This is a repository copy of *Filament Fragmentation in High-Mass Star Formation*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/91176/

Version: Accepted Version

**Article:**
Beuther, H, Ragan, S, Johnston, KG et al. (3 more authors) (2015) Filament Fragmentation in High-Mass Star Formation. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 584. A67. ISSN 0004-6361

https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527108

---

**Reuse**
Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

**Takedown**
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.
Filament Fragmentation in High-Mass Star Formation

H. Beuther\textsuperscript{1}, S.E. Ragan\textsuperscript{2}, K. Johnston\textsuperscript{2}, Th. Henning\textsuperscript{1}, A. Hacar\textsuperscript{3}, and J.T. Kainulainen\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany, e-mail: name@mpia.de
\textsuperscript{2}University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
\textsuperscript{3}University of Vienna, Türkenschanzstr. 17, A-1180 Vienna, Austria

Version of October 9, 2015

\section*{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} Filamentary structures in the interstellar medium are crucial ingredients in the star formation process. They fragment to form individual star-forming cores, and at the same time they may also funnel gas toward the central gas cores providing an additional gas reservoir.

\textbf{Aims.} We want to resolve the length-scales for filament formation and fragmentation (resolution $\leq0.1\,\text{pc}$), in particular the Jeans length and cylinder fragmentation scale.

\textbf{Methods.} We have observed the prototypical high-mass star-forming filament IRDC 18223 with the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) in the 3.2 mm continuum and N\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{+}(1−0) line emission in a ten field mosaic at a spatial resolution of $\sim4^\prime$ ($\sim14000\,\text{AU}$).

\textbf{Results.} The dust continuum emission resolves the filament into a chain of at least 12 relatively regularly spaced cores. The mean separation between cores is $\sim0.40(\pm0.18)\,\text{pc}$. While this is approximately consistent with the fragmentation of an infinite, isothermal, gravitationally bound gas cylinder, a high mass-to-length ratio of $M/l \approx 1000\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{pc}^{-1}$ requires additional turbulent and/or magnetic support against radial collapse of the filament. The N\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{+}(1−0) data reveal a velocity gradient perpendicular to the main filament. Although rotation of the filament cannot be excluded, the data are also consistent with the main filament being comprised of several velocity-coherent sub-filaments. Furthermore, this velocity gradient perpendicular to the filament resembles recent results toward Serpens south that are interpreted as signatures of filament formation within magnetized and turbulent sheet-like structures. Lower-density gas tracers ([CI] and C\textsuperscript{18}O) reveal a similar red/blueshifted velocity structure on scales around $60^\circ$ east and west of the IRDC 18223 filament. This may tentatively be interpreted as a signature of the large-scale cloud and the smaller-scale filament being kinematically coupled. We do not identify a velocity gradient along the axis of the filament. This may either be due to no significant gas flows along the filamentary axis, but it may partly also be caused by a low inclination angle of the filament with respect to the plane of the sky that could minimize such signature.

\textbf{Conclusions.} The IRDC 18223 3.2 mm continuum data are consistent with thermal fragmentation of a gravitationally bound and compressible gas cylinder. However, the large mass-to-length ratio requires additional support – likely turbulence and/or magnetic fields – against collapse. The N\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{+} spectral line data indicate a kinematic origin of the filament, but we cannot conclusively differentiate whether it has formed out of (pre-existing) velocity-coherent sub-filaments and/or whether magnetized converging gas flows, a larger-scale collapsing cloud or even rotation played a significant role during filament formation.


\section{1. Introduction}

The existence of filaments in the interstellar medium has been known for a long time. Especially the arrival of the Herschel observatory has strongly increased the interest in filamentary structures, in particular as filaments are a main evolutionary stage during the formation of dense cores and stars (André et al. 2010; Henning et al. 2010; Men’shchikov et al. 2010; Arzoumanian et al. 2011; André et al. 2014). During star formation, filaments can fragment and form the seeds of the star-forming cores. Furthermore, gas can be funneled along the filaments and feed the star-forming regions (e.g., Schneider et al. 2010; Kirk et al. 2013; Myers 2013; Tackenberg et al. 2014). This is especially important for high-mass star formation because massive stars are likely fed from the larger-scale environment (e.g., Smith et al. 2009). Several filament studies have revealed filament parameters like their density structure, stability criteria, fragmentation length, characteristic width and kinematic properties (e.g., Johnstone et al. 2003; Jackson et al. 2010; Schneider et al. 2010; Henning et al. 2010; Beuther et al. 2011; Hacar et al. 2013; Hill et al. 2012; Kainulainen et al. 2013; Henshaw et al. 2014; Kainulainen et al. 2015). While large-scale low-mass star-forming regions have recently studied at high spatial resolution with CARMA (e.g., Fernández-López et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2014), many previous studies in the high-mass regime discussed spatial structures (on the order of 0.2 pc) based largely on single-dish measurements. Prominent exceptions are the infrared dark cloud investigated by Battersby et al. (2014), the hierarchical accretion study by Galván-Madrid et al. (2010) or the recent ALMA studies by, e.g., Peretto et al. (2013) or Zhang et al. (2015). The obvious goal is to investigate such filamentary structures at small spatial scales to study the physical properties of filaments on the scales of core and star formation on the order of 10000 AU. One recent high-resolution study of a massive filament has been performed by Henshaw et al. (2014) who do not find large velocity gradients across the filament but rather...
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Fig. 1. The filamentary cloud IRDC 18223: The left panel presents the Spitzer 24 µm image in color (Beuther & Steinacker 2007), and the MAMBO 1.2 mm continuum map in contours (Beuther et al. 2002) with levels from 5 to 95% of the peak emission of 753 mJy beam$^{-1}$ (in 10% steps). The 2nd and 3rd panels present the Nobeyama 45 m $N_2H^+$ (1–0) 1st and 2nd moment maps, respectively (Tackenberg et al. 2014). The right panel shows the Herschel 70 µm observations (in color) and in contours the IRAM 30 m $C^{18}O$ (2–1) data integrated from 40 to 49 km s$^{-1}$. The contours are from 5 to 95% of the peak emission of 18.6 K km s$^{-1}$ (in 10% steps). Additionally, the middle panel shows the two main sources (the HMPO IRAS18223-1243 in the north and IRDC18223-3 in the south), a scale bar, as well as the positions of the 10 observed mosaic fields.

resolve it into velocity-coherent sub-structures, similar to the results of Hacar et al. (2013) for a low-mass filament in Taurus$^1$.

With the ultimate goal to understand high-mass star formation in filaments forming out of the larger-scale cloud, here we study the ~4 pc long infrared-dark filament associated with the High-Mass Protostellar Object (HMPO) IRAS 18223-1243 (Sridharan et al. 2002; Beuther et al. 2002). This region is part of a very long (~50 pc) filament previously investigated on larger scales by Kamulainen et al. (2011), Tackenberg et al. (2013), Ragan et al. (2014), and Zucker et al. (2015). The region is a well studied high-mass star formation complex at a distance of ~3.5 kpc encompassing various evolutionary stages from very young protostars embedded in an infrared dark cloud (IRDC) to forming HMPOs (e.g., Sridharan et al. 2002; Garay et al. 2004; Beuther et al. 2002, 2005; Beuther & Steinacker 2007; Beuther et al. 2010; Fallscheer et al. 2009). Based on Spitzer, Herschel and mm single-dish data, the mass and luminosity distribution of the filament is known in detail (e.g., Beuther et al. 2010; Ragan et al. 2012), and we now aim to shift the focus to the smaller scale dust and gas kinematic properties to set these into context with the larger-scale dust and gas filament.

For the region of this study, we have an extensive set of complementary data comprising – among others – the full Herschel far-infrared continuum data from 70 to 500 µm and additional ground based longer wavelength data (Beuther et al. 2010; Ragan et al. 2012), high-density $N_2H^+$ (1–0) data from the Nobeyama 45 m telescope (Tackenberg et al. 2014), as well as [CII], [CI] and CO observations from SOFIA, APEX and the IRAM 30m telescope (Beuther et al. 2014). Figure 1 presents a compilation of different continuum and spectral line data of this region. However, while all these observations address the large-scale structure of the gas on scales of ~0.3 pc, no data existed so far that can investigate the fragmentation properties and kinematics of the region on scales comparable to the Jeans length. For example, average densities of high-mass star-forming regions around $10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ at low temperatures of 15 K result in typical Jeans fragmentation scales of around 10000 AU. These

---

$^1$ While Hacar et al. (2013) required filaments to be connected within sonic velocity separation, Henshaw et al. (2014) were less restrictive and allowed connected filaments based on the measured FWHM.
latter scales are now resolved with our new Plateau de Bure Interferometer 3 mm line and continuum data.

The main scientific questions to be addressed are: What is the relevant fragmentation scale of the filament itself? Is it consistent with Jeans fragmentation, isothermal gaseous cylinders or even more complex structures? What are the kinematic properties of the gas? Does it show velocity gradients along the filament indicative of global collapse (e.g., Tackenberg et al. 2014) or rather velocity coherent sub-filamentary structures (e.g., Hacar et al. 2013; Henshaw et al. 2014)? What are the virial parameters of the gas cores? What is the mass-per-unit length of the filament?

2. Observations

The IRDC 18223 filament was observed during a series of 8 tracks between June 2013 and April 2014 in the D- (with 5 antennas) and C-array (with 6 antennas) configurations of the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI). The projected baselines ranged between 15 and 175 m. While the absolute reference position was R.A. (J2000.0) 18h25m09.533s and Dec. (J2000.0) −12°43′55.90″, ten mosaic pointings were required to cover the length of the filament (Fig. 1). The adopted velocity of rest vlsr of the system is 45.3 km s−1. Bandpass calibration was conducted with either of 3C279, 2200+420, 1633+382 or 3C345. The absolute flux calibration was performed with MWC349 and is estimated to be correct to within ±15%. Phase and amplitude calibration was conducted with regular observations of the quasars 1730-130 and 1741-038. The spectral coverage of the wide-band receiver and correlator unit ranged from 91.53 to 95.14 GHz. Almost the whole bandpass was used to extract the 3.2 mm continuum emission (a small band around the N2H+(1 − 0) was excluded). The narrow-band correlator units focused mainly on the N2H+(1 − 0) and 13CS(2−1) lines. The nominal channel separation was 0.039 MHz, and we smoothed the data to 0.2 km s−1 spectral resolution for our final data-cubes. While the N2H+(1 − 0) emission was easily detected throughout the whole filament and will be discussed in detail in this paper, 13CS(2−1) was only detected toward the strong northern IRAS source and will not be discussed any further. During the imaging process, we experimented with different weighting schemes between natural and uniform weighting to optimize the spatial resolution as well as signal-to-noise ratio. For the continuum emission we present both data with resulting synthesized beams of 5.6″ × 3.27″ (PA −6°) and 4.37″ × 2.84″ (PA +187°), respectively. For the N2H+(1 − 0) data, we discuss the results based on the naturally weighted data with a synthesized beam of 5.77″ × 3.39″ (PA −6°). The 1σ rms values are 0.13 mJy beam−1 for the continuum and 9 mJy beam−1 measured in a line-free channel of the N2H+(1 − 0) emission.

Although Fig. 1 presents single-dish N2H+(1 − 0) data from the Nobeyama 45 m telescope, neither the spectral resolution nor the sensitivity of these data is sufficient for merging with the new interferometer data. Therefore, we refrain from doing that.

3. Results

The IRDC 18223 represents a beautiful example of an infrared dark filament within a larger-scale filamentary structure covering more than 50 pc in linear extent (Kainulainen et al. 2011; Tackenberg et al. 2013; Ragan et al. 2014). In the following we will analyze in detail the dense gas and dust properties of this filament from a fragmentation and a kinematic point of view.
Fig. 3. The left and 2nd panel show the Spitzer 24 μm emission in color with a stretch to increase the visibility of the mid-infrared dark filament. The left panel presents in contours the 3.2 mm continuum emission with natural weighting and the same levels as in Fig. 2. For comparison, the 2nd panel shows in contours the integrated N$_2$H$^+$(1 − 0) emission covering all hyperfine structure components between 35 and 55 km s$^{-1}$. The third and 4th panel present the 1st and 2nd moment maps (intensity-weighted peak velocities and line-width) extracted from the isolated most negative hyperfine structure component. In the 3rd panel, the velocities are shifted by the separation of the hyperfine structure line of 8 km s$^{-1}$ to the v$_{lsr}$. The contours in the 3rd and 4th panel both show the same continuum contours as in the left panel. The black line in panel 3 gives the direction of the position-velocity cut shown in Fig. 6. A scale-bar is depicted in the left panel.

nent in this filament (Beuther & Steinacker 2007; Beuther et al. 2010; Ragan et al. 2012). For the following estimates we assume 15 K throughout the whole studied region. Assuming optically thin dust continuum emission at this temperature with a dust opacity $\kappa_{500\mu m} \sim 0.8 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ at densities of $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994) and a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 150 (Draine 2011), the MAMBO 1.2 mm single-dish continuum data from Beuther et al. (2002) give an estimate of ~4000 M$_\odot$ total mass within the filament outlined in Figure 1. Since bolometer arrays like MAMBO also filter large-scale emission, we can use the Herschel 500 μm data from Beuther et al. (2010)$^3$ to estimate the upper mass limit for the region. Integrating the Herschel

500 μm flux over the whole area shown in Fig. 2, we get a total flux of 187 Jy. With the same assumptions as above ($\kappa_{500\mu m} \sim 3.9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ at densities of $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, Ossenkopf & Henning 1994), this results in a total gas mass in this area of ~7673 M$_\odot$, close to twice as much as measured by the MAMBO 1.2 mm data. Hence, the derived masses between 4000 and 7673 M$_\odot$ bracket the overall mass of this star-forming large-scale filament. For comparison, the total 3.2 mm flux of the filament shown in the naturally-weighted image of Fig. 2 is ~149 mJy which corresponds with the same assumptions ($\kappa_{3.2\mu m} \sim 0.17 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) to a total mass of ~2200 M$_\odot$. Hence, in this case even naturally-weighted interferometer data recover between 29% to 55% of the single-dish flux and hence resemble the overall structure very well.

We extracted also the peak $S_{peak}$ and integrated fluxes $S_{int}$ of the 12 substructures identified in Fig. 2 (left panel), which are listed in Table 1. These 12 regions were identified by eye.
Table 1. Fluxes, masses, column densities (at 15 K) and luminosities of the individual cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>R.A. (J2000.0)</th>
<th>Dec. (J2000.0)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{peak}}^{a}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{int}}$ (mJy)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{H}_2}$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{peak}}$ (M$_{\odot}$)</th>
<th>$M$ (M$_{\odot}$)</th>
<th>$L$ (L$_{\odot}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18:25:11.11</td>
<td>-12:41:52.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18:25:10.61</td>
<td>-12:42:25.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18:25:09.99</td>
<td>-12:42:56.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18:25:09.91</td>
<td>-12:43:14.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18:25:10.21</td>
<td>-12:43:24.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18:25:10.04</td>
<td>-12:43:36.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18:25:09.69</td>
<td>-12:44:12.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>18:25:09.31</td>
<td>-12:44:26.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>18:25:09.23</td>
<td>-12:44:37.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18:25:09.09</td>
<td>-12:44:52.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18:25:08.49</td>
<td>-12:45:22.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18:25:06.94</td>
<td>-12:45:56.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Peak and integrated fluxes are extracted from the naturally-weighted 3.2 mm continuum image within the $3\sigma$ contours.

$^b$ This is the approximate mid-point between the three sub-peaks there.

$^c$ From Ragan et al. (2012).

$^d$ Calculated also at 31 K following Beuther et al. (2010).

$^e$ Peak masses $M_{\text{peak}}$ are calculated from the peak column densities $N_{\text{H}_2}$ for a more accurate comparison with virial masses measured toward the peak positions (Table 3).

should keep in mind that the measured luminosities are bolometric luminosities and do not necessarily stem from hydrogen burning but are likely still dominated by accretion luminosity. The corresponding mass and column density sensitivities estimated from our $3\sigma$ flux level of 0.39 mJy beam$^{-1}$ are $\sim 5.8$ M$_{\odot}$ and $\sim 6.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively. Two of the regions are well-studied star-forming objects: core 2 corresponds to IRAS 18223-1243 (Sridharan et al. 2002) and core 10 is the younger high mass protostellar object IRDC18223-3 (Beuther & Steinacker 2007; Fallscheer et al. 2009).

Assuming spherical symmetry within our beam size, the above estimated $3\sigma$ column density sensitivity of $\geq 6.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ results in an approximate density sensitivity of $\geq 2.6 \times 10^{5}$ cm$^{-3}$. Combining this density sensitivity with the missing flux ratio, we can estimate a dense gas mass fraction above that density threshold between $\sim 29\%$ and $55\%$. Assuming for the dense gas a star formation efficiency of $\sim 30\%$ (e.g., Alves et al. 2007; Andrè et al. 2014), this results in an approximate overall star formation efficiency of the whole filamentary cloud of $8.7\%$ to $16.5\%$. These latter values depend strongly on the star formation efficiency which may vary between 20\% and 40\% (Andrè et al. 2014).

Table 2. Peak separations between mm continuum peaks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peak pairs</th>
<th>peak separations (pc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-11</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another important parameter for the fragmentation processes is the separation between the fragments along the filament. While individual gas cores along the filament may fragment on even smaller scales beyond our spatial resolution and mass sensitivity limits, here we focus on the fragmentation of the filament itself. Table 2 shows the projected separations between the 12 cores identified in Fig. 2 and Table 1. These values result in a mean projected separation between the fragments of ∼0.40 pc with a standard deviation of 0.18 pc. This mean separation should be considered as an upper limit because more fragments along the filament may exist that were not identified within the spatial resolution and mass sensitivity of our data. For comparison, we can estimate the Jeans length for mean densities of the large-scale filament between 10⁴ and 10⁵ cm⁻³ at 15 K to a range between ∼0.23 and ∼0.07 pc. These Jeans scales are considerably smaller than the observed mean fragment separation. Although the measured fragment separations are projected upper limits, a difference of more than a factor of 2 between measurements and Jeans lengths appears significant. We will discuss this result in the context of filament fragmentation in section 4.1.

Furthermore, the mass-to-length ratio M/l can be compared to the critical mass per length M/ℓcrit in an equilibrium situation (e.g., Ostriker 1964; Fiege & Pudritz 2000a,b). Deviations from this M/ℓcrit indicate non-equilibrium modes. While filaments with line masses below M/ℓcrit expand if not supported by external pressure, filaments with line masses strongly exceeding M/ℓcrit can collapse radially perpendicular to the main long axis of the filament. Such radial collapse would be on very short timescales and hence prohibit further fragmentation along the main axis of the filament (e.g., Inutsuka & Miyama 1992). If turbulent pressure dominates over thermal pressure, using ∼2.5 km s⁻¹ as an approximate line width from the single-dish data for the dense gas of the IRDC 18223 filament (Fig. 1, third panel 2nd moment map), one can estimate a critical mass to length ratio (M/ℓcrit-turb) ≈ 84 × (δv)² ≈ 525 M⊙ pc⁻¹ (e.g., Jackson et al. 2010), more than 20 times higher than the (M/ℓcrit-therm) ≈ 25 M⊙ pc⁻¹ for an undisturbed filament using the thermal sound speed at 15 K of 0.23 km s⁻¹ (Ostriker 1964).

For comparison, we can estimate the M/l of the IRDC 18223 filament by dividing the total filament mass estimated from the 1.2 mm MAMBO data of ∼4000 M⊙ by the projected length of the total filament of ∼4 pc. This results in a very high M/l of 1000 M⊙ pc⁻¹. Although larger than the estimated (M/ℓcrit-turb), the difference of about a factor 2 is within the uncertainties considering that the linewidth is squared in the equation above. Hence, turbulent motions may help stabilizing the filament against fast radial collapse. One should keep in mind that turbulence can also create shocks and by that density enhancements and filaments. In addition to this, magnetic fields may help to stabilize the filament as well. For example, recent magneto-
hydrodynamic (MHD) simulations by Kirk et al. (2015) showed that in their MHD case the $M/\rho_{crit}$-b increased by a factor of $\sim 3$ compared to the pure hydro case. Although radial collapse cannot be entirely excluded, the fact of the existence of this filament with such a regular fragment separation as well as the large $(M/\rho)_{crit}$-turb make radial collapse a less likely scenario.

3.2. The gas kinematics of the filament

Figure 3 presents a compilation of the $N_2H^+(1-0)$ emission in comparison to the 3.2 mm cold dust continuum as well as the 24 $\mu$m mid-infrared warm dust emission/absorption. The dense gas tracer $N_2H^+$ follows the filamentary structure very well with the integrated emission peaks showing close resemblance to the peak positions in the 3.2 mm continuum (Fig. 3, 1st and 2nd panel). The line emission seems even to trace the larger-scale structure slightly better than the continuum observations.

The third panel in Fig. 3 presents a first moment map (intensity-weighted peak velocities) of the whole filament (conducted with the isolated hyperfine structure component shifted by 8 km s$^{-1}$ to $v_{lsr}$). While the combined optical depth of all hyperfine components together often exceeds 1 toward the main core peak positions (e.g., Fig. 5), the moment maps are done with the isolated hyperfine component 8 km s$^{-1}$ apart from the main component at a relative intensity of $\sim 1\%$, hence at optical depth $\ll 1$. Therefore, the peak velocities in Fig. 3 should not be affected by optical depth effects. The northern part of the region between peak 1 and 5 does show some variation between red- and blue-shifted gas without any clear signature along or across the filament. In particular, one can identify a clear velocity gradient across core 2 in the north. However, since that is a well-known high-mass protostellar object likely driving a molecular outflow (Sridharan et al. 2002), this velocity structure is likely strongly influenced by the dynamics of the already more evolved internal source. The situation is different from peak 6 southward. In this southern part, the first moment map is indicative of a velocity gradient across the filament from red-shifted gas in the east to blue-shifted gas in the west. Conducting a cut perpendicular to the filament through continuum source 8 in Fig. 3, we measure peak velocities between 44.8 and 47.0 km s$^{-1}$ over an angular size of $\sim 15''$, corresponding to $\sim 0.26$ pc at the given distance, corresponding to a velocity gradient of $\sim 25.6$ km s$^{-1}$ pc$^{-1}$. The velocity gradient can also be seen by inspecting the channel map of the gas (Fig. 4). The almost vertical line in the channel map is drawn to guide the eye along the filamentary structure seen in the channel at 47.2 km s$^{-1}$. This channel map also shows that at red-shifted velocities the gas in the south is located further to the east than at blue-shifted velocities. An exception is the emission of peak 10 (also known as IRDC 18223-3) which covers almost the entire velocity range. This peak is clearly more evolved than the other fragments and also drives an energetic outflow (Fallscheer et al. 2009). Fig. 3 also presents a second moment map (intensity-weighted line width) which shows a line width increase toward the ridge of the filament often closely related to the mm continuum emission. While some of this increased line width is clearly associated with star formation activity (e.g., peaks 2 and 10 also known as IRAS18223-1243 and IRDC 18223-3), in other cases, this second moment increase can also be caused by multiple velocity components (see below).

One can now ask whether this more than 2 pc long southern part of the filament is either a velocity-coherent structure with only a small velocity-gradient from east to west, or whether it may consist of several sub-filaments that may form the large structure (e.g., Hacar et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2014). To analyze this in more detail, Fig. 5 presents the $N_2H^+(1-0)$ spectra extracted toward the 12 mm peak positions. For all spectra we fitted the full hyperfine structure with either one or two spectral velocity components. The decision to fit either single or multiple components was mainly based on the shape of the isolated most-blueshifted hyperfine structure line component. The fit results are shown in Table 3 and in Figure 5. More than 50% of the spectra clearly need two velocity components to adequately fit the data (e.g., mm2 and mm7, even the spectrum toward peak 10 is likely an overlap of multiple components potentially caused by the internal outflow-driving source). It is interesting to note that most of the single component fits as well as often one of the two components exhibit relatively narrow line width below 1 km s$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, although comparably narrow, even these components have significant non-thermal contributions since the thermal line width of $N_2H^+$ at 15 K is $\sim 0.15$ km s$^{-1}$. The second component usually is slightly broader between 1.3 and 2.7 km s$^{-1}$. These broader components may also be comprised out of multiple components along the line of sight.

The peak velocities extracted from the spectra do not exhibit a clear velocity gradient along the filament. Therefore, in contrast to the velocity-gradient seen in the moment map from east to west, there is no obvious velocity gradient from north to south along the filament. As already mentioned for the whole filament, this missing gradient along the filament may either be due to missing significant streaming motions along, or it may also be introduced due to a possible orientation almost in the plane of the sky.

The measured line width toward the individual peak positions can also be used to get a rough estimate of the virial mass of the individual fragments. Following MacLaren et al. (1988), we estimate the virial mass according to $M_{vir} = k_2 \times R \times \Delta v^2$, where we use $k_2 = 126$ for a $\rho \propto r^{-2}$ density distribution, $R = 16000$ AU $\sim 0.078$ pc the size of the region corresponding to the beam size of the $N_2H^+(1-0)$ data, and the measured full width half maximum $\Delta v$. The resulting virial masses $M_{vir}$ are shown in Table 3. A direct correlation with the masses derived from the dust emission in Table 1 is not possible because some sources have more than one $N_2H^+$ component and thus several virial mass estimates, whereas the gas masses from the continuum emission are always single values. Nevertheless, in most cases of multiple velocity components, one dominates. Table 3 also shows the ratio of the virial masses divided by the peak masses $M_{peak}$ derived from the peak column densities $N_{H_2}$ in Table 1. While in a majority of cases this $M_{vir}/M_{peak}$ ratio is considerably smaller than 1, there are also several cases where the ratio is close to unity or even higher. Interestingly, the three highest $M_{vir}/M_{peak}$ sources 4, 5, and 6 show very different internal luminosity characteristics. While source 4 has a clear associated internal heating source, #5 is at the edge of that, and #6 exhibits no detectable 24$\mu$m emission (Fig. 3). From a virial balance point of view, this comparison is an indicator that many of the individual cores are prone to collapse or already collapsing (see also recent filament work by Battersby et al. 2014). The latter is also evident by embedded sources within many of the dust continuum peaks (e.g., Fig. 1 left and right, mid- to far-infrared panels). However, there are exceptions which may still be stable against gravitational collapse (e.g., source 6).

A different way to look at the velocity structure is a position-velocity cut along the southern filament. Figure 6 presents such a position-velocity (pv) diagram going from south to north between peaks 11 and 6 as outlined in Figure 3. While this pv cut exhibits very broad emission in the south toward peak 11 (or IRDC 18223-3) which is also visible in Figs. 4 & 5, the rest of
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Fig. 5. Spectra and hyperfine structure line fits towards the 12 positions marked in Fig. 2 and Table 1. The y-axis is in units of Jy beam$^{-1}$. The full width half maximum values of single- or double-component fits are shown in each panel.

Table 3. $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+ (1-0)$ hyperfine structure line fits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>$v_{\text{peak}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta v$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{vir}}$ (M$_{\odot}$)</th>
<th>$M_{\text{peak}}$ (M$_{\odot}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Peak velocities and FWHM values from fits to full hyperfine structure
$^b$ $M_{\text{peak}}$ are taken from the continuum data in Table 1.
$^c$ The line widths and virial masses of these secondary velocity components are negligible compared to the main components.

the cut does show a variety of features: while some peaks exhibit single emission peaks redshifted with respect to the $v_{\text{lsr}}$ (e.g., peak 10 or 6), others show multiple peaks on both sides of the $v_{\text{lsr}}$ (e.g., peaks 7 to 9). Again, no clear velocity gradient across the structure can be identified. However, the position-velocity cut shows that internal structure is found within this filament. As outlined in section 3.1, our identified fragments within the filament (Table 1) represent likely only a lower limit to the actual structures, and more fragments may exist. For example, in Fig. 6 we can identify structures between peaks 6 and 7. Comparing these to the continuum maps in Fig. 2, they are spatially close to the extended structures in Fig. 2 (left panel) and the 4$\sigma$ peaks in Fig. 2 (right panel).

Fig. 6. Position-velocity cut through the southern half of the filament in the isolated $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+ (1-0)$ line (shifted to the $v_{\text{lsr}}$) from south to north along the axis shown as black line in panel 3 of Fig. 3. The mm peak names are marked at the bottom.

A natural question is whether similar kinematic features can be found in the less dense surrounding of the gas on larger spatial scales. In a recent [CII]/[CI]/C$^{18}$O emission line study toward four IRDCs, Beuther et al. (2014) identified several velocity components between 42 and 56 km s$^{-1}$ in comparably localized emission structures in the atomic and molecular carbon emission east of the IRDC 18223 filament. To investigate this in more detail, we used the atomic [CI] and molecular C$^{18}$O(2–1) data of the region (Beuther et al. 2014). Figure 7 shows the first moment maps of these tracers toward our target region. In these moment maps, for both tracers no obvious large-scale velocity gradients across the filament can be identified. The only mentionable feature in Fig. 7 is that the dense filament seem in C$^{18}$O and [CI] appears to be slightly blue-shifted compared to the larger scale molecular cloud. This effect is more pronounced in the C$^{18}$O(2–1) than the [CI] emission. For comparison, we extracted the
C$^{18}$O(2–1) spectra toward five positions across the filament at the declination of mm continuum source 8 (Fig. 8). Toward the central positions, these spectra show that the two N$_2$H$^+$ velocity components are approximately recovered in the C$^{18}$O emission as well. This indicates that, if the region were observed at higher angular resolution also in C$^{18}$O, one would likely identify similar velocity structures as in N$_2$H$^+$. Moving outward to the east, the emission becomes more redshifted whereas to the west the emission is more blueshifted. This is particularly prominent in the spectra at +60$''$ and −60$''$ (corresponding to ≲1 pc, Fig. 8), respectively. Interestingly, this velocity shift found in the individual spectra is hard to identify in the moment maps because several components overlap diminishing the signatures this way. It should be noted that the velocity gradient found this way on large scales for the cloud is considerably smaller than the 25.6 km s$^{-1}$pc$^{-1}$ found above for the dense filament in the interferometric N$_2$H$^+$ data. While it appears in general plausible that the velocities increase when going to higher densities, recent molecular cloud and filament formation simulations tend to find similar signatures (e.g., Moeckel & Burkert 2015; Smith et al. 2015). This trend needs to be investigated more in the future from an observational as well as theoretical point of view. Nevertheless, the general trend of redshifted gas in the east and blueshifted gas in the west is found on the large scales traced by the C$^{18}$O single-dish emission as well as on the small scales studied with the new PdBI N$_2$H$^+$ data. Hence, we find tentative evidence that the large-scale cloud and the smaller-scale filament are kinematically coupled.

4. Discussion

4.1. Filament fragmentation

As outlined in Section 3.1, the separations between the main identified fragments along the filament exceed the Jeans length at the given densities and temperatures. Although our measured projected separations are upper limits because of potential unresolved fragmentation and/or fragments below our sensitivity limit, the difference between Jeans length and projected fragment separation by more than a factor two appears significant. As a next step, we analyze the region in the framework of isothermal, gravitationally bound gaseous cylinders. Based on early work of Chandrasekhar & Fermi (1953); Nagasawa (1987) and Inutsuka & Miyama (1992) as well as more recent adaptions like Jackson et al. (2010), Beuther et al. (2011) or Kainulainen et al. (2013), we study the conditions of an infinite isothermal gas cylinder. Although IRDC 18223 is obviously not of infinite length, the filament is part of a much larger structure extending more than 50 pc projected on the sky. Hence, in this context, the approximation of IRDC 18223 being part of a much longer, almost infinite structure seems justifiable. Furthermore, since star formation has already started at different locations in the filament, it is not the perfect starless filament anymore. However, the infrared dark nature of IRDC 18223 clearly shows the youth of the whole structure. Hence, it is still an excellent target region that represents conditions in the relatively early phase of filament fragmentation.

This characteristic isothermal scale-height $H$ of such a gas cylinder is given by $H = c_s(4\pi G \rho)^{-1/2}$ with $c_s$ the sound speed, $G$ the gravitational constant and $\rho$ the gas mass density at the center of the filament (e.g., Nagasawa 1987). With a thermal sound speed of the gas at 15K of $c_s \sim 0.23$ km s$^{-1}$ and an approximate density $\rho \sim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ (e.g., Beuther et al. 2002), the characteristic scale-height is $H \sim 0.02$ pc. In that case, the characteristic fragmentation scale corresponding to the fastest growing unstable mode of the fluid instability is $\lambda_{\text{frag}} = 22H$ (e.g., Nagasawa 1987; Inutsuka & Miyama 1992; Jackson et al. 2010) which results with the above used parameters for the sound speed and the temperature in an approximate fragmentation scale $\lambda_{\text{frag}} \sim 0.44$ pc. One should keep in mind that there are uncertainties associated with the assumed density and sound speed, which introduce an uncertainty in the estimated core separation. In particular, instead of the thermal sound speed of the gas, other works have used the Gaussian turbulent velocity dispersion in this estimate, which would increase the estimated value for $\lambda_{\text{frag}}$ according to the ratio of the turbulent velocity dispersion over the thermal sound speed (e.g., Wang et al. 2014). Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that using the thermal sound speed at 15 K and an approximate central density of $10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ results in $\lambda_{\text{frag}}$ which is close to the mean core separation of the filament of $\sim 0.40$ pc discussed in section 3.1. Although our observed mean separation is likely only an upper limit (Section 3.1), an isothermal, gravitationally bound and compressible gas cylinder allows us to reproduce the general fragmentation structure of IRDC 18223 well.

The high mass-to-length ratio estimated from the dense gas bolometer single-dish data of $M/l \sim 1000$ M$_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ exceeds by far the critical mass to length ratios for thermal filaments, but...
it is approximately consistent with a scenario where also turbulent support of the filament against radial collapse is considered (Section 3.1). Additional effects, e.g., the presence of magnetic fields may add further support to the stability of the filament. The recent MHD simulations by Kirk et al. (2015) revealed an increase of the critical mass-to-length ratio by a factor of ∼3 compared to their pure hydrodynamical simulations. One should keep in mind that only if the filament does not collapse radially perpendicular to the filament, perturbations along the filament can grow and result in fragmentation as discussed above (e.g., Inutsuka & Miyama 1992). Quantitatively speaking, it is one of the largest $M/l$ values found so far, where Nessie is reported with $\sim 110 \, M_{\odot} \, pc^{-1}$, Orion with $385 \, M_{\odot} \, pc^{-1}$ (Bally et al. 1987; Jackson et al. 2010), a sub-filament in the G35.39 region with $115 \, M_{\odot} \, pc^{-1}$ (Henshaw et al. 2014), and the G11.11 IRDC with a value of $600 \, M_{\odot} \, pc^{-1}$ (Kainulainen et al. 2013).

4.2. Kinematics of the filament

The kinematic properties of filaments have recently been discussed in a different context. Signatures of gas flows along the filaments have been identified (e.g., Hacar & Tafalla 2011; Kirk et al. 2013; Tackenberg et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015), and velocity coherent sub-structures have been identified that may originate from the filament formation process (e.g., Hacar et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2014; Henshaw et al. 2014). Furthermore, recently velocity gradients perpendicular to filaments were discussed that may also be signatures of filament formation (Fernández-López et al. 2014).

Potential signatures for gas flows along the filament are blue-red-shifted velocity structures on the two opposite sites of mm peak positions, indicating that the gravitational well of the gas peaks attracts the gas from the filament. While we cannot exclude such a possibility, the moment maps and position-velocity diagrams (Figs. 3 & 6) at least show no obvious signatures of this. The fact that we do not see any strong gradient from north to south could be due either to barely any streaming motions along the filament, or it can also indicate that the filament may be oriented relatively close to the plane of the sky. Such a low inclination angle would make any identification of gas flows along the filament very difficult.

Another signature of gas flows along the filament could be regular oscillatory-like velocity changes along such a filament as discussed in Hacar & Tafalla (2011) or Zhang et al. (2015). While the pv-cut in Figure 6 shows velocity fluctuations around the $v_{\text{lsr}}$, it is hard to identify such a sea-saw pattern. Therefore, this signature is also not evident in our data.

In a recent study of the filaments in Serpens south, Fernández-López et al. (2014) find velocity gradients perpendicular to filaments as well, very similar to our findings here. Although they also find velocity-gradients along the filaments, they stress that the velocity-gradients perpendicular to the filaments are about an order of magnitude larger than those along the filaments. The quantitative gradients they derive perpendicular and parallel to the filament are $11.9 \, km \, s^{-1} \, pc^{-1}$ versus $0.9 \, km \, s^{-1} \, pc^{-1}$, respectively. This is suggestive for the kinematics perpendicular to the filaments being dynamically much more important than those along the filaments. The gradient we find in IRDC 18223 is even larger with $\sim 25.6 \, km \, s^{-1} \, pc^{-1}$. Although Fernández-López et al. (2014) postpone a more detailed comparison with simulations to a future paper (Mundy et al. in prep.), the main thrust of their interpretation is that such velocity-gradients perpendicular to filaments may stem from motions associated with the formation and growing of the filaments (see also Heitsch 2013a,b). Hence they may be direct signatures of the filament formation processes. Similar results are also indicated by recent three-dimensional simulations of turbulence compressed regions in strongly-magnetized sheet-like layers (Chen et al. 2015). Within these layers, dense filaments and embedded self-gravitating cores form via gathering or compression of the material along the magnetic field lines. As a result of the mass collection along preferred directions, velocity gradients perpendicular to the filament major axis are a common feature seen in their simulations (Chen et al. 2015).

Regarding velocity-coherent sub-filaments, the velocity shifts of the southern part of the filament, particularly prominent in the channel map (Fig. 4), allows us to speculate whether we have also in this region similar structures. While in principle rotation of the filament is a possible explanation for the observed spectral signatures, Kirk et al. (2013) showed that for an accreting filament, infall motions would quickly dominate the kinematics, even if the filament were initially rotating. In Fig. 6, one can tentatively identify several contiguous
regions in space/velocity between offsets \( \sim 40'' \) and \( \sim 80'' \) (peaks 9 and 8) and a velocity of \( \sim 46 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), as well as between \( \sim 70'' \) and \( \sim 120'' \) (midway between peaks 8/9 and midway between peaks 6/7) and a velocity of \( \sim 45 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). While Hacar et al. (2013) find a large number of bundles of filaments within the Taurus region, Henshaw et al. (2014) identify three sub-filaments within their studied G35.39 dark filament. This latter high-mass infrared dark cloud compares more closely to our IRDC 18223 filament in physical properties (e.g., mass, size, velocity dispersion). In principle, a series of velocity-coherent sub-filaments could mimic also a velocity gradient across the structure. However, since the observations presented here are interferometer-only data without the missing short-spacing information, an automatized search for velocity-coherent fibers in a manner analogous to the studies by Hacar et al. (2013) or Henshaw et al. (2014) is not possible. As mentioned before, the rms and spectral resolution of our currently available Nobe yama 45 m single-dish data is not sufficient for a reasonable merging with the interferometer data. However, we plan to obtain high-quality short-spacing data in the future and revisit the analysis.

Alternative interpretations have recently been invoked to explain observed trends in filament velocity structure. Recently, Tafalla & Hacar (2015) discussed the possibility that the filament in Taurus which they observed may have formed from the supersonic collision of sub-filaments, and that in the next step, this filament will fragment due to gravitational instabilities. Similarly, Smith et al. (2014) recently discussed filament formation by pre-existing structures based on hydrodynamic collapse simulations.

An additionally interesting aspect in the presented results is that the velocity gradient found on small scales in the interferometer data perpendicular to the filament is also found on larger scales by the single-dish \(^{18}\text{O} (2-1) \) data (Fig. 8). This indicates that larger-scale diffuse gas is kinematically coupled to the dense inner filament. The fact that we find a significant steeper velocity gradient in the dense inner filament compared to the larger-scale cloud can also be identified in recent cloud and filament formation simulations (e.g., Moecckel & Burkert 2015; Smith et al. 2015).

To summarize, on the spatial scales traced by our interferometer data, the identified velocity-gradient perpendicular to the filament as well as tentatively velocity-coherent sub-structures may both stem from (magnetized) turbulent flows in sheet-like or filamentary structures. Such turbulent and sheet-like sub-structures could have formed out of the larger-scale self-gravitating and collapsing cloud. Figure 9 sketches the approximate structure of the region highlighting the main features.

5. Conclusions

The PdBI 3.2 mm line and continuum emission resolves this more than 4 pc long filament into its substructures at about 15000 AU scale. We identify a linear structure with \( \sim 12 \) cores at approximately similar spacing with a mean projected separation of \( \sim 0.40(\pm 0.18) \) pc. This separation is much larger than the typical Jeans length. Although the observed core separation is an upper limit because of limited spatial resolution and sensitivity, the data are approximately consistent with the fragmentation properties of an isothermal, gravitationally bound and compressible gas cylinder. However, the mass-to-length ratio is also very high implying additional turbulent and/or magnetic support of the filament if it is supported against radial collapse.

We do not find any significant velocity gradient along the 4 pc filamentary structure, but the PdBI \( \text{N}_2\text{H}^+ (1-0) \) data reveal a transverse velocity gradient across the southern half of the filament. While it is possible that this southern filament may be composed of at least two velocity-coherent sub-filaments, rotation of the filament cannot be excluded either, although the latter appears less likely. The missing signatures of gas flows along the filament may indicate small streaming motions along the filament but they could also be caused by a low inclination angle of the filament with respect to the plane of the sky.

The velocity gradient perpendicular to the filament may also stem from the filament formation process within magnetized and turbulent sheet-like structures. On scales of \( \pm 60'' \) (\( \sim \pm 1 \) pc) east and west of the filament we find similar red/blueshifted signatures as on the smaller filament scales. This may be tentative evidence that the lower-density cloud and higher-density filament indeed may be kinematically coupled.

In summary, these combined line and continuum data reveal an excellent example of a massive gas filament. While the continuum data are roughly consistent with thermal fragmentation of a cylinder, the high mass-to-length ratio requires additional support against radial collapse, most likely due to turbulence and/or magnetic fields. Furthermore, the observed velocity structure of the gas indicates a dynamic origin of the filament. However, we cannot resolve yet whether it is comprised of individual velocity-coherent sub-filaments or whether other processes like magnetized converging gas flows, a larger-scale collapsing cloud or even cloud rotation play a significant role in the formation process. Future observations combining single-dish and interferometer data will help to better constrain these different scenarios.

Fig. 9. Sketch of the approximate structure of IRDC 18223.
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