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The exosome-binding factors Rrp6 and Rrp47 form a composite surface for recruiting the Mtr4 helicase
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Running title: Structure of the Rrp6-Rrp47-Mtr4 interaction
Abstract

The exosome is a conserved multi-subunit ribonuclease complex that functions in 3’ end processing, turnover and surveillance of nuclear and cytoplasmic RNAs. In the yeast nucleus, the 10-subunit core complex of the exosome (Exo-10) physically and functionally interacts with the Rrp6 exoribonuclease and its associated cofactor Rrp47, the helicase Mtr4 and the exosome cofactor Mpp6. Here we show that binding of Mtr4 to Exo-10 in vitro is dependent upon both Rrp6 and Rrp47, whereas Mpp6 binds directly and independently of other cofactors. Crystallographic analyses reveal that the N-terminal domains of Rrp6 and Rrp47 form a highly intertwined structural unit. Rrp6 and Rrp47 synergize to create a composite and conserved surface groove that binds the N-terminus of Mtr4. Mutation of conserved residues within Rrp6 and Mtr4 at the structural interface disrupt their interaction and inhibit growth of strains expressing a C-terminal GFP fusion of Mtr4. These studies provide detailed structural insight into the interaction between the Rrp6 - Rrp47 complex and Mtr4 that mediates an important link between Mtr4 and the core exosome.
Introduction

Most cellular ribonucleic acids are transcribed in the nucleus as larger precursor molecules that are then processed to produce mature, functional RNAs. The maturation of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), small nuclear and nucleolar RNAs (snRNAs and snoRNAs) requires the trimming of the extended 3' end of their nascent transcripts and the elimination of the excised RNA fragments (reviewed in Bernstein & Toth, 2012). These processes involve a complex of ribonucleases known as the RNA exosome (Allmang et al, 1999a). In addition to 3' end processing, the exosome functions in RNA turnover and surveillance pathways (reviewed in Schmid & Jensen, 2008; Schaeffer et al, 2011; Chlebowski et al, 2013). In the nucleus, it mediates the turnover of precursor transfer RNAs (pre-tRNAs) and precursor messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) (Gudipati et al, 2012). The nuclear exosome also swiftly eliminates misprocessed tRNAs (Kadaba et al, 2004) and pre-mRNAs (Bousquet-Antonelli et al, 2000; Hilleren et al, 2001) as well as cryptic unstable transcripts (CUTs) generated by antisense and intergenic transcription (Wyers et al, 2005; Davis & Ares, 2006; Neil et al, 2009). In the cytoplasm, the exosome participates in the turnover of mature mRNAs (Anderson & Parker, 1998) and in quality-control pathways that eliminate defective mRNAs with premature stop codons (Mitchell & Tollervey, 2003) or without a stop codon (van Hoof et al, 2002).

The exosome core complex consists of ten subunits that are evolutionarily conserved and are essential in yeast (Allmang et al, 1999b). Nine of these subunits form a catalytically inactive barrel-like structure (Exo-9) (Liu et al, 2006; Dziembowski et al, 2007) that threads RNA substrates to the tenth subunit, Rrp44 (also known as Dis3) (Bonneau et al, 2009; Malet et al, 2010; Wasmuth & Lima, 2012; Makino et al, 2013a; Liu et al, 2014). Rrp44 is bound at the bottom of the Exo-
9 barrel and contains a processive 3'-5'-exoribonuclease site and an endonuclease site (reviewed in Schneider & Tollervey, 2013; Makino et al, 2013b). While the Exo-10 core is found in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm, physical and genetic interactions have linked the exosome core to several cofactors that have specific subcellular localization (reviewed in Schneider & Tollervey, 2013). In the cytoplasm, the exosome functions together with the Ski complex, a multi-subunit assembly centered at the helicase Ski2 (Anderson & Parker, 1998; Araki et al, 2001; Halbach et al, 2013). In the yeast nucleus, the exosome is associated with a set of conserved proteins that include Rrp6 (known as PM/Scl-100 in humans), Rrp47 (also known as Lrp1 in yeast and as C1D in humans), Mpp6 and Mtr4 (also known as Dob1 in yeast) (reviewed in Butler & Mitchell, 2011).

Rrp6 contains a 3'-5'-exoribonuclease site. In contrast to Rrp44, the Rrp6 nuclease functions in a distributive manner and stalls when encountering structured RNA sequences (Briggs et al, 1998; Burkard & Butler, 2000; Liu et al, 2006; Januszyk et al, 2011). Rrp6 binds the exosome directly, near the top of the Exo-9 barrel (Cristodero et al, 2008; Makino et al, 2013a; Wasmuth et al, 2014). Although in vitro Rrp6 and Rrp44 can bind Exo-9 independently of each other, Exo-9 binding interconnects the enzymatic properties of the two ribonucleases (Liu et al, 2006; Wasmuth & Lima, 2012). The interplay between Rrp6 and Rrp44 also emerges from in vivo studies. During the maturation of 5.8S rRNA, Rrp44 degrades the 3’ end of the precursor to leave a processing intermediate that is then trimmed to the final product by Rrp6. This intermediate features a 3’ extension of 30 nucleotides (Briggs et al, 1998), a length that corresponds to the size of the internal channel of Exo-10 (Bonneau et al, 2009; Makino et al, 2013a). Rrp6 can carry out the last processing step even when separated from Exo-10 (Callahan & Butler, 2008).
Rrp47 and Rrp6 interact \textit{in vitro} (Stead \textit{et al}, 2007) and \textit{in vivo} (Mitchell \textit{et al}, 2003; Synowsky \textit{et al}, 2009). Consistently, depletion of Rrp47 leads to defects in RNA processing and degradation that are similar to those observed in \textit{rrp6Δ} strains (Mitchell \textit{et al}, 2003; Peng \textit{et al}, 2003). The presence of Rrp6 protects Rrp47 from degradation in yeast and conversely Rrp47 stabilizes Rrp6 (Stuparevic \textit{et al}, 2013; Feigenbutz \textit{et al}, 2013b; 2013a). Knockout of either Rrp6 or Rrp47 is synthetically lethal with the absence of Mpp6, another factor that functions in the maturation of 5.8S rRNA, the degradation of CUTs and pre-mRNA surveillance (Milligan \textit{et al}, 2008). The human orthologue of Mpp6 has been shown to interact with PM-Scl100 – C1D (Rrp6 – Rrp47) in co-immunoprecipitation experiments, and also with human Mtr4 (Schilders \textit{et al}, 2007). Mtr4 is a Ski2-related RNA helicase (Jackson \textit{et al}, 2010; Weir \textit{et al}, 2010; Halbach \textit{et al}, 2012) and is essential for viability in yeast (la Cruz \textit{et al}, 1998). Mtr4 is required for Rrp6-dependent and Rrp6-independent functions of the nuclear exosome (Jackson \textit{et al}, 2010; Klauer & van Hoof, 2013) and is also part of the TRAMP complex (LaCava \textit{et al}, 2005; Wyers \textit{et al}, 2005; Vanacova \textit{et al}, 2005). In human cells, Mtr4 has been shown to associate with either Mpp6 (Schilders \textit{et al}, 2007) or Rrp6 (Lubas \textit{et al}, 2011). In yeast, all genetic data suggest a close association between Mtr4 and the exosome but no direct interaction has been reported thus far. In this work, we dissected the interaction network of the nuclear cofactors of the yeast exosome \textit{in vitro} and identified the structural basis for how Rrp6 and Rrp47 assemble in a complex that directly recruits Mtr4.
Results

*S. cerevisiae* Rrp6 – Rrp47 recruits Mtr4 to the exosome

The domain organization of nuclear cofactors of the *S. cerevisiae* exosome is in several cases known from previous structural studies or can be extrapolated from sequence analysis (Fig 1A). The Rrp6 exoribonuclease is a modular protein of 733 residues. The Rrp6 N-terminal region (so-called PMC2NT) mediates the interaction with Rrp47 and is expected to be a folded domain (Stead *et al*, 2007). The central region encompasses the exoribonuclease (Exo domain), which includes the catalytic DEDD site and the regulatory HRDC domain (Midtgaard *et al*, 2006). The C-terminal region consists of mainly low-complexity sequences and contains both the Exo-9-binding segment and two nuclear localization signals (NLSs) (Callahan & Butler, 2008; Makino *et al*, 2013a). Rrp47 (184 residues) has an N-terminal domain that binds Rrp6 and a C-terminal low-complexity region rich in positively charged residues (Costello *et al*, 2011) (Fig 1A). The Mtr4 helicase (1073 residues) contains a low-complexity N-terminal region of 80 residues followed by a DExH helicase core characterized by an insertion domain also known as the arch domain (Weir *et al*, 2010; Jackson *et al*, 2010) (Fig 1A). Mpp6 (186 residues) is a small basic protein without recognizable domains.

We recombinantly expressed and purified different versions of these proteins, incubated them in different combinations and analyzed the mixtures using size-exclusion chromatography to dissect their direct interactions (Fig 1B-1G). In the case of Rrp6, we engineered a version of the protein that spans from the Rrp47-binding domain to the exosome-binding domain (Rrp6\_NLS), as the inclusion of the very C-terminus resulted in an unstable sample that was quickly degraded. Rrp6\_NLS co-
eluted with full-length Rrp47 (Fig 1B, peak 1 in the size exclusion chromatography profile on the left and lane 1 in the corresponding Coomassie gel on the right). Rrp6_{\text{NLS}} – Rrp47 did not interact with Mpp6 (Fig 1B, peaks and lanes 2 and 3). Instead, Rrp6_{\text{NLS}} – Rrp47 interacted with full-length Mtr4 (Fig 1B, peak and lane 2). Interestingly, Mtr4 required the Rrp6_{\text{NLS}} – Rrp47 complex to bind to Exo-9 (Fig 1C, compare peak and lane 1, with peaks and lanes 4 and 5). Rrp6 lacking the N-terminal Rrp47-binding domain (Rrp6_{\text{N}}) co-eluted with Exo-9 but lost most of the binding to Mtr4 (Fig 1C, peaks and lanes 5 and 6). The other nuclear exosome cofactor, Mpp6, interacted with Exo-9 both in the presence and in the absence of Rrp6_{\text{NLS}} – Rrp47 (Fig 1D, peak and lane 1, compare with peak and lane 2). Finally, Exo-9, Rrp6_{\text{NLS}}, Rrp47, Mtr4, Mpp6 and Rrp44 co-eluted in a single peak that corresponds to the 14-subunit nuclear exosome (Fig 1D, peak and lane 6). These data indicate that *S. cerevisiae* Rrp6, Rrp44 and Mpp6 can bind directly, independently and concomitantly to Exo-9, while Mtr4 is recruited to the exosome mainly by binding to Rrp6 – Rrp47.

**The N-terminal domains of Mtr4, Rrp6 and Rrp47 form a ternary complex in vitro**

Consistent with previous studies (Stead *et al.*, 2007; Costello *et al.*, 2011; Dedic *et al.*, 2014), we observed an interaction between the N-terminal regions of Rrp6 (Rrp6_{\text{N}}, residues 1-111) and Rrp47 (Rrp47_{\text{AC}}, residues 1-133) (Fig. 1A). Rrp6_{\text{N}} and Rrp47_{\text{AC}} co-eluted with Mtr4 in size-exclusion assays (Fig 1E, peak and lane 1). Next, we assessed which part of Mtr4 is recognized by Rrp6 – Rrp47. Rrp6_{\text{N}} and Rrp47_{\text{AC}} co-eluted with the N-terminal region of Mtr4 (Mtr4_{80}) (Fig 1F, lane and peak 1), while no interaction was detected with the helicase domain (Mtr4_{\text{80}}) (Fig 1E, peaks and lanes
4 and 5). In isolation, neither Rrp6N nor Rrp47ΔC interacted with Mtr4 (Fig 1G, peaks and lanes 1, 2 and 3, 4, respectively), suggesting that both proteins are required for binding. Finally, the interaction is conserved across species, as the *S. cerevisiae* Rrp6N – Rrp47ΔC complex formed a complex with the *N. crassa* Mtr4 orthologue, FRH (Fig E1A). Sequence analysis showed that only the first 20 residues of the N-terminal region of Mtr4 are evolutionarily conserved (Fig 4C). Rrp6N – Rrp47ΔC indeed formed a ternary complex with an Mtr4$_{1-20}$ peptide (Mtr4N) (Fig E1B). As a note, the cytoplasmic Ski2 helicase does not contain an analogous N-terminal sequence, and consistently the cytoplasmic exosome complex does not contain Rrp6 and Rrp47. Finally, using limited proteolysis experiments we could narrow down the Rrp6-binding domain of Rrp47 even further to residues 1-103 (Rrp47N) (Fig E1C and Fig 1A).

**Structure determination of the Rrp6N - Rrp47N - Mtr4N complex**

Alone, Rrp47ΔC eluted as an apparent oligomer in size exclusion chromatography (Fig 1G, peak 4), consistent with previous reports of its oligomeric nature when in isolation (Feigenbutz *et al.*, 2013b). Upon co-expression, however, the Rrp6N – Rrp47ΔC complex eluted with a predominant peak corresponding to the expected molecular weight of a 1:1 complex (Fig 1G, peak 5). Rrp6N – Rrp47ΔC crystallized in a tetragonal space group with three independent binary complexes in the asymmetric unit (Fig E2A). The structure was determined by combining phases from single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) experiments using crystals containing tantalum bromide and crystals of selenomethionine-substituted protein. The model has been refined to 2.64 Å resolution with an Rfree of 23.8%, Rfactor of 20.7% and good stereochemistry (Table I).
Based on the atomic model of the binary Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{ΔC} complex (Fig 2A) and on the limited proteolysis experiments (Fig E1C), we trimmed the C-terminus of Rrp47 further and crystallized a ternary complex of Rrp6\textsubscript{N}, Rrp47\textsubscript{N} and Mtr4\textsubscript{N}. Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} – Mtr4\textsubscript{N} crystallized in a merohedrally-twinned trigonal space group with three independent copies of the complex in the asymmetric unit (Fig E2B). The structure was determined by molecular replacement using the coordinates of Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{ΔC} in combination with anomalous dispersion from yttrium ions that were required for crystallization and mediated lattice contacts. The model has been refined to 2.4 Å resolution with an R\text{free} of 23.9%, R\text{factor} of 19.4% and good geometry (Table I). The atomic models of Rrp6 and Rrp47 are very similar in the three ternary complexes in the asymmetric unit, and are also very similar when compared to the structure of the binary complex (root mean square deviation rmsd of 1.26 Å over 182 α-carbon atoms). The main difference is that in one of the three copies of Rrp6\textsubscript{N} - Rrp47\textsubscript{ΔC}, twenty more residues of the C-terminal helix of Rrp47 are well ordered as a result of lattice contacts with a symmetry related molecule (compare Fig 2A and Fig 2B, left panel). The atomic model of Mtr4 shows well-defined electron density from residue 4 up to residue 17 (Fig 2B and Fig E2C).

The Rrp6 – Rrp47 interaction: an intertwined structure

The Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} complex has a compact α-helical fold (Fig 2B). At the secondary structure level, Rrp6\textsubscript{N} and Rrp47\textsubscript{N} are remarkably similar. They both consist of three long α-helices (α1, α2 and α3) with a short α-helix (α\textsubscript{short}) between α1 and α2. The stretch of Rrp6\textsubscript{N} encompassing the first helix-turn-helix (α1–α\textsubscript{short}–α2) can be superposed to the equivalent stretch of Rrp47\textsubscript{N} (rmsd of 2.06Å over 39 C\text{α} atoms) (Fig 2C). The main topological difference between the two proteins is that helix α3 is
oriented antiparallel to \( \alpha_2 \) in the case of Rrp47\(_N\), while it points in the opposite direction in the case of Rrp6\(_N\) (Fig 2C and topology in Fig 2D).

The secondary structure elements of Rrp6\(_N\) and Rrp47\(_N\) are highly intertwined (Fig 2B and 2D). The first helix-turn-helix of Rrp6\(_N\) interdigitates with the first helix-turn-helix of Rrp47\(_N\), forming a heterodimeric 4-helix bundle (Fig 2D and 3A). The \( \alpha_3 \) helices of Rrp6\(_N\) and Rrp47\(_N\) pack against the side of the bundle that is lined by the \( \alpha_2 \) helices (Fig 2D and 3B). Evolutionarily conserved residues of the \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) helices form an extensive hydrophobic core in the center of the bundle (Fig 3A, 4A and 4B). The interactions between the \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) helices are also extensive, apolar and conserved (Fig 3B, 4A and 4B). The interaction of Rrp6\(_N\) with Rrp47\(_N\) buries 5460 Å\(^2\) (i.e. more than 33%) of the surface area of the two proteins. The complex appears to be further stabilized by inter-molecular salt-bridges present on the outer surface of the heterodimer. Finally, the structure of the binary complex shows that the C-terminal helix of Rrp47 protrudes out of the globular core of Rrp6\(_N\) – Rrp47\(_{\Delta C}\), extending about 30 Å into the solvent (Fig 2A). The C-terminal region of Rrp47 that has been reported to interact with proteins involved in snoRNP assembly (Costello et al, 2011) would likely extend even further.

**Mtr4 binds Rrp6 – Rrp47 via evolutionarily conserved interactions**

The overall structure of Rrp6\(_N\) – Rrp47\(_N\) resembles a turn of a superhelix with a conserved concave surface that is formed by the \( \alpha_1 \) helix of Rrp6 and the \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) helices of Rrp47 and that provides the binding site for Mtr4\(_N\) (Fig 2B and 5A). Mtr4\(_N\) binds as a short \( \alpha \)-helix (residues 6-11), with extended segments at both ends. Apolar residues of Mtr4 (Leu6, Phe7, Val9, Phe10, Val 15 and Leu17) contact hydrophobic residues of Rrp6 (Leu10, Ile14 and Val17) and Rrp47 (Tyr10, Tyr55, Phe62, Leu77,
Leu80 and Met87) (Fig 5B). In addition, Mtr4 Glu12 forms a salt bridge with Rrp6 Arg18, while Mtr4 Asp5 and Glu16 interact electrostatically with Lys84 and Lys6 of Rrp47 respectively. We tested the effect of mutating a set of the conserved interacting residues in 

in vitro 

binding assays. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments showed that Rrp6_N – Rrp47∆C bound to the Mtr4_N peptide with a $K_d$ of 1.3 µM, but no binding to an Mtr4_N F7A, F10A mutant was detected (Fig 5C, left and central panel). Conversely, an Rrp6_N I14E, R18E – Rrp47∆C mutant showed no binding to wild-type Mtr4_N by ITC (Fig 5C, right panel). We note that there are also additional conserved residues, including Asp27 and Phe30 in the Rrp6 α_short helix and Rrp6 Glu90 and Asp97 on the convex surface of the superhelix (Fig. 5A). These residues do not contact Mtr4_N in the structure and consistently their mutation did not affect binding to Mtr4_N in ITC experiments (Fig E3A).

Impact of Rrp6_N-Rrp47∆C on RNA binding and degradation

The concave surface of Rrp6_N – Rrp47_N that binds Mtr4_N is highly positively charged (Fig 6A). Given these electrostatic properties and previous reports that Rrp47 binds RNA (Stead et al, 2007), we tested whether the interaction between Rrp6 and Rrp47 might also serve as an RNA-binding site (at least in the absence of Mtr4). Since from the structure of the N-terminal region of Rrp6 is expected to be unfolded in the absence of Rrp47, we compared Rrp61-518 – Rrp47∆C with Rrp6122-518. We measured RNA-binding affinities by fluorescence anisotropy using fluorescein-labeled poly(A)$_{35}$ or poly(U)$_{30}$ RNAs and using a catalytic mutant of Rrp6 (Asp296 to Asn). In these experiments, we did not detect RNA binding to Rrp6_N – Rrp47∆C (Fig 6B), suggesting its positively charged concave surface is a protein-protein interaction site for Mtr4_N rather than an RNA-binding site. Rrp6122-518, D296A and Rrp61-518, D296A –
Rrp47AC bound RNA with a similar affinity in the low micromolar range (Fig 6B). In RNA degradation assays, the Rrp61-518–Rrp47AC complex showed somewhat lower activity as compared to that of Rrp6122-518 (Fig 6C), indicating that the Rrp6N-Rrp47AC module subtly downregulates the enzymatic properties of the Rrp6 ribonuclease. Although the rationale for this effect is currently unclear, similar observations have been recently reported (Dedic et al, 2014; Barbosa et al, 2014).

We carried out a set of degradation assays of Rrp6ΔNLS−Rrp47AC in the presence of Mtr4, with and without the other subunits of the nuclear exosome complex (Fig E3B). We first tested a double-stranded substrate with a short 3' overhang (10 nucleotides) that from previous work is known to be inaccessible to the Rrp44 exoribonuclease when in the context of Exo-9 (Bonneau et al, 2009) (Fig E3B, upper panel). We also tested a double-stranded substrate with a long 3' overhang (35 nucleotides) that is accessible to the processive exoribonuclease activity of Rrp44 (Fig E3, lower panel). We found that the Rrp6-Rrp47 degradation properties on these substrates were not affected by the presence of Mtr4 (Fig E3B). Although we saw no significant effect of Mtr4 on the degradation of these substrates by either Rrp6 or Rrp44, we caution that it is possible that the helicase domain of Mtr4 might operate in the context of more complex RNA structures.

### Structure-based mutations in the Rrp6 N-terminal domain result in 5.8S RNA processing defects in vivo

Loss of function rrp6 and rrp47 mutants shows strong defects in the 3' processing of 5.8S rRNA and box C/D snoRNAs (Briggs et al, 1998; Allmang et al, 1999a; Mitchell et al, 2003). These mutants accumulate the 5' external transcribed spacer (5' ETS) fragment that is released during early processing of the pre-rRNA transcript, as
well as truncated fragments of U3, 5S and snR13 as a result of impaired RNA surveillance processes (Briggs et al., 1998; Allmang et al., 1999a; Mitchell et al., 2003). To address the importance of the interaction between Mtr4 and the Rrp6–Rrp47 heterodimer in vivo, we designed specific rrp6 and rrp47 mutants based on the structure of the Rrp6N–Rrp47N–Mtr4N complex and analyzed the levels and integrity of the above RNAs in these mutants by Northern blot hybridization. Mutations were generated in the N-terminal region of Rrp6 and Rrp47 to either block the interaction with Mtr4 in vitro (rrp6I14E,R18E, see Fig 5) or to alter other conserved surface residue pairs (rrp6D27R,F30R, rrp6E90R,D97R, rrp47Y55A,S59Y, rrp47L77E,L80E or rrp47A111Y,I115Y).

The rrp6I14E,R18E, rrp6D27R,F30R and rrp6E90R,D97R mutants showed an accumulation of the 3' extended “5.8S +30” species and a defect in the degradation of the 5' ETS fragment (see Fig 7A, lanes 4-6). The phenotype was stronger for the rrp6D27R,F30R mutant and weaker with the rrp6I14E,R18E mutant, but reproducible (see also Fig E4A). In contrast, no clear effect was seen on the 3' maturation of the snR38 snoRNA or the accumulation of degradation fragments from U3, snR13 or 5S rRNA in these mutants (denoted with asterisks in Fig 7A). These data are consistent with a partial loss of Rrp6 function in the rrp6I14E,R18E, rrp6D27R,F30R and rrp6E90R,D97R mutants. All rrp6 alleles complemented the temperature-sensitive growth phenotype of an rrp6Δ mutant (Fig 7B), were expressed comparably to the wild-type protein and had no effect on the expression level of Rrp47 (Fig 7C). Northern analyses of the rrp47 mutants revealed no strong phenotypes, although a weak but reproducible accumulation of the 5.8S + 30 fragment was observed for the Y55A, S59Y and L77E, L80E mutants (Fig E4B). We concluded that mutation of conserved surface residues in the Rrp6 N-terminal domain, in particular D27 and F30, results in a clear defect in
5.8S rRNA maturation. It is currently unclear why conserved surface residues of Rrp6-Rrp47 that are not involved in Mtr4\textsubscript{N} binding are important for function, but it is possible that they are involved in additional interactions within the nuclear exosome complex or with the substrate ribonucleoprotein particle.

**Mtr4 and Rrp6 mutants show synergistic effects in vivo**

C-terminally tagged Mtr4 fusion proteins can support cell growth (Huh *et al.*, 2003; Ghaemmaghami *et al.*, 2003). Indeed, we observed no clear growth defect for an *mtr4-gfp* strain when compared to an isogenic wild-type strain. Northern analyses of RNA isolated from the *mtr4-gfp* strain during growth in either minimal or rich medium did not show significant accumulation of the $5.8S + 30$ fragment or the 3' extended forms of snoRNAs that are characteristic of *rrp6\textsubscript{Δ}* mutants (Briggs *et al.*, 1998; Allmang *et al.*, 1999a). However, the Northern analyses showed defects in the degradation of other structured RNAs, with a clear accumulation of the 5' ETS fragment that has been previously shown to accumulate upon Mtr4/Dob1 depletion (la Cruz *et al.*, 1998) (see Fig 7A, lanes 7 and 8). These results indicated that the presence of the C-terminal GFP protein partially compromises the function of Mtr4, without causing a general affect on Rrp6-dependent processing or degradation pathways. We therefore generated an *rrp6\textsubscript{Δ}* allele in the haploid *mtr4-gfp* strain and tested for genetic interactions between the *mtr4-gfp* allele and the *rrp6* mutants.

Attempts to delete the RRP6 gene in the *mtr4-gfp* strain directly were unsuccessful, but correct integrants were isolated when the *mtr4-gfp* strain had been transformed with a *URA3* plasmid encoding a functional Rrp6 fusion protein (Allmang *et al.*, 1999b). Notably, deletion of the RRP6 gene was also achieved in *mtr4-gfp* strains expressing *rrp6\textsubscript{D27R,F30R}* and *rrp6\textsubscript{E90R,D97R}* variants of the Rrp6 fusion
protein but not the \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) mutant. To determine whether the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) double mutant is synthetic lethal, wild-type and \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) mutant alleles were subcloned into the \( \text{LEU2} \) plasmid pRS415, transformed into the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) \( rrp6\Delta \) plasmid shuffle strain and the resulting transformants were tested for growth on medium containing 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5 FOA). While transformation with the wild-type \( \text{RRP6} \) gene gave rise to viable colonies on 5 FOA medium, no growth was observed for the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) \( rrp6\Delta \) strain after transformation with a plasmid encoding the \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) mutant or the cloning vector (Fig 8A). We concluded that the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) strain is dependent upon Rrp6 for cell growth.

To determine whether the Rrp6/Mtr4 interaction in yeast is blocked by the \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) mutation, pull-downs were performed on lysates from \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) strains expressing plasmid-encoded, zz epitope-tagged wild-type or mutant Rrp6 fusion proteins (in addition to the endogenously encoded Rrp6). Mtr4-gfp was bound to the wild-type zz-Rrp6 protein, but not the I14E, R18E mutant (Fig 8B, left panel). We then addressed whether mutation of the N-terminal region of Mtr4 also causes a block in the Rrp6/Mtr4 interaction \textit{in vivo}. Immobilized zz-Rrp6 protein retained the wild-type Mtr4-gfp protein, whereas binding of the \( \text{mtr4-gfp}_{F7A,F10A} \) mutant was only slightly above background levels (Fig 8B, right panel). These data support the conclusion that the structurally defined Rrp6\textsubscript{N} - Rrp47\textsubscript{N} - Mtr4\textsubscript{N} complex forms the principal interaction between Rrp6 and Mtr4 in yeast.

If the synthetic lethal phenotype observed for the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) \( rrp6_{114E,R18E} \) mutant (Fig 8A) is due to loss of interaction between Mtr4 and Rrp6, a strong synergistic effect would be predicted upon introduction of the F7A, F10A mutation in the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) mutant. Indeed, both the \( \text{mtr4-gfp} \) and the \( \text{mtr4}_{F7A,F10A} \) mutants grew comparably to the wild-type strain, whereas the strain expressing an Mtr4-gfp fusion protein
bearing the F7A, F10A mutation was nonviable (Fig 8C). We concluded that the strong synergistic effects observed in strains expressing a C-terminal Mtr4-gfp fusion protein in combination with either the Rrp6 I14E, R18E mutation (that impairs the interaction with the N-terminus of Mtr4) or the Mtr4 F7A,F10A mutation (that impairs the interaction with the N-terminal domains of Rrp6-Rrp47) is due to loss of binding. The very C-terminus of Mtr4 is embedded within the base of the DExH core of the helicase, where the 3’ end of an RNA substrate is expected to emerge after unwinding (Jackson et al, 2010; Weir et al, 2010). Collectively, these data suggest that the base of Mtr4 is also engaged in interactions within the nuclear exosome complex and that linking the C-terminus of Mtr4 to a GFP protein weakens this interaction.

Northern analyses of the viable mtr4-gfp rrp6D27R,F30R and mtr4-gfp rrp6E90R,D97R double mutants revealed a strong synergistic block in the degradation of some RNAs, including the 5’ ETS fragment and truncated fragments of U3, snR13 and 5S rRNA (Fig 7A, lanes 9 and 10). In contrast, the defect in 5.8S rRNA maturation seen in the rrp6D27R,F30R or rrp6E90R,E97R mutants was not exacerbated in the mtr4-gfp rrp6 double mutants. This suggests that mtr4-gfp rrp6 mutants may be defective in RNA surveillance mechanisms, rather than 5.8S rRNA or snoRNA processing.

Discussion

The interaction of Rrp6 and Rrp47 is known to stabilize the individual proteins in vivo and to influence their function in exosome-mediated RNA processing and turnover pathways. The molecular basis for these effects, however, has remained unclear. Here, we show that the N-terminal domains of Rrp6 and Rrp47 assemble into a globular
heterodimer with an elaborate architecture formed by intertwined pairs of α-helices. The interlocked Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} structure explains why heterodimer formation leads to stabilization and to functional interdependence. In isolation, the individual proteins are expected to be partially unfolded and aggregated. As a 1:1 complex, they form a composite molecular surface for the direct recruitment of the N-terminal region of Mtr4, which binds via a set of evolutionarily conserved interactions. Together with the observation that Rrp6 and Rrp47 assemble only after they are independently imported into the nucleus (Feigenbutz \textit{et al}, 2013b), these results rationalize how the cell might avoid the untimely recruitment of Mtr4 to the exosome in the cytoplasm.

The Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} heterodimer does not undergo significant conformational changes upon Mtr4\textsubscript{N} binding and thus appears to function as a rather rigid platform. The structural analysis and \textit{in vivo} data suggest that this platform also contains docking sites for other interaction partners whose identities remain to be explored. It is also likely that Rrp6, Rrp47 and Mtr4 are engaged in additional, albeit weaker, contacts in the context of the nuclear exosome complex. Although we did not observe direct interactions between Rrp6 – Rrp47 and Mpp6 and between Mtr4 and Mpp6, as has been reported for the human orthologues (Schilders \textit{et al}, 2007), it is possible that the yeast proteins might engage in analogous contacts but without the high affinity required for detection in the \textit{in vitro} reconstitution assays we used. Indeed, synthetic lethal mutations in \textit{S. cerevisiae} support the presence of redundant interactions among the yeast cofactors of the nuclear exosome (Milligan \textit{et al}, 2008; Garland \textit{et al}, 2013 and Fig 8A). The presence of additional weak interactions would also rationalize why Mtr4 would be able to carry out at least part of its functions \textit{in vivo} in the absence of Rrp6 and Rrp47, as can be inferred from the severity of the corresponding knock-out
studies (la Cruz et al, 1998; Briggs et al, 1998; Mitchell et al, 2003). The emerging picture is that Rrp6, Rrp47, Mpp6 and Mtr4 assemble together with Exo-10 with a combination of high-affinity interactions and additional intermolecular contacts to form a functional nuclear complex (Fig 9). Understanding how the nuclear exosome complex is structured and how it coordinates the multiple catalytic activities of core components and cofactors awaits future studies.

Materials and methods

Protein purification

*S. cerevisiae* Mtr4 full-length, Mtr4Δ80 and the *Neurospora crassa* Mtr4 orthologue FRH were expressed and purified according to the protocol in Weir et al 2010. Exo-9 and Rrp44 were expressed and purified as in Makino et al 2013a. All other *S. cerevisiae* proteins purified in this study were expressed recombinantly using *E. coli* BL21-Gold (DE3) pLysS cells (Stratagene) grown in TB medium and induced overnight at 18°C. Rrp6N, Rrp6ΔN and Mtr4Δ80 were expressed as His-tagged proteins. Rrp6N – Rrp47N, Rrp6N – Rrp47NΔC and Rrp6ΔNLS – Rrp47 complexes were co-expressed such that the Rrp6 constructs bear an N-terminal His tag while the Rrp47 constructs are untagged. The proteins were purified using Cobalt-based (or Nickel for Mtr4Δ80) affinity chromatography, followed by cleavage of the His-tag with the appropriate protease (Human Rhinovirus 3C protease for Rrp6N, Rrp6N – Rrp47N, Rrp6N – Rrp47ΔC, tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease for Mtr4Δ80, Rrp6ΔNLS – Rrp47 and small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) protease for Rrp6ΔN). Protease treated-Rrp6ΔN was loaded on the affinity column a second time to remove uncleaved species.
After affinity purification, all samples (with the exception of Mtr₄₈₀) were subjected to anion exchange chromatography (HiTrap Q HP, GE Healthcare). Rrp₆⁴ and Rrp₆₅₄₈₀ – Rrp₄₇ were further purified over a HiTrap Heparin Sepharose HP column (GE Healthcare).

Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a Superdex 200 or Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) was performed as a final step of purification for all proteins. Rrp₆₅ and complexes of this construct were finally purified in buffer A (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl) supplemented with reducing agents. An additional 10% glycerol was added to the size-exclusion buffer when purifying Rrp₆₅ and Rrp₆₅₄₈₀ – Rrp₄₇. Mtr₄₈₀ was purified in buffer A supplemented with an additional 50 mM NaCl and 2 mM DTT. Size exclusion for Rrp₆₅ was performed in buffer B (20 mM MES pH 6.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM DTT). Rrp₆ mutants were verified by DNA sequencing, and purified using the protocol for the wild-type protein. Yeast Rrp₄₇₃₃ and Mpp₆ were expressed as recombinant GST-tagged (3C- or TEV protease-cleavable, respectively) proteins in conditions similar to those described above. The proteins were purified by affinity chromatography on Glutathione Sepharose resin (Clontech). Tag cleavage was followed by ion-exchange chromatography (HiTrap SP Sepharose HP column, GE Healthcare) and SEC (in buffer A supplemented with 1 mM DTT and 10% glycerol) for Rrp₄₇₃₃. Tag-cleaved Mpp₆ was purified over a HiTrap Heparin Sepharose HP column (GE Healthcare) as the final step.

**Crystallization and structure determination**

Crystals of yeast Rrp₆₅ – Rrp₄₇₃₃ were grown at 20 °C by sitting-drop vapor diffusion from drops formed by equal volumes of protein (at 18 mg/ml in size
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The Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{AC} structure was solved at low resolution (5.2Å) by SAD with SHELX (Sheldrick, 2008) and HKL2MAP (Pape & Schneider, 2004) using the anomalous Ta signal. Identifiable α-helices were manually placed with Coot (Emsley \textit{et al}, 2010) and used as a starting model for SAD-MR by exploiting the anomalous Se signal using the program Phenix AutoSol (Terwilliger \textit{et al}, 2009). After manual chain tracing, the model was completed with Coot and refined against the native data using phenix.refine (Afonine \textit{et al}, 2012). The Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} – Mtr4\textsubscript{N} structure was solved by SAD-MR using Phaser (McCoy \textit{et al}, 2007) with parts of the Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{AC} model as a search model and AutoSol using the anomalous signal from yttrium. Merohedral twinning generated by a two-fold axis perpendicular to a crystallographic 3-fold axis of the trigonal space group became apparent by the poor quality of the electron density for one of the three copies of the complex in the asymmetric unit. The twin law (−h,−k,l) and twinning fraction (0.5, perfect twin) was determined using phenix.xtriage (Adams \textit{et al}, 2010). After manual tracing of the Mtr4\textsubscript{N} sequence, the model was completed using Coot and refined against twinned data using phenix.refine. Several Yttrium ions form clusters surrounded by electron density that likely corresponds to a negatively charged loop of Rrp6 (residues 63-73).

\textbf{Size exclusions chromatography assay}

Equimolar amounts of purified proteins as indicated (500 pmol for Fig 1C and D, 700 pmol otherwise) were diluted in a total injection volume of 25 µl in SEC buffer A supplemented with 2 mM DTT. Samples were incubated for 1 hour on ice to allow complex formation. Increase in particle size upon complex formation was assayed by comparing the retention volumes in SEC on a Superdex 200 Increase 3.2/300 (GE
Healthcare). Composition of the SEC peak fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie-staining (percentage of the SDS-PAGE depended on protein sample size).

**Fluorescence anisotropy**

Fluorescence anisotropy measurements were performed with a 5'-6-carboxy-fluorescein (6-FAM)-labeled poly(A)$_{35}$ or poly(U)$_{30}$ RNA at 20° C in 50 µl reactions on a Genios Pro (Tecan). The RNA was dissolved to a concentration of 10 nM (1 nM for Rrp6$_{N,D296N}$ – Rrp47) and incubated with Rrp6 or the Rrp6 – Rrp47 complexes at different concentrations in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT. The excitation and emission wavelengths were 485 nm and 535 nm, respectively. Each titration point was measured three times using ten reads with an integration time of 40 µs. The data were analyzed by nonlinear regression fitting using the BIOEQS software (Royer, 1993).

**Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)**

Rrp6$_{N}$ – Rrp47$_{DC}$ wild-type and mutant proteins were dialyzed overnight in the same buffer that was used to dissolve the lyophilized Mtr4$_{N}$ peptide (20 mM Hpes, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP). ITC experiments were carried out at 20°C with a iTC-200 MicroCal calorimeter (GE healthcare). The MicroCal cell was filled with Rrp6$_{N}$ – Rrp47$_{DC}$ at 50 µM concentration and stirred at 800 rpm. For each titration, Mtr4$_{N}$ was injected into the cell 20 times in 2 µl volumes per injection at the same intervals of time (4 min). The concentration of Mtr4$_{N}$ in the syringe (500 µM) was 10 times the concentration of the protein sample in the cell. The released heat was obtained by integrating the calorimetric output curves and was corrected for the effect
of dilution by subtraction of the value of the last injection as background. As control for all ITC measurements, the injectant was titrated into buffer. The $K_d$ values and binding ratios were calculated with the Origin (V7) software supplied with the calorimeter. We used the same protocol to measure the $K_d$ of the Rrp6 and the Mtr4 mutants.

**Nuclease Assay**

The exonuclease activity assay in figure 6C was carried out at 30° C in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM magnesium diacetate, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (v/v) NP40 and 1 mM DTT. The reactions contained protein at a final concentration of 2 nM while the concentration of RNA substrates was 200 nM. Substrates were verified by native gel electrophoresis. Two microliter aliquots from a 10 µl total reaction volume were taken at indicated time points and quenched by addition of 14 µl loading dye consisting of 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) bromophenol blue, and 0.1% (w/v) xylene cyanole FF in formamide. The 0 - time point was taken before adding the protein. Reaction products were boiled for 5 minutes immediately before being resolved on a 20% acrylamide gel containing 8 M urea and visualized by phosphorimaging.

**Plasmids and Yeast Strains**

Yeast expression plasmids encoding either an N-terminal zz fusion of Rrp6 under the control of the $RRP4$ promoter (Allmang et al, 1999b), or containing a genomic clone of the $RRP6$ gene and lacking the $CEN6$ element from the vector backbone (Feigenbutz et al, 2013a), have been reported previously. Expression of the N-terminal zz-Rrp6 fusion protein from the $RRP4$ promoter is comparable to the
endogenous expression level of the C-terminal TAP-tagged protein (Stead et al., 2007). The RRP47 genomic clone used in this study is described in Costello et al. (Costello et al., 2011). The MTR4 construct pAv675 (Jackson et al., 2010) was kindly provided by Ambro van Hoof (University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston). The construct encoding mtr4-gfp was cloned in yeast by homologous recombination, using Hind III linearized pAv675 (after deletion of the Hind III polylinker site) and a PCR amplicon encompassing the mtr4-gfp::HIS3 allele. The isolated plasmid was confirmed by sequencing the mtr4/GFP junction along the complete length of the PCR product. Point mutations were introduced into the RRP6, RRP47 and MTR4 ORFs in these constructs by site-directed mutagenesis using the Quikchange kit (Agilent Technologies) and validated by sequence analysis. Plasmid inserts encoding the N-terminal epitope-tagged wild-type RRP6 and rrp6I14E,R18E mutants were subcloned into pRS415 (Stratagene) for the plasmid shuffle assay. The rrp6Δ::KANMX4 allele was amplified by PCR and integrated into the mtr4-gfp strain by homologous recombination after initial transformation with plasmids encoding zz-Rrp6 fusion proteins. Correct integrants were identified by PCR amplification of genomic DNA.

The mtr4-gfp strain (Huh et al., 2003) was obtained from Invitrogen. The mtr4 plasmid shuffle strain yAv1151 (Jackson et al., 2010) was kindly provided by Ambro van Hoof. Isogenic wild-type and rrp6Δ strains were obtained from Euroscarf (University of Frankfurt, Germany). The rrp47-zz and rrp47-zz rrp6Δ strains have been previously reported (Mitchell et al., 2003). Yeast strains were routinely cultured at 30 °C in SD selective minimal medium (2% glucose, 0.5% ammonium sulphate, 0.17% yeast nitrogen base) supplemented with appropriate amino acids and bases, or in YPD medium (2% glucose, 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract). Spot growth assays
were performed on selective solid minimal medium or medium containing 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5 FOA) using 10-fold serial dilutions of freshly saturated pre-cultures. Plates were photographed after incubation for 3 days.

**RNA Analyses**

Total cellular RNA was isolated from strains harvested during early log growth and resolved by electrophoresis through 8% polyacrylamide gels containing 50% urea. After transfer to Hybond N\(^+\) membranes (GE Healthcare), the RNA was hybridized with 5\(^{\prime}\)-\[^{132}\text{P}\] labeled oligonucleotide probes complementary to the ITS2 region of the pre-rRNA transcript (tgagaaggaatagcgt), 5.8S rRNA (gcgtgttcatgatgc), the 5\(^{\prime}\) ETS region of the pre-rRNA (cgctgcaccaatttg), snR38 (gagaggtacctattacccattcagacaggataactg), U3 (ttcggtttctcactggggtac), snR13 (cagcgggtgatggtgc), 5S (ctactcggtcaggctc), SCR1 (aaggacccagaactcttg) and U6 (atctctgtattctgcaattgcacca). Hybridized blots were placed under phosphor storage screens and the data was captured using a Personal Molecular Imager (BioRad). Nonsaturated images were adjusted for signal level and window using ImageJ (NIH, USA). RNA hybridization signals were quantified using ImageJ and normalized to the expression level of 5S rRNA.

**Protein Analyses**

For protein expression analyses, total cellular protein was prepared by alkaline/SDS lysis followed by TCA precipitation. Extracts were resolved by SDS/PAGE, transferred to Hybond C extra membranes (GE Healthcare) and incubated with a rabbit anti-Rrp6 antiserum (Mitchell et al, 2003) or mouse anti-Pgk1 (clone 22C5D8, Life Technologies) primary antibody, followed by either goat anti-rabbit (A4914,
Sigma) or goat anti-mouse (1706516, BioRad) HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. The Mtr4-gfp fusion protein was detected using the anti-GFP antibody. The Rrp47-zz fusion protein was detected directly using the PAP antibody conjugate (P1291, Sigma). ECL images were captured using a G:Box iChemi XL system (Syngene) and adjusted for the signal level and window using ImageJ.

For the pull-down experiments, yeast were lysed in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\), 10 % glycerol, 1 mM PMSF. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 30 minutes, normalized for A\(_{280}\) units, and passed through ~ 200 µl IgG sepharose fast flow beads (GE Healthcare). The beads were washed 5 times with 1 ml wash buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\), 0.1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT) and the retained material was eluted with 0.5 M acetic acid. Eluates were resolved through 10 % SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed by western blotting, as described above.

**Data deposition**

The coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes xxx for the Rrp6\(_N\) – Rrp47\(_{AC}\) structure and yyy for the Rrp6\(_N\) – Rrp47\(_N\) – Mtr4\(_N\) structure.
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**Figure 1**

**Direct interactions of the nuclear cofactors of the yeast exosome**

(A) Schematic representation of the domain arrangement of the nuclear exosome cofactors from *S. cerevisiae* used in this study. Gray-filled rectangles denote domains whose structures are known from previous studies: the central region of Rrp6 with the exonuclease (Exo) and HRDC domains (Midtgaard *et al.*, 2006), the exosome-binding domain in the C-terminal region of Rrp6 (Makino *et al.*, 2013a) and the entire helicase region of Mtr4 (Jackson *et al.*, 2010; Weir *et al.*, 2010). Colored rectangles highlight the N-terminal domains of Rrp6, Rrp47 and Mtr4 visualized in the structure of the ternary complex reported here. Truncation mutants engineered for the biochemical and structural analysis are indicated.

(B-G) Size-exclusion chromatography assay to assess formation of protein complexes. Purified samples (as indicated) were incubated and co-injected on an analytical size-exclusion column (Superdex 200 Increase 3.2/300, GE Healthcare, exclusion volume 0.8 ml). On the left are the overlays of the chromatograms (rel. AU and V_r denote relative absorbance and retention volume of the proteins, respectively). On the right, are the Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gels with samples from the corresponding peak fractions. The detailed analysis of the chromatography profiles is shown in Table E1.

**Figure 2**

**Structure of the yeast Rrp6_N – Rrp47_N – Mtr4_N ternary complex**
(A) Structure of the Rrp6<sub>N</sub>–Rrp47<sub>ΔC</sub> binary complex shown in cartoon representation with Rrp6 in red and Rrp47 in orange. The N- and C-terminal residues are indicated. This and all other cartoon drawings were generated with PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org/).

(B) Structure of the Rrp6<sub>N</sub>–Rrp47<sub>N</sub>–Mtr4<sub>N</sub> ternary complex shown in cartoon representation in two orientations related by a 90° rotation about the horizontal axis. The orientation of the ternary complex in the left panel is the same as that of the binary complex in panel A. The secondary structure elements are labeled. A disordered region in the structure is indicated as a dotted line. The electron density for Mtr4<sub>N</sub> (Fo-Fc, contoured at 2.0 σ in Pymol) and the model built into this density (blue) are shown.

(C) Superposition of the atomic models of Rrp6<sub>N</sub> and Rrp47<sub>N</sub>, showing the similarity of their secondary structure elements (labeled as in panel B).

(D) Topological diagram of the secondary structure elements of Rrp6<sub>N</sub> and Rrp47<sub>N</sub>. The left and right panels correspond to the views of the structure in the left and right panels of Fig 2B, respectively.

**Figure 3**

**Rrp6<sub>N</sub> and Rrp47<sub>N</sub> form a highly intertwined structural module**

(A) Intermolecular contacts between the α1 and 2 helices (from both Rrp6<sub>N</sub> and Rrp47<sub>N</sub>), which form the center of the helical bundle. On the right is a zoom-in with a subset of the extensive Van der Waals interactions shown on the left.

(B) Intermolecular contacts between helices α2 and α3 (from both Rrp6<sub>N</sub> and Rrp47<sub>N</sub>), which are at the side of the bundle. A zoom in view of part of the interactions is shown on the right.
Figure 4

Structure-based sequence alignments of Rrp6_N, Rrp47_N and Mtr4_N

The alignments of Rrp6 (A), Rrp47 (B) and Mtr4 (C) include orthologues from the representative species *S. cerevisiae* (*S.c.*), *Neurospora crassa* (*N.c.*), *H. sapiens* (*H.s.*), *D. melanogaster* (*D.m.*) and *D. rerio* (*D.r.*), based on a comprehensive alignment. The secondary structure elements are shown above the sequences. Conserved residues are highlighted in color. Colored circles above the sequences identify residues involved in the interaction with Rrp6 (red circles), with Rrp47 (orange circles) and with Mtr4 (blue circles). Circles of two colors indicate residues involved in interactions with two partners in the ternary complex. Residues targeted for mutagenesis are highlighted with a black square.

Figure 5

Mtr4_N binds at an evolutionary conserved surface groove of Rrp6_N–Rrp47_N

(A) Surface representation of Rrp6_N–Rrp47_N colored according to sequence conservation (corresponding to the comprehensive alignments used for Fig 4). The complex is shown in two orientations related by a 180° rotation about the vertical axis. The concave surface of Rrp6_N–Rrp47_N is viewed on the left (with an orientation corresponding to that in Fig 2B, left panel) where Mtr4 is shown with the helix in cartoon representation. Peripheral patches of conserved residues of Rrp6 not involved in the interaction with Mtr4 are also indicated.

(B) Close-up view of the interactions of Mtr4_N with Rrp6_N and Rrp47_N. Interacting residues are shown in stick representation and labeled.
(C) Isothermal titration calorimetry experiments (ITC) of the Mtr4\textsubscript{N} peptide with Rrp6 – Rrp47. The MicroCal cell was filled with Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{AC} complex at 50 μM and the Mtr4 peptide was injected at 500 μM concentration consecutively in 2 μl volumes. The left panel shows binding with the proteins used in the structure determination. Shown in the inset are the number of calculated binding sites (N), and the dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{d}), as calculated with the program Origin. The middle and right panels show the corresponding ITC experiments with structure-based mutations. A Coomassie stained gel with the wild-type and mutant complexes used in these experiments is shown on the right.

Figure 6

**Impact of Rrp6\textsubscript{N}–Rrp47\textsubscript{N} on ribonuclease activity**

(A) Surface representation of Rrp6\textsubscript{N} – Rrp47\textsubscript{N} colored according to electrostatic potential (blue for electropositive and red for electronegative). Mtr4\textsubscript{N} is shown in gray, with negatively charged residues in a stick representation. The molecule is viewed in the same orientations as in Fig 5A.

(B) Quantitative measurements of RNA-binding affinities in solution by fluorescence anisotropy using fluorescein-labeled homopolymeric substrates. The data were fitted to a binding equation describing a single-site binding model to obtain the dissociation constants (K\textsubscript{d}). The best fit was plotted as a solid line. The K\textsubscript{d}s and their corresponding errors are the mean and standard deviation of a minimum of 3 independent experiments and are compiled in the table (top panel).

(C) Nuclease activity of the indicated Rrp6 and Rrp6 – Rrp47 constructs towards single stranded RNAs and duplex RNAs with 3' overhangs. Substrates were designed to have a 17 base pair GC-rich duplex (ds17), corresponding to the 3' end of tRNA\textsuperscript{Tyr}
(Lorentzen et al., 2008; Vincent & Deutscher, 2006), and a 3’ overhang of 10 adenine nucleotides (A10). The RNAs were 5’ end labeled with $\gamma^{32}$P]ATP and the reaction products were resolved on 20% denaturing PAGE and visualized with a phosphorimager. A Coomassie stained gel with the wild-type and nuclease deficient mutant proteins used in the RNase activity and RNA affinity assays (B) is shown on the right.

**Figure 7**

**Structure-based mutations in the Rrp6 N-terminal domain result in 5.8S RNA processing defects in vivo**

(A) Northern blot analyses of RNA from *rrp6*, *mtr4-gfp* and *mtr4-gfp rrp6* double mutants. Strains were grown in selective minimal medium, unless indicated otherwise. Consecutive hybridizations of a single blot are shown; panels shown for lanes 1-6 and 7-11 are juxtaposed from a single image. The major RNAs detected by each probe are indicated on the right. Asterisks indicate truncated RNA fragments of U3, snR13 and 5S. The amount of “5.8S+30” RNA, the 5’ ETS fragment and the major U3 and 5S degradation fragments in each mutant (indicated beneath the appropriate panel) is expressed relative to the *rrp47-zz* strain in lanes 1-6 and to the *mtr4-gfp* strain during growth in YPD in lanes 7-11.

(B) Spot growth assays of *rrp6* mutants. Serial dilutions of pre-cultures were spotted onto selective minimal medium plates and incubated at 30 °C or 37 °C. The plates were photographed after three days.

(C) Western analyses of *rrp6* mutants. (Upper panels) Expression levels of Rrp6 and the Rrp47-zz fusion protein in the *rrp6* mutants. (Lower panels) Mtr4–gfp fusion
protein expression levels in the \textit{mtr4-gfp rrp6} double mutants. Pgk1 levels were analyzed as a loading control in each case.

**Figure 8**

**Disruption of the Rrp6/Mtr4 interaction in yeast blocks growth of mtr4-gfp strains**

(A) Plasmid shuffle assay on an \textit{mtr4-gfp rrp6}\textsuperscript{Δ} strain expressing zz-Rrp6. Transformants harboring \textit{LEU2} plasmids encoding wild-type zz-Rrp6, the I14E, R18E variant or the vector alone were cultured in selective medium and then spotted onto medium lacking leucine or containing 5 FOA. Plates were incubated at 30 °C and photographed after three days.

(B) Pull-down assays of zz-Rrp6 with Mtr4-gfp. \textit{Left Panels} Pull-downs of zz-Rrp6 in an \textit{mtr4-gfp} strain harboring the vector (lane 1), expressing zz-tagged wild-type Rrp6 (lane 2) or the I14E,R18E variant (lane 3). The amount of Mtr4-gfp associated with Rrp6 is expressed relative to the negative control and is normalized to the Rrp6 levels in the eluates. The mean values of assays on two independent biological replicates are shown. Error bars indicate the experimentally observed range. \textit{Right Panels} Pull-downs of zz-Rrp6 in a wild-type strain expressing the Mtr4-gfp fusion protein or the F7A,F10A mutant. A wild-type strain was transformed with plasmids expressing either non-tagged or zz-tagged Rrp6 and Mtr4-gfp or the F7A,F10A mutant and analyzed by western, as above.

(C) \textit{mtr4} plasmid shuffle assay. An \textit{mtr4}\textsuperscript{Δ} mutant strain harboring a \textit{URA3} plasmid containing the wild-type \textit{MTR4} gene was transformed with \textit{LEU2} plasmids encoding \textit{mtr4-gfp}, \textit{mtr4}_{F7,F10A} or \textit{mtr4-gfp}_{F7A,F10A} mutants, the wild-type \textit{MTR4} gene or the vector. Strains were grown up in selective medium and then spotted onto medium
lacking leucine (SD-Leu) or containing 5 FOA. The plates were incubated at 30°C and photographed after 3 days.

**Figure 9**

**Model of the nuclear exosome complex**

The schematic representation shows the architecture of the yeast nuclear exosome based on current structural and biochemical information. The exosome core (Exo-10) is depicted with the RNase PH-like subunits in gray, the S1/KH subunits in orange and Rrp44 in pink (based on the structure reported in Makino *et al.*, 2013a). RNA is shown in black, threading through the internal channel and reaching the exoribonuclease site of Rrp44 (highlighted with a circle). The endonuclease site in the PIN domain of Rrp44 is also highlighted with a circle. Rrp6 is shown in red, with the exoribonuclease site highlighted as a circle. The exoribonuclease of Rrp6, depicted based on Midtgaard *et al.*, 2006, is positioned near the exosome-binding domain of Rrp6, as determined by Makino *et al.*, 2013a. Rrp47 is in gray while Mtr4 is in blue. The channel in the helicase core and the insertion domain of Mtr4 are indicated in the model (according to the structures reported by Jackson *et al.*, 2010; Weir *et al.*, 2010). The N-terminus of Mtr4 binds at the interface between Rrp6 and Rrp47. The N-terminal interaction regions of Rrp6, Rrp47 and Mtr4 are denoted by N. Mpp6 is shown tentatively at the top of Exo-10, as its binding is not dependent on Rrp44.
### Table I. Crystallographic Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Rrp6&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-Rrp47&lt;sub&gt;ΔC&lt;/sub&gt; native</th>
<th>Rrp6&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-Rrp47&lt;sub&gt;ΔC&lt;/sub&gt;Ta&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;Br&lt;sub&gt;14&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Rrp6&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-Rrp47&lt;sub&gt;ΔC&lt;/sub&gt;Se-Met</th>
<th>Rrp6&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-Rrp47&lt;sub&gt;ΔC&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;Mtr4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt; native</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 41 2 2</td>
<td>P 41 2 2</td>
<td>P 41 2 2</td>
<td>P 3 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell (a, b, c in Å)</td>
<td>98.5, 98.5, 208.0</td>
<td>98.6, 98.6, 205.3</td>
<td>97.9, 97.9, 207.7</td>
<td>142.7, 142.7, 63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>69.62 – 2.64 (2.73 – 2.64)</td>
<td>88.85 – 5.19 (5.80 – 5.19)</td>
<td>48.96 – 3.59 (3.94 – 3.59)</td>
<td>47.42 – 2.40 (2.48 – 2.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>30965 (2987)</td>
<td>4311 (1169)</td>
<td>12424 (2850)</td>
<td>28898 (2781)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.8 (98.4)</td>
<td>99.6 (98.6)</td>
<td>99.6 (98.5)</td>
<td>99.6 (96.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>10.5 (10.2)</td>
<td>11.7 (11.6)</td>
<td>41.8 (42.9)</td>
<td>17.3 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/σ(I)</td>
<td>21.50 (2.94)</td>
<td>14.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>20.3 (8.6)</td>
<td>26.64 (1.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-merge</td>
<td>0.098 (0.857)</td>
<td>0.140 (0.837)</td>
<td>0.278 (0.644)</td>
<td>0.092 (1.989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>0.999 (0.813)</td>
<td>0.998 (0.879)</td>
<td>0.998 (0.972)</td>
<td>1.000 (0.603)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-work (%)</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-free (%)</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmsd bonds (Å)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmsd angles (°)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran favored (%)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran outliers (%)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses correspond to the highest-resolution shell.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>α</th>
<th>α short</th>
<th>α</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>Rrp6</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>Rrp47</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>Mtr4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MT.S.E..R..DVLBSR...TVN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MEDERKTPVYRS.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>KDMZ.FILGT...KVKLYNDKAFYDN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HS.LFVSLR..ESLOAALVRTRTASIA..LL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DAKHEAPIPE...VRQPEKIQEIXENPPPO.ERE.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>DAKHEAPIPE...VRQPEKIQEIXENPPPO.ERE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>P.PDADS.SH.KFALVEKTVNKSAGDLEPFQDEKFDYRSH.PFQ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NPFHVRKQDE...VYNNVKEITKRIXK..A.A.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>NPFKDHPIKOH...MRYTVNKVEITOKR.K..A.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>N.SEDVEATNK.TK.EKFAIIAKTAANAFPO.GTARALYSV.PGA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NPFHVRKQDE...VYNNVKEITKRIXK..A.A.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>NPFKDHPIKOH...MRYTVNKVEITOKR.K..A.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>GF.KDVDFTV...KKGLSA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NPFHVRKQDE...VYNNVKEITKRIXK..A.A.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>NPFKDHPIKOH...MRYTVNKVEITOKR.K..A.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:**
- **S.c.** S. cerevisiae
- **N.c.** N. crassa
- **H.s.** H. sapiens
- **D.m.** D. melanogaster
- **D.r.** D. rerio

**Note:** The table includes the amino acid sequences for various proteins from different species. The sequences are labeled with their specific protein names and species codes (S.c., N.c., H.s., D.m., D.r.). The table is intended to compare the sequences across species for functional or evolutionary analysis.
C

Rrp6<sub>N</sub>-Rrp47<sub>sc</sub> + Mtr4<sub>N</sub>

Rrp6<sub>N</sub>-Rrp47<sub>sc</sub> + Mtr4<sub>N,I14E,R18E</sub>

Rrp6<sub>N</sub>-Rrp47<sub>sc</sub> + Mtr4<sub>N</sub>

Molar Ratio

Time (min)

μcal/sec

kcal/mol of Injectant

1.08 sites

K<sub>d</sub> 1.3 μM

low conservation high

[Image of protein structures and data graphs showing interaction between Rrp6<sub>N</sub>, Rrp47<sub>sc</sub>, and Mtr4<sub>N</sub> with highlighted amino acids and molecular weight information.]
A

RNA affinity ($K_d$ [μM])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNA oligo</th>
<th>Rrp6$<em>{122-518}$, Rrp47$</em>{AC}$</th>
<th>Rrp6$<em>{1-518}$, D296N, Rrp47$</em>{AC}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>polyA 35mer</td>
<td>not detectable (&gt;550)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyU 30mer</td>
<td>not detectable (&gt;550)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

RNA oligo vs. Relative Fluorescence

C

Gel Electrophoresis

[kDa] M 116 66 45 35 25 18 14

Rrp6$_{122-518}$ Rrp6$_{1-518}$, D296N Rrp47$_{AC}$
### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mtr4-gfp</th>
<th>mtr4-gfp</th>
<th>RRPP6</th>
<th>mtr4-gfp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZZ-rrp6</td>
<td>ZZ-rrp6</td>
<td>I14E,R18E</td>
<td>ZZ-rrp6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD - Leu 5 FOA

### B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mtr4-gfp Binding, arbitrary units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mtr4-gfp</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtr4-gfp</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtr4-gfp</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mtr4Δ+ MTR4</th>
<th>mtr4-gfp</th>
<th>mtr4-gfpF7A,F10A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vector</td>
<td>mtr4</td>
<td>mtr4Δ+ MTR4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD - Leu 5 FOA