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ABSTRACT

The primary role of osteoblasts is to lay down new bone during skeletal development and remodelling. Throughout this process osteoblasts directly interact with other cell types within bone, including osteocytes and haematopoietic stem cells. Osteoblastic cells also signal indirectly to bone-resorbing osteoclasts via the secretion of RANKL. Through these mechanisms, cells of the osteoblast lineage help maintain the homeostatic balance between bone formation and bone resorption. When tumour cells disseminate in the bone microenvironment, they hijack these mechanisms, homing to osteoblasts and disrupting bone homeostasis. This review describes the role of osteoblasts in normal bone physiology, as well as interactions between tumour cells and osteoblasts during the processes of tumour cell homing to bone, colonisation of this metastatic site and development of overt bone metastases.
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1. The osteoblast in normal bone physiology

Under normal physiological conditions osteoblasts are responsible for the formation of new bone in the developing skeleton and during the process of bone remodelling. Osteoblasts arise from the differentiation of mesenchymal cells committed to osteoprogenitors in the periosteum, via a process that requires sequential action of the transcription factors Runx2 and osterix [1]. These cells form closely packed sheets on the surface of bone from which they extend cellular processes through the developing bone. In order to successfully lay down new bone osteoblasts produce a range of molecules, including enzymes, growth factors and hormones such as, alkaline phosphatase, collagenase, TGF-β, IGFs, osteocalcin and type 1 collagen [1]. After the process of bone formation, matrix synthesising osteoblasts have three potential fates: Some osteoblasts become flattened and remain as quiescent lining cells at the bone surface and some die by apoptosis. However, with the deposition of new bone, the majority of osteoblasts gradually become surrounded by the bone matrix and as the matrix calcifies the cells (along with their associated cell products) gets trapped inside the resulting lacunae. At this point cells of the osteoblast lineage further differentiate into osteocytes [2,3]. Osteocytes communicate with each other as well as with osteoblasts, via extensive cytoplasmic processes that occupy canaliculi within the bone matrix. Both bone lining cells and osteocytes have been identified as important sources of RANKL [4]. Thus, interactions between RANKL from osteoblasts/osteocytes and RANK on osteoclasts directly affect osteoclastogenesis, regulating osteoclastic bone resorption and the release of growth factors from the bone matrix.

2. Tumour cell homing and colonisation of bone

Bone is the third most common site for tumour cells to spread and bone metastasis affects more than 600,000 people every year in the USA alone [5]. The site at which secondary tumours form is not random; for metastases to develop tumour cells must arrive in an environment that is permissive for their colonisation and subsequent growth. In the case of bone metastasis it is hypothesised that tumour cells home to specific niches: The endosteal niche (which is primarily made up of osteoblasts), the haematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche and the vascular niche (reviewed by Maggague and Obenauf, 2016 and Weilbaecher, et al. 2011 [6–7]). Evidence from in vivo models suggest that all of these niches play a role in tumour cell metastasis to bone and that interplay between these niches determines whether tumour cells proliferate to overt metastases or remain dormant.

2.1. The endosteal niche

In mouse models of breast and prostate cancer tumour cells have been shown to home to areas in bone that have high numbers of osteoblasts and in breast cancer models chemical alteration of the endosteal niche with zoledronic acid results in re-location of tumour cells to new osteoblast rich areas of bone [8–9]. The CXCRI4/CXCL12 interaction is thought to be a key component in the homing and adhesion of tumour cells to the metastatic niche in
bone. Osteoblasts express the chemokine CXCL12 whereas the majority of metastatic breast and prostate cancer cells express the corresponding receptor CXCR4. Once tumour cells have colonised the endosteal niche evidence suggests that osteoblasts maintain these tumour cells in a quiescent state, through the interactions of CXCR4/CXCL12, using similar mechanisms to those used by osteoblasts for maintaining quiescence of HSCs [10].

2.2. The HSC niche

The HSC niche is also rich in CXCL12 and thus attracts CXCR4 positive tumour cells in the same way as the endosteal niche. Convincing data from models of prostate cancer show when tumour cells home to the HSC niche they compete with HSCs for colonisation of this site [10–11]. Subsequent proliferation of tumour cells out of the niche, enabling onset of overt metastases, is thought to be facilitated by the mobilisation and proliferation of HSCs and this process is likely to be supported by the vascular niche [10–11].

2.3. The vascular niche

The close proximity of the vascular niche to the endosteal and HSC niches in bone make it very difficult to study these niches independently in bone metastasis. Therefore, evidence that the vascular niche plays a significant role in metastasis primarily come from models of brain metastasis: Observations made from breast, lung and multiple myeloma cells that have metastasised to brain demonstrate that following extravasation, within the metastatic organ, cancer cells remain closely associated with the capillaries [12–13]. These invading tumour cells spread along the basal lamina that surrounds the capillaries and proliferate, eventually, engulfing and remodelling the capillary network [13]. Taken together, these data suggest that within bone, the endosteal niche is responsible for maintaining tumour cells in a quiescent state, whereas the HSC and vascular niches stimulate proliferation to overt metastases. It is therefore likely that bone turnover and bone cell activity are important regulators in stimulating bone metastases from tumour cells seeded in this environment; a phenomenon that has long been observed in animal models [14–15].

3. Progression to overt metastases

When established in bone, cancer cells influence bone cells in two predominant ways. Most often cancer cells stimulate the osteoclast lineage to increase osteoclast differentiation and activity whilst simultaneously inhibiting osteoblasts [2]. When this happens, osteoclastic bone resorption exceeds osteoblastic bone formation resulting in bone degradation and the formation of osteolytic lesions (common in breast, lung and multiple myeloma). In some cases, instead of inhibiting osteoblasts, cancer cells release substances to stimulate the osteoblast lineage to increase osteoblast differentiation and new bone deposition. When osteoblastic bone formation exceeds osteoclastic bone resorption increased bone growth results in ‘bulges’ in the mineralised tissue where tumour cells reside causing osteoblastic lesions. Because osteoblastic bone metastases is characterised by increases in both bone resorption and bone formation lesions consist of weakened bone with abnormal architecture and patients with this condition are at increased risk of fracture (common in prostate) [16]. Although more patients present with osteolytic lesions than osteoblastic the distinction between the two-types is not absolute and many patients with bone metastasis have both osteolytic and osteoblastic lesions [17]. Mechanistically, osteoclasts and osteoblasts play significant roles in the formation of both lesion types, however, this article primarily focuses on osteoblasts. Evidence is emerging for a direct role of osteoblasts on tumour growth in bone. In a mouse model of breast cancer metastasis to bone, increasing the osteoblastic niche with PTH before intra-cardiac injection of human breast cancer cells caused an increase in numbers of overt bone metastases without altering tumour cell dissemination in bone [18]. Pro-tumourigenic effects of PTH have also been observed in rats treated with high doses of PTH [2]. However, in mouse models of multiple myeloma, daily injection with PTH suppressed tumour growth whilst increasing bone formation [19]. The effects of PTH on tumour growth in bone, however, may not be solely due to changes in osteoblasts. PTH treatment has profound effects upon the bone microenvironment that go beyond increased osteoblast numbers and activity. In all of the above studies PTH affected osteoclasts and it is likely that the gross morphological changes that occur in bone, following this treatment, are accompanied by alterations to the vascular niche, although this hypothesis remains to be explored. It has been suggested that osteoblasts and multiple myeloma cells have inhibitory effects upon each other and in their review Suvarnasankha and Chirgwin hypothesise that these inhibitory effects may also occur between breast cancer cells and osteoblasts [2]. The effects of osteoblasts on tumour growth and progression to metastasis, therefore, warrants further investigation.

3.1. Osteoblasts in osteolytic bone metastases

Tumour cells within the bone marrow can alter the function of both osteoclasts and osteoblasts. In osteolytic bone metastases tumour cells secrete factors that stimulate osteoclast activity through the activation of the receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand (RANKL)/RANK pathway, which is a primary mediator of osteoclast mediated bone resorption [17]. Additionally tumour cells secrete factors that inhibit osteoblast differentiation and activity including activin A, dickkopf-1 (DKK-1), sclerostin and noggin [17]. Thus leading to an imbalance between bone resorption and bone formation resulting in increased bone destruction. Increased bone resorption leads to a vicious cycle between tumour cells and bone cells in which growth factors such as TGFβ and IGF that were previously deposited in bone by osteoblasts are released from resorption pits, stimulating tumour cell proliferation. The tumour cells, in turn, produce more growth factors that stimulate bone turnover [2]. TGFβ plays a central role in this process; release of this molecule from bone directly stimulates tumour cells to secrete PTHrP, which in turn induces bone RANKL [20–21]. Osteoblasts themselves also play a crucial role in initiation of bone resorption since osteoblast precursor cells produce RANKL, which stimulates osteoclast differentiation [22]. Initially, when tumours are small, they induce an increase in osteoclast activity and eliminate osteoblasts at the tumour/bone interface. To compensate for this local elevation in bone resorption growth factors from the resorbed bone stimulate osteoblast differentiation on the surface of bone proximal to the tumour generating localised increase in osteoclast stimulating RANKL [23]. At this point bone lesions develop and the latter stages of disease are predominantly osteoclast driven. Once osteolytic metastases are established in bone, the release in TGFβ from resorption pits inhibits osteoblast differentiation [17], ultimately resulting in the inhibition of new bone formation thus contributing to the bone disease.

3.2. Osteoblastic bone metastases

A vicious cycle between tumour cells and bone cells also exists for osteoblastic bone metastases (Fig. 1). In osteoblastic metastases the vicious cycle observed in osteolytic disease still occurs,
1. Do osteoblasts play a part in both tumour cell homing and progression in bone?
2. Can stimulating osteoblast activity result in repair of lytic bone lesions?
3. Will osteoblast stimulating agents affect tumour growth in bone; will these affects be tumour type specific?

Unanswered questions
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