



PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews

A systematic review of cognitive function and psychosocial well-being in school-age children with narcolepsy

Jane Blackwell, Hetaf Alammar, Anna Weighall, Ian Kellar, Hannah Nash

Citation

Jane Blackwell, Hetaf Alammar, Anna Weighall, Ian Kellar, Hannah Nash. A systematic review of cognitive function and psychosocial well-being in school-age children with narcolepsy. PROSPERO 2015:CRD42015018949 Available from http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO_REBRANDING/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42015018949

Review question(s)

Primary objective: To assess whether cognitive function and psychosocial well-being is impaired in school-age children with narcolepsy.

Research question 1: How does cognitive function and psychosocial well-being in school-age children with narcolepsy compare with that of school-age children without narcolepsy?

Research question 2: What study designs and methods are used to investigate and measure cognitive function and psychosocial well-being in school-age children with narcolepsy?

Research question 3: How does study quality vary?

Searches

Four electronic databases will be searched, namely: The Cochrane Library, EMBASE, Ovid MEDLINE and PsycINFO.

Papers must be published in English Language and be published between 2005 and 2015.

Types of study to be included

We will include research using all study designs apart from case studies in this review.

Condition or domain being studied

Narcolepsy

Participants/ population

Inclusion criteria:

Children with narcolepsy (type 1 and 2) (as diagnosed using any recognised diagnostic criteria) aged between 5-17 years old from any country.

Exclusion criteria:

Adults over the age of 18 years.

Intervention(s), exposure(s)

Inclusion criteria:

Research primarily concerned with narcolepsy (type 1 and 2) which explicitly states that the participants narcolepsy diagnosis was made by a physician using recognised diagnostic criteria and that this diagnosis was checked by the research team.

Exclusion criteria:





Research that is not primarily concerned with narcolepsy (type 1 or 2).

Comparator(s)/ control

Research that includes a control group (healthy children without narcolepsy type 1 or 2) or comparator group will be included.

Qualitative research is unlikely to include a comparator/control group, therefore papers without a control group will also be included.

Outcome(s)

Primary outcomes

Any cognitive or psychosocial measure.

Secondary outcomes

None

Risk of bias (quality) assessment

For study selection, potential bias will be assessed using the Kappa statistic to compare independent reviewers.

Individual study quality will be assessed using the QATSDD (Sirriyeh et al. 2012), a validated quality assessment tool applicable to research with heterogeneous study designs (qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods). Risk of bias in the application of this tool will be assessed using the Kappa statistic.

Strategy for data synthesis

The data extracted will be aggregated. Narrative synthesis will be used following the UK Economic and Social Research Council's guidance (Popay et al. 2006). The following tools and techniques are considered especially relevant to synthesis of data in this review: groupings and clusters, tabulation, vote counting, content analysis, idea webbing, methodological triangulation and critical reflection of the synthesis process.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

None planned

Dissemination plans

The review is being conducted as part of a PhD studentship. The final thesis will be submitted for assessment at the University of Leeds.

The review will be written up for publication within peer-reviewed, academic journals and an abstract and presentation will be prepared for dissemination at national and international conferences.

Contact details for further information

Dr Nash

School of Psychology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, LS2 9JT

H.Nash@leeds.ac.uk

Organisational affiliation of the review

School of Psychology, The University of Leeds

http://medhealth.leeds.ac.uk/info/1300/school_of_psychology//

Review team

Miss Jane Blackwell, School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK

Miss Hetaf Alammar, School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK. School of Psychology, Shaqra University, SA Dr Anna Weighall, School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK

Dr Ian Kellar, School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK





Dr Hannah Nash, School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK

Anticipated or actual start date

31 October 2014

Anticipated completion date

01 September 2015

Funding sources/sponsors

The review is being conducted as part of Miss Jane Blackwell's PhD that is funded by a University of Leeds Anniversary Research Scholarship. Miss Hetaf Alammar's PhD is funded by Shagra University, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of interest

None known

Language

English

Country

England

Subject index terms status

Subject indexing assigned by CRD

Subject index terms

Child; Cognition; Humans; Narcolepsy

Stage of review

Ongoing

Date of registration in PROSPERO

31 March 2015

Date of publication of this revision

31 March 2015

DOI

10.15124/CRD42015018949

Stage of review at time of this submission	Started	Completed
Preliminary searches	Yes	Yes
Piloting of the study selection process	Yes	Yes
Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria	Yes	Yes
Data extraction	No	No
Risk of bias (quality) assessment	No	No
Data analysis	No	No

PROSPERO

International prospective register of systematic reviews

The information in this record has been provided by the named contact for this review. CRD has accepted this information in good faith and registered the review in PROSPERO. CRD bears no responsibility or liability for the content of this registration record, any associated files or external websites.



