impact of shared medical records on smoking awareness and behavior in ambulatory care. *J Gen Intern Med*. 1986 1986 Jan-Feb;1(1):34-7. PubMed PMID: 3772566. eng.
14. Fisher B, Bhavnani V, Winfield M. How patients use access to their full health records: a qualitative study of patients in general practice. *J R Soc Med*. 2009 Dec;102(12):539-44. PubMed PMID: 19966130. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC2789021. eng.
15. Palen TE, Ross C, Powers JD, Xu S. Association of online patient access to clinicians and medical records with use of clinical services. *JAMA*. 2012 Nov;308(19):2012-9. PubMed PMID: 23168824. eng.
16. Cross M. BMA warns against letting patients have access to their electronic records. *BMJ*. 2011;342:d206. PubMed PMID: 21228010. eng.
17. McCarthy M. Apple software will allow researchers to gather health data from iPhones. *Bmj*. 2015;350:h1402. PubMed PMID: 25770015.
18. Peckham D, Whitaker P, White H. Research in progress-electronic patient records: a new era. *Thorax*. 2015 May;70(5):473-5. PubMed PMID: 25504824. ENG.




Figure 1: Data flow for the Leeds EPR. Dotted lines show ongoing development.