Developing CT based computational models of pediatric femurs
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1. Introduction

Fractures in infants and young children are relatively uncommon and can be broadly categorized as following either accidental (either of normal or of abnormal bone) or abusive (also referred to as non-accidental or inflicted) mechanisms. Accidental injury usually occurs once the child begins to walk unaided. Abusive injury on the other hand, is most often seen before 2 years of age, usually occurs once the child begins to walk unaided. Abusive

identified fracture(s) is not straightforward. Many parameters such as the age, height of fall, velocity at impact, impact materials and directions need to be taken into account. Biomechanical models, with their capability to test different scenarios, will ultimately help to answer these questions, but there has been little development of such models in the pediatric age group.

The mechanisms of fracture in infants and toddlers are not well understood. There have been very few studies on the mechanical properties of pediatric bones and their responses under fracture loading. A better understanding of fracture mechanisms in children will help elucidate both accidental and non-accidental injuries, as well as bone fragility diseases. The aim of this study is to develop in silico femoral models from CT scans to provide detailed quantitative information regarding the geometry and mechanical response of the femur, with the long term potential of investigating injury mechanisms. Fifteen anonymized QCT scans (aged 0–3 years) were collected and used to create personalized computational models of femurs. The elastic modulus of femur was illustrated at various ages. The models were also subjected to a series of four point bending simulations taking into account a range of loads perpendicular to the femoral shaft. The results showed that mid-shaft cross-section at birth appeared circular, but the diameter in the anteroposterior axis gradually increased with age. The density, and by implication modulus of elasticity at the mid-shaft became more differentiated with growth. Pediatric cortical bone with density close to the peak values found in adults was attained a few weeks after birth. The method is able to capture quantitative variations in geometries, material properties and mechanical responses, and has confirmed the rapid development of bone during the first few years of life using in silico models.
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methods (e.g. CT) to estimate bone density in order to predict changes in bone strength (McCa...e approach used to derive the Young’s modulus of adult bone from measured CT Hounsfield Units will be applied here to the modeling of children’s bone (Taddei et al., 2003, 2007, Schileo et al., 2008a).

This study focuses on investigating the biophysics of the right femur in very young children between 0 and 3 years old. By adopting an in silico approach of engineering biomechanics, the aims of this study are to: (a) apply a well-established approach for adult femurs to very young children by creating 3D personalized virtual femurs using quantitative computed tomography (QCT) images; (b) accurately capture the geometry and mechanical properties of pediatric femurs; (c) derive quantitative morphological data (diameters, lengths, modulus of elasticity) to elucidate the changes in the growing bone and (d) perform preliminary analysis on the predicted mechanical response of developing femurs under four point bending.

2. Materials and methods

This study involved retrospective investigation of anonymized QCT images obtained as part of a local post mortem examination at the Sheffield Children’s Hospital. Local policy is that blanket informed consent for research is obtained from parents or legal guardians at the time of obtaining consent for the post-mortem, with the option to withhold consent for the use of images. Local Research Ethics Committee approval was waived on the understanding that consented parents or legal guardians had not opted out of images or data being used for research. The study was registered with our local Research & Development Department (study registration number CA11024). The local policy also applies to the X-ray images shown in Fig. 1(a), obtained at the same hospital from a different cohort.

Fifteen sets of whole body QCT scans were collected as part of an on-going project to investigate the use of CT in post-mortem examinations, particularly for cases of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), sudden unexpected death in infancy (SUDI) and childhood (SUDIC). There is no observable pathology reported in the histology analysis that would affect the normal development of bone. The CT images were obtained using a GE Lightspeed 64-slice CT scanner, with an image resolution of 0.625 × 0.625 × 0.625 mm³. The data was anonymized for the purposes of this study. The age for each individual was corrected for prematurity at birth (40 weeks as full term). The height was measured from the crown of the head to the toe. Percentiles for weight and height were obtained from the Child Growth Foundation Tables (for Boys and Girls, http://childgrowthfoundation.org).

Based on the CT scans, personalized computational models of pediatric femurs were created using a well-established approach for adult femurs (Schileo et al., 2008a, 2008b). This approach has been well validated (in adults) against in vitro experiments to investigate fracture mechanisms in the femur under various loading conditions (Schileo et al., 2007, Grassi et al., 2012, Cristofolini et al., 2010). The following sections provide a detailed description of our application of this method to create femoral models in very young children.

2.1. Mesh generation

The CT scans were segmented using ITK-snap (ITK, http://www.itksnap.org) in order to obtain the femoral outlines. Because cartilage in the growth region cannot be differentiated from surrounding soft tissues, only the ossified bones were considered during this segmentation process. A threshold value of 350 Hounsfield Units was used to select pixels representing ossified bone. This value was chosen to ensure reliable detection at the edge of the diaphysis by accounting for boundary pixels containing both bony and soft tissues. A trained operator manually checked all segmentation results by overlapping the segmented geometry with the original CT scans. Fig. 1(b) shows the segmented geometries from children at the age of 3 weeks, 14 weeks, 1 year, and 3 years.

For each individual, the length of the femur was estimated by calculating the distance between the proximal and the distal ossification centers. The distal ossification center was relatively straightforward to estimate because it was present at birth, while the proximal ossification center did not appear until a few months later. In these cases, the proximal ossification center was approximated using the bony boundaries of the proximal femur and the acetabulum as illustrated in Fig. 2.

2.2. Young’s modulus estimation

The CT scans were calibrated using the European Spine Phantom (ESP). Using a well-established approach for estimating adult bone material properties from CT scans (Schileo et al., 2008a, 2008b; Zannoni et al., 1998, Taddei et al., 2004), a linear
The apparent density of bone (\(\rho_{\text{app}}\)) proposed by Morgan et al. (2003):

\[
\rho_{\text{app}} = \rho_{\text{CT}} \times \left( 1 + \frac{E_{\text{ash}}}{E_{\text{obs}}} \right)
\]

The Young’s modulus (\(E\)) and the ash density (\(\rho_{\text{ash}}\), derived from adult bones (Schileo et al., 2008a):

\[
\rho_{\text{ash}} = 0.8772 \rho_{\text{CT}} + 0.07895
\]

\[
E_{\text{ash}} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{ash}}}
\]

The Young’s modulus (\(E\)) was then estimated based on the following relationship proposed by Morgan et al. (2003):

\[
E = 6850 \frac{\rho_{\text{obs}}^{1.49}}{\rho_{\text{ash}}^{1.49}} = 14664 \frac{\rho_{\text{obs}}^{1.49}}{\rho_{\text{ash}}^{1.49}}
\]

Finite element meshes of the femurs consisting of 10-node tetrahedra were created using ANSYS. The number of elements was adjusted to be proportional to the femoral length. The meshes were imported into the LHPBuilder where the Young’s modulus was calculated as described above using BONEMAT3 (Schileo et al., 2008a). This resulted in a final finite element mesh with each element containing the averaged Young’s modulus integrated from surrounding pixels in the maximum strain direction points to the inferior from the origin to the distal ossification center.

The conventional coordinate system (Wu et al., 2002) used in adult femur cannot be readily applied here due to the absence of the epiphyses. Therefore, a new reference system needs to be developed based on available features of very young children’s femurs. Four potential landmarks were considered in this study: the proximal and the distal ossification centers, the lesser trochanter surface, and the central depression (intercondylar notch in adult femur) (see Fig. 3). A repeatability test was carried to select the two most reliable landmarks, which are the proximal and the distal ossification centers.

To create the new reference system, the middle point between two ossification centers was defined as the origin, which approximated the location of the mid-shaft. The \(x\) direction points to the inferior from the origin to the distal ossification center (see Fig. 3). The \(y\) direction points medially to the proximal ossification center. The \(z\) direction points to the anterior perpendicular to the \(x-y\) plane.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Number of specimen</th>
<th>Specimen shape</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Mechanical test</th>
<th>Young’s modulus (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hirsch and Evans (1965)</td>
<td>0–14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>Tension</td>
<td>7–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaver (1966)</td>
<td>2–14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Fibula, tibia, ulna, ilium</td>
<td>Indentation</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamada (1970)</td>
<td>10–79</td>
<td>36 subjects</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>Tension and bending</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currey and Butler (1975)</td>
<td>2–14</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Femur (mid-shaft)</td>
<td>Three-point bending</td>
<td>3–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currey and Pond (1989)</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>Three-point bending; Hounsfield plastics impact test</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohman et al. (2011)</td>
<td>4–15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Cylindrical</td>
<td>Femur, tibia</td>
<td>Compression</td>
<td>5–15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The four landmarks selected for repeatability tests are illustrated in the segmented right femurs in the posterior view. The proximal ossification center in the 14 weeks old child was estimated. The resulting reference system is illustrated in the 1-year-old femur.

The original CT scans. Therefore, the computational femoral model contained personalized information in both geometry and material properties.

#### 2.3 Reference system

To simulate four point bending, the femoral shaft was isolated, representing 50% of the total femoral length (see Fig. 4). The lowest nodes (in \(y\) direction) at both ends of the shaft were assumed to be the support points. Nodes at the ends of the shaft were constrained so that translations were partially permitted in \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) directions (see Fig. 4 for more detail). Forces of equal magnitude were applied where the loading span equaled half of the support span. The amount of force applied was estimated empirically in order for the maximum first principal strain to reach a yield value of 0.73% (assuming bone fails first in tension) (Bayraktar et al., 2004).

Starting with the default orientation where forces were applied towards the lateral direction (or negative \(y\) direction), the femur was rotated 10 degrees around the shaft (or \(x\) direction) for each subsequent simulation in order to include a range of loading orientations perpendicular to the shaft (see Fig. 4). For each orientation, the maximum first and third principal strains were evaluated at each node in the

### Fig. 2
Sagittal view of the thigh and hip skeleton showing the estimated center of ossification (green dot) in the proximal epiphysis, which represents the mid-point between the femur and the acetabulum. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
region of interest (ROI). Due to the change in size with developing bones, convergence analysis was repeated for femurs at various ranges of lengths. The number of elements of the finite element meshes used in this study ranged between 86,000 and 160,000.

3. Results

The demographics of each individual are shown in Table 2. The range of femoral lengths is comparable with those reported in Scheuer et al. (2000). Both height and weight were within the normal range for the majority of cases, except cases 2, 10 and 11, which were considerably lower than normal (≤ 2nd percentile for weight and ≤ 9th percentile for height).

The femoral length, and height and weight of each child were relatively well correlated. In general, the length of the femur, which varied between 7.7 cm and 22.4 cm, increased with the age. There are some slight variations in the group. A few individuals (cases 2, 10 and 11) showed slightly shorter femoral lengths than the others at a similar age. Case 2 at the age of 2 weeks had a very low body weight (2nd percentile) shown in Table 2. Case 11 at the age of 20 weeks was six weeks premature, with low values in both body weight (2nd percentile) and height (9th percentile).

Mid-shaft diameters in the anterior–posterior (AP) and mediolateral (ML) directions are plotted in Fig. 5. Bones from individuals younger than 1 year old (cases 1 to 12) had an ML diameter similar to or greater than AP, except case 3. For those more than 1 year old (cases 13 to 15), 2 out of 3 cases (except case 14) gave AP greater than ML diameter. Distribution of the CT density and elastic (Young’s) modulus in the sagittal sections of the femurs is illustrated in Fig. 6. A few weeks after birth, bone with a CT density and Young’s modulus close to mature cortical bone (the more stiff bone approximately 1.1 g/cm3 or 20 GPa) was present at the periphery of the diaphysis. As the femurs grew in length and diameter, both the density and the Young’s modulus became more differentiated across the shaft.

The differential individual growth rate is illustrated in Fig. 7 using cases 3 and 11, representing advanced and delayed development, respectively. The length of the femur for case 3 (8.5 cm, 2 weeks of age) was only slightly lower than that of case 11 (9.6 cm, 16 weeks of age), despite the difference in age (see
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**Table 2.** Demographics for the post-mortem study. The femoral length was estimated from CT scans by calculating the distance between the proximal and the distal ossification centers. The predicted failure force was the amount of force applied when the maximum first principal strain reached a yield value of 0.73% (see “Boundary constraints” section). This force was an empirical estimation with an increment of 10 N. The last column reports the ratio of the maximum first principal strains in the AP direction over the ML direction, when forces were applied directly in these directions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Corrected age (weeks)</th>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Weight (g)/percentile</th>
<th>Height (cm)/percentile</th>
<th>Femoral lengths (cm)</th>
<th>Predicted failure force (N)</th>
<th>AP/ML max 1st PC strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pneumonia pertussis</td>
<td>3300/25th</td>
<td>51/98th</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SUDI*</td>
<td>2248/2nd</td>
<td>47/48th</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
<td>4005/75th</td>
<td>59.5/99th</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>3240/2-10th</td>
<td>63/50-75th</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>4400/9th</td>
<td>55/50-75th</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Severe acute bronchopneumonia</td>
<td>7565/99th</td>
<td>68/99th</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>5890/50th</td>
<td>63/75th</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SIDS*</td>
<td>6375/75th</td>
<td>67/ &gt; 90th</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>6505/75th</td>
<td>62/50th</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>4525/2nd</td>
<td>60/9th</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SIDS</td>
<td>3850/ &lt; 2nd</td>
<td>60/9th</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>5790/ &gt; 9th</td>
<td>65/91st</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48 (1 yr)</td>
<td>SUDI</td>
<td>12980/91-99th</td>
<td>82/ &gt; 99th</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>96 (2 yrs)</td>
<td>Inhalation of products of combustion</td>
<td>13130/75th</td>
<td>92/98th</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>1.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>144 (3 yrs)</td>
<td>Non-accidental head injury</td>
<td>17500/91st</td>
<td>102.5/98th</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Age corrected for prematurity at birth (40 weeks as full term).

*b SUDI, sudden unexpected death in infancy.

*c SIDS, sudden infant death syndrome.
Table 2). The cross-sectional dimensions at the mid-shaft were substantially different. Case 3 had a much longer AP diameter with a visible ridge (linea aspera) in the posterior aspect and increased anterior curvature, and showed signs of advanced ossification of the proximal epiphysis (black circle in Fig. 7), features that are normally observed in older children in the dataset. In contrast, although older, case 11 retained the longer diameter in the ML direction with little development of the linea aspera. According to Scheuer et al. (2000), the development of the linea aspera is very variable. However, it generally correlates with weight bearing and standing.

The amount of force predicted to “fracture” the bone (e.g. reach a maximum first principal strain of 0.73% in the ROI) ranged from 120 N to 1040 N for the 15 cases (see Table 2). These forces were estimated empirically through the finite element simulation using an increment of 10 N. The values of maximum first principal strain were also compared when forces were applied directly in the AP and ML directions. The ratio of AP over ML strain (maximum first principal strain) was reported in Table 2 for each case. For most children younger than 6 months old, the AP direction had slightly higher maximum first principal strains (approximately 4%–23% difference) than the ML direction. However, the opposite was observed for those who had a much longer AP diameter than ML diameter (cases 3, 13, and 15). The predicted mechanical responses under different bending directions are illustrated in Fig. 8 using the 1-year-old femur model.

4. Discussion

A CT-based computational modeling framework, previously used in adults, has been applied to create personalized femoral models of fifteen very young children (0–3 years of age), where new methodology was presented to set up a unique reference system according to juvenile femur anatomy and to apply appropriate boundary constraints to the bone. The models were able to capture the geometry and material properties of the developing femurs, and quantitatively demonstrate changes in morphology with age. These changes are likely to correspond to important functional adaptations during growth and development.

The analysis of geometry suggested that the mid-shaft cross-section has a more circular shape at birth. The elongation of the femoral width in the AP direction reflects the formation of the linea aspera, a ridge on the posterior aspect of the femur where numerous muscles (e.g. vastus medialis, vastus lateralis, adductor brevis, adductor longus, etc.) are attached. The change in distribution of bone density in Fig. 6 (during the first 3 years of life) reflects the development of stiff cortical bone at the periphery of the diaphysis, while the formation of the medullary (bone marrow) cavity takes place in the middle. These results suggested that normal biomechanical and anatomical differentiation of femur is interdependent.
The rate of development however, does not solely depend on age. Two outliers illustrated here were cases 3 (above average development) and 11 (below average development). Post mortem data confirmed that overall demographics (height and weight) related well to the rate of development observed in individual femurs. Both infants were of Caucasian origin and neither had started crawling at the time of death. The underlying reasons for altered development in cases 3 and 11 cannot be confirmed due to the lack of additional clinical data; however it is worth noting that case 11 was 6 weeks preterm, which may have some association with the delay in skeletal development. In addition, other factors such as physical activity and ethnicity may also play a role (Wallace et al., 2013, Cardadeiro et al., 2012, McKay and Smith, 2008). It is also common for a range of considerably different morphology and curvature to present in juvenile femurs during infancy and childhood (Scheuer et al., 2000). Therefore, the results reported here should be treated with caution, and more information is needed in order to conclusively determine if these cases are indeed outliers or part of the normal variations.

The amount of force predicted to “fracture” the femur under bending generally increased with increase in size of the femur. The AP direction appeared to be slightly weaker than the ML direction during the first 6 months, but the difference diminished after 1 year of age. One possible explanation is the elongation in the AP direction due to the development of the linea aspera. This would potentially make the bone more resistant to bending in the AP direction (Kontulainen et al., 2007). However, this effect needs to be confirmed with further studies.

Some published papers suggest a direct link between skeletal muscle function and bone adaptation. Muscle contractions place direct loads on the bone, causing it to adapt (Schoenau and Fricke, 2008, Specker, 2006, Kemper, 2000). Due to the lack of muscle information, it is difficult to investigate the relationship between the femur and muscles attached to it from this dataset. However, it can be speculated that mechanical loading is a likely factor in diameter changes at the mid-shaft as illustrated in Fig. 5, where the dominant diameter switched from the ML to AP direction between the ages of 6 and 12 months. This is the period when a baby starts to crawl, stand and toddle. This change in ambulatory pattern is expected to lead to alterations in muscle and bone function in order to adapt to the upright posture (Scheuer et al., 2000), reflected by the remarkable modification in cross-sectional geometry over a short period of time (approximately 6 months). Unfortunately in this dataset, no subject was collected within this critical window. As the post-mortem study continues with more scans being collected, further studies will be conducted to investigate the considerable change in morphology during this transitional period and its effect on the amount of force predicted to “fracture” the bone. Nevertheless, the existing data and literature strongly suggest a close relationship between bone’s geometry, mechanical properties and the amount of force predicted to “fracture” the bone.

All cases reported in this study were collected as part of an ongoing investigation into the use of CT for post mortem examination of very young children (see Table 2). Some causes of death such as hypoplastic left heart syndrome in case 3 may affect the mobility of the infant and hence skeletal development. For those with sudden unexplained death in infancy, there is a possibility that underlying conditions could lead to pathological changes in the skeleton that are not visible on CT. A previous study showed that a significant proportion (76.5%) of infants and children who died suddenly had inadequate levels of Vitamin D, although only 19% had visible changes on radiology (Cohen et al., 2013). The significance of low levels of vitamin D on bone strength and fracture causation in the absence of radiological or biochemical features of rickets is debated (Arundel et al., 2012), and could potentially be determined in a future larger study using computational methods.

One limitation of this study is that the method used to estimate bone modulus of elasticity from CT scans is reliant on findings of a recent study by Ohman et al. (2011), where the author concluded that the difference in mechanical strength between pediatric and adult bone was correlated to ash density. It should be noted that the youngest child in Ohman’s study was 4 years of age, which is older than the age range (0–3 years) in our dataset. Other previous literature (Currey et al., 1996) has also drawn similar conclusions as Ohman et al. (2011). However, that study was performed more than a decade ago, with less advanced technology. Therefore, more work needs to be performed in order to confirm these findings, especially for infants and toddlers.

Another limitation of the computational model is that changes in bone at the tissue level were not taken into account. These microstructural changes are influenced by the degree of mineralization, the structure of bone matrix, as well as collagen composition, which is thought to have an impact on the overall biomechanical properties (Bennett and Pierce, 2010, Mosekilde et al., 1987). For example, mature bones undergo brittle fracture (elastic deformation), while pediatric bones deform plastically, represented by a classical “greenstick fracture” (Berteau et al., 2012). This would affect the validity of the yield strain used in this study, which is based on previous experiments from adult bones (Bayraktar et al., 2004). Future studies need to be conducted at the tissue level in order to quantify these changes. One possibility is to use bones excised for histological examination and perform high-resolution peripheral QCT and indentation tests to ascertain mechanical properties. However, the availability of fresh bone samples remains the greatest hurdle for such studies.

In conclusion, this study confirmed that the structural and mechanical properties of pediatric femurs can be captured using a CT-based modeling approach. These two factors also appeared to be interdependent. Future studies will be conducted to investigate femoral fracture patterns under a variety of loading conditions using these personalized computational models. Validation studies could be carried out using animal bones subjected to four point bending tests and later on by qualitatively comparing simulation results with known fracture cases reported in the clinic. These studies will help elucidate fracture mechanisms in children by predicting failure loads under specific loading scenarios, allowing more robust verification of the mechanisms and thereby improving our ability to diagnose.
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