



Deposited via The University of York.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/100882/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mohandesan, Elmira, Speller, Camilla Filomena, Peters, Joris et al. (2016) Combined Hybridization Capture and Shotgun Sequencing for Ancient DNA Analysis of Extinct Wild and Domestic Dromedary Camel. *Molecular ecology resources*. 300–313. ISSN: 1755-098X

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12551>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Resource Article: Permanent Genetic Resources

2 **Combined Hybridization Capture and Shotgun Sequencing for Ancient DNA Analysis**
3 **of Extinct Wild and Domestic Dromedary Camel**

4

5 **Elmira Mohandesan**^{1,2*}, **Camilla F. Speller**³, **Joris Peters**⁴, **Hans-Peter Uerpmann**⁵,
6 **Margarethe Uerpmann**⁵, **Bea De Cupere**⁶, **Michael Hofreiter**^{3,7}, **Pamela A. Burger**^{1*}

7

8 ¹Research Institute of Wildlife Ecology, Vetmeduni Vienna, Savoyenstraße 1, 1160 Vienna,
9 Austria

10 ²Institute of Population Genetics, Vetmeduni Vienna, Veterinärplatz 1, 1210 Vienna, Austria

11 ³BioArCh, Department of Archaeology, University of York, Wentworth Way, York, YO10
12 5DD, UK

13 ⁴Institute of Palaeoanatomy, Domestication Research and the History of Veterinary Medicine,
14 LMU Munich and SNSB, Bavarian State Collection of Anthropology and Palaeoanatomy,
15 Munich, Germany

16 ⁵Institut für Naturwissenschaftliche Archäologie, Abteilung Archäozoologie, Eberhard-Karls-
17 Universität Tübingen, Rümelinstrasse 23, 7207 Tübingen, Germany

18 ⁶Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Vautierstraat 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium

19 ⁷Evolutionary and Adaptive Genomics, Institute for Biochemistry and Biology, Department
20 of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25,
21 Potsdam, 14476, Germany

22

23 *Corresponding authors:

24 Elmira Mohandesan

25 Institute of Wildlife Ecology, Vetmeduni Vienna

26 Savoyenstraße 1

27 1160 Wien, Austria

28 Tel: +43-1-25077-7141

29 Fax: +43-1-25077-7941

30 Email: elmira.mohandesan@vetmeduni.ac.at

31 Pamela Burger

32 Institute of Wildlife Ecology, Vetmeduni Vienna

33 Savoyenstraße 1

34 1160 Wien, Austria

35 Tel: +43-1-25077-7141

36 Fax: +43-1-25077-7941

37 Email: pamela.burger@vetmeduni.ac.at

38

39 Running title: Ancient dromedary mitogenomes

40 Key words: *Camelus dromedarius*, ancient DNA (aDNA), degraded DNA, mitochondrial
41 genome (mtDNA), capture enrichment, next generation sequencing (NGS)

42

43 **Abstract**

44 The performance of hybridization capture combined with next generation sequencing (NGS)
45 has seen limited investigation with samples from hot and arid regions until now. We applied
46 hybridization capture and shotgun sequencing to recover DNA sequences from bone
47 specimens of ancient-domestic dromedary (*Camelus dromedarius*) and its extinct ancestor,
48 the wild dromedary from Jordan, Syria, Turkey and the Arabian Peninsula, respectively. Our

49 results show that hybridization capture increased the percentage of mitochondrial DNA
50 (mtDNA) recovery by an average 187-fold and in some cases yielded virtually complete
51 mitochondrial (mt) genomes at multi-fold coverage in a single capture experiment.
52 Furthermore we tested the effect of hybridization temperature and time by using a touchdown
53 approach on a limited number of samples. We observed no significant difference in the
54 number of unique dromedary mtDNA reads retrieved with the standard capture compared to
55 the touchdown method. In total, we obtained 14 partial mitochondrial genomes from ancient-
56 domestic dromedaries with 17 - 95% length coverage and 1.27 – 47.1-fold read depths for the
57 covered regions. Using whole genome shotgun sequencing, we successfully recovered
58 endogenous dromedary nuclear DNA (nuDNA) from domestic and wild dromedary
59 specimens with 1 – 1.06-fold read depths for covered regions. Our results highlight that
60 despite recent methodological advances, obtaining ancient DNA (aDNA) from specimens
61 recovered from hot, arid environments is still problematic. Hybridization protocols require
62 specific optimization, and samples at the limit of DNA preservation need multiple replications
63 of DNA extraction and hybridization capture as has been shown previously for Middle
64 Pleistocene specimens.

65

66 **Introduction**

67 The pioneering world of next generation sequencing (NGS) (Margulies *et al.* 2005; Millar *et*
68 *al.* 2008; Shendure & Ji 2008) has advanced the field of aDNA tremendously, from
69 sequencing short fragments of mtDNA (Higuchi *et al.* 1984) to generating datasets of genome
70 scale from extant and extinct species (Green *et al.* 2010; Reich *et al.* 2010; Orlando *et al.*
71 2011; Meyer *et al.* 2012; Orlando *et al.* 2013; Prüfer *et al.* 2014; Rasmussen *et al.* 2014).
72 Although whole ancient genomes are becoming more readily accessible, mitochondrial

73 genomes (mitogenomes) are still the marker of choice in aDNA studies dealing with samples
74 with very poor DNA preservation (Dabney *et al.* 2013; Meyer *et al.* 2014), or when
75 comparing mitochondrial diversity between ancient and modern populations (Zhang *et al.*
76 2013; Thalmann *et al.* 2013; Almathen *et al.* 2016). Despite recent methodological progress,
77 aDNA research is still fraught with technical complications, such as low template quantities,
78 high fragmentation, miscoding lesions (Stiller *et al.* 2006; Briggs *et al.* 2007; Brotherton *et al.*
79 2007; Briggs *et al.* 2010; Sawyer *et al.* 2012), and contamination with modern DNA (Green *et*
80 *al.* 2006; Surakka *et al.* 2010; Rasmussen *et al.* 2011). Only in few cases, such as permafrost
81 samples (Palkopoulou *et al.* 2015), rare cave findings (Reich *et al.* 2010; Prüfer *et al.* 2014) or
82 when sampling the petrous bone of the cranium (Gamba *et al.* 2014; Pinhasi *et al.* 2015) a
83 high ratio of endogenous DNA (4 - 85%) *versus* environmental and contaminant DNA has
84 been reported. Moreover, the rate of DNA integrity is negatively correlated to the ambient
85 temperature to which the samples were exposed (Smith *et al.* 2001; Allentoft *et al.* 2012;
86 Hofreiter *et al.* 2015). While poor DNA preservation from palaeontological samples collected
87 in arid regions poses significant technical challenges (Paijmans *et al.* 2013), aDNA sequences
88 have occasionally been reported from arid regions, and contributed significantly to
89 understanding prehistoric events (*e.g.*, Orlando *et al.* 2006; Meiri *et al.* 2013; Bollongino *et*
90 *al.* 2013; Fernández *et al.* 2014; Almathen *et al.* 2016). In this study, we focused on
91 archaeological samples from wild and domestic dromedaries, a species typically associated
92 with hot and arid regions.

93 The single-humped dromedary (*C. dromedarius*) is the most numerous and widespread
94 domestic camel species inhabiting northern and eastern Africa, the Arabian Peninsula and
95 southwest Asia; a large feral population exists in Australia (Köhler-Rollefson 1991; Spencer
96 & Woolnough 2010). Dromedaries are bred for multiple purposes including meat, milk, wool,

97 transportation and sport (Bulliet 1990; Grigson 2012). They are particularly well adapted to
98 hot, desert conditions and show a variety of biological and physiological characteristics of
99 evolutionary, economic and medical importance (Wu *et al.* 2014). Zooarchaeological research
100 suggests that the domestication of dromedaries (*C. dromedarius*) occurred around 2000 -
101 1000 BCE (before the common era) on the Southeast coast of the Arabian Peninsula (Rowley-
102 Conwy 1988; Uerpmann & Uerpmann 2002; Iamoni 2009; Grigson 2012; Uerpmann &
103 Uerpmann 2012; Magee 2015). This has recently been confirmed by phylogenetic and
104 phylogeographic analyses of modern global dromedary populations, including aDNA analysis
105 of wild dromedaries (Almathen *et al.* 2016), which likely became extinct in the early first
106 millennium BCE (Uerpmann & Uerpmann 2002; von den Driesch & Obermaier 2007;
107 Uerpmann & Uerpmann 2012; Grigson 2014).

108 The remains of a single large-sized Late Pleistocene camel individual recovered from the site
109 1040 near Wadi Halfa were first evaluated by Gautier (1966), who assigned them to *Camelus*
110 *thomasi*, the giant North African camel. Based on a limited number of comparative specimens
111 and few metrical data, the author at that time concluded that the Site 1040 specimen exhibited
112 close relationship to the two-humped domestic camel *C. bactrianus*. Following this study,
113 Peters (1998) revisited the same assemblage by using a much larger set of comparative
114 specimens and drawing on the work of Steiger (1990). This revision concluded that all
115 specimens available for re-study, *i.e.* distal humerus, distal radius-ulna, distal tibia and
116 calcaneus exhibited features that are characteristic not of the two-humped but of the one-
117 humped camel *C. dromedarius*. Towards the end of the Pleistocene, *C. thomasi* likely
118 disappeared from Africa, given its absence in archaeological sites, natural deposits and rock
119 art dating to the Holocene. The proximity of Northeast Africa and the Arabian Peninsula
120 opens up the possibility that either *C. thomasi* or a closely related form survived in Southwest

121 Asia, giving rise in to the wild ancestor of domestic population at the transition of the Late
122 Bronze to the Iron Age.

123 The study of aDNA thus presents a unique opportunity to explore the genetic make-up and
124 variation in a wild progenitor population prior to the species' domestication. In other
125 livestock species, an increasing number of genetic studies have taken advantage of ancient
126 and historical samples from both extant and extinct species (Elbaum *et al.* 2006; Amaral *et al.*
127 2011; Cai *et al.* 2011; Kimura *et al.* 2011; Zhang *et al.* 2013; Girdland *et al.* 2014; Schubert *et*
128 *al.* 2014) to investigate the historical domestication process. However, no genetic data from
129 archaeological dromedary specimens have been available until recently (Almathen *et al.*
130 2016). This could be due to the general rarity of *C. dromedarius* specimens in archaeological
131 contexts, even within the current and historical geographical distributions of dromedaries, and
132 the challenging task of obtaining DNA from archaeological remains in desert regions.

133 In this study we explore two methodological strategies to recover mitochondrial genomes
134 from ancient dromedary specimens: 1) double- or single-stranded DNA library (DSL or SSL)
135 preparation (Meyer & Kircher 2010; Gansauge & Meyer 2013; Fortes & Paijmans 2015)
136 followed by hybridization enrichment (Briggs *et al.* 2009; Maricic *et al.* 2010; Fu *et al.* 2013)
137 and NGS sequencing; and 2) DSL preparation followed by whole genome shotgun-
138 sequencing. We describe the efficiency of the enrichment method, when applied to aDNA
139 libraries with variable levels of endogenous DNA. We also compare the effect of
140 hybridization condition on recovering the captured targets after the hybridization step in two
141 different enrichment methods. This study highlights one of the few successful recoveries of
142 DNA sequences from specimens excavated in hot and arid environments.

143

144 **Materials and Methods**

145 *Ancient-domestic and wild dromedary samples*

146 We analysed 54 ancient-domestic dromedary samples (100 BCE - 1870 CE) from excavation
147 sites in Sagalassos, Turkey (Early Byzantine: 450-700 CE); Apamea, Syria (Early Byzantine:
148 400-600 CE); Palmyra, Syria (100 BCE- 300 CE) and Aqaba, Jordan (Ottoman: 1456-1870
149 CE, Mamluk: 1260 -1456 CE). We also analysed 22 wild dromedary specimens (5000 – 1130
150 BCE) from archaeological sites of Al Sufouh-2 (Wadi Suq Middle Bronze Age *ca.* 2000-1600
151 BCE); Tell Abraq (Late Bronze – Iron Age: 1260-500 BCE); Muweilah (older than 1000-586
152 BCE); Umm an-Nar (Early Bronze Age: 3000-2000 BCE) and Al-Buhais 18 (5000-4000
153 BCE) in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). In addition, we analysed one Upper Palaeolithic
154 wild giant camel sample (*C. thomasi*) found below sediments dated to *ca.* 20,000 BCE and
155 collected during the Combined Nubian Prehistory and Geological Campaign in the early
156 1960s at Site 1040, located in the northern Sudanese Nile valley close to Wadi Halfa, near the
157 boundary with Egypt. The description of the samples and their geographical location are
158 detailed in Table S1 and Fig 1.

159

160 *Holocene climate change in regions of sample collection*

161 After the initial warming at the end of the Ice Age (around 10,000 BCE) the climate in the
162 Middle East began to change from cooler and moister (~ 4000 BCE) to warmer and more arid
163 (~ 3000 BCE), reaching today's condition only at the very beginning of the Iron Age (~1200
164 BCE) (Preston *et al.* 2015; Hume *et al.* 2016), which according to present data coincides with
165 the early domestication stages of the dromedary. Nevertheless, there is no evidence that the
166 aridification caused the domestication of camels in this region. It may, however, have
167 increased the value of tamed camels, which would have become more useful during times of
168 drought. Although the climatic and environmental conditions from where the samples were

169 collected varied to some extent during the Holocene, they allowed for the existence of
170 dromedaries in all the respective areas.

171

172 *Ancient DNA extraction*

173 The bone samples were prepared in a dedicated and highly contained aDNA laboratory at the
174 Palaeogenetic Core Facility of the ArchaeoBioCenter at the LMU Munich, Germany, with
175 appropriate contamination precautions in place (Knapp *et al.* 2012). For each sample,
176 approximately 200 - 250 mg of bone powder were used for DNA extraction. Two independent
177 DNA extractions in the presence of extraction blanks (one blank per six extractions) were
178 conducted following a silica-based extraction protocol (Rohland & Hofreiter 2007; Rohland
179 *et al.* 2010). DNA was eluted in 50 μ L TET buffer and stored at -20°C. In addition, we
180 extracted DNA from a subset of wild dromedaries (six samples) and one ancient giant camel
181 (*C. thomasi*) in the presence of one extraction blank, using the Dabney *et al.* (2013) DNA
182 extraction protocol. In this method, we used approximately 120 - 125 mg of bone powder and
183 the final DNA extracts were eluted in 25 μ L TET. The DNA extracts obtained by applying the
184 Rohland *et al.* (2010) protocol were used for double-stranded DNA library preparation (DSL)
185 (Meyer & Kircher 2010), while the DNA extracts following Dabney *et al.* (2013) were used
186 for single-stranded library (SSL) preparation (Gansauge & Meyer 2013). To recover greater
187 quantities of short DNA fragments we combined Dabney *et al.* (2013) DNA extraction and
188 SSL methods (Gansauge & Meyer 2013), as both methods have been proposed for highly
189 degraded samples.

190

191 *Illumina sequencing library preparation*

192 The quality of DNA extraction in each batch (12 bone samples and 2 blanks per batch) was
193 evaluated by amplification of an 80 bp (base pair) fragment (including primers) of the
194 dromedary mtDNA d-loop (see supplementary information). Only a subset of ancient-
195 domestic samples with successful PCR amplification (44 out of 54 samples) was further used
196 for library construction and NGS sequencing, while all 22 wild dromedary DNA extracts
197 regardless of positive / negative PCR results were included in further analyses (Fig 2). The
198 Illumina DSLs were built directly from the DNA extracts as well as extraction blanks and
199 negative controls (library blanks), following the Fortes and Paijmans (2015) protocol. This
200 protocol is based on the original Illumina library construction method by Meyer and Kircher
201 (2010) with specific optimizations for samples with degraded DNA. Purification steps
202 throughout the library construction protocol were performed with MinElute purification
203 columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were constructed
204 using an 8 bp barcode on the 3' end of the P5 adapter (directly adjacent to the 5' end of the
205 aDNA template), which served as an additional means to assign sequences to samples (Fortes
206 and Paijman 2015). In addition, it provided extra information to filter chimeric reads (or
207 jumping PCR) from the dataset, and thus increased the confidence in assigning the reads to a
208 particular library. This barcoding method did not require an additional sequence read; the 8 bp
209 P5 barcode was retrieved as part of the R1 forward reads. The 8 bp P5 barcode for each
210 sample was identical to its P7 index; sequences of the indices and the modified Illumina
211 adapters are listed in Tables S1 and S2, respectively.

212 Following library construction and pre-indexing amplification, we performed parallel
213 indexing PCRs (to apply the P5 barcode) to maintain more complexity of each library during
214 amplification (see supplementary information). As endogenous DNA in ancient samples is
215 usually present in low quantity, amplification of the library can introduce biases by

216 amplifying certain fragments. We reduced this loss of complexity by amplifying each library
217 in six parallel indexing PCR (to apply the P5 barcode) reactions, each containing a unique
218 subset of the original library as starting templates (see supplementary information; library
219 preparation and indexing PCR to apply the P5 barcode). The PCR products were pooled in
220 equimolar ratios, purified through a single Qiagen MinElute spin column, and eluted in 20 μ L
221 elution buffer (EB) following 10 min incubation at room temperature. The DSL preparation
222 was performed in a dedicated aDNA laboratory at the University of York, UK, following
223 standard contamination precautions (Knapp *et al.* 2012). In addition, we constructed seven
224 single-stranded libraries (SSL) (Gansauge & Meyer 2013) from six wild dromedaries and one
225 giant one-humped camel (*C. thomasi*) in the presence of one extraction and one library blank
226 (Table S1). The SSL preparations were conducted in a dedicated aDNA laboratory at the
227 University of Copenhagen, Denmark.

228

229 *In-solution hybridization capture and sequencing*

230 Dromedary complete mtDNA was enriched in indexed DSLs (domestic and wild) by in-
231 solution hybridization capture (Table S3), using MYcroarray's MYbaits kit according to the
232 manufacturer's instructions. We also performed the alternative 'MYbaits-touchdown' (TD)
233 method (Li *et al.* 2013) on DSLs from four domestic and four wild dromedary samples (see
234 supplementary information; Table S3; Fig 2). The hybridization conditions for MYbaits
235 capture were 65°C for 36 hours, versus 48 hours for the MYbaits-touchdown method with the
236 temperature decreasing from 65°C to 50°C. Following the capture enrichment, 2-4 μ L of the
237 indexed libraries were quantified on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (software version 1.03).
238 The indexing PCRs (to apply the P5 barcode), in-solution hybridization enrichment and post-
239 capture amplification were performed in a molecular laboratory at the University of York.

240 The TD hybridization method and the respective post-capture amplification were performed
241 at the Vetmeduni in Vienna, Austria. Among the 66 prepared indexed DSLs, the expected
242 product size of 150 – 300 bp for three libraries (two ancient-domestic and one wild) were not
243 detected on 1.5% agarose gel, therefore these samples were excluded from further analysis
244 (Fig 2).

245 Initially, 63 enriched indexed libraries and two library blanks were pooled in equimolar
246 concentrations and single-end (SE) sequenced (read length 100 bp) on one lane of the
247 HiSeq2000 Illumina platform (National High-throughput DNA Sequencing Centre,
248 University of Copenhagen, Denmark). In another attempt, only indexed libraries from wild
249 samples (21 libraries) were paired-end (PE) shotgun sequenced (read length 100 bp) on 1/16
250 of an Illumina platform lane (Beijing Genomic Institute, China). We also SE sequenced a set
251 of 25 indexed libraries (15 shotgun and 8 TD enriched) on another 1/16 of an Illumina
252 platform lane (Beijing Genomic Institute, China).

253

254 *Data processing and mapping*

255 The raw reads obtained from the sequenced libraries were trimmed for adapter and index
256 /barcode sequences using the software *cutadapt* v1.2.1 (Martin 2011). During index/barcode
257 trimming, one error in the index sequence was allowed (parameter `-e 0.125`). The reads were
258 filtered to a minimum phred-scaled quality score of 20. The individual read collections were
259 then mapped to the dromedary mtDNA reference (GenBank accession no. NC_009849.1),
260 using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment v.0.7.3a (Li & Durbin 2009) with the following
261 parameters (`-l 1024 -i 0 -o 2 -n 0.03 -t 6`) as optimized for aDNA in Schubert *et al.* (2012).
262 Shotgun sequences were additionally mapped to the dromedary reference genome (Wu *et al.*
263 2014) (GenBank accession no. GCA_000767585.1), using the same parameters as described.

264 PCR duplicates were removed using Picard MarkDuplicates
265 (<http://www.picard.sourceforge.net>) to avoid the effect of clonality (PCR duplicates) on
266 downstream analysis. In each sample, the consensus and the polymorphic sites were called
267 with agreement threshold of 50% using Samtools package v.0.1.19 (Li *et al.* 2009). The
268 assembly was then checked by eye at each informative polymorphic site to identify
269 sequencing reads conflicting with the reference sequence. Only those sites covered by three
270 unique reads with different start and end positions were accepted as true polymorphism.
271 To authenticate the sequences obtained as endogenous dromedary mtDNA, we ran
272 mapDamage2.0 (Ginolhac *et al.* 2011; Jónsson *et al.* 2013) to identify DNA damage patterns
273 typical for ancient or degraded DNA. The program uses misincorporation patterns,
274 particularly deamination of cytosine to uracil within a Bayesian framework (Briggs *et al.*
275 2007; Brotherton *et al.* 2007; Krause *et al.* 2010; Sawyer *et al.* 2012). Nucleotide
276 misincorporations, observed as elevated C to T substitution towards sequencing starts (and
277 complementary increased G to A rates towards the end) are considered as indicative of
278 genuine (endogenous) aDNA. Similarly, an excess of purines at the first nucleotide position
279 of the reference preceding the sequencing reads (and complementary, excess of pyrimidines at
280 the first sequence position following the end of the read) is considered as a typical breakage
281 pattern for aDNA. In order to estimate the performance of different methods (In solution
282 capture / TD capture, and shotgun-sequencing) in terms of the percentage of uniquely mapped
283 reads obtained we performed the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

284

285 *Summary statistics and phylogenetic analysis of modern and ancient-domestic dromedary*
286 *mtDNA sequences*

287 Analysis of the ancient-domestic mtDNA sequences, including the number of variable sites
288 and mitochondrial genetic diversity summary statistics as number of segregating sites (s),
289 number of haplotypes (h), haplotype diversity (H_d), nucleotide diversity (π), average number
290 of pairwise nucleotide differences (k), Tajima's D , Fu and Li's F test, as well as a mismatch
291 distribution based on the number pairwise nucleotide differences was completed with the
292 software DnaSP V.5 (Librado *et al.* 2009). For comparisons with modern dromedary
293 mitochondrial diversity we aligned the ancient mtDNA sequences to nine recently sequenced
294 mitochondrial genomes (Mohandesan *et al.* personal communication; GenBank accession
295 numbers are listed in data accessibility section) as well as to the dromedary mitochondrial
296 reference genome (GenBank accession no. NC_009849.1) and estimated the same diversity
297 parameters from the modern sequences only. For the phylogenetic study of modern and
298 ancient-domestic dromedary sequences we performed a median-joining network (MJN)
299 analysis with NETWORK 5.0 (Bandelt *et al.* 1999) with default parameters, displaying the
300 parsimonious (shortest) consensus tree. The program MODELTEST implemented in MEGA6
301 (Tamura *et al.* 2013) was used to identify the appropriate substitution model for the mtDNA
302 sequences. A maximum likelihood tree with HKY nucleotide substitution model as best-
303 fitting model based on Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) was reconstructed from 16,401
304 bp of mitochondrial sequences from seven ancient-domestic dromedary and the available
305 reference sequences from domestic Old World camels (*C. dromedarius*: GenBank accession
306 no: NC_009849.1, *C. bactrianus*: NC_009628.2, and *C. ferus*: NC_009629.2), using
307 MEGA6. Gaps and missing data were treated with partial deletion and the 95% site coverage
308 cut-off was used as default. To obtain statistical support for each node we used the bootstrap
309 resampling procedure with 100 replications.
310

311 **Results**

312 *DNA sequencing*

313 In this study, we investigated the success rate of obtaining DNA sequences from ancient
314 dromedary specimens from prehistoric and historic archaeological sites in Turkey, Syria,
315 Jordan, and the UAE. We extracted DNA from 54 ancient-domestic and 22 wild dromedary
316 bone samples, from which we successfully built 63 DSLs, which were enriched for camel
317 mtDNA using the MYbaits kit. Among these libraries we recovered reads uniquely mapped to
318 dromedary mtDNA for 58 libraries; four libraries (one ancient-domestic and three wild
319 samples) produced no camel reads (Table S3, Fig 2). In addition, we applied TD enrichment
320 to eight out of 63 DSLs (four ancient-domestic and four wild samples) and obtained camel
321 mtDNA reads in all of them (Table S3, Fig 2).

322 Furthermore, we SE / PE shotgun sequenced 15 (10 ancient-domestic and five wild) and 21
323 (wild) DSLs, respectively (Table S3, Fig 2). Although in SE shotgun sequencing, 10 samples
324 failed to produce endogenous mtDNA camel reads (six domestic, four wild) (Fig 2), we
325 successfully recovered nuDNA from these libraries. Using PE shotgun sequencing we
326 recovered both mt/nuDNA from all libraries.

327

328 *Endogenous mtDNA content*

329 Sequencing DSLs using both post-capture and shotgun NGS revealed an extremely low
330 endogenous content of mtDNA ranging from 0.0001% - 0.34% and 0.0001% - 0.004%,
331 respectively (Table 1 and S3). From all successfully sequenced libraries, we obtained a total
332 of 261,961,806 reads of which 25,721 unique sequence reads were mapped to the dromedary
333 mtDNA reference genome (Table S3). The proportions of raw, trimmed and uniquely mapped

334 reads to dromedary mtDNA for a few samples using MYbaits /-TD and shotgun-sequencing
335 approaches are shown in Fig S1-3.

336 The post-capture mtDNA reads of the ancient-domestic samples exhibited DNA damage
337 patterns typical of post-mortem depurination and cytosine deamination, indicating that the
338 sequence data truly originated from ancient DNA templates (Fig S4). The damage pattern was
339 not investigated in wild samples due to the fact that too few reads (2 - 60 reads) could
340 uniquely be mapped to dromedary mtDNA (Table S3). Overall, we recovered 2,850 – 15,843
341 bp (17-95%) of the mitochondrial genome from the 14 domestic-ancient dromedaries, with
342 average read depths of 1.27 – 47.1-fold for covered regions over the entire genome (Table 1).
343 We obtained short sequence reads (20-100 bp) from ancient-domestic enriched libraries with
344 mean fragment length of 65 bp (Table S4, Fig S5-6).

345

346 *Endogenous nuclear DNA content*

347 To exhaustively investigate the endogenous DNA preservation and endogenous DNA in
348 domestic and wild samples, we mapped the shotgun sequences (SE and PE) to the dromedary
349 whole genome sequences (WGS; Wu *et al.* 2014) (Table S5). From all 36 shotgun-sequenced
350 libraries, we obtained a total of 107,007,621 reads of which 3,735,270 unique sequence reads
351 (3.53%) were mapped to dromedary WGS with average read depths of 1 – 1.06-fold for
352 covered regions over the entire dromedary genome (Table S5). These results show that
353 despite the low amount of total endogenous mtDNA (0.00056 %) recovered from these
354 samples in shotgun-sequencing experiment, there is a greater quantity of nuclear DNA
355 (3.53%) preserved (Table S3-S5).

356

357 *Enrichment performance on DSL*

358 To evaluate the performance of the in-solution enrichment method (MYbaits), we computed
359 the percentage of the unique reads that were mapped to the dromedary mtDNA reference
360 sequence. We observed a significant increase in the percentage of on-target mapped reads in
361 ancient-domestic camels in the captured libraries (range 0.0017 - 0.1230, mean 0.0785)
362 compared to shotgun-sequenced libraries (range 0 - 0.0042, mean 0.0007; Wilcoxon signed
363 rank P -value = 0.01563). For example, in the sample AQ40 the percentage of the uniquely
364 mapped reads increased by three orders of magnitude post-capture (0.00039% to 0.34%;
365 Table S3). Overall, the capture method increased the percentage of on-target mapped reads an
366 average of 187-fold in our dataset of seven samples (ancient-domestic and wild) for which we
367 performed both shotgun and capture approaches (Table 1). In addition, we observed an
368 increase of average 400-fold enrichment considering only domestic samples (Table 1). It
369 should be noted that this result is based on only three samples, since seven of the 10 domestic
370 samples did not yield a single camel mtDNA read using shotgun sequencing, despite
371 successful recovery of up to 73% of the mitochondrial genome in the capture approach.
372 Overall, our observed enrichment ranges and averages are similar to those detected in other
373 comparative studies (Avila-Arcos *et al.* 2015; Paijmans *et al.* 2015).

374

375 *Effect of temperature and hybridization time*

376 We explored the effects of temperature and hybridization time by comparing the number of
377 uniquely mapped reads in the MYbaits capture (65°C, 36 hours) and the alternative MYbaits-
378 TD (65-50°C, 48 hours) in four ancient-domestic and four wild individuals. In three domestic
379 samples (AP3, AQ30 and Palm152), we observed a decrease in the percentage of unique
380 mapped reads from the total number of mapped reads in the MYbaits-TD method. For
381 example in AP3, we recovered 0.29% unique mapped reads with the capture method, while in

382 the TD method the percentage decreased to 0.17%. However in the wild sample (Tel622) and
383 one domestic sample (SAG2) we observed a slight increase in the percentage of the mapped
384 reads with the TD method (Table S3). For these five samples, however, differences in the
385 percentage of endogenous DNA recovered using the TD method are not significant (Wilcoxon
386 signed rank test P -value = 0.4375). An increase in the percentage of PCR duplicate reads
387 (measured as the fraction of the total mapped reads that are PCR duplicates) was observed for
388 80% of the samples used in the TD experiment (Table S6).

389

390 *Mitochondrial genetic diversity of modern and ancient-domestic dromedaries*

391 We obtained 14 partial mitogenomes from ancient-domestic dromedaries (GenBank accession
392 numbers are listed in data accessibility) with 2,850 – 15,843 bp covered and a mean read
393 depth of 1.27 – 47.1-fold (Table 1). Aligning seven ancient-domestic mtDNA genomes with
394 higher length coverage (59-95%), we obtained 6,694 aligned nucleotide sites. These seven
395 ancient samples showed 61 segregating sites with 5 haplotypes, H_d of 0.857 and π of 0.00263.
396 In comparison, the 10 modern dromedary sequences (accession numbers for nine genomes are
397 listed in data accessibility) aligned to the same 6,694 bp displayed 59 segregating sites, 7
398 haplotypes, $H_d = 0.867$ and $\pi = 0.00185$ (Table S7). From the ancient-domestic and modern
399 dromedary mtDNA, we obtained negative values of Tajima's D (-1.69635; P -value < 0.05
400 and -2.03913; P -value < 0.01) and Fu's and Li's F test (-1.96090; P -value < 0.02 and -
401 2.60322; P -value < 0.02), respectively (Table S7). As a test of recent population expansion,
402 we applied mismatch distribution analysis and calculated the observed and expected number
403 of pairwise nucleotide differences in 6,694 bp mtDNA from seven ancient-domestic and 10
404 modern dromedaries (Fig S8). The MJN including modern and ancient-domestic sequences
405 revealed two haplogroups separated by 50 fixed polymorphic sites, and one haplotype in

406 higher frequency (7/17 samples) and shared between modern and ancient-domestic samples
407 (Fig 3). A phylogenetic tree displaying the relationship of the ancient-domestic mitogenomes
408 to the reference sequences from domestic Old World camels is presented in Fig S7. The
409 ancient-domestic dromedaries and modern dromedary (*C. dromedarius*: GenBank accession
410 no. NC_009849.1) cluster together, while the domestic Bactrian camels (*C. bactrianus*:
411 NC_009628.2) and the only remaining wild two-humped camels (*C. ferus*: NC_009629.2)
412 form a separate sister group.

413

414 **Discussion**

415 The ancient-domestic samples (100 BCE - 1870 CE) used in this study were recovered from
416 sites located in semi-arid to arid environments whereas the wild population samples (5000 -
417 1400 BCE) originated from hot and partly very humid habitats characterizing the Southeast
418 coast of the Arabian Peninsula. Taking into account their archaeological age and the
419 conditions of preservation, we observed a better recovery of endogenous mtDNA from
420 ancient-domestic dromedary samples in comparison to the wild ones. This is consistent with
421 the observation that arid conditions may be relatively less damaging to DNA than humid
422 conditions even in hot climates (Poinar *et al.* 2003; Haile *et al.* 2009). However, this
423 difference was not observed in the recovery of endogenous nuDNA in the shotgun
424 experiment.

425

426 *Effect of temperature and hybridization time on enrichment performance*

427 Despite the use of various target-enrichment methods in aDNA research, the efficiency and
428 effectiveness of different hybridization techniques have not yet been fully understood.
429 Paijmans *et al.* (2015) investigated the impact of a key parameter, *i.e.* hybridization

430 temperature, on the recovery of mitogenomes from different types of samples (fresh, archival
431 and ancient). They observed better sequence recovery with a constant hybridization
432 temperature of 65°C in degraded samples, while the touchdown method (65°C down to 50°C)
433 yielded the best results for fresh samples. In our study, with a limited sample size (four
434 ancient-domestic and one wild) we observed no significant effect on the recovery of uniquely
435 mapped reads comparing regular capture and the TD method.

436 The factors like hybridization time and binding temperature did not dramatically affect the
437 efficiency of the capture; however, the number of PCR duplicates (clones) increased using the
438 TD method. To obtain adequate amounts of DNA for NGS sequencing, all libraries were
439 amplified 20 cycles during library construction, 10 cycles for indexing and 10-20 cycles post
440 capture (see supplementary information). Although the initial DNA concentration used for
441 both capture protocols was the same (>300 ng), the MYbaits-TD method required an
442 additional 10 cycles of post-capture PCR to generate optimal DNA concentrations for
443 sequencing (Table S6). These additional post-capture PCR cycles may account for the greater
444 sequence clonality observed in the majority of the MYbaits-TD libraries. At this stage, the
445 reasons underlying the observed differences in capture success are not clear and more datasets
446 and systematic experimental studies are needed to be able to understand the effect of different
447 parameters on capture success.

448

449 *Enrichment capture versus shotgun sequencing in ancient-domestic samples*

450 We noted a greater recovery (approximately 400-fold) of endogenous DNA with the capture
451 method for the presumably better preserved ancient-domestic samples in comparison with
452 shotgun sequencing. This is demonstrated by the recovery of virtually complete mitogenomes
453 from a few ancient-domestic samples using capture enrichment on just a single sequencing

454 library. This pattern has been observed in other studies where an increase in enrichment of 20
455 – 2488-fold (Paijmans *et al.* 2015) and 6–159-fold (Carpenter *et al.* 2013) of on-target content
456 in comparison to shotgun libraries were observed. In addition, the same pattern has been
457 observed by Dabney *et al.* (2013); using shallow shotgun sequencing on a subset of libraries
458 obtained from a Middle Pleistocene cave bear did not recover a single sequence read that
459 aligned with the published Late Pleistocene cave bear mitochondrial genome (Krause *et al.*
460 2008) while hybridization capture successfully enriched the libraries, aligning with ~4% of
461 the capture reads.

462 One alternative and cost effective approach to enrichment through hybridization is a highly
463 targeted amplicon sequencing technology. Amplicon sequencing allows specifically targeting
464 and deep sequencing multiple regions of interest containing informative genetic variations.
465 This approach reduces the costs and turnaround time where sequencing a large number of
466 samples with high coverage is required. However, in case of highly degraded samples most of
467 the fragments are too small for amplification, leaving enrichment through hybridization as
468 method of choice in many studies.

469

470 *Enrichment capture versus shotgun sequencing in wild samples*

471 Our results demonstrate that neither capture nor shotgun methods are efficient in the recovery
472 of mtDNA from wild dromedary samples, whose bones lingered for thousands of years in
473 soils, and which were subjected to varying degrees of humidity and salinity due to
474 fluctuations of the groundwater table. In samples with such low concentration of endogenous
475 DNA, it would be necessary to construct more libraries per sample and to run fewer samples
476 per sequencing lane (cf. Dabney *et al.* 2013; Meyer *et al.* 2014). While this strategy would

477 increase the percentage of endogenous reads, the financial resources in many laboratories
478 preclude this approach.

479

480 *Endogenous nuDNA content in ancient-domestic and wild samples*

481 Mapping the sequence reads obtained from 36 shotgun-sequenced libraries to the published
482 dromedary genome (Wu *et al.* 2014), we noted a greater recovery of nuDNA (3.53%) in
483 comparison to mtDNA (~ 0.00056 %). We observed that due to the size difference between
484 dromedary mitochondrial (16 Kb) and nuclear genome (2.27 Gb) (Wu *et al.* 2014; Fitak *et al.*
485 2015), the nuDNA sequence reads outnumber the mtDNA in shotgun sequences.
486 Nevertheless, the data indicate that mt/nuDNA is preserved in our wild samples, and possibly
487 with more DNA extraction and much deeper sequencing for each sample we would be able to
488 recover more nuDNA from this extinct species.

489

490 *Enrichment capture on SSLs in wild samples*

491 Recently, optimized protocols for DNA extraction (Dabney *et al.* 2013) and library
492 preparation (Gansauge & Meyer 2013) have been proposed for highly degraded samples. In
493 particular, the silica-spin column method proposed in Dabney *et al.* (2013) seems to recover a
494 greater quantity of short DNA fragments, which could significantly enhance the amount of
495 endogenous DNA recovered from archaeological specimens collected in hot environments.
496 The mean fragment length recovered from our ancient-domestic samples was 65 bp (Table
497 S4, Fig S5-6), significantly higher than the fragment length pattern observed in the Sima de
498 los Huesos samples from Spain (Dabney *et al.* 2013). Additional optimization may be
499 obtained using a SSL preparation method (Gansauge & Meyer 2013). Although this method is

500 more costly and time-consuming, refinements to the SSL construction method may make it
501 more accessible in the future (Bennett *et al.* 2014).

502 We tested the Dabney *et al.* (2013) DNA extraction and SSL methods followed by the in-
503 solution target enrichment on seven wild dromedary camel specimens. However, these
504 methods did not improve the number of obtained DNA sequence reads. This lack of success
505 may be the result of combining these two methods with the capture enrichment. Although the
506 silica-spin column DNA extraction methods and single-stranded library protocol are
507 recommended for recovering greater quantities of short DNA fragments, the capture
508 enrichment is generally more efficient on longer fragments. More systematic comparisons of
509 extractions techniques, library building protocols and hybridisation capture methodologies
510 will be required in order to optimize the recovery of short ancient DNA templates.

511

512 *Mitogenome diversity and demography in ancient-domestic and modern dromedaries*

513 During the process of domestication, population growth or dispersion of domestic animals
514 across a wider geographic range can be inferred from molecular signals of sudden expansion
515 (Bruford *et al.* 2003). From the mitogenomes of ancient-domestic and modern dromedaries
516 we received negative values of Tajima's D and Fu and Li's F test (Table S7), respectively,
517 which can indicate demographic expansion assuming absence of selection. In the MJN (Fig 3)
518 we observed two haplogroups separated by 50 fixed polymorphisms and a star-shaped
519 radiation starting from one haplotype in higher frequency, a typical pattern of recent
520 population expansion. Although the mismatch distribution calculated on the number of
521 pairwise differences showed a multimodal distribution related to the two haplogroups, the
522 beginning of the curve is smooth indicative of an expanding population (Fig S8). Two major
523 haplogroups (H_A and H_B) and signals of population growth in the context of domestication

524 have also been detected in a global sample set of modern dromedary populations (Almathen *et*
525 *al.* 2016). Comparing mitogenome diversity between ancient-domestic and modern
526 dromedaries, we observed higher pairwise nucleotide diversity but a slightly lower number of
527 haplotypes and haplotype diversity in the ancient-domestic dromedary sequences (Table S7).
528 This result can be interpreted as higher retained ancestral diversity in the early-domestic
529 (ancient) dromedary samples (Troy *et al.* 2001); while in the modern population new
530 haplotypes emerged with only one to two mutational steps (Fig 3). Evidence for dromedary
531 domestication was found in the Southeast coast of the Arabian Peninsula, with a mode of an
532 initial domestication followed by introgression from wild, now-extinct individuals (Almathen
533 *et al.* 2016).

534

535 **Conclusion**

536 The low amount of endogenous sequences in ancient dromedary specimens is an example of
537 the extreme DNA degradation in bone samples from hot and arid environments. Despite the
538 availability of a number of optimized protocols, the recovery of aDNA from poorly preserved
539 samples is still an unresolved issue and hybridization protocols require specific optimization
540 for such specimens. Much deeper sequencing would be necessary; however this would come
541 at very high costs. This study highlights one of the few successful recoveries of genetic
542 materials from specimens collected from prehistoric and historic archaeological sites located
543 in hot and (hyper)arid environments and reports the first nearly complete mitogenome
544 recovery from ancient-domestic dromedaries. We also highlight the first recovery of nuDNA
545 from ancient-domestic and extinct wild dromedary camels.

546

547 **Acknowledgements**

548 We are very grateful to A. Schmidt-Collinet, R. Saleh and G. Forstenpointer for the support in
549 sample collection and anatomical analyses. We acknowledge general support from C.
550 Schlotterer. We are thankful to MTP. Gilbert and his laboratory members for helpful
551 discussions and support in constructing SSLs, and J. Paijmans for technical assistance in
552 capture enrichment experiments. EM was supported through the Austrian Science Fund
553 (FWF): P24706-B25 to PAB, recipient of an APART fellowship (11506) of the Austrian
554 Academy of Sciences. The project was supported by MH, recipient of the ERC consolidator
555 grant: 310763 GeneFlow. CFS was supported through ORCA FP7-PEOPLE-2011-IOF
556 299075.

557

558 **References**

- 559 Allentoft ME, Collins M, Harker D, *et al.* (2012) The half-life of DNA in bone: measuring decay
560 kinetics in 158 dated fossils. *Proc Biol Sci*, **279**:4724-4733
561
- 562 Almathen F, Charruau P, Mohandesan E, *et al.* (2016) Dynamics of domestication and trading
563 revealed by the global genetic diversity of the dromedary. *PNAS*
564 doi:10.1073/pnas.1519508113
565
- 566 Amaral AJ, Ferretti L, Megens HJ, *et al.* (2011) Genome-wide footprints of pig domestication
567 and selection revealed through massive parallel sequencing of pooled DNA. *PLoS ONE*, **6**,
568 e14782.
569
- 570 Ávila-Arcos MC, Sandoval-Velasco M, Schroeder H, *et al.* (2015) Comparative performance of
571 two whole-genome capture methodologies on ancient DNA Illumina libraries. *Methods in*
572 *Ecology and Evolution*, **6**, 725-734.
573
- 574 Bennett EA, Massilani D, Lizzo G, *et al.* (2014) Library construction for ancient genomics:
575 single strand or double strand? *Biotechniques*, **56**, 289-290.
576
- 577 Bollongino R, Nehlich O, Richards MP, *et al.* (2013) 2000 years of parallel societies in Stone
578 Age Central Europe. *Science*, **342**, 479-481.
579
- 580 Bandelt H, Forster P, Rohl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
581 phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*, **16**, 37-48.
582

- 583 Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Meyer M, *et al.* (2010) Removal of deaminated cytosines and detection
584 of in vivo methylation in ancient DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **38**: e87.
585
- 586 Briggs AW, Good JM, Green RE, *et al.* (2009) Targeted retrieval and analysis of five Neandertal
587 mtDNA genomes. *Science*, **325**, 318-321.
588
- 589 Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Johnson PL, *et al.* (2007) Patterns of damage in genomic DNA sequences
590 from a Neandertal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **104**, 14616-14621.
591
- 592 Brotherton P, Endicott P, Sanchez JJ, *et al.* (2007) Novel high-resolution characterization of
593 ancient DNA reveals C > U-type base modification events as the sole cause of post mortem
594 miscoding lesions. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **35**, 5717-5728.
595
- 596 Bruford M, Bradley D, Luikart G (2003) Genetic analysis reveals complexity of livestock
597 domestication. *Nat Rev Genet*, **4**:900-910.
598
- 599 Bulliet R (1990) *The Camel and the Wheel*. Columbia University Press, New York.
600
- 601 Carpenter ML, Buenrostro JD, Valdiosera C, *et al.* (2013) Pulling out the 1%: whole-genome
602 capture for the targeted enrichment of ancient DNA sequencing libraries. *Am J Hum Genet*.
603 **93**, 852-864.
604
- 605 Cai D, Tang Z, Yu H, *et al.* (2011) Early history of Chinese domestic sheep indicated by ancient
606 DNA analysis of Bronze Age individuals. *J Arch Sci*, **38**, 896-902.
607
- 608 Dabney J, Knapp M, Glocke I, *et al.* (2013) Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a
609 Middle Pleistocene cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proc Natl Acad
610 Sci U S A*, **110**, 15758-15763.
611
- 612 Elbaum R, Melamed-Bessudo C, Boaretto E, *et al.* (2006) Ancient olive DNA in pits:
613 preservation, amplification and sequence analysis. *J Arch Sci*, **33**, 77-88.
614
- 615 Fernández E, Pérez-Pérez A, Gamba C, *et al.* (2014) Ancient DNA analysis of 8000 B.C. near
616 eastern farmers supports an early neolithic pioneer maritime colonization of Mainland Europe
617 through Cyprus and the Aegean Islands. *PLoS Genet*, **10**, e1004401
618
- 619 Fitak RR, Mohandesan E, Corander J, *et al.* (2015) The de novo genome assembly and
620 annotation of a female domestic dromedary of North African origin. *Molecular Ecology
621 Resources*, **16**, 314-324.
622
- 623 Fortes GG, Paijmans JL (2015) Analysis of Whole Mitogenomes from Ancient Samples.
624 *Methods Mol Biol*, **1347**, 179-195.
625
- 626 Fu Q, Meyer M, Gao X, *et al.* (2013) DNA analysis of an early modern human from Tianyuan
627 Cave, China. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **110**, 2223-2227.
628
- 629 Gamba C, Jones ER, Teasdale MD, *et al.* (2014) Genome flux and stasis in a five millennium
630 transect of European prehistory. *Nat Commun*, **5**, 5257.

631

632 Gansauge MT, Meyer M (2013) Single-stranded DNA library preparation for the sequencing of
633 ancient or damaged DNA. *Nat Protoc*, **8**, 737-748.

634

635 Gautier A (1966) *Camelus thomasi* from the northern Sudan and its bearing on the relationship
636 *C. thomasi*-*C. bactrianus*. *Journal of Paleontology*, **40**, 1368-1372.

637

638 Ginolhac A, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MT, *et al.* (2011) mapDamage: testing for damage patterns
639 in ancient DNA sequences. *Bioinformatics*. **27**, 2153-2155.

640

641 Girdland Flink L, Allen R, Barnett R, *et al.* (2014) Establishing the validity of domestication
642 genes using DNA from ancient chickens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **111**, 6184-6189.

643

644 Green RE, Krause J, Ptak SE, *et al.* (2006) Analysis of one million base pairs of Neanderthal
645 DNA. *Nature*, **444**, 330-336.

646

647 Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, *et al.* (2010) A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome.
648 *Science*, **328**, 710-722.

649

650 Grigson C (2014) The history of the camel bone dating project. *Anthropozoologica*, **49**, 225-235.

651

652 Grigson C (2012) Camels, copper and donkeys in the Early Iron Age of the Southern Levant:
653 Timna revisited. *Levant*, **44**, 82-100.

654

655 Haile J, Froese DG, Macphee RDE, *et al.* (2009) Ancient DNA reveals late survival of
656 mammoth and horse in interior Alaska. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*, **106**, 22352-22357.

657

658 Higuchi R, Bowman B, Freiberger M, *et al.* (1984) DNA sequences from the quagga, an extinct
659 member of the horse family. *Nature*, **312**, 282-284.

660

661 Hofreiter M, Paijmans JL, Goodchild H, *et al.* (2015) The future of ancient DNA: Technical
662 advances and conceptual shifts. *Bioessays*. **37**, 284-293.

663

664 Hume BC, Voolstra CR, Arif C, *et al.* (2016) Ancestral genetic diversity associated with the
665 rapid spread of stress-tolerant coral symbionts in response to Holocene climate change. *Proc*
666 *Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **113**: 4416-4421.

667

668 Iamoni M (2009) *The Iron Age ceramic tradition in the Gulf: a re-evaluation from the Omani*
669 *perspective. Proceedings of the Seminar for Arabian Studies*, **39**, 223-236.

670

671 Jónsson H, Ginolhac A, Schubert M, *et al.* (2013) mapDamage2.0: fast approximate Bayesian
672 estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics*, **29**, 1682-1684.

673

674 Kimura B, Marshall FB, Chen S, *et al.* (2011) Ancient DNA from Nubian and Somali wild ass
675 provides insights into donkey ancestry and domestication. *P Biol Sci*, **278**, 50-57.

676

677 Knapp M, Clarke AC, Horsburgh KA, *et al.* (2012) Setting the stage—building and working in
678 an ancient DNA laboratory. *Ann. Anat*, **194**, 3-6.

- 679
680 Köhler-Rollefson IU (1991) *Camelus dromedarius*. *Mammalian Species*, **375**, 1-8.
681
- 682 Krause J, Briggs AW, Kircher M, *et al.* (2010) A complete mtDNA genome of an early modern
683 human from Kostenki, Russia. *Curr Biol*, **20**, 231-236.
684
- 685 Krause J, Unger T, Noçon A, *et al.* (2008) Mitochondrial genomes reveal an explosive radiation
686 of extinct and extant bears near the Miocene-Pliocene boundary. *BMC Evol Biol*, **8**:220.
687
- 688 Li C, Hofreiter M, Straube N, *et al.* (2013) Capturing protein-coding genes across highly
689 divergent species. *Biotechniques*, **54**, 321-326.
690
- 691 Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
692 *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1754-1760.
693
- 694 Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, *et al.* (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
695 SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2078-2079.
696
- 697 Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
698 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1451-1452.
699
- 700 Magee P (2015) When was the dromedary domesticated in the ancient Near East? *ZoR*, **8**.
701
- 702 Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, *et al.* (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated
703 high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature*, **437**, 376-380.
704
- 705 Maricic T, Whitten M, Pääbo S (2010) Multiplex DNA sequence capture of mitochondrial
706 genomes using PCR products. *PLoS One*, **5**, e14004.
707
- 708 Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
709 *EMBnet J*, **17**:10-12.
710
- 711 Meiri M, Huchon D, Bar-Oz G, *et al.* (2013) Ancient DNA and population turnover in southern
712 levantine pigs--signature of the sea peoples migration? *Sci Rep*, **3**, 3035.
713
- 714 Meyer M, Fu Q, Aximu-Petri A, *et al.* (2014) A mitochondrial genome sequence of a hominin
715 from Sima de los Huesos. *Nature*, **505**, 403-406.
716
- 717 Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge MT, *et al.* (2012) A High-Coverage Genome Sequence from an
718 Archaic Denisovan Individual. *Science*, **338**, 222-226.
719
- 720 Meyer M, Kircher M (2010) Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed
721 target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*, (6): pdb.prot5448. doi:
722 10.1101/pdb.prot5448.
723
- 724 Millar CD, Huynen L, Subramanian S, *et al.* (2008) New developments in ancient genomics.
725 *Trends Ecol Evol*, **23**, 386-393.
726

- 727 Orlando L, Ginolhac A, Zhang G, *et al.* (2013) Recalibrating *Equus* evolution using the genome
728 sequence of an early Middle Pleistocene horse. *Nature*, **499**, 74-78.
729
- 730 Orlando L, Ginolhac A, Raghavan M, *et al.* (2011) True single-molecule DNA sequencing of a
731 pleistocene horse bone. *Genome Research*, **21**, 1705-1719.
732
- 733 Orlando L, Mashkour M, Burke A, *et al.* (2006) Geographic distribution of an extinct equid
734 (*Equus hydruntinus*: Mammalia, Equidae) revealed by morphological and genetical analyses
735 of fossils. *Mol Ecol*, **15**, 2083-2093.
736
- 737 Paijmans JL, Fickel J, Courtiol A, *et al.* (2015) Impact of enrichment conditions on cross-species
738 capture of fresh and degraded DNA. *Mol Ecol Resour*, doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12420.
739
- 740 Peters J. (1998). *Camelus thomasi* Pomel, 1893, a possible ancestor of the one-humped camel?
741 *Int. J. Mamm. Biol. (Z. Säugetierk.)* **63**: 372-376.
742
- 743 Preston GW, Thomas DS, Goudie AS, *et al.* (2015) A multi-proxy analysis of the Holocene
744 humid phase from the United Arab Emirates and its implications for southeast Arabia's
745 Neolithic populations. *Quaternary International*, **382**, 277-292.
746
- 747 Pinhasi R, Fernandes D, Sirak K, *et al.* (2015) Optimal Ancient DNA Yields from the Inner Ear
748 Part of the Human Petrous Bone. *PLoS One*, **10**, e0129102.
749
- 750 Palkopoulou E, Mallick S, Skoglund P, *et al.* (2015) Complete genomes reveal signatures of
751 demographic and genetic declines in the woolly mammoth. *Curr Biol*, **25**, 1395-1400.
752
- 753 Poinar H, Kuch M, McDonald G, *et al.* (2003) Nuclear gene sequences from a late Pleistocene
754 sloth coprolite. *Curr. Biol*, **12**, 1150-1152.
755
- 756 Prüfer K, Racimo F, Patterson N, *et al.* (2014) The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal
757 from the Altai Mountains. *Nature*, **505**, 43-49.
758
- 759 Rasmussen M, Guo X, Wang Y, *et al.* (2011) An Aboriginal Australian genome reveals separate
760 human dispersals into Asia. *Science*, **334**, 94-98.
761
- 762 Rasmussen M, Anzick SL, Waters MR, *et al.* (2014) The genome of a Late Pleistocene human
763 from a Clovis burial site in western Montana. *Nature*, **506**, 225-229.
764
- 765 Reich D, Green RE, Kircher M, *et al.* (2010) Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from
766 Denisova Cave in Siberia. *Nature*, **468**, 1053-1060.
767
- 768 Rohland N, Siedel H, Hofreiter M (2010) A rapid column-based ancient DNA extraction method
769 for increased sample throughput. *Mol Ecol Resour*, **10**, 677-683.
770
- 771 Rohland N, Hofreiter M (2007) Comparison and optimization of ancient DNA extraction.
772 *Biotechniques*, **42**, 343-352.
773
- 774 Rowley-Conwy P (1988) The camel in the Nile Valley: new radiocarbon accelerator (AMS)

- 775 dates from Qasr Ibrim. *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology*, **1**, 245-248.
776
- 777 Sawyer S, Krause J, Guschanski K, *et al.* (2012) Temporal patterns of nucleotide
778 misincorporations and DNA fragmentation in ancient DNA. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e34131.
779
- 780 Schubert M, Jónsson H, Chang D, *et al.* (2014) Prehistoric genomes reveal the genetic
781 foundation and cost of horse domestication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **111**, 5661-5669.
782
- 783 Schubert M, Ginolhac A, Lindgreen S (2012) Improving ancient DNA read mapping against
784 modern reference genomes. *BMC Genomics*, **13**, 178.
785
- 786 Shendure J, Ji H (2008) Next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol*, **26**, 1135-1145.
787
- 788 Smith CI, Chamberlain AT, Riley MS, *et al.* (2001) Neanderthal DNA: not just old but old and
789 cold? *Nature*, **10**, 771-772.
790
- 791 Spencer PBS, Woolnough AP (2010) Assessment and genetic characterisation of Australian
792 camels using microsatellite polymorphisms. *Livestock Science*, **129**, 241-245.
793
- 794 Steiger C. (1991). Vergleichend morphologische Untersuchungen an Einzelknochen des
795 postkranialen Skelettes der Altweltkamele. Diss. med. vet. München.
796
- 797 Stiller M, Green RE, Ronan M, *et al.* (2006) Patterns of nucleotide misincorporations during
798 enzymatic amplification and direct large-scale sequencing of ancient DNA. *Proc Natl Acad
799 Sci U S A*, **103**, 13578-13584.
800
- 801 Surakka I, Kristiansson K, Anttila V, *et al.* (2010) Founder population-specific HapMap panel
802 increases power in GWA studies through improved imputation accuracy and CNV tagging.
803 *Genome Res*, **20**, 1344-51.
804
- 805 Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, *et al.* (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
806 Analysis Version 6.0. *Mol Biol Evol*, **30**, 2725-2729.
807
- 808 Thalmann O, Shapiro B, Cui P, *et al.* (2013) Complete Mitochondrial Genomes of Ancient
809 Canids Suggest a European Origin of Domestic Dogs. *Science*, **342**, 871-874.
810
- 811 Troy CS, MacHugh DE, Bailey JF, *et al.* (2001) Genetic evidence for Near-Eastern origins of
812 European cattle. *Nature*. **410**, 1088-1091.
813
- 814 Uerpmann M, Uerpman HP (2012) Archeozoology of camels in South-Eastern Arabia. *Camels
815 in Asia and North Africa*. Interdisciplinary perspectives on their significance in past and
816 present, eds Knoll E & Burger P (Academy of Science Press, Vienna, Austria), 109-122.
817
- 818 Uerpmann M, Uerpmann HP (2002) The appearance of the domestic camel in SE-Arabia.
819 *Journal of Oman Studies*, **12**, 235-260.
820
- 821 von den Driesch A, Brückner H, Obermaier H, *et al.* (2008) The hunt for wild dromedaries at the
822 United Arab Emirates coast during the 3rd and 2nd millennia BC. Camel bones from the

823 excavations at Al Sufouh 2, Dubai, UAE. In: E. Vila, L. Gourichon, A. M. Choyke & H.
824 Buitenhuis (Eds.), *Archaeozoology of the Near East VIII*. Proceedings of the eighth
825 international Symposium on the Archaeozoology of southwestern Asia and adjacent areas.
826 *Travaux de la Maison de l'Orient et de la Méditerranée*, Lyon, **49**, 487-497.

827

828 von den Driesch A, Obermaier H (2007) The hunt for wild dromedaries during the 3rd and 2nd
829 millennia BC on the United Arab Emirates coast. Camel bone finds from the excavations at
830 Al Sufouh 2 Dubai, UAE. Skeletal series and their socio-economic context, *Documenta*
831 *Archaeobiologiae*, eds Grupe G & Peters J (Verlag Marie Leidorf GmbH, Rahden, Germany),
832 133–167.

833

834 Wu H, Guang X, Al-Fageeh MB, *et al.* (2014) Camelid genomes reveal evolution and adaptation
835 to desert environments. *Nat Commun*, **5**, 5188.

836

837 Zhang H, Paijmans JL, Chang F, *et al.* (2013) Morphological and genetic evidence for early
838 Holocene cattle management in northeastern China. *Nat Commun*, **4**, 2755.

839

840 **Data Accessibility**

841 The partial mitochondrial genome assemblies from ancient dromedary are archived in
842 GenBank with accession numbers listed below: AP2: KU605058, AP3: KU605059, AQ5:
843 KU605067, AQ24: KU605060, AQ30: KU605061, AQ34: KU605062, AQ40: KU605063,
844 AQ46: KU605064, AQ48: KU605065, AQ49: KU605066, Palm152: KU605068, Palm157:
845 KU605069, Palm171: KU605070, SAG2: KU605071.

846 The complete modern dromedary mitochondrial genomes used for genetic diversity analysis
847 are deposited in GenBank with accession numbers listed below: Drom439 (Qatar, Jordan
848 border): KU605072, Drom795 (Saudi Arabia): KU605073, Drom796 (Saudi Arabia):
849 KU605074, Drom797 (Saudi Arabia): KU605075, Drom801A (Austria): KU605076,
850 Drom802 (UAE, Dubai): KU605077, Drom806 (Kenya): KU605078, Drom816 (Sudan):
851 KU605079, Drom820 (Pakistan): KU605080.

852 In addition, the raw sequence reads from all the libraries sequenced in this study are deposited
853 in Sequence Read Archive under SRA accession: SRP073444 at the National Center for
854 Biotechnology Information (NCBI).

855 **Author Contributions**


856 EM wrote the paper and performed laboratory work and bioinformatic analyses. CFS
857 performed laboratory work and revised the manuscript. JP and BDC provided the samples and
858 revised the manuscript. MU and HPU provided the samples. MH supported part of the
859 laboratory work and revised the manuscript. PAB managed the project, and revised the
860 manuscript.

861 **Table 1:** Sample details and the sequencing scheme used for each sample. All the libraries were built using the double-stranded library (DSL)
 862 method, and subjected to sequencing both pre- and post-capture using MYbaits. The samples with an asterisk were only sequenced post-capture.
 863 The percentage and average coverage of the unique reads mapped to the dromedary mitochondrial genome and the total length of the recovered
 864 mtDNA for each sample is shown. For the wild samples, the length of the genome is not calculated, as a result of low numbers of reads mapped
 865 to the reference genome.
 866

Sample ID	% Unique mapped reads to <i>C. dromedarius</i> mtgenome			Mtgenome length (bp)	%Mtgenome recovered	Average read depth	GenBank accession no.
	MYbaits Capture	MYbaits-TD Capture	Shotgun				
AP2	0.123		0.0008	9,943	59.7	2.45	KU605058
AP3	0.294	0.175		15,315	92.0	10.63	KU605059
AQ5	0.013			4,083	24.5	2.75	KU605067
AQ24	0.011		0.004	5,516	33.1	3.56	KU605060
AQ30	0.241	0.088		15,843	95.1	47.10	KU605061
AQ34	0.058		0	12,162	73.0	8.87	KU605062
AQ40	0.346		0.0003	12,422	74.6	19.33	KU605063
AQ46	0.006		0	4,143	24.8	1.44	KU605064
AQ48	0.002		0	3,829	23.0	1.56	KU605065
AQ49	0.001		0	2,850	17.1	1.62	KU605066
Palm152	0.005	0.001		5,149	30.9	1.27	KU605068
Palm157*	0.010			10,890	65.4	2.26	KU605069
Palm171*	0.011			7,402	44.4	1.82	KU605070
SAG2	0.028	0.046		14,514	87.2	8.48	KU605071
Tel622	0.0001	0.0006	0.0005				
Tel623	0.0002		0.0009				
Also1	0.0003		0.0008				
Also10	0.0007		0.0008				


867

868 **Figure 1:** Geographical locations of the ancient-domestic dromedary, its extinct
869 ancestor the wild dromedary and the giant camel (*C.thomasi*) used in this study.
870




871
872

873 **Figure 2:** Basic workflow illustrating different steps prior to Illumina sequencing.
 874 Summary of the results for enrichment hybridization and shotgun sequencing is shown.
 875





876
 877


878 **Figure 3:** Representation of the mitochondrial haplotypes (6,694 bp) retrieved from 10
 879 modern (yellow) and seven ancient (red) domestic dromedaries. Circles are proportional
 880 to the sample size. Small grey circles represent median vectors corresponding to
 881 missing haplotypes. The genetic distance of 50 fixed polymorphic sites between two
 882 haplogroups is not displayed in the graph and is shown with a discontinuous line.



883







1 **Table 1:** Sample details and the sequencing scheme used for each sample. All the libraries were built using the double-stranded library (DSL)
 2 method, and subjected to sequencing both pre- and post-capture using MYbaits. The samples with an asterisk were only sequenced post-capture.
 3 The percentage and average coverage of the unique reads mapped to the dromedary mitochondrial genome and the total length of the recovered
 4 mtDNA for each sample is shown. For the wild samples, the length of the genome is not calculated, as a result of low numbers of reads mapped
 5 to the reference genome.

6

Sample ID	% Unique mapped reads to <i>C. dromedarius</i> mtgenome			Mtgenome length (bp)	%Mtgenome recovered	Average read depth	GenBank accession no.
	MYbaits Capture	MYbaits-TD Capture	Shotgun				
AP2	0.123		0.0008	9,943	59.7	2.45	KU605058
AP3	0.294	0.175		15,315	92.0	10.63	KU605059
AQ5	0.013			4,083	24.5	2.75	KU605067
AQ24	0.011		0.004	5,516	33.1	3.56	KU605060
AQ30	0.241	0.088		15,843	95.1	47.10	KU605061
AQ34	0.058		0	12,162	73.0	8.87	KU605062
AQ40	0.346		0.0003	12,422	74.6	19.33	KU605063
AQ46	0.006		0	4,143	24.8	1.44	KU605064
AQ48	0.002		0	3,829	23.0	1.56	KU605065
AQ49	0.001		0	2,850	17.1	1.62	KU605066
Palm152	0.005	0.001		5,149	30.9	1.27	KU605068
Palm157*	0.010			10,890	65.4	2.26	KU605069
Palm171*	0.011			7,402	44.4	1.82	KU605070
SAG2	0.028	0.046		14,514	87.2	8.48	KU605071
Tel622	0.0001	0.0006	0.0005				
Tel623	0.0002		0.0009				
Also1	0.0003		0.0008				
Also10	0.0007		0.0008				

7

