

# Autosomal and Z-linked microsatellite markers enhanced for cross-species utility and assessed in a range of birds, including species of conservation concern

Deborah A. Dawson<sup>1</sup> · Susannah Bird<sup>1,2</sup> · Gavin J. Horsburgh<sup>1</sup> · Alexander D. Ball<sup>1</sup>

Received: 16 February 2015 / Accepted: 15 March 2015 / Published online: 15 October 2015  
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** Microsatellite markers were designed to be of utility for genotyping multiple species of birds, including those of conservation concern, hence saving resources and enabling species/genome comparisons. We used the proven approach of Dawson et al. (Mol Ecol Resour 10:475–494, 2010) and assessed markers in multiple species, including nine species of conservation interest. We ensured both primer sequences matched multiple species (13 loci) or designed primer sets from expressed sequence tags (2 loci). Eleven primer sets were 100 % identical to the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*) and a second passerine species and/or the chicken (*Gallus gallus*). All 15 loci were polymorphic when assessed in a non-source species (Gouldian finch, *Erythrura gouldiae*) suggesting utility in multiple species. Four of the five Z-linked loci were assessed in at least nine additional species each (including ratites). All were variable in multiple species, demonstrating cross-species utility and potential for identifying Z chromosome rearrangements.

**Keywords** AVES · Birds · High cross-species utility · Passerine · Simple tandem repeat (STR) · Z chromosome

---

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s12686-015-0495-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

---

✉ Deborah A. Dawson  
d.a.dawson@sheffield.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

<sup>2</sup> Present Address: Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

## Introduction

In order to create enhanced microsatellite markers of high cross-species utility we followed the approach of Dawson et al. (2010). We designed primer sets from alignments of multiple species/expressed sequence tags and characterised these in non-source species. Those markers identified as Z-linked based on sequence homology to the zebra finch and genotyping were tested in additional bird species. Z markers with wide cross-species amplification are particularly useful because species-specific allele sizes allow the identification of the parental species of a hybrid individual (e.g. Lifjeld et al. 2010), whereas the more variable Z markers allow the study of cross-species chromosomal rearrangements (e.g. Backström et al. 2006).

## Methods

We followed the approach of Dawson et al. (2010) to enhance the markers for high cross-species utility. We created consensus zebra finch–passerine, zebra finch–chicken or zebra finch–passerine–chicken sequences from homologous microsatellite sequences using MEGA3 (for details see Supplementary File 1). Primer sets were designed from these multi-species consensus sequences using PRIMER3 v0.4.0 using a maximum of one degenerate base per primer set.

All markers were assessed in a non-source species, the near-threatened Gouldian finch (*Erythrura gouldiae*). Since this species was not used in the design of the markers, successful amplification and polymorphism would suggest the marker will be of utility in many species. We tested known sexes to diagnose if the markers were Z-linked or autosomal (Table 1; up to 20 female and 20 male; sexed

**Table 1** Fifteen microsatellite markers of enhanced cross-species utility and characterised in estrilid finches

Primer set name	Repeat motif	Chr	Primer sequences and fluoro-label (5'-3')	Sp tested	N	Observed allele size range (bp)	A	H <sub>o</sub>	H <sub>e</sub>	Species with 100 % match to both primers	Passerine sequence aligned (Locus, GenBank/EMBL accession no. and reference)
O142-ZFC	GT	1	F: [6-FAM]-TCTCTTGCCTGAAGGCTCTC R: CATCTGCTTCwCCAAGACATTC	GF	6	234–236	2	0.17	0.17	ZF, P	O142, AY696193 Burgess and Fleischer (2006)
Ase52-ZEST	CA	3	F: [HEX]-TCTAACACATCTGAAAACCAGCTAC R: TTTTCTTGATGCATATTTATGGTGTTC	GF	18	210–215	5	0.89	0.78	ZF, P (EST)	Ase52, AJ276781 Richardson et al. (2000)
Ase60-ZFS	GT	3	F: [HEX]-GGCTTGCCTTTTATTGATCATGC R: CAGGACTGGCATAATTAGAAATGTTTAC	GF	9	174–184	3	0.22	0.60	ZF, P	Ase60, AJ276789 Richardson et al. (2000)
ApCo104-ZFC	CAA	5	F: [HEX]-TCTGCTGACGACTTTATTACCC R: TTTCCCTCTCgTAACACTGC	GF	8	117–123	3	0.25	0.54	ZF, P	ApCo104, AF520900 Stenzler and Fitzpatrick (2002)
Ase24-ZFS	GA	5	F: [HEX]-TGTGCATGTGTGCAATTG R: TGTGTCTGAAAGCTGTCATTGC	GF	14	201–215	5	0.79	0.62	ZF, P	Ase24, AJ276381 Richardson et al. (2000)
Ase12-ZFS	CA	7	F: [6-FAM]-TCATCCATCAAGAAACACAAC R: TCCTCACAGCCTTGACTGG	GF	18	120–136	8	0.39	0.84	ZF, P	Ase12, AJ287395 Richardson et al. (2000)
Cdi31-ZFM	CT	7	F: [6-FAM]-GAACTTCTGCATTTGTTCTCTC R: GAGAGCGTGTGAATGAGTG	GF	6	139–141	2	0.50	0.41	ZF, P	Cdi31, AB089172 Otsuka et al. (2003)
DkiD12-ZF (Chr 9)	GATA	9	F: [6-FAM]-GCTTGGCAATTA AAAACTCAA R: CAAAGACACTGAGGCATCAAA	GF	8	181–191	2	0.38	0.53	ZF, P	DkiD12, AY769684 King et al. (2005)
ZEST09-005	CA	9	F: [6-FAM]-AACCCAAACCAAAAATTGG R: CCAACTATCAGTTTACAAGGCATAC	GF	7	154–158	3	0.43	0.39	ZF (EST)	ZEST09-005, DV954446 Replogle et al. (2008) <sup>a</sup>
ZEST09-018	AT	9	F: [6-FAM]-TGTCTTGTATTGTCTCCATATCACTG R: ATCCTGCAGTGTGCTTCTC	GF	14	283–293	7	0.71	0.84	ZF (EST)	ZEST09-018, CK307510 Replogle et al. (2008) <sup>a</sup>
Ase46-ZFM	GT	Z	F: [6-FAM]-CTGGCTGTATCTTGGTGTGC R: GCTAACTTTCCATTGAACTGTCC	GF	2M	143–147	2	0	0	ZF, P	Ase46, AJ276775 Richardson et al. (2000)
Z-013	AT	Z	F: [6-FAM]-GGTAGmTTTTAAAGCCAGAT R: TTGACTGTACAAATACAGCAAAGTT	GF	9F	296–298	3	0	0	ZF, CH	Z-013, CK311793 Replogle et al. (2008)
Z-037	AT	Z	F: [6-FAM]-AAAAACACCTTGTAAATTTAAAACCTGG R: CATAGATACATATCAATACAGCACATTC	GF	7M	164–168	3	0.71	0.71	ZF, CH <sup>b</sup>	Z-037, DV945670 Replogle et al. (2008)
Z-040	AT	Z	F: [6-FAM]-AAAAAGTCTTTTCTGGACTGTGCT R: AAAATACAACAGACATAGGCATACA	GF	6M	122–136	7	0.83	0.88	ZF, CH	Z-040, DV949035 Replogle et al. (2008)

**Table 1** continued

Primer set name	Repeat motif	Chr	Primer sequences and fluoro-label (5'-3')	Sp tested	N	Observed allele size range (bp)	A	H <sub>o</sub>	H <sub>e</sub>	Species with 100 % match to both primers	Passerine sequence aligned (Locus, GenBank/EMBL accession no. and reference)
Z-054 (Ase50-Gga)	CA	Z	F: [6-FAM]-CTGTCTGGCATGCTGACTC R: ATCAGCAGACAACATGGACTC	ZF ZF GF GF ZF ZF	20M 20F 6M 9F 10M 9F	171, 173 171, 173 279–296 288–296 262–293 264–293	2 2 7 8 6 4	0.15 0 0.83 0 0.70 0	0.14 0 0.86 0 0.82 0	ZF, P, CH	Ase50, AJ276779 Richardson et al. (2000)

Eleven characterised in the endangered Gouldian finch (*E. gouldiae*) and four Z-linked markers characterised in the Gouldian finch and the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). Chr, predicted chromosome in zebra finch (*T. guttata*); degenerate base IUB codes: W = A or T, M = A or C; N number of individuals genotyped, A number of alleles observed, H<sub>o</sub> observed heterozygosity, H<sub>e</sub> expected heterozygosity, GF Gouldian finch, ZF zebra finch, P passerine (non-ZF), CH chicken, EST expressed sequence tag, M male, F female; <sup>a</sup> see also Ball et al. (2010); base mismatching bases in zebra finch are shown underlined (ApCo104-ZFC and Ase12-ZFS), <sup>b</sup> for Z-037 the first 5' base "A" of the forward primer mismatches in chicken

using the marker Z-002A, Dawson 2007). Four of the Z markers were genotyped in 10–23 additional species (including nine species of conservation concern) and the saltwater crocodile *Crocodylus porosus* (Table 2; 1–40 individuals per species).

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood using an ammonium acetate protocol. Each fluorescent PCR contained approximately 10 ng genomic DNA, in 2-μl volumes using QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix or 10-μl volumes with 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and BIOLINE *Taq* DNA polymerase and buffer. PCR amplification was performed using a DNA Engine Tetrad thermal cycler. PCR amplification conditions were 94 °C for 15 min (QIAGEN) or 3 min (Bioline); then 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s; followed by one cycle of 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were loaded on a 48-capillary ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer and genotypes assigned using GENE Mapper software (Applied Biosystems). Heterozygosities were calculated using CERVUS and deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) calculated using GENEPOP. Z-linked markers were assessed for HWE when typed in a minimum of 10 individuals and using males only.

## Results

Eleven primer sets were 100 % identical to zebra finch and a second bird species and two sets mismatched at 1–4 bases (ApCo104-ZFC and Ase12-ZFS; Supplementary File 1). Two other sets were designed from zebra finch Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) (ZEST09-005 and ZEST09-018; Table 1).

Based on their high multispecies sequence homology and proven utility in multiple non-source species (Table 1, 2), these markers are expected to be of utility for studying many species, including those of conservation concern, especially passerines (see Dawson et al. 2010). Only one locus (*Ase12*) deviated from HWE, possibly due to null alleles (Table 1). A selection of these markers are being used in parentage studies for multiple species (DAD unpublished data).

For the five loci homologous to the zebra finch Z chromosome, females were always homozygous (hemizygous), confirming their Z-linked nature in other species, and suggesting no W-linked homologues amplified (Table 1). Four of the Z-linked sequences were highly conserved between genetically distant species (zebra finch-chicken; Z-013, Z-037, Z-040 and *Ase50*) and, as expected, amplified across a wide range of species, including ratites and saltwater crocodile (81–100 %; Table 2, Supplementary Table 1). These were variable in multiple species demonstrating potential for cross-utility and identifying

**Table 2** Four Z-linked bird markers enhanced for cross-species utility and assessed in a wide range of bird species (including nine of conservation concern) and the saltwater crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*)

Order, family	Species binominal name	Species	IUCN (2014) status <sup>a</sup>	Ase50 (Z-054)	Z-013	Z-037	Z-040	Sample supplier
<b>REPTILE</b>	<i>Crocodylus porosus</i>	Saltwater crocodile	(LC)	–	268–280	178	147	Winston Kay
<b>BIRDS</b>								
<b>RATITES</b>								
<i>Palaeognathae</i> , <i>Struthioniformes</i>	<i>Struthio camelus</i>	Ostrich	LC	–	265, 364	159, 163	125	Jeff Graves
<i>Palaeognathae</i> ; <i>Apterysiformes</i>	<i>Apteryx australis</i>	Brown kiwi	Vulnerable	–	245–256	–	–	Maori Leaders Council & Department of Conservation, New Zealand
<i>Palaeognathae</i> ; <i>Casuariiformes</i>	<i>Dromaius novaehollandiae</i>	Common emu	LC	–	262 (423)	–	–	Dominique Blache
<i>Palaeognathae</i> ; <i>Casuariiformes</i>	<i>Casuaris casuaris</i>	Southern cassowary	Vulnerable	–	262–278	–	–	Leon Huynen
<i>Palaeognathae</i> ; <i>Rheiformes</i>	<i>Rhea pennata</i> ( <i>Pterocnemia</i> )	Lesser rhea (Darwin's rhea)	LC	–	259–278	–	–	Andy Balmford
<b>NON-RATITES</b>								
<i>Anseriformes</i>	<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	Mallard	LC	–	–	159	127	Emma Cunningham
<i>Anseriformes</i>	<i>Cairina moschata</i>	Muscovy duck	LC	–	261	–	–	Moshen Vaez
<i>Columbiformes</i>	<i>Streptopelia picturata rostrata</i>	Seychelles turtle dove	Unknown	–	260	–	–	David Richardson
<i>Columbiformes</i>	<i>Streptopelia turtur</i>	Turtle dove	LC	–	–	160	125	Olivier Hanotte
<i>Coraciiformes</i>	<i>Merops apiaster</i>	European bee-eater	LC	–	269	–	–	Kate Lessells
<i>Coraciiformes</i> ( <i>Bucerotiformes</i> )	<i>Tockus montei</i>	Monteiro's hornbill	LC	–	262	159	127	David Richardson
<i>Cuculiformes</i>	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Common cuckoo	LC	–	–	159	124	Bengt Hansson
<i>Falconiformes</i>	<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	Golden eagle	LC	–	265	161	127	Brian Bourke
<i>Falconiformes</i>	<i>Falco cherrug</i>	Saker falcon	Endangered	239	–	160	PCR fail	Andy Dixon
<i>Galliformes</i>	<i>Alectura lathamii</i>	Brush turkey	LC	–	256	–	–	Darryl Jones
<i>Galliformes</i>	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	Red junglefowl	LC	243, 251	256, 258	159	119	Hans Cheng
<i>Gruiformes</i>	<i>Grus paradisea</i>	Blue crane	Vulnerable	–	263	159	PCR fail	Kate Meares
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Pica pica</i>	Black-billed magpie	LC	274	–	–	–	David Martín-Gálvez
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Turdus merula</i>	Eurasian blackbird	LC	283, 289	–	161	No amp.	Ben Hatchwell
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Cercomacra tyrannina</i>	Dusky antbird	LC	–	268, 271	–	–	Terry Burke
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Erythrura gouldiae</i>	Gouldian finch	Near Threatened	279–296	295–302	162–168	122–136	Simon Griffith and Sarah Pryke
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Acrocephalus arundinaceus</i>	Great reed warbler	LC	–	–	158	179–181	Bengt Hansson
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Parus major</i>	Great tit	LC	260	–	163	138	Harrie Bickle

**Table 2** continued

Order, family	Species binominal name	Species	IUCN (2014) status <sup>a</sup>	Ase50 (Z-054)	Z-013	Z-037	Z-040	Sample supplier
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Passer domesticus</i>	House sparrow	LC	–	166	135	Nancy Okendon	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Corvus monedula</i>	Eurasian jackdaw	LC	268–270	–	–	Ian Henderson	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Aegithalos caudatus</i>	Long tailed tit	LC	–	161	127	Ben Hatchwell	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Emberiza schoeniclus</i>	Reed bunting	LC	–	167	PCR fail	Graeme Buchanan	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Zosterops lateralis chlorocephala</i>	Silver eye	Unknown	–	166	135	Ian Owens	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Starling	LC	–	165	125	Mike Double	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Pycnonotus xanthopygus</i>	White-spectacled bulbul	LC	–	167	142	Jon Wetton	
<i>Passeriformes</i>	<i>Taeniopygia guttata</i>	Zebra finch	LC	262–293	169–172	171, 173	Tim Birkhead	
<i>Piciformes</i>	<i>Melanerpes formicivorus</i>	Acorn woodpecker	LC	–	258–264	–	Joey Haydock	
<i>Procellariiformes</i>	<i>Macronectes giganteus</i>	Southern giant petrel	LC	–	159	127	Richard Phillips	
<i>Psittaciformes</i>	<i>Strigops habroptilus</i>	Kakapo	Critically Endangered	–	260	–	Bruce Robertson	
<i>Psittaciformes</i>	<i>Nestor notabilis</i>	Kea	Vulnerable	–	159	125	Terry Burke	
<i>Sphenisciformes</i>	<i>Pygoscelis adeliae</i>	Adelie penguin	Near Threatened	–	159	127	Fiona Hunter	
<i>Sphenisciformes</i>	<i>Eudyptes chrysolophus (pachyrhynchus)</i>	Macaroni penguin	Vulnerable	–	259	–	Richard Phillips	
<i>Strigiformes</i>	<i>Tyto alba guttata</i>	Barn owl	LC	–	259	–	Akos Klein	
<i>Strigiformes</i>	<i>Athene noctua</i>	Little owl	LC	278	–	–	Akos Klein	
<i>Strigiformes</i>	<i>Asio otus</i>	Northern long-eared owl	LC	278	–	–	Akos Klein	

<sup>a</sup> IUCN (2014) classification (extracted from <http://www.iucnredlist.org/search>): LC least concern, No amp. no amplification, PCR fail failure to amplification probably due to PCR problems, – not tested; Summary data for the four Z chromosome microsatellite markers is provided in Supplementary Table 1

chromosomal rearrangements between species. Allele sizes in some orders were invariant within a species, yet varied in size between species, suggesting potential for identifying species and hybrids (Table 2).

**Acknowledgments** We thank all those who kindly supplied the samples from various species (identified in Table 2), especially the Maori Leaders Group, the Department of Conservation, New Zealand, Simon Griffith and Sarah Pryke and Tim Birkhead. Kang-Wook Kim provided Gouldian finch genotyping data for two markers. Douglas Ross, Terry Burke and Natalie dos Remedios provided comments on the manuscript. This work was performed at the NERC Biomolecular Analysis Facility at Sheffield supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Backström N, Brandström M, Gustafsson L, Qvarnström A, Cheng H, Ellegren H (2006) Genetic mapping in a natural population of collared flycatchers (*Ficedula albicollis*): conserved synteny but gene order rearrangements on the avian Z chromosome. *Genetics* 174:377–386
- Ball AD, Stapley J, Dawson DA, Birkhead TR, Burke T, Slate J (2010) A comparison of SNPs and microsatellites as linkage mapping markers: lessons from the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *BMC Genom* 11:218
- Burgess SL, Fleischer RC (2006) Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Hawaiian flycatcher, the elepaio (*Chasiempis sandwichensis*). *Mol Ecol Notes* 6:14–16
- Dawson DA (2007) Genomic analysis of passerine birds using conserved microsatellite loci. PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield, UK
- Dawson DA, Horsburgh GJ, Küpper C, Stewart IRK, Ball AD, Durrant KL, Hansson B, Bacon I, Bird S, Klein Á, Lee J-W, Martín-Gálvez D, Simeoni M, Smith G, Spurgin LG, Burke T (2010) New methods to identify conserved microsatellite loci and develop primer sets of high utility—as demonstrated for birds. *Mol Ecol Resour* 10:475–494
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources) (2014) The IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2014.2 (<http://www.iucnredlist.org/search>) Accessed 9th Oct 2014
- King TL, Eackles MS, Henderson AP, Bocetti CI, Currie D, Wunderle JM (2005) Microsatellite DNA markers for delineating population structure and kinship among the endangered Kirtland’s warbler (*Dendroica kirtlandii*). *Mol Ecol Notes* 5:569–571
- Lifjeld JT, Marthinsen G, Myklebust M, Dawson DA, Johnsen A (2010) A wild Marsh Warbler × Sedge Warbler hybrid (*Acrocephalus palustris* × *A. schoenobaenus*) in Norway documented with molecular markers. *J Ornithol* 151:513–517
- Otsuka R, Nishiumi I, Wada M (2003) Characterization of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Japanese bush warbler *Cettia diphone*. *Mol Ecol Notes* 3:44–46
- Replogle K, Arnold AP, Ball GF et al (2008) The Songbird Neurogenomics (SoNG) Initiative: community-based tools and strategies for study of brain gene function and evolution. *BMC Genom* 9:131
- Richardson DS, Jury FL, Dawson DA, Salguero P, Komdeur J, Burke T (2000) Fifty Seychelles warbler (*Acrocephalus sechellensis*) microsatellite loci polymorphic in Sylviidae species and their cross-species amplification in other passerine birds. *Mol Ecol* 9:2226–2231
- Stenzler LM, Fitzpatrick JW (2002) Isolation of microsatellite loci in the Florida Scrub-Jay *Aphelocoma coerulescens*. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:547–550