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Functioning of dual Glomeromycota and Mucoromycotina fungal associations in liverworts with Palaeozoic changes in CO₂
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Supplementary Information

Summary

This file provides specific details on methods referred to in the main text of the above article, including watering regime, mesh-covered core construction, published equations for calculation of carbon and nutrient fluxes between symbionts and details on molecular methods for fungal identification. Figure S1 and S2 provide an illustrations of the experimental systems, S3 depicts a phylogenetic tree showing the placement of mutualistic fungi colonising Allisonia cockaynii and Neohodgsonia mirabilis and S4 shows fungal entry in Neohodgsonia mirabilis.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

The pots were filled to 95% pot volume acid-washed silica sand and 5% Irish Sphagnum moss peat to aid water retention properties of the substrate.

Artificial rainwater (Olsson & Tyler, 1993) solution was prepared as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mg l⁻¹</th>
<th>In 10 l (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂SO₄ (µl l⁻¹)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rainwater solution was applied to plants within experimental systems as a fine mist every two days. Plants were misted until the surface of the plant was visibly wet.

Growth conditions within controlled environment growth chambers were set as follows: half light-saturating conditions for non-vascular plants of 50 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) irradiance (Nobel, 1991; Fletcher \textit{et al.}, 2006), 70% relative humidity, 12 h, 15°C day, 12°C night.

**Construction of mesh-covered cores**

Based on the methods of Johnson \textit{et al.} (2001), each core had two windows cut into the below-ground portion (20 mm x 50 mm, see Fig. S1). The windows and base were covered by 10 µm pore size nylon mesh and sealed with a fast-setting cement (Polypipe Building Products, UK). This mesh size is fine enough to exclude liverwort rhizoids but allows the ingrowth of fungal hyphae. We perforated a fine-bore capillary tube using a needle, and installed it to run the full length of each of the cores (100 mm in length, 1.02 mm internal diameter; Portex, UK). The tubing ensured isotope was introduced and distributed evenly throughout the core volume. We sealed the capillary tube using fast-setting cement 5 mm from the bottom of the core in order to prevent excess isotope leaching from the bottom of the core.

**Molecular identification of fungal associates**

Wild *Neohodgsonia* and *Allisonia* were prepared for molecular analysis within one day of collection. We dissected both plant species in the same way to leave the central part of the thallus and rhizoidal ridge (2-3 mm\(^2\)) where fungal colonisation is highest. We performed two independent DNA extractions per plant using the method of Gardes & Bruns (1993) in combination with the QBioGene Gene-Clean kit. Genomic DNA was amplified using the 18S universal fungal primer set NS1 (White \textit{et al.}, 1990) and EF3 (Smit \textit{et al.}, 1999) which amplifies all known lineages of Mucoromycotina and Glomeromycota (Desirò \textit{et al.}, 2013). The following PCR settings were used in conjunction with Sigma JumpStart: 94 °C for 2 minutes, 34 cycles of 94 °C for 30 seconds, 53 °C for 30 seconds and 72 °C for 1 minute 30 seconds, followed by a final 72 °C for 7 minutes. We cloned the PCR products (TOPO TA,
Invitrogen, USA) and sequenced between four and eight colonies per DNA extraction. The PCR products of each colony were purified using Affymetrix ExoSAP-IT and sequenced using Big Dye v3.1 on an Applied Biosystems 3730 genetic analyser. The DNA was sequenced using the primers NS1, NS3 and NS5 (White et al., 1990) which were edited and assembled into contigs (ca. 1,600 bp) using Geneious v5.6.4 (Biomatters, NZ). An identical method was used for the sequencing of the plants used in the isotope labelling experiments.

**Plant harvest and sample analyses**

Upon detection of maximal belowground $^{14}$C flux following release of $^{14}$CO$_2$, 2ml of 2 M KOH was introduced to each chamber to trap residual $^{14}$CO$_2$ in the chamber headspace. Soil cores were removed from pots and incubated in gas-tight containers with a further 2 ml 2 M KOH to trap $^{14}$CO$_2$ emitted through respiration. Soil cores were transferred to fresh containers and KOH every two hours for a further four hours. One ml of each ‘used’ KOH trap was transferred to vials containing 10 ml of the scintillation cocktail Ultima Gold (Perkin Elmer, Beaconsfield, UK) and the radioactivity of each sample determined through liquid scintillation. These data were used to calculate carbon budgets for each experimental pot (see SI for equations).

Plant and soil materials were separated, freeze-dried, weighed and homogenised using a Yellowline A10 Analytical Grinder (IKA, Germany).

$^{33}$P transfer from fungus to plant

The $^{33}$P transferred from fungus to plant was determined using published equations (Cameron et al., 2007):

$$M^{33}P = \left( \frac{c\text{DPM}/60}{SA\text{ct}} \right) M_{\text{wt}} Df$$

(1)

Where $M^{33}P$ = Mass of $^{33}$P (mg), $c\text{DPM}$ = counts as disintegrations per minute, $SA\text{ct}$ = specific activity of the source (Bq mmol$^{-1}$), $Df$ = dilution factor and $M_{\text{wt}}$ = molecular mass of P.

**Carbon transfer from plant to fungus**

The difference in carbon between the static and rotated cores is equivalent to the total C transferred from plant to symbiotic fungus within the soil core. Total carbon assimilated by the plant was calculated using equation 2 (Cameron et al., 2006):
\[ T_{pf} = \left( \frac{A}{A_{sp}} \right) m_a + \left( P_c \times m_c \right) \]  

Where \( T_{pf} \) = Transfer of carbon from plant to fungus, \( A \) = radioactivity of the tissue sample (Bq); \( A_{sp} \) = specific activity of the source (Bq Mol\(^{-1}\)), \( m_a \) = atomic mass of \(^{14}\)C, \( P_r \) = proportion of the total \(^{14}\)C label supplied present in the tissue; \( m_c \) = mass of C in the CO\(_2\) present in the labelling chamber (g) (from the ideal gas law; Eqn. 3):

\[ m_{cd} = M_{cd} \left( \frac{P V_{cd}}{R T} \right) \quad m_c = m_{cd} \times 0.27292 \]  

where \( m_{cd} \) = mass of CO\(_2\) (g), \( M_{cd} \) = molecular mass of CO\(_2\) (44.01 g mol\(^{-1}\)) \( P \) = total pressure (kPa); \( V_{cd} \) = volume of CO\(_2\) in the chamber (0.003 m\(^3\)); \( R \) = universal gas constant (J K\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\)); \( T \), absolute temperature (K); \( m_c \), mass of C in the CO\(_2\) present in the labelling chamber (g), where 0.27292 is the proportion of C in CO\(_2\) on a mass fraction basis (Cameron et al., 2008).

Supplementary figures
Figure S1. Schematic diagram of mesh-covered core (not drawn to scale).
Figure S2. Illustration of experimental procedure for labelling with (a) $^{33}$P-orthophosphate and (b) $^{14}$CO$_2$. 
**Figure S3.** Phylogenetic tree showing the placement of mutualistic fungi colonising *Allisonia cockaynii* and *Neohodgsonia mirabilis*. The 18S ribosomal gene was sequenced using molecular cloning. Control plants were prepared for sequencing within one day of collection. Experimental plants (ambient or elevated CO$_2$) were prepared for sequencing when plants were harvested at the end of the isotope labelling experiments. New sequences are highlighted in black while reference sequences from GenBank are in grey. Bayesian analysis was performed using an HKY85 model and invgamma rates with four simultaneously run heated chains (chain length: $1.1 \times 10^6$). The sequence of Mucoromycotina fungi from *Neohodgsonia* grown under elevated CO$_2$ is not included in this tree as only a 450bp segment was produced.
Figure S4. Neohodgsonia mirabilis. Scanning electron micrographs. (a) Fungal entry in the basal thalloid liverworts Neohodgsonia (complex) and Allisonia cockaynei (simple – not shown) is via the rhizoids. Note the numerous fungal hyphae in the rhizoid lumina (arrowed), enlarged in (b) and (c). Scale bars: (a) 100 µ; (b, c) 20 µm.
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