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Custom-made knee prostheses have been widely used to reconstruct the function of the lower limb in bone tumor resections. A custom-made tumor knee prosthesis was retrieved on account of prosthesis loosening post-surgery. Misalignment between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem as well as the material loss at the posterior region of the tibial plateau were considered to be the primary causes of the failure. Based on this hypothesis, finite element analysis was performed to investigate the contact mechanics of the prosthesis while implanted in vivo. The maximum deformation at the femur was 0.59 mm and 1.17 mm when the misalignment angle was 3˚ and 6˚, respectively. Besides, the maximum contact pressure at the tibial plateau was 44.88 MPa at an extremely high flexion of angle 135˚ during squatting or kneeling. Uneven stress distribution at the femur, which came from the misalignment, was the main cause of loosening, which was aggravated indirectly with the material loss at the posterior region of the tibial plateau. Optimized prosthesis design and appropriate selection, with accurate surgical positioning and targeted rehabilitation training programme are important considerations for prolonging life-span of prostheses in vivo.
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1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is commonly used to preserve joint function in limb sparing surgery for malignant bone tumors around the knee joint. Custom-made
knee prostheses have been widely used for TKA since 1980s.\textsuperscript{1, 2} However, the failure rate of tumor knee prostheses is still unsatisfactory. Complications such as deep infection, aseptic loosening and mechanical failure are in a dominate position.\textsuperscript{3, 4} Additionally, once the prostheses failed, a revision surgery must be performed to salvage the limb. The difficulty and complexity of revision surgery is much higher than primary TKA, in which problems such as segmental bone defect, soft tissue coverage and poor post-operative function need to be solved.

For better understanding of the failure mechanisms and the optimization of the prosthesis design, previous researches have been mainly based on visual inspections. In clinical practice, the main adopted method is a combination of visual inspection on retrieved prostheses and Computed Tomography (CT) images. Blunn et al.\textsuperscript{5} studied the wear type of condylar knee prostheses from 280 retrievable samples, and found that delamination was the principal wear type. The causes of disassembly of a distal femur modular prosthesis were analyzed and reported by Galasso et al.,\textsuperscript{6} mainly based on the CT images of the patient. Moreover, they inferred that the risk of disassembly might connect with the modularity. Nevertheless, the inaccuracy and the dependence on the experience of clinicians are main limitations of visual inspections. For further research of the failure mechanisms of prostheses such as wear and aseptic loosening, a variety of observation facilities have been employed. Oliveira et al.\textsuperscript{7} investigated the failure mechanism which led to the fracture in the medial portion of the baseplate from a retrieved modular prosthesis. Design for the assembly of the tibial component was proved to be inefficient in this prosthesis. A fractographic analysis and a microstructural study of a fractured stem of a cementless hip prosthesis by Chao et al.\textsuperscript{8} concluded that the fatigue process due to the stress concentration triggered the fracture of femoral neck of hip prosthesis. Similar conclusion was drawn by Rodriguez et al..\textsuperscript{9} Optical microscope, scanning electronic microscopy and energy disperse spectroscopy were applied to determine the failure reason of the femoral stem in hip prosthesis. Various metallurgical tests of a high nitrogen stainless steel femoral stem in hip prosthesis were implemented by Poffey.\textsuperscript{10} A failure analysis was presented by Liza et al.\textsuperscript{11} to investigate the wear modes of an ultra-high molecular polyethylene (UHMWPE) tibial insert. Several of the observation methods were utilized in this research. However, mechanical problems, which are closely related to the mechanical environment in vivo, are the main failure reason of prostheses.\textsuperscript{12, 13}

Moreover, the structure of custom-made knee prosthesis is complicated, so it is difficult to acquire the underlying mechanism intuitively by observation. Therefore, finite element analysis (FEA) has been considered for retrieval studies. Previously, FEA has been widely used in biomechanics study of joint replacement implants and was accounted as a preclinical evaluation tool of artificial joint. A vast majority of research work was in the following three aspects: contact mechanics,\textsuperscript{14, 15} wear prediction\textsuperscript{16, 17} and fixation simulation on bone-prosthesis interface.\textsuperscript{18} In addition, FEA was used in failure analysis of hip prosthesis by Graze et al..\textsuperscript{19} Numerical
simulation indicated that the premature fatigue failure of the femoral stem in hip prosthesis was enhanced by proximal loosening. Fonseca et al.\textsuperscript{20} investigated a fractured prosthesis and concluded that overloading at the plate/stem transition zone caused the fracture by FEA. Besides, they suggested that appropriate assessment of bone mineralization should be emphasized again. But since the difficulty of modeling, FEA is seldom used in mechanical analysis of custom-made prosthesis.

The aim of this study was to investigate the mechanical failure mechanism of a custom-made knee prosthesis. And the main purpose of this study was to focus on the misalignment between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem of the prosthesis as well as the material loss at the tibial plateau. This was achieved in two parts: on one hand, the effect of the misalignment angle on the stress/strain of the bone by three dimensional FEA with the assistance of reverse engineering techniques. On the other hand, contact mechanics study on the posterior region of the tibia plateau at high flexion was also carried out using FEA and Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Clinical Information

A male patient, aged 39, weight of 69 Kg, was referred to the outpatient service of Xijing Hospital of Fourth Military Medical University because of postoperative recurrence of giant cell tumor of bone at left distal femur and was hospitalized in July, 2003. And the patient was treated with segmental resection and reconstruction with a custom-made biaxial hinge total knee prosthesis. CT image after the surgery is presented in Fig 1 (a). In 2012, a tumble resulted in leg length discrepancy, left lower limb being shorter than right lower limb by 10 cm. In April 2013, the

![Fig. 1. CT images of the patient: (a) After primary arthroplasty, (b) 10 years after primary arthroplasty, before revision arthroplasty. The dotted lines represent the anatomical axis of the femur while the solid lines represent the axis of the femoral stem.](image-url)
Patient was diagnosed as prosthesis loosening post-surgery and sinus forming. Then a revision arthroplasty was operated. The CT images before the revision surgery are presented in Fig 1 (b). The misalignment angle between the and the axis of the femoral stem in frontal plane was 14˚ while in cortical plane was 4˚. The retrieved prosthesis in revision arthroplasty is presented in Fig 2. From CT images as shown in Fig 1, the misalignment angle was increased during prosthetic service. Moreover, serious material loss was observed at the posterior region of the tibial plateau as shown in Fig 3 (a) and the CLSM (OLS4000, OLYMPUS, Japan) image is presented in Fig 3 (b).

Based on the observations in Fig 1, misalignment between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem was found to increase, which worsened
the biomechanical condition of the prosthesis. Meanwhile, the collision between the UHMWPE tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component was conjectured to be the primary cause of the material loss at the posterior region of the tibial plateau when the knee was under deep flexion.

2.2. 3D solid modeling

Reverse engineering of artificial joints has been widely used in finite element analysis in order to obtain CAD models. First, the UHMWPE components of the prosthesis were scanned by micro-CT (Y. Cheetah, YXLON, Germany) while a laser scanner (Faro P12-7, Faro) was used for scanning the titanium alloy components. Mimics 10.01 (Materialise, Belgium) and Geomagic 12 (Geomagic, USA) were used to reconstruct 3D model from collected data. The femur was simplified as a hollow cylinder with 5 mm wall thickness which was determined from CT image of the patient. The void between the femur and the intramedullary nail was filled with bone cement. The assembled model of the whole prosthesis is presented in Fig 4.

Collision between the UHMWPE femoral component and the tibial plateau occurred when the flexion angle reached 135°. In order to investigate the effect of

![Fig. 4. 3D model of knee tumor prosthesis: (a) whole tumor prosthesis, (b) femoral stem, (c) femoral stem with femur and bone cement, (d) femoral condyle and tibial plateau.](image)
the collision on the UHMWPE femoral component and the tibial plateau, a tibio-
femoral contact model was developed as shown in Fig 4 (d). And an upper components model was separated from the whole model as shown in Fig 4 (c) for the purpose of finding out the effect of the misalignment angle on the stress/strain of the bone.

2.3. FEA model

Three dimensional finite element models were created including a tibio-femoral model and an upper components model. All the materials were modelled as homogeneous, isotropic and linear elastic, except the UHMWPE which was modelled as non-linear elastic-plastic based on the true stress-strain constitutive relationship presented in Fig 5,\textsuperscript{21–23} and all other material properties used in this study are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson’s ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tibia plateau</td>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE femoral component</td>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral condylar</td>
<td>Titanium alloy</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral stem</td>
<td>Titanium alloy</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone cement</td>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Material properties used in present study.\textsuperscript{18, 22, 24}

Fig. 5. Non-linear true stress-strain UHMWPE material model.
2.3.1. Tibio-femoral analysis

A simplified solid model which included the UHMWPE femoral component, the UHMWPE tibia plateau and the titanium alloy femoral condyle was imported to ABAQUS/CAE (Dassault, France) from Solidworks (Dassault, France). The titanium alloy femoral condyle was defined as rigid in the contact analysis since it has a much higher Young’s modulus value compared with other components. Boundary conditions were applied to the model to imitate squatting when the flexion-extension angle reached approximately 135° as shown in Fig 6. All the six degrees of freedom of the bottom of the tibia plateau were limited. The translation along three coordinate axes and the rotation around the Z axis (the varus-valgus degrees of freedom of both the UHMWPE femoral component and the titanium alloy femoral condyle) were restricted, too. Relative motion between the UHMWPE femoral component and the titanium alloy femoral condyle was not allowed. A torque of 15 Nm, corresponding to the torque that the knee joint suffered under squatting, was estimated from a simple statics analysis and applied to the rigid body femoral condyle around the flexion-extension axis of the prosthesis. Element type for the UHMWPE femoral component, the UHMWPE tibia plateau and the titanium alloy femoral condyle were chosen as C3D10M (a 10-node modified quadratic tetrahedron element) on account of its high accuracy and excellent performance in contact analysis. Mesh sensitivity was conducted, and a meshing size of 2 mm was found to be accurate enough as the relative error between meshing size of 2 mm and 1 mm was below 5%. The element number of the titanium alloy femoral condyle was 84701 and 63127 for the UHMWPE femoral component while the element number of the tibial plateau was 51051. Contact surface was defined as shown in Fig 6 to calculate the contact pressure when the collision between the UHMWPE femoral component and the UHMWPE tibia plateau occurred.
2.3.2. Femoral stem analysis

Another solid model included the femoral stem, a simplified femur which the thickness was 5 mm and the bone cement was developed in ABAQUS/CAE. The femoral stem was defined as rigid body. As shown in Fig 7 (a), the interface between the bone cement and the intramedullary nail was tied, which was same to the interface between the femur and the bone cement. The lower part of the femoral stem was fully constrained. A pressure of 5.305 MPa corresponding to a concentrate force of 2600 N, approximately equaling to 4 times body weight for the patient’s weight of 69 kg, was applied on the top surface of the simplified femur as shown in Fig 7 (a). For the purpose of the investigation of the effect of misalignment angle and direction on the stress in the bone, misalignment angles between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem were defined as 3˚ and 6˚, respectively. As 3˚ is within the normal clinical error in arthroplasty, while 6˚ was chosen to study the consequence of an unsatisfactory surgery. The

Fig. 7. (a) FE model of femoral stem, bone cement and femur. (b) The cross section of the model. (c) The illustration of misalignment direction.
misalignment direction was defined in Fig 7 (b) and (c): X was considered to be positive in the medial to lateral direction while Z was considered to be positive from posterior to anterior. Eight equally spaced misalignment directions were considered. Element type for the femoral stem, the femur and the bone cement was chosen as C3D10M and meshing size was 2 mm, which were same as the tibio-femoral contact analysis. The number of element of the femoral stem, femur and bone cement was 62435, 55522 and 17062, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Tibio-femoral analysis

The collision between the tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component was simulated using FEA modeling. The maximum contact pressure on the surface of the tibial plateau was 44.88 MPa at the lateral part and the maximum contact pressure at the medial tibial plateau was 36.62 MPa. The estimated von Mises stress at the tibial plateau showed a large stress concentration near the interface between the tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component. The maximum von Mises stress at the tibial plateau was 33.79 MPa at the medial plateau while at the lateral plateau was 31.22 MPa. The estimated von Mises stress and contact pressure are presented in Fig 8.

![Fig. 8. The contour plot of the predicted (a) von Mises stresses and (b) contact pressure (MPa) at the tibial plateau.](image-url)
In addition, von Mises stress and contact pressure at the UHMWPE femoral component were calculated and are presented in Fig 9. The local maximum von Mises stress was 15.58 MPa around the interface between the UHMWPE femoral component and the tibial plateau.

3.2. Femoral stem analysis

The maximum von Mises stress together with the maximum deformation were predicted when the femur stem was at misalignment angles of 3˚ and 6˚ away from anatomical axis of the femur and are presented in Table 2. The predicted von Mises stress and strain distribution at the femur at a misalignment angle of 6˚, in the positive Z axis direction of are presented in Fig 10 as an example. The maximum von Mises stress appeared on the same side of misalignment direction (see Fig 10 (a) left) near the middle section of the femur while the maximum deformation appeared at the proximal femur. And the comparison of these two groups is presented in Fig 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tilting angle/˚</th>
<th>Maximum Mises stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Maximum deformation (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum Mises stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Maximum deformation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+Z</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>1.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Z</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>1.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+X</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>1.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-X</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>1.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+X,+Z</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>1.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+X,-Z</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>1.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-X,+Z</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>1.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-X,-Z</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>13.37</td>
<td>1.165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

In this study, the retrieved custom-made knee prosthesis was analysed by three dimensional finite element method. From the observation of the retrieval prosthesis, serious deformation and wear were found at the posterior region of the tibial plateau. And the collision between the UHMWPE femoral component and the tibial plateau was speculated as the main cause of it. More specially, the collision happened under the flexion angle of 135˚ from the tibio-femoral contact analysis while large values

![Fig. 10. The predicted von Mises stress (MPa) and deformation (mm) distribution at the femur when the misalignment angle was 6˚ in the Z direction.](image)

![Fig. 11. The Maximum Von Mises stress and maximum deformation at the femur when misalignment angle was 3˚ and 6˚ respectively (error bar stands for standard deviation for eight directions).](image)
of von Mises stress and contact pressure were found near the contact region between them. The close observation of the surface revealed a number of features as shown in Fig 3 (b). The worn surface morphology of the posterior region at the tibial plateau indicated wear mechanism including the scratching, the abrasion and the permanent deformation which related to the complex relative movement between the tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component when the knee was under deep flexion during squatting or kneeling.

A great deal of material loss was found at the posterior region of the tibial plateau, corresponding to the high contact pressure area from the computational prediction. Fatigue wear occurred at the tibial plateau as it was under alternate loading which was much larger than the compressive yield stress of UHMWPE over a long period of time. The compressive yield stress of UHMWPE was 15-20 MPa in general. Taking into account the impact of the relative sliding on the interface between the tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component, a mass of material loss at the posterior region of the tibial plateau was produced. And then, wear debris, primary generated from the material loss of the UHMWPE components, would induce adverse biological response that leads to osteolysis and aseptic loosening.

Most Asian population had the habit of squatting or kneeling which would cause the collision between the tibial plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component. The collision would have caused great shock or stress on both tibial and femoral components. Furthermore, the proximal femoral prosthesis was lack of bony support. Hence, the femoral stem had to bear bending moment which came from the collision. Besides, great von Mises stress was applied to the intramedullary nail and the femoral stem of the prosthesis by the collision. Structural improvement and optimization or a reasonable selection of the custom-made knee prosthesis should be considered to avoid the collision when the joint was under fairly high flexion-extension angle. Proper clinical postoperative guidance such as minimizing times and duration of squatting or kneeling of patients in postoperative training programme should be encouraged to lower the occurrence of the collision.

From the result in the femoral stem analysis, the maximum von Mises stress and deformation at the femur was about 10 MPa and 0.6 mm respectively when the misalignment angle between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem of 3˚. Since the misalignment angle of 3˚ was considered to be acceptable, it was chosen as the control in this study. However, it was generally thought that the 0.5 mm deformation was the maximum deformation allowed on the cortical bone. That is, even a successful operation may cause a large value of strain out of tolerance at the femur, which means a more accurate surgical positioning is required. The maximum von Mises stress on the femur increased by about 25% when the misalignment angle was 6˚ while the maximum deformation under 6˚ was almost doubled. It is clear that both von Mises stress and deformation at the femur increased dramatically with the increased misalignment. It would lead to uneven stress distribution within the femur.
distribution at the femur when the misalignment was 6° in the Z direction as an example, as presented in Fig 10 (a) (right), the stress value is below 7 MPa at "-Z" side of the bone. This part of bone experienced a lower stress and consequently resulted in stress shielding and aseptic loosening. The aseptic loosening further exacerbated the misalignment between the anatomical axis of the femur and the axis of the femoral stem. The increase of the misalignment angle, as evident from the CT image in Fig 1 (b), confirmed this viewpoint. In addition, the intramedullary nail was designed to fill the intramedullary space, and a relatively large diameter was chosen. This further accelerated the stress shielding.

This study had several limitations, most due to the model simplification. First, a simplified hollow cylinder femur model was used in the finite element analysis. Second, simplified loading conditions were applied, with only the axial force and the torque caused by the axial force considered in the finite element model but other forces and torques such as the internal-external force and the varus-valgus torque applied at the prosthesis in body were ignored which may have led to some errors.

5. Conclusions

A retrieval study on a custom-made knee prosthesis was carried out by three dimensional finite element analysis. The failure reasons were investigated from a mechanical perspective. The analysis performed in this case indicated three factors that might cause the failure of the prosthesis. Firstly, the prosthetic design or selection was unreasonable. An applicable selection of the prosthesis should give consideration to the living habit of the patient. Secondly, the misalignment between the femoral stem and the anatomical axis of the femur would generate stress shielding and aseptic loosening due to component misalignment. Thirdly, the habit of squatting or kneeling caused impingement between the posterior region of the UHMWPE tibia plateau and the UHMWPE femoral component led to a great deal of material loss and indirectly exacerbated aseptic loosening.
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