UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Controversies Revisited:* A Systematic Review of the Comorbidity of Depression and Anxiety with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/95196/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mikocka-Walus, Antonina Anna orcid.org/0000-0003-4864-3956, Knowles, Simon, Keefer, Laurie et al. (1 more author) (2016) Controversies Revisited: A Systematic Review of the Comorbidity of Depression and Anxiety with Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Inflammatory bowel diseases. pp. 752-762. ISSN 1536-4844

https://doi.org/10.1097/MIB.0000000000000620

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

CONTROVERSIES REVISITED: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE CO-MORBIDITY OF DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY WITH INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASES

Running Head: Depression and Anxiety in IBD

Antonina Mikocka-Walus^{1,2*}, PhD; Simon R. Knowles^{3,4,5,6}, PhD; Laurie Keefer⁷, PhD; Lesley Graff⁸, PhD

¹ Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, United Kingdom

² School of Psychology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

³ Faculty of Health, Arts and Design, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia

⁴ Department of Mental Health, St Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

⁵ Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

⁶ Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

⁷ Division of Gastroenterology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago,

IL, United States of America

⁸ Department of Clinical Health Psychology, College of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences,

University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

*corresponding author

Mental Health and Addiction Research Group, Department of Health Sciences

University of York

Heslington, York YO10 5DD

Tel: 0044 1904 32 1521

Email: antonina.mikocka-walus@york.ac.uk

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: This review received no external funding. The authors are unaware of any conflicts of interest.

ABSTRACT

Background: While mental health concerns are known to occur commonly for those with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), the nature of this comorbid relationship has not been systematically reviewed to date. A review in 2007 identified five controversies regarding anxiety/depression rates and various comparators between and within IBD (1). We aimed to systematically analyse and critique the current evidence regarding this comorbidity, providing an update to the five controversies.

Methods: Ebscohost Medline, CINAHL, Embase, and PsychINFO were searched between 2005 and 2014 using systematic review methodology. Controlled quantitative studies examining either symptoms or diagnoses of anxiety and depression in IBD were included in the review, with study quality assessed using a scale developed a priori to evaluate observational research.

Results: (1) IBD versus healthy controls (pooled mean proportions) (n=13 studies): Anxiety 19.1% vs 9.6%, depression 21.2% vs 13.4%; (2) IBD inactive versus IBD active disease (n=26): Anxiety 28.2% vs 66.4%, depression 19.9% vs 34.7%; (3) ulcerative colitis (UC) versus Crohn's disease (CD) (n=28): Anxiety 31% vs 37%, depression 22% vs 24.4%; (4) IBD versus other chronic medical conditions (n=17): Anxiety 41.9% vs 48.2%, depression 14.5% vs 28.4%; (5) Onset of anxiety/depression before or after IBD onset (n=2): adults more likely to develop anxiety/depression prior to IBD onset, but substantial proportion develop depression after onset; an increased risk for children of developing anxiety/depression following IBD onset.

Conclusions: The high rates of anxiety and depression for those with IBD, particularly when disease is active, warrant a systemic approach to screening and treatment.

Keywords: anxiety; depression; inflammatory bowel disease; systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), of which Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are subtypes, are chronic relapsing inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal tract with unclear aetiology and unpredictable course. Currently, 322 per 100,000 people are diagnosed with CD and up to 505 per 100,000 people are affected by ulcerative colitis around the world (2).

Due to the multiple challenges of this disease, including its incurability, unpredictability, severity of symptoms, as well as surgery and medication side effects, patients' quality of life (QoL) can be profoundly impaired, resulting in a significant psychosocial burden (3-8). In particular, a sizeable proportion of individuals with IBD have been identified as experiencing co-morbid anxiety and/or depression (8, 9). When left untreated, these mental disorders have been linked to more severe IBD symptoms and more frequent IBD flares (7), higher hospitalisation rates (10), and lower compliance with treatment (11) than for individuals without these co-morbidities. However, despite their frequency, psychological symptoms in IBD remain largely untreated. A recent Dutch study (n=231) showed that over 60% of adult IBD patients attending a tertiary care centre with co-morbid anxiety and/or depressive symptoms did not receive adequate care (12). According to a recent national audit in the United Kingdom (UK), only 12% of IBD services have access to clinical psychology via a defined referral pathway (13).

A significant contributor to the lack of recognition for the importance of mental health in IBD is the quality of available evidence. Psychological and psychiatric research in IBD has traditionally been plagued by an abundance of small and often poorly controlled studies with diverse methodologies and settings, which present conflicting findings and consequently make it difficult to draw any firm conclusions from the data. No systematic reviews documenting and clarifying this comorbidity have been published to date and thus no high-level evidence has informed the guidelines on IBD management in relation to the mental health of patients with IBD. In 2007, the first author and her collaborators published a review paper exploring the comorbidity of anxiety and depression with IBD (1). We endeavoured to answer five major questions related to

rates of depression and anxiety, comparing groups both within IBD (i.e. active and inactive disease; CD and UC; onset before or after IBD onset), and between IBD and other groups (i.e., healthy individuals; individuals with other chronic medical conditions). However, since the quality of the available 17 studies at the time was poor, it was difficult to provide an answer to these questions, other than to confirm that anxiety and depression were highly prevalent within IBD. The academic community was invited to address these controversies by designing high quality studies. Indeed, in recent years, studies of anxiety and depression in IBD have proliferated all over the world, with some excellent large-scale population-based research, for example, providing a rich source of data for better understanding of psychiatric and psychological co-morbidity in the IBD context.

In the present review we systematically identify, analyse and critique the current evidence in relation to the comorbidity of anxiety, depression and IBD, revisiting and providing an updated response to the following questions:

Controversy 1: Are rates of anxiety/depression (both symptoms and disorders) in IBD similar or different to that reported for the healthy/general population controls?

Controversy 2: Are rates of anxiety/depression (both symptoms and disorders) similar or different during active versus inactive IBD?

Controversy 3: Are rates of anxiety/depression (both symptoms and disorders) similar or different in UC versus CD?

Controversy 4: Are rates of anxiety/depression (both symptoms and disorders) in IBD similar or different to that reported in other groups of medically ill patients?

Controversy 5: Do anxiety/depression (both symptoms and disorders) precede and/or follow onset of IBD?

In the previous review, databases were searched for papers published between 1980 and 2005; we are now re-assessing the status of these controversies and reporting progress in the understanding of these relationships by reviewing all available studies published from 2005 until 2014.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic review was registered in the International Prospective Register of systematic reviews PROSPERO (CRD42014014960).

Types of studies

Studies meeting the selection criteria listed below were included.

Inclusion criteria

- Studies concerning IBD (including Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis and indeterminate colitis) diagnosed using any well-established criteria (e.g. Montreal classification);
- Studies examining either symptoms (based on validated screening scales e.g. the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)) or diagnosis (based on a clinical interview such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM (SCID)) of anxiety and depression;
- Studies with either adult or paediatric populations;
- Studies published between 2005 and 2014;
- Controlled studies, including randomised controlled trials (baseline data only), with any of prospective, retrospective and cross-sectional designs;
- Peer-reviewed papers.

Exclusion criteria

- Studies using mood or quality of life scales where no independent anxiety or depression scale/dimension exists;
- Studies focusing on other psychological variables such as distress, coping, personality or quality of life, without specific measures of depression or anxiety;
- Interventional studies (i.e. natural course of IBD / mental disorders cannot be observed);
- Studies in languages other than English;
- Studies published before 2005 as the study is an update to a previous review (1);
- Conference abstracts or any short papers with incomplete data presented;

- Case reports, case series or qualitative research;
- Reviews, opinion papers;
- Animal studies.

Search methodology

Sources

A systematic and comprehensive literature search of Ebscohost Medline, CINAHL, Embase, and PsychINFO was conducted in November and December 2014.

Search strategy

Two versions of a search strategy, using relevant search terms, were used: 1) FULL: Limited to peer reviewed AND NOT case-studies OR dissertations OR animal studies; 2) LIMITED: Limited to peer reviewed AND NOT case-studies OR dissertations OR animal studies AND only published between 2005 and 2014. Table 1 provides the search strategy and search results. Reference management was done through EndNote (version X6 Thomson Reuters).

Data collection and analysis

The systematic review was undertaken based upon the recommended PRISMA statement guidelines (http://www.prisma-statement.org) (Appendix 1). In the first phase, two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts identified by the search to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third reviewer. In the second phase, the full papers of those identified in phase one were independently evaluated by two reviewers to determine if they included data to address any one or more of the five controversies. That is, after checking general selection criteria, papers were screened again to verify whether information required to respond to a particular controversy was reported (e.g. in controversy 2, we checked

whether data for depression/anxiety by active and inactive IBD were reported), with disagreements resolved through discussion with a third reviewer (see Figure 1 for exclusion reasons).

Given the general heterogeneity of studies - the range of different recruitment strategies, settings, participant groups, outcome measures, methodologies - there was a limited scope for a meta-analysis. Further, this review focused on anxiety and depression rates at one point in time (controversies 1-4) or before/after IBD onset (controversy 5) rather than on treatment effect. We calculated mean percentages (and 95% confidence intervals (CI) or odds ratios, as appropriate) for rates, and weighted means on a common scale where data were available.

Data extraction

Extracted data included: authors, year of publication, and country of origin, design, setting, participant characteristics (IBD subtype, age, gender, disease activity status) and sample size, outcome measures, and results for main outcome measures.

Data synthesis

We provided a narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies, structured around the co-occurrence of anxiety and depression with IBD, calculating mean percentages for the IBD and appropriate comparator group.

Quality and risk of bias assessment

Two reviewers independently inspected the full articles identified for inclusion for each controversy, to evaluate study quality. Any disagreement was discussed with a third reviewer. The quality appraisal of the studies was assessed using a scale developed a priori for the specific needs of this study (see Appendix 2), based on recommendations from Sanderson (14) regarding key domains to assess in critical appraisal. The scale included evaluation of 1) appropriate selection of participants; 2) appropriate measurement of variables; and 3) appropriate control of confounding

variables. We also consulted with IBD experts not involved in this review regarding the scale, and piloted it with a sub-sample of studies before undergoing the quality appraisal of the included articles. We interpreted the quality in the following manner: if the mean quality score for the controversy was between 0-30% on the rating scale it was considered low; if it was between 31-60% it was considered moderate; and if it was between 61-100% it was considered high.

RESULTS

Of the 4,985 studies identified during the database searches, 1,264 were removed as duplicates. Of the 3,721 papers for which we screened titles and abstracts, 3,511 did not meet the inclusion criteria (see Figure 1), leaving 210 included for full review to determine specific applicability for each controversy. In controversy 1, 13 studies were included in the final review; 26 studies were applicable for controversy 2; 28 studies for controversy 3; 17 studies for controversy 4; and 2 studies for controversy 5. This amounts to 66 unique studies, as some studies addressed more than one controversy.

Study characteristics

Study characteristics are presented in Tables 2-6.

Controversy 1: IBD versus healthy/general population controls

Of the 13 studies which had applicable data in relation to this question (9, 15-26), seven came from Europe, five from North America, and one from Australia. Seven were cross-sectional, three were case-control, and three were cohort studies, two of which were prospective and one was retrospective. Samples ranged in size from 47 to 2,144 IBD participants (total n=4,098) and from 20 to 10,720 healthy or general population controls (total n=13,190). Five studies included paediatric /adolescent populations. In terms of anxiety and depression measurement, the majority incorporated self-report measures, with the HADS being the most common measure (n=6) (15-17, 20, 24, 26).

Two studies used diagnostic information (International Statistical Classification of Diseases-9 (ICD-9) codes, n=1; Comprehensive International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI); n=1) (9, 22).

Controversy 2: IBD active versus IBD inactive

Overall, 26 studies had applicable data in relation to this controversy (4, 18, 20, 27-49). Of these, 13 came from Europe, 12 from North America, and one from Korea. Fifteen were cross-sectional, seven were prospective cohorts, including one that was population-based, two were retrospective cohorts, there was one case-control study, and one was a randomised controlled trial where just the baseline data was used. Samples ranged in size from 47 to 10,634 (total n=15,931) participants. Of the 26 studies, three (total n=11,062) did not report total numbers of participants or provide percentages of those with active versus inactive IBD. Of the remaining 23 studies (n=4,805), 2,297 (48%) participants were in an inactive disease phase; not included in this proportion were six studies which presented data for participants with inactive IBD only (n=784). Anxiety and depression were most frequently measured using the HADS (n=11) (20, 29, 31-33, 36, 39, 41, 46, 49, 50). For disease activity measurement, in CD, the most commonly used was the Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) (n=12) (20, 27, 28, 30, 31, 36, 38, 43, 46-49) while in UC, it was the Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index (n=4) (20, 35, 38, 43).

Controversy 3: CD versus UC

Overall, 28 studies met the inclusion criteria in relation to this question (20, 21, 26, 27, 29, 33, 38, 39, 41, 43, 46, 47, 51-66). Of these, 17 came from Europe, 8 came from North America, two from Australia, and one from Korea. Twenty-two were cross-sectional, three were prospective-based studies, two were cohort studies and one was a population-based case control study. Samples ranged in size from 48 to 11,028 IBD participants (total n=42,564), with subsets of CD participants ranging from 26 to 6,689 (n=23,564), and UC participants ranging from 22 to 5,522 (n=19,319). Two studies included paediatric/adolescent populations. The HADS was the most commonly used

anxiety or depression scale (n=16) (20, 26, 29, 33, 39, 41, 46, 54, 56-59, 61-64). Two studies used the ICD-9 criteria (51, 52). In terms of disease activity, for CD, the CDAI was used most commonly (n=10) (27, 38, 43, 46, 47, 55, 60, 62-64) while for UC, the SCCAI was most commonly used (n=5) (20, 26, 38, 43, 53).

Controversy 4: IBD versus medically ill controls

Overall, 17 studies met the inclusion criteria in relation to this controversy (15, 17, 21, 24, 27, 67-78). Of these, 12 came from Europe, two from Australia, one from Brazil, Malaysia, and the USA. Fifteen studies were cross-sectional, with one of these reporting gender- and age-matching, one was a cohort-based study and one was a population-based case study. Three of the 17 studies were based on child/adolescent cohorts. Samples ranged in size from 26 to 305 IBD participants (total n=1,874), and from 38-1506 for the medically ill controls (total n=3419). The most common comparator was irritable bowel syndrome, used in six studies, with four studies using a mix of illness groups (e.g. chronic liver disease, celiac, food allergy), two studies utilising colon cancer groups as comparators, and the remaining five studies using participants with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), juvenile idiopathic arthritis, multiple sclerosis (MS), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) or self-limited colitis cohorts (ASLC), respectively as comparators. The most commonly used measure of anxiety or depression was the HADS (n=8) (15, 17, 24, 67-69, 74, 75).

Controversy 5: Preceding or following IBD onset

Only two studies (n=2,495) had applicable data in relation to this controversy (9, 22). Both were cohort studies from North America, with one of them being population-based. One examined a paediatric IBD population (n=2,144) and the other studied an adult IBD population (n=351), with 10,720 paediatric and 779 adult age- and gender-matched controls, respectively. Diagnostic criteria for anxiety and depression were used in both studies (ICD9 codes; CIDI). IBD was identified using

the ICD9 coding in the paediatric study, and using a validated administrative data definition which was then verified through a chart review in the adult study.

Anxiety and Depression Study Outcomes

Controversy 1: IBD versus healthy/general population controls

Eleven of the 13 studies measured anxiety, with seven of these reporting either significantly higher rates (% or levels (mean scores) of anxiety in those with IBD than in healthy control participants (n=3,262 IBD; n=12,024 controls) (9, 15, 20-22, 24, 26).

For adult IBD participants, the rates of anxiety ranged from 15.1% (± 3.75, 95% CI) [all anxiety combined] based on diagnostic criteria in the population-based study by Walker (9), to 40% (±14.1, 95% CI), reported in a small case control study measuring anxiety symptoms for those with CD only (18). The rates for healthy controls were 16.3% (± 2.6, 95% CI) and 7.6% (± 6.4, 95% CI) in these studies, respectively. In children, a small cross-sectional study found low rates of clinically significant anxiety for both the IBD participants ($2\% \pm 3.88$, 95% CI) and the healthy non-IBD individuals ($5\% \pm 6.59$, 95% CI) (23). A pooled mean rate of anxiety symptoms in all IBD samples reporting proportions (study n=3 (9, 18, 23); total IBD n=448) was 19.1% (± 3.63, 95% CI) compared to 9.6% (± 1.94, 95% CI) in healthy controls (n=887).

All 13 studies examined depression. Nine found significantly higher rates and/or levels of depression in IBD participants than in healthy controls (n=3,517 IBD; n=12,212 controls) (9, 15, 16, 19-22, 24, 26). Two studies with adolescents (one cross-sectional and one prospective; total n=734) (23, 25), reported the opposite relationship, namely that healthy non-IBD individuals had higher rates/levels of depression than those with IBD, although only one of these studies found that the group differences were significant (n=78 IBD; n=564 controls) (25).

Depression rates for adults with IBD ranged from 7% (\pm 7.3, 95% CI) reported in a small case control study (18), to 59% (\pm 6.2, 95% CI) in a population-based case control study with nearly 500 participants (21). The latter study however used the BDI, which can overestimate depression in

medically ill populations including IBD, due to its emphasis on somatic symptoms. A populationbased IBD study which used the gold standard of a structured clinical diagnostic interview reported that 27.2% (\pm 4.7, 95% CI) of cases met criteria for lifetime depression illness (9). Rates of depression for the healthy control individuals were 1.5% (\pm 2.9, 95% CI), 38% (\pm 5.9, 95% CI), and 12.3% (\pm 2.3, 95% CI) in these studies, respectively. In children, the rates of depression ranged from 0% (versus 2% [\pm 4.2, 95% CI] in controls) in a small cross-sectional study (23) to 13% (\pm 7.5, 95% CI) in another cross-sectional study (rates unreported for controls) (25). A pooled mean rate of depressive symptoms in all IBD samples reporting percentages (study n=5; total n=767) was 21.2% (\pm 2.9, 95% CI) compared to 13.4% (\pm 1.9, 95% CI) for healthy controls (n=1,142).

Controversy 2: IBD active versus IBD inactive

Of the 26 studies, 21 measured anxiety, with six of these providing data for inactive IBD only (28, 32, 36, 37, 39, 49). Of the remaining 15, 11 reported significantly higher rates or levels of anxiety in participants with active IBD compared to those with inactive IBD (n=13,585) (4, 18, 20, 27, 29, 31, 38, 41, 42, 44, 45). A study by Goodhand et al. (20), which reported disease activity results stratified by IBD disease type, found that those with active UC or active CD had higher rates of anxiety than those with inactive disease, although the findings were only significant for the UC group. Only one cross-sectional study reported slightly lower levels of anxiety in individuals with active IBD compared to participants with inactive IBD, although the group differences were not statistically significant (n=147) (46).

In adults, the rates of anxiety in inactive IBD ranged from 9.1% (± 9.8, 95% CI) in a small case-control study (18) to 70.6% (± 11.8, 95% CI) in another small study (32). The pooled mean rate for all the studies reporting percentages (study n=8; total n=1,069) was 28.2% (± 2.7, 95% CI) (18, 27-29, 32, 33, 36, 39). In the four largest studies (n=839) which provided data on rates, 22% (± 2.8, 95% CI) of IBD patients with inactive IBD had clinically elevated anxiety (28, 29, 33, 39). During active disease, the rates of anxiety were typically much higher, ranging from 56.3% (± 17.2,

95% CI) to 71.4% (\pm 9.1, 95% CI), with a pooled mean rate of 66.4% (\pm 7.8, 95% CI) (study n=3; total n=140) (18, 27, 29). In the largest sample reporting rates for active IBD, 71.4% (\pm 9.1, 95% CI) of IBD patients with active IBD had elevated anxiety (27). No data for children were available.

Of the 26 studies, 23 measured depression, with six providing data for inactive IBD only. Of the remaining 17 studies, 12 reported significantly higher rates or levels of depression for those with active IBD (n=13,553) (18, 20, 27, 29, 31, 34, 35, 38, 41, 43, 45, 48). In adults, the rates of depression in inactive IBD ranged from 0 in one small study (n=33) (18) to 49.6% (\pm 14.3, 95% CI) in another small study (n=47) (40). The pooled mean rate of depressive symptoms for those with inactive IBD was 19.9% (\pm 2.05, 95% CI) (study n=10; total n=1,132) (18, 27-29, 32, 33, 36, 39, 40, 48). During active disease, the rates of depression ranged from 14% (\pm 18.2, 95% CI) in a small case-control study (18) to 50% (\pm 18.5, 95% CI) in a small prospective study (n=28) (40). The pooled mean rate of depressive symptoms during active IBD was 34.7% (study n=5; total n=319) (18, 27, 29, 40, 48). For children, one study (n=156) reported a 30% rate of depression (\pm 7.2, 95% CI) in inactive IBD (48), and a depression rate of 49% (\pm 7.97, 95% CI; n=151) for those with active disease (48).

Controversy 3: CD versus UC

Twenty-one of the 28 studies measured anxiety, with only three of them concluding there were statistically significant differences between IBD subtypes, all of which indicated higher anxiety for those with CD (21, 29, 41). Based on 14 studies reporting anxiety rates, the pooled average was 37% (\pm 9.9, 95% CI) for CD (n=1144) and 31% (\pm 14.2, 95% CI) for UC (n=628). Nine of 24 studies reported mean HADS scores; the mean HADS-A score for CD (n=1818) was 7.0 (\pm .85, 95% CI) and the mean HADS-A score for UC (n=1423) was 6.4 (\pm .5, 95% CI). No data for children were available.

Twenty-seven of 28 studies assessed depression, however, only two studies noted significant differences, demonstrating higher depression rates in CD (39, 41). The pooled average depression

rate, acquired from 15 of the 27 studies, was 24.4% (\pm 7.3, 95% CI) for CD (n=9496) and 22% (\pm 6.9, 95% CI) for UC (n=7136). Pooled means for HADS-D scores (n=8/27 studies) were 6.09 (\pm .89, 95% CI) for CD (n=1299) and 5.5 (\pm 1.08, 95% CI) for UC (N = 1694). Two studies with adolescent (55, 60) and one with elderly populations (43) were available, all three showing no difference between CD and UC participants in rates or levels of depression.

Controversy 4: IBD versus medically ill controls

Fourteen of the 17 studies assessed anxiety. Of these only six studies (n=915 IBD and 815 controls) showed the differences between IBD and other medically ill participants to be statistically significant (17, 21, 71, 74, 77, 78). Three studies (n=276 IBD and 213 controls) (21, 77, 78) reported significantly lower rates of anxiety in IBD than in controls with IBS or self-limited colitis, while another three studies (n=639 IBD and 602 controls) showed IBD participants as having higher rates of anxiety than controls with rheumatoid arthritis, colorectal cancer and several other disorders (coeliac disease, food allergy, congenital disorders) (17, 71, 74). The pooled average anxiety rate was 41.9% (\pm 9.2, 95% CI) for IBD and 48.2% (\pm 31.1, 95% CI) for controls. HADS-A scores (n=6 studies), for IBD had a mean of 7 (\pm 1.2, 95% CI) versus 8.4 (\pm 1.4, 95% CI) among controls. One study showed that adolescents with IBD had significantly higher rates of anxiety than controls with router significantly higher rates of anxiety than controls with router significantly higher rates of anxiety that adolescents with IBD had significantly higher rates of anxiety than controls with router significantly higher rates of anxiety than controls with congenital disorders (17).

Of the 17 studies assessing depression, 10 showed statistically significant differences between IBD and other medically ill cohorts (17, 21, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73-75, 77). Five (n=551 IBD and 372 controls) (67, 73-75, 77) reported lower rates or levels of depression in IBD than in controls with IBS, hepatitis C, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis, and five (n=648 IBD and 601 controls) (17, 21, 68, 70, 71) indicated higher rates or levels in IBD than in participants with self-limited colitis, colorectal cancer, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, and several other conditions (coeliac disease, food allergy, GERD, congenital disorders). A pooled mean depression rate was

14.5% (\pm 10.5, 95% CI) in IBD (n=550) versus 28.4% (\pm 17.7, 95% CI) in controls (n=1567). When data from the studies reporting HADS scores (5 of 17 studies) were pooled, IBD participants (n=686) had mean HADS-D scores of 3.9 (\pm .6, 95% CI) compared to 4.6 (\pm .6, 95% CI) in controls (n=694). Three studies provided data for paediatric populations (17, 70, 72) but only two conducted significance testing, both showing higher rates of depression in IBD than controls with juvenile idiopathic arthritis, congenital disorders, food allergy, coeliac disease but not chronic liver disease (n=339 IBD and 382 controls) (17, 70).

Controversy 5: Preceding or following IBD onset

No prospective studies examining rates of anxiety and depression prior to IBD diagnosis were identified from the past decade. However, two studies were relevant to this controversy, examining incidence of anxiety and depression post-diagnosis in children, and retrospective evaluation of timing of onset in relation to IBD in adults (9, 22).

For adults, 70% of those with IBD and a lifetime history of an anxiety or mood disorder, had a first episode of an anxiety disorder 10 years or more before the IBD diagnosis, while just 8% developed anxiety two or more years after IBD onset, suggesting anxiety is much more likely to predate the IBD. Overall, the lifetime prevalence of anxiety was 15.1% (± 3.7, 95% CI) versus 16.3% (± 2.6, 95% CI) for age and gender-matched controls (9). In children with IBD, the incidence rates of anxiety disorders (1.81 per 100 patient-years of observation) were significantly higher than in the healthy controls (0.57 cases, p< 0.0001). After adjustment for IBD patient characteristics, having CD was associated with more than a twofold increase in the risk of developing anxiety disorders in the paediatric population (HR = 2.28; 95% CI = 1.65-3.17; p < 0.0001 for both comparisons) (n=2,144 CD patients and n=10,720 controls) (22).

With regard to depression in adults, 54% of those with IBD and a lifetime history of an anxiety or mood disorder had an onset of depression two years or more before the IBD onset while 23% developed depression two or more years after IBD onset, suggesting risk both before and after

disease onset. The lifetime prevalence of depression was 27.2% (\pm 4.7, 95% CI) versus 12.3% (\pm 2.3, 95% CI) for age and gender matched controls (13). In children with IBD, the incidence rate of depressive disorders (2.69 cases per 100 patient-years of observation) was significantly higher than in the healthy controls (1.22 cases, p< 0.0001). After adjustment for patient characteristics, having CD was associated with a 74% increase in the risk of developing depression (HR = 1.74; 95% CI = 1.35–2.25, p < 0.0001) (n=2,144 CD patients and n=10,720 controls) (22).

In adults, mean age of IBD onset with lifetime anxiety or mood disorder was 29.1 years as compared to 33.1 years in those with IBD and without an anxiety or mood disorder (p=0.012) (9). No such data were available for children.

Quality appraisal

Quality scores for each study are presented in Tables 2-6.

Controversy 1: IBD versus healthy/general population controls

Quality ranged from 5 to 12 of a maximum 14 points, with a mean of 7.5 (53.6%), indicating a moderate quality. Overall, seven studies scored at least 50% on the quality scale.

Controversy 2: IBD active versus IBD inactive

Quality ranged from 3 to 11 of a maximum 15 points, with a mean of 7.3 (48.6%), indicating a moderate quality. Overall, 12 studies scored over 50% (a score of at least 8) on the quality scale.

Controversy 3: CD versus UC

Quality ranged from 3 to 11 of a maximum 12 points, with a mean of 5.7 (47.5%), indicating a moderate quality. Overall, 12 studies scored at least 50% on the quality scale.

Controversy 4: IBD versus medically ill controls

Quality ranged from 4 to 11 of a maximum 14 points, with a mean of 6.4 (45.7%), indicating a moderate quality. Overall, nine studies scored at least 50% on the quality scale.

Controversy 5: Preceding or following IBD onset

Quality ranged from 11 to 12 of a maximum 14 points in the two included studies, and was considered high, with a mean of 11.5 (82%).

DISCUSSION

This systematic review examined five primary questions regarding the comorbidity of anxiety and depression with IBD. The original review (1), of which the present paper is an update, identified these five areas of controversy, but was unable to provide definitive answers to these questions due to the paucity of data available at that time. The current review was able to address four of the five questions more definitively, as summarized in Table 7. Specifically, in this review we observed that there were higher rates of both anxiety and depression symptoms in those with IBD compared to healthy individuals without IBD (controversy 1). The bulk of the studies support that the rates of both anxiety and depression are higher during active compared to inactive disease phase (controversy 2), and that overall rates and mean levels of anxiety and depression are significantly but only modestly higher for those with CD compared to UC (controversy 3). Rates of anxiety and depression in IBD were observed to be lower when compared to those with other chronic health conditions, noting that most of the available comparators were gastrointestinal GI conditions of varying clinical severity (controversy 4). Given the variety of comparators and major differences between them and IBD in their presentation, aetiology and burden, the biopsychosocial mechanisms behind this finding prove difficult to decipher.

Almost all of the studies measured symptoms of anxiety or depression, and not clinically diagnosed disorders, so the mean rates presented may be higher than rates of anxiety and mood disorders. While there is some relationship between elevated symptom scores and clinical diagnoses

of mood and anxiety disorders, they are not fully concordant (79, 80). Nevertheless, the Walker study (9), which was population-based, had age and gender-matched controls, and used a structured diagnostic interview, the gold-standard for clinical diagnosis, clearly indicated elevated rates of depression for individuals with IBD.

The quality of evidence for these first four research questions, in terms of study design, was moderate, so there can be some confidence in the observations. However, further method improvements are needed. Study participants were commonly recruited as convenience samples from clinics, increasing the potential for bias and threats to external validity. Only 10% of the studies which met the inclusion criteria and had applicable data to address these research questions were population-based. The reliance on symptom measures, the wide variability of screening measures used, the use of differing cut-offs even with the same measures (e.g., HADS cut-off of >7, >8, ≥ 8 , ≥ 11), and the range of ways that mental health data was reported (e.g., different measures of central tendency and variability), make cross comparison difficult, adding confusion and unnecessary heterogeneity in this area. As well, the majority of studies did not provide sample size calculations, despite the need for such calculations in every scientific study to ensure that there is sufficient power so that if a difference of clinical interest is found, it is likely to be statistically significant (81).

The most challenging aspect to address regarding the comorbidity of anxiety and depression with IBD is whether these mental disorders precede or develop after IBD onset (controversy 5). The vast majority of studies used a cross-sectional design and were unable to shed light on this question, with only 2 of the 210 studies which met general inclusion criteria having applicable data (9, 22). The study which evaluated adults found that the large majority of individuals had first onset of anxiety well before the IBD (more than 10 years) (9). Since anxiety onset is very common in adolescence and young adulthood, the high rates of anxiety onset around this time point may well be reflecting the natural course of an anxiety disorder. With regard to depression, just over half of those experiencing depression had first onset of depression prior to IBD onset, with one-quarter developing the depression a few years or more after IBD onset (9). These findings are intriguing, and raise the question of bidirectional risk. They are in line with experimental work with animals, which has demonstrated that depression may precede and can increase vulnerability to inflammation (82, 83). However, the timelines were established retrospectively through structured clinical interviews to determine lifetime prevalence of mental disorders, so there is potential for recall bias. The epidemiological study of children, which evaluated incident cases of depression and anxiety, concluded that having IBD increased the risk of developing anxiety or depression (22). Its use of diagnostic criteria, large sample size, and age and gender-matched controls provide some confidence in the conclusions.

Recommendations

The high rate of anxiety and depression in individuals with IBD and the impact of mental comorbidities on the disease-related outcomes warrant a systemic approach to screening and treatment. While studies on mental health in primary care do not always support the value of routine screening (84), its benefit has been established in populations with chronic conditions or mental disorders (85). However, as was evident in our review, there are a myriad of mental health screening measures used, and it is notable that few have been validated in an IBD population (38, 86). Thus, the approach to and effectiveness of screening for mental disorders as part of IBD care has yet to be empirically validated. Nonetheless, new approaches to healthcare delivery such as stepped care or integrated care may facilitate better detection and management of anxiety and depression coexistent with IBD (87-89).

This review has demonstrated a significant level of psychological co-morbidities in IBD. Yet, treatment of anxiety and depression is often not considered as part of standard IBD care (90). While financial strain of the public healthcare systems around the world and medical training of IBD physicians to treat the somatic symptoms may contribute to lack of integration of mental health care with general IBD care, another contributing factor may be the controversy on the efficacy of mental health treatments in IBD. To date, there have been no published trials on the use of antidepressants in IBD (91, 92). Psychotherapy has been more carefully examined, with conflicting results. A 2011 Cochrane review, which broadly defined psychotherapy and pooled all modalities together, concluded that psychotherapy had no effect on distress, disease activity, or quality of life in the unselected IBD patients (93). However, recent reviews which used more appropriate categorization of psychological interventions, analysing different types of treatments separately, demonstrated that one type of psychotherapy, cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT), improves psychological distress, with modest changes in gastrointestinal symptoms (94, 95). These differing conclusions largely stem from methodological differences in the approach to the reviews, but also from the paucity of well-designed large-scale clinical trials on psychotherapy in IBD. Clinical trials need to ensure sufficient power, selection of participants with elevated distress and active disease, validated and objective measures of outcome (e.g., changes in depression, measurement of inflammatory processes), and appropriate control conditions (96). Until there is clearer direction regarding the IBD-specific treatment of anxiety and depression, use of evidenced-based therapies such as cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in general, as specified in the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines, appears prudent (97).

Future directions

The research on mental health comorbidity in IBD is quite extensive, as evidenced by the thousands of citations identified in the search for the past decade alone. The strengths of this review include the comprehensive and systematic approach to evaluating this extensive body of literature, the inclusion of controlled studies, and the focus on a broad range of comparators both within and between those with IBD and others. The review also has some limitations. The available studies were largely from Western Europe and North America, with few to none from areas such as Eastern Europe, South America, Asia and Africa. As there are differing rates of IBD in various regions of the world (2), there may also be differing rates of comorbid depression and anxiety than what was

identified in the current review. In addition, we were unable to examine gender differences in anxiety and depression comorbidity as virtually no studies provided a male/female breakdown of their results. Gender differences in the clinical course of IBD such as earlier disease relapse postsurgery for women have been reported (98), so a careful evaluation of mental health comorbidity for men and women may provide direction for contributory mechanisms. Further, primary depression is much more common for women than for men (99). Many of the studies in our review had a modestly larger proportion of female participants, raising the possibility that rates are somewhat elevated due to overrepresentation of females in these samples. Finally, most of the studies involved adult IBD participants, with relatively few providing data for paediatric samples, and only one study using a geriatric IBD sample (43). Where data was available, we provided anxiety and depression rates that were specific to these age groups, but it is difficult to draw conclusions about comparative rates of anxiety and depression for children and the elderly in the IBD context at this time.

We have briefly highlighted some of the ongoing methodological problems and study heterogeneity in the clinical literature. In order to improve the quality of research in this area, and facilitate the use of meta-analytic approaches in the future, researchers are encouraged to consider the following design and reporting elements: a) cohort, case-control designs rather than crosssectional; b) population-based or at a minimum consecutively recruited participants; c) comparison groups, including both healthy and chronically ill controls; d) justification for the sample size and attrition; e) control for confounders (e.g., psychiatric history); f) present data separately for IBD subtypes, disease activity, and male/female and provide means (SD) and proportions with confidence intervals where appropriate; g) measure IBD outcomes such as time to relapse, as well as inclusion of objective measures such as faecal calprotectin, or optimally endoscopy; and h) use of validated screening measures as well as validated clinical diagnostic measures (e.g., ICD codes, structured clinical interviews), with effort to be more homogeneous. With regard to this latter point, there was tremendous variability in measurement of anxiety and depression; 16 scales (5 for both anxiety and depression; 6 for depression alone; and 5 for anxiety alone) were used across the 66 unique studies examined in this systematic review and only two appear to have been validated in individuals with IBD (38, 86). We observed that the HADS was the most commonly used measure, among the studies with applicable data for the controversies addressed in this review, and that it aims to evaluate anxiety and depression symptoms using a common metric. Despite the extensive use of the HADS in medical patients generally (based on PubMed, since its development in the 1980s it has been used in over 3,700 studies) and with IBD patients to date, it has yet to be validated in IBD. It also must be noted that more recently the HADS has been shown to differentiate poorly between anxiety and depression (100, 101). Given this, further research is required to both validate, and develop clear cut-offs and meaning in the IBD context.

REFERENCES

1. Mikocka-Walus A, Turnbull DA, Moulding NT, Wilson IG, Andrews JM, Holtmann GJ. Controversies surrounding the comorbidity of depression and anxiety in inflammatory bowel disease patients: a literature review. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2007 Feb;13(2):225-34. Epub 2007/01/09. eng.

2. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, Ghali WA, Ferris M, Chernoff G, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology. 2012 Jan;142(1):46-54 e42; quiz e30.

3. Panara AJ, Yarur AJ, Rieders B, Proksell S, Deshpande AR, Abreu MT, et al. The incidence and risk factors for developing depression after being diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease: a cohort study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014 Apr;39(8):802-10. Epub 2014/03/05. eng.

4. Graff LA, Walker JR, Lix L, Clara I, Rawsthorne P, Rogala L, et al. The relationship of inflammatory bowel disease type and activity to psychological functioning and quality of life. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006 Dec;4(12):1491-501. Epub 2006/12/13. eng.

5. Hauser W, Janke KH, Klump B, Hinz A. Anxiety and depression in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: comparisons with chronic liver disease patients and the general population. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011 Feb;17(2):621-32.

6. Nahon S, Lahmek P, Durance C, Olympie A, Lesgourgues B, Colombel JF, et al. Risk factors of anxiety and depression in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012 Nov;18(11):2086-91. Epub 2012/02/02. eng.

7. Mittermaier C, Dejaco C, Waldhoer T, Oefferlbauer-Ernst A, Miehsler W, Beier M, et al. Impact of depressive mood on relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a prospective 18-month follow-up study. Psychosom Med. 2004 Jan-Feb;66(1):79-84.

8. Fuller-Thomson E, Sulman J. Depression and inflammatory bowel disease: findings from two nationally representative Canadian surveys. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006 Aug;12(8):697-707. Epub 2006/08/19. eng.

9. Walker JR, Ediger JP, Graff LA, Greenfeld JM, Clara I, Lix L, et al. The Manitoba IBD cohort study: a population-based study of the prevalence of lifetime and 12-month anxiety and mood disorders. Am J Gastroenterol. 2008 Aug;103(8):1989-97. Epub 2008/09/18. eng.

10. van Langenberg DR, Lange K, Hetzel DJ, Holtmann GJ, Andrews JM. Adverse clinical phenotype in inflammatory bowel disease: a cross sectional study identifying factors potentially amenable to change. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010 Jul;25(7):1250-8. Epub 2010/07/03. eng.

11. Nigro G, Angelini G, Grosso SB, Caula G, Sategna-Guidetti C. Psychiatric predictors of noncompliance in inflammatory bowel disease: psychiatry and compliance. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2001 Jan;32(1):66-8.

12. Bennebroek Evertsz F, Bockting CL, Stokkers PC, Hinnen C, Sanderman R, Sprangers MA. The effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy on the quality of life of patients with inflammatory bowel disease: multi-center design and study protocol (KL!C- study). BMC Psychiatry. 2012;12:227. Epub 2012/12/15. eng.

23

13. RCP. National audit report of inflammatory bowel disease service provision: adult national report. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2014.

14. Sanderson S, Tatt ID, Higgins JP. Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. International journal of epidemiology. 2007 Jun;36(3):666-76.

15. Berrill JW, Gallacher J, Hood K, Green JT, Matthews SB, Campbell AK, et al. An observational study of cognitive function in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2013 Nov;25(11):918-e704. Epub 2013/08/29. eng.

16. Bessissow T, Van Keerberghen CA, Van Oudenhove L, Ferrante M, Vermeire S, Rutgeerts P, et al. Anxiety is associated with impaired tolerance of colonoscopy preparation in inflammatory bowel disease and controls. J Crohns Colitis. 2013 Dec 1;7(11):e580-7. Epub 2013/05/15. eng.

17. Calsbeek H, Rijken M, Bekkers MJTM, Dekker J, Van Berge Henegouwen GP. School and leisure activities in adolescents and young adults with chronic digestive disorders: Impact of burden of disease. International Journal of Behavioral Medicine. 2006;13(2):121-30.

18. Calvet X, Gallardo O, Coronas R, Casellas F, Montserrat A, Torrejón A, et al. Remission on thiopurinic immunomodulators normalizes quality of life and psychological status in patients with Crohn's disease. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2006;12(8):692-6.

19. Cotton S, Kudel I, Roberts YH, Pallerla H, Tsevat J, Succop P, et al. Spiritual well-being and mental health outcomes in adolescents with or without inflammatory bowel disease. J Adolesc Health. 2009 May;44(5):485-92. Epub 2009/04/22. eng.

20. Goodhand JR, Wahed M, Mawdsley JE, Farmer AD, Aziz Q, Rampton DS. Mood disorders in inflammatory bowel disease: relation to diagnosis, disease activity, perceived stress, and other factors. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012 Dec;18(12):2301-9. Epub 2012/02/24. eng.

21. Lerebours E, Gower-Rousseau C, Merle V, Brazier F, Debeugny S, Marti R, et al. Stressful life events as a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease onset: A population-based case-control study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007 Jan;102(1):122-31. Epub 2006/11/15. eng.

22. Loftus Jr EV, Guérin A, Yu AP, Wu EQ, Yang M, Chao J, et al. Increased risks of developing anxiety and depression in young patients with crohn's disease. American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2011;106(9):1670-7.

23. Mackner LM, Crandall WV. Long-term psychosocial outcomes reported by children and adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2005;100(6):1386-92.

24. Piche T, Ducrotte P, Sabate JM, Coffin B, Zerbib F, Dapoigny M, et al. Impact of functional bowel symptoms on quality of life and fatigue in quiescent Crohn disease and irritable bowel syndrome. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2010 Jun;22(6):626-e174. Epub 2010/04/21. eng.

25. Reed-Knight B, Lobato D, Hagin S, McQuaid EL, Seifer R, Kopel SJ, et al. Depressive symptoms in youth with inflammatory bowel disease compared with a community sample. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014 Apr;20(4):614-21. Epub 2014/02/13. eng.

26. Van Langenberg DR, Gibson PR. Factors associated with physical and cognitive fatigue in patients with Crohn's disease: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2014;20(1):115-25.

27. Addolorato G, Mirijello A, D'Angelo C, Leggio L, Ferrulli A, Abenavoli L, et al. State and trait anxiety and depression in patients affected by gastrointestinal diseases: psychometric evaluation of 1641 patients referred to an internal medicine outpatient setting. Int J Clin Pract. 2008 Jul;62(7):1063-9. Epub 2008/04/22. eng.

28. Agostini A, Rizzello F, Ravegnani G, Gionchetti P, Tambasco R, Ercolani M, et al. Parental bonding and inflammatory bowel disease. Psychosomatics. 2010 Jan-Feb;51(1):14-21. Epub 2010/02/02. eng.

25

29. Bennebroek Evertsz F, Thijssens NAM, Stokkers PCF, Grootenhuis MA, Bockting CLH, Nieuwkerk PT, et al. Do Inflammatory Bowel Disease patients with anxiety and depressive symptoms receive the care they need? Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2012;6(1):68-76.

30. Bitton A, Dobkin PL, Edwardes MD, Sewitch MJ, Meddings JB, Rawal S, et al. Predicting relapse in Crohn's disease: a biopsychosocial model. Gut. 2008 Oct;57(10):1386-92. Epub 2008/04/09. eng.

31. Cámara RJA, Schoepfer AM, Pittet V, Begré S, Von Känel R. Mood and nonmood components of perceived stress and exacerbation of Crohn's disease. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2011;17(11):2358-65.

32. Díaz Sibaja MÁ, Comeche Moreno MI, Mas Hesse B. Protocolized cognitive-behavioural group therapy for inflammatory bowel disease. Revista Espanola de Enfermedades Digestivas. 2007;99(10):593-8.

33. Farrokhyar F, Marshall JK, Easterbrook B, Irvine EJ. Functional gastrointestinal disorders and mood disorders in patients with inactive inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence and impact on health. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006 Jan;12(1):38-46. Epub 2005/12/24. eng.

34. Gandhi S, Jedel S, Hood MM, Mutlu E, Swanson G, Keshavarzian A. The relationship between coping, health competence and patient participation among patients with inactive inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2014 May 1;8(5):401-8. Epub 2013/11/16. eng.

35. Horst S, Chao A, Rosen M, Nohl A, Duley C, Wagnon JH, et al. Treatment with Immunosuppressive Therapy May Improve Depressive Symptoms in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2014 Oct 2. Epub 2014/10/03. Eng.

36. Iglesias M, Barreiro De Acosta M, Vázquez I, Figueiras A, Nieto L, Lorenzo A, et al. Psychological impact of Crohn's disease on patients in remission: Anxiety and depression risks. Revista Espanola de Enfermedades Digestivas. 2009;101(4):249-57.

37. Jedel S, Merriman P, Hoffman A, Swanson B, Fogg LF, Keshavarzian A. Relationship of Mindfulness, Quality of Life, and Psychiatric Symptoms Among Patients with Ulcerative Colitis. Mindfulness. 2013;4(4):296-300.

38. Kappelman MD, Long MD, Martin C, DeWalt DA, Kinneer PM, Chen W, et al. Evaluation of the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system in a large cohort of patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014 Aug;12(8):1315-23.e2. Epub 2013/11/05. eng.

39. Kim ES, Cho KB, Park KS, Jang BI, Kim KO, Jeon SW, et al. Predictive factors of impaired quality of life in Korean patients with inactive inflammatory bowel disease: association with functional gastrointestinal disorders and mood disorders. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2013 Apr;47(4):e38-44. Epub 2012/10/24. eng.

40. Langhorst J, Hofstetter A, Wolfe F, Häuser W. Short-Term stress, but not mucosal healing nor depression was predictive for the risk of relapse in patients with ulcerative colitis: A prospective 12-month follow-up study. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2013;19(11):2380-6.

41. Larsson K, Loof L, Ronnblom A, Nordin K. Quality of life for patients with exacerbation in inflammatory bowel disease and how they cope with disease activity. J Psychosom Res. 2008 Feb;64(2):139-48.

42. Lix LM, Graff LA, Walker JR, Clara I, Rawsthorne P, Rogala L, et al. Longitudinal study of quality of life and psychological functioning for active, fluctuating, and inactive disease patterns in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2008 Nov;14(11):1575-84. Epub 2008/05/31. eng.

43. Long MD, Kappelman MD, Martin CF, Chen W, Anton K, Sandler RS. Risk factors for depression in the elderly inflammatory bowel disease population. J Crohns Colitis. 2014 Feb;8(2):113-9. Epub 2013/08/13. eng.

44. Reigada LC, Hoogendoorn CJ, Walsh LC, Lai J, Szigethy E, Cohen BH, et al. Anxiety Symptoms and Disease Severity in Children and Adolescents With Crohn Disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2014 Sep 2. Epub 2014/09/05. Eng.

45. Simrén M, Svedlund J, Posserud I, Björnsson ES, Abrahamsson H. Health-related quality of life in patients attending a gastroenterology outpatient clinic: Functional disorders versus organic diseases. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2006;4(2):187-95.

46. Vidal A, Gomez-Gil E, Sans M, Portella MJ, Salamero M, Piqué JM, et al. Health-related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease patients: The role of psychopathology and personality. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2008;14(7):977-83.

47. Zhang CK, Hewett J, Hemming J, Grant T, Zhao H, Abraham C, et al. The influence of depression on quality of life in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013 Jul;19(8):1732-9. Epub 2013/05/15. eng.

48. Zimmerman LA, Srinath AI, Goyal A, Bousvaros A, Ducharme P, Szigethy E, et al. The overlap of functional abdominal pain in pediatric Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013 Mar-Apr;19(4):826-31. Epub 2013/02/15. eng.

49. Banovic I, Gilibert D, Cosnes J. Crohn's disease and fatigue: constancy and co-variations of activity of the disease, depression, anxiety and subjective quality of life. Psychol Health Med. 2010 Aug;15(4):394-405. Epub 2010/08/03. eng.

50. Langhorst J, Hofstetter A, Wolfe F, Hauser W. Short-term stress, but not mucosal healing nor depression was predictive for the risk of relapse in patients with ulcerative colitis: a prospective 12-month follow-up study. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013 Oct;19(11):2380-6.

51. Ananthakrishnan AN, Gainer VS, Cai T, Perez RG, Cheng SC, Savova G, et al. Similar risk of depression and anxiety following surgery or hospitalization for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013 Apr;108(4):594-601. Epub 2013/01/23. eng.

52. Ananthakrishnan AN, Gainer VS, Perez RG, Cai T, Cheng SC, Savova G, et al. Psychiatric co-morbidity is associated with increased risk of surgery in Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2013 Feb;37(4):445-54. Epub 2013/01/08. eng.

53. Cohen BL, Zoëga H, Shah SA, Leleiko N, Lidofsky S, Bright R, et al. Fatigue is highly associated with poor health-related quality of life, disability and depression in newly-diagnosed patients with inflammatory bowel disease, independent of disease activity. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2014;39(8):811-22.

54. Häuser W, Janke KH, Klump B, Hinz A. Anxiety and depression in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Comparisons with chronic liver disease patients and the general population. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2011;17(2):621-32.

55. Herzog D, Landolt MA, Buehr P, Heyland K, Rogler D, Koller R, et al. Low prevalence of behavioural and emotional problems among Swiss paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Arch Dis Child. 2013 Jan;98(1):16-9.

56. Iglesias-Rey M, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Caamano-Isorna F, Rodriguez IV, Ferreiro R, Lindkvist B, et al. Psychological factors are associated with changes in the health-related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014 Jan;20(1):92-102.

57. Iglesias-Rey M, Barreiro-De Acosta M, Caamaño-Isorna F, Vázquez Rodríguez I, Lorenzo González A, Bello-Paderne X, et al. Influence of alexithymia on health-related quality of life in inflammatory bowel disease: Are there any related factors? Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology. 2012;47(4):445-53.

58. Janke KH, Klump B, Gregor M, Meisner C, Haeuser W. Determinants of life satisfaction in inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006 Mar;11(3):272-86. Epub 2005/03/01. eng.

59. Rochelle TL, Fidler H. The importance of illness perceptions, quality of life and psychological status in patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. J Health Psychol. 2013 Jul;18(7):972-83. Epub 2012/10/03. eng.

29

60. Schuman SL, Graef DM, Janicke DM, Gray WN, Hommel KA. An exploration of family problem-solving and affective involvement as moderators between disease severity and depressive symptoms in adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. J Clin Psychol Med Settings. 2013 Dec;20(4):488-96. Epub 2013/06/25. eng.

61. Selinger CP, Lal S, Eaden J, Jones DB, Katelaris P, Chapman G, et al. Better disease specific patient knowledge is associated with greater anxiety in inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2013;7(6):e214-e8.

62. Sulz MC, Siebert U, Arvandi M, Gothe RM, Wurm J, Von Känel R, et al. Predictors for hospitalization and outpatient visits in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Results from the Swiss Inflammatory Bowel Disease Cohort Study. European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2013;25(7):790-7.

63. Timmer A, Bauer A, Kemptner D, Furst A, Rogler G. Determinants of male sexual function in inflammatory bowel disease: a survey-based cross-sectional analysis in 280 men. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2007 Oct;13(10):1236-43. Epub 2007/05/18. eng.

64. Timmer A, Kemptner D, Bauer A, Takses A, Ott C, Fürst A. Determinants of female sexual function in inflammatory bowel disease: A survey based cross-sectional analysis. BMC Gastroenterology. 2008;8.

65. van der Valk ME, Mangen MJJ, Leenders M, Dijkstra G, van Bodegraven AA, Fidder HH, et al. Risk factors of work disability in patients with inflammatory bowel disease - A Dutch nationwide web-based survey. Work disability in inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2014;8(7):590-7.

66. Pellissier S, Dantzer C, Canini F, Mathieu N, Bonaz B. Psychological adjustment and autonomic disturbances in inflammatory bowel diseases and irritable bowel syndrome. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2010 Jun;35(5):653-62. Epub 2009/11/17. eng.

67. Bol Y, Duits AA, Vertommen-Mertens CER, Hupperts RMM, Romberg-Camps MJL, Verhey FRJ, et al. The contribution of disease severity, depression and negative affectivity to

30

fatigue in multiple sclerosis: A comparison with ulcerative colitis. Journal of Psychosomatic Research. 2010;69(1):43-9.

68. Brandi MT, Ribeiro MS, Chebli LA, Franco MB, Pinto ALT, Gaburri PD, et al. Psychological distress in Brazilian Crohn's disease patients: Screening, prevalence, and risk factors. Medical Science Monitor. 2009;15(8):PH101-PH8.

69. Bullen TL, Sharpe L, Lawsin C, Patel DC, Clarke S, Bokey L. Body image as a predictor of psychopathology in surgical patients with colorectal disease. J Psychosom Res. 2012 Dec;73(6):459-63. Epub 2012/11/15. eng.

70. Castaneda AE, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Aronen ET, Marttunen M, Kolho KL. Cognitive functioning and depressive symptoms in adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2013 Mar 14;19(10):1611-7. Epub 2013/03/30. eng.

71. Filipović BR, Filipović BF, Kerkez M, Milinić N, Randelović T. Depression and anxiety levels in therapy-naïve patients with inflammatory bowel disease and cancer of the colon. World Journal of Gastroenterology. 2007;13(3):438-43.

72. Jayanath S, Lee WS, Chinna K, Boey CC. Depressive symptoms in children with chronic gastrointestinal disorders. Pediatr Int. 2014 Aug;56(4):583-7. Epub 2014/03/13. eng.

73. Kovács Z, Kovács F. Depressive and anxiety symptoms, dysfunctional attitudes and social aspects in irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine. 2007;37(3):245-55.

74. Miehsler W, Weichselberger M, Öfferlbauer-Ernst A, Dejaco C, Reinisch W, Vogelsang H, et al. Which patients with IBD need psychological interventions? A controlled study. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. 2008;14(9):1273-80.

75. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull DA, Andrews JM, Moulding NT, Wilson IG, Harley HAJ, et al. Psychological problems in gastroenterology outpatients: A South Australian experience. Psychological co-morbidity in IBD, IBS and hepatitis C. Clinical Practice and Epidemiology in Mental Health. 2008;4.

76. Naliboff BD, Kim SE, Bolus R, Bernstein CN, Mayer EA, Chang L. Gastrointestinal and psychological mediators of health-related quality of life in IBS and IBD: a structural equation modeling analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2012 Mar;107(3):451-9. Epub 2011/11/17. eng.

77. Seres G, Kovács Z, Kovács Á, Kerékgyártó O, Sárdi K, Demeter P, et al. Different associations of health related quality of life with pain, psychological distress and coping strategies in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disorder. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings. 2008;15(4):287-95.

78. Tkalčić M, Hauser G, Štimac D. Differences in the health-related quality of life, affective status, and personality between irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease patients. European Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 2010;22(7):862-7.

79. Jones JE, Hermann BP, Woodard JL, Barry JJ, Gilliam F, Kanner AM, et al. Screening for major depression in epilepsy with common self-report depression inventories. Epilepsia. 2005 May;46(5):731-5.

80. Sandanger I, Moum T, Ingebrigtsen G, Dalgard OS, Sorensen T, Bruusgaard D. Concordance between symptom screening and diagnostic procedure: the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 and the Composite International Diagnostic Interview I. Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology. 1998 Jul;33(7):345-54.

81. STROBE. STROBE Statement Bern: University of Bern; 2009 [05/08/2015]. Available from: <u>http://www.strobe-</u>

statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_combined.pdf.

82. Ghia JE, Blennerhassett P, Collins SM. Impaired parasympathetic function increases susceptibility to inflammatory bowel disease in a mouse model of depression. J Clin Invest. 2008 Jun;118(6):2209-18. Epub 2008/05/03. eng.

83. Ghia JE, Blennerhassett P, Deng Y, Verdu EF, Khan WI, Collins SM. Reactivation of inflammatory bowel disease in a mouse model of depression. Gastroenterology. 2009 Jun;136(7):2280-8 e1-4. Epub 2009/03/11. eng.

32

84. Thombs BD, Arthurs E, Coronado-Montoya S, Roseman M, Delisle VC, Leavens A, et al. Depression screening and patient outcomes in pregnancy or postpartum: a systematic review. J Psychosom Res. 2014 Jun;76(6):433-46.

85. Goldberg D. The value of screening in patient populations with high prevalence of a disorder. BMC medicine. 2014;12:14.

86. Thompson RD, Craig AE, Mrakotsky C, Bousvaros A, DeMaso DR, Szigethy E. Using the Children's Depression Inventory in youth with inflammatory bowel disease: support for a physical illness-related factor. Compr Psychiatry. 2012 Nov;53(8):1194-9. Epub 2012/06/12. eng.

87. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull D, Holtmann G, Andrews JM. An integrated model of care for inflammatory bowel disease sufferers in Australia: development and the effects of its implementation. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012 Aug;18(8):1573-81. Epub 2011/12/20. eng.

88. Phan VA, van Langenberg DR, Grafton R, Andrews JM. A dedicated inflammatory bowel disease service quantitatively and qualitatively improves outcomes in less than 18 months: a prospective cohort study in a large metropolitan centre. Frontline Gastroenterology. 2012;3:137-42.

89. Sack C, Phan VA, Grafton R, Van Langenberg DR, Holtmann G, Andrews JM. A chronic care model significantly decreases costs and healthcare utilisation in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2012;6(3):302-10.

90. Hauser W, Moser G, Klose P, Mikocka-Walus A. Psychosocial issues in evidence-based guidelines on inflammatory bowel diseases: a review. World journal of gastroenterology : WJG. 2014 Apr 7;20(13):3663-71.

91. Mikocka-Walus AA, Turnbull DA, Moulding NT, Wilson IG, Andrews JM, Holtmann GJ. Antidepressants and inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. Clinical practice and epidemiology in mental health : CP & EMH. 2006;2:24.

92. Mikocka-Walus A, Clarke D, Gibson P. Can antidepressants influence the course of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)? The current state of research The European Gastroenterology and Hepatology Review. 2009;5(1):48-53.

33

93. Timmer A, Preiss JC, Motschall E, Rucker G, Jantschek G, Moser G. Psychological interventions for treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011 (2):CD006913.

94. Knowles SR, Monshat K, Castle DJ. The efficacy and methodological challenges of psychotherapy for adults with inflammatory bowel disease: a review. Inflammatory bowel diseases. 2013 Nov;19(12):2704-15.

95. McCombie AM, Mulder RT, Gearry RB. Psychotherapy for inflammatory bowel disease: a review and update. Journal of Crohn's & colitis. 2013 Dec;7(12):935-49.

96. Graff L. Psychological treatment outcomes in IBD, methodological issues and future directions. In: Knowles SRM-W, A., editor. Psychological Aspects of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A biopsychosocial approach. London: Routledge; 2014. p. 127-82.

97. NICE. Depression in adults: The treatment and management of depression in adults London: The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; 2009. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg90/chapter/1-recommendations.

98. Wagtmans MJ, Verspaget HW, Lamers CB, van Hogezand RA. Gender-related differences in the clinical course of Crohn's disease. The American journal of gastroenterology. 2001 May;96(5):1541-6.

99. Piccinelli M, Wilkinson G. Gender differences in depression. Critical review. The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science. 2000 Dec;177:486-92.

100. Norton S, Cosco T, Doyle F, Done J, Sacker A. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale: a meta confirmatory factor analysis. J Psychosom Res. 2013 Jan;74(1):74-81.

101. Cosco TD, Doyle F, Ward M, McGee H. Latent structure of the Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale: a 10-year systematic review. J Psychosom Res. 2012 Mar;72(3):180-4.

ABBREVIATIONS

- BAS = Bates Anxiety Scale
- BDI = Beck Depression Inventory
- CAI = Clinical Activity Index
- CD = Crohn's disease
- CDAI = Crohn's Disease Activity Index
- CDI = Children's Depression Inventory
- CIDI = Comprehensive International Diagnostic Interview
- CRP = C-reactive protein
- DAI = Modified/Simplified Disease Activity Index
- ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate
- GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale
- HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
- HAM = Hamilton Anxiety and Depression (HAM-A = anxiety scale; HAM-D = depression scale)
- Hb = haemoglobin
- HBI = Harvey Bradshaw Index
- HC = healthy controls
- IBD = inflammatory bowel disease
- IBS = irritable bowel syndrome
- IQR = inter-quartile range
- LCAI = Lichtiger Colitis Activity Index
- MIBDI = Manitoba IBD index
- MSDAI = Mayo Score Disease Activity Index
- N/A = not available
- ns = not significant
- PCDAI = Paediatric Crohn's Disease Activity Index
- PGWBI = Psychological General Wellbeing Index

PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire PROMIS = Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System RMAS = Revised Manifest Anxiety Scale SAI = Seo's Activity Index SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders SCCAI = Simple Clinical Colitis Activity Index SCL90R = Symptom Checklist questionnaire- revised SD = standard deviation STAI = State-trait Anxiety Inventory TWI = Truelove-Witts Index UC = ulcerative colitis Zung SDS = Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale

LIST OF SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT

Supplemental Appendix 1 (Prisma Checklist) word document

Supplemental Appendix 2 (Quality appraisal scale) word document

Supplemental Table 1 (Search strategy and search results) word document

Table 1: the search strategy and search results

	Ebscohos	t Medline	CIN	NAHL	E	mbase	Psyc	chINFO
IBD KEY WORDS	FULL (N)	Limited (N)						
"Inflammatory bowel"	31,361	19,001	2,626	2,055	47,740	33,491	407	272
colitis	53,511	20,895	2,669	1,904	97,954	50,637	637	194
"Crohn disease"	28,507	10,082	2,176	1,518	62,914	35,603	19	12
enterocolitis	12,883	5,327	1,610	1,245	15,440	7,925	67	52
pancolitis	505	283	25	18	1,187	913	0	0
proctitis	2,916	849	80	60	5,526	2,579	9	5
proctocolitis	644	124	6	3	1,194	310	1	1
"toxic megacolon"	626	180	30	18	1,447	593	1	1
ulcerative	40,798	14,364	2,090	1,412	67,880	31,699	467	104
Ileitis	3,285	637	37	31	6,056	2,639	14	1
Enteritis	12,973	2,820	215	151	59,054	32,555	39	16
"Inflammatory bowel" OR Colitis OR "Crohn disease" OR enterocolitis OR pancolitis OR proctitis OR proctocolitis OR "toxic megacolon" OR ulcerative OR Ileitis OR Enteritis PUT ALL THE LIMITED ABSTRACTS FOUND FOR THIS SEARCH INTO 1 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "IBD"	113,846	45,888	7,774	5,765	196,500	100,359	1,091	484

	Ebscohos	t Medline	CI	NAHL	E	mbase	Psy	chINFO
IBD KEY WORDS	FULL (N)	Limited (N)						
PSYCH KEY WORDS								
"depress* disorder*"	78,299	35,515	2,570	1,976	31,646	21,116	18,457	11,111
"depressive disorder, major"	17,628	12,975	5	3	59	45	25	14
"major depressive disorder"	13,134	9,620	1,535	627	17,803	13,863	10,930	7,371
depression	277,941	122,160	63,520	44,490	468,859	246,765	159,620	76,946
"depress* symptoms"	29,165	21,626	8,743	6,841	37,108	28,174	25,219	17,331
"adjustment disorder"	728	353	128	82	3,581	1,758	715	300
"anxiety disorder*"	33,588	18,717	4,843	3,743	59,467	43,435	41,613	22,692
"anxiety symptoms"	4,309	3,218	879	728	5,743	4,340	4,260	2,920
anxious	11,540	6,072	1,982	1,228	16,360	8,712	12,979	5,631
anxiety	154,128	77,237	32,762	22,496	236,096	137,136	133,489	61,941
"panic disorder"	8,786	3,592	1,217	749	9,797	4,174	7,871	2,716
OCD	6,268	3,988	649	442	8,885	5,993	5,980	3,640
"obsessive-compulsive disorder"	13,086	5,909	2,050	1,428	20,685	12,845	10,236	5,206
"phobic disorder"	90	17	10	2	123	34	103	14
"mood disorder"	19,879	11,284	1,425	1,052	38,786	28,861	8,306	6,660
"social anxiety"	3,459	2,558	560	448	4,555	3,328	4,980	3,141
hypochondriasis	2,583	454	221	115	4,500	1,314	1,631	370
PTSD	13,573	9,824	3,045	2,409	18,070	13,307	15,774	9,724
"post traumatic stress"	6,846	4,739	1,998	1,385	9,624	6,686	5,483	3,707
"posttraumatic stress"	12,185	8,579	2,763	2,173	37,680	25,638	19,577	12,009
distress	85,743	40,387	20,439	13,559	139,805	77,110	34,666	19,067
"depress* disorder*" OR "depressive disorder, major" OR "major depressive disorder" OR depression OR "depress* symptoms" OR "adjustment disorder" OR "anxiety disorder*" OR "anxiety symptoms" OR anxious OR anxiety OR "panic disorder" OR OCD OR "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR "phobic disorder" OR "mood disorder*" OR "social anxiety" OR hypochondriasis OR PTSD OR "post traumatic stress" OR "posttraumatic stress" OR distress PUT ALL THE LIMITED ABSTRACTS FOUND FOR THIS SEARCH INTO 1 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "PSYCH"	502,723	221,825	105,400	72,118	767,079	400,915	295,610	139,258

	Ebscohos	t Medline	CIN	NAHL	E	nbase	Psyc	chINFO
IBD KEY WORDS	FULL (N)	Limited (N)						
COMBINED KEY WORDS								
("Inflammatory bowel" OR Colitis OR "Crohn disease" OR enterocolitis OR pancolitis OR proctitis OR proctocolitis OR "toxic megacolon" OR ulcerative OR Ileitis OR Enteritis) AND ("depress* disorder*" OR "depressive disorder, major" OR "major depressive disorder" OR depression OR "depress* symptoms" OR "adjustment disorder" OR "anxiety disorder*" OR "anxiety symptoms" OR anxious OR anxiety OR "panic disorder" OR OCD OR "obsessive-compulsive disorder" OR "phobic disorder" OR "mood disorder*" OR "social anxiety" OR hypochondriasis OR PTSD OR "post traumatic stress" OR "posttraumatic stress" OR distress) PUT ALL THE LIMITED ABSTRACTS FOUND FOR THIS SEARCH INTO 1 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "IBD AND PSYCH"	1,840	891	266	197	5,498	3,812	230	85
ALSO PUT ALL REFERENCES FOUND INTO AN ENOTE FILE								

Table 2. Summary characteristics of the studies addressing controversy 1: Are rates of anxiety/depression in IBD similar or different to that reported for the healthy/general population controls? (*special populations indicated in grey*)

Authors, year, country and quality score	Study information Design; setting; recruitment	Participant characteristics (sample size, IBD type, median/mean age and age range, sex)	Measures of anxiety, depression, disease activity	Results
Berrill et al. 2013 (15) UK Quality: 8/14	cross sectional; IBD adult outpatients attending gastroenterology hospital-based clinics; healthy controls from	IBD : 150 participants (36% CD) mean age 45.7 (11.3), 63% female Controls: 41 healthy individuals, mean age	Anxiety: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety (HADS-A) Depression: HADS-D	Anxiety: IBD median=9, range 1-20 Healthy Controls median=5, range 0-14 HC< IBD, p<0.001 Depression: IBD median= 5, range 0-16 Healthy Controls median=2, range 0-8
	local advertising	43.8 (13.4), 61% female	Disease activity: faecal calprotectin	HC< IBD, p<0.001
Bessissow et al 2013 (16)	case-control; IBD recruited from tertiary care centre database if	IBD : 100 participants (63% CD); median age 40 (IQR 31-49), range	Anxiety: State-trait Anxiety Inventory – State (STAI-S),	Anxiety (STAI-S) before colonoscopy: IBD median=41.5, IQR 34-49.5; Controls median 39.0, IQR 30.5-49.5, p=ns
Belgium	having colonoscopy; age and sex matched non-	19-66, 52% female	HADS-A	Anxiety (HADS-A):
Quality: 6/14	IBD controls from electronic record database having colonoscopy; measured before and during colonoscopy	Controls : 100 non-IBD participants, median age 41 (IQR 31-51), 52% female	Depression: HADS-D Disease activity: endoscopy	IBD median=6, IQR 3-9 Controls median=5 IQR=2-8, p=.09 Depression (HADS-D): IBD median =5, IQR 4-7, Controls median=4, IQR 3-5.5 p=.01
Calsbeek et al 2006 (17)	cross sectional; adolescents and young adults; IBD recruited via	IBD: 305 participants, mean age 20 (3.7); 54.8% females	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D	Anxiety: IBD mean =5.0 (3.8); Controls mean=4.3 (3.0); p=ns
The Netherlands	medical specialists in academic and specialized	Controls: 306 non-IBD	Disease activity – not	Depression: IBD mean=2.8 (2.9) Controls mean=2.6 (2.5) p=ns
Quality: 5/14	hospitals; diagnosis at least 6 months; controls recruited from patient files of 173 general practitioners who were	participants from same GP practices; mean age 18.5 (3.9); 54.9% females	measured	

	also GPs of chronic			
	disease participants			
Calvet et al. 2006	case-control;	IBD : 33 CD participants	Anxiety: Hamilton	Anxiety: Inactive CD mean=6.45 (SD 5.4)
(18)	consecutive IBD patients	with inactive IBD mean	Anxiety and	Active CD mean=16.2 (SD 6.8)
	treated with	age =35.1 (SD 9.8), 9%	Depression – Anxiety	Controls mean=5.48 (SD 4.9)
Spain	azathioprine or 6-	female	(HAM-A)	Active CD>inactive CD/controls p<0.01;
	mercaptopurine;			Depression: inactive CD mean=3.73 (SD 3)
Quality: 9/14	healthy controls age and	14 CD participants with	Depression: HAM-D	Active CD mean=10.86 (SD 5.9)
	sex-matched 2:1,	active disease mean		Controls mean=3.26 (SD 3.6),
	recruited from families	age= 34.2 (SD 11.1),	Cut-off score for severe	Active CD>inactive CD/controls p<0.01;
	of patients at ambulatory	93% female	anxiety=15; for major	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
	surgery facilities for		depression =18	Inactive CD 9.1%
	minimally invasive	Controls : 66 healthy	_	Active CD 71.4%
	procedures.	individuals mean age=	Disease activity:	Controls 7.6%
	-	34.7 (SD 9.4), 54%	Harvey Bradshaw	Depression: proportion above cut-off
		female	Index (HBI)	Inactive CD 0%
			· · ·	Active CD 14%
				Controls 1.5%
Cotton et al. 2009	cross-sectional ;	IBD : 67 participants;	Anxiety: not measured	
(19)	adolescents; IBD	CD=52, UC=13,		
	patients recruited from	indeterminate=2; mean	Depression: Children's	Depression: IBD mean=2.46 (SD 2.82)
USA	hospital IBD clinic and	age=15.5 (1.98; range	Depression Inventory-	Controls mean=1.68 (SD 2.22) p=0.04
	university hospital;	11-19), 55% female	short form (CDI, 10	
Quality: 5/14	controls recruited from		item)	
- •	hospital teen health clinic	Controls : 88 healthy		
		individuals; mean	Disease activity:	
		age=14.8 (1.9; range 11-	Lloyd-Still and Green	
		18), 43% female	score	
Goodhand et al.	cross-sectional; IBD	IBD : 204 IBD	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: inactive UC mean=7.8 (SD 3.5)
2012 (20)	patients recruited from	participants, 103 UC	-	inactive CD mean=8.1 (SD 3.7)
	tertiary referral centre;	mean age 42.1 years (SD	Depression: HADS-D	active UC mean=9.7 (SD 4.7)
UK	controls recruited from	14.2), 59% female, 72%	-	active CD mean=9.7 (SD 3.7)
	healthy volunteers	Caucasian, 38% active	Cut-off score > 7	Controls mean=3.2 (SD 1.8)
Quality: 6/14	attending the	disease; 101 CD mean		Inactive UC/CD=Active CD/UC > controls p<0.0001
-	hospital for an unrelated	age 42.8 years (SD 14.3),	Disease activity:	-
	research study	57% female, 88%	Simple Clinical Colitis	Depression: inactive UC mean =3.3 (SD 2.4)
	-	Caucasian, 27% active	Activity Index	inactive CD mean=4.2 (SD 3.6)
		disease	(SCCAI),	active UC mean= 6.1 (SD 3.6)
			sigmoidoscopy,	active CD mean=5.6 (SD 2.3)

		Controls: 124 healthy individuals, mean age 28.7 years (SD 8.3), 43% female, 74% Caucasian	Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI), faecal calprotectin	controls mean= 1.7 (SD 1.4) Inactive UC/CD=Active CD/UC > controls p<0.0001
Lerebours et al 2007 (21)	population-based case- control ; recruited newly diagnosed IBD patients	IBD: 241 IBD participants; 167 CD, mean age 32.3 (SD 13.1),	Anxiety: Bates Anxiety Scale	Anxiety: CD mean=52.22 (25.19) UC mean=40.31 (21.65) Controls: mean=37.09 (21.28)
France	(< 6 months) from IBD registry of 1009 incident	62% female; 74 UC, mean age 36.1 (SD 15.1),	Depression: Beck Depression Inventory-	CD>UC=controls p<0.0083
Quality: 11/14	IBD cases; healthy controls recruited from blood donor clinics in the same area and time period, with no GI disease history	50% female Controls: 255 blood donor individuals, mean age 37.1 (SD 11.9), 51% female	short (BDI, 13 items) cut-off score ≥ 4 Disease activity: unspecified	Depression: CD mean=7.41 (5.87) UC mean =6.13 (4.93) Controls mean=4.40 (4.18) CD & UC > controls $p<0.0083$ Depression: proportion above cut-off CD=61% UC=57% Controls=38%
Loftus et al. 2011 (22) USA Quality: 12/14	retrospective cohort; youth; IBD and nonIBD age and sex-matched cases taken from national database of health service claims data for approximately 100 third- party payers, including employers and health	IBD: 2,144 CD cases Controls: 10,720 non- IBD cases Both groups: mean age at index date 11.8 years, (SD N/A) ,46.1% female	Anxiety: ICD 9 CM codes 293.84, 300.0x, 313.0x (includes anxiety states and disorder) Depression: ICD 9 CM codes 296.2x, 296.3x, 298.0x, 300.4x, 309.1x,	Anxiety: CD incident rate=1.81 cases per 100 patients years Controls incident rate= 0.57 cases; p<0.0001 Depression: CD incident rate=2.69 cases per 100 patient years Controls incident rate=1.22 cases; p<0.0001
	plans; observations from minimum of 6 months to a 6.5 years		311.xx (includes major depressive disorder, depressive type psychosis, dysthymia) Disease activity: based on treatment history	
Mackner & Crandall 2005 (23)	cross sectional; adolescents; IBD patients identified from a clinic schedule	IBD : 50 IBD participants, mean age=14.69 (SD 1.92), 38% female, 80%	Anxiety: Revised Manifest Anxiety Scale (RMAS)	Anxiety: IBD mean= 45.26 (SD 11.51) Control mean=44.12 (SD 10.65); p=n.s. Depression: IBD mean=42.86 (SD 7.36)

USA	review and chart review. Controls recruited from	Caucasian	Depression: CDI	Control mean=44.24 (SD 8.80); p=n.s.
Quality: 7/14	ambulatory clinics and hospital employee families.	Controls : 42 healthy individuals, mean age 14.08 (SD 2.05), 48% female, 79% Caucasian Age range 11-17 for both groups	Cut-off score for each >66 Disease activity: Paediatric Crohn's Disease Activity Index (PCDAI)	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off IBD =2% Controls=5% Depression: proportion above cut-off IBD=0% Controls=2
Piche et al 2010 (24) France Quality: 7/14	cross sectional; CD in remission; IBD patients recruited from 5 university hospitals; healthy controls recruited from patients having screening colonoscopy (i.e., family history, and no GI symptoms)	IBD: 92 participants, mean age 35.9 (SD 12.3), 59% female Controls: 20 individuals, mean age 38.1 (SD 7.3), 65% female	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: BDI-S Disease activity: CDAI plus haemoglobin, CRP, ESR, platelet count, albumin	Results stratified by CD with and without IBS-type symptoms Anxiety: CD with IBS-type symptoms mean = 8.7 (SD 3.9), CD without IBS-type symptoms mean = 8.5 (SD 3.4) Controls mean=4.5 (SD 3.0) CD with IBS-type > controls p=0.004 Depression: CD with IBS-type symptoms mean=6.4 (SD 5.6) CD without IBS-type symptoms mean=4.2 (SD 3.9) Controls mean=1.7 (SD 2.4) CD with IBS-type>controls p=0.001 CD with IBS-type>CD without IBS-type p=0.02
Reed-Knight et al. 2014 (25) USA Quality: 5/14	prospective cohort study; adolescents; IBD patients recruited from IBD research registry at 1 (US) of 24 US and Canadian sites; controls from community sample data from a different study with similar age, ethnicity and geographic region	IBD: 78 participants, 79% CD, 21% UC (mostly inactive/mild disease activity); mean age=13.79 (SD 2.79), 49% female Controls: 564 non-IBD individuals, mean age 12.43 (SD 0.87), 51% female Age range 8-17.5 years	Anxiety: not measured Depression: CDI (27 items) Cut-off score (conservative)=12 Disease activity: abbreviated PCDAI	Depression: IBD mean=4.83 (SD 4.90) Controls mean=6.08 (SD 5.79); p=0.03 Depression: proportion above cut-off 12 IBD=13%; Controls=proportion not available
Van Langenberg & Gibson 2014	cross-sectional; consecutive patients who	IBD : 181 CD participants, median age	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: CD median= 8 UC median=7

(26)	attended a hospital IBD	41 years (range 18-68),	Depression: HADS-D	Controls median=4
	clinic, invited in person	54% female, 113 UC		(p<0.01)
Australia	at a clinic visit or	patients mean age 50	Disease activity: HBI,	
	through phone;	years, 55% female	SCCAI	Depression: CD median=8
Quality: 6/14	age- and sex-matched			UC median=7
	cohort of healthy	Controls: 85		Controls median=2
	subjects consecutively	healthy volunteers mean		(p<0.01)
	recruited through local	age 38 years, 69%		
	advertisement	female		
Walker et al.	population-based	IBD : 351 participants,	Anxiety: 12 month and	Anxiety: lifetime prevalence
2008 (9)	cohort; recruited from	mean age=43 years (SD	lifetime prevalence of	Social anxiety
	province-wide university	14.06), 60% female, 95%	anxiety disorders	IBD 6%
Canada	IBD research registry, 24	Caucasian, 48% CD,	assessed by	Controls 11%; OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.32–0.85
	months into cohort data	46% UC/ulcerative	Comprehensive	Panic Disorder
Quality: 11/14	collection; control cases	proctitis, 6%	International	IBD 8.0%
	obtained from national	indeterminate colitis.	Diagnostic Interview	Controls 4.7%; OR 1.59, 95% CI 0.96–2.63
	health survey and age,		(CIDI);	Agoraphobia without panic
	gender and region-	Controls: 779 cases,		IBD 1.1%
	matched	mean age=40 years (SD	Depression: 12 month	Controls 0.6%; OR 1.44, 95% CI 0.37-5.55
		14.51), 59.1% female	and lifetime prevalence	
			of mood disorders	Depression: lifetime prevalence
			assessed by CIDI	Major depression
				IBD 27.2%
			Disease activity:	Controls 12.3%; OR 2.20, 95% CI 1.64–2.95
			Manitoba IBD index	
			(MIBDI)	

 Table 3. Summary characteristics of the studies addressing controversy 2: Are rates of anxiety/depression similar or different during inactive versus active disease?

 (special populations indicated in grey)

Authors, year and country	Study information: Design; setting; recruitment	Participant characteristics (sample size, IBD type, age, sex)	Measures anxiety, depression, disease activity	Results
Addolorato et al.	cross-sectional;	135 IBD participants,	Anxiety: STAI	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2008 (27)	consecutively	948 (57.8%) female	Cut-off score =40	State- UC active 92.4%
()	recruited IBD			UC inactive 66%
Italy	outpatients from	Active: 53 UC mean	Depression: Zung Self-	CD active 87.8%
	internal medicine	age=34 years (SD	Rating Depression Scale	CD inactive 55%
Quality: 7/15	outpatient setting	12.7); 41 CD mean	(Zung SDS)	
	1 0	age=36.6 years (SD	Cutoff score >49	Trait- UC active 47.1%
		14.5) % female N/A		UC inactive 47.6%
			Disease activity: CDAI,	CD active 58.5%
		Inactive: 21 UC mean	Truelove-Witts Index	CD inactive 35%
		age=37.5 years (SD	(TWI), cut-off N/A	
		11.1); 20 CD mean		Depression: proportion above cut-off
		age=40.6 (SD 12.9) %		UC active 15%
		female N/A		UC inactive 0%
				CD active 21.9%
				CD inactive 15%
Agostini et al.	case-control;	307 IBD participants	Anxiety: Symptom Checklist	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2010 (28)	members of a		questionnaire (SCL-90–R)	IBD active – n/a
	regional IBD	Active: none		IBD inactive 10.9%
Italy	association		Depression: SCL90-R	
	recruited by mail;	Inactive: 174 CD, 133	Cut-off score $>/= 63$	
Quality: 7/15	controls randomly	UC, mean age=43.14		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	selected from the	years, 51% female	Disease activity – CDAI	IBD active-n/a
	general population		(cut-off >/=150), Clinical	IBD inactive 12.7%
			Activity Index (CAI) (cut-	
			off >/= 2)	
Banovic et al.	prospective 12-	52 CD participants	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: (baseline)
2010 (49)	month observation;			IBD active n/a
	recruited	Active: none	Depression: HADS-D	IBD inactive mean=7.42 (SD 4.77)
France	outpatients from a			(12 months)
	Parisian hospital	Inactive: 52, mean age	Disease activity: CDAI (cut-	IBD active n/a
Quality: 6/15	experiencing	=41.21 (SD 12.54	off >/=150)	IBD inactive mean=7.07 (SD 4.55)

	fatigue and no comorbid rheumatoid or peripheral arthritis.	years), 27% female		Depression: (baseline) IBD active n/a IBD inactive mean= 4.61 (SD 3.51)
				(12 months) IBD active n/a IBD inactive mean= 3.5 (SD 3.26)
Bennebroek Evertsz et al. 2012 (29)	cross-sectional ; consecutive outpatients attending a tertiary	231 IBD participants, 59.7% CD, mean age=43.4 (SD 13.8, range 18-78), 56.3%	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D Cut-off score >/=8 for both	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off IBD active 56.3% IBD inactive 31.8% (OR=2.72 95% CI: 1.14–6.52 p=0.024)
The Netherlands	care centre.	female	Disease activity: HBI (cut-	Depression: proportion above cut-off
Quality: 6/15		Active: 32 Inactive: 157	off >6) and TWI (cut-off >6)	IBD active 41.9% IBD inactive 21.1% (OR=3.36 95% CI: 1.38–8.21 p=0.008).
Bitton et al. 2008 (30)	prospective cohort; 12 month observation;	101 CD patients (60 females, aged 33.6 (SD=12.9) years)	Anxiety: SCL90R Depression: SCL90R	Anxiety: IBD active mean=0.58 (SD 0.62) IBD inactive mean=0.43 (SD 0.56); p=0.25.
Canada	consecutively recruited from	Active: 37	Disease activity: CDAI (cut-	Depression: IBD active mean= 0.86 (SD 0.76)
Quality: 9/15	university health centre and general hospital	Inactive: 64	off >/=150), CRP, ESR, cytokines	IBD inactive mean= 0.57 (SD 0.50); p=0.10
Calvet et al. 2006 (18) Spain	case-control; consecutive IBD patients treated with azathioprine	47 CD participants Active : 14, mean age= 34.2 (SD 11.1), 93%	Anxiety: Hamilton Anxiety and Depression –Anxiety (HAM-A)	Anxiety: CD active mean=16.2 (SD 6.8) CD inactive mean=6.45 (SD 5.4) Active >inactive p<0.01;
Quality: 9/15	or 6- mercaptopurine; healthy controls age and sex-matched	female Inactive: 33, mean age =35.1 (SD 9.8), 55% female	Depression: HAM-D Cut-off score for severe anxiety=15; for major	Depression: CD active mean=10.86 (SD 5.9) CD inactive mean=3.73 (SD 3) Active >inactive p<0.01;
	2:1, recruited from families of patients at ambulatory		depression =18 Disease activity: Harvey	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off CD active 71.4% CD inactive 9.1%
	surgery facilities for minimally invasive procedures.		Bradshaw Index (HBI) (cut- off > 2)	Depression: proportion above cut-off CD active 14% CD inactive 0%

Camara et al. 2011 (31) Switzerland Quality: 9/15 Diaz Sibaja et al. 2007 (32) Spain Quality: 5/15	prospective cohort; 18 months observation, recruited from regional hospitals, and private practices randomised controlled trial; baseline data reported	 468 CD participants, mean age= 41.83 (SD 14.42), 50.6% female Active: 106 Inactive: 362 57 IBD participants, 34 CD, 23 UC Active: none Inactive: 57 	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D Disease activity: CDAI (>/=100 points increase from baseline), need for aggressive treatment, complications Anxiety: HADS-A, SCL90R Depression: BDI (cut-off >9), HADS-D (>/= 8), SCL- 90-R (no cut-off) Disease activity: unreported	Anxiety: CD active mean=8.82 (SD 4.45) CD inactive mean=6.12 (SD 4.01); p<0.001 Depression: CD active mean=5.97 (SD 4.28) CD inactive mean=3.81 (SD 3.68); p<0.001 Anxiety: Treatment, inactive IBD HADS-A mean=9.62 (SD N/A) SCL90-A mean=1.07 (SD N/A) Control, inactive IBD HADS-A mean= 6.36 (SD N/A) SCL90-A mean= 0.75 (SD N/A) Depression: Treatment, inactive IBD BDI mean=13.9 (SD N/A) HADS-D mean= 6.30 (SD N/A) SCL90-A mean=1.51(SD N/A) Control, inactive IBD BDI mean=7.71 (SD N/A) HADS-D mean= 4.36 (SD N/A) SCL90-D mean= 0.81(SD N/A) Anxiety, proportion above cut-off Treatment, inactive IBD BDI mean=7.70%
				SCL90-D 55.9%

Farrokhyar et al.	cross-sectional;	361 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2006 (33)	consecutively	239 CD, 122 UC		IBD inactive 20.9%
	recruited from		Depression: HADS-D	CD inactive 29.8%
Canada	university	Active: 212; other data		UC inactive 20.5%
	ambulatory	N/A	Cut-off score >7 for both	
Quality: 8/15	gastroenterology	Inactive: 149; 77 aged		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	clinic	<40 years, 54% female	Disease activity:	IBD inactive 3.4%
			Modified/Simplified Disease	CD inactive 7.7%
			Activity Index (DAI) (cut- off N/A)	UC inactive 13.6%
			,	Anxiety & Depression:
				IBD inactive 6.1%
				CD inactive N/A
				UC inactive N/A
				Data for active IBD N/A
Gandhi et al. 2014	cross-sectional;	70 IBD participants,	Anxiety: not measured	
(34)	recruited from the	69% CD, mean age		Depression:
	GI/IBD and	43.26 years (SD	Depression: BDI	IBD active mean=16.4 (SD 9.9)
USA	infusion university	16.50), 59% female,		IBD inactive mean= 6.4 (SD 6.0); p<.0.001
	clinics during a	73% Caucasian	Disease activity: HBI,	
Quality: 5/15	regularly scheduled		modified HBI (cut-off $>/= 5$)	
	visit	Active: 18		
		Inactive: 43		
		(does not add up to 70,		
		some data missing)		
Goodhand et al.	cross-sectional;	204 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: UC active mean=9.7 (SD 4.7)
2012 (20)	IBD patients			CD active mean=9.7 (SD 3.7)
	recruited from	103 UC mean age	Depression: HADS-D	UC inactive mean=7.8 (SD 3.5)
UK	tertiary referral	42.1= years (SD 14.2),	Cut-off score > 7 for both	CD inactive mean=8.1 (SD 3.7)
	centre; controls	59% female, 72%		Controls mean=3.2 (SD 1.8)
Quality: 8/15	recruited from	Caucasian, 38% active	Disease activity: SCCAI	Inactive UC/CD=Active CD/UC > controls p<0.0001
	healthy volunteers	UC; 101 CD mean age	(cut-off >2 with a Baron's	
	attending the	42.8=years (SD 14.3),	sigmoidoscopic score >1),	Depression: UC active mean= 6.1 (SD 3.6)
	hospital for an	57% female, 88%	CDAI (cut-off >150), faecal	CD active mean=5.6 (SD 2.3)
	unrelated research	Caucasian, 27% active	calprotectin (cut-off >50	UC inactive mean $=3.3$ (SD 2.4)
	study	CD	mg/kg) and/or CRP(cut-off	CD inactive mean=4.2 (SD 3.6)
			>10 mg/L)	Controls mean= 1.7 (SD 1.4)
		Active: 62		Inactive UC/CD=Active CD/UC > controls p<0.0001

		Inactive: 142		
Graff et al. 2006 (4) Canada Quality: 11/ 15	population-based cohort; recruited from province-wide university IBD research registry	356 IBD patients 169 UC mean age= 43.0 years (SD 14.7), 58% female, 88% Caucasian; 187 CD mean age= 38.5 years (SD 14.6), 61% female, 93% Caucasian Active: 109 UC; 135 CD	Anxiety: Health Anxiety Questionnaire Depression: not measured Disease activity: MIBDI Active disease=symptoms daily-few days/month	Anxiety: UC active mean=15.07 (SD 9.16) UC inactive mean=9.29 (SD 5.59); p=0.01 CD active mean=17.98 (SD 10.6) CD inactive mean=11.85 (SD=7.70); p=0.01
H		Inactive: 56 UC; 48 CD		
Horst et al. 2015 (35)	retrospective cohort; consecutively	69 IBD participants, 16 UC, 53 CD, median age 33 years, 58%	Anxiety: not measured Depression: Patient Health	Depression: IBD active mean=12 (IQR 5,14 IBD inactive mean=6 (IQR: 3, 14)
USA	recruited from single tertiary care	female	Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)	p<0.05
Quality: 10/15	IBD	Active: 69 active initially	Cut-off score >/=10	
		Inactive: some (n=N/A) became inactive during study	Disease activity: HBI, SCCAI (cut-off N/A)	
Iglesias et al. 2009 (36)	cross-sectional; consecutively recruited from	92 CD participants mean age=37 years (SD=11.4), 47.8%	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off CD inactive 39%
Spain	inflammatory bowel disease unit	(SD=11.4), 47.8% female	Cut-off score >/=8 for both	Depression: proportion above cut-off CD inactive 24%
Quality: 8/15		Active: none Inactive: 92	Disease activity – CDAI (cut-off >/=150), HBI (cut- off >3), CRP (cut-off >5 mg/L)	
Jedel et al. 2013	cross-sectional;	50 UC participants	Anxiety: STAI	Anxiety: UC mild active mean=35.37 (SD 8.72)

(37)	recruited from	mean age 42.2 years		UC inactive mean=40.74 (SD 14.17)
	university medical	(SD 12.3), 62% female,	Depression: BDI	(p=n.s.)
USA	centre	78% Caucasian		
0 11 6/1 7			Disease activity: Mayo	Depression: UC mild active mean=8.27 (SD 8.5)
Quality: 6/15		Active: none	Score Disease Activity	UC inactive mean=8.13 (SD 8.18)
		Inactive: 50	Index (MSDAI), 0=inactive,	(p=n.s.)
Τζ 1 / 1		10 (24 IDD	1-2=mild symptoms	
Kappelman et al.	Cross-sectional	10,634 IBD	Anxiety: PROMIS anxiety	Anxiety: IBD mild active mean=52 (SD 9)
2014 (38)	and longitudinal; internet-based	participants (6689 CD, 3945 UC or IC), mean	items	IBD moderate active mean=55 (SD 9) IBD severe active mean=59 (SD 9)
USA	cohort	= 44 years (SD 14.8),	Depression: PROMIS	IBD inactive mean=39 (SD 9) IBD inactive mean=49 (SD 8)
USA	recruited from IBD	= 44 years (SD 14.8), 71% female	depression items	Depression: IBD mild active mean=50 (8)
Quality: 6/15	patient organisation	7170 Ternale	depression nems	IBD moderate active mean= 53 (SD 9)
Quality. 0/15	patient organisation	Active: N/A	Disease activity: CDAI-	IBD moderate active mean= 55 (SD 9) IBD severe active mean=58 (SD 10)
		Inactive: N/A	short, SCCAI; active disease	IBD severe active mean=36 (SD 16) IBD inactive mean=47 (SD 7)
		muchver 1971	corresponds to a SCDAI of	
			=150 points or a score 2	all p <0.0001
			or an increase in SCCAI of	
			>5 points.	
Kim et al. 2013	cross-sectional;	226 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
(39)	recruited from 5	107 CD , 119 UC,		IBD inactive 27.4%
	tertiary hospitals;	mean age =39.01 years	Depression: HADS –D	
Korea	age and sex-	(SD 15.63) years, 38%	Cut-off score >7 for both	Depression: proportion above cut-off
	matched healthy	female		IBD inactive 33.6%
Quality: 7/15	controls recruited		Disease activity: CRP, ESR,	
	from university	Active: none	haemoglobin, no new	
	health centre of	Inactive: 226	medications required or no	
	those attending for		change of dose required	
	routine medical			
Laughand (1)	check-up	75 IDD motions		Amistu
Langhorst et al. $2013(40)$	prospective; 12 month observation,	75 IBD patients	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: IBD active N/A
2013 (40)	recruited through	Active: 28, mean	Depression: HADS –D	IBD active N/A IBD inactive N/A
Germany	advertisements in	age=45.1 years (SD	Cut-off score >7 for both	IDD macuve N/A
Germany	local, regional	13.4), 32% female		Depression:
Quality: 8/15	newspapers and	13.4), 32 /0 ICIIIalC	Disease activity: CAI (cut-	IBD active mean=7.9 (SD=4.4)
Quality. 0/15	journal of IBD	Inactive: 47, mean	off > 4 or an increase of	IBD fractive mean $= 7.2 \text{ (SD } = 4.4 \text{)}$
	patient organisation	age=48.7 years (SD	>3 from baseline), patient	$\frac{100}{100} \operatorname{mactive mean} = 1.2 (00 \text{ T})$
	Puttern organisation	12.8) 57% female	diary, & sigmoidoscopy	
		12.8) 57% female	diary, & sigmoidoscopy	

Larsson et al. 2008 (41) Sweden	cross-sectional; recruited from university hospital	742 IBD participants mean age 45 years (range 19–65) 51% female	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D Cut-off score >7 for both	Depression: proportion above cut-off >7; >10 IBD active 50%; 32.1% IBD inactive 49.6%; 23.4% (all p=n.s.) Anxiety: IBD active median=7.0 (IQR 5.0-10.0) IBD inactive median=5.0 (IQR 1.0-8.0) (p<0.001)
Quality: 4/15		Active: 166 Inactive: 554 (does not add up to 742, some missing)	Disease activity: yes/no questions	Depression: IBD active median=4.0 (IQR 2.0–7.0) IBD inactive median=3.0 (IQR 1.0-5.0) (p<0.001)
Lix et al. 2008 (42)	<pre>population-based cohort; 24 month</pre>	356 IBD participants 187 CD, mean age=	Anxiety: HAQ	Anxiety: baseline; 24 months CD consistently active mean=17.7 (SD 9.5);
Canada	disease activity monitoring; recruited from	38.5 years (SD 14.6) 61% female; 169 UC mean age= 43 years	Depression: not measured Disease activity: MIBDI	mean=14.6 (SD 9.6) CD fluctuating mean=16.7 (SD 10.9); mean=10.5 (SD 7.3)
Quality: 11/15	province-wide university IBD research registry	Active (consistently):	Active disease=symptoms daily-few days/month	CD consistently inactive mean=12.2 (SD 9.1); mean=8.1 (SD 8.5)
		Inactive (consistently): 60 Fluctuating activity: 174		UC consistently active mean=14.1 (SD 7.7); mean=12.9 (SD 8.7) UC fluctuating mean=14.1 (SD 9.4); mean=10.6 (SD 8.2)
				UC consistently inactive mean=9.0 (SD 5.4); mean=6.2 (SD 5.2)
1		250 IDD	A *	consistently active> consistently inactive p<0.0001
Long et al. 2014 (43)	cohort, internet based; elderly (>/=	359 IBD participants mean age=70.2 years	Anxiety: not measured	
USA	65 years); recruited from IBD patient	(SD 4.7) 62% female Active: N/A	Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) Cut-off score ≥ 5	Depression: proportion above cut-off IBD active 28.9% IBD inactive 15.1%; p=0.002
Quality: 6/15	organisation	Inactive: N/A	Disease activity: CDAI , SCCAI, MIBDI (cut-offs N/A)	

Reigada et al. 2014 (44) USA Quality: 7/15	retrospective medical chart review; youth; recruited from paediatric gastroenterology practice (completed survey as part of a different study)	93 CD participants mean age= 14.7 years (SD 2.1) age range 9- 18, 45% female Active: 4 Inactive: 76	Anxiety: Screen for Child Anxiety Related Disorders (SCARED); <i>higher scores</i> <i>indicate higher anxiety</i> Cut-off score >/=20 Depression: not measured Disease activity: HBI (cut- off >/=5)	Anxiety: CD active mean rank=67.6 CD inactive mean rank=39.0; p=0.01
Simren et al. 2006 (45)	cross-sectional; recruited	223 IBD participants, 90 CD, 133 UC mean	Anxiety: Psychological General Wellbeing Index-A,	Anxiety: IBD active mean=21 (SD 4.8) IBD inactive mean=23 (SD 4.7); p=0.004
Sweden	consecutive IBD and other GI patients	age=46 years (SD 0.9) years N/A % female	anxiety and depression subscales	Depression: IBD active mean=14 (SD 3.3) IBD inactive mean=15 (SD 2.6); p=0.007
Quality: 8/15	attending a GI outpatient clinic	Active: 82 Inactive: 138 (does not add up to 223, some missing)	Depression: Psychological General Wellbeing Index-D (PGWBI: higher scores indicate better psychological well-being)	
			Disease activity: CRP, ESR, platelets, endoscopy, steroid use	
Vidal et al. 2008 (46)	cross-sectional; recruited	147 IBD participants 76 CD, 71 UC mean	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: IBD active mean=7.1 (range 1-13) IBD inactive mean=7.2 (range 0-21); p=n.s.
Spain	consecutive patients attending IBD outpatient	age 37.2 years (range 18-75), 51.7% female	Depression: HADS-D Cut-off score >8 for both	Depression: IBD active mean=3.8 (range 1-13) IBD inactive mean=3.7 (range 0-15);
Quality: 7/15	hospital-based clinic	Active: 36 Inactive: 111	Disease activity: CDAI (cut- off >/=150), TWI (cut-off >/=7)	p=n.s.
Zhang et al. 2013	cross-sectional;	105 IBD participants	Anxiety: not measured	
(47)	recruited from	55 CD, 50 UC, mean		Depression: BDI-PC
	university IBD	age=33 (SD 12.9)	Depression: BDI II cut-off	CD active median = 12 (SD 8.89);
USA	program during	45.5% female,	>/=14	median = 4 (SD 2.93)
Quality: 6/15	regularly scheduled outpatient clinic appointments	Active: 45 Inactive : 60	BDI for Primary Care (BDI- PC) cut-off score >/=4	CD inactive median = 8 (SD 6.60); Median =2 (SD 2.51) UC active median = 10 (SD 8.66);

			Disease activity: CDAI cut-	median=2 (SD=2.86)
			off >/=150), Seo's Activity	UC inactive median = 6 (SD 10.3);
			Index (SAI) (cut-off >/=120)	$median = 1 (SD \ 3.69)$
Zimmerman et al.	cross-sectional;	307 CD participants,	Anxiety: not measured	
2013 (48)	youth; recruited	139 with abdominal		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	from 2 hospitals for	pain; mean age=14	Depression: CDI	CD active & abdominal pain 59 (49%)
USA	sub-study of a	years (range 9-17),	Cut-off score >9	CD inactive & no abdominal pain 47 (30%)
	cognitive	50.1% female		(p=N/A)
Quality: 8/15	behavioural therapy		Disease activity: P-CDAI	
	trial	Active: 151	(cut-off >10)	
		Inactive: 156		

Table 4. Summary characteristics of the studies addressing controversy 3: Are rates of anxiety/depression similar or different in CD versus UC? (special populations indicated in grey)

Authors, year and country	Study information Design; setting; recruitment	Participant characteristics (sample size, IBD type, age, sex)	Measures anxiety, depression , disease activity	Results
Addolorato et al. 2008 (27) Italy Quality: 6/12	cross-sectional; consecutively recruited IBD outpatients from internal medicine outpatient setting	135 IBD participants, mean age=43.9 years (SD 15.9), 57.8% female UC: 74 CD: 61	Anxiety: STAI Cut-off score =40 Depression: Zung Self- Rating Depression Scale (Zung SDS) Cut-off score >49 Disease activity: CDAI, Truelove-Witts Index (TWI)	Anxiety: proportion above cut-offState- UC inactive 66%UC active 92.4%CD inactive55%CD active 87.8%Trait-UC inactive 47.6%UC active 47.1%CD inactive 35%CD active 58.5%Depression: proportion above cut-offUC active 15%CD inactive 15%CD active 21.9%
Ananthakrishnan et al. 2013 (51) USA Quality: 11/12	prospective registry-based cohort; bowel resection surgery	1237 IBD participants -no depression prior to surgery, mean age=48 years (SD N/A), 47% female UC: 530 CD: 707	Anxiety: ICD9 codes for generalized anxiety (293.84, 300.0, 313.0) Depression: ICD-9 codes for depressive disorders (296.2, 296.3, 298.0, 311) Disease activity: complications, medications from medical chart	 Anxiety (risk following IBD-related surgery): CD year 1: 7% CD year 2: 9% CD year 5: 14% UC year 1: 7% UC year 2: 10% UC year 5: 12% Risk of anxiety post-surgery (compared to those without surgery) CD (OR 1.20, 95% CI 0.93 – 1.55) UC (OR 1.26, 95% CI 0.96 – 1.65). Depression (risk following IBD-related surgery):

				CD year 1: 6% CD year 2: 8% CD year 5: 16% UC year 1: 5% UC year 2: 7% UC year 5: 11% UC=CD for risk of depression post-surgery (adjusted OR 1.11, 95% CI 0.84 – 1.47) Risk of depression post-surgery (compared to those without surgery) CD (adjusted OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.01 – 1.77) UC (OR 1.21, 95% CI 0.93 – 1.58).
Ananthakrishnan, et al. 2013 (52) USA Quality: 10/12	cross-sectional; cases from large multi-institution study using electronic medical record (EMR)	10834 IBD cases UC: 5429, mean age=50.3 years (SD 18.4), 58% female CD: 540, mean age=47.1 years (SD 18.4), 57% female	Anxiety: ICD9 codes for generalized anxiety (293.84, 300.0, 313.0) Depression: ICD-9 codes for depressive disorders (296.2, 296.3, 298.0, 311) Disease activity: complications, medications from medical chart	Anxiety/Depression (reported combined) UC: 19% prior to first surgery CD: 18% prior to first surgery
Bennebroek Evertsz et al. 2012 (29)	cross-sectional; consecutive outpatients	231 IBD participants, mean age=43.4 (SD 13.8 range 18-78), 56.3% female	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off UC 25.0% CD 44.9%
the Netherlands	attending a tertiary care centre.	UC: 93 CD: 138	Cut-off score >/=8 for both Disease activity: HBI and	(p=0.004) Depression: proportion above cut-off UC 21.1%
Quality: 5/12			TWI	CD 27.8% (p=0.329)

Cohen at al. 2014	Population-based	220 IBD participants	Anxiety: not measured	Results stratified by IBD type and fatigue
(53)	cohort; newly diagnosed IBD	UC: 95, 44% between 18-	Depression: PHQ-8	Depression: proportion above cut-off
USA	patients recruited from state-wide	34 years, 57% female, 40% married	Cut-off >/=10	UC & fatigue 41.7% CD & fatigue 42.3%
Quality: 8/12	IBD registry	married	Disease activity: HBI,	UC & nonfatigue 4.3%
		CD: 125, 53% between 18- 34 years, 64% female, 38%	SCCAI	CD & nonfatigue 6.4%
		married		Fatigued vs. Non-fatigues CD p<.001
				Fatigued vs. Non-fatigues UC p=.006
Farrokhyar et al.	cross-sectional;	149 participants with	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2006 (33)	recruited	inactive disease 52% aged		UC 20.5%
Canada	consecutively from	<40 years, 54% female and	Depression: HADS-D Cut- off score >/=7 for both	CD 29.8%
Canada	university ambulatory	the analysis is provided for them	off score >/=/ for both	(p=0.24) Depression: proportion above cut-off
Quality: 7/12	gastroenterology	UC: 144, 47.7% female	Disease activity:	UC 13.6%
Quality. 7/12	clinic	CD: 105 , 57.1% female	simplified Disease	CD 7.7%
	chine	CD. 105, 57.176 Tennale	Activity Index (DAI)	(p=0.26)
Goodhand et al.	A cross-sectional	204 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety:
2012 (20)	study, IBD patients	UC: 103, mean age= 42.1	> 7	Active CD M=9.7, SD=3.7
()	recruited at a	years (SD14.2), 59%		Active UC M=9.7, SD=4.7 (p= n.s.)
UK	tertiary referral	female, 72% Caucasian,	Depression: HADS – cut-	Inactive CD M=8.1, SD=3.7
	centre, controls	38% active disease	off > 7	Inactive UC M=7.8, SD= 3.5 (p= n.s.)
Quality: 5/12	were healthy			Depression :
	volunteers	CD: 101 mean age= 42.8	Disease activity: CDAI	Active CD M=5.6, SD=2.3
	attending the	years (SD 14.3), 57%	and SCCAI,	Active UC M=6.1, SD=3.6 (p= n.s.)
	hospital for an	female, 88% Caucasian,	sigmoidoscopy, CRP	Inactive CD M=4.2, SD=3.6
	unrelated research study	27% active disease		Inactive UC M= 3.3 , SD= 2.4 (p= n.s.)
Hauser et al.	A cross-sectional	422 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety:
2011 (54)	study, consecutive		>/=11	CD M=7.4, SD=4.2
	adult IBD patients	UC: 108 (44.5 (SD=13.7),		UC M=6.9, SD=3.6 (p=0.3)
Germany	attending three	41.7% female)	Depression: HADS – cut-	Depression:
	tertiary care centers		off >/=11	CD M=5.1, SD=4.0
Quality: 5/12	for evaluation	CD : 314 (aged 43.2		UC M=5.7, SD=3.8 (p=0.2)
	and/or therapy and	(SD=11.0) years, 52.9%	Disease activity: the	
	members of	female)	German Inflammatory	
	the patient		Bowel Disease Activity	

	organisation,		Index	
	chronic liver		Index	
	disease patients			
	attending one			
	tertiary referral			
	center, a random			
	representative			
	sample of German			
	population			
Herzog at al.	cross-sectional;	126 IBD participants	Anxiety: not measured	Depression:
2013 (55)	adolescents;			CD M=6.57, SD=6.5
	recruited as part of	CD : 71, mean age 13.4	Depression: Child	UC/IC=6.67, SD=4.1
Switzerland	a prospective	(SD=3.2), 38% female;	Depression Inventory	
	cohort study from		(CDI) – cut-off N/A	
Quality: 5/12	regional hospitals,	UC/IC 55, mean age 12.8		
	and private	(2.7), 54% female	Disease activity: PCDAI,	
	practices		PCAI	
Iglesias-Rey at	cross-sectional;	484 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety:
al. 2012 (57)	consecutive patient		>11	CD M=4.73 (95% CI 4.14-5.34)
	recruitment from	CD : 192, mean age 38.3,		UC M=4.71 (95% CI 4.21-5.21) (p= N/A)
Spain	the hospital IBD	58.3% female, 46.9% single	Depression: HADS – cut-	
	unit		off >11	Depression:
Quality: 5/12		UC: 292 mean age 47.0,		CD M=7.18 (95% CI 6.58-7.78)
C		49.3% female, 25.0% single	Disease activity: HBI,	UC M=7.34 (95% CI 6.79-7.88)
		1915 % Terriare, 2516 % Single	Mayo Index	
Iglesias-Rey at	cross-sectional;	793 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety:
al. 2014 (56)	consecutive patient	res interputto	>/=11	CD M=4.84 (95% CI 4.39–5.92)
un 2011 (00)	recruitment from	CD : 323, mean age 39.9,	· · · · ·	UC M= $4.92 (95\% \text{ CI } 4.53 - 5.31) (p=N/A)$
Spain	the hospital IBD	57.6% female, 45.2%	Depression: HADS – cut-	00 IVI = 7.72 (75% CI 7.55 = 5.51) (p-10/A)
Span	unit	single;	off $>/=11$	Depression:
Quality:5/12	unit	single,	011 //-11	CD M=7.27 (95% CI 6.82–7.72)
Quality:5/12		UC: 470 mean age 47.8,	Disease activity: HBI,	UC = 7.43 (95% CI 7.02 - 7.85) (p=N/A)
				UC = 1.43 (95% CI 1.02 - 1.63) (p=N/A)
		49.8% female, 26.4%	Mayo Index	
		single		

Janke at al. 2006	cross-sectional;	429 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
(58)	consecutive patients		>/= 11	CD 20.5%
	recruited from	CD : 317, mean age=43.2		UC 14.8% (p= N/A)
Germany	tertiary care centres	(SD=11.0), 52.7% female	Depression: HADS – cut-	`
2	and members of		off >/= 11	Depression: proportion above cut-off
Quality: 6/12	patient organisation	UC : 112, mean age=44.4		CD 9.3%
		(SD=13.6), 42.8% female	Disease activity: German	UC 13.0% (p= N/A)
			Inflammatory Bowel	
			Disease Activity Index	
Kappelman et al.	nested cross-	10,634 IBD patients 44	Anxiety: The PROMIS, a	Anxiety:
2014 (38)	sectional; part of	years, 71% female	minimally important	CD M=52, SD=10
	longitudinal	•	difference between 2 and 6	UC/IC M=52, SD=9 ($p=N/A$)
USA	internet-based	CD: 6689		
	cohort of		Depression: The PROMIS,	Depression:
Quality: 4/12	patients with IBD	UC/IC: 3945	a minimally important	CD M=54, SD=10
- •	recruited via a		difference between 2 and 6	UC/IC M=54, SD=10 (p= N/A)
	patient organisation			
			Disease activity: CDAI	
			(short), SCCAI	
Kim et al. 2013	cross-sectional;	226 IBD participants with	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off and means (SD)
(39)	IBD patients	inactive IBD, mean age	>7	CD 30.8%, M=6.12 ± 3.89
	recruited from 5	39.01 (SD=15.63) years,		UC 24.4%, M=4.97 ± 3.89 (p= n.s.)
Korea	tertiary hospitals	37% female	Depression: HADS - cut-	
	and healthy		off >7	Depression: proportion above cut-off and means (SD)
Quality: 5/12	controls (matched	CD: 107		CD 40.2%, M= 6.12 ± 3.58
	for age and sex)	UC: 119,	Disease activity: CRP,	UC 27.7% M=4.97 ± 3.53 (p = 0.05)
	from people who		ESR, Hb, no new	
	visited a university		medications required or no	
	health promotion		change of dose required	
	center for a routine			
	medical check-up			
Larsson et al.	cross-sectional;	742 IBD participants, aged	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off	Anxiety:
2008 (41)	patients attending a	45 years, 51% female	>7	CD Median=8.0, IQR= 5.7-13
	university hospital			UC Median=6.0, IQR= 3.0-9.5 (p<.01)
Sweden		UC: 496	Depression: HADS – cut-	
		CD : 246	off >7	Depression:
Quality: 4/12				CD Median=6.0, IQR= 2.0-9.0
			Disease activity: yes/no	UC Median =4.0, IQR= 1.0-6.0 (p<.05)
			questions	

Lerebours et al. 2007 (21) France Quality: 9/12	population-based case-control; recruited newly diagnosed IBD patients (< 6 months) identified from an IBD registry; healthy controls recruited from blood donor clinics in the same area and in the same time period– no GI disease history	241 IBD cases UC: 74, mean age 36.1 (SD=15.1), 50% female CD: 167, mean age 32.3 (SD=13.1), 62% female	Anxiety: Bates Anxiety Scale – cut-off N/A Depression: BDI – cut-off ≥ 4 Disease activity: unspecified	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off and means (SD CD M=52.2±25.2, UC M=40.31 ± 21.65 (p<.03) Depression: proportion above cut-off and means (SD) CD 61%, M=7.41 ± 5.87 UC 57%, M=6.13 ± 4.93 (p<.14)
Long et al. 2014 (43) USA Quality: 5/12	cohort; elderly patients recruited from a patient organisation	359 IBD participants mean age=70.2 years (SD 4.7) 62% female UC: 134 CD: 224	Anxiety: not measured Depression: Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) – cut-off ≥5 Disease activity: CDAI, SCCAI, Manitoba IBD Index	Depression: proportion above cut-off CD 24.1% UC 20.2% (p=n.s.)
Pellissier et al. 2010 (66) France Quality: 5/12	cross-sectional; IBD patients recruited from hospital tertiary GI clinic; age and sex matched healthy controls from clinical investigation centre	48 IBD participants; UC: 22, mean age=42 (SD=16), 59% female CD: 26, mean age 43 (SD=14), 69% female	Anxiety: STAI – cut-off N/A Depression: CES-D – cut- off N/A Disease activity: HBI, UCAI	Anxiety : State Anxiety CD M=30.50 +/- 1 1.39 UC M=31.30 +/- 1.71 (p= N/A) Trait Anxiety CD M=35.85 +/- 1.60 UC 38.92 +/-2.03 (p= N/A) Depression: CD M=7.71 +/- 1.22 UC 12.30 +/- 2.17(p= N/A)

Rochelle and Fidler 2013 (59) UK Quality: 3/12	cross-sectional; outpatients prospectively enrolled out of a gastroenterology department at a teaching hospital.	102 IBD participants, mean age 41.9 years (SD = 16.57), 65.7% female UC: 46 CD: 56	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off >/=8 Depression: HADS – cut- off >/=8 Disease activity: blood tests	Anxiety: UC M=9, SD= N/A CD M=8.68, SD = N/A (p= N/A) Depression: UC M=6.93, SD= N/A CD M=6.8, SD= N/A (p= N/A)
Schuman at al. 2013 (60) USA Quality: 4/12	cross-sectional ; youth ; part of a larger longitudinal multisite assessment project, recruited at hospital-based paediatric gastrointestinal clinics.	122 IBD adolescents mean age 15.7 (SD = 1.3) years, 48.4% female UC: 26 CD: 96	Anxiety: not measured Depression: CDI – cut-off N/A Disease activity: The Lichtiger Colitis Activity Index (LCAI), PCDAI, blood tests	Depression: proportion above cut-off CD 21.2 % UC 16.7% (p=n.s.)
Selinger et al. 2013 (61) Australia Quality: 4/12	cross-sectional; recruited from tertiary care hospital outpatient clinics and local office based gastroenterologists	192 IBD patients, age N/A, 53% female CD: 106 UC: 124	Anxiety: HADS – cut-off >7 Depression: not measured Disease activity: unspecified	Anxiety: CD M=7.2 UC M=6.6 (p=0.3)
Sulz et al. 2013 (62) Switzerland Quality: 6/12	nationwide multicentre prospective observational population-based; must have been diagnosed at least 4 months before inclusion or had at least one recurrence	1187 participants, median age 42.6±13.9, 53% females CD: 699 UC: 488	Anxiety: not measured Depression: HADS – cut off >/= 8 Disease activity: CDAI and TWI, blood	Depression: proportion above cut-off CD 17% UC 18% (p=n.s.)

Timmer et al.	Cross-sectional;	280 IBD males (4 groups A	Anxiety: HADS > 10	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2007 (63)	consecutive males	clinical population, B		CDA 33%
	with IBD	patient organisation)	Depression: HADS > 10	UCA 36%
Germany	presenting to the	median age 38 years	-	CDB 17%
	departments of		Disease activity: CDAI,	UCB 20% (p= N/A)
Quality: 5/12	internal medicine	CD : 170 (61 in group A,	CAI	
	and surgery, and	109 in group B)		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	randomly selected	UC : 110 (33 in group A, 77		CDA 25%
	from the members'	in group B)		UCA 18%
	list of the national			CDB 9%
	patient organization			UCB 9% (p= N/A)
Timmer at al.	cross-sectional;	336 IBD participants (4	Anxiety: HADS > 10	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2008 (64)	females with IBD	groups A clinical		CDA 37%
	presenting to the	population, B patient	Depression: HADS > 10	UCA 30%
Germany	university	organisation), median age		CDB 30%
	departments of	38 years	Disease activity: CDAI,	UCB 22% (p= N/A)
Quality: 4/12	internal medicine		CAI	
	and surgery, and a	UC: 117		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	random sample			CDA 21%
	from the national	CD : 219		UCA 35%
	patients			CDB 10%
	organization			UCB 10% (p= N/A)
Van der Valk et	prospective	2282 IBD participants	Anxiety: not measured	
al. 2014 (65)	cohort; web-based	UC 000 46.1		Depression: proportion above cut-off
	survey circulated to	UC: 909, mean age=46.1	Depression: No scale name	UC 9.9%
the Netherlands	IBD outpatients at	(SD 11.4), 55% female,	provided.	CD 10.3%; p= N/A
0 11/ 0/10	university hospitals	83% in remission	D	
Quality: 8/12	and general	CD : 1.272 mean and 4.4.1	Disease activity: patient	
	hospitals	CD : 1,373, mean age=44.1 (SD 11.8) 66% famala	self-reported	
		(SD 11.8), 66% female, 85% in remission		
		85% in remission		

Van Langenberg	cross-sectional and	294 IBD participants	Anxiety: HADS cut-off >7	Anxiety:
& Gibson 2014	longitudinal;	2)4 IDD participants	Analety. IIADS cut-on > 7	UC median=7
(26)	recruited	UC:113, mean age=50	Depression: HADS cut-off	CD median=8; p= N/A
(20)	consecutively from	years (range 18–72), 55%	>7	CD median=0, p= WA
Australia	hospital IBD clinic,	female	21	Depression:
Australia		Termate	Discourse anti-sites UDI and	UC median=7
0 114 5/10	invited in person at	CD 191	Disease activity: HBI and	
Quality: 5/12	a clinic visit or	CD :181, mean age= 41	SCCAI	CD median=8; p= N/A
	through phone; age-	years (range 18-68), 54%		
	and sex-matched	female		
	cohort of healthy			
	subjects was			
	consecutively			
	recruited through			
	local advertisement			
Vidal et al. 2008	cross-sectional;	147 IBD participants, mean	Anxiety: HADS – Cut-off	Anxiety:
(46)	recruited	age=37.2 years (range: 18-	score >8	UC M=6.6 (SD 3.3)
	consecutively from	75), 51.7% female, 111 in		CD M=7.6 (SD 3.7); p=n.s.
Spain	those attending	remission	Depression: HADS – Cut-	
-	IBD outpatient		off score >8	Depression:
Quality: 6/12	hospital clinic	UC :71		UC M=3.3 (SD 3.6)
	1	CD :76	Disease activity: CDAI,	CD M=4.2 (SD 3.4); p=n.s.
			TWI	
Zhang et al. 2013	cross-sectional;	105 IBD participants, mean	Anxiety: not measured	Depression: BDI II; BDI-PC
(47)	recruited from	age=33 years (SD 12.9),		UC median=8.5 (SD 9.13); median=1.5 (SD 3.11)
	university IBD	45.5% female, 60 in	Depression: BDI II cut-off	CD median=8 (SD 7.38); median=2 (SD 2.67);
USA	program during	remission	>/=14, BDI for Primary	p=n.s.
0.011	regularly scheduled	UC: 50	Care (BDI-PC) cut-off	P mor
Quality: 6/12	outpatient clinic	CD : 55	score >/=4	Depression: proportion above cut-off
Zumity. 0/12	appointments			UC 20%
	appointments		Disease activity: CDAI,	CD 29%
			Seo's Activity Index (SAI)	
			Seo's Activity Index (SAI)	
		1	l	

Table 5. Summary characteristics of the studies addressing controversy 4: Are rates of anxiety/depression in IBD similar or different to that reported in other groups of medically ill patients? (special populations indicated in grey)

Authors, year and country	Study information Design; setting; recruitment	Participant characteristics (sample size, IBD type, age, sex)	Measures anxiety, depression, disease activity	Results
Addolorato et al. 2008 (27) Italy Quality: 7/14	cross-sectional; consecutively recruited IBD outpatients from internal medicine outpatient setting	1641 participants with GI disorders: mean age 43.9 (SD=15.9), 57.8% female IBD: 135; 53 active UC, 41 active CD, 21 inactive UC, 20 inactive CD GI nonIBD : helicobacter pylori (Hp) (n=559), small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) (n=554), sugar malabsorption (n=394), rrritable bowel syndrome (IBS) (n=309), coeliac disease (n=168), chronic hepatitis (n=122), gastro- esophageal reflux disease (GERD) (n = 107), chronic gastritis without Hp infection (n=60), food allergy (n=25)	Anxiety: STAI Cut-off score =40 Depression: Zung Self- Rating Depression Scale (Zung SDS) Cut-off score >49 Disease activity: CDAI, Truelove-Witts Index (TWI)	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off CD inactive (state) 55% CD inactive had lowest proportions compared to other 13 conditions (p=N/A) UC active (state) 92.4% Food allergies (state) 93% UC active had second highest proportion compared to other 13 conditions Depression: proportion above cut-off UC inactive 0% CD active 21.9% IBS 51.7% UC inactive had lowest proportion of depression compared to other 13 conditions (p=N/A)
Berrill et al. 2013 (15) UK	cross sectional; IBD and IBS adult outpatients attending	IBD : 150 (36% CD) mean age 45.7 (11.3), 63% female IBS: 40, mean age 37.9	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D	Anxiety: IBD median=9, range 1-20 IBS controls median =11, range 0-20; (p=N/A)
Quality: 8/14	gastroenterology hospital-based clinics; healthy controls from local advertising	Hb3: 40, mean age 37.9 (11.7) 67% female Healthy: 41, mean age 43.8 (13.4), 61% female Age range for whole sample 18 to 65	Disease activity: fecal calprotectin	Depression: IBD median= 5, range 0-16 IBS median=5, range 0-16; (p=N/A)

Bol et al. 2010	cross-sectional;	IBD: 76 UC, mean	Anxiety: not measured	
(67)	IBD patients	age=45.3 years (SD 8.8)		Depression: UC mean=4.0 (SD 3.6)
	recruited from	68% female	Depression: HADS-D	MS mean=5.6 (SD 4.0); p<0.01
the Netherlands	database at GI		-	
	clinic in medical	MS : 88, mean age=43.6	Disease activity: CAI	
Quality: 8/14	center, age and sex-	years (SD 9.0), 70% female		
	matched; multiple			
	sclerosis (MS)			
	patients consecutive			
	recruited from			
	those referred to			
	MS clinic in			
	medical centre;			
	IBD patients age			
	and sex-matched to			
	MS patients			
Brandi et al.	cross-sectional;	IBD : 110 CD, mean	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off
2009 (68)	consecutive adult	age=38.2 years (SD 10.8),		CD 33.6%
	outpatients	50% female	Depression: HADS-D;	GERD 22.7%; p=n.s.
Brazil	recruited from IBD		BDI	
	centre at university	GERD: 110, mean	Cut-off score HADS>	Depression: BDI
Quality: 7/14	hospital;	age=39.9 years (SD 13.9)	8	CD mean=14, range 8-45
	consecutive adult	53% female	Cut-off score BDI <u>></u> 21	GERD mean = 13 , range $4-49$, p=n.s.
	GERD patients			
	recruited from GI		Disease activity: CDAI	Depression: proportion above cut-off
	clinic at university			CD 25.4%
	hospital			GERD 8.2%; p=0.003
Bullen et al. 2012	cohort study;	IBD: 29, mean age=60.62	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: IBD mean=5.93 (SD 3.38)
(69)	recruited	years (SD 15.38), 59%		CC mean=7.22 (SD 4.74)
	consecutive	female)	Depression: HADS-D	(p=0.222)
Australia	colorectal surgical			Depression: IBD mean=3.52 (SD 3.66)
0 11 5/14	patients with IBD	Colon Cancer: 38, mean	Disease activity: not	CC mean=4.43 (SD 4.65)
Quality: 5/14	or colon cancer	age=59.32 years (SD	specified	(p=0.402)
	prior to surgery	14.75), 50% female		

Calsbeek et al. 2006 (17) the Netherlands Quality: 5/14	cross-sectional study; adolescents and young adults; recruited via medical specialists in academic and specialized hospitals; diagnosis at least 6 months. Controls with chronic liver diseases, congenital disorders, food allergy and coeliac disease.	 IBD: 305, mean age=20 years (SD 3.7), 54.8% females CLD: 94 with chronic liver diseases, mean age=19.4 years (SD 4), 56.4% female ConD: 137 with congenital disorders, mean age= 18.1 years (SD 3.8), 46.7% female FA: 98 with food allergy, mean age =18.5 years (SD 3.8), 69.4% female CelD: 124 with celiac disease, mean age=17.5 years (SD 3.7), 64.5% female 	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: HADS-D Disease activity: not measured	Anxiety: IBD mean=5.0 (SD 3.8) CLD mean=6.2 (SD 4.4) ConD mean=4.5 (SD 3.9) FA mean=5.1 (SD 3.9) CeID mean=3.7 (SD 3.2) (<0.001) Depression: IBD mean=2.8 (SD 2.9) CLD mean=3.6 (SD 3.5) ConD mean=2.4 (SD 2.7) FA mean= 2.6 (SD 2.5) CeID mean=2 (SD 2.2) (p=0.026) IBD participants had second highest anxiety and depression scores after chronic liver diseases.
Castaneda et al. 2013 (70) Finland	cross-sectional; recruited consecutive adolescents with	IBD : 34; 17 CD, 16 UC, IC 1, mean age =16.3 years (SD 1.7), 44% female	Anxiety: not measured Depression: BDI	Depression: IBD mean=7.9 (SD 7.6) JIA mean=4.0 (SD 4.0); p=0.029
Quality: 5/14	BD or juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) from a children's hospital	JIA: 23, mean age=15.5 years (SD 1.2), 61% female	Disease activity: Physician Global Assessment, inflammatory markers (ESR, CRP, calprotectin)	
Filipović et al.	cross-sectional;	IBD : 32, 11 CD, 21 UC,	Anxiety: Hamilton's	Anxiety: IBD mean=16.22 (SD 7.42)
2007 (71)	first episode IBD and newly	mean age=49.2 (SD 16.58) 59% female	Anxiety Rating Inventory (HARI)	ColCa mean=9.73 (SD 6.03); p<0.01
Serbia	diagnosed colon			Depression: IBD: mean=18.56 (SD 8.77)
Quality: 7/14	cancer (ColCa) recruited from hospital	ColCa : 40, mean age=63.5 (SD 9.14) 25% female	Depression: Hamilton's Depression Rating Inventory	ColCa mean=10.83 (SD 5.26); p<0.001

Jayanath et al. 2014 (72) Malaysia. Quality: 5/14	cross-sectional; children with a variety of GI issues recruited consecutively from a medical centre	100 children, 49% aged 7– 12 years, 51% aged 13-17 years old, 56% female IBD: 26 FAP: 22 (functional abdominal pain) Biliary atresia: 17 Other GI illnesses: 35	 (HDRI) Disease activity: CDAI, Ulcerative Disease Scoring System (UDSS) Anxiety: not measured Depression: CDI cut- off >55 (high score), 45- 55 (moderate) Disease activity: not specified 	Depression: proportion scoring moderate; high IBD: 46.2%; 23.1% FAP: 27.3%, 50.0% Biliary atresia: 41.2%, 23.5% Other GI: 51.4%, 17.1%
Kovács & Kovács 2007 (73) Hungary Quality: 7/14	cross-sectional; recruited IBS patients presenting to GI unit; recruited age and sex- matched IBD patient	IBD: 43, mean age=38.4 years (SD 12.9), 63% female IBS: 46, mean age=39.8 years (SD 13.9), 70% female	Anxiety: STAI Depression: BDI Disease activity: not reported	Anxiety: IBD (state) mean =46.88 (SD 11.31) IBS (state) mean=48.58 (SD 10.83); p=n.s. IBD (trait) mean=46.80 (SD 11.75) IBS (trait) mean=50.19 (SD 8.27); p=n.s. Depression: IBD mean=11.62 (SD 9.72) IBS mean=15.84 (SD 7.88); p<0.05

Lerebours et al.	population-based	IBD : 167 CD mean age=	Anxiety: Bates Anxiety	Anxiety: UC mean=40.31 (SD 21.65)	
2007 (21)	case-control;	32.3 years (SD 13.1), 62%	Scale	CD mean=52.2 (SD 25.2),	
	recruited newly	female; 74 UC mean		ASLC mean=46.99 (SD 22.93)	
France	diagnosed IBD	age=36.1 years (SD 15.1),	Depression: BDI-short	UC <aslc cd="ASLC" p="n.s.</td" p<0.002;=""></aslc>	
	patients (< 6	50% female	Cut-off score>4		
Quality: 11/14	months) and self-			Depression: UC mean=6.13 (SD 4.93)	
	limited colitis	ASLC: 69 mean age=40.6	Disease activity:	CD mean=7.41 (SD 5.87)	
	(ASLC) patients	years (SD 17.2), 36 female	unreported	ASLC mean=5.01 (SD 3.96)	
	identified from a			UC>ASLC p<0.002; CD >ASLC p<0.03	
	registry of patients				
	presenting with			Depression: proportion above cut-off	
	new GI symptoms			UC 57%	
				CD 61%	
				ASLC 45%	
Miehsler et al.	cross-sectional;	IBD: 302 mean age=37.3	Anxiety: HADS-A	Anxiety: proportion above cut-off 8; above cut-off 11	
2008 (74)	consecutive	years (SD 11.8), 56%		IBD 37%; IBD 18%	
	outpatients with	female	Depression: HADS-D	RA 25%; p=0.02 RA 14%;p=n.s.	
Austria	IBD and		Cut-off score >/=8;		
	rheumatoid arthritis	RA: 109 mean age=53.3	>/==11	Depression: IBD 18%; IBD 7%	
Quality: 7/14	(RA) recruited from	years (SD 12.6), 78%		RA 29%; p=0.01 RA15%; p=0.02	
	clinic at a	female	Disease activity:		
	university hospital		CDAI, CAI		

Mikocka-Walus et al. 2008 (75) Australia Quality: 5/14	cross-sectional ; recruited consecutive outpatients with IBD, hepatitis C (HepC), and IBS from a gastroenterology outpatient clinic	IBD : 64, mean age=51 years (SD 15), 61% female HepC : 41, mean age=45 years (SD 10), 49% female IBS : 34 mean age=54 years (SD 13), 77% female	Anxiety: HADS-A cut- off >/=8; SCL90-A Depression: HADS-D cut-off >/=8; SCL90-R-D Disease activity : CDAI, SCCAI	Anxiety: HADS-A IBD: mean=6.57 (SD 3.44) HepC mean=6.97 (SD 4.83) IBS mean=7.97 (SD 2.92); p=n.s. SL90-A IBD mean=51.79 (SD 9.74) HepC mean=57.63 (SD 13.61) IBS mean=56.82 (SD 8.77) HepC > IBD, p=0.013
				Anxiety: proportion above cut-off IBD N/A% HepC N/A% IBS N/A%; p=n.s.
				Depression: HADS-D IBD mean=4.07 (SD 2.86) HepC mean=5.36 (SD 4.96) IBS mean=4.29 (SD 3.70); p=n.s. SCL90-D IBD mean=58.04 (SD 9.94), HepC mean=62.26 (SD 12.50) IBS mean=59.99 (SD 10.16); p=n.s.
				Depression: proportion above cut-off IBD 11% HepC 34% IBS 15% HepC>IBD/IBS p=0.009
Naliboff et al. 2012 (76) USA	cross-sectional; recruited IBD and IBS patients seen in a university	IBD : 126, mean age=40.5 years (SD 13.3), 53% female	Anxiety: SCL90R-A Depression: SCL90-D	Anxiety: IBD mean=53.08 (SD 12.61) IBS mean=54.10 (SD 11.58); p=n.s. Depression: IBD mean=58.78 (SD 11.28)
Quality: 4/14	medical centre	IBS: 564, mean age=46.7 years (SD 13.7), 69% female	Disease activity: unreported	IBS mean=58.30 (SD 10.36); p=n.s.

Piche et al. 2010 (24) France Quality: 7/14	cross-sectional; CD and IBS participants recruited from 5 university hospitals	IBD: 92 CD in remission, mean age=35.9 years (SD 12.3), 59% female IBS: 40, mean age=40.9 years (SD 9.5); 70% female	Anxiety: HADS-A Depression: BDI-S Disease activity: CDAI, Haemoglobin, CRP, ESR, platelet count, albumin	Anxiety: CD with IBS-type symptoms mean=8.7 (SD 3.9) CD without IBS-type symptoms mean=8.5 (SD 3.4) IBS mean=9.7 (SD 4.5) p=n.s. Depression: CD with IBS-type symptoms mean=6.4 (SD 5.6) CD without IBS-type symptoms mean=4.2 (SD 3.9) IBS mean=5.9 (SD 5.4) p=n.s.	
Seres et al. 2008 (77)	cross-sectional; recruited IBD and IBS patients	IBD : 66 UC, mean age =38.89 years (SD 13.30), 70% female	Anxiety: SCL90R-A Depression: SCL90R-	Anxiety: UC mean (raw score)= 0.88 (SD 0.75) IBS mean (raw score)=1.26 (SD 0.90);p<0.01	
Hungary	presenting at three ambulatory tertiary	IBS : 88, mean age =41.59	D	Depression: UC = 1.13 (SD 0.87)	
Quality: 5/14	care gastroenterology departments	years (SD 13.71), 81% female	Disease activity: unreported	UC mean (raw score)= 1.13 (SD 0.87) IBS mean (raw score)=1.45 (SD 0.98); p<0.05	
Tkalčić, et al. 2010 (78)	cross-sectional; recruited consecutive IBD	IBD: 43, mean age=42.90 years (SD 15.44), 49% female	Anxiety: STAI Depression: BDI cut-	Anxiety: IBD mean=34.51 (SD 12.10) IBS mean=40.98 (SD 11.68); p<0.01	
Croatia	and IBS patients from university	IBS : 56, mean age=48.64	off >/=13	Depression: IBD mean=12.44 (SD 7.48) IBS mean=13.0 (SD 7.37); p=n.s.	
Quality: 6/14	gastroenterology clinic	years (SD 13.04), 64% female	Disease activity: CDAI, CAI		

Authors, year and country	Study information: Design; setting; recruitment	Participant characteristics (sample size, IBD type, age, sex)	Measures Anxiety, depression, disease activity	Results
Loftus et al. 2011 (22) USA Quality: 12/14	retrospective cohort; observations from minimum of 6 months to a 6.5 years; youth; IBD and nonIBD age and sex-matched cases taken from national database of health service claims data for approximately 100 third-party payers, including employers and health plans;	IBD: 2,144 CD cases Controls: 10,720 nonIBD cases Both groups: mean age at index date 11.8 years, (SD 0.4) ,46.1% female	Anxiety: ICD 9 CM codes 293.84, 300.0x, 313.0x (includes anxiety states and disorder) Depression: ICD 9 CM codes 296.2x, 296.3x, 298.0x, 300.4x, 309.1x, 311.xx (includes major depressive disorder, depressive type psychosis, dysthymia) Disease activity: based on treatment history	 Anxiety: CD incident rate=1.81 cases per 100 patient years Controls incident rate= 0.57 cases; p<0.0001 Having CD increased the risk of developing an anxiety disorder (adjusted HR=2.28, 95% CI=1.65-3.17) p<0.0001 teenaged girls with CD had an increased risk of developing an anxiety disorder (HR = 2.45; 95% CI = 1.41-4.25) teenaged boys with CD aged 13-17 had an increased risk of developing an anxiety disorders (HR = 3.01; 95% CI = 1.73- 5.24). CD persistent anxiety rate=0.53/ 100 patient years Controls persistent anxiety rate=0.09/100 patient years; p<0.0001 Having CD increased the risk of developing a persistent anxiety disorder (adjusted HR = 4.35; 95 % CI = 2.22 - 8.50). Depression: CD incident rate=2.69 cases per 100 patient years Controls incident rate=1.22 cases; p<0.0001 Having CD increased the risk of developing depression (adjusted HR=1.74, 95% CI=1.35- 2.25) p<0.0001 CD boys aged 12 years or younger had an increased risk of developing depression (HR = 2.55; 95% CI = 1.15-5.67) teenaged boys with CD aged 13-17 years had an increased risk of developing depression

Table 6. Summary characteristics of the studies addressing controversy 5: Do anxiety and depression precede and/or follow onset of IBD? (special populations indicated in grey)

				(HR = 1.99; 95% CI = 1.32–3.02)
				 CD persistent depression rate=0.87/ 100 patient years Controls persistent depression rate=0.26/100 patient years; p<0.0001 Having CD increased the risk of developing persistent depression (adjusted HR=2.75, 95% CI=1.73-4.38)
Walker et al. 2008 (9) Canada Quality: 11/14	population-based cohort; 24 months observation; recruited from province-wide university IBD research registry; control cases obtained from national health survey and age, gender and region-matched	IBD: 351 participants, mean age=43 years (SD 14.06), 60% female, 95% Caucasian, 48% CD, 46% UC/ulcerative proctitis, 6% indeterminate colitis. Controls: 779 cases, mean age=40 years (SD 14.51), 59.1% female	Anxiety: 12 month and lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders assessed by Comprehensive International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI); Depression: 12 month and lifetime prevalence of mood disorders assessed by CIDI Disease activity: MIBDI	Anxiety disorder: 1 st onset 10 years or more before IBD onset: 70% 1 st onset 2-9 years before IBD onset: 9% 1 st onset and IBD onset < 2 years apart: 13% 1 st onset 2 or more years after IBD onset: 8% Mood disorder: 1 st onset 10 years or more before IBD onset: 31% 1 st onset 2-9 years before IBD onset: 23% 1 st onset 2-9 years before IBD onset: 23% 1 st onset 2 or more years after IBD onset: 23% 1 st onset 2 or more years after IBD onset: 23% Mean age of IBD symptom onset with lifetime anxiety or mood disorder: 29.1 years Mean age of IBD symptom onset without anxiety or mood disorder: 33.1 years.; p=0.012 Direct comparisons with matched controls (with data available for three anxiety disorders) found lifetime prevalence (IBD vs controls) as follows: social anxiety disorder lower in IBD (6% vs 11%, OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.32–0.85), panic disorder not significantly different (8.0% vs 4.7%, OR 1.59, 95% CI 0.96–2.63), agoraphobia without panic not significantly different
				(1.1% vs 0.6%, OR 1.44, 95% CI 0.37–5.55), and major depression higher (27.2% vs 12.3%, OR 2.20, 95% CI 1.64–2.95).

Table 7: Summary of evidence for controversies in relation to co-occurrence of anxiety and

depression with IBD

	Controversy	Answer to controversy	Confidence level*
1	IBD versus healthy/general population controls	Rates of anxiety and depression higher in IBD participants compared to healthy controls	MODERATE
2	IBD active versus IBD inactive	Rates of anxiety and depression higher in those with active compared to inactive IBD	MODERATE
3	CD versus UC	Rates of anxiety and depression modestly higher in those with CD compared to UC	MODERATE
4	IBD versus medically ill controls	Rates of anxiety and depression lower in IBD participants compared to those with other, primarily gastrointestinal, chronic illnesses	MODERATE
5	Preceding or following IBD onset	No prospective studies on anxiety or depression preceding IBD. Adults with IBD more likely to develop anxiety prior to IBD onset; more likely to develop depression prior to IBD onset, but substantial proportion develop depression after onset. In children, higher risk of developing either anxiety or depression after IBD onset compared to controls.	HIGH

* based on the quality appraisal process