Cortisol levels and suicidal behavior: A meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Suicide is a major cause of death worldwide, responsible for 1.5% of all mortality. The causes of suicidal behavior are not fully understood. Dysregulated hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activity, as measured by cortisol levels, is one potential risk factor. This meta-analytic review aimed i) to estimate the strength and variability of the association between naturally fluctuating cortisol levels and suicidal behavior and ii) to identify moderators of this relationship. A systematic literature search identified 27 studies (N = 2226; 779 suicide attempters & 1447 non-attempters) that met the study eligibility criteria from a total of 417 unique records initially examined. Estimates of effect sizes (r) obtained from these studies were analysed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis. In these analyses, we compared participants identified as having a past history of suicide attempt(s) to those with no such history. Study quality, mean age of sample and percentage of male participants were examined as potential moderators. Overall, there was no significant effect of suicide group on cortisol. However, significant associations between cortisol and suicide attempts were observed as a function of age. In studies where the mean age of the sample was below 40 years the association was positive (i.e., higher cortisol was associated with suicide attempts; r = .234, p < .001), and where the mean age was 40 or above the association was negative (i.e., lower cortisol was associated with suicide attempts; r = -.129, p < .001). These findings confirm that HPA axis activity, as indicated by age-dependent variations in cortisol levels, is associated with suicidal behavior. The challenge for theory and clinical practice is to explain the complete reversal of the association with age and to identify its clinical implications.

Funding: US Department of Defense (US DOD W81XWH-12-1-0007)
1. INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a major cause of death worldwide, responsible for 1.5% of all mortality. The causes of suicidal behavior are not fully understood; however, this behavior clearly results from a complex interplay between many different factors. Numerous models have been proposed that differ in their emphasis on the role of psychological, social, psychiatric and neurobiological factors in predicting risk of suicide and identifying targets for intervention to improve suicide prevention (Mann et al., 1999; O’Connor, 2011; O’Connor & Nock, 2014; van Heeringen and Mann, 2014; van Orden et al., 2010). However, central to many models is a stress-diathesis component which states that suicidal behavior is a result of an interaction between acutely stressful events and a susceptibility to suicidal behavior (a diathesis). Evidence is accumulating from post-mortem, neuroimaging and in-vivo studies that a trait diathesis is not only manifested in impairments of the serotonergic and noradrenergic neurotransmitter systems, in structural brain abnormalities and via epigenetic pathways but also in dysregulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis stress response activity (Mann, 2013; Turecki et al., 2012; van Heeringen et al., 2011; van Heeringen and Mann, 2014). Moreover, it has been suggested that biomarkers of a trait-diathesis following serious stressful and traumatic psychosocial events, independent of psychiatric co-morbidities, may be useful predictors of suicide risk (van Heeringen and Mann, 2014). One such potential biomarker is the glucocorticoid, cortisol.

When we experience stress, the HPA axis is activated and releases cortisol from the adrenal glands. Once released, cortisol has several important functions such as increasing access to energy stores, increasing protein and fat mobilisation, as well as regulating the magnitude and duration of inflammatory responses (Sapolsky et al., 2000). Cortisol has also been found to be associated with impairments in cognitive control, decision-making and emotional processing linked to suicidal behavior (Giletta et al., 2015; Turecki et al., 2012). As such, cortisol is the primary effector hormone of the HPA axis stress response system and has received extensive empirical investigation. As with other aspects of the endocrine system, the HPA axis is regulated by a negative feedback system, whereby the hypothalamus and the pituitary gland have receptors that detect changes in cortisol.
levels. For example, cortisol secretion will be inhibited when circulating levels rise or it will be stimulated when levels fall. However, if the HPA axis is repeatedly activated, this will trigger increased cortisol output, thereby exposing bodily tissues to excessive concentrations of the hormone (McEwen, 1998; McEwen, 2000; Miller et al., 2007). Over time, such repetitive activation may lead to tissue damage and contribute to future ill health by placing excessive pressure on various bodily systems including the HPA axis (known as allostatic load; McEwen, 1998). Nonetheless, the precise effects of psychological stress on HPA axis regulation in relation to the diurnal cortisol profile and how this relates to suicidal behavior remains unclear.

The majority of previous research on cortisol and suicidal behavior relations has focused on assessing HPA axis functioning through pharmacological manipulation of the stress system (Mann and Currier, 2007; Pompili et al., 2010). The Dexamethasone Suppression Test (DST; Carroll et al., 1968) has been commonly employed to assess HPA axis dysregulation by measuring cortisol inhibition after the administration of the synthetic glucocorticoid Dexamethasone. Failure to suppress cortisol is evidence for HPA-axis hyperactivity and has consistently been found to predict completed suicide in patients with mood disorder for example (Coryell and Schlesser, 1981; Coryell et al., 2006; Jokinen and Nordstrom, 2008; Jokinen and Nordstrom, 2009; Jokinen et al., 2009; Norman et al., 1990). However, whilst DST research has contributed enormously to knowledge regarding HPA axis dysregulation and suicide vulnerability, findings remain inconsistent and contradictory (McGirr et al., 2011). Pharmacological manipulation has also been criticised as it may not adequately mimic the size of the endogenous HPA response to naturally occurring stressors (Burke et al., 2005). In addition, more recent studies have begun to explore other aspects of the cortisol response, such as the diurnal cortisol rhythm (including morning and afternoon/evening cortisol levels) and cortisol reactivity to stressors (for example, see McGirr et al., 2010).

Studies that have explored the relationship between naturally fluctuating cortisol and suicidal behavior have yielded inconsistent findings. For example, Westrin et al. (1999) found elevated cortisol levels in patients who had recently attempted suicide compared to healthy controls, while Lindqvist and colleagues (2008) found that cortisol levels were significantly lower in suicide
attempters compared to controls. A number of methodological factors may account for these mixed findings including the timing of the cortisol sampling (morning vs afternoon/evening) and study quality (both of which are examined in the current meta-analysis). However, age is another important variable that has been found to be associated with cortisol levels and suicidal behavior (Hawton et al., 2012; Hawton and van Heeringen, 2009). For example, cortisol levels have been shown to increase as part of normal aging (possibly mediated by diminished negative feedback inhibition), but normal-to-low levels of cortisol have also been observed in older Holocaust survivors with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and in patient groups with different stress-related disorders such as fibromyalgia (Ferrari et al., 2000; Fries et al., 2005; Yehuda et al., 2005). Taken together, these data suggest that the relationship between age and cortisol levels is far from straightforward and it is likely moderated by stress-related factors. In terms of suicidal behavior, age has been found to be differentially associated with suicide. In most regions in the world, suicide rates are highest in individuals aged 70 years and older (World Health Organization, 2014). However, in some countries, the highest levels of suicide are among the young (15-29 year olds) and in more wealthy countries, men are three times as likely to die by suicide. However, it is worth noting that age has also been found to be inversely associated with suicide attempt, such that greater levels of suicide attempt have been observed in younger people (e.g., Nock et al., 2008). Therefore, for these reasons, the mean age of the sample and percentage of males in each sample will be also explored as potential moderators of the relationship between cortisol and suicide attempter status (Hawton et al., 2012; Hawton and van Heeringen, 2009).

Therefore, the goal of this review was to synthesize findings from all existing research that has compared participants with at least one prior suicide attempt with a comparison group with no suicide attempt history in order: i) to estimate the strength and variability of the association between naturally fluctuating cortisol levels and suicidal behavior and ii) to identify moderators of this relationship.

2. METHOD

2.1. Search Strategy

PubMed, ISI Web of Science (ISI WOS) and PsycINFO were searched for full published reports from 1958 until the end of February 2015. The following search terms were used; (i) suicid* AND cortisol. Initially all abstracts were examined to identify studies meeting the study inclusion
criteria, before the full text was assessed for eligibility. The search strategy was inclusive, including studies of inpatient, outpatient and non-clinical samples.

The following eligibility criteria were used: (1) published in a peer-reviewed journal and written in English, (2) levels of naturally occurring cortisol were reported, assayed from either cerebral spinal fluid, urine, saliva, or blood, (3) a measure or report of suicide attempt history was described, (4) the sample included a case group of participants who had made at least one prior suicide attempt and comparison participants with no history of suicide attempt, and (5) the association between cortisol and suicide history was reported, or a statement that an association did not exist was specified. Studies which only reported cortisol levels after the introduction of an exogenous substance (e.g. dexamethasone) were excluded. Studies which carried out a challenge test but reported pre-manipulation cortisol levels were included, but only those findings relating to cortisol measured prior to manipulation were used.

2.2. Data Extraction

The following information was taken from each published report: the study design, sample demographic information and any exclusion criteria, the primary sample diagnoses and method used to assess psychopathology, the methodology used to ascertain suicide history, procedural details relating to the assessment of cortisol, controlled variables, details relating to longitudinal designs (duration, follow up procedure, attrition rate) and the main findings or related statistical information between cortisol and suicide group.

2.3. Quality Assessment

Each study was assessed for methodological quality using a six item index developed a priori by the authors. A score from 0-2 (with the exception of suicide attempt history assessment scored 0-3) was given for each item, and then a total quality score was calculated ranging from a score of 0 to a maximum of 13. The six methodological criteria points can be found in Table 1 in the Supplementary Materials.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

We compared participants identified as having a past history of suicide attempt(s) to individuals with no such history. If multiple case groups existed within these categories (e.g. violent
and non-violent attempters), an average value was used. Due to the majority of studies assaying cortisol from blood, if within studies there were multiple analytical methods (e.g. blood and urine samples), only blood data was included in the analyses in order to not “double count” any one study. However, in those studies where cortisol was only sampled from non-blood substances (e.g. saliva, cerebrospinal fluid) we extracted the available data.

Data were available for cortisol at various time points. Although the majority of studies only sampled morning cortisol (before midday), we did not want to exclude data collected at different time points. Therefore, an overall analysis was conducted which included all data regardless of the time of day. However, acknowledging the importance time of day has on cortisol levels, separate analyses were carried out only including cortisol samples collected before midday (AM) and cortisol collected in the afternoon or evening (PM).

The correlation coefficient was used as the index of effect size for the reasons specified by Roberts et al., 2007. Other effect size estimates, such as odds ratios (OR) and risk ratios (RR), can make small effects appear bigger than they are in absolute terms. Second, compared to indices like ORs, the correlation has an upper and lower limit for judging the relative size of the effect.

All analyses were conducted in Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) version 2©. All effects were coded to indicate if cortisol was higher in the suicide attempters versus the non-attempters, with random effects models estimated.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Study Information

In total, 854 records were initially identified. After the removal of duplicates, the abstracts of 491 unique records were examined (see Figure 1 in supplementary materials). The main reasons for excluding studies at this stage were that the subject matter did not relate to cortisol or suicidality, or the record was not a research article reporting primary data. Research studies measuring HPA functioning using pharmacological challenge tests (e.g. the DST) were retained at this stage if it could not be determined from the abstract alone whether they also measured pre-manipulation cortisol levels. After the exclusion of 365 records, the full texts of 126 articles were screened for eligibility.
Twenty-seven studies published between 1980 and 2013 were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria.

3.2. Descriptive Statistics

The characteristics of the 27 studies included in the meta-analysis are presented in Table 1. The combined sample totalled 2269 participants, including 798 suicide attempters and 1471 non-attempters. The median sample size was 56 with a range of 22 to 359 participants. The mean age in the individual studies ranged from 17.62 to 55.80 years, giving a combined mean age of 39.42 years (SD = 8.60). The average proportion in the combined sample reported as male was 50.1%. In terms of study quality, there was a broad range of scores allocated to studies extending from a low score of 2 to a high score of 11.

Of the 24 studies that reported the specific time cortisol was assayed, 16 measured morning cortisol (66.6%), 4 only assayed during the afternoon or evening (16.6%) and four measured cortisol at multiple time points throughout the day (16.6%). The remaining three studies either did not report the time of day cortisol was assayed (Kim et al., 2013), or measured 24 hour cortisol from urine (Ostroff et al., 1982; van Heeringen et al., 2000).

3.3. Meta-analysis

The results of the random effects meta-analysis are shown in Table 2. Overall, no association was found between suicide group and cortisol for all 27 studies, the 24 studies that reported time of assay, or when analysing the AM and PM data separately (Table 2, upper panel). However, there was a significant moderation effect for the mean age of the samples for all 27 studies and in each of the subgroup analyses (Table 2, upper panel). Meta-regression of r on age found a significant negative effect for age. At approximately 39-40 years there is a reversal of the direction of the effect size (see Figure 2 Supplementary Materials). Prior to 40 years the association is mostly positive (higher cortisol is associated with suicide attempts), whereas after 40 years this becomes generally negative (lower cortisol associated with suicide attempts). To examine this further we recoded average age into less than 40 years and equal to and greater than 40 years. We ran mixed effects models to examine whether there was a significant difference in the association between cortisol and suicide across this age split for all 27 studies, for the 24 studies that reported the specific time cortisol was assayed, and
then again separately in AM and PM samples. The results are shown in Table 2, lower panel. As can
be seen in all cases, the differences are statistically significant, indicating that where the average age
of the sample was below 40 years the association was positive, whereas it was negative for samples
where the average age was equal to or above 40 years. A summary of the moderating effects of age
are shown in the Forest Plot for the full sample (k = 27; Figure 3 in the Supplementary Materials). If
we restrict these analyses just to blood samples the same pattern of results is observed (data not
shown). It is also worth noting that the mean absolute levels of cortisol were consistent with the
observed associations outlined above. In studies with a mean age less than 40 years, the mean cortisol
was 399.19 nmol/L in the attempter group compared to 312.49 nmol/L in the non-attempter group. In
studies with a mean age greater than 40 years, the mean cortisol was 367.10 nmol/L in the attempter
group compared to 411.71 nmol/L in the non-attempter group.

There was no evidence that the association between suicide attempts and cortisol level was
moderated by sex (Table 1, upper panel) or year of publication (data not shown). However, study
quality was found to significantly influence the relationship. For the all (k = 27) analyses there was a
significant effect of study quality (B = -0.03, p = .013) indicating that as quality improved the effect
size becomes smaller. Similar results were found in the AM + PM (k = 24) analyses (B = -0.033, p =
.007) and the AM only (k = 20) analyses (B = -0.05, p = .0005), but not for the PM only (k = 8)
analyses (B = -0.02, p = .22).

Nine studies were identified where the participants were diagnosed as having unipolar
depression, major depressive disorder or depression (Ayuso-Gutiérrez and Cabranes, 1987; Brown et
al., 1986; Brunner et al., 2002; Inder et al., 1997; Karlović et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2013; Pitchot et al.,
1995; 2005; Westrin et al., 1999). Therefore, we also explored whether the moderating effects of age
was observable in this sub-group. Meta-regression showed a significant negative effect of age -0.013
(p = .004) consistent with the analysis of the whole sample. Categorical moderation for age (average
sample age below or above 40 years) demonstrated an effect approaching significant (Q = 3.34, p =
.08), with a significant negative association between cortisol and suicide in samples older on average
than 40 (r = -0.09, p = .018, k = 5) and a positive, but non-significant association, for samples under
40 (r = 0.18, p = .238, k = 4). Thus the general pattern of results replicates the main findings.
3.4. Publication bias

There was no evidence for publication bias (Table 1). Edger’s intercept was non-significant for all four analyses and Duvall and Tweedie’s Trim and Fill analysis indicated that there were no missing studies that would alter the result. However, Cochran’s Q was found to be significant for the overall analysis, and for the time subgroup analyses, suggesting considerable heterogeneity in study outcomes. The $I^2$ statistic revealed that 79% to 83% of the variation in study outcomes was due to true heterogeneity, thereby confirming the need to examine the potential effects of moderating variables on the suicide attempts-cortisol association.

3.5. Time between suicide attempt and assessment of cortisol

One potential methodological confound is the time between the suicide-related event and the assessment of cortisol. We examined this by initially coding if temporal data were available or not and found that 14 of the 27 studies reported the timing of cortisol assessment. The association between cortisol and suicidal behavior did not vary systematically across reporting or not reporting temporal information ($p = .17$) and was non-significant in studies that did not report timing ($p = .95$) and those that did ($p = .074$). In addition, these temporal parameters (time recorded or not) did not influence the reversal in association around the 40 year age split, such that the observed effects remained in both groups but stronger in the group that did record temporal data.

4. DISCUSSION

The results of this meta-analysis confirm that HPA axis activity, as indicated by age-dependent variations in naturally occurring cortisol levels, are associated with suicide attempt. Generally in younger samples, less than 40 years old, the association was positive, such that suicide attempts were associated with greater cortisol levels, but for older samples the effect was reversed, with suicide attempts associated with lower cortisol levels. Moreover, the moderating effects of age were also significant in studies that assessed cortisol in the morning and afternoon/evening. The relationship between cortisol and suicide attempts was not influenced by percentage of males in study
samples or year of publication. However, as frequently observed in meta-analyses, the study quality influenced the associations, such that, smaller effect sizes were observed in better quality studies.

These findings indicate clearly that a reversal in the association between cortisol and suicidal attempt occurs when the average age of the sample is 40 years or older. This is not to imply that for any individual the shift would happen at 40 years, this is on average. Nonetheless, what these analyses do demonstrate is that for older people the association is negative and for younger people it is positive and that the relationship between cortisol and suicide attempts is more complex than previously acknowledged. In addition, these findings may have implications for research studies that have assessed HPA axis functioning using pharmacological manipulation of the stress system such as the DST and raise the interesting question as to whether age moderates the extent to which cortisol is suppressed following manipulation.

What may account for this reversal of the association between cortisol levels and suicide attempts? The short answer is we do not know. We are mindful that there is huge variation in the studies, their designs and in cortisol and suicidal attempt assessments (see shortcomings section below). Nevertheless, with these caveats notwithstanding and the obvious associated “noise” linked to meta-analyses generally, we feel these findings are worthy of dissemination and may be important to inform future research. Therefore, within this context, we feel there are a number of plausible explanations that may account for the observed effects.

Broadly speaking, the findings are consistent with McEwen’s notion of allostatic load, whereby if the HPA axis is repeatedly activated (by stress) the immune, cardiovascular and the endocrine systems are potentially exposed to excessive demands that over time can lead to dysregulation of these systems (McEwen, 1998; 2000). For example, a recent large scale prospective study, using a comprehensive measure of allostatic load (including cortisol levels), found that participants with higher allostatic load scores had a greater risk of having died 10 years later from all-cause mortality (Hwang et al., 2014). Therefore, in the current context, naturally fluctuating cortisol levels may provide an index (or proxy) for the amount of stress exposure that individuals have encountered. This view is also consistent with an influential account of the development of hypocortisolism, which suggests that the latter phenomenon occurs after a prolonged period of
hyperactivity of the HPA axis due to chronic stress (see Fries et al., 2005) for detailed discussion of precise mechanisms). As such, younger individuals (less than 40 years), who have been exposed to serious stressful and psychosocial events, are likely to continue to exhibit an adaptive HPA axis stress response in the short to medium term (by releasing high levels of cortisol in response to their adverse and stressful environment). In contrast, in individuals who are older (40 years or older) and who have likely been exposed to stressful and traumatic events over a longer, more sustained period, their HPA axis may have become dysregulated leading to lower secretion of circulating cortisol levels. Indeed, lower circulating levels of cortisol have been observed in older Holocaust survivors with PTSD compared to those without PTSD (Yehuda et al., 1995). Furthermore, time course effects have also been found in studies of suicide attempters with major depressive disorders (MDD) (e.g., Ehnvall et al., 2004; Lindqvist et al., 2008). For example, Lindqvist et al. (2008) found that greater suicidal intent was associated with lower post DST cortisol levels. Indeed, these authors speculated that this finding reflected an association between the chronicity of the illness, high suicidal intent and a “worn-out” HPA axis (p. 205), such that the prolonged depressive illness alters the HPA axis feedback system, moving it from a hyperactive state to a more normal like state (see also Ehnvall et al., 2004). Moreover, in the current meta-analysis, the differences in absolute cortisol levels between the groups support this theorizing with under 40 year olds who had attempted suicide exhibiting higher cortisol levels compared to under 40 year olds who had not attempted suicide. In contrast, over 40 year olds who had attempted suicide exhibited lower cortisol levels compared to over 40 year olds who had not attempted suicide.

This possible explanation is also in keeping with the findings by Miller et al., 2007). In their review, Miller and colleagues highlighted the importance of the temporal features of stressors and showed that time of onset of stress was negatively associated with HPA axis activity. More specifically, they found that the greater the amount of time that had passed since the stressor was encountered, the lower participants’ morning cortisol and total daily cortisol output. These authors argued that the HPA axis exhibits initial activation in the form of elevated cortisol release (as observed in studies here with a mean age of less than 40 years). However, following prolonged exposure to the stressors, they theorized that, this activity reduces and cortisol secretion rebounds to
less than normal. As a result, it is possible that in individuals over 40 years old, that the more they fail to mount an appropriate cortisol response, the greater their suicide risk. For example, hyporesponsivity of the HPA axis to acute stressors (as well as hyperresponsivity) has been found to impair decision-making processes and emotional reactivity, aspects of cognitive functioning that are associated with suicidal behavior (Giletta et al., 2015; Jollant et al., 2011; Turecki et al., 2012; van Honk et al., 2003).

As well as identifying the importance of age as a moderator of the cortisol and suicide attempt relationship, the results of this meta-analysis may help to clarify the mixed and inconsistent findings observed to date in this literature. Previous studies have shown that suicidal attempts are associated with elevated cortisol (or hypercortisolism) as well as blunted or low cortisol levels (or hypocortisolism) (e.g., Mann and Currier, 2007; Lindqvist et al., 2008). The current results reveal that both types of observations may be valid and true, but may be accounted for by age-dependent exposure to stress over time. However, this meta-analysis does not shed any additional light on the relationship between HPA axis functioning and completed suicide (as distinct from suicide attempt). Existing evidence from prospective DST studies suggests that HPA hyperactivity is more consistently associated with completed suicide compared to suicide attempt (Mann and Currier, 2007). For example, Coryell and Schlesser (2001) reported that there was a 14 fold higher risk of completed suicide in DST non-suppressors compared to suppressors. In contrast, the evidence for a clear relationship between HPA hyperactivity, as assessed using the DST, and suicide attempt is mixed. Some studies have shown that DST suppression status is unable to distinguish between individuals who will attempt suicide and those who will not. Yet other research findings have demonstrated DST suppression is associated with a higher rate of suicide attempts (see Mann & Currier, 2007 for a review). Mann and Currier suggest that an important reason why non-suppression on the DST is predictive of completed suicide may be because it is also associated with “a failure to respond to antidepressant treatment or a tendency for early relapse such as shortly after discharge” (p. 10). Therefore, within this context, future research ought to attempt to synthesise existing prospective studies investigating the relationship between naturally fluctuating levels of cortisol and completed suicide and to examine the potential moderating role of age.
We recognize that there are a number of shortcomings and limitations of this meta-analysis that require further comment. There was a great deal of variability across the studies in terms of how suicide attempt was assessed, the timing and measurement of cortisol samples and in relation to controlling for confounding variables. As a result, conclusions about the precise nature of the relationship between cortisol and suicide attempt remain unknown. Therefore, future investigators ought to improve the quality of their studies in this area by utilising longitudinal designs (over many years) that incorporate assessments of suicidal behavior using clinical interviews or validated scales and ensure cortisol is sampled at numerous time points across the day (morning, afternoon, evening) over multiple days. In addition, it is incumbent on researchers to investigate why age moderates the relationship between fluctuating cortisol levels and suicide attempt and to explore the role played by different, traumatic and stressful psychosocial events (including psychiatric co-morbidities) within the context of allostatic load, PTSD, hypocortisolism and chronic stress models. We acknowledge also that the current meta-analysis did not include studies that utilised a pharmacological manipulation of cortisol levels and that approaches such as the DST have a number of important advantages over studies that have included one or more naturally occurring assessments of cortisol. We are cognizant that the former research designs allow for carefully controlled experimental investigation of how the HPA responds to challenge as well as permitting important variables such as time of day to be held constant. Nevertheless, given the DST and suicide literature has been successfully reviewed elsewhere (Jollant et al., 2011; Mann and Currier, 2007) and because of the concerns raised that the DST may not accurately mimic how the HPA axis responds to naturally occurring stressors (McGirr et al., 2011), we were motivated to synthesis the existing studies that has been conducted outside the laboratory. Nevertheless, to our mind, in order to fully and accurately characterise how the HPA axis responds to stress in vulnerable individuals, future research ought to integrate pharmacological, laboratory-based stress challenges and naturally occurring approaches that assess the cortisol awakening response as well as cortisol levels throughout the day (see Gartland et al., 2014; O’Connor et al., 2009).

In summary, these findings confirm that HPA axis activity, as indicated by age-dependent variations in cortisol levels, is associated with suicidal behavior. The challenge for theory and clinical
practice is to explain the complete reversal of the association with age and to identify its clinical implications.
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## Table 1. Study Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mean Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Sex (% male)</th>
<th>Analytic Method</th>
<th>Sample Time</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Effect Size</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Time since attempt</th>
<th>Type of control</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ayuso-Gutiérrez et al.</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>-.031</td>
<td>Major Affective Disorder</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al.</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-.165</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Recent suicide attempt ≤ 4 weeks ago; past suicide attempt &gt; 4 weeks ago (groups collapsed)</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunner et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>38.33</td>
<td>23.97</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>.190</td>
<td>Depressive spectrum disorder</td>
<td>5 – 23 days, mean time interval: 16 days</td>
<td>Depressed non-attempters &amp; neurological controls</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunner et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>35.35</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>.080</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Shortly before admission</td>
<td>Non-suicidal psychiatric patients &amp; neurological controls</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatzitofis et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63.73</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>.305</td>
<td>Mixed; 50% depressive disorder</td>
<td>“recent suicide attempt”; time-frame</td>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std Dev</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Test Type</td>
<td>T Value</td>
<td>Depression Type</td>
<td>Test Notes</td>
<td>Non-Attempters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmitrowicz &amp; Kołodziej-</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Prior to hospitalisation; biochemical tests carried out 2-6 days after admission</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jokinen et al.</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>54.43</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>afternoon</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>.185</td>
<td>Unipolar depression</td>
<td>Within 1 month</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jokinen et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>-.343</td>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>Patients had attempted suicide just before the admission; 71% had biological tests within 8 days of admission</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamali et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>38.95</td>
<td>32.33</td>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>Bipolar spectrum</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlović et al.</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>63.64</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Mean Age</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Sample Type</td>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelip et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>54.76</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempter patients &amp; healthy controls</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>43.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Within 1 month</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindqvist et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>48.95</td>
<td>39.91</td>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>afternoon</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>evening</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcinko et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>26.47</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Blood/Serum</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Soon after admission, exact duration unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markianos et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Blood/Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Within 1 week</td>
<td>Accident patients &amp; healthy controls</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGirr et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>42.01</td>
<td>37.16</td>
<td>Blood/Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostroff et al.</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Prospective; Last cortisol sample 1-3 weeks prior attempt</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchot et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>40.66</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Blood/Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Platelet</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Time after Suicide Attempt</td>
<td>Sample Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitchot et al.</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Unipolar depression</td>
<td>Within current episode of depression</td>
<td>Non-attempters 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plocka-Lewansdowska et al.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>afternoon</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>afternoon</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Major depressive episode</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Non-attempters 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saiz et al.</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Minimum of 3 months after an attempt</td>
<td>Non-attempters 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secunda et al.</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>44.95</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Mean duration 34.2 weeks</td>
<td>Non-attempters 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traskman et al.</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>46.33</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>Depressed non-attempters 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripodianakis et al.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>Blood Plasma</td>
<td>morning</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Adjustment disorder</td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>Non-attempters 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Heeringen et al.</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>35.45</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>24 hour</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Unreported</td>
<td>8 cases prior to the current admission, 9 historical suicide</td>
<td>Non-suicidal psychiatric controls 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note. In some studies the N for AM and PM assessments on the same samples vary. In all the meta-analysis where AM and PM were combined for these studies the average r and mean age (rounded down if there was a decimal) were entered into the specific analyses. When AM and PM are assessed separately the actual AM and PM Ns were used.

Note: MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; Mixed = Mixed psychopathology
Table 2. Meta-analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basic Stats</th>
<th>Publication Bias</th>
<th>Moderation by Age</th>
<th>Moderation by sex (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>95% (CI)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (all)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>-.02, .16</td>
<td>.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (AM &amp; PM)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>-.03, .16</td>
<td>.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>-.08, .132</td>
<td>.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>-.13, .26</td>
<td>.517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moderation by Age</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>95% (CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 (all)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.234</td>
<td>.135, .329</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>34.5, p &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 40 (all)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-.129</td>
<td>-.194, -.063</td>
<td>= .004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 (AM + PM)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.121, .332</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>28.33, p &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 40 (AM + PM)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-.137</td>
<td>-.214, -.059</td>
<td>= .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 (AM)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.184</td>
<td>.046, .315</td>
<td>= .009</td>
<td>15.12, p &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 40 (AM)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-.136</td>
<td>-.215, -.055</td>
<td>= .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 (PM)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.287</td>
<td>.131, .429</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>21.96, p &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 40 (PM)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-.186</td>
<td>-.279, -.070</td>
<td>= .002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AM = early morning and before 12pm, PM = after 12pm. Three studies reported no time or utilised 24 hr assessments (Kim et al., 2013; Ostroff et al., 1982; van Heeringen et al., 2000). These were included in the analyses of all samples (all), but excluded from AM and PM analyses, therefore AM + PM has an N of 24 studies.
Figure 1: Summary of moderating effects of age
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Figure 1: PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram
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Studies included in meta-analysis (n = 27)

Full-text articles (n = 99)
Main reasons for exclusion: (1) not an original research study published in a peer-reviewed journal, (2) not reporting naturally occurring cortisol levels, prior to any manipulation (3) no measure or indication of suicide attempt history, (4) no case comparison of suicide attempters to non-attempters.
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Figure 2: Meta-regression of the mean age on Fisher’s Z (k = 27)
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Figure 3. Forest plot of the observed association between suicide attempt and cortisol when studies were grouped by categorical age (n=27)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Longitudinal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groups not adequately matched.</td>
<td>Minimum age and gender matched.</td>
<td>Requires details of follow-up procedure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td></td>
<td>Total sample &lt; 56.</td>
<td>Total sample &gt;56 but unequal group sizes (if applicable).</td>
<td>Total sample &gt;56 participants, approximately equal group sizes (if applicable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median sample size 56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>No mention of how suicide attempt history was assessed.</td>
<td>Non-validated scale or other means of self-report (e.g. single question)</td>
<td>Hospital admission where intent has not been established; suicide items from a validated diagnostic/mood rating scale (e.g. HDRS, BDI, SADS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol Assessment¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cortisol sampled at one time point.</td>
<td>Cortisol sampled at two or more time points, on one day.</td>
<td>Cortisol sampled over multiple days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Frequency)</td>
<td></td>
<td>e.g. morning</td>
<td>e.g. morning and evening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>No mention of how diagnosis was established.</td>
<td>Validated scale (e.g. HDRS, MADRS, BDI)</td>
<td>Clinical/diagnostic interview (e.g. SCID-I; SCID-II; SADS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confounding variables</td>
<td></td>
<td>No attempt to account for potential confounding variables in recruitment or analysis.</td>
<td>Accounts for basic confounding variables either during recruitment or analysis.</td>
<td>Accounts for additional confounding variables either during recruitment or analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E.g. Age, Gender</td>
<td>E.g. Substance abuse, physical illness, childhood trauma, medication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Rated based on what cortisol data was included in the analysis