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RESEARCH ARTICLE

Sonic hedgehog functions upstream of disrupted-in-schizophrenia 1 (disc1): implications for mental illness

Penelope J. Boyd¹,2,3, Vincent T. Cunliffe³, Sudipto Roy²,4,5,* and Jonathan D. Wood¹,3,*

ABSTRACT

DISRUPTED-IN-SCHIZOPHRENIA (DISC1) has been one of the most intensively studied genetic risk factors for mental illness since it was discovered through positional mapping of a translocation breakpoint in a large Scottish family where a balanced chromosomal translocation was found to segregate with schizophrenia and affective disorders. While the evidence for it being central to disease pathogenesis in the original Scottish family is compelling, recent genome-wide association studies have not found evidence for common variants at the DISC1 locus being associated with schizophrenia in the wider population. It may therefore be the case that DISC1 provides an indication of biological pathways that are central to mental health issues and functional studies have shown that it functions in multiple signalling pathways. However, there is little information regarding factors that function upstream of DISC1 to regulate its expression and function. We herein demonstrate that Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signalling promotes expression of disc1 in the zebrafish brain. Expression of disc1 is lost in smoothened mutants that have a complete loss of Shh signal transduction, and elevated in patched mutants which have constitutive activation of Shh signalling. We previously demonstrated that disc1 knockdown has a dramatic effect on the specification of oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPC) in the hindbrain and Shh signalling is known to be essential for the specification of these cells. We show that disc1 is prominently expressed in olig2-positive midline progenitor cells that are absent in smo mutants, while cyclopamine treatment blocks disc1 expression in these cells and mimics the effect of disc1 knockdown on OPC specification. Various features of a number of psychiatric conditions could potentially arise through aberrant Hedgehog signalling. We therefore suggest that altered Shh signalling may be an important neurodevelopmental factor in the pathobiology of mental illness.
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INTRODUCTION

Since its discovery in 2000, DISRUPTED-IN-SCHIZOPHRENIA (DISC1) has been one of the most widely studied genetic risk factors for mental illness. It was discovered through positional mapping of a translocation breakpoint in a large Scottish family where a balanced t(1;11)(q42.1;q14.3) translocation was found to segregate with schizophrenia and affective disorders (Millar et al., 2000). The DISC1 gene contains 13 exons and encodes an 854 amino acid protein with a highly conserved C-terminal region containing multiple coiled-coil motifs. The translocation breakpoint is situated between exons 8 and 9, thereby disrupting the conserved coiled-coil region which is important for interaction with a number of proteins important in neurodevelopment, including NDEL1, NDE1 and LIS1 (Millar et al., 2003; Morris et al., 2003; Ozeki et al., 2003). The relevance of DISC1 to mental illness in the wider population remains a matter for fierce debate (Porteous et al., 2014; Sullivan, 2013), but functional studies have demonstrated that DISC1 has important roles in embryonic and adult neurogenesis (Chandran et al., 2014; Duan et al., 2007; Mao et al., 2009; Namba and Kaibuchi, 2010). Moreover, mice engineered to express C-terminally truncated human DISC1 (Hikida et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; Pletnikov et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008), containing a targeted deletion of exons 2 and 3 (Kuroda et al., 2011), harbouring a naturally occurring 25 base pair deletion in the Disc1 gene (Gomez-Sintes et al., 2014; Juan et al., 2014) or carrying ENU-induced point mutations in Disc1 (Clapcote et al., 2007) all show behavioural abnormalities. Moreover, interactions between DISC1 and environmental factors have been shown to modulate behaviour (Abazyan et al., 2010; Haque et al., 2012; Ibi et al., 2010; Niwa et al., 2013). Therefore, the study of DISC1 biology may provide fundamental insight into the complex interplay between genetic, developmental and environmental factors that underlie mental illness. It may be the case that while perturbation of DISC1 function is causal in the Scottish family, alterations in upstream and downstream signalling pathways may be more relevant to mental health issues in the wider population.

While considerable effort has gone into delineating the signalling pathways that are regulated by the DISC1 protein (Duan et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2009, 2012; Mao et al., 2009; Millar et al., 2005; Namba et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2013) little is known regarding the factors that lie upstream of DISC1. One study, where analysis of the DISC1 promoter was undertaken, revealed that it is a target of the forkhead transcription factor FOXP2 (Walker et al., 2012). In order to understand the neurodevelopmental functions of DISC1, a number of groups have investigated the developmental expression and functions of disc1 in the zebrafish embryo (De Rienzo et al., 2011; Drerup et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2009). The studies from the Sive and Morris laboratories showed roles for disc1 in embryonic neurogenesis and neural crest migration/differentiation respectively, while our studies revealed a novel requirement for disc1 in the specification of oligodendrocyte precursor cells in the hindbrain (Wood et al., 2009). Subsequent studies have supported an important role for DISC1 in oligodendrocyte development in higher vertebrates (Hattori et al., 2014; Katsel et al., 2011; Seshadri et al., 2010; Shimizu et al., 2014), while interrogation of a CNS cell
types exon array (Cahoy et al., 2008) by us revealed that among the CNS cell types analysed, Disc1 was most highly expressed in OPCs. DISC1 has also been implicated in agenesis of the corpus callosum in humans (Osbun et al., 2011). Importantly, oligodendrocyte and white matter abnormalities are a widely reported feature of schizophrenia and affective disorders (Bernstein et al., 2015; Mahon et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2011; Tham et al., 2011), implying that white matter dysfunction may contribute to the complex aetiology of a range of mental disorders.

At around 2 days post-fertilisation (dpf), disc1 is prominently expressed in a number of ventral regions in the zebrafish embryo, including the midline of the hindbrain, otic vesicle and developing cartilages of the branchial arches (Wood et al., 2009). Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is an important morphogen with essential roles in dorsal-ventral patterning during embryogenesis. Ablation of Shh leads to a failure to produce oligodendrocytes in the chick spinal cord (Orentas et al., 1999) and zebrafish hindbrain (Cunliffe and Casaccia-Bonnefil, 2006). Similarly, Shh has essential roles in the development of the otic vesicle and branchial arches in zebrafish (Hammond et al., 2003; Wada et al., 2005). Given that disc1 appears to be predominantly expressed in a number of Shh-responsive tissues, we therefore reasoned that disc1 may function downstream of Shh signalling. To test this hypothesis we have investigated the effects of genetic and chemical modulation of Shh signalling on disc1 expression in the zebrafish embryo and used double-labelling methods to characterise disc1-expressing cells in the hindbrain. These studies suggest a critical role for Shh signalling in the development of disc1-expressing cells in the zebrafish CNS and other tissues.

RESULTS

Expression of disc1 is altered in Shh pathway mutant embryos

To determine whether levels of Shh signalling influence disc1 expression in the CNS, disc1 expression was analysed in Hedgehog (Hh) pathway mutant embryos. We utilised three stable genetic mutant lines: smo^{b641} loss-of-function mutants carrying a mutation in the Smoothened (Smo) receptor leading to complete loss of Shh signal transduction (Varga et al., 2001); igu^{ts294e} mutants that have a mutation in DAZ-interacting protein 1 (DZIP1) leading to ciliary defects and subsequent aberrations in Shh signal transduction (Brand et al., 1996); and ptc1;ptc2 loss-of-function double mutants which harbour mutations in both Patched1 and Patched2 leading to constitutive activation of Shh signalling (Koudijs et al., 2008). In the brain, prominent expression of disc1 is observed in the ventral diencephalon, midline of the hindbrain, and dorsolateral regions of the hindbrain where the expression pattern resembles that of the radial glia/neural progenitor marker fabp7a/bihp (supplementary material Fig. S1). In both smo^{b641} (Fig. 1) and igu^{ts294e} (supplementary material Fig. S2) mutants, disc1 expression was dramatically reduced in all of these regions of the brain, as well as in non-CNS regions such as the tissue surrounding the otic vesicles (Fig. 1B; supplementary material Fig. S2B), compared with control sibling embryos (Fig. 1A; supplementary material Fig. S2A).

In ptc1;ptc2 double homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 2C,D), disc1 expression was strongly increased around both sides of the midline of the hindbrain compared to sibling embryos (Fig. 2A,B) at 50 hpf. In these ptc1;ptc2 double mutants, the expression of disc1 increased from a thin strip of expression in the midline seen in controls (Fig. 2A) to prominent strips of expression on either side of the midline in double mutants (Fig. 2C), with both the number of cells labelled and intensity of cell labelling appearing to be increased in the hindbrain. Analysis of transverse sections taken through the hindbrain also demonstrated increased disc1 expression around the midline (compare Fig. 2D with B). Comparison of disc1 expression in non-CNS tissues was complicated by the highly dysmorphic nature of the ptc1;ptc2 double mutants, with the loss of organised staining in the developing jaw cartilages being particularly notable (Fig. 2B,D). To determine whether constitutive activation of Shh signalling influences OPC development, in situ hybridisation for olig2 was performed on ptc1;ptc2 double mutants. OPCs form a stereotypical peppercorn distribution pattern, which is highly consistent between wild type embryos (supplementary material Fig. S3A). However this stereotypical pattern was lost in the ptc1;ptc2 double homozygous mutants (supplementary material Fig. S3C), and the distribution of OPCs differed between individual double mutant embryos. The normal initial restriction of OPCs to ventral regions of the midline in double mutants (Fig. 2C), with both the number of cells

![Fig. 1. disc1 expression is absent in smo^{b641} mutant embryos at 50 hpf.](image1.png)

Whole mount in situ hybridization analysis for disc1 expression in smo^{b641} (B) revealed a near total loss of expression throughout the CNS and other tissues compared with sibling controls (A). Dorsal views, anterior to left.

![Fig. 2. Expression of disc1 at 50 hpf is up-regulated around the midline of the hindbrain in response to increased Shh signalling.](image2.png)

Expression was analysed using whole mount in situ hybridization. Dorsal, anterior to left (A,C) and transverse sectional (B,D) views show increased disc1 expression around the midline of the hindbrain in ptc1;ptc2 mutants (C,D) compared with siblings (A,B). Arrows indicate hindbrain midline disc1 expression in transverse sectional views.
demonstrates that expression of pathway mutants.

To confirm that expression of disc1 is responsive to levels of Hh signalling, reverse-transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using total RNA prepared from pools of 20 smo\(^{b641}\) and ptch1;ptch2 mutants as well as pools of sibling controls. PrimeTime Mini qPCR assays were performed for disc1 and eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1b (euf1a1b), the latter serving as a reference gene for normalisation (Flinn et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2007). While disc1 expression was readily detected in pools of smo\(^{b641}\) sibling embryos, disc1 expression failed to reach the threshold level for detection in smo\(^{b641}\) mutant embryos, confirming the loss of disc1 expression in the absence of Shh signal transduction. This is represented graphically in Fig. 3A. Surprisingly, qPCR assays demonstrated that the relative level of disc1 expression in pools of ptch1;ptch2 mutants was slightly reduced compared with sibling controls (Fig. 3B). The developing jaw cartilages are the strongest site of disc1 expression in wild type embryos, but these fail to form normally in disc1 mutant and sibling pools compared with the smo\(^{b641}\) sibling pool. These comparisons showed that disc1 expression was around 1.8- and 2.4-fold higher respectively in the ptch1;ptch2 mutant and sibling pools with the smo\(^{b641}\) sibling pool (Fig. 3C,D), confirming that disc1 expression is responsive to increased levels of Shh signalling.

**disc1 is specifically expressed in olig2-positive midline cells and is responsive to Shh signalling**

We previously showed that knock down of disc1 in the zebrafish embryo leads to oligodendrocyte precursor cell (OPC) specification defects in the hindbrain (Wood et al., 2009). We demonstrated that disc1 was expressed in the same region as olig2-positive cells using in situ hybridisation, but co-expression of disc1 in olig2-positive cells was not confirmed using double-staining methods. Therefore, a fluorescent in situ stain for disc1 mRNA was performed in tandem with immunofluorescent staining for EGFP in the Tg(olig2:egfp) line to confirm this and to identify the disc1-expressing cells in the hindbrain. The expression of disc1 was most prominent in olig2-positive cells along the midline of the hindbrain at 50 hpf (Fig. 4). A high background signal was consistently obtained when performing fluorescent in situ staining for disc1 mRNA, but the signal was clearly intensified in the EGFP-positive cells along the midline. Furthermore, the complete loss of disc1 expression around the midline of the hindbrain in smo\(^{b641}\) and igu\(^{2646}\) mutants (Fig. 1; supplementary material Fig. S2), where the specification of these olig2-positive cells is inhibited, is consistent with disc1 being specifically expressed in these cells. In transverse section (Fig. 6), these cells show morphology consistent with that of olig2-positive neuroepithelial progenitors described elsewhere (Zannino and Appel, 2009).

Given that Shh signalling is required for specification of this population of cells, we sought to demonstrate that inhibition of Shh signal transduction after their specification has been initiated, leads to loss of disc1 expression. In order to test this, cyclopamine, a widely used chemical inhibitor of Shh signal transduction, was applied to wild type embryos from 32 hpf. This is after the initial specification of these progenitors in rhombomeres 5/6 has occurred, but prior to the main period of hindbrain OPC specification and migration (Zannino and Appel, 2009). Control embryos were either untreated or treated with solvent (0.5% ethanol) alone. Embryos were then fixed at 52 hpf and the specification of olig2-positive cells analysed by in situ hybridisation (Fig. 5). As expected, cyclopamine treatment led to a dramatic reduction, but not a complete ablation, in the number of olig2-positive cells in the hindbrain (Fig. 5B), compared with both ethanol-treated (Fig. 5A) and untreated (Fig. 5C) control embryos. To determine the effect of cyclopamine treatment on disc1 expression, Tg(olig2:egfp) embryos were exposed to cyclopamine from 34 hpf, fixed at 50 hpf and then analysed for disc1 mRNA expression using in situ
hybridisation and EGFP expression using immunofluorescence. While EGFP expression was clearly observed in cells around the midline in both cyclopamine-treated and control embryos (Fig. 6A,B), there was complete loss of disc1 expression in the EGFP-expressing cells in cyclopamine-treated embryos (Fig. 6D) when compared to control embryos (Fig. 6C). This suggests that disc1 expression in these progenitors requires active Shh signal transduction. If Shh signals to drive disc1 expression in these cells, then they need to have the ability to respond to this signalling pathway. Primary cilia play an essential role in transducing the Shh signal in many cell types. Analysis of primary cilia on EGFP+ cells in the Tg(olig2:egfp) line using an antibody against ADP-ribosylation factor-like protein 13B (Arl13b), which is a component of ciliary membranes and required for ciliogenesis (Zhang et al., 2013), clearly showed the presence of primary cilia on these cells at 36 hpf (supplementary material Fig. S4A). In addition to there being a loss of disc1 expression around the midline in cyclopamine-treated embryos, a lack of EGFP-positive cells with a morphology resembling that of OPCs in the ventral hindbrain was apparent (green arrows in Fig. 6A and B). This is as might be expected, since the role of Shh signalling in OPC specification is well established.

Cyclopamine treatment mimics the effect of disc1 knockdown on OPC specification

We previously demonstrated that disc1 knockdown inhibits the specification of hindbrain OPCs after their initial specification in rhombomeres 5/6 (Wood et al., 2009). To confirm that inhibition of Shh signalling at the appropriate developmental stage gives a similar phenotype, cyclopamine (20–60 µM) was applied continuously to Tg(olig2:egfp) embryos (n=20 per group) from 34 hpf, and fixed specimens analysed at either 50 hpf (n=10) or 3 dpf (n=10). A clear dose-dependent reduction in the number of olig2-positive cells specified along the midline at 50 hpf was observed (Fig. 7C,E,G). In addition, cells with the morphology of OPCs failed to migrate laterally to uniformly populate the hindbrain at 3 dpf (Fig. 7D,F,H). Individual OPCs outside the initial zone of olig2 promoter-driven EGFP expression in r5/6 were counted in a z-stack projection of the entire hindbrain. This quantification of OPC numbers (n=5 per treatment group) showed that the number of OPCs in this region reduced dramatically with increasing cyclopamine concentration (supplementary material Fig. S5). The overall morphology of the cyclopamine-treated embryos at both 50 hpf and 3 dpf was grossly normal, with few overt signs of toxicity such as CNS necrosis when cyclopamine was applied continuously at these doses. In addition, the development of GFP-positive abducens motor neuron axons (Fig. 7G) and cerebellar neurons (Fig. 7H) appeared normal, even at the highest dose. These results are consistent with the known role of Shh signalling in hindbrain OPC specification, and mimics the previously described effect of disc1 knockdown on OPC development.

DISCUSSION

We have provided evidence to demonstrate that Shh signalling upstream of disc1 in oligodendrocyte development in the zebrafish hindbrain. Expression of disc1 was absent in the hindbrain of smo<sup>b641</sup> mutants and increased in ptch1;ptch2 double homozygous mutants; cyclopamine treatment abolished disc1 expression in olig2-positive neuroepithelial progenitors in the midline of the hindbrain, and cyclopamine treatment over an appropriate timeframe mimicked the effect of disc1 knockdown on OPC specification that we previously reported (Wood et al., 2009). While the loss of disc1 expression in the midline of the hindbrain in smo<sup>b641</sup> mutants most likely represents a loss of olig2-positive neural progenitors, treatment with cyclopamine after the initial specification of this progenitor population had taken place was found to inhibit disc1 expression in these cells. We therefore suggest that disc1 expression in hindbrain neuroepithelial progenitors is driven by Shh in order to promote OPC specification. It remains to be determined whether the striking loss of disc1 expression observed in other brain regions and in non-CNS tissues, such as the region surrounding the otic vesicles, reflects specification
Defects, transcriptional effects or a combination of both. However, given the apparent absence of disc1 expression in smc64d1 mutants at 2 dpf, it appears that disc1 may function downstream of Shh signalling in multiple cell types.

Canonical Hh signalling via its receptor Ptc1 and disinhibition of Smo culminates in transcriptional events mediated by the Gli family of transcription factors. One question that arises is whether disc1 is a primary or secondary target of Gli family transcription factors? Bioinformatic analysis of the disc1 promoter region did not find any high affinity Gli consensus-binding sites (GACACCCCA), but the ability of low affinity sites to efficiently activate transcription has been documented (Winklmayr et al., 2010). Olig2 is a known target of Gli activators (Vokes et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2013), and a recent study found that Disc1 is a direct target of Olig2 in spinal cord motor neuron progenitors and OPCs (Satoh et al., 2015), showing 89.8 and 23.5-fold enrichment respectively in ChIP-Seq datasets. We have found that morpholino-mediated knockdown of olig2 abolishes disc1 expression in the midline of the hindbrain (results not shown), but olig2 function is known to be required for OPC development (Park et al., 2002), so this again may represent a block in the specification of the relevant progenitor population. One other known regulator of DISC1 expression, FOXP2, has been suggested to interact with Shh signalling in the CNS (Carney et al., 2010; Chiu et al., 2014). Canonical Shh signalling emanates from primary cilia in vertebrates and the presence of these organelles was demonstrated on olig2-positive cells around the midline prior to the main phase of OPC specification. DISC1 has been shown to interact with PDE4B to regulate cAMP signalling (Millar et al., 2005), with GSK3β (Mao et al., 2009) and with KIAA1212 to regulate mTOR/AKT signalling (Kim et al., 2009, 2012). All of these signalling pathways are important mediators of Shh signal transduction, thereby implying that DISC1 may itself interface with Shh signalling. Furthermore, DISC1 has been suggested to associate with primary cilia (Marley and von Zastrow, 2010) and interacts with TRAF3IP1/MIPT3 (Morris et al., 2003), which has important roles in ciliogenesis (Li et al., 2008; Omori et al., 2008). These studies, linking DISC1 to primary cilia, further support the idea that DISC1 function may be linked to Shh signalling.

Given the wealth of data that has now accumulated regarding the neurodevelopmental functions of DISC1, the evidence for it being central to disease pathogenesis in the original Scottish family is compelling (Porteous et al., 2014). However, there is an apparent disconnect with genome-wide association studies, which have not linked common variation at the DISC1 locus with schizophrenia or affective disorders in the wider population (Sullivan, 2013). It may therefore be the case that DISC1 provides insight into the developmental pathways and mechanisms that are central to mental health issues, and our findings lead us to propose that Shh signalling is an interesting candidate that warrants further investigation. A number of features of mental illnesses and the drugs used to treat them can be linked to Shh signalling. As discussed previously, oligodendrocyte and white matter abnormalities have been widely reported in schizophrenia and affective disorders (Bernstein et al., 2014; Mahon et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2011; Tham et al., 2011), and the importance of Shh signalling in oligodendrocyte development is well documented. Craniofacial dysmorphology has long been appreciated as a feature of schizophrenia, and has been taken as evidence to support the neurodevelopmental origins of this devastating condition (Lane et al., 1997). Many craniofacial features are derived from the highly migratory cranial neural crest during development, and important insights into conserved mechanisms of craniofacial development have been obtained using the zebrafish (Knight and Schilling, 2006). Hh signalling is one of the fundamental developmental pathways required for correct neural crest patterning (for review see Mishina and Snider, 2014) and disc1 is prominently expressed in neural crest cells (Dreerup et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2009), so the craniofacial abnormalities reported in schizophrenia may reflect altered Shh signalling, possibly via DISC1. Existing antipsychotic
medications target dopamine receptors, and it has long been appreciated that Shh is essential for the development of midbrain dopaminergic neurons (Sillitoe and Vogel, 2008). Therefore, modified Shh signalling in the midbrain might be predicted to affect dopaminergic neuron development, potentially increasing susceptibility to psychiatric disease. Similarly, Shh signalling is essential for correct specification of hindbrain serotonergic neurons, which have been implicated in bipolar disorder and depression (Alenina et al., 2006). Modulation of Shh signalling has also been shown to be an effect of a number of antipsychotic drugs including clozapine, chlorpromazine and haloperidol (Lauth et al., 2010). Hence, some of the effects (or side effects) of these medications may ensue from altered Shh signalling.

Further evidence supporting involvement of Hh signalling in psychiatric disease has come from analysis of mouse models and human genetic studies. Male Desert hedgehog (Dhh) knockout mice show increased anxiety-like and depressive behaviour in tests such as the forced swim test (Umehara et al., 2006). More recently, the Hh pathway agonist SAG1.1 was shown to correct some of the structural and cognitive deficits seen in the Tg65Dn mouse model of Down syndrome (Das et al., 2013). A single injection of SAG1.1 on the day of birth gave a transient increase in the proliferation of cerebellar granule cells, and was able to correct the deficit in granule cell density seen in the Tg65Dn mouse. SAG1.1 treated mice also showed improved hippocampal long-term potentiation and correction of learning deficits in the Morris water maze compared to controls (Umehara et al., 2006). More recently, modulation of Shh signalling has also been shown to be an effect of a number of antipsychotic drugs including clozapine, chlorpromazine and haloperidol (Lauth et al., 2010). Hence, some of the effects (or side effects) of these medications may ensue from altered Shh signalling.

Immunostaining
Embryos were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde and immunostaining performed using standard procedures (Schulte-Merker, 2003). Rabbit anti-GFP antibody (Torrey Pines Biolabs) was used at 1:1000 dilution, AlexaFluor488 donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam) was used at 1:500 dilution. When required, immunostaining was performed after RNA in situ hybridisation.

Whole-mount in situ hybridisation
Digoxigenin-labelled antisense riboprobes were prepared using T7 and SP6 polymersases as described by the manufacturer (Roche Life Science). Templates used for generation of oligo2 (Cunliffe and Casaccia-Bonnefil, 2006) and disc1 (Wood et al., 2009) probes are described elsewhere. Colorometric whole-mount in situ hybridisation was performed using standard procedures (Westerfield, 2000). Fluorescent in situ hybridisation staining was carried out using the Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA™) system as described by the manufacturer (PerkinElmer).

Cyclopamine treatment
Cyclopamine was obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals and added to embryos from a 10 mM stock prepared in ethanol. Dechorionated embryos (20 animals per group) were treated in E3 medium containing 20–60 μM cyclopamine from 32–34 hpf and kept in cyclopamine solution until the desired time point. Embryos were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for staining.

Reverse-transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)
Total RNA was isolated from pools of 20 embryos at 50 hpf using TRIzOL reagent (Life Technologies) and cDNA prepared using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies). PrimeTime Mini qPCR 5′ nuclease assays for disc1 and eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1b (eef1a1b), the latter serving as a reference gene for normalisation (Flinn et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2007), were designed using the Integrated DNA Technologies Real Time PCR tool (http://eu.idtdna.com/Scitools/Applications/RealTimePCRx). Assays were provided in single tubes, each containing a forward primer, a reverse primer and a qPCR probe, optimised for use at a 1:20 dilution. Primer and probe sequences were as follows: disc1 forward primer, 5′-CGAGTGCAGATGTTGTCCATC-3′; disc1 reverse primer, 5′-ATTCTCCTGATACGGCTGTGAG-3′; disc1 probe, 5′-6FAM/CCTTGACCTCTTCT/3′, IAKFQ-3′; eef1a1b forward primer, 5′-GGCTGGTGTTGTGAATTTG-3′; eef1a1b reverse primer, 5′-TTCTGGCTATAATTGGGCTCC-3′; eef1a1b probe, 5′-6FAM/CCTGGCGGCT/3′, AABKFO-3′. Each assay yielded a single product of the predicted size. For each reaction, 12.5 ng of cDNA was added to a 10 µl reaction containing 0.5 µl of 20× PrimeTime assay mix (Integrated DNA Technologies), 5 µl of Brilliant III Ultra-Fast QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) and 3.5 µl of nuclease-free water in a 96 well plate. All reactions were performed in triplicate. Quantitative PCR was performed using the Bio-Rad C1000 Touch thermal cycler with CFX96 Real-Time PCR detection system. All reactions were denatured at 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s. Data was exported and analysed using the Bio-Rad CFX Manager, after which data was exported to Microsoft Excel and processed to determine relative abundance levels. Means were compared with unpaired t-tests using GraphPad Prism software.
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