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Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) have been recently investigated intensively for potential hyperthermia treatment of malignant cancer cells in combination with radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic (EM) fields/waves. However, many controversial results have been reported on whether GNPs can be heated by EM fields. It has been suggested that aggregated GNPs may be heated significantly by a RF field, which however has not been examined experimentally. This work proposes a novel electric treatment of mono-dispersed particles to create aggregated GNPs, and conducts an investigation of their bulk heating behavior under a 655 nm laser and a 13.56 MHz RF electric field. It is revealed that the heating rates of aggregated colloids are significantly higher than those of mono-dispersed GNPs for the 655 nm laser, whereas at 13.56 MHz, the heating effects are barely noticeable for both aggregated and mono-dispersed colloids. Various possible reasons are discussed and the negligible electric field enhancement is believed to be responsible at 13.56 MHz.

© 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4867615]

I. INTRODUCTION

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) are currently under intensive investigation for biomedical applications, such as bioimaging,1,2 drug delivery,3,4 and cancer treatment,5,6 due to their good biocompatibility and soft chemical properties, which facilitate their conjugation with ligands and biomolecules. Recently, GNPs have been proposed for non-invasive radiofrequency (RF) hyperthermia treatment of cancer.7–15 The basic idea of this hypothesis includes a few steps: (i) GNPs coated with peptides or molecules that can detect cancer cells are injected into cancerous tissue; (ii) After GNPs attaching on the membrane of cancer cells, RF fields are applied to tissues incubated with GNPs; and (iii) GNPs absorb RF energy and heat up, inducing the hyperthermia effect. It is normally required that the regional high temperature needs to be >42 °C to cause any lethal effect to cancer cells.16

Nevertheless, there are many controversies and uncertainties existing in the published work regarding whether GNPs can be heated by RF fields. Initially, Gannon et al.7 reported that GNP colloids could be heated much more rapidly than the base fluid, i.e., de-ionized water (DI Water). Moran9 reported a size-dependent heating effect of GNP colloids and attributed this phenomenon to the Joule heating. Later on, Li13 and Liu14 experimentally reported that the heating effect of GNP colloids was not due to the presence of GNPs, but the ionic impurities within the colloid. Theoretically, Hanson17 investigated the heating mechanisms of GNPs from various aspects, including the dielectric loss, phonon transfer, and the surface electron effect, and concluded that naked GNPs could not be heated by 13.56 MHz RF field. Similarly, another theoretical work by Sassaroli18 also concluded that naked GNPs could hardly be heated by low frequency RF fields. However, it was suggested that charged GNPs could be heated through the electrophoresis phenomenon under a cyclic electric field. Subsequently, Stuart19 investigated the heating of citrate coated GNPs under 13.56 MHz, which indeed showed some heating effects.

The electrophoretic effect in GNP incubated tissues is expected to be small in practical applications since the mobility of GNPs is limited in the tissue. However, experimentally, Gannon7 reported that GNP incubated tissue could be heated under 13.56 MHz, resulting in the temperature increase of the malignant tissue by several centigrade. Clearly, this heating effect could not be due to mono-dispersed naked GNPs, whose effects have been disproved both theoretically17,18 and experimentally.13,14 There shall have other mechanisms responsible for the reported temperature rise. A lot of efforts have been made to understand the heating process of GNPs, either pure GNPs or coated/charged GNPs, in order to produce a controlled heating effect,20–22 which still lacks the consistency. The reasons are multi-folds, which may include: (i) the existence of many possible mechanisms; (ii) the idealized assumptions for all theoretical models; and (iii) the limitation of the experiment accuracy, which prevents the differentiation of competing mechanisms from each other.

In this regard, it is essential to investigate the heating mechanisms separately, particularly mimicking the situation when tissues are incubated with GNPs. One of the mechanisms that has been mentioned in both Refs.17 and 18, but not yet addressed, is the effect of GNP aggregation.
Aggregation of GNPs is very likely to happen when ligands coated GNPs are injected to target cancer cells.\textsuperscript{7} It has been reported that aggregated particles can increase the absorption cross section in the IR region.\textsuperscript{23,24} However, whether this happens at 13.56 MHz requires accurate experimental verification. One difficulty lies in the production of aggregated GNPs without changing the volume ratio. To investigate this issue properly, this study reports a novel electric field aided method to prepare aggregated GNPs while keeping the volume ratio unchanged. Then the aggregated GNP colloids are heated under a laser at 650 nm wavelength and a RF field at 13.56 MHz. Such a comparative study would allow the verification of reported results at high electromagnetic (EM) frequency,\textsuperscript{23,24} and the investigation of the heating contribution from aggregated particles at a low RF frequency.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II briefly describes the procedure of GNP synthesis; Section III reports the procedure of the preparation of aggregated GNPs; Sections IV and V present the heating results of the 650 nm laser and 13.56 MHz RF field; and Section VI concludes this work.

II. PREPARATION OF MONO-DISPERSED GOLD NANOPARTICLES

Gold nanoparticles were synthesized by the citrate reduction method with the aid of ultrasonication.\textsuperscript{25} In brief, $5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ mol of HAuCl$_4$ in 190 ml of DI water was heated until boiling. While the solution was kept heated and stirred by a magnetic blender, 10 ml of 0.5% sodium citrate was added. The solution was kept stirring for the next 30 min until the reaction was complete. Other than GNPs, some residual substances, such as Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, and C$_4$H$_8$O$_5$, also exist in the colloid. Gold colloids were purified by the membrane dialysis method. In this process, 100 ml of GNP colloid was put in a membrane tube with pore size of 2-3 nm in diameter, which allows the smooth diffusion of ions but keeps GNPs inside. The membrane was located in a flask of DI water $\sim$ 2000 ml, stirred by a magnetic stirrer. The DI water was changed twice per day and the purification process lasted for 5 days. During this process, the concentrations of various impurities diminished exponentially with the times of DI water change, which resulted in a negligible impurities presence in the colloid and confirmed by the UV-Vis spectrum measurement. The GNP colloids after the purification process are therefore termed as “purified sample” in this study. The processes are illustrated in Fig. 1.

III. PREPARATION OF AGGREGATED GOLD NANOPARTICLES

One key issue of investigating the heating effect of aggregated GNPs is to produce aggregated and dispersed GNPs of the same volume ratio. It has been widely reported that electric field can be applied for nanoparticle manipulation including electric field aided self-assembly,\textsuperscript{26} and gas-phase preparation of nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{27} Here, we propose to use static electric fields to produce aggregated GNPs from mono-dispersed GNP colloids. The experiment setup is schematically depicted in Fig. 4. Two metallic electrodes were connected to a DC voltage source, and an insulator stick was bound to the bottom tips of the two electrodes to maintain a
constant electric field for a given DC voltage. The distance between the two electrodes was 1 centimeter. Using 150 μmol/l as the original feedstock, the effect of the DC voltage and the applied duration on the agglomeration were studied, focusing on two groups: (i) 5 V DC voltage applied for 0, 1, 3, 7, 9 min, and (ii) 3, 7, 9, 11 V voltage for a fixed duration, i.e., 3 min. Such an approach allows the particle volume ratio unchanged and makes it possible to compare the heating effect under the same concentration.

To characterize the performance of the agglomerations properly, several experiments were conducted, i.e., morphology examination, size distribution and UV spectroscopy measurement, and laser and RF heating. The morphology examination and size distribution of the agglomerates were conducted by SEM and DLS method. The heating effects study were carried out using a 655 nm red laser of 0.5 W and a 13.56 MHz RF device at an output power of 600 W.

The SEM back-scattering imaging of GNPs is shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that GNPs aggregate to form sponge-like clusters after the static electric field was applied. The morphology examination clearly shows that the loosely aggregated clusters are not solid particles. It was observed in the experiments that with the increase of electric voltage or the electrification duration, more agglomerates with large sizes were produced. With the change of morphology, the corresponding optical properties, such as the color index and the UV-visible absorption spectra, shall be different from those of mono-dispersed GNP colloids.

The response of GNP colloids to UV-visible light is plotted in Fig. 6(a) at a fixed voltage (5 V) but with varying electrification time. It clearly shows that after the static field is applied, the transmittance is reduced at both longer and short wavelengths. The transmittance reduction is more effective at longer electric field duration. The peak absorption wavelength, which is the spectrum corresponding to the lowest transmittance, is shown in Fig. 6(b). It shows clearly that there is a red shift as the applied electric field duration increases. Due to that the colloids contain GNPs of different size and/or GNP aggregations, the absorption become more complicated. This phenomenon will be discussed further later. From the UV-visible spectra, the color coordinates in the CIE chromaticity diagram can be deduced, shown in Fig. 7. By locating the color coordinates, it clearly traces a trail from red towards purple with the increase of the electric field duration.

The results of the second group, i.e., the applying electric field duration is fixed but with varying voltages, are plotted in Figs. 8 and 9 for the transmission spectra and the color on the CIE chromaticity diagram, respectively. The result is similar to the first group. High voltages induce large agglomerates,
reduce the transmittance, and red-shift the peak absorption wavelength. The color map clearly traces a trail from red towards purple, see Fig. 9, as the voltage increases.

The size distribution of the agglomeration was measured by a Malvern Nanosizer based on the DLS method. Figure 10 presents two example diagrams of the size distribution of 5 V electric field applied for 1 min, and 3 min. Compared to the purified product shown in Fig. 3, it shows clearly that after the electric field treatment, the particle size is larger and the size range becomes much broader. The particle size appears to have two-mode distribution with double peaks, corresponding to the co-existing of small and large agglomerate.

From the experimental results of SEM, UV-visible spectroscopy and size distribution, it can be concluded that static electric field can be employed to produce loosely aggregated GNPs. It has to be noted that the aggregated GNPs are not solid ones, as evidenced by the SEM imaging and UV-spectroscopy. Actually, the surface Plasmon resonance
SPR wavelength for 100 nm GNPs shall be much longer than that in Figs. 6(b) and 8(b). For instance, the SPR wavelength is over 545 nm when the radius of GNP is 40 nm (D = 80 nm), see Fig. 11. The corresponding SPR wavelength to Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) is 524 nm. The difference may be attributed to (i) the wide particle size distribution, as shown in Fig. 10, and (ii) different scattering characteristics of sponge-like agglomerates and the solid particles. The SEM image shows that aggregated GNPs are loosely bonded, whose scattering effect shall behave differently to solid GNPs of the same size. Understanding the scattering properties of loosely aggregated GNPs is still presenting as a challenge task. One possible approach is to use numerical method such as the optical immersed Finite-difference time domain (FDTD) method. Nevertheless, it has to be stressed that loosely aggregated GNPs are of practical significance, since they resemble the scenario where tissues are incubated with GNPs.

IV. BULK HEATING EFFECT BY A 655 nm LASER

The experiment setup for the laser heating is shown in Fig. 12. The samples were placed in the chamber of a microwave oven with an opening on the top ceiling, where a 655 nm red light laser was aligned to the center of the cuvette. The transient temperature was measured by an optical fiber sensor of an accuracy of ±0.1 °C. The optical fiber was attached to the inner wall of the cuvette to avoid direct laser illumination, and with careful alignment to ensure that the location of the measurement position was the same for all the samples. The power of the laser was 0.5 W, which was uniformly spread in a rectangular spot size of 1 cm in length and 0.5 cm in width, smaller than the size of the cuvette, i.e., 1.25 cm by 1.25 cm. The volume of each sample was controlled at 4.0 ± 0.1 ml. Before the measurement, all samples were placed in the chamber of the microwave oven to achieve a thermal equilibrium. The transient bulk temperature is plotted in Fig. 13(a), and Fig. 13(b), for the first group and the second group of samples, respectively.

The results clearly show that much higher temperature rise can be observed after the electric field treatment. For instance, comparing with the mono-dispersed GNPs (i.e., 0 V), the bulk temperature was increased by ~1/3 comparing for 5-V treatment of 9 min. Either increasing the voltage or increasing the electric field applying duration can increase the bulk temperature appreciably, which is related to the particles’ morphology change.

V. BULK HEATING EFFECT BY A 13.56 MHz RF FIELD

The device of delivering 13.56 MHz RF power is schematically shown in Fig. 14, where a microstrip waveguide

FIG. 10. Size distribution of (a) 5 V-1 min, (b) 5 V-3 min.

FIG. 11. The wavelength where the extinction efficiency peaks against the radius (the measured data are adapted from Refs. 30–32).

FIG. 12. Experiment setup for heating effect study. A cuvette is placed in the chamber of a microwave oven; the 655 nm red laser is aligned to GNP colloids.
was fed by a coaxial line connecting to a 600 W power generator. The other end of the microstrip waveguide was terminated by a 50 \Omega load. A Petri dish was placed in the 10 mm high air gap between the two conducting plates of the microstrip waveguide. The field distribution of this structure was relatively uniform, and more detailed description was reported by Liu et al.\textsuperscript{33}

For the reference measurement purpose, DI-water and purified sample were measured first. Each sample was placed in the air gap for at least 10 min before switching on the power generator. This allowed sufficient thermal equilibrium and eliminated any artificial thermal effect from the operating environment.

The results of the two groups are plotted in Fig. 15. The fitting results of the temperature rise against the heating time are presented in Figs. 15(a) and 15(b), while the final temperature rises are plotted in Figs. 15(c) and 15(d). It is observed that when treated with RF field, the heating effect of aggregated GNPs is not enhanced at all comparing with the monodispersed GNPs. The temperature rises are exclusively around 0.3 centigrade for all of the samples. Regarding the small temperature rise, it can be largely attributed to the thermal effect due to the Ohmic loss of the metallic plates. We have monitored the temperature rise in the air gap without

FIG. 13. The heating effect of DI-water, GNP colloids without static field treatment and GNPs colloids treated with DC voltage at (a) 5 V for 1, 3, 7, 9 min; (b) 3, 7, 9, 11 V for 3 min. The laser is working at 655 nm, full power 0.5 W. The measurement accuracy is ±0.1 °C.


FIG. 15. Heating of GNP colloids after DC voltage is applied, the measurement accuracy is ±0.1 °C. (a) Fitting results for 5 V with varying time period; (b) Fitting results for varying voltage and fixed time period; (c) Final temperature rise for 5 V with varying time period; (d) Final temperature rise for varying voltage and fixed time period.
placing any sample and found that within 10 min, the average temperature rise for 10 measurements was within 0.3 ± 0.1 °C, which implies that any temperature rise within 0.3 ± 0.1 °C shall not be decisively attributed to the heating effect of the samples.

VI. DISCUSSION

From the heating results of both 655 nm red light laser and 13.56 MHz RF field, it can be seen that aggregated GNPs can enhance the heating effect at 655 nm, while no observable enhancement is found at 13.56 MHz. Such a significant different behavior between two frequencies requires further prudent investigation.

The significant temperature rise in the visible light spectrum can be attributed to a combined effect of reduced transmittance and a stronger surface Plasmon effect. The UV-visible spectroscopy results, Figs. 6(a) and 8(a), already show that the transmittance of static electric field treated GNPs colloid is decreased significantly compared with that of mono-dispersed GNPs. For instance, the transmittance of the sample is decreased from ~80% to ~40% at 655 nm after the 5 V-9 min treatment, which results in a significant increase in the absorption rate that contribute to the temperature rise. The SPR wavelength of GNPs 10 ~20 nm in diameter is around 520 nm. A 655 nm red light laser can still produce some heating effect when illuminating on these GNPs colloid. The red-shift of the agglomerated particles, Figs. 6(b) and 8(b), will certainly increase the heating effect due to an insignificant electric field enhancement effect in a order of magnitude manner. Physically it is not well understood why aggregated GNPs can increase the absorption of light. Other simulation results suggested that dimer-structured silver nanoparticles could enhance the electric field, whereas the effect was much stronger for a trimer or quadrumer structure. The loosely aggregated GNPs resemble the arrangement of dimer/trimer/quadrumer structure, yet with more particles, which would produce a similar electric field enhancement effect. It is likely that this enhancement of electric field could contribute to the increased absorption of electromagnetic energy, hence an increased heating effect. Clearly such a hypothesis requires further theoretical and simulation verification.

However, for 13.56 MHz RF, aggregated GNPs do not show any heating effects compared with mono-dispersed GNP colloids, which can be explained by the classical Joule heating model due to an insignificant electric field enhancement effect. In the literature, the heating of GNPs by RF fields has been subjecting to intensive discussion recently. For uncoated neutral GNPs, the heating mechanisms have been discussed extensively in Hanson’s work. For simplicity, the following model is used to estimate the heating effect in a order of magnitude manner.

For spherical particles, the field distribution inside the particles, shown in Fig. 16, can be written as

\[
E(r) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} \frac{2R^3}{r^3} \cos \theta + \varepsilon_1 E_0 \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 2} \frac{2R^3}{r^3} \right) \sin \theta & r > R \\
\frac{3\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 2} \frac{E_0}{\varepsilon_1} & 0 \leq r < R 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the incident electric field strength, \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the relative permittivities of the base fluid and the particles, respectively, and \( R \) is the particle radius. The heating effect due to the particles can be written as

\[
\Delta T = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \cdot N \cdot \frac{\sigma_{eff} |E|^2}{C \rho V} \cdot t = r_V \cdot \sigma_{eff} |E|^2 \cdot t,
\]

where \( \Delta T \) is the bulk temperature rise, \( N \) is the number of particles, \( \sigma_{eff} \) is the effective conductivity, \( C \) is the specific heat capacity, \( \rho \) is the mass density, \( V \) is the volume of the sample, and \( t \) is the heating time. As the volume ratio of GNP can be written as

\[
r_V = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \cdot N.
\]

The bulk temperature rise can be written consequently as

\[
\Delta T = r_V \cdot \sigma_{eff} |E|^2 \cdot t = r_V \cdot \frac{9 \omega \varepsilon_0 |E_0|^2 (|E'|^2 + |E''|^2)^2}{(e' + 2e_1)^2 + \omega^2} \cdot t,
\]

by noting that \( e = e' - j e'' \) and \( \sigma_{eff} = \omega \varepsilon_0 e'' \). In addition, due to the fact of that water is a low loss medium at 13.56 MHz, \( e_1 \) can be considered as a real number. By the Drude model, the conductivity of a metallic material is

\[
\sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{1 + j \omega \tau},
\]

where \( \sigma_0 \) is the DC conductivity, and \( \tau \) is the relaxation time. In consequence, the relative permittivity of the metallic material can be written as

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1}.
\]

FIG. 16. Field distribution of a spherical particle in a uniform field.
For gold particles, $\varepsilon_0$ is around $4.25 \times 10^7 \text{S/m}$, and $\tau$ is on the order of $10^{-12} \text{s}$. The relative permittivity can be approximated as

$$\varepsilon = 1 - j \frac{\sigma_0}{\omega_0(1 + j\omega \tau)}. \quad (6)$$

and consequently

$$\Delta T = r_V \cdot \sigma_{eff} |E|^2 \cdot t \approx r_V \cdot \frac{9\sigma_0\varepsilon|^2|E_0|^2}{(1 + 2\varepsilon)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma_0}{\omega_0} \right)^2} \cdot t \approx 5r_V \cdot 10^{-10} \approx 10^{-10} \text{°C}. \quad (8)$$

The volume ratio of GNP is usually much smaller, i.e., in the order of a few ppsm for most practical applications. Therefore, the temperature rise in 10 min is very small. For aggregated nanoparticles, the temperature rise $\Delta T_0$ over $\Delta T$

$$\frac{\Delta T_0}{\Delta T} \propto \frac{|E|^2}{|E_0|^2}. \quad (7)$$

In order to observe noticeable increase in heating effect, the enhancement of electric field should be on the order of $10^5$, which has not been observed yet. Such an effect is understandable as the periphery dimension of nanoparticles is much smaller than the wavelength of 13.56 MHz RF field (more than 22 m). Even the aggregated nanoparticles, say 100 micrometer in diameter, are still too small to produce any effect within the accuracy of measurement. However the phenomenon in the visible light region is different as the plasmonic resonance effect may take place, which can produce a stronger heating effect.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

This work investigated the bulk heating effect of aggregated gold nanoparticle (GNP) colloids under a 655-nm laser and a 13.56 MHz RF electric field. A novel method using static electric field was developed to produce aggregated GNP nanoparticles and characterized by the UV-visible spectroscopy, dynamic light scattering, SEM and CIE chromaticity diagram. With the application of the electric field, loose agglomerates were formed, whose effect increased with the increase of the electric voltage or the increase of the electric treatment time. The heating results showed that at the wavelength of 655 nm, that aggregated GNP colloids could enhance the bulk heating effect significantly, which is associated with the reduced transmittance effect and an increased surface Plasmon resonance effect. However, at 13.56 MHz, the RF heating of aggregated GNP colloids did not produce any observable heating enhancement within the measurement accuracy, which can be explained by the classical Joule heating model due to an insufficient increase in the electric field. Such a result disproved the suggestions that the pure aggregated particles could produce significant heating effect at 13.56 MHz RF field.
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