In-situ measurement of texture development rate in CaIrO₃ post-perovskite
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Abstract

The rate of crystallographic preferred orientation (CPO) development during deformation of post-perovskite is crucial in interpreting seismic anisotropy in the lowermost mantle but the stability field of MgSiO₃ post-perovskite prevents high-strain deformation experiments being performed on it. Therefore, to constrain the rate of CPO development in post-perovskite, we deformed CaIrO₃, a low-pressure analogue of MgSiO₃ post-perovskite, in simple shear at 3.2 GPa and 400 °C to a shear strain (γ) of 0.81. From X-ray diffraction patterns acquired during deformation, we invert for CPO as a function of strain. By comparing the CPO that develops with visco-plastic self-consistent (VPSC) models we constrain the critical resolved shear stresses (CRSS) of the non-primary slip-systems in CaIrO₃ to be of order 6 times stronger than the primary [100]/[010] slip system. This value is significantly less than has been assumed by previous studies and if applicable to MgSiO₃ implies that seismic anisotropy in the D' layer develops slower than has previously been assumed.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Analysis of the origin of the seismic anisotropy observed in the lowermost mantle (D') offers the possibility of furthering our understanding of Earth's planetary scale dynamics (e.g. Panning and Romanowicz, 2004; Merkel et al., 2007). However, this analysis is stymied by a lack of detailed constraints on the formation mechanisms of the anisotropy and its links with convectively driven deformation. Although other mechanisms are possible (Hall et al., 2004; Nowacki et al., 2011), the leading hypothesis is that seismic anisotropy is generated by dislocation accommodated deformation of MgSiO₃ post-perovskite (Oganov and Ono, 2004; Murakami et al., 2004; Wookey et al., 2005a). Because dislocations are constrained to move on particular glide planes, such deformation results in a rotation of the lattice of the individual crystals forming the rocky lowermost mantle and the generation of a non-uniform crystallographic (or lattice) preferred orientation (CPO). This, in turn, imparts elastic anisotropy on the polycrystalline lowermost mantle. The effect of this anisotropy can be detected by measuring shear wave splitting in combinations of S, S.replaceAll(0, 7), SKS or SSKS phases (Lay and Young, 1991; Vinnik et al., 1995; Kendall and Silver, 1996; Wookey et al., 2005b; Rokosky et al., 2006; Wookey and Kendall, 2008; Long, 2009; He and Long, 2011; Lynner and Long, 2012, 2014; Nowacki et al., 2010; Ford et al., 2015), in anisotropic compressional and shear wave tomography (Panning and Romanowicz, 2004, 2006; Kustowski et al., 2008; Boschi and Dziewonski, 2000; Soldati et al., 2003), or as splitting of the Earth's normal modes (Montagner and Kennett, 1996; Beghein et al., 2006; Koelmeijer et al., 2012). Ultimately, we should be able to relate these observations to mantle flow in D', linking seismology to geodynamics.

The link between mantle flow and seismic anisotropy can be made by forward modelling of deformation in polycrystalline aggregates representative of the lowermost mantle. The forward model uses a candidate flow field to provide the macroscopic strain rate, a method to describe the interactions between adjacent deforming grains, and information about how individual grains deform. Examples of such modelling include studies where mantle flow is driven by a two or three-dimensional simulation of convection in the mantle (Wenk et al., 2011; Cottaar et al., 2014), and others where the flow field is derived from the inversion of present-day geophysical observations (Walker et al., 2011; Nowacki et al., 2013). Results are then compared to shear wave splitting (Nowacki et al., 2013; Cottaar et al., 2014) or tomographic data (Walker et al., 2011).

Arguably, the biggest uncertainty in current forward modelling of CPO generation in the D' is the description of post-perovskite
single crystal deformation mechanisms. For dislocation mitigated deformation, this consists of the resolved stress needed to cause motion of a dislocation belonging to each slip system of the crystal. The slip system with the lowest critical resolved shear stress (CRSS, $\tau_0$) tends to control the overall patterns of CPO and seismic anisotropy and is the parameter most easily constrained by experiment. The ratio of CRSS between different slip systems is not as readily constrained but these ratios define the plastic anisotropy and rate of CPO development. All else being equal, a material with higher plastic anisotropy will develop a stronger CPO after less strain than a material with more similar values for the CRSS of each slip system and lower plastic anisotropy. For example, in quartz the texture caused by deformation varies with temperature, and modelling shows that this can be explained by variation in the CRSS ratios and thus the plastic anisotropy (Morales et al., 2014).

As MgSiO$_3$ post-perovskite cannot be recovered to ambient conditions, direct exploration of its properties is restricted to in-situ diamond cell experiments where deformation is limited to low-strain axial compression. Using X-ray diffraction, Miyagi et al. (2010) showed that under these conditions MgSiO$_3$ post-perovskite generates textures consistent with dominant slip on (001). Under similar conditions the MnGeO$_3$ analogue seems to deform by the same mechanism (Hirose et al., 2010). Earlier results from similar experiments undertaken by Merkel et al. (2006, 2007), which suggested slip on (100), are probably better explained as a transformation texture (see Walte et al., 2009; Miyagi et al., 2011; Okada et al., 2010). Lower pressure analogues can be deformed, in large volume deformation apparatus (e.g. the D-DIA, Durham et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003), to significant strain at high pressure and temperature. These analogues can also be recovered and subject to direct analysis. The best known and most studied of the low-pressure MgSiO$_3$ post-perovskite analogues is CaIrO$_3$. Its dominant dislocation slip system has been inferred by electron back-scatter diffraction (EBSD) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis of recovered samples (Yamazaki et al., 2006; Walte et al., 2007; Miyajima and Walte, 2009), and from in-situ X-ray diffraction (Miyagi et al., 2008), to be [100]/[010]. The same slip system was observed to be active during deformation of CaPtO$_3$ (McCormack et al., 2011). These and other analogues (Dobson et al., 2011) can provide critical experimental constraints on the likely viscosity (Hunt et al., 2009; Dobson et al., 2012), thermal conductivity (Hunt et al., 2012), and phase transition mechanism (Dobson et al., 2013), of post-perovskite in the lowermost mantle.

A large number of atomic-scale simulations of the elementary processes that contribute to deformation of post-perovskite complement the experiments. Notably, Ammann et al. (2010) simulated deformation via point-defect diffusion while Carrez et al. (2007a,b) investigated deformation via the glide of dislocations. This motion is simulated via the Peierls–Nabarro model of a dislocation (Peiers, 1940; Nabarro, 1947) with the non-elastic interactions derived from electronic structure calculation of the energy of generalised stacking faults (see Carrez and Cordier, 2010; Walker et al., 2010). Metsue et al. (2009) used the same approach to determine the stress needed to move various dislocations in CaIrO$_3$ and MgGeO$_3$ post-perovskite, compared these with MgSiO$_3$, and used visco-plastic self-consistent (VPSC) modelling to simulate the generation of CPO in simple shear. Their results suggest that the weakest slip system, thus CPO pattern, varies with chemistry with [001][010], [100][001] and [001][010] dominating for MgSiO$_3$, MgGeO$_3$ and CaIrO$_3$, respectively. They predict median CRSS of the secondary slip systems to be ~ 4 times that of the primary one in MgSiO$_3$ and MgGeO$_3$ and in CaIrO$_3$ the ratio of the CRSS for the two weakest slip systems is 1:7.5, although they do not predict the correct primary slip system.

Despite many studies investigating the deformation properties of post-perovskite, its CRSS ratios and plastic anisotropy have not been constrained by experiment. To address this, we have combined in situ measurements of CPO development during simple shear of CaIrO$_3$ post-perovskite with VPSC modelling to determine the CRSS ratio and degree of plastic anisotropy appropriate for simulation of CPO generation in the lowermost mantle.

2. Method

Post-perovskite CaIrO$_3$ was deformed in simple shear using the D-DIA (Durham et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003) on beam-line X17B2 at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory. During deformation X-ray diffraction data were collected, using the 10-element energy dispersive detector described by Weidner et al. (2010), and analysed for the evolution of stress and texture with applied strain. The detector is optimised for the measurement of stress on a sample from elastic deformation of the sample and the resulting change in the energy of the Bragg peaks as a function of the detector position. Measurement of sample texture (i.e. the distribution of orientations of the grains in the sample) using this detector is possible but more challenging as it requires robust measurement of each peak's intensity as a function of detector position which, as described below, is influenced by factors external to the sample. Nevertheless, we have calculated the CPO as a function of strain from the sample using the method described below. The sample was recovered and subject to scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis to better quantify the texture and determine further details of the sample microstructure. To relate the texture development to the properties of CaIrO$_3$ post-perovskite, we undertook VPSC modelling, using a range of values for the different slip systems CRSSs, and compared the models to the X-ray derived textures.

2.1. Experiment details

The CaIrO$_3$ sample was synthesised at 3 GPa and 1350 °C for 20 h from the same batch of CaO and IrO$_2$ used previously by Hunt et al. (2009, 2012). Laboratory X-ray diffraction measurements of the sample showed complete reaction with a small amount (~2 vol.%) of residual IrO$_2$. The excess IrO$_2$ prevents the growth of Ca-rich oxides such as Ca$_2$IrO$_4$ (Lindsay-Scott et al., 2007).

The 1.5 mm diameter presynthesised block was cut into a 0.5 mm thick slice at 45° to the cylinder axis. This was sandwiched between two corundum pistons, which had the ends contacting the sample cut at 45°, in the simple-shear geometry. These were surrounded by a sleeve of MgO, a 2.4 mm diameter, 50 µm thick, inconel furnace, a sleeve of crushable Al$_2$O$_3$ and the pressure medium, which was a 6 mm cube of baked pyrophyllite. A D-type thermocouple ($W_{87} Re_{23}/W_{75} Re_{26}$) was inserted into the assembly such that it butted against, but was insulated from, the furnace. The sample was oriented such that the normal to the 45° sample faces was perpendicular to the X-ray beam. The thermocouple was also perpendicular to the beam. The sample geometry is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The assembly was compressed in the D-DIA to around 3 GPa using 4 sintered diamond and two tungsten carbide anvils, all with 4 mm truncations. The sample was heated to 400 °C and annealed for 20 min before deformation was started.

During deformation the differential pistons were advanced at a rate of ~ 5 µm/min and diffraction patterns were collected continuously with 120 s exposure times. The incident X-ray beam was 100 × 100 µm and the diffracted beams were around 10 µm high (Weidner et al., 2010). Deformation of the sample was observed using X-radiography with images recorded before and after deformation. No images were recorded during deformation. After
70 min, the experiment was quenched, deformation stopped and decompressed. The sample was recovered for SEM analysis.

2.2. Radiographic image analysis: total strain and sample orientation

Radiographic images from before and after deformation were used to determine the total strain imparted to the sample. CaIrO$_3$ is opaque to synchrotron X-rays and therefore the metal foil strain-markers often used in simple shear experiments (e.g. Ohuchi et al., 2010) could not be used as they would be obscured by the sample. However, the edges of the opaque sample are clear in the X-radiographs and these are used to determine the shear strain and orientation of the sample during deformation. Parallelograms were fitted around the edges of the sample, from which the thickness ($l$), acute inter-edge angle ($\eta$), and the orientation, represented by the angle the long edge of the parallelogram makes with the horizontal ($\chi$), was measured (Fig. 2). $\eta$ was measured at both ends of the sample and averaged. The shear strain ($\gamma$) was calculated from:

$$\gamma = \frac{l \tan \eta - l_0 \tan \eta_0}{l},$$

(1)

where $l$ is the mean thickness of the sample and subscripts $b$ and $e$ denote the measurements before and at the end of deformation. Change in the sample thickness during deformation implies that deformation deviates from simple shear and use of the mean thickness is a simple way of removing the effect of sample thickness from the strain analysis. Furthermore, the corundum pistons used in the experiment were too short to prevent rotation of the sample during deformation. The angle that the major axis of the parallelogram makes with the horizontal is thus used to define the orientation of the shear plane during deformation and relevant directions in which to plot textural data.

2.3. X-ray diffraction data and analysis

The 10-elements of the diffraction detector were calibrated for energy using Co-57 radioactive decay energies and for D-spacing and intensity using a corundum standard prior to the experiment. Eight of the elements were used in the current experiment: the detector at $\psi = 292.5^\circ$ was broken whilst semi-automatic peak fitting software used is unable to process the data from the element at $\psi = 90^\circ$.

The diffraction peaks usable in the texture calculation are limited to those with sufficient intensity to be readily identifiable, are present in the vast majority of the diffraction patterns, and do not overlap with Pb or Ir fluorescences. Five CaIrO$_3$ diffraction peaks, listed in Table 1 and highlighted in the example diffraction pattern in Fig. 3, met these criteria. The intensity and d-spacing of the peaks was obtained by fitting the data with a Gaussian–Lorentzian peak on top a linear background, using the Plot85 software package. Fitting the peaks individually, resulted in significant background variation between temporally adjacent patterns leading to large fluctuations in the fitted peak intensities. To minimise this, the peaks were fit in the groups listed in Table 1, and within each group the peaks were constrained to have the same Full Width at Half Maximum. In some patterns it was necessary to include one or two additional peaks corresponding to diffraction peaks from the MgO sleeve or inconel furnace. Despite fitting the peaks in groups, the diffraction data are sufficiently noisy that, in the following analysis, it was necessary to smooth the data with a moving average over three diffraction patterns. Additionally, some of the measured peaks intensities deviated significantly from the other measurements of the same peak in the same detector; these observations were discarded. One whole set of diffraction patterns at $\gamma = 0.694$ were discarded because the majority of the diffraction intensities differed significantly from those measured at other similar strains.

Pressure and differential stress in the sample were calculated from the displacement of the diffraction peaks, using the elastic strain model of Singh et al. (1998). The single crystal elastic constants and thermal expansion used were from Stølen and Trønnes (2007) and Lindsay-Scott et al. (2007) respectively.

The texture in the sample is determined from the variation in the intensity of the diffraction peaks around the Debye–Scherrer rings as observed in each of the detectors. The measured intensities of the peaks are strongly dependant on X-ray wavelength (Table 1) and, without a normalisation of the intensities, the method detailed below gives an apparent texture which is physically meaningless. Consequently, we normalise the intensities prior to computing the texture under the assumption that the crystal orientations are uniform prior to deformation. This process involves

Fig. 1. Illustration of the sample assembly and elements of the 10-element detector. The detectors are positioned at 2\(\theta\) = 6.5° and \(\psi\) = 0, 90, 180, 202.5, 225, 247.5, 270, 292.5, 315 and 337.5° clockwise from the top when observed along the X-ray beam. For clarity the sleeve and end caps which surround the sample are omitted.

Fig. 2. Cartoon of the distances and angles measured in the sample and used to calculate strain. The grey rectangle is the sample and the black arrows show the shear direction.

---

Table 1
Fitted peaks and peak groupings. The intensities of a powder pattern are taken from Martin et al. (2007). The mean measured intensities are the mean of the data from the first three diffraction patterns, from all of the detectors. The reported values are scaled such that the intensity in the (112) peak is the same as that in a powder pattern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak hkl</th>
<th>Intensity in powder pattern (%)</th>
<th>Fitting group and number of extra peaks</th>
<th>Mean intensity in reference patterns (arbitrary units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(112)</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(130)</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(042)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>545.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(132)</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>231.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(004)</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Example diffraction patterns from the detector at $\gamma = 0.289$ as a function of $\psi$. The gap in the data between $\psi = 270$ and $\gamma = 335^\circ$ is because the detector at $292.5^\circ$ was not functioning. The vertical lines denote the zero pressure CaIrO$_3$ diffraction peak positions and relative intensity in a powder pattern. The green highlighted area is the range within which the usable diffraction peaks are located and the five peaks highlighted with crosses are those used in the analysis (Table 1). The peaks at 1/D = 0.13 and 1.14 Å$^{-1}$ are the Co-57 gamma ray peaks used to calibrate the detector elements.

Fig. 4. Normalised intensities ($I'$) of the (004) peak plotted against strain for each detector. The dots are the data and the lines the moving average from which the ODFs are calculated.

As a consequence of the limited number of usable diffraction peaks, our data is relatively insensitive to the (100) crystallographic direction. It only provides limited coverage of (20), $\psi$ space, plotting as 8 discreet points at $[180 - 2(0)/2, \psi]$ in a pole figure. We therefore inverted the peak intensities ($I'$) for an orientation distribution function (ODF) using the method described by Hielscher and Schaeben (2008) and implemented in version 4.0.20 of the MTEX toolbox (Bachmann et al., 2010). The inversion made use of a ‘de la Vallee-Poussin’ kernel with a 13$\circ$ half-width. The ODF, $f(g)$:

$$\frac{\Delta V(g)}{V} = f(g) dg$$

is a continuous function describing the volume fraction of crystals, $\Delta V(g)$, with Euler angles $g(\phi_2, \Phi, \phi_1)$. Where dg is an infinitesimal volume element, and V is the total volume of the sample (see Mainprice et al., 2014). For a uniform distribution of crystal orientations, $f(g) = 1$. Along with the crystal structure and structure factors, an orientation distribution function can be used to predict the intensity of the diffraction peak arising from a particular lattice plane for each detector position. To track the development of CPO with strain in the experiment we plot inverse pole figures for orientations parallel to the shear direction [$\gamma$, 90] and normal to the shear plane [$\chi$ + 90, 90] from the calculated ODFs.

2.4. SEM analysis

The recovered sample was mounted and polished to enable SEM analysis. The final polish was a chemical polish using 0.03 μm colloidal silica in an alkaline solution. The sample was then coated with a thin carbon layer and analysed using electron back-scattered diffraction (EBSD) in the X500 CrystalProbe field emission gun (FEG) SEM at the University of Liverpool. Electron back-scatter diffraction patterns (EBSPs) were obtained over a 115 × 95 μm area with 0.5 μm grid spacing. The accelerating voltage was 20 kV, the beam current 35 nA and the working distance 25 mm. EBSPs were automatically indexed using the Oxford Instrument’s HKL CHANNEL S software package and the single crystal structure of Martin et al. (2007).

Measured crystal orientations from the EBSD were used to create an ODF representing the final texture in the sample. Each grid point where an EBSD was successfully indexed was assigned an equal volume weighting and these orientations were used to fit an ODF using MTEX. This ODF was then used for comparison with the X-ray derived texture. Larger grains in the EBSD, therefore, have more weighting in the ODF, similar to the X-ray data in which...
larger grains will contribute more to the diffraction pattern than smaller grains.

2.5. VPSC modelling

The relative activity of the less active slip systems during deformation of CaIrO₃ was investigated using VPSC modelling. We ran a number of models with a range of CRSS values generated by modification to the models used by Metsue et al. (2009) and Miyagi et al. (2008) and compared the output of these models to the X-ray derived texture data. In our calculations, and in common with the majority of previous work on post-perovskite, we make use of the Tangent linearisation scheme (Lebensohn and Tomé, 1993) as implemented in version 7c of the Los Alamos VPSC code (Molinari et al., 1987; Lebensohn and Tomé, 1993; Lebensohn et al., 2007). The strain rate accommodated by each slip system, s, is assumed to follow a power law:

\[ \dot{\gamma}^s \propto \left(\frac{\tau^s}{\tau_c^s}\right)^n, \tag{4} \]

where the strain rate, \(\dot{\gamma}^s\), is determined by the ratio of the shear stress resolved onto the slip plan acting in the direction of the Burgers vector, \(\tau^s\) to some slip system dependent critical resolved shear stress, \(\tau_c^s\), which is a parameter of the calculation. For the majority of our models we assume constant value for the power law exponent \(n = 3\), but to test the effect of the stress exponent ran a complementary series of models with \(n = 2\) and \(n = 5\).

The calculations were started with a sample of 2000 grains drawn randomly from a uniform orientation distribution. This model polycrystal is then subject to 50 equal strain increments in simple shear leading to an overall shear strain of 0.81 at the end of the calculation with the orientation of each grain stored at the end of each strain increment. In order to compare the calculations with the experimental results derived from the X-ray data we model the effect of the detector geometry using the same method as that used for the EBSD data, detailed below.

2.6. Comparison and analysis of ODFs

Before we can compare the texture derived from X-ray diffraction, EBSD and VPSC modelling we need to account for the limitations imposed by the X-ray diffraction system and the lack of observations of diffraction from planes nearly parallel to (100). To do this, we fit ODFs to the EBSD measurements and the VPSC simulations, from which the intensity of the diffraction peaks, present in the X-ray data (Table 1), are estimated at the position of the active detectors. These intensities are then re-inverted using the same approach as that used for the X-ray data, allowing the different data sets to be compared on an equal footing. In particular, this approach of simulating the X-ray diffraction experiment allows the strength of the texture to be compared.

Alongside the maximum and minimum values of the inverse pole figures, we use the Texture Index as a simple measure of texture strength. It is calculated directly from the ODF:

\[ J_{\text{ODF}} = \int f(g)^2 \, dg. \tag{5} \]

The absolute value of \(J\) increases as \(f(g)\) becomes less uniform and the texture increases in “strength”, indeed \(J = 1\) for a uniform distribution and infinity if the ODF contains a single crystal orientation. Integrating \(f(g)^2\) means that the value of \(J_{\text{ODF}}\) is dominated by orientations where \(f(g)\) is large.

3. Results

Analysis of the X-radiographs from the start and end of the experiment shown in Fig. 5 using Eq. (1) gives \(\gamma = 0.90\) for the left hand end of the sample and \(\gamma = 0.72\) at the right hand end. The mean total strain in the sample is 0.81 ± 0.13 and the mean shear strain-rate was \(2.33 \pm 0.37 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}\). The long axis of the sample during deformation rotated from \(\chi = 312^\circ\) to \(298^\circ\). The pressure during the experiment was 3.2 GPa, the temperature constant at 400 °C and the differential stresses increased with strain from around 0.5–1.4 GPa. In the following analysis the rotation- and shear strain-rate of the sample are both assumed to be constant during deformation.

Inverse pole figures from the EBSD analysis of the recovered sample (Fig. 6(a)) show that poles to the (010) plane preferentially align normal to the shear plane while the (100) direction aligns in the shear direction. This is the same deformation texture that has been observed previously for CaIrO₃ deformed in simple shear and suggests deformation is permitted by the motion of dislocations with [100] Burgers vectors gliding on (010) (e.g. Walte et al., 2007; Yamazaki et al., 2006). The strength of the texture measured here is very similar to that reported by Walte et al. (2007) in their simple shear experiment to the same total strain.

Fig. 5. Radiographic images from before (left) and after (right) the sample was deformed. The sample is the large dark parallelepiped. The relatively light vertical stripe is the gap between the sintered diamond anvils.
The temperature in their experiment was 1000 °C rather than the 400 °C in our experiment. Suggesting that temperature does not have a significant effect on texture development in CaIrO₃. In addition to this textural information, the SEM analysis allows us to measure the grain size and shape. We find that the modal grain-size of the sample is between 2 and 5 μm and that the shapes of the grains are close to equant (Fig. 7). Grains with an identifiable long axis do not show a strong shape preferred orientation.

Modelling the effect of the detector and the 5 diffraction peaks on the ODF gives the modelled textures shown in Fig. 6(b). The location of the maximum normal to the shear plane is not altered by the combination of detector geometry and peak selection, although the maxima is reduced in magnitude. However, the shear direction maximum becomes broader and its location moves to be close to the (1 0 2) direction, again with a reduced magnitude. The change in texture is a consequence of the particular set of diffraction peaks used and the position of the detector elements. Specifically, the peaks present in the X-ray data are at a high angle to (1 0 0), so crystals oriented with this plane in the diffracting condition are not as well represented in the recovered texture. The reduction in the range of intensities in the modelled EBSD inverse pole figures is a consequence of both the number of peaks in the X-ray data and the sparsity of coverage in the pole figures. Indeed, modelling the EBSD data using the first 25 strong (> 10%) peaks of the CaIrO₃ diffraction pattern recovers the same texture as is observed in the raw EBSD but with a reduced intensity range.

The texture as a function of strain recovered from analysis of the X-ray data is shown as inverse pole figures with a common intensity scale in Fig. 8. A non-uniform texture develops and strengthens with increasing strain and, for the most part, this is represented by the development of intensity of the (0 1 0) component normal to the shear plane. This observation is consistent with the glide plane observed in previous studies of deformation of CaIrO₃ (e.g. Walte et al., 2007; Yamazaki et al., 2006). However, the maximum intensity in the shear direction is close to (102). The texture is consistent with that of the modelled EBSD data’s apparent texture (Fig. 6(b)) and strongly suggests that this texture is an anomaly introduced by the detector geometry and peak selection. The variability in maxima locations is caused by short term variations in the X-ray peak intensity as the population of grains in the diffraction condition changes (e.g. Fig. 4).

The increase in maximum and decrease in the minimum value in the pole figures as a function of strain is summarised by Fig. 9. The data are consistent with a strengthening texture in the sample. In the shear direction (Fig. 9(b)), the range of values extracted from X-ray data at the end of the experiment are marginally greater than those found from the EBSD analysis. Normal to the shear plane (Fig. 9(a)), though the maximum values in the X-ray data are less than those of the modelled EBSD.

An alternative approach to evaluating the evolution of the strength of the texture with increasing strain is to evaluate the texture index of the underlying ODF as shown in Fig. 10. The development of texture is clear from the increase in texture index with strain. As the texture index is an integral over the whole of the ODF (Eq. (5)) and the indices do not relate to the location of the maxima and minima of the ODF but only to its strength, it is a useful tool in comparing the rate of texture development from the analysis of X-ray data, the EBSD measurements, and the VPSC modelling. As shown in Fig. 10, analysis of the X-ray data predicts a stronger texture index than is indicated by our modelling effect of the detector geometry and peak selection given the EBSD results. However, Monte-Carlo modelling indicates that even in the absence of any other sources of error, the X-ray data is expected to overestimate the texture index merely from the statistical errors in the X-ray peak intensities. Errors in the X-ray data adds noise to the ODF and this inevitably increases the texture index.

There are a number of other sources of error that may explain the discrepancies between the X-ray and the modelled EBSD data. The comparison of the EBSD and X-ray data sets assumes that there was no texture in the sample before deformation, a hypothesis that is not directly testable. It also assumes that the EBSD measured CPO is the true texture in the sample. EBSD and X-ray diffraction probe different sections of the sample and, at least, in steel more than 10⁷ grains need to be indexed (not 10⁴ orientation measurements) to properly match the textures measured by the two methods (Wright et al., 2007). As already discussed the noise in the diffraction patterns required that a moving average was used when

---

**Fig. 6.** Inverse pole figures of EBSD data. (a) The measured (raw) data and (b) the inverse pole figures after simulating the effect of the 10-element detector. Left inverse pole figure shows poles normal to the shear plane and right is in the shear direction. The scale is multiples of a random distribution. Note the difference in scale between the two figures.

**Fig. 7.** Back-scatter electron image of deformed sample. The shear direction is shown by the overlaying arrows.
calculating the CPO. However, the principle sources of error in the experiment are the measured intensities in the diffraction patterns. In particular, the anvil gap increases during deformation and the sample rotates (Fig. 5). This behaviour changes the path length of the diffracted X-ray beam through the sample and anvils for each detector during the experiment and hence alters the relative sizes of the measured diffraction peaks. This leads to variation in the background above which the peaks are fitted and requires that the peak shape and intensity during deformation must be allowed to alter alongside the relative weighting of the background in the peak fitting routine. It is notable that most of this change takes place in the detectors at 202.5° and 337.5° (Fig. 4) and that the detectors at 0° and 180° maintain a view through the anvil gap for the whole experiment. The change in the experimental

Fig. 8. Inverse pole figures with strain during our experiment. Left inverse pole figure shows poles normal to the shear plane and right is in the shear direction. All the pole figures are plotted on the same colour scale as $y$. 

(a) $\gamma = 0.058$  (b) $\gamma = 0.087$  (c) $\gamma = 0.116$  (d) $\gamma = 0.145$

(e) $\gamma = 0.174$  (f) $\gamma = 0.203$  (g) $\gamma = 0.231$  (h) $\gamma = 0.260$

(i) $\gamma = 0.289$  (j) $\gamma = 0.318$  (k) $\gamma = 0.347$  (l) $\gamma = 0.376$

(m) $\gamma = 0.405$  (n) $\gamma = 0.434$  (o) $\gamma = 0.463$  (p) $\gamma = 0.492$

(q) $\gamma = 0.521$  (r) $\gamma = 0.550$  (s) $\gamma = 0.579$  (t) $\gamma = 0.608$

(u) $\gamma = 0.636$  (v) $\gamma = 0.675$  (w) $\gamma = 0.714$  (x) $\gamma = 0.752$

(y) $\gamma = 0.781$
geometry during deformation leads to variations in the measured intensity of the X-ray peaks with strain and hence to artefacts in the calculated CPO. Correcting for this phenomenon would require diffraction from an undeforming reference material alongside diffraction from the sample. We did not collect diffraction from the undeforming corundum pistons and so cannot make such a correction. In developing the analysis method, a number of additional steps and alternative approaches were tried to determine if they mitigated these sources of error and improved the correspondence between the EBSD and the X-ray data. In addition to alternative approaches to the intensity normalisation, which are described above (Section 2.3), we tested five other possible procedures: (a) interpolation of data between detector points (e.g. Bollinger et al., 2012); (b) replicating and rotating the data 180° (using the symmetry of the deformation experiment); (c) smoothing the ODF; (d) subtracting a reference ODF from each ODF in the series to force the ODF at zero strain to be uniform; and (e) using additional, less well constrained, diffraction peaks in the analysis. These tests were not found to be beneficial to the analysis and so were not utilised.

3.1. VPSC models

The measurement of texture as a function of strain in this study provides a useful data set with which to explore the performance of models of the deformation of CaIrO$_3$ post-perovskite. One key aspect of these is the relative CRSS (or $\tau_0$) of the less mobile slip systems. We have run a series of VPSC models based on two models from the literature. The first is based on Peierls–Nabarro modelling (Metsue et al., 2009), where the CRSS was assumed to be proportional to the Peierls stress for each slip system. The second is an empirical model used by Miyagi et al. (2008) to determine the active slip systems in a sample deformed in axial compression. In each of our models the values of the CRSS for slip systems other than the weakest one were scaled in order to explore how changing the plastic anisotropy altered the rate of texture development and in two models the stress exponent was also changed. Scale factors were between 0.5 (increasing the plastic anisotropy) and 12 (making the model less anisotropic) and stress exponents between $n = 2$ and 5. The CRSS values for all models are listed in Table 2. VPSC models were run to simulate the development of texture in simple shear (to $\gamma = 0.81$). The inverse pole figures as a function of strain for each of the models are shown in the Supplementary Information Figures. To compare the VPSC models with the X-ray data, simulated X-ray peak intensities at the detector positions were calculated from ODFs fitted to the VPSC data.

The VPSC models based on the results of Metsue et al. (2009), (Met-N, Fig. 11(a), Supplementary Information Fig. S1) are dominated by slip on the (001) plane and all give a qualitatively different texture to that observed in the EBSD data (Table 2). Modelling the effect of the X-ray diffraction geometry (Fig. 11(b)) did not alter the texture to match that recovered from the X-ray data. Changing the strength ($\tau_0$) of the secondary slip systems affected the rate of CPO development but did not significantly change the character of the texture. On the other hand, models derived from the empirical parametrisation of Miyagi et al. (2008), (Mi-N, Fig. 11(c) and (e) and Supplementary Information Fig. S2) give a CPO that is similar to the EBSD result, with the maximum normal to the shear plane close to the pole to (010) and (100) in the shear direction. In the lower N models ($N \leq 13$), the maximum in the inverse pole figure normal to the glide plane has a tight distribution around the (010) direction, while in the higher N models the maxima moves towards (100) as the secondary slip systems strengthen. Increasing the stress exponent, $n$, at constant CRSS values (Mi-N-n models: Table 2 and Supplementary Information Fig. S3) has a similar effect to increasing the strength of the secondary slip systems. It results in stronger textures and a change in position of the maxima in the
Table 2
CRSS values of the VPSC models and their properties at $\gamma = 0.81$. The models Met-N are based on the CRSS values of Metsue et al. (2009) and the Mi-N models are based on Miyagi et al. (2008). The Mi-N-n models have the stress exponent changed. The number N in each model median secondary slip system CRSS in the model; the Met-31 and Mi-50 models are the same as those used in the previous studies. The properties of the VPSC models are for the output of VPSC model without applying the effect of the 10-element detector. The same values from the EBSD data are shown for comparison. The CPO directions reported are the hkl closest to the actual position of the maximum in the inverse pole figure with single digit ordinals (i.e. (971) is possible but (10, 11, 13) is not). The greatest directional error is therefore for directions with 9 as one of the hkl values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VPSC Model</th>
<th>Met-62</th>
<th>Met-31</th>
<th>Met-16</th>
<th>Mi-50</th>
<th>Mi-25</th>
<th>Mi-13</th>
<th>Mi-6</th>
<th>Mi-4</th>
<th>Mi-13-n2</th>
<th>Mi-13-n5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale factor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress exponent ($n$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slip system</td>
<td>CRSS values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="010">100</a></td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="011">100</a></td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="010">001</a></td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="011">001</a></td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="100">001</a></td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="001">010</a></td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="0001">010</a></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="0001">110</a></td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="100">110</a></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="010">101</a></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="111">101</a></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.167</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Properties at $\gamma = 0.81$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPO</th>
<th><a href="010">001</a></th>
<th><a href="011">001</a></th>
<th><a href="100">001</a></th>
<th><a href="101">001</a></th>
<th><a href="111">001</a></th>
<th><a href="001">100</a></th>
<th><a href="010">100</a></th>
<th><a href="011">100</a></th>
<th><a href="100">100</a></th>
<th><a href="101">100</a></th>
<th><a href="110">100</a></th>
<th><a href="111">100</a></th>
<th><a href="001">98</a></th>
<th><a href="001">190</a></th>
<th>EBSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal to shear plane Max</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear direction Max</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture index</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-anisotropy (ln(ε)%)</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inverse pole figures. Modelling the effect of the 10-element detector on the Mi-N VPSC textures has a similar effect to that on the EBSD data, namely moving the apparent glide direction from (100) to around (102) (Fig. 11(d) and (f)). We emphasise here that the X-ray diffraction geometry only imparts an apparent texture to some CPOs. For example, both the modelled and unmodelled Met-31 have almost the same texture (Fig. 11) and only minor changes in intensities of the inverse pole figures.

In all the Mi-N models, the range of intensities present in the modelled inverse pole figures at $\gamma = 0.81$ were less than the values in the X-ray data (Fig. 9) but are comparable with the modelled EBSD intensities. The VPSC models develop an initial CPO aligned $\sim 30^\circ$ from the shear direction, which rotates into the ideal geometry above $\gamma \sim 0.2$. The rate of rotation is inversely proportional to the strength of the secondary CRSSs and none of the VPSC models reach the ideal geometry by $\gamma = 0.81$.

A constraint of the relative strength (or CRSS) of the secondary slip systems is provided by comparing the texture development (the maximum, minimum and range of intensities in the pole figures and the texture index) in the X-ray data and the VPSC models. For the experiment here, the best matches for the inverse pole figure intensity and texture index between VPSC models and the EBSD analysis, at $\gamma = 0.81$, is for the Mi-25, Mi-13 and Mi-6 models, with Mi-13 being marginally superior. The models all compare well in some regards and poorly in others, which highlights the deficiencies of using a single value for the activities of the secondary slip systems and the limitations of the VPSC model. The limitations of the VPSC model are seen in the functional form of the texture index values; below $\gamma \sim 0.4$ they are approximately parabolic and thereafter the values increase more or less linearly. This is in contrast to the Texture Index values from the X-ray data which increase in an approximately linear manner for all $\gamma$. Decreasing the difference between the CRSSs or reducing the stress exponent in the VPSC models reduces the rate at which the texture index develops (Fig. 10). The VPSC model that best matches the rate of texture index increase in the X-ray data as well as the EBSD data is Mi-4 which has secondary CRSS values 4.17 times that of the primary [100](010) slip system. The secondary slip systems in CaIrO$_3$ are therefore between 12.5 (model Mi-13) and 4.17 (Mi-4) times stronger than the primary slip system. Compromising between the two models, the best value for the secondary slip-systems is $\sim 6.25$ times stronger (model: Mi-6) than the primary [100](010) slip system.

4. Discussion

Our experiments and VPSC modelling reinforces the conclusion that dislocations belonging to the [100](010) slip system are dominant in the deformation of CaIrO$_3$ post-perovskite. This slip system is consistent with that observed in previous studies simple shear studies of CaIrO$_3$ (Walte et al., 2007; Yamazaki et al., 2006), which were preformed at higher temperatures and lower strain-rates. The strength of the EBSD measured texture in our experiment is similar to that measured by Walte et al. (2007) in an experiment to similar total strain and at 1000 °C. This is significantly hotter than our experiment and leads to the conclusion that the differential
thermal activation of slip systems in CaIrO$_3$ is not important between 400 °C and 1000 °C.

We have used X-ray diffraction to measure the rate of texture development with applied strain during simple shear deformation. When combined with VPSC modelling, the data allows us to constrain the relative CRSS of the less active slip systems in CaIrO$_3$ post-perovskite, which have varied wildly in previous studies. The VPSC models that best match to our experimental results have a CRSS for the less active slip systems that is between 4 and 13 times larger than the value used for the most active [100][010] slip system, with a preferred value of 6. The experiment was performed at low temperature (400 °C) which is expected to enhance dislocation mediated deformation and suppress deformation mechanisms involving the migration of point defects, even for the small grain sizes exhibited by our samples. Thus our experiment places a likely upper bound on the rate of development of seismic anisotropy with strain.

The pattern of CRSS values predicted for CaIrO$_3$ by Metsue et al. (2009) does not produce the same texture as that inferred from our experiments. Ultimately, the qualitative difference in texture is because either: the CRSS for [001][010] predicted by the Peierls–Nabarro approach is too low, or the CRSS for [100][010] is too high, relative to the other slip systems. We cannot, at present, say which option is more likely but possible causes are variations in the temperature dependence of the CRSS of the different slip systems (caused by differences in kink mobility) or the existence of non-planar dislocation cores for some slip systems. Although these possibilities cannot be examined using the approach adopted by Metsue et al. (2009) more recent modifications to the overall approach (e.g. Cordier et al., 2012; Metsue et al., 2010) which have been applied to other mantle minerals, may offer a way forward. It is interesting to note though, that our preferred secondary CRSS value is much closer to the mean value of the predicted non-primary slip system CRSS in MgSiO$_3$ and MgGeO$_3$ (4.96 and 5.19 respectively), than the value of 50 used in previous modelling by Miyagi et al. (2008). Our experiments on CaIrO$_3$ should be useful for verification of any future modelling of post-perovskite structured minerals, prior tomaking predictions relevant to MgSiO$_3$ in the lowermost mantle.

Our results imply that the plastic anisotropy of post-perovskite is more similar to olivine than, say, ice and if MgSiO$_3$ behaves like CaIrO$_3$, this result has important implications for models of texture development in the lowermost mantle. For example, the models produced by Walker et al. (2011) all exhibit significantly larger radial anisotropy than that inferred from tomographic inversion (Panning and Romanowicz, 2004, 2006; Kustowski et al., 2008) and at least some of this discrepancy may be due to the use of unreasonably large differences in the values for the CRSS of the different slip systems.

To provide a semi-quantitative comparison between our results and observation of seismic anisotropy in the lowermost mantle we have calculated the elastic anisotropy of a polycrystalline aggregate of MgSiO$_3$ post-perovskite with the same texture as that inferred by our diffraction and EBSD data. We use MSAT (Walker and Wookey, 2012) and the single crystal elasticity of MgSiO$_3$ post-perovskite at 2800 K and 127 GPa from Wookey et al. (2005a), to calculate the Voigt and Reuss bounds on the elasticity of a sample of $1 \times 10^6$ grains drawn from the calculated orientation distribution of CaIrO$_3$. This number of grains was found to be sufficient for there to be less than 0.25% variation between independent calculations of the anisotropy. In order to make a direct comparison with results from anisotropic tomography and the analysis of Earth’s normal modes, we then impose vertical transverse isotropy (VTI) on the sample by taking an average of many replicas of the sample rotated around the normal to the shear plane, which we assume is parallel to the core mantle boundary. We then calculate the phase velocities of vertically ($V_{PH}$) and horizontally ($V_{PV}$) polarised shear waves for a horizontally propagating ray and the compressional wave velocity for vertically ($V_{SV}$) and horizontally ($V_{SH}$) propagating waves. These values allow us to compute $\xi = V_{PH}^2/V_{PH}^2$ and $\phi = V_{PV}/V_{SH}^2$, two commonly reported parameters describing lowermost mantle anisotropy. For the X-ray textures these values are an apparent anisotropy because they include the effects of the 10-element X-ray diffraction detector. To remove this effect we used the fact that the relationship between the seismic anisotropies calculated from the VPSC directly and the modelled VPSC is approximately linear.

By assuming the relationship is true for the X-ray data, we scale the seismic anisotropies from the X-ray to those of the EBSD using the values calculated from the modelled EBSD data. Thus enabling a direct comparison between our experimental and global seismic anisotropy data (Fig. 12). The EBSD values for ln(\xi) correspond closest to the model Mi-13 at $\gamma = 0.81$. All the Mi-N models underestimate ln(\phi) compared to the EBSD data but the Met-N models all have the wrong sign for ln(\phi) (Table 2). The X-ray data has a greater magnitude of anisotropy than the EBSD value because, as with the texture index, noise in the intensity of the X-ray peaks tends to increase the magnitude of the calculated seismic anisotropies. The rate of increase in ln(\xi) in the X-ray data is best matched by the model Mi-13 and in ln(\phi) by the model Mi-6. These rates are
consistent with the earlier conclusions that this is the best of the VPSC models investigated here.

In the lowermost mantle, \( d \ln(\zeta) \) is 2–6% in regions where tomography predicts above average S-wave velocities and is \( \approx 2\% \) to \( \approx 4\% \) in and around the LLSVPs where velocities are low. The anisotropy calculated from the EBSD data has \( d \ln(\zeta) = 2.61\% \) (Table 2), which is comparable to the values estimated for the regions in \( D' \) with high S-wave velocities. As these seismically fast areas are interpreted as being cold, they will correspond to the regions where post-perovskite will be most abundant. We focus our discussion on these cold regions as multiple mechanisms have been proposed for the generation of anisotropy in the LLSVPs which are not related to simple shear of post-perovskite with a shear plane parallel to the core-mantle boundary (e.g. Dobson et al., 2011; Ford et al., 2015). The seismic anisotropy measured in our experiment with \( \gamma < 1 \) matches the largest values measured in the lowermost mantle where the strain is expected to be much larger. This indicates that other processes (such as strain localisation into MgO or diffusion accommodated deformation) are active in the lowermost mantle which reduce the rate of CPO development and/or MgSiO₃ post perovskite has less plastic anisotropy than CaIrO₃.

Use of inaccurate values for the CRSS used for the various slip systems of post-perovskite is not the only reason why forward models of the generation of seismic anisotropy fail to match, and are often stronger than the anisotropy recovered from seismic observation. A range of other issues make direct comparison between observation and models difficult, and it is notable that many of these will tend to either increase the strength of the predicted anisotropy or decrease the strength of the anisotropy inferred by observation. On the observational side there is the obvious challenge that strategies for tomographic inversion are designed to produce models that are smooth, and this means that the tomographic model is likely to exhibit lower anisotropy than is really present in the Earth (because roughness that is not supported by the data is suppressed). For models where a parameterisation based on VTI anisotropy is used, this smoothing could be reinforced by averaging observations for rays with different azimuths. A further challenge exists if the anisotropy varies on relatively short length scales. Numerical experiments using finite frequency wave propagation methods show that this tends to result in weaker anisotropy than would be predicted from ray theory given the same anisotropic model of the Earth (Nowacki and Lebensohn for making their VPSC code available to us. Use of the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. Use of the X17B2 beamline was supported by COMPRES, the Consortium for Materials Properties Research in Earth Sciences under NSF Cooperative Agreement EAR 10-43050 and by the Mineral Physics Institute, Stony Brook University. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for useful comments which have improved the manuscript.
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