

This is a repository copy of *Free monoids are coherent*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/100938/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Gould, Victoria orcid.org/0000-0001-8753-693X, Hartmann, Miklos and Ruskuc, Nik (2017) Free monoids are coherent. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*. pp. 127-131. ISSN 1464-3839

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091516000079>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

FREE MONOIDS ARE COHERENT

VICTORIA GOULD, MIKLÓS HARTMANN, AND NIK RUŠKUC

ABSTRACT. A monoid S is said to be *right coherent* if every finitely generated subact of every finitely presented right S -act is finitely presented. *Left coherency* is defined dually and S is *coherent* if it is both right and left coherent. These notions are analogous to those for a ring R (where, of course, S -acts are replaced by R -modules). Choo, Lam and Luft have shown that free rings are coherent. In this note we prove that, correspondingly, any free monoid is coherent, thus answering a question posed by the first author in 1992.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notion of right coherency for a monoid S is defined in terms of finitary properties of right S -acts, corresponding to the way in which right coherency is defined for a ring R via properties of right R -modules. Namely, S is said to be *right (left) coherent* if every finitely generated subact of every finitely presented right (left) S -act is finitely presented. If S is both right and left coherent then we say that S is *coherent*. Chase [1] gave equivalent internal conditions for right coherency of a ring R . The analogous result for monoids states that a monoid S is right coherent if and only if for any finitely generated right congruence ρ on S , and for any $a, b \in S$, the right annihilator congruence

$$r(a\rho) = \{(u, v) \in S \times S : au \rho av\}$$

is finitely generated, and the subact $(a\rho)S \cap (b\rho)S$ of the right S -act S/ρ is finitely generated (if non-empty) [4]. *Left coherency* is defined for monoids and rings in a dual manner; a monoid or ring is *coherent* if it is both right and left coherent. Coherency is a rather weak finitary condition on rings and monoids and as demonstrated by Wheeler [7], it is intimately related to the model theory of R -modules and S -acts.

A natural question arises as to which of the important classes of infinite monoids are (right) coherent? This study was initiated in [4], where it is shown that the free commutative monoid on any set Ω is coherent. For a (right) noetherian ring R , the free monoid ring $R[\Omega^*]$ over R is (right) coherent [2, Corollary 2.2]. Since the free ring on Ω is the monoid ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Omega^*]$ [6], it follows immediately that free rings are coherent. The question of whether the free monoid Ω^* itself is coherent was left open in [4]. The purpose of this note is to provide a positive answer to that question:

Theorem 1. *For any set Ω the free monoid Ω^* is coherent.*

Date: March 3, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M05, 20M30.

Key words and phrases. free monoids, S -acts, coherency.

Our proof of Theorem 1, given in Section 2, provides a blueprint for the proof in [5] that free left ample monoids are right coherent. Further comments are provided in Section 3.

A few words on notation and technicalities follow. If H is a set of pairs of elements of a monoid S , then we denote by $\langle H \rangle$ the right congruence on S generated by H . It is easy to see that if $a, b \in S$, then $a \langle H \rangle b$ if and only if $a = b$ or there is an $n \geq 1$ and a sequence

$$(c_1, d_1, t_1; c_2, d_2, t_2; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$$

of elements of S , with $(c_i, d_i) \in H$ or $(d_i, c_i) \in H$, such that the following equalities hold:

$$a = c_1 t_1, d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2, \dots, d_n t_n = b.$$

Such a sequence will be referred to as an H -sequence (of length n) connecting a and b . It is convenient to allow $n = 0$ in the above sequence; the empty sequence is interpreted as asserting equality $a = b$. Where convenient we will use the fact that Ω^* is a submonoid of the free group $\text{FG}(\Omega)$ on Ω , in order to give the natural meaning to expressions such as yx^{-1} , where $x, y \in \Omega^*$ and x is a suffix of y .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let Ω be a set; it is clearly enough to show that Ω^* is right coherent. To this end let ρ be the right congruence on Ω^* generated by a finite subset H of $\Omega^* \times \Omega^*$, which without loss of generality we assume to be symmetric.

Definition 2. A quadruple $(a, u; b, v)$ of elements of S is said to be *irreducible* if $(au, bv) \in \rho$ and for any common non-empty suffix x of u and v we have that $(aux^{-1}, bvx^{-1}) \notin \rho$.

Definition 3. An H -sequence $(c_1, d_1, t_1; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$ with

$$au = c_1 t_1, d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2, \dots, d_n t_n = bv$$

is *irreducible* with respect to $(a, u; b, v)$ if $u, t_1, \dots, t_n, v \in \Omega^*$ do not have a common non-empty suffix. Clearly, this is equivalent to one of u, t_1, \dots, t_n, v being ϵ .

Throughout this note for an H -sequence as above we define $a = d_0, u = t_0, c_{n+1} = b$ and $t_{n+1} = v$. It is clear that if the quadruple $(a, u; b, v)$ is irreducible then any H -sequence connecting au and bv must be irreducible with respect to $(a, u; b, v)$.

We define

$$K = \max\{|p| : (p, q) \in H\}.$$

Lemma 4. Let the H -sequence $(c_1, d_1, t_1; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$ with

$$au = c_1 t_1, d_1 t_1 = c_2 t_2, \dots, d_n t_n = bv$$

be irreducible with respect to $(a, u; b, v)$. Then either the empty H -sequence is irreducible with respect to $(a, u; c_1, t_1)$ (in which case $|u| \leq \max(|b|, K)$ and $u = \epsilon$ or $t_1 = \epsilon$) or there exist an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $t_{i+1} = \epsilon$ (so that $au \rho c_{i+1}$) and $x \in \Omega^+$ such that $|x| \leq \max(|b|, K)$, the sequence

$$(c_1, d_1, t_1 x^{-1}; \dots; c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}, t_{i-1} x^{-1})$$

satisfies

$$aux^{-1} = c_1t_1x^{-1}, d_1t_1x^{-1} = c_2t_2x^{-1}, \dots, d_{i-1}t_{i-1}x^{-1} = c_it_ix^{-1},$$

and is an irreducible H -sequence with respect to $(a, ux^{-1}; c_i, t_ix^{-1})$.

Proof. If the empty sequence is irreducible with respect to $(a, u; c_1, t_1)$ then either $u = \epsilon$ or $t_1 = \epsilon$. In both cases we have that $|u| \leq \max(|b|, K)$. Suppose therefore that the empty sequence is not irreducible with respect to $(a, u; c_1, t_1)$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the smallest index such that $t_{i+1} = \epsilon$ (such an index exists, because our original sequence is irreducible), and let x be the longest common non-empty suffix of $u = t_0, t_1, \dots, t_i$. Then the sequence

$$(c_1, d_1, t_1x^{-1}; \dots; c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}, t_{i-1}x^{-1})$$

clearly satisfies

$$aux^{-1} = c_1t_1x^{-1}, d_1t_1x^{-1} = c_2t_2x^{-1}, \dots, d_{i-1}t_{i-1}x^{-1} = c_it_ix^{-1}$$

and is irreducible with respect to $(a, ux^{-1}; c_i, t_ix^{-1})$. Furthermore, since $t_{i+1} = \epsilon$, we have that $d_it_i = c_{i+1}$, so x is a suffix of c_{i+1} . If $i < n$ then $(c_{i+1}, d_{i+1}) \in H$, while if $i = n$ we have $c_{i+1} = b$. In either case $|x| \leq |c_{i+1}| \leq \max(|b|, K)$. \square

We deduce immediately that one condition for coherency of Ω^* is fulfilled.

Corollary 5. *Let $a, b \in S$. Then $(a\rho)S \cap (b\rho)S$ is empty or finitely generated.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $(a\rho)S \cap (b\rho)S \neq \emptyset$ and let

$$X = \{a\rho, b\rho, c\rho : (c, d) \in H\} \cap (a\rho)S \cap (b\rho)S.$$

We claim that X generates $(a\rho)S \cap (b\rho)S$. It is enough to show that for every irreducible quadruple $(a, u; b, v)$ we have that $(au)\rho \in X$. For this, let $(c_1, d_1, t_1; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$ be an H -sequence with

$$au = c_1t_1, \dots, d_nt_n = bv.$$

Note that this sequence is necessarily irreducible with respect to $(a, u; b, v)$. Then by Lemma 4, either $u = \epsilon$, or $t_i = \epsilon$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, or $v = t_{n+1} = \epsilon$. In each of these cases we see that $(au)\rho \in X$. \square

It remains to show that for any $a \in \Omega^*$, the right congruence $r(a\rho)$ is finitely generated. To this end we first present a technical result.

Lemma 6. *Let $(c_1, d_1, t_1; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$ with*

$$au = c_1t_1, \dots, d_nt_n = bv$$

be an irreducible H -sequence with respect to $(a, u; b, v)$. Then either $u = \epsilon$, or there exist a factorisation $u = x_k \dots x_1$ and indices $n+1 \geq \ell_1 > \ell_2 > \dots > \ell_k \geq 1$ such that for all $1 \leq j \leq k$:

- (i) $0 < |x_j| \leq \max(|b|, K)$ and
- (ii) $aux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1} \rho c_{\ell_j}$ (note that for $j = 1$ we have $au \rho c_{\ell_1}$).

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|u|$: if $|u| = 0$ the result is clear. Suppose that $|u| > 0$ and the result is true for all shorter words. If the empty sequence is irreducible with respect to $(a, u; c_1, t_1)$, then $t_1 = \epsilon$ and the factorisation $u = x_1$ satisfies the required conditions, with $k = 1$ and $\ell_1 = 1$. Otherwise, by Lemma 4, there exist an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $t_{i+1} = \epsilon$, so that $au \rho c_{i+1}$, and $x_1 \in \Omega^+$ such that $|x_1| \leq \max(|b|, K)$ and the sequence

$$(c_1, d_1, t_1 x_1^{-1}; \dots; c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}, t_{i-1} x_1^{-1})$$

satisfies

$$aux_1^{-1} = c_1 t_1 x_1^{-1}, d_1 t_1 x_1^{-1} = c_2 t_2 x_1^{-1}, \dots, d_{i-1} t_{i-1} x_1^{-1} = c_i t_i x_1^{-1}$$

and is an irreducible H -sequence with respect to $(a, ux_1^{-1}; c_i, t_i x_1^{-1})$. Put $\ell_1 = i + 1$. Since $|ux_1^{-1}| < |u|$, the result follows by induction. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $a \in \Omega^*$. Then $r(a\rho)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Let $K' = \max(K, |a|) + 1$, $L = 2|H| + 2$, $N = K'L$ and define

$$X = \{(u, v) : |u| + |v| \leq 3N\} \cap r(a\rho).$$

We claim that X generates $r(a\rho)$. It is clear that $\langle X \rangle \subseteq r(a\rho)$.

Let $(u, v) \in r(a\rho)$. We show by induction on $|u| + |v|$ that $(u, v) \in \langle X \rangle$. Clearly, if $|u| + |v| \leq 3N$, then $(u, v) \in X$. We suppose therefore that $|u| + |v| > 3N$ and make the inductive assumption that if $(u', v') \in r(a\rho)$ and $|u'| + |v'| < |u| + |v|$, then $(u', v') \in \langle X \rangle$. If the quadruple $(a, u; a, v)$ is not irreducible, it is immediate that $(u, v) \in \langle X \rangle$. Without loss of generality we therefore suppose that the quadruple $(a, u; a, v)$ is irreducible and $|v| \leq |u|$, so that $|u| > N$. Let $(c_1, d_1, t_1; \dots; c_n, d_n, t_n)$ with

$$au = c_1 t_1, \dots, d_n t_n = av$$

be an irreducible H -sequence with respect to $(a, u; a, v)$. We apply Lemma 6, noting here that $a = b$. Clearly $u \neq \epsilon$, so by Lemma 6, there exists a factorisation $u = x_k \dots x_1$ such that for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ we have $0 < |x_j| \leq K'$ and $aux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1} \rho c_{\ell_j}$ for some $1 \leq \ell_j \leq n + 1$. Since $|u| > K'L$ we have that $k > L$. Note that the number of distinct elements among c_1, \dots, c_n is less than $L - 1$. This in turn implies that there exist two indices $1 \leq k - L < j < i \leq k$ such that $c_{\ell_i} = c_{\ell_j}$, so that

$$aux_1^{-1} \dots x_{i-1}^{-1} \rho c_{\ell_i} = c_{\ell_j} \rho aux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1}.$$

Since $i, j > k - L$ we have that $k - i + 1 \leq L$, so $|ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{i-1}^{-1}| = |x_k \dots x_i| \leq K'L$, and similarly $|ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1}| \leq K'L$. As a consequence $(ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{i-1}^{-1}, ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1}) \in X$, and letting $u' = ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{i-1}^{-1} x_{j-1} \dots x_k$, we see that

$$(u', u) = (ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{i-1}^{-1}, ux_1^{-1} \dots x_{j-1}^{-1}) x_{j-1} \dots x_1 \in \langle X \rangle.$$

In particular, $au' \rho au \rho av$. Note that $|u'| < |u|$, because $j < i$ and $x_j \neq \epsilon$. Thus by the induction hypothesis we have that $(v, u') \in \langle X \rangle$ and so the lemma is proved. \square

In view of the characterisation of coherency given in [4] and cited in the Introduction, Corollary 5 and Lemma 7 complete the proof of Theorem 1.

3. COMMENTS

Given that the class of right coherent monoids is closed under retract [5], it follows from the results of that paper that free monoids are coherent. However, as the arguments in [5] for free left ample monoids are burdened with unavoidable technicalities, we prefer to present here the more transparent proof that Ω^* is coherent, by way of motivation for the work of [5]. With free objects in mind, we remark that we also show in [5] that the free inverse monoid on Ω is not coherent if $|\Omega| > 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.U. Chase, ‘Direct product of modules’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 457–473.
- [2] K.G. Choo, K.Y. Lam and E. Luft, ‘On free product of rings and the coherence property’, *Algebraic K-theory, II: “Classical” algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic* (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 135–143, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **342**, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [3] V. Gould, ‘Model Companions of S-systems’, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **38** (1987), 189–211.
- [4] V. Gould, ‘Coherent monoids’, *J. Australian Math. Soc.* **53** (1992), 166–182.
- [5] V. Gould, M. Hartmann, ‘Coherency and free algebras’, *arXiv:1501.00404*.
- [6] R. N. McKenzie, G. F. McNulty, and W. T. Taylor, *Algebra, Lattices, Varieties*, Wadsworth, 1983.
- [7] W.H. Wheeler, ‘Model companions and definability in existentially complete structures’, *Israel J. Math.* **25** (1976), 305–330.

E-mail address: victoria.gould@york.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK YO10 5DD, UK

E-mail address: hartm@math.u-szeged.hu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED, ARADI VERTANUK TERE 1, H-6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY

E-mail address: nik@mcs.st-and.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS, ST ANDREWS, FIFE KY16 9SS, UK