

This is a repository copy of *The operation of two decarboxylases, transamination, and partitioning of C4 metabolic processes between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells allows light capture to be balanced for the maize C4 pathway.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/89242/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bellasio, C. and Griffiths, H. (2013) The operation of two decarboxylases, transamination, and partitioning of C4 metabolic processes between mesophyll and bundle sheath cells allows light capture to be balanced for the maize C4 pathway. Plant Physiology, 164 (1). 466 - 480. ISSN 0032-0889

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.228221

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Plant Physiology

² The operation of two decarboxylases (NADPME and

³ PEPCK), transamination and partitioning of C₄ metabolic

processes between mesophylll and bundle sheath cells allows

light capture to be balanced for the maize C₄ pathway

6 Chandra Bellasio and Howard Griffiths

⁷ Physiological Ecology Group, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge,

⁸ Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EA, UK.

⁹ Correspondence: chandra.bellasio@plantsci.cam.ac.uk

Abstract:

- The C_4 photosynthesis carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM) in maize has two CO_2
- delivery pathways to the Bundle Sheath (BS) (respectively via malate, MAL or aspartate, ASP),
- and rates of PGA reduction, starch synthesis and PEP regeneration also vary between BS and

¹⁴ Mesophyll (M) cells. The theoretical partitioning of ATP supply between M and BS cells was

¹⁵ derived for these metabolic activities from simulated profiles of light penetration across a leaf,

with a potential 3-fold difference in the fraction of ATP produced in the BS relative to M, (from

- 17 0.29 to 0.96). A steady-state metabolic model was tested using varying light quality to
- differentially stimulate M or BS photosystems. CO₂ uptake, ATP production rate (J_{ATP} derived
- with a low O_2 / chlorophyll fluorescence method) and carbon isotope discrimination were
- $_{20}$ measured on plants under a low light intensity, which is considered to affect C₄ operating
- efficiency. The light quality treatments did not change the empirical ATP cost of gross
- assimilation (J_{ATP} / GA). Using the metabolic model, measured J_{ATP} / GA was compared to the
- ²³ predicted ATP demand as metabolic functions were varied between M and BS. Transamination
- and the two decarboxylase systems (NADPME and PEPCK) were critical for matching ATP and

²⁵ NADPH demand in BS and M when light capture was varied under contrasting light qualities.

26 Keywords

²⁷ Bundle sheath, C₄, isotopic discrimination, leakiness, mesophyll, light penetration profiles, ²⁸ Δ 13C.

29 Introduction

Interest in the C_4 pathway has been increased by the potential for enhancing crop productivity

- and maintaining yield stability in the face of global warming and population pressure (Friso et
- al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2010; Covshoff and Hibberd, 2012). Maize (Zea mays, L.), a C₄ plant of the
- ³³ NADP-ME subtype, is a leading grain production cereal (FAO, 2012). C₄ photosynthesis is a
- ³⁴ shared activity between mesophyll (M, abbreviations listed in Table 1) and bundle sheath (BS)
- ³⁵ cells, coupled to allow the operation of a biochemical carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM).
- ³⁶ The CCM effectively minimizes photorespiration by increasing the CO₂ concentration in BS
- $_{37}$ (C_{BS}), where Rubisco is exclusively expressed. Since BS and M are connected by
- $_{38}$ plasmodesmata, some CO₂ retrodiffuses. The refixation of that escaping CO₂ by the CCM
- increases the activity of the CCM and the total ATP demand $(ATP_{BS} + ATP_M)$ for gross CO₂
- assimilation (GA), $(ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA$), from a theoretical minimum of 5 ATP (Furbank et al.,
- ⁴¹ 1990). Leakiness (Φ), the amount of CO₂ retrodiffusing relative to PEP carboxylation rate, is
- therefore a proxy for the coordination between the CCM and assimilatory activity (Henderson et
- ⁴³ al., 1992; Tazoe et al., 2006; Tazoe et al., 2008; Kromdijk et al., 2010; Ubierna et al., 2011;
- ⁴⁴ Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013).
- Recently, the maize C_4 subgroup has been shown to be complicated by the presence of two
- ⁴⁶ BS decarboxylation enzyme systems (NADP-ME and PEP carboxykinase, PEPCK), presumably
- ⁴⁷ both acting as CO₂ delivery pathways (respectively via malate, MAL or aspartate, ASP)
- (Furumoto et al., 1999; Wingler et al., 1999; Furumoto et al., 2000; Eprintsev et al., 2011;
- ⁴⁹ Furbank, 2011; Pick et al., 2011). There is also an extensive overlap between BS and M
- ⁵⁰ functions since both cell types can synthesize starch (Spilatro and Preiss, 1987; Kanai and
- Edwards, 1999) and reduce phosphoglyceric acid, PGA (Majeran and van Wijk, 2009) (see the
- ⁵² overall scheme in Fig. 1). Additionally, energetic partitioning can also vary between cell types,
- since the total ATP produced (J_{ATP}) per CO₂ fixed in GA (J_{ATP} / GA) may be produced in BS
- ⁵⁴ (mainly through cyclic electron flow around PSI) or in M (mainly through linear electron flow)
- depending on the light locally available in BS or M (Kramer and Evans, 2011; Yin and Struik,
- ⁵⁶ 2012). Furthermore, although all NADPH is produced in M, the only compartment operating
- ⁵⁷ linear electron transport and oxidising water, some NADPH is exported to BS through MAL
- $_{58}$ diffusion, to meet the reducing power demand therein (NADPH_{BS}). To capture the complex C₄
- ⁵⁹ physiology, several models of C_4 photosynthesis have been developed (Berry and Farquhar,
- ⁶⁰ 1978; Laisk and Edwards, 2000; von Caemmerer, 2000; Laisk and Edwards, 2009). The earlier
- approaches were developed into the von Caemmerer (2000) C₄ model. In particular the
- $_{62}$ associated light limited equations (referred subsequently as the 'C₄ model'), are used to estimate
- the parameters needed to resolve the isotopic discrimination model, widely employed to study
- leakiness under low light conditions [for review see (Ubierna et al., 2011)]. The C₄ model
- ⁶⁵ partitions J_{ATP} into two fractions: i) the ATP consumed by PEP carboxylase (PEPC) and ii) the
- ⁶⁶ ATP consumed by the C₃ activity (glyoxylate recycling, PGA reduction, and RuBP
- ⁶⁷ regeneration). These activities are located in M, BS or in both compartments (see the overall

 $_{68}$ scheme in Figure 1). However, the C₄ model simplifies the spatial compartmentalization between

⁶⁹ BS and M, and in this paper we now develop the energetic implications of the differential

 $_{70}$ contribution of M and BS to C₄ photosynthesis under different light regimes.

Because of these anatomical, metabolic and energetic complexities, C₄ metabolism is highly 71 sensitive to limiting light intensity (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013), and potentially light quality 72 (Evans et al., 2007). Light quality has a greater influence on C₄ photosynthesis than on C₃. Leaf 73 pigments preferentially absorb the blue and red region of the spectra and some wavelengths 74 penetrate deeper into leaves. It was shown in C_3 leaves that exposure to different wavelengths 75 results in characteristic light penetrations profiles, which translated into different gradients in 76 photosystem II yield, rates of ATP production and assimilation (A) within the leaf (Terashima et 77 al., 2009). In C₄ leaves, because of the concentric anatomy, light reaches M cells before the 78 deeper BS (Evans et al., 2007), and could alter the balance between light harvesting and 79

⁸⁰ energetic partitioning between BS and M.

In this paper, we model the likely profiles of light penetration for specific wavelengths associated with Red, Green and Blue (R, G, B) light within a maize M and BS leaf cross section, and calculate the impact on potential ATP production for each cell type. We calculate the

proportion of absorbed light (AB) for each wavelength, expressed as AB BS / M, the fraction of

photons absorbed in BS relative to the photons absorbed in M, from which we derive J_{ATPBS} /

⁸⁶ J_{ATPM}, the fraction of ATP produced in BS relative to the ATP produced in M. Secondly, we

⁸⁷ developed a steady-state metabolic model (Fig. 1, Table 2), which augments the conventional C_4 ⁸⁸ model (von Caemmerer 2000), to capture the spatial separation between BS and M and partitions

the ATP demand between BS and M cells in terms of PGA reduction (PR), starch synthesis (SS)

⁹⁰ and PEP regeneration, so as to meet the ATP availability in each cell type (Evans et al., 2007).

⁹¹ Thirdly, photosynthetic characteristics (leaf level ATP production rate, CO₂ assimilation,

stomatal conductance and Φ derived from on-line carbon isotope discrimination) were measured under R, G, B, and RGB in combination, using a decreasing photon flux density (from 500 to 50

 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹) to investigate the importance of metabolic plasticity under limiting light intensities.

⁹⁵ For instance, AB BS / M and J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} , were both lower under the blue wavelengths (460

⁹⁶ nm), which are rapidly extinguished within the M leaf profile, than under white light, confirming

 $_{97}$ that light quality perturbs C₄ energetics. In spite of this shift, when maize plants were exposed to

different light qualities there was no change in Φ indicating that, at steady state, the co-

⁹⁹ ordination between CCM activity and Rubisco assimilation was retained (Sun et al., 2011;

¹⁰⁰ Ubierna et al., 2011). The modelled metabolic plasticity projected a window for ATP demand

¹⁰¹ partitioning, ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} , which matched the values for J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} supply estimated under

 $_{102}$ B, G and R wavelengths. We show that the plasticity of C₄ metabolism, and in particular the

¹⁰³ possibility of shifting between malate and aspartate as primary carboxylase product, were of

¹⁰⁴ pivotal importance in allowing plasticity of ATP and NADPH demand. In conclusion, our study

explains the extensive overlap between BS and M functions and the requirement for at least two

decarboxylase systems in NADP-ME subtype plants such as maize, providing an explanation for

¹⁰⁷ empirical observations on diversity of decarboxylase activities and PEP regeneration pathways

(Rathnam, 1978; Chapman and Hatch, 1981; Wingler et al., 1999; Eprintsev et al., 2011;

¹⁰⁹ Furbank, 2011; Pick et al., 2011).

Results

¹¹¹ Metabolic modelling of partitioning between BS and M.

The complexity of C_4 biochemistry (Furbank, 2011) was first integrated in a comprehensive 112 steady-state model (Fig. 1), with key processes described by rate equations (Fig. 1, Box; Table 2) 113 and associated ATP demand (Eqn. 11-13 in Table 2). This model captures the spatial separation 114 between BS and M, the different pathways of the CCM (through ASP and MAL), the different 115 carboxylating enzymes and the process of starch synthesis, as a means to develop the traditional 116 C₄ model (von Caemmerer 2000). The metabolic model (Fig. 1) was generated on the 117 assumption that ATP does not freely diffuse between BS and M and any light-induced JATPBS / 118 J_{ATPM} fluctuations have to be countered by changing the partitioning of ATP demand (for a list of 119 abbreviations see Table 1). Fig. 1 depicts the reactions which are localized in BS, in M or in both compartments. RuP phosphorylation is uniquely localized in BS to supply RuBP directly in 121 proximity to Rubisco, and facilitate the substrate saturation of the Enzyme. Glyoxylate recycling 122 is also a BS exclusive reaction (Fig. 1) (Yoshimura et al., 2004). This feature contributes to the 123 CCM (the so called C_2 cycle) and, in an evolutionary perspective, it was acquired at an early 124 stage (Sage et al., 2012; Schulze et al., 2013). PEP regeneration through PEP carboxykinase 125 (PEPCK) is located uniquely in BS (Wingler et al., 1999), while PEP regeneration through 126 pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK), is located primarily in M (Fig. 1) (Friso et al., 2010; 127 Majeran et al., 2010), and any PPDK activity in BS is generally neglected (von Caemmerer, 128 2000) (see also discussion). PGA reduction (PR), respiration, and starch synthesis (SS) are 129 processes located both in BS and M (Spilatro and Preiss, 1987; Kanai and Edwards, 1999; 130 Majeran and van Wijk, 2009; Friso et al., 2010). 131

These processes are described in detail below, after an initial comparison of modelled light profiles and measured photosynthetic characteristics under R, G and B wavelengths, to give a quantitative description of the biochemical mechanisms underpinning acclimation, fluxes and reaction rates, the dynamics of Φ and the effects on the total and relative ATP demand for assimilation.

137 Effect of light quality on ATP production in BS and M.

To study the influence of light quality on ATP production partitioning, J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} , we first modelled C₄ anatomy (Fig. 2 left). Light penetration was modelled in two characteristic profiles

using the absorption-scattering theory (Fig. 2 right). Profiles were calibrated with leaf 140 transmittance and reflectance at different wavelengths. Blue light was strongly absorbed (steep 141 profile), green light was weakly absorbed (gradual profile) while red light had an intermediate 142 profile of light penetration. These three profiles were integrated to estimate the contribution of 143 absorbtance within abaxial + abaxial mesophyll, interveinal mesophyll and bundle sheath (Fig.2), 144 and calculated the partitioning of absorbed light (AB) in BS and M (AB BS / M) under five 145 relevant conditions (Table 3). AB BS / M was used in turn to estimate the partitioning of ATP 146 production, JATPBS / JATPM (Table 3) under the assumption that photochemical yield did not vary 147 through the leaf profile (see 'Estimated ATP production partitioning' in Material and Methods). 148 At 400 nm, AB BS / M was lowest, representing JATPBS / JATPM of 0.29; at 540 nm, AB BS / M was 149 highest together with JATPBS / JATPM (0.96). Under blue light, JATPBS / JATPM was close to the 150 lowest value (0.31), increasing under red (0.68), natural white light (0.76) and green light (0.80). 151 These values were derived independently of light intensity so they can be considered to reflect 152 the actual ATP availability in BS and M under a wide range of light intensities. Since ATP does 153 not diffuse between BS and M, and has a relatively small pool, at steady state, JATPBS / JATPM can 154 be directly compared to the ATP demand partitioning, ATP_{BS} / ATP_M. For this comparison, the 155 values for JATPBS / JATPM under blue, red, white and green light were used subsequently to plot 156 Fig. 4 B. The model predicts that light quality will unbalance the partitioning of ATP 157 production, and a comprehensive ecophysiological investigation was therefore used to compare 158 gross assimilation and ATP supply and partitioning between B and M cells. 159

¹⁶⁰ Effect of light quality on assimilatory traits.

Figure 3 shows the responses of maize to different light qualities (Red, R; Green, G; Blue, B; 161 or RGB combined) measured under decreasing irradiance. Net assimilation (A), measured 162 through gas exchange (Fig. 3 A), and JATP (Fig. 3 B) measured with the low O2 - ETR method 163 using a saturating light pulse, were significantly higher under R light and decreased under RGB, 164 G and B. Light quality had no significant effect on stomatal conductance (g_s, Supplementary Fig. 165 S 1 A), but Ci / Ca was lower under R light (Supplementary Fig. S 1 B) as a consequence of the 166 higher A. The CO₂ concentration in BS (C_{BS}), estimated by fitting a C₄ photosynthesis model to 167 J_{ATP}, was higher under R and G light (Supplementary Fig. S 1 C), because of the higher A. The 168 light compensation point (LCP), bundle sheath conductance (g_{BS}) and respiration in the light 169 (R_{LIGHT}) were not significantly influenced by light quality (Table 4). 170

With the precise estimate of R_{LIGHT} and J_{ATP} , we calculated J_{ATP} / GA, which represents the experimentally-determined ATP cost for gross assimilation. J_{ATP} / GA was not influenced by light intensity but varied between light qualities from 5.37 to 5.73, under B, R, RGB and G light. J_{ATP} / GA was then used in Fig. 4 B, plotted against the ATP production partitioning J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} , found above. The relatively minor increase in J_{ATP} / GA (c 0.3 ATP / CO₂) observed experimentally contrasts with the metabolic disruption theoretically predicted under these

conditions (Evans et al., 2007). Our data was supported by real-time isotopic discrimination, Δ

(Fig. 3 C) and leakiness, Φ (Fig. 3 D), which were not influenced by light quality, showing that

the plant coped with a 2.5x shift in J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} without any imbalance in the co-ordination of

¹⁸⁰ CCM activity and assimilation. Obtaining Φ data under such low light intensities represents a

technical challenge and the experimental protocol was carefully optimised for low light intensity

(Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013). Data obtained under low light are subject to a potential error

magnification proportional to ξ [supporting figure S3, (Evans et al., 1986)], as clearly shown by

the large error bars in Fig. 3D, and therefore should be interpreted with care. Leakiness, J_{ATP} /

 $_{185}$ GA and the window of J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} formed the basis of a metabolic model used to describe

these biochemical responses.

¹⁸⁷ Influence of BS activity on assimilatory metabolism and ATP demand (total and relative).

¹⁸⁸ The comprehensive metabolic model (Fig. 1) was developed to describe the biochemical

reactions directly and indirectly involved in C_4 assimilation by rate equations (Table 2). The

¹⁹⁰ proportions of three assimilatory ATP-consuming processes [starch synthesis (SS),

¹⁹¹ phosphoglyceric acid reduction (PR) and PEP carboxykinase (PEPCK)] were manipulated to

¹⁹² increase within BS (allocated, Fig. 4 A). These processes overlap between BS and M and could

¹⁹³ be allocated to BS without influencing the overall assimilation rate. By means of this progressive

allocation, we predicted: i) the minimum and maximum ATP demand partitioning, ATP_{BS} / ATP_M

(Eqn. 12 / Eqn. 13, Table 2); ii) the reaction rates and metabolite fluxes at a given ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} ,

including the rate PEPCK and PPDK, the relative CO₂ flux through ASP and MAL and the

¹⁹⁷ partitioning of PGA reduction between BS and M; iii) the dynamics of total ATP demand for

gross assimilation $(ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA$ at variable ATP_{BS} / ATP_M .

When the BS allocation rate of PR, PEPCK and SS was zero (referred as condition 1, number 199 1 in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4 B), the predicted ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} was lowest (0.27). This value was 200 comparable to JATPBS / JATPM resolved from the optical model under blue wavelengths (400 nm 201 and 460 nm, Table 3), showing that metabolism could reduce ATP demand to match even the 202 lowest ATP supply in BS. In this condition the ATP demand in BS was brought about by RuP 203 phosphorylation and glyoxylate recycling, two processes that are known to be exclusive to BS. 204 The predicted $(ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA$ was 5.74 (Fig. 4 B, dashed line), in agreement with J_{ATP} / 205 GA measured under blue light (5.73, Fig. 4 B, blue square). Since photosynthetic PGA 206 production is exclusive to BS (primarily from Rubisco carboxylase, or oxygenase activity and 207 glyoxylate recycling), when there is no ATP available for PGA reduction, (e.g. in condition 1) 208 the PGA diffuses to M and is reduced therein. After reduction, DHAP could supply starch 209 synthesis in M or diffuse back to BS to regenerate RuBP. The predicted NADPH demand in BS 210

(NADPH_{BS}) was therefore the lowest (Eqn. 14, Table 2; Fig. 4 A, dotted line), corresponding to

the NADPH demand for glyoxylate recycling in BS. The activity of malate dehydrogenase in M

(MDH_M), process responsible for exporting NADPH, was reduced by diverting the substrate

oxaloacetate (OAA) to transamination to aspartate (ASP). Hence, in condition 1, MDH_M had the

lowest activity (Fig.1, Eqn. 16 in Table 2) while transamination had the highest rate (Fig. 1, Fig.

²¹⁶ 4 A, dashed line, Eqn 17 in Table 2). Once ASP diffused to BS, it underwent a futile reduction-

- oxidative decarboxylation that resulted in net CO₂ flux without a conjoint NADPH translocation
- ²¹⁸ (Fig. 1).

When PR and SS were progressively allocated in BS, the predicted ATP demand ATP_{BS}/ 219 ATP_M (Fig. 1, Fig. 4) progressively increased. The activation of PEPCK in BS, not only 220 contributed to the predicted increasing ATP demand in BS, but also lowered the predicted ATP 221 cost of assimilation (ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA because PEPCK regenerates PEP with half the ATP 222 demand of PPDK. Condition 2 (number 2 in Fig. 1 and Fig 4) represents a state where ATP_{BS} / 223 ATP_M equals J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} resolved from the optical model under red light (0.68, Table 3). The 224 predicted (ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA (5.45, Fig. 4 B, dashed line) agreed with J_{ATP} /GA measured 225 under red light (5.47, Fig. 4 B, red square). 226

When the projected allocation of PR, PEPCK and SS to BS was highest (referred as condition 227 3, number 3 in Fig. 1, Fig. 4 A), the predicted ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} was 0.8. This partitioning equals 228 J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} estimated by the optical model under green light, and it is similar to J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} 229 estimated under natural white light (0.76, Table 3). Because PEPCK was activated at a highest 230 rate, only 70 % of PEP was regenerated through PPDK and (ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA was lowest 231 (5.39, Fig. 4 B, dashed line), predicting well JATP /GA values measured under RGB (5.38, Fig. 4 232 B, RGB square) and green light (5.37, Fig. 4 B, green square). In condition 3, PGA reduction in 233 BS was highest, determining the highest NADPH_{BS} (Fig. 1; Fig. 4 A dotted line; Eqn. 14, Table 234 2) and the highest MDH_M activity (Fig. 1, Eqn. 16 in Table 2). Because most of the OAA 235 produced by PEP carboxylase was reduced by MDH_M, transamination rate was lowest, just 236 enough to supply PEPCK activity in BS. 237

238 Estimate of actual reaction and diffusion rates.

Since all C₄ reactions were described by rate equations (Table 2) we could estimate actual 239 reaction rates. Although the predictions shown so far (including the optical part) are largely 240 independent of light intensity, in this step rates had to be calculated at a specific light intensity. 241 However, in order to compare these values in a wider range of light intensities, rates were 242 expressed as relative to GA. This was also functional to avoid any computational distortion 243 caused by respiration in the vicinity of the light compensation point. The irradiance of 125 µE m⁻ 244 2 s⁻¹, characteristic of illumination in the shade, was chosen because ongoing studies on low light 245 and light quality are relevant to the physiology of shading (see also discussion). Furthermore, 246 since low light provides a mean to directly manipulate C₄ metabolism, a great deal of 247

- comparable work has been undertaken both in this lab (Kromdijk et al., 2008; Kromdijk et al.,
- 249 2010; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013) and by other investigators (Ubierna et al., 2011; Ubierna et
- al., 2013) under low irradiances. Reaction rates, shown in the boxes within Fig. 1 were obtained
- ²⁵¹ by parameterizing the model with the data obtained during the experiment and with the output of
- the C₄ model [all equations are reported in Suppl. Table S 2 but see also (Bellasio and Griffiths,
- ²⁵³ 2013), and references therein]. Rates were calculated for the three relevant conditions mentioned
- above, i.e. condition 1 corresponds to the lowest ATP demand in BS, condition 2 corresponds to
- an intermediate ATP demand (matching ATP supply under red light) while condition 3
- ²⁵⁶ correspond to the highest ATP demand in BS (matching ATP supply under green light).
- ²⁵⁷ Condition 1, 2 and 3 were numbered 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4.

258 **Discussion**

The implications of the metabolic model for partitioning ATP demand are firstly considered in terms of previous studies of C_4 decarboxylases in NADP-ME systems. The resultant ATP partitioning and metabolic plasticity provided by these processes is then considered in terms of

overall C₄ energetic limitations (Evans et al., 2007). Finally, we go on to consider the

 $_{263}$ implications for multiple decarboxylase origins and function in terms of C₄ pathway evolution,

 $_{^{264}}$ $\,$ as well as light use and energy partitioning within a C_4 crop canopy.

²⁶⁵ Modelling ATP demand: decarboxylase diversity in C₄ systems

Recent developments in C₄ research have highlighted the complexity of C₄ metabolism, in 266 terms of extensive overlapping of BS and M functions (Friso et al., 2010; Majeran et al., 2010), 2.67 the presence of two distinct decarboxylating pathways (Meister et al., 1996; Wingler et al., 1999; 268 Pick et al., 2011), and plasticity in malate metabolism [(Eprintsev et al., 2011) and references 269 therein]. Although an involvement in balancing the energetic capacity in responses to 270 environmental conditions has been proposed (Eprintsev et al., 2011; Furbank, 2011), empirical 271 evidence and an associated metabolic model were needed to validate this suggestion. In this 272 study, we tested the capability of metabolism to respond to different ATP allocation between BS 273 and M by means of a comprehensive metabolic model. To overcome uncertainties in the causal 274 relationship between ATP availability and enzyme kinetics, we deduced the highest and lowest 275 possible BS reaction rates from physiological considerations and studied how the predicted ATP 276 demand partitioning ATP_{BS} / ATP_M would vary in response to incremental activation. We found 277 that ATP_{BS} / ATP_M could vary between 0.27 to 0.80 if starch synthesis, PGA reduction (PR) and 278 PEP regeneration were freely allocated between BS and M. In addition, we suggest that higher 279 ATP consumption in BS than the predicted maximum, could result from the transient activation 280 of PPDK in BS (Aoyagi and Nakamoto, 1985; Friso et al., 2010) or in a long-term response, 281 from the de-novo synthesis of PR enzymes. This shows the importance of the presence of PPDK 282

in BS and the possibility of metabolism to regulate the maximum BS rate of PGA reduction in
 response to contrasting environmental conditions. These processes could take advantage of the
 increased ATP availability in BS under 540 nm green light (Table 3) or under high irradiances
 when ATP production in M is reduced (because of PSII yield quenching; Suppl. Fig. S 2).

The extensive overlap between BS and M functions was important for preserving the overall 287 assimilation rate, and for any process activated in BS, a complementary decrease in M could 288 rebalance overall metabolism so as the total rate of assimilation and ATP demand to remain 289 constant in spite of contrasting BS / M engagement. In addition, we showed the importance of 290 transamination (T) in balancing the reducing power needs in BS. When the ATP availability in 291 BS was low (e.g. condition 1), PGA reduction in BS was down-regulated, and therefore there 292 was a reduced NADPH demand in BS (Fig. 4 A, dotted line). Under these conditions, CO₂ was 293 delivered to BS through ASP, a pathway that bypasses malate reduction in M and, hence, 294 NADPH export to BS. This shift between ASP and MAL-mediated CCM indicates the 295 importance of maintaining both pathways in NADP-ME subtype C₄ plants. The predicted T rate 296 varied in response to environmental conditions from a minimum of 0.35 V_P to the entirety of the 297 CO₂ delivered to BS, in line with the observation that ASP can support physiological rates of 298 photosynthesis (Rathnam, 1978; Chapman and Hatch, 1981; Meister et al., 1996; Pick et al., 299 2011). Under white light, the model predicted a 33 % T / V_P, which is in line with radiolabelling 300 and biochemical observations (Downton, 1970; Hatch, 1971; Chapman and Hatch, 1981). These 301 predictions are not influenced by whether transamination is mediated by the 'conventional' 302 glutamate aminotransferases (Fig. 1), or by the more recently documented aspartate 303 aminotransferases (Pick et al., 2011), because, in the model, transamination is simply assumed to 304 be a fast, passively regulated process at equilibrium. 305

Although a mechanistic explanation goes beyond the scope of this study, it is worth noting 306 that the fine tuning between contrasting scenarios may be relatively straightforward at metabolic 307 level. In fact, both the CCM and the RPP pathway share diffusible metabolites between BS and 308 M cells and are mediated by fast reactions, which, in physiological conditions, are close to the 309 thermodynamic equilibrium (e.g. transamination reactions or sugar phosphate conversions). The 310 regulation of the fluxes may therefore be regulated in just a few key steps, for instance at the 311 level of PGA reduction, or malate decarboxylation. These have long been known to be regulated 312 by the stromal pH, by feedback from metabolite pools and by feed forward from light reactions 313 (Johnson and Hatch, 1970; Drincovich and Andreo, 1994; Detarsio et al., 2003; Murmu et al., 314 2003; Trost et al., 2006; Eprintsev et al., 2011). The adjustment of the other reaction and 315 diffusion rates may then follow passively, mediated by the feedback provided by changing 316 relative metabolite concentrations in one or the other compartment. 317

Modelling ATP supply as a function of light quality

Previously, fluorescence microimaging had shown that, because of the characteristic C₄ 319 concentric leaf anatomy, strongly absorbed blue wavelengths would result in preferential 320 absorption in M cells as compared to wavelengths of light which could penetrate deeper into the 321 leaf profile (Evans et al., 2007). However, difficulties in the interpretation of fluorescence 322 imaging, which are dependent on the different fluorescence yield of PSI-rich-BS and PSII-rich-323 M, have prevented investigators from predicting the relative light harvesting in BS and M (Evans 324 et al., 2007). To overcome these difficulties, we characterized the profiles of light penetration in 325 a maize leaf by means of an absorption-scattering model, which represents a first attempt to 326 calculate the extent of light absorption imbalance caused by light quality. Because both BS and 327 M produce ATP in light reactions, light harvesting imbalances would alter ATP partitioning. 328 These ATP production imbalances were estimated using the relative stoichiometry of the 329 electron transport operating in BS and M. The effect was very different from the response to 330 changing light intensity, in fact, light quality only marginally influenced the total ATP available 331 at leaf level but resulted in a 3-fold difference in the fraction of ATP produced in the BS, from 332 0.29 to 0.96 (Table 3). These imbalances are potentially independent of the light intensity, 333 however photochemical yield (i.e. quenching) was assumed independent of the position within 334 the leaf (see also description of Eqn 3, below), an assumption which may not hold under high 335 light intensity. Regulation of photosystem yield through the leaf profile (Terashima et al., 2009) 336 might also occur under changing light quality. Differential quenching would represent an 337 additional point of plasticity in response to changing light quality, which would have the effect 338 of narrowing the window of possible ATP_{BS} / ATP_M. Since we were interested in biochemical 339 plasticity in response to ATP imbalance rather than in detailing the mechanics of electron 340 transport processes, we did not include the possible plasticity at thylakoid level, and hence 341 maximised the operating range of possible ATP_{BS} / ATP_M variation. 342

This spatial partitioning of ATP production JATPBS / JATPM is different from the functional 343 partitioning of ATP consumption of the C₄ model (von Caemmerer, 2000; Ubierna et al., 2011; 344 Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013) and from the theoretical partitioning of ATP demand ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} 345 of the metabolic model presented here. In the C₄ model, the total ATP production, J_{ATP}, is simply 346 assumed to be produced by an undivided electron transport chain (Yin and Struik, 2012), then 347 partitioned to PEP regeneration activity or C₃ activity by a parameter known as x (Suppl. Table S 348 2). This operation does not involve spatial separations between BS and M. In this study we 349 followed this conventional approach, which has been widely validated, then captured the 350

- partitioning between BS and M with the equations of the metabolic model (Table 2). On the
- $_{352}$ basis of this division of work we calculated the theoretical partitioning of ATP demand ATP_{BS} /
- ATP_{M} . Values for ATP_{BS} / ATP_{M} were therefore derived independently from J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} (J_{ATPBS}
- $_{354}$ / J_{ATPM} was not used in model parameterization). These independently derived J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} and
- ATP_{BS} / ATP_M were compared in Figure 4.

³⁵⁶ Implications for electron transport processes

To allow these ATP partitioning rearrangements there must be a high degree of flexibility at 357 the electron transport chain level. In fact, although linear electron flow (LEF) activity in BS is 358 often neglected [because of negligible expression of the O₂ evolving complex (Majeran and van 359 Wijk, 2009; Friso et al., 2010) and non-appreciable O₂ evolving activity (Meierhoff and 360 Westhoff, 1993)] evidence that appreciable linear flow can be supported by stromal reductants as 361 glutathione and ascorbate has been presented (Walker and Izawa, 1979; Ivanov et al., 2001; 362 Ivanov et al., 2005; Ivanov et al., 2007). These reductants are likely to be produced from 363 NADPH, supplied by malate imported from M (Kanai and Edwards, 1999; Laisk and Edwards, 364 2000). These processes couple reductant pools at thylakoid and stromal level, and are likely to 365 function as plasticity mechanisms, playing a pivotal role in acclimation to changing light 366 conditions. 367

Most of the LEF activity is localized in M chloroplasts, which evolve O_2 and supply all 368 reducing power requirements. For this reason, many reactions requiring NADPH (such as 369 nitrogen reduction) are localised in M, to benefit from NADPH availability (Majeran et al., 370 2005). Even if M chloroplasts are specialized in NADPH production, the ratio of ATP versus 371 NADPH demand is highly sensitive to BS / M assimilation partitioning (Figure 1). Meeting this 372 variable requirement may involve differential engagement of LEF versus cyclic electron flow 373 (CEF). The particular features of the CEF operating in maize (Ivanov et al., 2007; Laisk et al., 374 2010; Munekage et al., 2010; Hertle et al., 2013), may reflect, beyond the heterogeneity between 375 BS and M specialization, this characteristic need for plasticity in CEF / LEF engagement. 376 Regardless of this electron transport plasticity, the ATP production deficits induced by 377 changing light quality cannot be rebalanced within the individual BS chloroplast. In fact, 378 increasing the ATP production at the electron transport chain level would require light 379 (Takabayashi et al., 2005; Kramer and Evans, 2011), whose availability is not under metabolic 380 control. At the same time, the electron transport mediated dark production of ATP (Morstadt et 381 al., 2002; Bukhov and Carpentier, 2004; Egorova and Bukhov, 2004; Kuntz, 2004), has low 382 conversion efficiency (Kramer and Evans, 2011), hence an engagement of ATP chemiosynthesis 383 would be incompatible with the observed pattern of JATP / GA. ATP itself is not a suitable shuttle 384 to rebalance ATP deficits because the ATP molecule is relatively big, it has a relatively small 385 pool and homeostasis is critical, therefore every chloroplast has an independent ATP pool. 386 Maintaining balanced ATP consumption in spite of local ATP deficits requires rearranging the 387 localization of ATP demand, the fluxes, and the partitioning of metabolic work between the 388 mutually interdependent BS and M cells. 389

³⁹⁰ Metabolic plasticity is effective in maintaining overall assimilation efficiency

³⁹¹ Previously, on the basis of theoretical considerations, it had been predicted that an unbalanced ³⁹² ATP supply would result in a disruption of the delicate equilibrium between BS and M functions

- with consequent loss in assimilatory efficiency (Henderson et al., 1992; Evans et al., 2007; Tazoe
- et al., 2008). This prediction arose because metabolic rigidity would be expected under some of
- the common simplifications used for C_4 biochemistry, whereby transamination is neglected,
- ³⁹⁶ PGA is reduced at a fixed rate in BS and NADPH delivery to BS is equimolar to CO₂ delivery
- $_{397}$ (Laisk and Edwards, 2000; Laisk and Edwards, 2009). In the updated description of C₄
- metabolism provided in this paper, reaction rates are variable and tuneable. When the ATP

availability in BS was low (e.g. condition 1), PGA reduction in BS was downregulated, leaving

all available ATP for RuBP regeneration, resulting in unaltered Rubisco efficiency. Because the

ASP-mediated CCM delivers solely CO₂, while the MAL mediated CCM delivers both NADPH

- and CO₂, the variable engagement of the two pathways allows the activity of the CCM to be regulated independently of NADPH demand in BS, hence the optimal C_{BS} could be maintained
- under all light qualities.

These predictions are supported by further model outputs, where we found no significant effect of light quality on Φ and on C_{BS}, confirming the response found in a similar experiment where plants were acclimated under high light (Sun et al., 2011). This observation, together with the relatively minor change in J_{ATP} / GA (Fig. 4 B) show that C₄ metabolic balance was adjusting to the shifts in ATP supply without the potential major disruptions mentioned above.

Implications for light use at leaf and canopy level

Photosynthesis in shaded conditions has critical importance in C₄ canopies as it may represent 411 up to 50 % of CO₂ uptake (Baker and Long, 1988; Long, 1993). Shade light has a reduced 412 intensity [typically 1 / 20 of full sunlight (Shirley, 1929)], and differs in spectral quality from 413 red-rich sunlight: diffuse sky radiation is enriched in blue, whereas canopy filtered light is 414 enriched in green (Smith, 1982). Under low light conditions it has been shown that Φ may 415 increase both at leaf e.g. (Kromdijk et al., 2010; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013) and at canopy level 416 (Kromdijk et al., 2008). Theoretical considerations have associated this Φ increase with 417 decreased C₄ efficiency and a potential loss of photosynthetic carbon uptake (Furbank et al., 418 1990; von Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2008; Tazoe et al., 2008). Although other studies 419 have compared the effect of light quality on Φ under low irradiance or under different light 420 qualities (Kromdijk et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2011; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013; Ubierna et al., 421 2013), the novelty the approach presented here has been to couple the measured and predicted 422 ATP supply during assimilation under these conditions. In this experiment, which was 423 specifically optimized to acquire data under low light (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013), we showed 424 that the total ATP cost of gross assimilation was not significantly influenced by light intensity, 425 and underwent a little variation under different light quality (Fig. 4 B). This showed that 426

metabolism at steady state under low light intensities, maintained efficiency in spite of changes

- in light quality or intensity. This implies that the hyperbolic increase of Φ observed under
- decreasing light intensities (Fig. 3 D), which underpins the predicted photosynthetic efficiency
- loss, actually did not cost additional ATP, but resulted instead from mitochondrial
- decarboxylation in BS (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013). Similar conclusions were highlighted by
- (Ubierna et al., 2013). This observation is consistent with V_P / V_C and the optimal 'x' being
- largely independent of light intensity (von Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010), indicating a
- constant degree of engagement of the CCM even under an apparent leakiness increase. Care
- should therefore be taken when the ATP cost (and quantum yield) of C_4 photosynthesis is
- derived from Φ , measured either at leaf or canopy scale, particularly in the vicinity of the light
- compensation point (Furbank et al., 1990; von Caemmerer, 2000; Tazoe et al., 2008). In these
- conditions we propose that the ATP cost should be calculated by summing the ATP cost of all
- the active biochemical processes (e.g. Eqn 11, Table 2), instead of using leakiness as a proxy for
- C_4 biochemical efficiency. The actual impact of Φ on canopy-level carbon uptake may depend
- upon the extent of steady-state photosynthesis under low light or altered light quality conditions
- (e.g. green enriched), and shorter-term, more transient conditions, when Φ may be more variable.

443 Conclusion

In this study we set out to investigate whether the maize C₄ system could respond to changing 444 environmental conditions by adjusting the C₄ (amino)acid (MAL or ASP) delivered from M to 445 BS, as well as the proportions of other metabolic reactions shared between both cell types, such 446 as starch synthesis, PGA reduction and PEP regeneration (Walker et al., 1986; Spilatro and 447 Preiss, 1987; Wingler et al., 1999; Friso et al., 2010; Majeran et al., 2010; Furbank, 2011). Using 448 contrasting light qualities and their projected extinction within the leaf profile, we could then 449 estimate the rate of ATP synthesis in M and BS compartments, as compared to the overall leaf-450 level operating efficiency measured by gas exchange and real-time carbon isotope 451 discrimination. We depicted a scenario whereby metabolism, although subject to the general 452 constraints imposed by C₄ physiology, was able to take the maximum advantage of 453 environmental conditions by changing the relative engagement of BS and M functions, which 454 were ultimately under environmental control. The outputs, based on metabolic modelling and 455 empirical measurements, provide definitive evidence for the role of complementarity between 456 BS and M functions, allowing ATP demand to be regulated in response to contrasting 457 environmental conditions. The two decarboxylase systems in BS of maize, with a variable rate of 458 transamination, allow the regulation of NADPH supply to match demand in BS independently of 459 the delivery of CO₂. 460

The findings of this study highlight the importance of C_4 metabolic models in helping to explain acclimation and adaptation to changing light intensity for all C_4 subgroups. The

emerging complexity of the NADP-ME / PEPCK interactions certainly demands some 463 refinement to the widespread simplifications used to describe C₄ systems and to the assumptions 464 regarding the relatively fixed energetic partitioning in maize. Furthermore, we have clearly 465 linked metabolic plasticity to the capacity to maintain high photosynthetic efficiency under 466 changing environmental conditions, which could well be related to original functions of bundle 467 sheath decarboxylases and evolution of the C4 syndrome (Hibberd and Quick, 2002; Griffiths et 468 al., 2013). Finally, the extent that such steady state conditions of low light and altered light 469 quality affect carbon uptake within an intact crop canopy remain to be determined, as compared 470 to more transient responses which may well increase leakiness and reduce carbon assimilation 471 under low light conditions. 472

473 Materials and Methods

474 Metabolic model

The processes contributing to assimilatory metabolism in maize (Furbank, 2011) were 475 integrated in a comprehensive steady-state model (Fig. 1). Some functional simplifications were 476 made. Cells were decompartmentalized. NADPH and NADH were considered equivalent or 477 convertible. Starch was assumed to be the only final product of photosynthesis (starch synthesis 478 has the same ATP cost per hexose than phloem-loaded sucrose, considering the stoichiometry of 479 1 ATP / H^+ of the membrane H^+ - ATPase and 1 H^+ / sucrose of the sucrose synporter). The ATP 480 + NADH produced during respiration (assumed supplied by PGA) were neglected in calculations 481 because they are likely to be consumed by basal metabolism. Transamination (T) was assumed to 482 be passively regulated by substrate availability (all OAA not reduced by MDH was 483 transaminated), as T reactions are rapid conversions at equilibrium. 484

The specialization of BS and M was captured by assigning processes to BS, M, or to the conjoint work of both compartments (allocatable processes). PEPCK (Walker et al., 1986;

⁴⁸⁷ Furumoto et al., 1999; Wingler et al., 1999; Furumoto et al., 2000) and glyoxylate recycling

(Yoshimura et al., 2004) were allocated to BS; linear electron flow (Meierhoff and Westhoff,

⁴⁸⁹ 1993; Romanowska et al., 2006; Majeran and van Wijk, 2009; Friso et al., 2010; Kramer and

Evans, 2011) and PPDK (see discussion) were allocated to M; R_{LIGHT} was split equally between

⁴⁹¹ M and BS (von Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; Ubierna et al., 2011); PGA reduction

(PR) and starch synthesis (SS) were variably allocated. T rate was equal in BS and M as the pool

of ASP and ALA is shared. The model was described by steady state rate equations (Table 2).

494 Minimum and maximum BS allocation

The rate of variably allocated processes ranged between a minimum and maximum rate, deduced from physiological considerations (but see discussion for the environmental influence

- on maximum rates). Both BS and M express SS enzymes (Spilatro and Preiss, 1987; Majeran
- and van Wijk, 2009) and synthesize starch e.g. (Rascio et al., 1980; Kanai and Edwards, 1999),
- so SS was allocated to BS between 0 and SS_{TOT}. PR is not essential to BS so PR_{MIN} was set at 0.
- PR is mainly a M process (Majeran et al., 2005; Majeran and van Wijk, 2009), so PR_{MAX} was
- ⁵⁰¹ limited at 0.35 PR_{TOT}. PEPCK is not essential to BS so PEPCK_{MIN} was set at 0. PEPCK_{MAX} was
- set at $0.3 \cdot V_P$, identified by fitting the total ATP demand of assimilation to J_{ATP} / GA (Fig. 4 B).

503 Parameterization

- ⁵⁰⁴ Equations describing overall assimilation (Eqn. 4 to 10, in Table 2), were parameterized with
- the measured data A, R_{LIGHT} , the output of the von Caemmerer C₄ model (V₀, V_P and V_C, Suppl.
- Table S 2) (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013) and Φ calculated from Δ under PAR = 125 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹
- ⁵⁰⁷ (see below). Then, reaction rates (Eqn. 4 to 21 in Table 2) were calculated under the minimum
- ⁵⁰⁸ (condition 1) and the maximum (condition 3) BS allocation (Fig. 1 and Fig. 4 B). Finally,
- ⁵⁰⁹ intermediate states (e.g. condition 2) were calculated by allocating reactions to BS in linear
- increments (see continuous lines in Fig. 4 A).
- The ATP demand in BS (ATP_{BS}) was calculated by adding the ATP demand of BS processes
- $_{512}$ (Table 2, Eqn. 12; Supp. Table S 1). Analogously, ATP_M was calculated by summing the ATP
- demand of M processes (Table 2, Eqn. 13; Supp. Table S 1), and the partitioning of ATP demand
- (ATP_{BS} / ATP_M) was calculated by dividing Eqn. 12 by Eqn. 13. Similarly the NADPH demand
- in BS (NADPH_{BS}) was calculated by summing the NADPH demand of BS processes (Table 2,
- ⁵¹⁶ Eqn. 14; Supp. Table S 1). Rate equations for other processes are listed in Table 2.
- 517 Estimated light harvesting in BS and M, AB BS / M

A maize leaf cross section was simulated by rectangular units, enclosing a square BS (left 518 panel of Fig. 2). Inter-veinal distance (IVD, 106 µm); M thickness (100 µm), BS / M area (0.26) 519 and the resulting BS side (46 µm) were averaged from (Hattersley, 1984; Usuda, 1985; 520 BongardPierce et al., 1996; Moreno-Sotomayor et al., 2002; Kromdijk et al., 2010). Because of 521 the square anatomy, the leaf light environment could be described by two light profiles: P1 and 522 P2. These were calculated applying the Kubelka-Munk absorption – scattering theory with the 523 method of Allen and Richardson (Allen and Richardson, 1968; Gates, 1980; Terashima et al., 524 2009), modified to describe the simulated C₄ anatomy. Briefly, each profile was considered to be 525 made of a number n of light absorbing and scattering elements, the total number of elements in 526 the profile was N. The element n=0 was the illuminated, or adaxial point of the profile, which 527 included the upper epidermis, approximated to a single reflecting element. The element N was 528 the abaxial point of the profile, which included the lower epidermis, approximated to a single 529 reflecting element. Radiant flux directed downward was I, and that upward was J. Incident flux 530 was I₀, and was taken to be the unity. For each profile, the flux reflected by the first element was 531

equivalent to the point reflectance and the flux transmitted by the last element was equivalent to the point transmittance: J(0) = Point refl. and I(N) = Point transm.

534 535

536

Incremental absorption and scattering were calculated as (Gates, 1980):

$$dI = -(k+s)Idn + sJdn$$

537

$$dJ = (k+s)Jdn - sIdn$$

538

Where k is an absorption coefficient and s is a scattering coefficient. In P1, k was constant 539 throughout the profile. In P2 k was three times higher in the elements corresponding to BS, 540 because chlorophyll concentration is three times higher than in the surrounding M [BS / M chl 541 content, 0.74 (Kanai and Edwards, 1973), multiplied by M / BS area, 4; Fig. 2, left]. I and J were 542 solved for all elements of P1 and P2 according to the integration of Eqn. 1 and 2 proposed by 543 (Gates, 1980). Modelled leaf reflectance resulted from averaging J(0) contributions to the total 544 leaf reflectance from P1 and P2 (56 % P1 and 44 % P2). Similarly, modelled leaf transmittance 545 was calculated as a weighted average of I(N) from P1 and P2. Modelled leaf reflectance and 546 transmittance were calibrated with measured leaf reflectance and transmittance at different 547 wavelengths [Table 3, (Woolley, 1971)] by varying k and s. This procedure allowed calculating 548 P1 and P2 at different wavelengths (Figure 2 right). Absorbed light (AB) in BS resulted from 549 integrating P2 over BS area. AB in M resulted from integrating P2 over adaxial and abaxial 550 mesophyll (MAD + MAB) area plus the integral of P1 over the two interveinal mesophyll (MI) 551 areas. 552

Estimated ATP production partitioning, J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM}

⁵⁵⁴ M chloroplasts are engaged in NADPH and ATP production, therefore, for a given number of ⁵⁵⁵ light quanta they produce c. half the ATP produced in BS chloroplast. J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} was ⁵⁵⁶ calculated as:

$$\frac{J_{ATPBS}}{J_{ATPM}} = 2 \cdot AB \frac{BS}{M} \tag{3}$$

557

(1)

(2)

The coefficient 2 (in Eqn. 3) is based on widely accepted assumption that light is equally 559 shared between photosystems and on the simplification that the photochemical yield is 560 independent of the position within the leaf profile. In detail we assumed: i) exclusive linear 561 electron transport in M with equal PSI / PSII absorption partitioning (Meierhoff and Westhoff, 562 1993; Kramer and Evans, 2011); ii) exclusive cyclic electron transport in BS with no PSII 563 absorption (Romanowska et al., 2006; Majeran and van Wijk, 2009); iii) equal yield of PSI and 564 PSII (Miyake et al., 2005) (Supp. Fig. S 2 C); iv) equal H⁺ / ATP stoichiometry of the ATP 565 synthase in BS and M: the enzyme complex is the same (Majeran and van Wijk, 2009; Friso et 566 al., 2010); v) twice the H⁺ per photochemical event pumped in BS versus M: in M 1.5 H⁺ are 567 extruded per photochemical event (Kramer and Evans, 2011), while in BS we assumed 3 H⁺ 568 extruded per photochemical event [average between 4 H⁺ of the NDH-mediated (Kramer and 569 Evans, 2011; Peng et al., 2011) and 2 H⁺ of the PGR5 / PGRL1-mediated electron flow (Kramer 570 and Evans, 2011; Hertle et al., 2013) both operating in maize BS (Ivanov et al., 2007)]. 571

572 Plants

558

⁵⁷³ Zea mays L. (F1 Hybrid PR31N27, Pioneer Hi-bred, Italy) plants were grown in 1.5 L pots ⁵⁷⁴ filled with Levington pro M3 (Scotts, UK) in growth rooms (Conviron Ltd, Winnipeg, Canada) ⁵⁷⁵ set at 16 h day length, temperature of 25 °C / 23 °C (day/night), 40 % relative humidity and PAR ⁵⁷⁶ = 600 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹ and manually watered daily with particular care to avoid overwatering. After ⁵⁷⁷ three weeks plants were measured once and then discarded.

Gas exchange measurements with concurrent PSI / PSII Yield and on-line carbon isotopic discrimination (Δ)

The experimental setup was previously described (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013). Briefly, an 580 infra-red gas analyser (IRGA, a LI6400XT, Li-cor, USA), was fitted with a 6400-06 PAM2000 581 adapter, and with a Li-cor 6400-18 RGB light source. The IRGA was fed with CO₂ ($\delta^{13}C = -8.3$ 582 %, Isi, A) and either a mixture of 2 % O₂ / N₂ or ambient air. PS I yield and PS II yield, Y(II), 583 were measured with a Dual Pam-F (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, D). The IRGA was connected 584 to a cryogenic H₂O and CO₂ trapping-purification line. To determine the relationship between 585 Y(II) and J_{ATP} , a light response curve was measured at 2 % O_2 and $C_a = 600 \mu mol / mol$. Under 586 the same light quality, 21 % O₂ and reference CO₂ set at 400 µmol / mol, a second light response 587 curve was measured, during which Y(II) was determined and exhaust gas was trapped to 588 determine Δ . In one day a total of 12 CO₂ samples and 6 CO₂ references from each individual 589 plant were analysed directly with a VG SIRA dual inlet isotope ratio mass spectrometer 590 (modified and maintained by Pro-Vac Services Ltd, Crewe, UK). ⊿ was calculated as reported in 591

⁵⁹² Supp. Fig. S 3, using Eqn. 22. R_{LIGHT} was calculated as the y-intercept of the linear regression of

⁵⁹³ A against PAR·Y(II) / 3. J_{ATP} was calculated from chlorophyll fluorescence data, by calibrating

- the relationship between ETR and Y(II) under low O_2 , and then using such a calibration to
- determine the small fraction of ATP consumed by photorespiration. The correction was minimal
- ⁵⁹⁶ because of the low O₂ sensitivity of maize [Suppl. Table S 2 (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013)],
- ⁵⁹⁷ therefore the potential errors caused by using fluorescence measurements under contrasting light
- qualities were negligible. Light responses were treated with dedicated software (Photosyn
- assistant 1.2, Dundee Scientific, Dundee, UK), to calculate the light compensation point and by

repeated measures anova (Genstat), point estimates were subject to anova and Tukey multiple

⁶⁰¹ comparison (Genstat).

Leakiness Φ from isotopic discrimination \varDelta

Leakiness was resolved from isotopic discrimination by use of the full Farquhar model 603 (Farquhar, 1983; Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012), parameterized with a C₄ photosynthesis model 604 (von Caemmerer, 2000), using equations and a fitting approach that were previously described 605 [Suppl. Table S 2 (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013)]. Briefly, leakiness, Φ was resolved from Δ by 606 calculating the weighted individual fractionations of the discriminating processes operating in C₄ 607 photosynthesis. The CO₂ concentration in the cellular compartments was calculated by means of 608 a C₄ model, parameterized with the light response data (A, Ci, Ca, J_{ATP}) and respiration in the 609 light (R_{LIGHT}). The C₄ model was rearranged to express a modelled J_{MOD} and fit to the total ATP 610 production rate J_{ATP} (Suppl. Table S 2), to yield a value for BS conductance for each individual 611 plant, independently from Δ . 612

613 Acknowledgments

⁶¹⁴ We are deeply grateful to Bernard Genty, Gerald Edwards and Joe Berry for discussion, to ⁶¹⁵ Julian Hibberd and Jessica Royles for review, to the Reviewers for the constructive feedback, ⁶¹⁶ and to Davide Gusberti for providing seeds.

References

Allen WA, Richardson AJ (1968) Interaction of Light with a Plant Canopy. Journal of the Optical Society of America 58: 1023-&

Aoyagi K, Nakamoto H (1985) Pyruvate, Pi Dikinase in Bundle Sheath Strands as Well as in Mesophyll Cells in Maize Leaves. Plant Physiology 78: 661-664

Baker NR, Long SP (1988) Photosynthesis and temperature, with particular reference to effects on quantum yield. In LS P, WF I, eds, Plants and temperature: Society for Experimental Biology Symposium No XXXXII. Company of biologists, pp 347-375

Barbour MM, McDowell NG, Tcherkez G, Bickford CP, Hanson DT (2007) A new measurement technique reveals rapid post-illumination changes in the carbon isotope composition of leaf-respired CO2. Plant Cell and Environment **30:** 469-482

Bellasio C, Griffiths H (2013) Acclimation to Low Light by C4 maize: Implications for Bundle Sheath Leakiness. Plant Cell and Environment doi: 10.1111/pce.12194 Berry JA, Farquhar GD (1978) The CO2 concentrating function of C4 photosynthesis: a biochemical model. *In* D Hall, J Coombs, T Goodwin, eds, Proceedings of the 4th

International Congress on Photosynthesis. Biochemical Society, pp 119-131

BongardPierce DK, Evans MMS, Poethig RS (1996) Heteroblastic features of leaf anatomy in maize and their genetic regulation. International Journal of Plant Sciences 157: 331-340

Bukhov N, Carpentier R (2004) Alternative Photosystem I-driven electron transport routes: mechanisms and functions. Photosynthesis Research 82: 17-33

Chapman KSR, Hatch MD (1981) Aspartate Decarboxylation in Bundle Sheath-Cells of Zea-Mays and Its Possible Contribution to C-4 Photosynthesis. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 8: 237-248

Covshoff S, Hibberd JM (2012) Integrating C-4 photosynthesis into C-3 crops to increase yield potential. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 23: 209-214

Craig H (1953) The Geochemistry of the Stable Carbon Isotopes. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 3: 53-92

- Detarsio E, Wheeler MCG, Bermudez VAC, Andreo CS, Drincovich MF (2003) Maize C(4)NADP-malic enzyme Expression in Escherichia coli and characterization of site-directed mutants at the putative nucleotide-binding sites. Journal of Biological Chemistry 278: 13757-13764
- Downton WJS (1970) Preferential C4-dicarboxylic acid synthesis, the postillumination CO2 burst, carboxyl transfer step, and grana configurations in plants with C4photosynthesis. Canadian Journal of Botany 48: 1795-1800
- Drincovich MF, Andreo CS (1994) Redox Regulation of Maize Nadp-Malic Enzyme by Thiol-Disulfide Interchange Effect of Reduced Thioredoxin on Activity. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Protein Structure and Molecular Enzymology **1206**: 10-16
- Edwards GE, Baker NR (1993) Can CO2 assimilation in maize leaves be predicted accurately from chlorophyll fluorescence analysis. Photosynthesis Research 37: 89-102
- Egorova EA, Bukhov NG (2004) Modeling of alternative pathways of electron transport to photosystem I in isolated thylakoids. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology 51: 579-583
- Eprintsev AT, Fedorina OS, Bessmeltseva YS (2011) Response of the malate dehydrogenase system of maize mesophyll and bundle sheath to salt stress. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology 58: 448-453
- Evans JR, Sharkey TD, Berry JA, Farquhar GD (1986) Carbon Isotope Discrimination Measured Concurrently with Gas-Exchange to Investigate CO2 Diffusion in Leaves of Higher-Plants. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 13: 281-292
- Evans JR, von Caemmerer S, Vogelmann TC (2007) Balancing light capture with distributed metabolic demand during C4 photosynthesis. In S J.E., M P.L., H B., eds, Charting new pathways to C4 rice. IRRI International Rice Research Institute
- FAO (2012) Fao Statistical division web page, Rome. . In, www.fao.org
- Farquhar GD (1983) On the Nature of Carbon Isotope Discrimination in C4 Species. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 10: 205-226
- Farquhar GD, Cernusak LA (2012) Ternary effects on the gas exchange of isotopologues of carbon dioxide. Plant Cell and Environment 35: 1221-1231
- Friso G, Majeran W, Huang MS, Sun Q, van Wijk KJ (2010) Reconstruction of Metabolic Pathways, Protein Expression, and Homeostasis Machineries across Maize Bundle Sheath and Mesophyll Chloroplasts: Large-Scale Quantitative Proteomics Using the First Maize Genome Assembly. Plant Physiology 152: 1219-1250
- Furbank R, Jenkins C, Hatch M (1990) C4 Photosynthesis: Quantum Requirement, C4 and Overcycling and Q-Cycle Involvement. Functional Plant Biology 17: 1-7
- Furbank RT (2011) Evolution of the C4 photosynthetic mechanism: are there really three C4 acid decarboxylation types? Journal of Experimental Botany 62: 3103-3108
- Furumoto T, Hata S, Izui K (1999) cDNA cloning and characterization of maize phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, a bundle sheath cell-specific enzyme. Plant Molecular Biology 41: 301-311
- Furumoto T, Hata S, Izui K (2000) Isolation and characterization of cDNAs for differentially accumulated transcripts between mesophyll cells and bundle sheath strands of maize leaves. Plant and Cell Physiology 41: 1200-1209
- Gates DM (1980) Biophysical Ecology. Springer Verlag, New York
- Genty B, Briantais JM, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron-transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta 990: 87-92
- Ghashghaie J, Duranceau M, Badeck FW, Cornic G, Adeline MT, Deleens E (2001) δ13C of CO2 respired in the dark in relation to δ13C of leaf metabolites: comparison between Nicotiana sylvestris and Helianthus annuus under drought. Plant Cell and Environment 24: 505-515
- Gillon JS, Griffiths H (1997) The influence of (photo) respiration on carbon isotope discrimination in plants. Plant Cell and Environment 20: 1217-1230
- Griffiths H, Weller G, Toy LFM, Dennis RJ (2013) You're so vein: bundle sheath physiology, phylogeny and evolution in C3 and C4 plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 36: 249-261
- Hatch MD (1971) The C 4 -pathway of photosynthesis. Evidence for an intermediate pool of carbon dioxide and the identity of the donor C 4 -dicarboxylic acid. Biochem. J. 125: 425-432
- Hattersley PW (1984) Characterization of C-4 Type Leaf Anatomy in Grasses (Poaceae), Mesophyll Bundle Sheath Area Ratios. Annals of Botany 53: 163-179
- Henderson SA, Von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1992) Short-Term Measurements of Carbon Isotope Discrimination in Several C4 Species. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 19: 263-285
- Hertle AP, Blunder T, Wunder T, Pesaresi P, Pribil M, Armbruster U, Leister D (2013) PGRL1 Is the Elusive Ferredoxin-Plastoquinone Reductase in Photosynthetic Cyclic Electron Flow. Molecular Cell 49: 511-523
- Hibberd JM, Quick WP (2002) Characteristics of C4 photosynthesis in stems and petioles of C3 flowering plants. Nature 415: 451-454
- Hymus GJ, Maseyk K, Valentini R, Yakir D (2005) Large daily variation in C-13-enrichment of leaf-respired CO2 in two Quercus forest canopies. New Phytologist 167: 377-384
- Igamberdiev AU, Mikkelsen TN, Ambus P, Bauwe H, Lea PJ, Gardestrom P (2004) Photorespiration contributes to stomatal regulation and carbon isotope fractionation: a study with barley, potato and Arabidopsis plants deficient in glycine decarboxylase. Photosynthesis Research 81: 139-152
- Ivanov B, Asada K, Edwards GE (2007) Analysis of donors of electrons to photosystem I and cyclic electron flow by redox kinetics of P700 in chloroplasts of isolated bundle sheath strands of maize. Photosynthesis Research 92: 65-74
- Ivanov B, Asada K, Kramer DM, Edwards G (2005) Characterization of photosynthetic electron transport in bundle sheath cells of maize. I. Ascorbate effectively stimulates cyclic electron flow around PSI. Planta 220: 572-581

- Ivanov BN, Sacksteder CA, Kramer DM, Edwards GE (2001) Light-induced ascorbate-dependent electron transport and membrane energization in chloroplasts of bundle sheath cells of the C-4 plant maize. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 385: 145-153
- Johnson HS, Hatch MD (1970) Properties and Regulation of Leaf Nicotinamide-Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate-Malate Dehydrogenase and Malic Enzyme in Plants with C4-Dicarboxylic Acid Pathway of Photosynthesis. Biochemical Journal **119**: 273-&
- Kanai R, Edwards GE (1973) Separation of Mesophyll Protoplasts and Bundle Sheath Cells from Maize Leaves for Photosynthetic Studies. Plant Physiology 51: 1133-1137
- Kanai R, Edwards GE (1999) The biochemistry of C4 photosynthesis. In RF Sage, RK Monson, eds, C4 plant biology. Academic Press, San Diego

Kramer DM, Evans JR (2011) The Importance of Energy Balance in Improving Photosynthetic Productivity. Plant Physiology 155: 70-78

Kromdijk J, Griffiths H, Schepers HE (2010) Can the progressive increase of C4 bundle sheath leakiness at low PFD be explained by incomplete suppression of photorespiration? Plant Cell and Environment 33: 1935-1948

- Kromdijk J, Schepers HE, Albanito F, Fitton N, Carroll F, Jones MB, Finnan J, Lanigan GJ, Griffiths H (2008) Bundle Sheath Leakiness and Light Limitation during C4 Leaf and Canopy CO2 Uptake. Plant Physiology 148: 2144-2155
- Kuntz M (2004) Plastid terminal oxidase and its biological significance. Planta 218: 896-899
- Laisk A, Edwards G (2009) Leaf C4 Photosynthesis in silico: The CO2 Concentrating Mechanism. In A Laisk, L Nedbal, Govindjee, eds, Photosynthesis in silico, Vol 29. Springer Netherlands, pp 323-348
- Laisk A, Edwards GE (2000) A mathematical model of C-4 photosynthesis: The mechanism of concentrating CO2 in NADP-malic enzyme type species. Photosynthesis Research 66: 199-224
- Laisk A, Talts E, Oja V, Eichelmann H, Peterson RB (2010) Fast cyclic electron transport around photosystem I in leaves under far-red light: a proton-uncoupled pathway? Photosynthesis Research 103: 79-95
- Lanigan GJ, Betson N, Griffiths H, Seibt U (2008) Carbon Isotope Fractionation during Photorespiration and Carboxylation in Senecio. Plant Physiology 148: 2013-2020
 Long SP (1993) The significance of light-limited photosynthesis to crop canopy carbon gain and productivity a theoretical analysis. In YP Abrol, P Mohanty, Govindjee, eds, Photosynthesis: Photoreactions to Plant Productivity. Oxford & IBH publishing, New Delhi, pp 547 560
- Majeran W, Cai Y, Sun Q, van Wijk KJ (2005) Functional Differentiation of Bundle Sheath and Mesophyll Maize Chloroplasts Determined by Comparative Proteomics. The Plant Cell Online 17: 3111-3140
- Majeran W, Friso G, Ponnala L, Connolly B, Huang MS, Reidel E, Zhang CK, Asakura Y, Bhuiyan NH, Sun Q, Turgeon R, van Wijk KJ (2010) Structural and Metabolic Transitions of C-4 Leaf Development and Differentiation Defined by Microscopy and Quantitative Proteomics in Maize. Plant Cell 22: 3509-3542

Majeran W, van Wijk KJ (2009) Cell-type-specific differentiation of chloroplasts in C4 plants. Trends in Plant Science 14: 100-109

Meierhoff K, Westhoff P (1993) Differential Biogenesis of Photosystem-Ii in Mesophyll and Bundle-Sheath Cells of Monocotyledonous Nadp-Malic Enzyme-Type C-4 Plants - the Nonstoichiometric Abundance of the Subunits of Photosystem-Ii in the Bundle-Sheath Chloroplasts and the Translational Activity of the Plastome-Encoded Genes. Planta **191**: 23-33

Meister M, Agostino A, Hatch MD (1996) The roles of malate and aspartate in C-4 photosynthetic metabolism of Flaveria bidentis (L). Planta 199: 262-269

- Miyake C, Miyata M, Shinzaki Y, Tomizawa K-i (2005) CO2 Response of Cyclic Electron Flow around PSI (CEF-PSI) in Tobacco Leaves—Relative Electron fluxes through PSI and PSII Determine the Magnitude of Non-photochemical Quenching (NPQ) of ChI Fluorescence. Plant and Cell Physiology 46: 629-637
- Mook WG, Bommerso.Jc, Staverma.Wh (1974) Carbon Isotope Fractionation between Dissolved Bicarbonate and Gaseous Carbon-Dioxide. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 22: 169-176
- Moreno-Sotomayor A, Weiss A, Paparozzi ET, Arkebauer TJ (2002) Stability of leaf anatomy and light response curves of field grown maize as a function of age and nitrogen status. Journal of Plant Physiology 159: 819-826
- Morstadt L, Graber P, de Pascalis L, Kleinig H, Speth V, Beyer P (2002) Chemiosmotic ATP synthesis in photosynthetically inactive chromoplasts from Narcissus pseudonarcissus L. linked to a redox pathway potentially also involved in carotene desaturation. Planta **215**: 134-140
- Munekage YN, Eymery F, Rumeau D, Cuine S, Oguri M, Nakamura N, Yokota A, Genty B, Peltier G (2010) Elevated Expression of PGR5 and NDH-H in Bundle Sheath Chloroplasts in C-4 Flaveria Species. Plant and Cell Physiology 51: 664-668
- Murmu J, Chinthapalli B, Raghavendra AS (2003) Light activation of NADP malic enzyme in leaves of maize: Marginal increase in activity, but marked change in regulatory properties of enzyme. Journal of Plant Physiology 160: 51-56
- O'Leary MH (1984) Measurement of the isotope fractionation associated with diffusion of carbon dioxide in aqueous solution. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 88: 823-825
- Peng L, Yamamoto H, Shikanai T (2011) Structure and biogenesis of the chloroplast NAD(P)H dehydrogenase complex. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Bioenergetics 1807: 945-953
- Pick TR, Brautigam A, Schluter U, Denton AK, Colmsee C, Scholz U, Fahnenstich H, Pieruschka R, Rascher U, Sonnewald U, Weber APM (2011) Systems Analysis of a Maize Leaf Developmental Gradient Redefines the Current C-4 Model and Provides Candidates for Regulation. Plant Cell 23: 4208-4220
- Rascio N, Colombo PM, Orsenigo M (1980) The ultrastructural development of plastids in leaves of maize plants exposed to continuous illumination. Protoplasma 102: 131-139
- Rathnam CKM (1978) Studies with Isolated Bundle Sheath Mitochondria Evidence for Nad-Malic Enzyme-Catalyzed Decarboxylation of C4 Acids in Species Representing 3 C4 Metabolic Subtypes. Febs Letters 96: 367-372
- Roeske CA, Oleary MH (1984) Carbon Isotope Effects on the Enzyme-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Ribulose Bisphosphate. Biochemistry 23: 6275-6284
- Romanowska E, Drozak A, Pokorska B, Shiell BJ, Michalski WP (2006) Organization and activity of photosystems in the mesophyll and bundle sheath chloroplasts of maize. Journal of Plant Physiology 163: 607-618

Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F (2012) Photorespiration and the evolution of C4 photosynthesis. Annual review of plant biology 63: 19-47

- Schulze S, Mallmann J, Burscheidt J, Koczor M, Streubel M, Bauwe H, Gowik U, Westhoff P (2013) Evolution of C-4 Photosynthesis in the Genus Flaveria: Establishment of a Photorespiratory CO2 Pump. Plant Cell 25: 2522-2535
- Shirley HL (1929) The Influence of Light Intensity and Light Quality Upon the Growth of Plants. American Journal of Botany 16: 354-390

Smith H (1982) Light Quality, Photoperception, and Plant Strategy. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 33: 481-518

- Spilatro SR, Preiss J (1987) Regulation of starch synthesis in the bundle sheath and mesophyll of Zea mays L. Intercellular compartmentalization of enzymes of starch metabolism and the properties of the ADPglucose pyrophosphorylases. Plant Physiology 83: 621-627
- Sun W, Ubierna N, Ma J-Y, Cousins AB (2011) The influence of light quality on C4 photosynthesis under steady-state conditions in Zea mays and Miscanthus× giganteus: changes in rates of photosynthesis but not the efficiency of the CO2 concentrating mechanism. Plant, Cell & Environment: no-no
- Sun WEI, Ubierna N, Ma J-Y, Cousins AB (2012) The influence of light quality on C4 photosynthesis under steady-state conditions in Zea mays and Miscanthus × giganteus: changes in rates of photosynthesis but not the efficiency of the CO2 concentrating mechanism. Plant, Cell & Environment **35**: 982-993
- Takabayashi A, Kishine M, Asada K, Endo T, Sato F (2005) Differential use of two cyclic electron flows around photosystem I for driving CO2-concentration mechanism in C-4 photosynthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102: 16898-16903

- Tazoe Y, Hanba YT, Furumoto T, Noguchi K, Terashima I (2008) Relationships between quantum yield for CO2 assimilation, activity of key enzymes and CO2 leakiness in Amaranthus cruentus, a C4 dicot, grown in high or low light. Plant and Cell Physiology 49: 19-29
- Tazoe YS, Noguchi K, Terashima I (2006) C-4 photosynthetic efficiency under low light in Amaranthus cruentus L.: The relationship between CO2 leakiness and in vivo activities of C-4 photosynthetic enzymes. Plant and Cell Physiology 47: S210-S210
- Terashima I, Fujita T, Inoue T, Chow WS, Oguchi R (2009) Green Light Drives Leaf Photosynthesis More Efficiently than Red Light in Strong White Light: Revisiting the Enigmatic Question of Why Leaves are Green. Plant and Cell Physiology 50: 684-697
- Trost P, Fermani S, Marri L, Zaffagnini M, Falini G, Scagliarini S, Pupillo P, Sparla F (2006) Thioredoxin-dependent regulation of photosynthetic glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase: autonomous vs. CP12-dependent mechanisms. Photosynthesis Research 89: 263-275
- Ubierna N, Sun W, Cousins AB (2011) The efficiency of C4 photosynthesis under low light conditions: assumptions and calculations with CO2 isotope discrimination. Journal of Experimental Botany 62: 3119-3134
- Ubierna N, Sun W, Kramer DM, Cousins AB (2013) The Efficiency Of C4 Photosynthesis Under Low Light Conditions In Zea Mays, Miscanthus X Giganteus And Flaveria Bidentis. Plant, Cell & Environment 36: 365-381
- Usuda H (1985) Changes in Levels of Intermediates of the C-4 Cycle and Reductive Pentose-Phosphate Pathway during Induction of Photosynthesis in Maize Leaves. Plant Physiology **78**: 859-864
- Vogel JC (1980) Fractionation of the carbon isotopes during photosynthesis. Springer, Berlin and New york
- Vogel JC, Grootes PM, Mook WG (1970) Isotopic Fractionation between Gaseous and Dissolved Carbon Dioxide. Zeitschrift Fur Physik 230: 225-238
- von Caemmerer S (2000) Biochemical models of leaf Photosynthesis. Csiro
- von Caemmerer S (2013) Steady-state models of photosynthesis. Plant, Cell & Environment 36: 1617-1630
- von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1981) Some Relationships between the Biochemistry of Photosynthesis and the Gas-Exchange of Leaves. Planta 153: 376-387
- Walker GH, Izawa S (1979) Photosynthetic Electron-Transport in Isolated Maize Bundle Sheath-Cells. Plant Physiology 63: 133-138
- Walker GH, Ku MSB, Edwards GE (1986) Activity of Maize Leaf Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxylase in Relation to Tautomerization and Nonenzymatic Decarboxylation of Oxaloacetate. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 248: 489-501
- Wingate L, Seibt U, Moncrieff JB, Jarvis PG, Lloyd J (2007) Variations in 13C discrimination during CO2 exchange by Picea sitchensis branches in the field. Plant Cell and Environment 30: 600-616
- Wingler A, Walker RP, Chen ZH, Leegood RC (1999) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase is involved in the decarboxylation of aspartate in the bundle sheath of maize. Plant Physiology 120: 539-545
- Woolley JT (1971) Reflectance and Transmittance of Light by Leaves. Plant Physiology 47: 656-662
- Yin XY, Struik PC (2012) Mathematical review of the energy transduction stoichiometries of C4 leaf photosynthesis under limiting light. Plant Cell and Environment 35: 1299-1312
- Yoshimura Y, Kubota F, Ueno O (2004) Structural and biochemical bases of photorespiration in C-4 plants: quantification of organelles and glycine decarboxylase. Planta 220: 307-317
- Zhu X-G, Long SP, Ort DR (2010) Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. Annual review of plant biology 61: 235-261

Tables.

Table 1. Abbreviations, definitions and units for variables and acronyms described in the text.

Α	Net assimilation	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
AB	Absorbed light	
AB BS / M	Partitioning of absorbed light	dimentionless
ALA	Alanine	
ASP	Aspartate Adopasipa 5' triphasphota	
ATP		umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
ATP	ATP demand in M	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
B	Blue	p
BS	Bundle sheath	
C_{BS}	CO ₂ concentration in BS	µmol mol⁻¹
CCM	Carbon concentrating mechanism	
CEF	Cyclic electron flow	
DHAP	Dihydroxyacetone phosphate	
EIR	Electron transport rate	µmoim s
G4	Gross assimilation $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{LOUT}})$	umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
(Jpc	Bundle sheath conductance to CO2 calculated by	mol m ² s ⁻¹
300	fitting J_{MOD} to J_{ATP}	
GLA	Glycolic acid	
IRGA	Infra-red gas analyzer	
IVD	Inter veinal distance	μ m
JATP	I otal ATP production rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
JATPBS	ATP production rate in BS	μ moi m s
JHOD	Modelled ATP production rate	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
LEF	Linear electron flow	pinorin 3
LCP	Light compensation point	
М	Mesophyll	
MAL	Malic acid	
MDH	Malate dehydrogenase	2 1
MDH _{BS}	Malate dehydrogenase reaction rate in BS	µmol m ² s
	Malate dehydrogenase reaction rate in M	µmol m ⁻ s '
	Malic enzyme	umol m ⁻² c ⁻¹
	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate	μποιτιί s
NADPH	NADPH demand in BS	umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
NADPHTOT	Total NADPH demand	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
OAA	Oxaloacetic acid	•
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation	μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹
PEP	Phosphoenolpyruvate	
PEPCK	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	
PEPCK	PEPCK reaction rate	µmorm s
PGLA	2-phosphoglycelic acid	
PPDK	Pyruvate phosphate dikinase	
PPDK	PPDK reaction rate	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
PR	PGA reduction	•
PR_{BS}	PGA reduction rate in BS	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
PR_M	PGA reduction rate in M	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
PSI	Photosystem I	
PSII	Photosystem II	
PIR	Pyruvic acid Bed	
Bac	Respiration in the light in BS	umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Rught	Respiration in the light	umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
R _M	Respiration in the light in M	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
RPP	Reductive pentose phosphate	
Rubisco	Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase	
RuBP	Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate	
RuP	Ribulose-5-phosphate	
55	Starch synthesis	umol m ⁻² o ⁻¹
SS.	Starch synthesis rate in M	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
SSTOT	Total starch synthesis rate	umol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
T	Transamination	
Ť	Transamination rate	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Vc	Rubisco carboxylation rate	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Vo	Rubisco oxygenation rate	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
V _P	PEP carboxylation rate	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Y(II)	Yield of photosystem II	
Δ 5 ¹³ 0	¹³ C isotopic discrimination	‰o
о С Ф	C isotopic composition relative to Pee dee delemnite	700 dimentionloss
Ψ		unientioniess

Table 2. Steady state equations for the metabolic model of C_4 assimilation. Processes described by Eqn. 4 to 10 can be calculated directly from the measured data A, R_{LIGHT} and the output of the von Caemmerer C_4 model (V_O , V_P and V_C), while Eqn. from 11 to 21 require prior allocation of starch synthesis (SS), PGA reduction (PR) and PEPCK. For simplicity, enzyme names in italics represent the enzyme reaction rate. For stoichiometric consistency, reaction rates are calculated as rates of substrate transformation.

Process	Symbol	Reaction rate	Eqn.l	Localization	Notes
Gross assimilation	GA	$A + R_{LIGHT}$	(4)		GA and RLIGHT rates are expressed per CO2
RuP phosphorylation	-	$V_c + V_o$	(5)	BS	RuP phosphorilation supplies Rubisco carboxylating activity (Vc), together with oxygenating activity (Vo).
PGA reduction tot	PR _{TOT}	$2V_C + \frac{3}{2}V_O - \frac{R_{LIGHT}}{3}$	(6)	BS and M	This equation calculates the total rate of PGA reduction on the basis of the PGA produced by Rubisco carboxylation (2Vc), Rubisco oxygenation (Vo) and glyoxylate recycling (0.5Vo) and considers the PGA consumed by respiration. 1/3 is the stoichiometric conversion between respiration (expressed per CO ₂) and PR (expressed per triose).
NADPH demand tot	NADPHTOT	$PR_{TOT} + \frac{1}{2}V_O$	(7)	BS and M	PGA reduction consumes 1 NADPH per PGA. The total rate of PGA reduction is <i>PR_{TOT}</i> (see note to Eqn 6). In glyoxylate regeneration (per glyoxylate) 0.5 NADH is produced by glycine decarboxylase, 0.5 NADH is consumed by hydroxypyruvate reductase and one ferredoxin (equivalent to 0.5 NADPH) is consumed by glutamine synthetase. In total 0.5 NADPH is consumed per glyoxylate formed (equivalent to <i>V</i> ₀ rate, see Table S 2) (Yoshimura et al., 2004).
DHAP entering RPP	-	$PR_{TOT} - SS_{TOT}$	(8)	BS	The DHAP entering the RPP pathway corresponds to the total PGA reduction rate minus the DHAP used for starch synthesis, which in this work is expressed per triose.
Starch synthesis tot	SS _{TOT}	$\frac{A}{3}$	(9)	BS and M	In this model all net assimilation is converted to starch. This assumption does not influence energetics as starch synthesis has the same ATP demand as phloem-loaded sucrose. In Eqn 9, 1/3 converts the stoichiometry of A (expressed per CO ₂) to the stoichiometry of SS (expressed per triose).
PEP regeneration tot	-	V_P	(10)	BS and M	PEP regeneration rate equals PEP consumption rate V _P at steady state. PEP can be regenerated either by PPDK (mainly in M, but active also in BS) or by PEPCK in BS. In this study PPDK activity was assumed to be zero in BS
ATP demand tot	ATP _{BS} + ATP _M	$\begin{aligned} PR_{TOT} + V_{C} + 2V_{O} \\ + \frac{1}{2}SS_{TOT} + PEPCK \\ + 2PPDK \end{aligned}$	(11)	BS and M	Eqn 11 calculates the total ATP demand as the sum of ATP demand for PGA reduction (1 ATP per PGA corresponding to <i>PR</i>), RuBP regeneration (1 ATP per RuP corresponding to <i>V</i> _C + <i>V</i> _O), glyoxylate recycling (1 ATP per glyoxylate, corresponding to <i>V</i> _O), starch synthesis (0.5 ATP per triose, corresponding to <i>SS</i>) and PEP regeneration (1 ATP per PPDK catalytic event). Compared to the original formulation of the C4 model, Eqn 11 separates the ATP demand for PEPCK and PPDK, it includes the ATP demand for SS, and considers the PGA utilised by respiration which does not need to be reduced (see Eqn 6).
ATP demand in BS	ATP _{BS}	$PR_{BS} + V_c$ + 2 $V_0 + \frac{1}{2} SS_{BS}$ + PEPCK	(12)	BS	The ATP demand in BS is brought about by PGA reduction (at the rate of PR_{BS}), RuBP regeneration (at the rate of $V_c + V_0$), glyoxylate recycling (at the rate of V_0), starch synthesis (0.5 ATP per triose) and PEPCK activity (1 ATP per OAA) (see note to Eqn 11).
ATP demand in M	ATP _M	$2 PPDK + \frac{1}{2} SS_M + PR_M$	(13)	М	The ATP demand in M is brought about by PGA reduction (at the rate of PR_{M}), starch synthesis and PPDK (2 ATP per PYR) (see note to Eqn 11).
NADPH demand in BS	NADPH _{BS}	$PR_{BS} + \frac{1}{2}V_0$	(14)	BS	The NADPH demand in BS is brought about by PGA reduction (1 NADPH per PGA) and glyoxylate recycling, which consumes 0.5 NADPH per glyoxylate (corresponding to V ₀ , see also Suppl. Table S 1).
NADPH supply to BS	-	MDH _M	(15)	BS	All NADPH available in BS is produced in M and exported through the malate shuttle because we have assumed that no LET (i.e. water oxidation) occurred in BS. For this reason, the NADPH supply to BS corresponds to the NADPH consumed to reduce OAA to MAL in M, the process responsible for NADPH export, and not to the rate of MAL decarboxylation in BS, which depends on <i>T</i> , <i>PEPCK</i> and <i>MDH</i> _{BS} (Eqn. 19).
MDH activity in M	MDH_M	$PR_{BS} + \frac{1}{2}V_O$	(16)	М	MDH activity supplies the NADPH demand in BS. Eqn 16 was derived from Eqn 14 and 15.
Transamination	Т	$V_P - MDH_M$	(17)	BS and M	Eqn 17 expresses that at steady state all OAA is either transaminated or reduced. Since <i>T</i> bypasses the MDH _M reaction, which is the reaction responsible for NADPH export to BS (see note to Eqn 15), <i>T</i> has the function of balancing NADPH supply and demand, this becomes apparent when Eqn 15 and 17 are combined.
Malate dehydrogenase	MDH _{BS}	T-PEPCK	(18)	BS	MDH is assumed to operate a fast conversion at equilibrium therefore it is passively regulated by the substrate availability: the OAA that is not used by PEPCK is reduced to MAL by MDH. MDH may use NADH, since no NADPH dependent reduction of OAA has been observed in maize (Kanai and Edwards, 1999) and it is likely mitochondrial (Rathnam, 1978; Chapman and Hatch, 1981). The NADH regeneration may be carried out by chloroplastic ME which is reported to react both with NADP and NAD (Chapman and Hatch, 1981), However the process may be more complicated [(Eprintsev et al., 2011) and references therein]. Note that in this study we assumed that cells are decompartmentalized while PEPCK rate was manipulated to increase between 0 and a maximum rate in response to ATP availability (see minimum and maximum BS allocation for details).
Malic enzyme	ME	MDH _M +MDH _{BS}	(19)	BS	Eqn 19 expresses that the rate of MAL oxidation by ME corresponds to the rate of MAL produced by MDH activity in M plus the rate of MAL produced by MDH activity in BS.
Pyruvate	PPDK	V _P -PEPCK	(20)	М	The PEP regenerated by PEPCK in BS diffuses to M and reduces the requirement of PEP regenerated by PPDK in M.
PGA reduction M	PR _M	PRTOT - PRBS	(21)	М	PGA reduction is a shared process between BS and M.

Table 3. Energy partitioning between BS and M at different wavelengths. Measured leaf reflectance and transmittance (Woolley, 1971) was used to parameterize the optical model (Fig. 2) to calculate the likely profiles of light penetration at different wavelengths. Absorbed light partitioning (AB BS / M) was calculated integrating such light absorption profiles (Fig. 2, right panel) over the corresponding BS and M areas (Fig. 2 left) and used to calculate ATP production partitioning J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM}.

Wavelength	Description	Refl. %	Transm. %	$AB\frac{BS}{M}$	J _{ATPBS} J _{ATPM}
400 nm	Lowest $AB \frac{BS}{M}$	4	0.1	0.15	0.29
460 nm	Blue LED used	5	1	0.16	0.31
635 nm	Red LED used	6	7	0.34	0.68
400 – 700 nm	Natural white light	8	9	0.38	0.76
522 nm	Green LED used	8	11	0.40	0.80
540 nm	Highest $AB \frac{BS}{M}$	13	23	0.48	0.96

Table 4. Physiological responses of maize plants to different light qualities. The light compensation point (LCP) was determined by fitting light curves with dedicated software; Respiration in the light (R_{LIGHT}) was determined by linear regression of A against PAR·Y(II) / 3; bundle sheath conductance (g_{BS}) was determined by fitting a modelled J_{ATP} to the measured J_{ATP} (Fig. 3). Differences were not significant for P < 0.05. Mean values \pm SE. n = 4

	Unit	Mean	RGB	R	G	В
LCP	μE m ⁻² s ⁻¹	29.04	28.05 (± 1.8)	21.24 (± 3.1)	33.10 (± 4.1)	33.75 (± 5.7)
RLIGHT	μ mol O ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.169	1.202 (± 0.090)	1.231 (± 0.11)	1.148 (± 0.11)	1.095 (± 0.12)
g _{BS}	mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.00104	0.00127 (± 3.1•10 ⁻⁴)	$0.00117 (\pm 3.1 \cdot 10^{-4})$	$0.00075~(\pm 3.1 {f \cdot} 10^{-4})$	0.00247 (± 3.1•10 ⁻⁴)

Figures

Fig. 1. Metabolic model of C₄ assimilation, rates of reaction, and net fluxes between BS and M. The overall scheme reports the reactions of the CCM (Furbank, 2011), Rubisco carboxylation, the reactions of the RPP pathway, the synthesis of starch, respiration and glyoxylate recycling reactions. The tables, with the corresponding enzyme name, show the actual reaction rates, expressed as relative to GA (5.13 µmol /m⁻² s⁻¹), per unit of substrate transformed. Rates were estimated by parameterizing the model equations (Table 2) with data measured under PAR = 125 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (A= 3.96 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; R_{LIGHT} = 1.17 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; J_{ATP} = 28.6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), the output of the C₄ model (V_C=5.35 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; V_P=5.89 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹; V_O=0.44 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and the output of the isotopic discrimination model (Φ = 0.23), under three characteristic ratios of ATP partitionings. These were numbered 1, 2 and 3. Condition 1 corresponds to the lowest ATP available in BS (ATP partitioning equal to that under red light, Fig. 4 B), condition 3 corresponds to the highest ATP available in BS (ATP partitioning equal to that under green light, Fig. 4 B). The inset shows net metabolite fluxes between M and BS in multiples of GA. The ATP demand in BS (ATP_{BS}) and M (ATP_M), the total NADPH demand (NADPH_{TOT}) and the NADPH demand in BS (NADPH_{BS}) were also calculated in the same three relevant conditions.



Fig. 2. Light penetration in a maize leaf. Left panel shows the modelled maize anatomy. A square BS is surrounded by three portions of mesophyll: interveinal mesophyll (MI), adaxial mesophyll (MAD) and abaxial mesophyll (MAB). Epidermis was approximated as a flat reflecting surface. Light penetration was studied through profiles P1 and P2. Right panel shows P1-light profiles (bold lines) and P2-light profiles (thin lines) calculated with the Kubelka-Munk (absorption + scattering) theory and calibrated with spectroscopic data (Table 3). Radiation is expressed as the sum of downward + upward photon flux, as a fraction of incident photon flux (dimentionless), and plotted against the depth in the absorbing path of the leaf.



Fig. 3. Maize responses to decreasing light intensity under different light qualities. (**A**) Net assimilation (A). The curves were fitted in order to calculate the light compensation point (Table 4). The inset shows a magnification. (**B**) Total ATP production rate (J_{ATP}), measured with the low O₂ - ETR method. (**C**) On-line isotopic discrimination during photosynthesis (Δ). (**D**) Leakiness (Φ) resolved from Δ . Error bars represent standard error. n=4.



Fig. 4. Partitioning of metabolic activities in BS cells and associated shifts in ATP and NADPH demand. Panel (A), the output of the metabolic model, shows as a function of increasing theoretical ATP demand partitioning (ATP_{BS} / ATP_M), i) the increasing contribution of BS (solid lines) to the total PGA reduction (PR, relative to the total), starch synthesis (SS, relative to the total) and PEPCK (relative to the highest rate); ii) the predicted NADPH demand in BS, relative to the total (NADPH_{BS} / NADPH_{TOT}, dotted line), and iii) the predicted transamination rate, relative to V_P (T / V_P , dashed line). In panel (B) the output of the metabolic model is compared with the empirical data. Model output is shown by a dashed line: the predicted ATP demand for gross assimilation (ATP_{BS} + ATP_M) / GA is plotted as a function of predicted ATP demand partitioning ATP_{BS} / ATP_M. Empirical data are shown as diamonds: the measured J_{ATP} / GA, under blue, red, RGB and green light (Table 1), is plotted against the estimated ATP production partitioning J_{ATPBS} / J_{ATPM} at 460 nm, 635 nm, white light and 522 nm (estimated through the optical model, Table 3). The lowest ATP_{BS} / ATP_M was named condition 1 (left arrow), the partitioning corresponding to red light was named condition 2 (central arrow) while the highest ATP_{BS} / ATP_M was named condition 3 (right arrow).



Process	Localization	Per	ATP	NADPH
PGA reduction to DHAP	M+BS	PGA	1	1
RuP phosphorylation	BS	pentose	1	
Glyoxylate regeneration	BS	Glyoxylate	1	0.5
Starch synthesis	M+BS	triose	0.5	
PEPCK		OAA	1	
PPDK	М	PYR	2	

Supplementary Table S 1. ATP and NADPH demand for key C_4 processes.

Supplementary Table S 2. Definitions, equations, and variables used in the models.

Symbol Definition Values / I a ¹³ C fractionation due to diffusion of CO ₂ in air. Because of vigorous ventilation we neglected the fractionation of the boundary layer (Kromdijk <i>et al.</i> , 2010). 4.4 ‰ (Ci ad ¹³ C fractionation due to diffusion of CO ₂ in water 0.7 ‰ (O' b3 ¹³ C fractionation during carboxylation by Rubisco including respiration and photorespiration fractionation b ₃ = ‰ % b' 3 - ^{et reLightT+f·F} / _V (Farquhar, 1983). Where F is the photorespiration rate and was calculated as F=0.5·Vo [(von	Inite / Poforonoos
a 13 C fractionation due to diffusion of CO ₂ in air. Because of vigorous ventilation we neglected the fractionation of the boundary layer (Kromdijk <i>et al.</i> , 2010). 4.4 ‰ (C a _d 13 C fractionation due to diffusion of CO ₂ in water 0.7 ‰ (O' b ₃ 13 C fractionation during carboxylation by Rubisco including respiration and photorespiration fractionation $b_3 = $ % b' ₃ $\frac{e^{i\cdot R_Lightr} + f \cdot F}{v}$ (Farquhar, 1983). Where <i>F</i> is the photorespiration rate and was calculated as <i>F</i> =0.5 ·Vo [(von	
a_d ¹³ C fractionation due to diffusion of CO2 in water0.7 % (O b_3 13 C fractionation during carboxylation by Rubisco including respiration and photorespiration fractionation $b_3 = b'_3 - \frac{e^{i\cdot R_{LIGHT} + f \cdot F}}{v}$ (Farquhar, 1983). Where F is the photorespiration rate and was calculated as $F=0.5 \cdot V_0$ [(von0.7 % (O	raig, 1953)
b_3	l earv 1984)
$b_{3}^{\prime} - \frac{e^{r_{R_{LIGHT}} + f \cdot F}}{v}$ (Farquhar, 1983). Where F is the photorespiration rate and was calculated as F=0.5-V ₀ [(von	
$b_3 - \frac{1}{2}$ (Fargunar, 1983). Where F is the photorespiration rate and was calculated as F=0.5 V ₀ [(von	
Caemmerer, 2013), Nerea Ubierna, personal communication]	
b ₃ ' ¹³ C fractionation during carboxylation by Rubisco 30 ‰ (Rc	beske and Oleary, 1984)
b_4 Net fractionation by CO ₂ dissolution by dration and PEPC carboxylation including respiratory fractionation $b_4 = \frac{9}{20}$	
$L = \frac{e^{iR}}{2} M_{i} = e$	
$D_A = \frac{1}{V_P}$ (Farquiar, 1963; Henderson et al., 1992).	
$b_{4'}$ Net fractionation by CO ₂ dissolution, hydration and PEPC carboxylation5.7 % at	25 °C but variable with temperature
(Farouha	r. 1983: Henderson et al., 1992: Kromdiik et
al. 2010)	· · · · · ·
Ces XIATP_RLIGHT_A	-1
CO ₂ concentration in the bundle sheath $C_{BS} = \frac{2}{C} \frac{2}{C} + C_M$	
Cry 20 second statistic the march H C C C A	-1
$C_M = C_2$ concentration in the mesophyll $C_M = C_1 - \frac{1}{g_M}$	
C _i CO ₂ concentration in the intercellular spaces as calculated by the IRGA (Li-cor manual Eqn 1-18). µmol mol	-1
e ¹³ C fractionation during decarboxylation 0 % to -1	0 % (Gillon and Griffiths 1997 Ghashqhaie
etal 200	11: Inamberdiev et al. 2004: Hymus et al.
2005-Ba	rbour et al 2007: Sun et al 2012) -6 % in
	(Kromdiik of al. 2010)
α' 130 fractionation during decarboxylation including the correction for measurement addicates $\alpha' = 1$	(Rionally of a., 2010).
e^{-3C} indiciduation during declaboxylation, including the conection for measurement aneracts. $e^{-2C} = e^{-1}$	
$\delta = C_{measurements} - \delta = C_{growth chamber}$	
In this study δ^{13} C _{measurements} = -6.38 ‰; δ^{13} C _{growth chamber} = -8 ‰ (Wingate <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	
$ e_s ^{13}$ C fractionation during internal CO ₂ dissolution 1.1 % (Vo	ogel et al., 1970; Mook et al., 1974; Vogel,
1980).	
f ¹³ C fractionation during photorespiration11.6 % (Lanigan <i>et al.</i> , 2008).
g _{BS} Bundle sheath conductance to CO ₂ , calculated by curve fitting mol m ² s ⁻¹	1
a _M Mesophyll conductance to CO ₂ 1 mol m ²	s-1 bar-1 (Kromdiik et al., 2010)
a Stomata conductance to CO ₂ mol m ² s:	1
$\int dr D = 0$ and $\int dr$	s-1 (Bellasio and Griffiths 2013)
A IP production rate $\int_{ATP} = \frac{1}{0.59 \text{Y}(II) \log \theta_2}$	
$-\nu + \sqrt{\nu^2 - 4wz}$ $\mu E m^2 S^{-1}$	um (von Caemmerer, 2000; Bellasio and
Modelled ATP production rate $f_{MOD} = \frac{1}{2w}$	2013: Ubierna et al. 2013)
$w = \frac{x - x^2}{2}, y = \frac{1 - x}{2} \left[\frac{g_{BS}}{g_{BS}} + \left(C_{xx} - \frac{R_M}{2} - y^* O_{xx} \right) - 1 - \frac{\alpha y^*}{2} \right] - \frac{x}{2} \left(1 + \frac{R_{LIGHT}}{2} \right), z = \left(1 + \frac{R_{LIGHT}}{2} \right) \left(R_{xx} - \frac{R_{M}}{2} - y^* O_{xx} \right) - 1 - \frac{\alpha y^*}{2} \right)$	
G_{A} , G_{M} , G	
$g_{BS} C_M - \frac{7 g_{BS} \gamma O_M}{1} + (R_{LIGHT} + A) \left(1 - \frac{7 \alpha \gamma}{2 \alpha \gamma M}\right)$	
3-0.04//	
Our Op mol fraction in the mesonbull cells (in air at aquilibrium) 210000 u	umol mol-1
On O zino inaction in the inesolphylicells (in all at equilibrium)	
U ₈₅ U ₂ πιοι παζιοτι τη της puncte sneath ceils (in air at equilibrium) μποι ποι	
$O_{BS} = O_M + \frac{1}{0.047 \text{ as}}$ (von Caemmerer, 2000)	
$R_{\rm M}$ Mesophyll non photorespiratory CO ₂ production in the light $R_{\rm M} = 0.5 R_{\rm MCMT}$ (von Caemmerer 2000) Kromdlik et al unol m ²	s ⁻¹
s Eractionation during leakage of CO ₂ out of the bundle sheath cells 1.9.%. (LL	enderson et al. 1992)
	har and Cornucak 2012)
I remary effects t = $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	inar anu Gernusak, 2012)
2000 gas	
parameter Trmmol), q_{ac} / mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO ₂ in air (calculated by the IRGA software	
parameter Trmmol), g_{ac} / mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO ₂ in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO ₂), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air	
parameter Trmmol), g_{ac} / mol m ² s ⁻¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO ₂ in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO ₂), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air.	s-1
$^{2000 g_{ac}}$ parameter Trmmol), g_{ac} / mol m ² s ⁻¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO ₂ in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air.VcRubisco carboxylation rate $V_{c} = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{2Y^{OBS}}$ (Ubierna <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	S ⁻¹
$\frac{1}{2000 g_{ac}} parameter Trimmol), g_{ac} / mol m^2 s^{-1} is the conductance to diffusion of CO2 in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air. \frac{V_{C}}{Rubisco carboxylation rate V_{C}} = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{C}{RS}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) \frac{V_{C}}{Rubisco carboxylation rate V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - \frac{A}{R_{LIC}} (Ubierna et al., 2011)}{V_{C} - \frac{A}{R_{LIC}} (Ubierna et al., 2011)} $	s ¹
$2000 g_{ac}$ parameter Trmmol), g_{ac} / mol m ² s ⁻¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO ₂ in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air. V_c Rubisco carboxylation rate $V_c = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{Y^*O_{BS}}{c_{BS}}}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m ² V_o Rubisco oxygenation rate $V_o = \frac{V_c - A_{RLIGHT}}{0.5}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m ²	\$ ¹
V_{C}	\$ ¹ \$ ¹
2000 gacparameter Trimmol), gac / mol m² s¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO2 in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air.VcRubisco carboxylation rate $V_{c} = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{Y^{*}O_{BS}}{C_{BS}}}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m²VoRubisco oxygenation rate $V_{o} = \frac{V_{c} - A - R_{LIGHT}}{0.5}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m²VpPEP Carboxylation rate $V_{P} = \frac{x_{JATP}}{2}$	\$1 \$1
2000 gacparameter Trimmol), gac / mol m² s¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO2 in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air.VcRubisco carboxylation rate $V_{c} = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{Y^*OBS}{C_{BS}}}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m²VoRubisco oxygenation rate $V_{o} = \frac{V_{c}-A-R_{LIGHT}}{0.5}$ (Ubierna et al., 2011) μ mol m²VpPEP Carboxylation rate $V_{P} = \frac{xJ_{ATP}}{2}$ Ψ Ψ Leakiness estimated with the isotope method including respiratory and photorespiratory fractionation, ternarydimention	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012)
$\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} + \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} + \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} + \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} $	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012)
$\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{RLIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{$	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ Ness (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012)
$\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - V_{C}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) $ $\frac{V_{C}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_{LIGHT}}{V_{C}} = \frac{V_{C} - A_$	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010;
$\frac{1}{V_{C}} = \frac{1}{V_{C}} $	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; et al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 2013)
$\frac{1}{P_{P}} = \frac{1}{P_{P}} $	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; et al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 2013) vards and Baker, 1993; von Caemmerer,
$\frac{2000 g_{ac}}{parameter Trmmol), g_{ac} / mol m^2 s^{-1} is the conductance to diffusion of CO2 in air (calculated by the IRGA software,parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air. \frac{V_{c}}{Rubisco carboxylation rate V_{c} = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{V^{2}O_{BS}}{C_{BS}}} (Ubierna et al., 2011) \frac{\mu mol m^{2}}{\frac{1}{C_{BS}}} \frac{V_{o}}{Rubisco oxygenation rate V_{o} = \frac{V c - A-R_{LIGHT}}{0.5} (Ubierna et al., 2011) \frac{\mu mol m^{2}}{\frac{1}{C_{BS}}} \frac{V_{P}}{PEP Carboxylation rate V_{P} = \frac{x I_{ATP}}{2}} \frac{\Phi}{Leakiness estimated with the isotope method including respiratory and photorespiratory fractionation, ternary effects and estimating CBS with the C4 model \Phi = \frac{C_{BS} - C_{M}}{C_{M}} \frac{b_{4} C_{M} (1+t) + a(C_{a} - C_{1}) - b_{3} C_{BS} + s(C_{BS} - C_{M})]}{(1+t)[C_{a} A O_{BS} (1-t) - a(C_{a} - C_{1}) - b_{3} C_{BS} + s(C_{BS} - C_{M})]} \frac{x}{Partitioning factor of J_{ATP} between C4 activity V_{P} and C3 activity (reductive pentose phosphate pathway, RuBP)}{a} \frac{0.4 (von C4)}{0.5 (Edw} 2000; Krcc)$	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; et al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 2013) vards and Baker, 1993; von Caemmerer, omdijk et al., 2010).
V_{C} <	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; at al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 2013) vards and Baker, 1993; von Caemmerer, mdijk et al., 2010).
Parameter Trimol), g_{ac} / mol m² s¹ is the conductance to diffusion of CO2 in air (calculated by the IRGA software, parameter CndCO2), a is the isotopic fractionation during diffusion in air. V_c Rubisco carboxylation rate $V_c = \frac{(A+R_{LIGHT})}{1-\frac{Y^*OBS}{C_BS}}$ (Ubierna <i>et al.</i> , 2011) μ mol m² V_o Rubisco oxygenation rate $V_o = \frac{V_c - A - R_{LIGHT}}{0.5}$ (Ubierna <i>et al.</i> , 2011) μ mol m² V_ρ PEP Carboxylation rate $V_p = \frac{x_{IATP}}{2}$ 0.5 Ψ Leakiness estimated with the isotope method including respiratory and photorespiratory fractionation, ternary $(1+t)]C_a \Delta_{OBS}(1-t)-a(C_a - C_i)-b_3 C_{BS} - C_M)]$ dimention x Partitioning factor of J_{ATP} between C4 activity V_P and C3 activity (reductive pentose phosphate pathway, RuBP Ubierna et al. 0.15 (Edw 2000 ; Krc 0.4000193 q'' Half of the reciprocal of the Rubisco specificity 0.000193 $Y(ll)$ Yield of photosystem II $Y(U) = \frac{F'_m - F_S}{2}$ (Genty et al. 1989) 1080	s ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ nless (Farquhar and Cernusak, 2012) Caemmerer, 2000; Kromdijk et al., 2010; at al., 2011; Ubierna et al., 2013) vards and Baker, 1993; von Caemmerer, mdijk et al., 2010). (von Caemmerer, 2000). nless

Supplementary Fig. S 1. (A) Stomatal conductance and (B) Ci / Ca responses measured by gas exchange under decreasing light intensity, and under different light qualities. (C): response of C_{BS} to decreasing light intensity, under different light qualities, estimated by the C₄ model. Error bars represent standard error. n=4.



Supplementary Fig. S 2. Maize photochemical responses to decreasing light intensity under different light qualities. (A) Yield of photosystem I, determined with the low O_2 -Electron Transport Rate method (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2013) (B) Yield of photosystem II, Y(II), determined by chlorophyll fluorescence. (C) Y(I) / Y(II). Error bars represent standard error. n=4.



Supplementary Fig. S 3. values for the calculation of Δ .

 Δ was calculated as (Evans et al., 1986):

$$\Delta_{OBS} = \frac{\xi(\delta_o - \delta_e)}{1 + \delta_o - \xi(\delta_o - \delta_e)}$$
(22)

Where: $\xi = \frac{C_e}{C_e - C_o}$; δ_e is the isotopic composition of the reference gas. δ_o is the isotopic composition of the gas leaving the cuvette. C_e and C_o represent the CO₂ mole fraction respectively entering and leaving the cuvette. These were corrected for differences in water content according to (von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1981).

