

This is a repository copy of On the Ratio of Periods of the Fundamental Harmonic and First Overtone of Magnetic Tube Kink Oscillations.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/99745/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Ruderman, M.S., Petrukhin, N.S. and Pelinovsky, E. (2016) On the Ratio of Periods of the Fundamental Harmonic and First Overtone of Magnetic Tube Kink Oscillations. Solar Physics, 291 (4). pp. 1143-1157. ISSN 0038-0938

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-016-0893-2

## Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# On the Ratio of Periods of the Fundamental Harmonic and First Overtone of Magnetic Tube Kink Oscillations

M.S. Ruderman $^{1,2}~\cdot$  N.S. Petrukhin $^3~\cdot$  E. Pelinovsky $^{4,5}$ 

## 00, 2016

 $\bigodot$  Springer  $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

Abstract We study kink oscillations of thin magnetic tubes. We assume that the density inside and outside the tube (and possibly also the cross-section radius) can vary along the tube. This variation is assumed to be of such a form that the kink speed is symmetric with respect to the tube centre and it varies monotonically from the tube ends to the tube centre. Then we prove a theorem stating that the ratio of periods of the fundamental mode and first overtone is a monotonically increasing function of the ratio of the kink speed at the tube centre and the tube ends. In particular, it follows from this theorem that the period ratio is smaller than two when the kink speed increases from the tube ends to its centre, while it is larger than two when the kink speed decreases from the tube ends to its centre. The first case is typical for non-expanding coronal magnetic loops, and the second for prominence threads. We apply the general results to particular problems. First we consider kink oscillations of coronal magnetic loops. We prove that, under reasonable assumptions, the ratio of the fundamental period to the

e-mail: m.s.ruderman@sheffield.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Solar Physics and Space Plasma Research Centre (SP<sup>2</sup>RC), School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Hicks Building, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield, S3 7RH, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Space Research Institute (IKI), Russian Academy of Sciences, 84/32 Profsoyuznaya Str., Moscow 117997, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Nonlinear Geophysical Processes, Institute of Applied Physics, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Nizhny Novgorod State Technical University n.a. R.E. Alekseev, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

first overtone is less than two and it decreases when the loop size increases. The second problem concerns kink oscillations of prominence threads. We consider three internal density profiles: generalized parabolic, Gaussian, and Lorentzian. Each of these profiles contain the parameter  $\alpha$  responsible for its sharpness. We calculate the dependence of the period ratio on the ratio of mean density to the maximum density. For all considered values of  $\alpha$  we find that a formula relating the period ratio and the ratio of the mean and maximum density suggested by Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) gives sufficiently good approximation to the exact dependence.

Keywords: Corona, Coronal Magnetic Loops, Prominences, Waves, Oscillations

## 1. Introduction

Standing transverse oscillations of coronal magnetic loops were first observed by TRACE in 1998 and reported by Aschwanden et al. (1999) and Nakariakov et al. (1999). After that first observation dozens of similar events have been observed and reported (e.g. Ofman and Aschwanden, 2002; Aschwanden, 2006; Aschwanden and Terradas, 2008; Aschwanden and Schrijver, 2011). After the first observation the coronal loop transverse oscillations were interpreted as standing fast kink waves in magnetic tubes. These oscillations are one of the most important tools of coronal seismology. Nakariakov and Ofman (2001) used these oscillations to obtain an estimate for the magnetic field magnitude in coronal loops. Verwichte et al. (2004) first reported simultaneous observation of the fundamental mode and first overtone of coronal loop kink oscillations. An important property of these modes was that the ratio of their frequencies was less than two. After that Andries, Arregui, and Goossens (2005) suggested that this deviation of the period ratio from two is related to the density variation along the loop and developed a method for estimating the atmospheric scale height using the observed period ratio. This method is now very popular in coronal seismology (e.g. Arregui et al., 2007; Van Doorsselaere, Nakariakov, and Verwichte, 2007; Andries *et al.*, 2009).

In recent years the methods of coronal seismology have been successfully applied to prominence seismology. Observations show that solar prominences are formed by large numbers of long, thin substructures called threads (e.g. Okamoto *et al.*, 2007; Berger *et al.*, 2008). Very often transverse oscillations of prominence threads with periods between one and a few tens of minutes are observed (e.g. Okamoto *et al.*, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 2007; Orozco Surez, 2014). These oscillations are similar to the transverse oscillations of coronal magnetic loop and they were also interpreted as kink oscillations of magnetic flux tubes (e.g. Terradas *et al.*, 2008; Lin *et al.*, 2007; Soler *et al.*, 2010, 2012; Arregui *et al.*, 2011; Soler and Goossens, 2011). An observational and theoretical review of prominence thread oscillations was given by Arregui, Oliver, and Ballester (2012). Díaz, Oliver, and Ballester (2010) and Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) suggested the use of the ratio of the periods of the fundamental mode and first overtone of the transverse prominence thread oscillations in prominence seismology. Andries, Arregui, and Goossens (2005) considered coronal loops with a circular cross-section of constant radius and with a half-circle shape immersed in an isothermal atmosphere. They assumed that the plasma temperature is the same inside and outside of the loop. They then calculated the ratio of periods of the fundamental mode and first overtone. It was found that this ratio was always less than two. It was also a decreasing function of the loop height. Later the results obtained by Andries, Arregui, and Goossens were confirmed by other authors (e.g. Safari, Nasiri, and Sobouti, 2007). Similar results were obtained for other density profiles (e.g. Dymova and Ruderman, 2006a,b; Díaz, Donelly, and Roberts, 2007). The general property of all these density profiles was that they were symmetric with respect to the apex point and the kink speed monotonically increased from the footpoint to the loop apex.

Verth and Erdélyi (2007a,b) considered the transverse oscillations of expanding coronal loops. They found that the loop expansion causes an increase of the period ratio. When the density is constant in an expanding loop the density ratio exceeds two. It also exceeds two when the density variation along the loop is weak. In all examples where the ratio was larger than two the kink speed monotonically decreases from the loop footpoints to its apex.

Díaz, Oliver, and Ballester (2010) calculated the ratio of periods of the fundamental mode and the first overtone for the model of a prominence thread in the form of a straight magnetic tube with constant cross-section radius and with a piecewise constant density profile. They obtained that the period ratio is larger than two and it increases with the increase of the contrast between the dense and rarefied parts of the prominence thread. Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) carried out a similar analysis for the parabolic, Gaussian and Lorentzian density profile. Again they obtained that the period ratio is larger than two and increases with the increase of the ratio of densities at the thread centre and its footpoints. An important property of the equilibria studied by Díaz, Oliver, and Ballester (2010) and Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) is that the kink speed monotonically decreases from the thread footpoints to the thread centre.

Summarising we can state that it was shown for a few particular density profiles that the period ratio is larger than two when the kink speed at the footpoints is larger than that at the tube centre and smaller than two when the kink speed at footpoints is smaller than that at the tube centre, at least when the kink speed satisfies two conditions. These conditions are (i) the kink speed is symmetric with respect to the tube centre, and (ii) it varies monotonically from the footpoints to the tube centre. Now the question arises if the same statement is true for *any* kink velocity profile satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). This article aims to answer this question. The article is organised as follows. In the next section we consider the general problem. In Section 3 we apply the general theory to particular examples. Section 4 contains the summary of the results obtained and our conclusions.

### 2. General Results

We consider kink oscillations of a magnetic tube with the density varying along the tube. Both coronal magnetic tubes and prominence threads are very thin structures, so the thin tube approximation can be used to describe kink oscillations. Dymova and Ruderman (2005) showed that in the thin flux-tube approximation the eigenmodes of kink oscillations of a magnetic tube with a circular cross-section of constant radius are described by the Sturm-Liouville problem

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\eta}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{C_k^2}\eta = 0,\tag{1}$$

$$\eta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = \pm L/2. \tag{2}$$

Here  $\eta$  is the tube axis displacement,  $\omega$  the eigenfrequency, z the coordinate along the tube, L the tube length, and  $C_k$  the kink speed defined by

$$C_k^2 = \frac{2B^2}{\mu_0(\rho_i + \rho_e)},$$
(3)

where B is the magnetic-field magnitude,  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_e$  are the plasma density inside and outside of the tube, and  $\mu_0$  the magnetic permeability of free space. Later Ruderman, Verth, and Erdélyi (2008) showed that Equation (1) remains valid even when the tube cross-section radius varies along the tube. The only difference in comparison with the case of constant cross-section radius is that now  $\eta$  is the tube axis displacement divided by the cross-section radius R(z), and B is a function of z. When R = const, only  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_e$  vary along the tube, while B remains constant. The tube cross-section and the magnetic field magnitude are related by the equation

$$B(z)R^2(z) = \text{const.} \tag{4}$$

Díaz, Oliver, and Ballester (2010) verified the accuracy of the thin tube approximation and found that it is very high.

Now we assume that the kink speed satisfies two conditions: (i) its profile is symmetric with respect to the tube centre,  $C_k(-z) = C_k(z)$ , and (ii) it varies monotonically from the footpoints to the tube centre,  $dC_k/dz \neq 0$  for  $z \in (-L/2, 0)$ . We introduce the dimensionless variables

$$s = \frac{2z}{L}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\omega^2 L^2}{4C_{kf}^2} \quad \chi = \frac{C_{kf}^2}{C_k^2(0)} - 1, \quad f(s) = \frac{1}{\chi} \left( \frac{C_{kf}^2}{C_k^2(z)} - 1 \right), \tag{5}$$

where  $C_{kf} = C_k(\pm L/2)$  is the kink speed at the ends of the magnetic tube. It follows from the assumptions made with respect to  $C_k(z)$  that f(s) is an even function, f(-s) = f(s), which grows monotonically in the interval (-1,0) and that  $f(\pm 1) = 0$  and f(0) = 1. It follows from the definition of  $\chi$  that  $-1 < \chi < 0$ when  $C_k(z)$  takes a maximum at z = 0 (which corresponds to the case of nonexpanding coronal magnetic loops), while  $\chi > 0$  when  $C_k(z)$  takes its minimum at z = 0 (which corresponds to the case of prominence threads).

In the new variables Equation (1) and the boundary conditions (2) are transformed to

$$\eta'' + \lambda [1 + \chi f(s)]\eta = 0, \tag{6}$$

On the Period Ratio of Magnetic Tube Kink Oscillations

$$\eta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad s = \pm 1, \tag{7}$$

where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to s.

We aim to prove that the ratio of periods of the fundamental mode and first overtone,  $P_1/P_2$ , is smaller than two when  $\chi < 0$  (the case of non-expanding coronal magnetic loops), while it is larger than two when  $\chi > 0$  (the case of prominence threads). Note that, in the research related to the coronal loop oscillations, the period ratio is often defined as  $P_1/2P_2$ . If we adopt such a definition, then the period ratio must be compared not with two, but with one. Since

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}},\tag{8}$$

where  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are the frequencies of the fundamental mode and first overtone, respectively, and  $\lambda_1 = \omega_1^2$  and  $\lambda_2 = \omega_2^2$ , it is enough to prove that  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 < 4$ when  $\chi < 0$  and  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 > 4$  when  $\chi > 0$ .

We carry out the proof in three steps. In the first step we prove the following.

Lemma 1. Let u and v be solutions of the equations

$$u'' + p(s)u = 0, (9)$$

$$v'' + p(s)v = q(s),$$
(10)

satisfying the initial conditions

$$u = v = 0, \quad u' = v' = \alpha > 0 \quad \text{at} \quad s = a,$$
 (11)

where p(s) and q(s) are continuous functions,  $q(s) \ge 0$ , and u(s) > 0 in the interval (a, b). Then  $v(s) \ge u(s)$  in the interval [a, b].

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of the Sturm theorem (e.g. Coddington and Levinson, 1955). We multiply Equation (9) by v, Equation (10) by u, and then subtract the first from the second. As a result we obtain

$$uv'' - vu'' = uq(s). (12)$$

Integrating this equation and using integration by parts we obtain with the aid of Equation (11)

$$u(s)v'(s) - v(s)u'(s) = \int_{a}^{s} q(y)u(y) \,\mathrm{d}y.$$
(13)

First we show that v(s) > 0 in the interval (a, b]. Assume that this is not true and v(s) changes the sign in this interval. Let  $s_0$  be the first zero of v(s). Since v(s) changes the sign at  $s_0$  from positive to negative, it follows that  $v'(s_0) < 0$ . Substituting  $s = s_0$  in (13) yields

$$u(s_0)v'(s_0) = \int_a^{s_0} q(y)u(y) \,\mathrm{d}y.$$
(14)

The left-hand side of this equation is negative, while the right-hand side is positive and we arrive at a contradiction. This implies that v(s) > 0 in the interval (a, b].

It follows from (13) that  $u(s)v'(s) - v(s)u'(s) \ge 0$ . Since, for s > a, v(s) > 0 and u(s) > 0 by assumption, this inequality can be rewritten as

$$\frac{v'(s)}{v(s)} \ge \frac{u'(s)}{u(s)}.\tag{15}$$

Integrating this inequality we obtain

$$\ln(v(s)) - \ln(v(a+\epsilon)) \ge \ln(u(s)) - \ln(u(a+\epsilon)), \tag{16}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive sufficiently small quantity. This inequality can be transformed to

$$\frac{v(s)}{u(s)} \ge \frac{v(a+\epsilon)}{u(a+\epsilon)}.$$
(17)

Taking  $\epsilon \to +0$  and using l'Hôpital's rule yields

$$\frac{v(s)}{u(s)} \ge \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{v(a+\epsilon)}{u(a+\epsilon)} = \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{v'(a+\epsilon)}{u'(a+\epsilon)} = 1.$$
 (18)

Lemma 1 is proved.

In the second step we prove the following.

Lemma 2. Let  $\eta_1(s)$  and  $\eta_2(s)$  be eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville problem (1), (2) corresponding to the fundamental mode and first overtone. Since  $\eta_1(s)$  does not change the sign, and  $\eta_2(s)$  is only equal to zero at s = 0 in the interval (-1, 1), it follows that

(i) 
$$\eta_1(s) > 0$$
 for  $s \in (-1, 1)$  and  $\eta_2(s) > 0$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

Since the eigenfunctions are defined only up to multiplication by an arbitrary non-zero constant, we can impose the normalization condition

(ii) 
$$\int_0^1 \eta_1^2(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^1 \eta_2^2(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = 1.$$

Then there exists  $s_1 \in (0,1)$  such that  $\eta_2(s) < \eta_1(s)$  when  $s \in (0,s_1)$  and  $\eta_2(s) > \eta_1(s)$  when  $s \in (s_1,1)$ .

*Proof.* The function  $\eta_1(x)$  takes its maximum at x = 0, while  $\eta_2(0) = 0$ . Therefore  $\eta_2(x) < \eta_1(x)$  in a vicinity of x = 0. If we assume that  $\eta_2(x) < \eta_1(x)$  in the interval (0, 1), then we obtain that  $\int_0^1 \eta_2^2(x) \, dx < \int_0^1 \eta_1^2(x) \, dx$ , which contradicts the normalization condition (ii). Hence, the function  $\tilde{\eta}(x) = \eta_1(x) - \eta_2(x)$  must change sign in the interval (0, 1). Let  $s_1 \in (0,1)$  be the first zero of the function  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$ . We now prove that  $\tilde{\eta}(s) < 0$  for  $s \in (s_1,1)$ . We assume that this is not true, that is  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  changes sign in the interval  $(s_1,1)$ . Let  $s_2$  be the first zero of  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  in this interval. It follows from the equations determining  $\eta_1(s)$  and  $\eta_2(s)$  that  $s_2$  cannot be an inflection point. Since  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  changes sign at  $s_2$  from negative to positive, we obtain  $\tilde{\eta}'(s_2) > 0$ . It follows from Equation (6) written for the fundamental mode and first overtone that  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  satisfies the equation

$$\widetilde{\eta}'' + \lambda_1 [1 + \chi f(s)] \widetilde{\eta} = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) [1 + \chi f(s)] \eta_2.$$
(19)

In addition, the function  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  satisfies the initial conditions

$$\widetilde{\eta}(s_2) = 0, \quad \widetilde{\eta}'(s_2) = \gamma > 0. \tag{20}$$

Consider the function u(x) satisfying the equation

$$u'' + \lambda_1 [1 + \chi f(s)] u = 0, \tag{21}$$

and the initial conditions

$$u(s_2) = 0, \quad u'(s_2) = \gamma.$$
 (22)

It follows from the uniqueness theorem that

$$u(s) = \frac{\gamma}{\eta_1'(-1)} \eta_1(s - s_2 - 1).$$
(23)

Since  $-1 < s - s_2 - 1 \leq -s_2$  when  $s \in (s_2, 1]$ , it follows that u(s) > 0 and that u(s) monotonically increases in the interval  $(s_2, 1]$ . Since the right-hand side of Equation (19) is positive, it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\tilde{\eta}(s) \geq u(s)$  for  $s \in (s_2, 1]$ . This, in particular, implies that  $\tilde{\eta}(1) > 0$ , which contradicts the condition  $\tilde{\eta}(1) = 0$ . Hence, the assumption that  $\tilde{\eta}(s)$  changes sign in the interval  $(s_1, 1)$  is false and, consequently,  $\tilde{\eta}(s) > 0$  for  $s \in (0, s_1)$  and  $\tilde{\eta}(s) < 0$  for  $s \in (s_1, 1)$ . Lemma 2 is proved.

Now we are in a position to make the third step, which is the proof of the following.

Theorem. Let  $\lambda_1$  be the eigenfunction of the Sturm-Liouville problem (6), (7) corresponding to the fundamental mode and  $\lambda_2$  the eigenfunction corresponding to the first overtone. Then the ratio  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1$  is a monotonically increasing function of the parameter  $\chi$ .

*Proof.* We now consider  $\lambda$  in Equation (6) as a function of  $\chi$ , and  $\eta$  as a function of two variables, s and  $\chi$ . As before we use the prime to indicate the derivative with respect to s, while the derivative with respect to  $\chi$  will be indicated with the subscript  $\chi$ . For example,  $\eta_{\chi} = \partial \eta / \partial \chi$ . Below we consider Equation (6) in the interval [0, 1]. Then the fundamental mode satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\eta'(0) = 0, \quad \eta(1) = 0,$$
(24)

while the first overtone satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\eta(0) = \eta(1) = 0. \tag{25}$$

Differentiating the boundary conditions (24) and (25) with respect to  $\chi$  we obtain that  $\eta_{1\chi}$  satisfies the boundary conditions (24), while  $\eta_{2\chi}$  satisfies the boundary conditions (25). Differentiating Equation (6) with respect to  $\chi$  we obtain

$$\eta_{\chi}^{\prime\prime} + \lambda_{\chi} [1 + \chi f(s)]\eta + \lambda f(s)\eta + \lambda [1 + \chi f(s)]\eta_{\chi} = 0.$$
<sup>(26)</sup>

Using integration by parts, the boundary conditions (24) for  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_{1\chi}$ , and the boundary conditions (25) for  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_{1\chi}$  yield

$$\int_{0}^{1} \eta \eta_{\chi}^{\prime\prime} \,\mathrm{d}s = \eta \eta_{\chi}^{\prime} \Big|_{0}^{1} - \int_{0}^{1} \eta^{\prime} \eta_{\chi}^{\prime} \,\mathrm{d}s = -\eta^{\prime} \eta_{\chi} \Big|_{0}^{1} + \int_{0}^{1} \eta^{\prime\prime} \eta_{\chi} \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{0}^{1} \eta^{\prime\prime} \eta_{\chi} \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(27)

Now we multiply Equation (26) by  $\eta$ , integrate with respect to s, and use Equations (6) and (27) to obtain

$$\lambda_{\chi}[1 + \chi I(\chi)] + \lambda I(\chi) = 0, \qquad (28)$$

where

$$I(\chi) = \int_0^1 f(s)\eta^2 \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
 (29)

We rewrite Equation (28) as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\chi}\ln\lambda = -\frac{I(\chi)}{1+\chi I(\chi)}.$$
(30)

Now we write this equation for the fundamental mode and first overtone and subtract one from the other. As a result we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\chi}\ln\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} = \frac{I_1(\chi)}{1+\chi I_1(\chi)} - \frac{I_2(\chi)}{1+\chi I_2(\chi)} = \frac{I_1(\chi) - I_2(\chi)}{[1+\chi I_1(\chi)][1+\chi I_2(\chi)]}.$$
(31)

It follows from the definition of  $I(\chi)$  that

$$I_1(\chi) - I_2(\chi) = \int_0^1 f(s) [\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(32)

In accordance with Lemma 2 there is  $s_1$  such that the integrand on the righthand side of this equation is positive when  $s < s_1$  and negative when  $s > s_1$ . Then, taking into account that f(s) is a monotonically decreasing function in the interval (0, 1) we obtain with the aid of normalization condition (ii)

$$I_1(\chi) - I_2(\chi) = \int_0^{s_1} f(s)[\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s$$
  
+  $\int_{s_1}^1 f(s)[\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s > f(s_1) \int_0^{s_1} [\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s$ 

On the Period Ratio of Magnetic Tube Kink Oscillations

+ 
$$f(s_1) \int_{s_1}^1 [\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s = f(s_1) \int_0^1 [\eta_1^2(s) - \eta_2^2(s)] \,\mathrm{d}s = 0.$$
 (33)

Hence,  $I_1(\chi) > I_2(\chi)$  and the right-hand side of Equation (32) is positive. Then it follows that  $\ln(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)$  and, consequently,  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $\chi$ .

Corollary. When  $\chi = 0$  we obtain  $\lambda_1 = \pi^2/4$  and  $\lambda_2 = \pi^2$ , meaning that  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 = 4$ . Hence  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 < 4$  when  $\chi < 0$  (the case of non-expanding coronal loops) and  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 > 4$  when  $\chi > 0$  (the case of prominence threads).

## 3. Particular Examples

#### 3.1. Kink Oscillations of Coronal Magnetic Loops

In this subsection we apply the general theory developed in the previous section to kink oscillations of coronal magnetic loops. Ruderman (2015) showed that a thin magnetic tube with the circular cross-section of constant radius immersed in a potential magnetic field can have arbitrary shape. Hence, we can consider a coronal loop with a prescribed shape. The axis of this loop is defined in Cartesian coordinates with the vertical z-axis by equations

$$x = \sigma x_0(s), \quad z = \sigma z_0(s), \tag{34}$$

where  $s \in [-1, 1]$ , z(-1) = z(1) = 0, and  $\sigma > 0$  is a free parameter. Without loss of generality we can assume that z(0) = H, where H is the atmospheric scale height. Hence, we have a whole one-parametric family of coronal loops. Each member of the family is obtained by a similarity transformation from one member corresponding to  $\sigma = 1$ . An example of such a family is the family of loops with a half-circular shape. More generally, we obtain such a family if we take the base member corresponding to  $\sigma = 1$  in the form of an arc of a circle like in Dymova and Ruderman (2006b). Below we assume that the curve defined by Equation (34) does not have self-intersections, it is symmetric, x(-s) = -x(s), z(-s) = z(s), and z(s) monotonically increases in the interval (-1,0), that is there are no dips in loops.

We also assume that the loop is immersed in an isothermal atmosphere and the temperature is the same inside and outside of the loop. Then the density inside and outside of the loop is given by

$$\rho_i = \rho_f \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma z_0(s)}{H}\right), \quad \rho_e = \frac{\rho_i}{\zeta},\tag{35}$$

where  $\zeta>1$  and  $\rho_f$  is the density at the loop footpoints. For the kink speed we obtain

$$C_k = C_{kf} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma z_0(s)}{2H}\right),\tag{36}$$

where  $C_{kf}$  is the kink speed at the loopfoot points. In the dimensionless variables the wave equation determining the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the loop oscillations reduces to Equation (6) with

$$\chi = e^{-\sigma} - 1, \quad f(s) = \frac{1 - \exp(-\sigma z_0(s)/H)}{1 - \exp(-\sigma)}.$$
(37)

It is straightforward to see that the function f(s) is even and monotonically increasing in the interval (-1,0). Then it follows from the Theorem proved in the previous section that the period ratio is a monotonically increasing function of  $\chi$ . Since  $\chi$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $\sigma$ , it follows that the period ratio decreases when  $\sigma$  increases. Andries, Arregui, and Goossens (2005) proved numerically that the period ratio of kink oscillations of a loop with a half-circle shape decreases when the loop height increases. We have shown that the same is true for the loop with an arbitrary fixed shape under the conditions that it is symmetric and does not have dips.

#### 3.2. Kink Oscillations of Prominence Threads

Now we apply the general theory to the kink oscillations of prominence threads. When considering coronal loops we assumed that they do not have dips. We have made this assumption because it is usually believed that the temperature variation along the loop is weak. This implies that the density distribution along the loop is mainly determined by the loop shape. In that case the presence of a dip would cause the density to vary non-monotonically from the loop footpoint to the apex. The situation is completely different in the case of prominence threads. Here the density variation along a thread is mainly determined by the temperature variation that usually decreases from the coronal temperature of the order of 1 MK at the thread footpoints to about  $10^4$  K at the thread central region. The thread shape plays a minor role in the density variation along the thread. Therefore there is no need to assume the absence of dips when applying the general theory to the prominence thread kink oscillations.

Below we consider three types of density profiles inside the thread defined by

$$\rho_i(z) = \rho_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{\theta - 1}{\theta} \left( \frac{2|z|}{L} \right)^{\alpha} \right], \tag{38}$$

$$\rho_i(z) = \rho_0 \exp\left[-\ln\theta \left(\frac{2|z|}{L}\right)^{\alpha}\right],\tag{39}$$

$$\rho_i(z) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + (\theta - 1)(2|z|/L)^{\alpha}},\tag{40}$$

where  $\theta = \rho_i(0)/\rho(\pm L/2) > 1$  and  $\alpha > 1$ . The external density is taken to be constant and equal to  $\rho_e = \rho_0/\zeta$ , where  $\zeta > 1$ . Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) considered these profiles with  $\alpha = 2$  and called them parabolic, Gaussian, and Lorentzian profiles, respectively. By analogy, we call the profiles defined by



**Figure 1.** Dependence of  $\rho_i(z)/\rho_0$  on 2z/L for the generalized parabolic profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The horizontal lines show the mean values of the density,  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$ .

Equations (38)–(40) the generalized parabolic, Gaussian, and Lorentzian profiles. These profiles are shown in Figs. 1–3 for  $\alpha = 1.5, 2, 3$  and 4 for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ .

It follows from Equations (5) and (38)–(40) that the expression for the parameter  $\chi$  is

$$\chi = \frac{\zeta(\theta - 1)}{\theta + \zeta} \tag{41}$$

for all three density profiles. The expression for f(s) is

$$f(s) = 1 - s^{\alpha} \tag{42}$$

for the generalized parabolic profile

$$f(s) = \frac{\theta \exp(-s^{\alpha} \ln \theta) - 1}{\theta - 1}$$
(43)

for the generalized Gaussian profile, and

$$f(s) = \frac{1 - s^{\alpha}}{1 + (\theta - 1)s^{\alpha}} \tag{44}$$

for the generalized Lorentzian profile. It is straightforward to see that f(s) is an even function which monotonically increases in the interval (-1,0) for all



**Figure 2.** Dependence of  $\rho_i(z)/\rho_0$  on 2z/L for the generalized Gaussian profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The horizontal lines show the mean values of the density,  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$ .

three density profiles. Then it follows from the Theorem proved in the previous section that the period ratio is a monotonically increasing function of  $\chi$ . Since in accordance with Equation (41)  $\chi$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $\theta$ , it follows that the period ratio is a monotonically increasing function of  $\theta$ . Previously this result was obtained numerically by Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) for  $\alpha = 2$ .

Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) calculated the dependence of the period ratio on the ratio of the density mean value,  $\langle \rho_i \rangle$ , defined by

$$\langle \rho_i \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \rho_i(z) \,\mathrm{d}z,\tag{45}$$

to its maximum value,  $\rho_0$ . They found that this dependence is very well approximated by

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} \approx 1 + \left(\frac{\langle \rho_i \rangle}{\rho_0}\right)^{-1/2}.$$
(46)

The mean density is given by

$$\frac{\langle \rho_i \rangle}{\rho_0} = \frac{\alpha \theta + 1}{\theta(\alpha + 1)} \tag{47}$$



**Figure 3.** Dependence of  $\rho_i(z)/\rho_0$  on 2z/L for the generalized Lorentzian profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The horizontal lines show the mean values of the density,  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$ .

for the generalized parabolic profile, by

$$\frac{\langle \rho_i \rangle}{\rho_0} = \int_0^1 \exp(-s^\alpha \ln \theta) \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{48}$$

for the generalized Gaussian profile, and by

$$\frac{\langle \rho_i \rangle}{\rho_0} = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{1 + (\theta - 1)s^\alpha} \tag{49}$$

for the generalized Lorentzian profile. We calculated numerically the dependence of  $P_1/P_2$  on  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$  for all three kinds of the density profiles and for  $\alpha = 1.5, 2, 3$ , and 4 for  $\theta = \zeta = 1$ . The results are shown in Figs. 4–6. In these figures the dependence of  $P_1/P_2$  on  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$  given by Equation (46) is also shown. We see that Equation (46) gives an excellent approximation in the case of the generalized Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles, but the approximation is not very good in the case of a generalized parabolic profile. It seems that this property is related to the fact that the density is more concentrated at the middle of the thread in the case of generalized Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles than in the case of the generalized parabolic profile. Observations show that the dense part of a prominence thread usually only occupies a small part of the thread close to its centre. Hence, it seems that the density distribution in prominence threads



**Figure 4.** Dependence of the period ratio  $P_1/P_2$  on the mean density  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$  for the generalized parabolic profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The squares show the approximate dependence of the period ratio on the mean density described by Equation (46).

is much better described by the Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles than by the parabolic profile.

#### 4. Summary and Conclusions

In this article we have studied kink oscillations of thin magnetic flux-tubes. We assume that the density inside and outside the tube (and possibly also the cross-section radius) vary along the tube. This variation is assumed to be of such a form that the kink speed is symmetric with respect to the tube centre and it varies monotonically from the tube ends to the tube centre. We proved a theorem stating that the ratio of periods of the fundamental mode and first overtone is a monotonically increasing function of a dimensionless parameter equal to the ratio of the kink speed at the tube centre and the tube ends minus one. In particular, it follows from this theorem that the period ratio is smaller than two when the kink speed decreases from the tube ends to its centre. The first case is typical for non-expanding coronal magnetic loops, and the second for prominence threads.

We then applied the general results to particular problems. First we considered kink oscillations of coronal magnetic loops. We assumed that the loop has circular cross-section of constant radius and its axis is a planar curve in a vertical



**Figure 5.** Dependence of the period ratio  $P_1/P_2$  on the mean density  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$  for the generalized Gaussian profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The squares show the approximate dependence of the period ratio on the mean density described by Equation (46).

plane that is symmetric with respect to the apex point. We also assumed that the curve has no dips, meaning that the vertical coordinate of a point of the curve monotonically increases from the footpoint to the apex. Finally, we assumed that the atmosphere is isothermal and the temperature is the same inside and outside of the loop. Applying the theorem proved in the previous section, we proved that the period ratio of the fundamental mode and first overtone of kink oscillations of such a loop is less than two. We also considered a family of loops obtained by the similarity transformation from one of them. All these loops have the same shape, but different sizes. We proved that the period ratio decreases when the loop size increases.

The second problem concerns kink oscillations of prominence threads. We considered three profiles of the internal density: generalized parabolic, Gaussian, and Lorentzian. Each of these profiles contains a parameter  $\alpha$  responsible for its sharpness. We calculated the dependence of the period ratio on the ratio of mean density to the maximum density. For all values of  $\alpha$  that we considered we found that a formula relating the period ratio and the ratio of the mean and maximum density suggested by Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) gives sufficiently good approximation to the exact dependence. However the approximation is much better in the case of generalized Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles than in the case of the generalized parabolic profile. We suggest that this result is related to the fact that the density is much more concentrated at the thread central



**Figure 6.** Dependence of the period ratio  $P_1/P_2$  on the mean density  $\langle \rho_i \rangle / \rho_0$  for the generalized Lorentzian profile for  $\theta = \zeta = 100$ . The dashed, solid, dash-dotted, and dotted lines correspond to  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $\alpha = 4$ , respectively. The squares show the approximate dependence of the period ratio on the mean density described by Equation (46).

point in the generalized Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles than in the generalized parabolic profile.

All the results concerning the ratio  $P_1/P_2$  obtained in this article are based on the use of the thin tube approximation. However, we can anticipate that they would remain valid even beyond this approximation. This anticipation is based on numerical results obtained using the full set of the linearised MHD equations. In particular, Andries, Arregui, and Goossens (2005) showed that  $P_1/P_2 < 2$  for non-expanding coronal loops of a half-circular shape immersed in an isothermal atmosphere, while Soler, Goossens, and Ballester (2015) obtained that  $P_1/P_2 > 2$ for prominence threads with the density increasing from the footpoints to the centre.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the support by RFFR (Russian Fund for Fundamental Research) grant (16-02-00167). MSR acknowledges the support by the STFC grant.

#### References

- Andries, J., Arregui, I., Goossens, M.: 2005, Astrophys. J. Lett. 624, L57. ADS:2005ApJ...624L..57A, doi:10.1086/430347.
- Andries, J., Van Doorsselaere, T., Roberts, B., Verth, G., Verwichte, E., Erdélyi, R.: 2009, Space Sci. Rev. 149, 3. ADS:2009SSRv..149....3A, doi:10.1007/s11214-009-9561-2.

Arregui, I., Andries, J., Van Doorsselaere, T., Goossens, M., Poedts, S: 2007, Astron. Astrophys. 463, 333. ADS:2007A&A...463..333A, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20065863.

Arregui, I., Soler, R., Ballester, J.L., Wright, A.N.: 2011, Astron. Astrophys. 533, A60. ADS:2011A&A...533A..60A, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201117477.

Arregui, I., Oliver, R., Ballester, J.L.: 2012, *Liv. Rev. Sol. Phys.* 9, A2. ADS:2012LRSP....2A, doi:10.12942/lrsp-2012-2.

Aschwanden, M.J.: 2006, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 364, 417. ADS:2006RSPTA.364..417A, doi:10.1098/rsta.2005.1707.

Aschwanden, M.J., Terradas, J.: 2008, Astrophys. J. Lett. **686**, L127. ADS:2008ApJ...686L.127A, doi:10.1086/592963.

Aschwanden, M.J., Schrijver, C.J.: 2011, Astrophys. J. 736, A102. ADS:2011ApJ...736..102A, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/736/2/102.

Aschwanden, M.J., Fletcher, L., Schrijver, C.J., Alexander, D.: 1999, Astrophys. J. 520, 880. ADS:1999ApJ...520..880A, doi:10.1086/307502.

Berger, T.E., Shine, R.A., Slater, G.L., Tarbell, T.D., Title, A.M., Okamoto, T.J., et al.: 2008, Astrophys. J. Lett. 676, L89. ADS:2008ApJ...676L.89B, doi:10.1086/587171.

Coddington, E.A., Levinson, N.: 1955, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Díaz, A.J., Oliver, R., Ballester, J.L.: 2010, Astrophys. J. 725, 1742. ADS:2010ApJ...725.1742D, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/725/2/1742.

Dymova, M., Ruderman, M.S.: 2005, Solar Phys. 229, 79. ADS:2005Soph..229..79D, doi:10.1007/sl1207-005-5002-x.

Dymova, M., Ruderman, M.S.: 2006a, Astron. Astrophys. **457**, 1059. ADS:2006A&A...457.1059D, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20065051.

Dymova, M., Ruderman, M.S.: 2006b, Astron. Astrophys. **459**, 241. ADS:2006A&A...459..241D, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20065929.

Lin, Y., Engvold, O., Rouppe van der Voort, L.H.M., van Noort, M.: 2007, Solar Phys. 246, 65. ADS:2007SoPh..246...65L, doi:10.1007/s11207-007-0402-8.
 Lin, Y., Soler, R., Engvold, O., Ballester, J.L., Langangen, O., Oliver, R., van

Lin, Y., Soler, R., Engvold, O., Ballester, J.L., Langangen, O., Oliver, R., van der Voort, L.H.M.R.: 2009, Astrophys. J. 704, 870. ADS:2009ApJ...704..870L, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/704/1/870.

Nakariakov, V., Ofman, L.: 2001, Astron. Astrophys. **372**, L53. ADS:2001A&A...372L..53N, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20010607.

Nakariakov, V., Ofman, L., DeLuca, E.E., Roberts, B., Davila, J.M.: 1999, *Science* **285**, 862. ADS:1999Sci...285..862N, doi:10.1126/science.285.5429.862.

Ofman, L., Aschwanden, M.J.: 2002, Astrophys. J. 576, L153. ADS:2002ApJ...576L.1530, doi:10.1086/343886.

Okamoto, T.J., Tsuneta, S., Berger, T.E., Ichimoto, K., Katsukawa, Y., Lites, B.W., et al.: 2007, Science 318, 1577. ADS:2007Sci...318.1577O, doi:10.1126/science.1145447.

Orozco Surez, D., Díaz, A.J., Asensio Ramos, A., Trujillo Bueno, J.: 2014, Astrophys. J. Lett. **785**, L10. ADS:2014ApJ...785L..100, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/785/1/L10.

Ruderman, M.S.: 2015, Solar Phys. **290**, 423. ADS:2015Soph..290..423R, doi:DOI 10.1007/s11207-014-0607-6.

Ruderman, M.S., Verth, G., Erdélyi, R.: 2008, Astrophys. J. **686**, 694. ADS:2008ApJ...686..694R, doi:10.1086/591444.

Safari, H., Nasiri, S., Sobouti, Y.: 2007, Astron. Astrophys. 470, 1111. ADS:2007A&A...470.1111S, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20065997.

Soler, R. Goossens, M.: 2011, Astron. Astrophys. 531, A167. ADS:2011A&A...531A.167S, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220111.

Soler, R., Arregui, I., Oliver, R., Ballester, J.L.: 2010, Astrophys. J. **722**, 1778. ADS:2010ApJ...722.17785, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/722/2/1778.

Soler, R., Ruderman, M.S., Goossens, M.: 2012, Astron. Astrophys. 546, A82. ADS:2012A&A...546A..82S, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220111.

Soler, R., Goossens, M., Ballester, J.L.: 2015, Astron. Astrophys. 575, A123. ADS:2015A&A...575A.123S, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201424205.

Terradas, J., Arregui, I., Oliver, R., Ballester, J.L.: 2008, Astrophys. J. Lett. 678, L153. ADS:2008ApJ...678L.153T, doi:10.1086/588728.

Van Doorsselaere, T., Nakariakov, V.M., Verwichte, E.: 2007, Astron. Astrophys. 473, 959. ADS:2007A&A...473..959V, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20077783. Verth, G., Erdélyi, R.: 2007a, Astron. Astrophys. 486, 1015. ADS:2008A&A...486.1015V, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20078385.

Verth, G., Erdélyi, R., Jess, D.B.: 2007b, Astrophys. J. Lett. 687, L45. ADS:2008ApJ...687L..45V, doi:10.1086/593184.
Verwichte, E., Nakariakov, V.M., Ofman, L., Deluca, E.E.: 2004, Solar Phys. 223, 77. ADS:2004SoPh..223...77V, doi:10.1007/s11214-009-9560-3.