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Summary

In planta production of the bioplastic polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is one important way in which plant biotechnology can address environmental problems and emerging issues related to peak oil. However, high biomass C4 plants such as maize, switch grass and sugarcane develop adverse phenotypes including stunting, chlorosis and reduced biomass as PHB levels in leaves increase. In this paper we explore limitations to PHB accumulation in sugarcane chloroplasts using a Systems Biology approach, coupled with a metabolic model of C4 photosynthesis. Decreased assimilation was evident in high PHB-producing sugarcane plants, which also showed a dramatic decrease in sucrose and starch content of leaves. A subtle decrease in the C/N ratio was found which was not associated with a decrease in total protein content. An increase in amino acids used for nitrogen recapture was also observed. Based on the accumulation of substrates of ATP-dependent reactions we hypothesised ATP starvation in bundle sheath chloroplasts. This was supported by mRNA differential expression patterns. The disruption in ATP supply in bundle sheath cells appears to be linked to the physical presence of the PHB polymer which may disrupt photosynthesis by scattering photosynthetically active radiation and/or physically disrupting thylakoid membranes.
Introduction

Plant biotechnology plays an important role in addressing emerging issues related to climate change (Karaba et al., 2007; Varshney et al., 2011), global food security, the management of pests and diseases, and as a source of renewable feed stocks for industry (Fesenko and Edwards, 2014). While the potential to use plant biotechnology to reshape agriculture or grow renewable raw materials for industry is significant, redesigning plants using biotechnology requires detailed understanding of the plant’s metabolic, gene regulatory and cell signalling pathways (Zurbriggen et al., 2012). For example, the stimulation of demand must be tuned both spatially and temporally to avoid conflict with native metabolic processes and particularly with the energy status of the cell (Morandini, 2013). Systems biology offers invaluable tools to understand emergent properties of cell biochemistry and physiology (Smolke and Silver, 2011) and is used extensively in basic research (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014; Pick et al., 2011; Van Norman and Benfey, 2009; Zhu et al., 2010). However, the potential for understanding the effects of introduced pathways on native metabolic processes in plants has not been exploited.

In this paper we apply systems biology to study the limitations to production of the bioplastic PHB in sugarcane leaves. PHB is a thermoplastic biodegradable polymer highly valuable for medical and food packaging applications (Philip et al., 2007). Although the main PHB source is microbial fermentation, recent studies have manipulated maize, sugarcane and switchgrass for PHB production (McQualter et al., 2014a; Petrasovits et al., 2007; Petrasovits et al., 2012; Poirier and Grauys, 2001; Purnell et al., 2007; Somleva et al., 2012; Somleva et al., 2013; Somleva et al., 2008). Sugarcane is ideal for PHB production. Firstly, it accumulates huge biomass due to the high yield potential of NADP-ME C₄ photosynthesis (Sage et al., 2013), a biochemical ‘turbocharger’ which concentrates CO₂ around Rubisco in the bundle sheath (BS) thus suppressing photorespiration (see top half of Figure 1). Secondly, established infrastructure exists for its cultivation, harvesting, transportation and processing (Altpeter et al., 2014; Lakshmanan et al., 2005; Ming et al., 2006; Nel, 2010; Pan, 2012). And thirdly, PHB has been targeted to leaves to valorise a by-product which is generally left on the field (Landell et al., 2013).

PHB is synthesised by a three enzyme pathway and utilises acetyl-CoA as the initial substrate (Figure 1 and Supporting Table S1). A β-ketothiolase (EC 2.3.1.16) condenses two acetyl-CoA to form acetoacetyl-CoA. Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (EC 1.1.1.36) then catalyses the formation of 3-hydroxybutyral-CoA from acetoacetyl-CoA with the aid of...
NADPH. Finally, PHB synthase polymerises 3-hydroxybutyral-CoA monomers to form PHB. The PHB biosynthesis pathway from Cupriavidus necator was targeted to chloroplasts which contain abundant acetyl-CoA, ATP and reducing power. Acetyl-CoA is produced via the lower portion of the glycolytic pathway from 3-phosphoglycerate (PGA) with the net evolution of two CO$_2$, two ATP and one NADH (Supporting Table S1). PGA regeneration through CO$_2$ fixation, and the re-fixation of evolved CO$_2$ require considerable amounts of ATP and NADPH (Figure 1). Because of this contrast, comparing the overall effect of PHB production with native photosynthesis is not straightforward.

Our previous studies showed that low PHB-producing sugarcane plants are phenotypically normal. However a decrease in biomass, increased chlorosis (Petrasovits et al., 2012) or decreased carbohydrate content occurs (McQualter et al., 2014a) as PHB accumulates beyond a certain tipping point. This effect is reminiscent of carbon starvation (Basset et al., 2002; Brouquisse et al., 1992; Brouquisse et al., 1991; Devaux et al., 2003; Dieuaide-Noubhani et al., 1997; Gibon et al., 2009; James et al., 1996; Schluter et al., 2013; Schluter et al., 2012) and could be directly due to excessive diversion of carbon and energy to support PHB synthesis. Here we studied various indicators of carbon starvation including total protein content and the carbon/nitrogen ratio (Gibon et al., 2009). We resolved the temporal dynamics of 48 metabolites, including carbohydrates and amino acids, at seven sampling time points throughout the light/dark cycle. A metabolic model was newly designed starting from Bellasio and Griffiths (2014) to incorporate effects of PHB synthesis on native metabolism. Further, the metabolic model predicted changes in ATP and NADPH demand associated with the allocation of PHB synthesis to mesophyll (M) or bundle sheath (BS). The model predictions were further supported by comparative transcriptomic data obtained by next generation sequencing. We found that minor carbon starvation was evident, but not directly due to excessive diversion of carbon and energy to support PHB synthesis. The evidence suggests that the physical presence of PHB granules perturbs ATP production in BS.
While the cause of chlorosis and decreased biomass in high PHB producing plants is unknown, it has been speculated that the photosynthetic efficiency of these plants is compromised (Somleva et al., 2013). To determine whether the stunted phenotype displayed by high PHB-producing lines (McQualter et al., 2014b) might be linked to changes in net assimilation ($A$) we compared a range of four month old, glasshouse grown PHB-producing lines across the threshold at which carbohydrate becomes significantly depleted. These included sugarcane WT cultivar Q117 and transgenic PHB-producing lines with increasing PHB production levels in leaves [individually reported in Figure 2 caption (Petrasovits et al., 2012)]. $A$ in lines TA4 and 4F1 ($A=18.7\pm1.5$ and $19.1\pm1.8 \mu \text{mol}\cdot \text{m}^{-2}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ respectively) was comparable to WT ($16.7\pm2.9 \mu \text{mol}\cdot \text{m}^{-2}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, Figure 2). For the highest PHB-producing lines 8C8 and 7C3 however, $A$ was much lower than WT ($12.4\pm1.3$ and $6.1\pm1.7 \mu \text{mol}\cdot \text{m}^{-2}\cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ respectively, Figure 2).

**Carbon / nitrogen balance**

Diversion of carbon into a non-reusable PHB sink could potentially lead a situation known as carbon starvation. Symptoms of carbon starvation include reduced C/N, increased proteolysis and changes in amino acid composition. We measured carbon and nitrogen content in the leaves of our PHB producing plants. Leaf material for this and subsequent analyses came from plants grown in a plant growth chamber with controlled lighting and temperature. Total carbon content was unaffected by the introduction of the PHB metabolic sink at PHB levels of around 1-1.5% leaf DW, with no chlorosis or stunting (Figure 3A), however a slight increase in total N was observed (Figure 3B) which subtly decreased the C/N ratio in PHB lines (Figure 3C). Protein content was not significantly different between WT and the transgenic lines (Figure 3D). Overall these results suggest mild carbon starvation. To disentangle possible contrasting trends, we then resolved individual C and N metabolites over seven sampling points in a diurnal cycle (Figure 4 and S1).

**Changes in metabolites across the diurnal cycle.**
Starch and sucrose accumulation rates were estimated as the difference between their abundance at onset of light and end of light, divided by hours of light. For starch the rate of accumulation was 42, 6 and 10 mg·100 g⁻¹ leaf DW·hr⁻¹ respectively for WT, 7B4 and 7C3. For sucrose the rate of accumulation was 161, 67 and 62 mg·100 g⁻¹ leaf DW·hr⁻¹ for WT, 7B4 and 7C3 respectively. The precursor of sucrose, uridine diphosphoglucose (UDPG) did not vary appreciably between WT and PHB-producing lines, suggesting that sucrose synthesis may have been regulated at another stage, perhaps at the level of sugar phosphates synthesis. While in WT sugar phosphates increased during the light and decreased in the dark (Geiger and Servaites, 1994; Weise et al., 2011), in PHB-producing lines G6P and F6P accumulated in the dark. Accumulation of G6P and F6P was accompanied by a dramatic three-fold higher accumulation of Ribose-5P and Ribulose-5P during the light (Figure 4) in PHB-producing lines compared to WT. This suggests that perhaps the regeneration of ADP-glucose and RuBP, reactions requiring ATP, were down-regulated. We hypothesised that PHB synthesis in BS reduced ATP availability therein. Metabolic modelling (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014) has previously clarified that this situation would create a bottleneck in carbon assimilation and bring about a reduction in NADPH demand in BS (see Discussion). This hypothesis is consistent with observed increases in low energy metabolites ADP and AMP in PHB-producing lines (Figure 4). Further, in the PHB-producing lines, malate, the key carrier of reducing power to BS was more abundant (Figure 4), suggesting lower NADPH demand in BS. In this situation, to operate a functional C₄ cycle, CO₂ delivery to BS would be shifted from the malate/pyruvate shuttle to the aspartate/alanine shuttle (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). Consistently, in the PHB-producing lines we observed an increase in aspartate and alanine and a substantial decrease in pyruvate (Figure 4).

Glutamine and glycine increased and aspartate and glutamate decreased dramatically during the first four hours of the light cycle in WT sugarcane (Figure 4). Aspartate showed a similar diurnal profile in WT and line 7B4 while in the highest PHB-producing line 7C3, aspartate was more abundant at the onset of first light. Glutamate in WT decreased to a minimum value over the first four hours of light then recovered and maintained at its original peak value for the duration of the dark period. Both 7B4 and 7C3 showed the same initial decrease in glutamate over the first four hours of light. However, during the remainder of the light period, glutamate stayed at this minimum value until end of light. The profile of glutamine was essentially the inverse of glutamate. The diurnal fluctuation in glycine levels was subdued in PHB-producing lines compared to WT. All other amino acids were either equivalent or elevated in PHB-producing lines compared to WT (Figure 4).
The combined abundance of citrate and isocitrate, metabolites of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, was lower in PHB-producing lines than in WT (Figure 4). Aconitate content was elevated in PHB-producing lines, compared to WT, particularly so in the highest PHB-producing line 7C3. The organic acid α-ketoglutarate, which also acts as a carbon scaffold for amino acid synthesis by combining with ammonia to form glutamate (Magalhaes et al., 1974), was less abundant in PHB-producing lines than WT. Differences between scarce amounts of succinate and fumarate were difficult to determine while glyoxylate was similarly abundant in WT and PHB-producing lines.

mRNA differential expression

Of a total of 31345 genes compared, 177 were differentially expressed including 172 native genes and 5 transgenes (>2 fold difference, q< 0.05, Table S2). Of these, 91 were down-regulated and 86 (including 5 transgenes) were up-regulated in expression in the transgenic line. A subset of the differentially expressed genes from central carbon metabolism is shown in Table 1.

We explored links between transcript abundance and changes in metabolite abundance. The results suggest a major shift in carbon metabolism synthesis to degradation: i) starch synthase III-1a, involved in synthesis of amylopectin is down-regulated (Table 1). ii) beta-amylase, involved in starch degradation is up-regulated (Table 1). iii) Sucrose synthase and beta fructofuranosidase (invertase), are up-regulated (Table 1). These are cytosolic proteins involved in degrading sucrose to UDP-glucose and fructose. Further, a soluble acid invertase localized to the vacuole (Rae et al., 2011) is down-regulated (Table 1) supporting the idea of a change in the sucrose accumulation dynamics. iv) Chloroplastic phosphoglucomutase, which was up-regulated (Table 1), is a major controller of carbon exiting the reductive pentose phosphate cycle providing G1P for starch synthesis, regulated by sugar-phosphates and PGA, however, the reaction is reversible and here it could be involved in starch degradation. v) A Chloroplastic fructokinase-like protein, was strongly up-regulated (Table 1). These are potential plastidial thioredoxin z (TRX z) targets involved in sugar signalling and redox regulation of transcription of the chloroplast and shown to be involved in control of plant carbon metabolism (Arsova et al., 2010; Gilkerson et al., 2012).

Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase 1 (PPCK1, Figure 1), which activates C₄ PEPC by reversible phosphorylation, was down-regulated (Table 1). C₄ PEPC (Shenton et al., 2006) plays a key role in maintaining the C:N balance by providing of C skeletons for amino acid
biosynthesis as well as supplying malate for decarboxylation and NADPH generation in the BS. Studies in Arabidopsis and rice show that PPCK genes are suppressed by Pi and induced by Pi starvation, carbon availability and increasing intracellular pH (Chen et al., 2009; Fukayama et al., 2006).

An NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME) transcript similar to ZmCyt3-NADP-ME, which responds to high pH in leaf and stem and abscisic acid in roots (Alvarez et al., 2013), was down-regulated in the PHB producing line. The remaining four members of the NADP-ME family, similar to ZmC4-NADP-ME, ZmnonC4-NADP-ME, Zmcyt1-NADP-ME and Zmcyt2-NADP-ME, (Alvarez et al., 2013) where unaffected.

A major shift of nitrogen metabolism from assimilation to recapturing was apparent: i) while the expression of the glutamate synthase (Fd-GOGAT) involved in nitrogen assimilation (Watanabe et al., 1996) did not change (not shown), the expression of NADH-GOGAT, involved in the utilization of remobilized nitrogen (Hayakawa et al., 1994), increased three fold (Table 1). ii) The up-regulation (Table 1) of chloroplastic glutamine synthetase (GS), which reacts glutamate and ammonia to glutamine, may act as a scavenger of the nascent ammonia resulting from increased amino acid catabolism (Sakakibara et al., 1992). iii) The gene for asparagine synthetase ASN1 is up-regulated (Table 1). Asparagine is a favoured compound for nitrogen storage and transport (Sieciechowicz et al., 1988). The expression of ASN1 is under the metabolic control of the C/N ratio (Hanson et al., 2008; Lam et al., 1994), and ASN1 was induced by stresses and repressed in the presence of sucrose or glucose (Baena-Gonzalez et al., 2007). This suggests that when C/N ratios decrease (Figure 3), N is directed into asparagine (Figure 4), which acts as a shunt for storage and/or long-distance transport of nitrogen (Lea and Miflin, 1980; Lea et al., 1990). iv) A nitrate/nitrite transporter (NRT2.5) was down-regulated (Table 1). This transporter may play a role in the transport of stored nitrate from the vacuole into the cytoplasm, and was found to be important when nitrate uptake is reduced during senescence, either sugar-regulated or related to leaf development (Okamoto et al., 2003; Orsel et al., 2004; Rossato et al., 2001). v) A nitrilase gene, similar to maize nitrilase 1, which is involved in auxin biosynthesis and/or cyanide detoxification (Kriechbaumer et al., 2007; Park et al., 2003) was down-regulated (Table 1). vi) One isoform of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) was up-regulated (Table 1). PAL is the first and committed step in the phenyl propanoid pathway and is therefore involved in the biosynthesis of the polyphenol compounds such as flavonoids, phenylpropanoids, and lignin. It responds to stress events (Di Ferdinando et al., 2012; Fini et al., 2011), may be responsible
for ammonia release, and may be partially responsible for the up-regulation of ammonia scavenging GS.

Metabolic modelling

To explore the possible reasons underpinning insufficient ATP supply in BS, we included the main reactions of C₄ photosynthesis together with PHB production (Figure 1) in a comprehensive metabolic model (Table 2, an Excel version is available in Supporting information). Firstly we asked whether PHB synthesis constitutes a substantial ATP and NADPH sink. In the young sugarcane plants used for the diurnal study, the leaf material accounted for around 90% of the entire plant biomass and 0.9 g/100 g and 1.08 g/100 g of assimilate was directed to PHB production for 7B4 and 7C3 respectively. Figure 5A and 5B show the total ATP and NADPH demand for assimilation at increasing levels of carbon diversion to PHB ($r_{CD}$ is the ratio of PGA used for PHB synthesis over that reduced by the RPP cycle). Although PHB synthesis results in net NADPH and ATP production, CO₂ is lost in pyruvate decarboxylation (Figure 1), and the recapture of lost CO₂ is metabolically costly. These two processes largely compensate and on a net assimilation basis PHB synthesis results in a negligible 0.5 and 0.9% increase for ATP and NADPH demand respectively when $r_{CD}$ increases from 0 to 0.1 (Figure 5A and 5B).

We further interrogated the model to see whether metabolic impairment can be due to the compartmentalisation of PHB synthesis, which mainly occurs in BS chloroplasts (McQualter et al., 2014b; Petrasovits et al., 2012). Figure 5C shows that the relative ATP demand in BS increased at increasing $r_{CD}$ but only when PHB synthesis was compartmentalised to M. When PHB synthesis was all compartmentalised to BS ($V_{DBS}/V_D=1$) ATP demand in BS decreased for increasing $r_{CD}$ reflecting the ATP advantage of pyruvate decarboxylation in BS. Figure 5D shows that the relative NADPH demand in BS decreases with PHB synthesis because of the reducing power made available with pyruvate oxidative decarboxylation, thus confirming that PHB synthesis does not constitute an additional burden on native metabolism.

Finally we asked whether the decreased NADPH demand in BS could bring about increased pressure on the transamination machinery and on the aspartate/alanine CO₂ shuttle. Indeed, model output predicted an increased transamination rate (Figure 5E) and an increased engagement of aspartate/malate decarboxylation (Figure 5F) at increasing $r_{CD}$ when $V_{DBS}/V_D>0.2$. Note that, because we hypothesised that ATP supply is limiting in BS, the
model output shown in Figure 5 refers to the condition in which the ATP demand in BS is minimum (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). Other cases are shown in Supporting Figure S2.

**Discussion**

In this study we applied a systems biology approach to study the limitations to PHB accumulation in sugarcane leaves following the observed chlorosis and reduced biomass in high PHB-producing transgenic sugarcane lines. Firstly we investigated C and N accumulation in leaves and we could measure a decrease in C/N but no decrease in total protein content. If these were the only results, we could have concluded that only mild carbon starvation occurred. However, when we augmented the resolution by analysing the daily fluctuations of 48 metabolites, we observed a dramatic decrease in sucrose and starch accumulation dynamics, and an increase in those amino acids used for N recapture and long distance transport. Further, from observing the dynamic accumulation of substrates of ATP dependent reactions (e.g. RuP, R5P, F6P, AMP) we hypothesised that ATP starvation is occurring in BS. Overall this hypothesis was confirmed by analysing mRNA differential expression, which highlighted three patterns: i) a shift in the CCM to adjust for reduced ATP availability in BS and consequent reduced NADPH demand; ii) a shift from carbohydrate synthesis to degradation; iii) a shift from N assimilation to N mobilisation/recapture/transport. Modelling highlighted that it is unlikely that carbon starvation was triggered directly by the additional burden of PHB. Further it showed that compartmentalisation of PHB to BS was not responsible for a change in ATP/NADPH source/sink equilibrium.

Decreased photosynthetic assimilation rate was observed in high but not low PHB-producers (Figure 1). Perhaps not synthesis but accumulation of PHB is responsible for degrading photosynthetic capacity, mediated by the physical presence of polymer granules in the chloroplast (Nawrath et al., 1994; Somleva et al., 2013). PHB granules change cell refractivity, and influence light-scattering properties in bacteria (Srienc et al., 1984). Further, because of space limitations, growing PHB granules compete for space with the photosynthetic machinery and inevitably disrupt thylakoid spatial organisation (Bohmert et al., 2000; Nawrath et al., 1994; Petrasovits et al., 2007; Petrasovits et al., 2012; Saruul et al., 2002; Somleva et al., 2008) (Figure 6). This could reduce the ATP availability in BS below the minimum threshold limit, under which RuBP regeneration is impaired (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). In fact, there is a finite window of plasticity of C₄ metabolism: some of the ATP demand (i.e. conversion of PGA to triose phosphates) can be shifted to M, but ATP
requirements for RuBP regeneration and the photorespiratory carbon oxidation (PCO) cycle are supplied exclusively by ATP produced in BS (Figure 1). If photophosphorylation is impaired because light reaching BS chloroplasts is not sufficient, the photosynthetic machinery jams, resulting in a decreased NADPH demand in BS and limited malate decarboxylation. This could lead to accumulation of malate and depletion of pyruvate as observed in PHB sugarcane lines. The feedback on malate could also be at the electron transport level: less light in BS would require less NDH-dependent cyclic electron flow in BS and this could feedback through thioredoxin to NADP-dependent malic enzyme deactivation (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014; Drincovich and Andreo, 1994). In the same manner, other enzymes which depend on thioredoxin for activation could be affected. For example, starch is synthesised by ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) which is activated by thioredoxin, and some beta-amylases may also be redox-regulated (Baier and Dietz, 2005; Geigenberger et al., 2005; Michalska et al., 2009; Thormahlen et al., 2013; Valerio et al., 2011). Hence, the diminished starch production in PHB-producing sugarcane plants could be evidence of redox regulation associated with polymer shading. Additionally, reduced light availability in BS could be responsible for the substantial decrease in sucrose in PHB-producing sugarcane lines. Low light intensities during the growth of rice resulted in an accumulation of fructose 2,6-bisphosphate (F26BP) (Reddy and Das, 1987) which modulates the key enzymes of sucrose biosynthesis thus regulating carbon flow under conditions of limited photosynthesis (Nielsen et al., 2004).

**Improvement of PHB production in sugarcane**

Strategies to increase PHB production in plants have relied initially on determining the most suitable sub-cellular compartment for expression of the PHB pathway (Nawrath et al., 1994; Petrasovits et al., 2007), with sufficient enzyme activity to maximise use of available substrate (Bohmert-Tatarev et al., 2011; Petrasovits et al., 2012; Somleva et al., 2008). Access to substrate in M was found to be problematic in C₄ grasses (McQualter et al., 2014b; Petrasovits et al., 2013) and remedied by the use of an acetoacetyl-CoA synthase in place of a β-ketothiolase (McQualter et al., 2014a). Increasing A by adjusting flux through the RPP cycle (Somleva et al., 2013) significantly corrected adverse phenotypes and increased PHB production in switchgrass plants. Enhanced A coupled with an inducible system for PHB pathway gene expression (Kourtz et al., 2007) could provide further improvements. In C₄ plants there may need to be some trade-offs in PHB synthesis: excluding PHB production
from BS [for example, using the PEPC promoter (Matsuoka et al., 1994)] excludes using
20% of the photosynthetically active volume of the leaf (Hattersley, 1984) for PHB
accumulation, but this might be compensated for by a resultant increase in BS ATP and hence
A. Manipulating energy supply has not yet been considered as a strategy for enhancing PHB
production, but here we show that this may be a major consideration in C₄ plants.
Alternatively, peroxisomal PHB production, where shading or disruption of chloroplasts
should not be problematic, has shown promise and could be explored further (Tilbrook et al.,
2011; Tilbrook et al., 2014). Other sub-cellular compartments do not appear to be suitable
(Petrasovits et al., 2007; Poirier et al., 1992).

**Lessons for C₄ engineering**

In recent years a substantial effort has been directed towards engineering C₄ systems in C₃
plants such as rice (Kajala et al., 2011; von Caemmerer et al., 2012). Morandini (2013) stated
the importance of an integrated approach in understanding the constraints to genetic
manipulation and Driever and Kromdijk (2013) recently reviewed several potential issues
arising from manipulation of a C₃ system, with particular regard to the interaction between
reducing power requirements, redox signalling and the native C₃ metabolism. Our work in
sugarcane highlights additional engineering challenges unique to the complex C₄ anatomical-
biochemical machinery, including physical constraints such as volume and light availability,
substrate availability and the need for a functional electron transport chain to supply cofactors
NADPH and ATP. For C₄ photosynthesis to work, sufficient ATP has to be made available in
BS: this requires space for the light harvesting machinery and sufficient light to reach BS to
drive photophosphorylation. Thus, for C₄ rice, the physiology of transport between M and BS
needs to be considered. The equations for the flow between M and BS that we presented here
may be a valuable tool in this endeavour.

**Conclusion**

In this study we investigated why increased chlorosis and decreased carbohydrate content
and biomass occurred in transgenic PHB-producing sugarcane as PHB accumulates above a
certain level in leaf tissue. Using a systems biology approach together with a metabolic
model of C₄ photosynthesis, we were able to identify mild carbon starvation in our high PHB
producers. Modelling highlighted that ATP starvation in BS was the cause, rather than carbon
starvation triggered directly by the additional burden of PHB biosynthesis. ATP starvation in
BS is thought to be directly due to the presence of PHB granules in BS chloroplasts, which either scatter photosynthetically active radiation due to their refractive properties, or physically disrupt thylakoid membranes. The findings of this study highlight the importance of C₄ metabolic models in helping to decipher the complex data generated from this kind of study.

Materials and Methods

Plants

PHB-producing sugarcane lines TA4, 4F1, 8C8, 7B4 and 7C3, derivatives of cultivar Q117, have been described previously (Petrasovits et al., 2007) and contain genes encoding PHB biosynthesis enzymes [β-ketothiolase (PHAA), acetoacetyl-reductase (PHAB) and PHB synthase (PHAC)] under the control of the Cabm5 promoter (Sullivan et al., 1989) and targeted to plastids. Plants were propagated from single eye stem cuttings in vermiculite fertilized with osmocote (Scotts, Bella Vista, NSW, Australia) and grown under ambient and supplemental lighting in a temperature controlled glasshouse (28°C) at the University of North Texas, Denton, Texas.

Gas exchange measurements

Gas exchange measurements were performed on glasshouse grown PHB-producing sugarcane lines TA4, 4F1, 8C8, 7C3 and WT Q117. Measurements were taken during winter with supplemental lighting, commenced at 0800 hours and lasted till midday. The youngest fully expanded three leaves, sampled from at least three different tillers per each genotype, were measured using a portable infrared-gas analyser (IRGA, LI6400 XT: Licor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) equipped with a 6 cm³ leaf chamber and a 6400-02B Red Blue light. Leaves were acclimated for 2 min at a photosynthetic photon flux density and measured 3 times at 1 minute intervals (PPFD) of 1500 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, CO₂ concentration of 400 µmol·mol⁻¹, temperature of 27°C, air flow adjusted to maintain vapour pressure deficit 1.0–1.2 kPa.

Diurnal study

Thirty single-eye stem cuttings each of WT Q117, 7B4 and 7C3 were planted into trays containing well watered vermiculite (Ausperl, Sydney, NSW, Australia) mixed with
osmocote. The cuttings were germinated and maintained in an E36-HO plant growth cabinet (Percival Scientific, Inc. Perry, IA, USA). PPFD was set at 1250 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ on a 12 hour light (28°C), 12 dark (24°C) cycle. At the six leaf stage, the bottom three leaves were removed from three randomly selected replicates of each line and snap frozen in liquid N₂. The tissue was ground to a fine powder in liquid N₂ and stored at -80°C prior to processing. The first sampling period commenced at the end of a 12 hour period of dark and was repeated every four hours to yield a total of seven sampling points representing a diurnal cycle of 12 hour light and 12 hour dark.

**Elemental analysis**

To obtain sufficient sample for analysis of total carbon and nitrogen content, some of the ground leaf material from each of the seven diurnal time points was pooled for two replicates. Elemental analysis was performed using a CHN elemental analyser by the Analytical Services Unit at the School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, the University of Queensland.

**Starch/PHB**

Approximately 100 mg of frozen ground leaf material per sample was used for the analysis. Starch was determined by a two-step enzymatic hydrolysis and HPLC determination of resulting glucose according to (Sluiter and Sluiter, 2005). PHB content was determined from 10-20 mg of dried leaf material by measuring its acid hydrolysis product, crotonic acid by HPLC (Petrasovits *et al.*, 2007).

**Metabolite extraction**

Metabolites were extracted for subsequent liquid chromatography using a modification from (Glassop *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, 100 mg frozen leaf powder was added to 700 µl extraction solution. The extraction solution consisted of 70 ml methanol, 200 µl of 10 mM $^{13}$C₅$^{15}$N-valine (aq); 200 µl of 1 mM $^{13}$C₆-sorbitol (in MeOH); 200 µl of 5 mM 1,2-$^{13}$C₂-myristic acid (in CHCl₃), 4 ml of 0.2 mg·ml⁻¹ adonitol + 0.2 mg·ml⁻¹ norleucine (aq), 6 ml of 2 mg·ml⁻¹ nonadecanoate-methyl ester (in CHCl₃). Samples were immediately incubated at 70°C for 10 min with frequent inversion. 580 µl deionised water and 500 µl CHCl₃ were then added and the sample was vortexed for 1 min. Polar and non-polar phases were separated by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The polar phase was re-extracted with CHCl₃.
Central carbon metabolites:

Reference standards and tributylamine (puriss plus grade) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Sigma Aldrich, NSW, Australia). HPLC Grade acetonitrile and acetic acid (AR Grade) was purchased from RCI Labscan (Bangkok, Thailand) and Labscan (Gliwice, Poland) respectively. Deionised water was generated via an Elga Purelab Classic water purification unit (Veolia Water Solutions and Technologies, Saint Maurice Cedex, France).

Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) data were acquired as described in Dietmair et al, 2012 with the following modifications: the analytical column was equipped with a pre-column Security Guard Gemini-NX C18 4 mm × 2 mm (Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany); the following additional analytes were quantified: cyclic AMP, glyoxylate, glycolate, creatine phosphate and UDP N-acetylglucosamine. The samples were run with sample- and analyte-relevant calibration standards and pooled quality-control samples (Sangster et al, 2006; Hodson et al, 2009) to control for reproducibility of data acquisition and to ensure data integrity. Azidothymidine (AZT) was used as an internal standard at a final concentration of 10 μM. Analyte stock solutions were prepared in purified water (Veolia) and aliquots of each solution were mixed to achieve a final calibrant solution at 200 μM. This calibrant solution was serially diluted and the dilutions used as calibration standards from 200 to 0.006 μM, constituting 9 ≤ x ≤ 20 calibration points for all analytes to account for differential responses in the mass spectrometer. Data were processed and analysed in Analyst 1.5.2 and MultiQuant 2.1.1 (ABSciex, Canada).

Amino acid analysis

Amino acids were quantified with a high-throughput derivatisation-reverse phase HPLC described previously (Chacko et al., 2014; Dietmair et al., 2012; Dietmair et al., 2010). In brief, 0.5 μL of sample containing 250 μM of sarcosine and 2-aminobutanoic acid, as internal standards, was added into 2.5 μL of borate buffer (Agilent PN: 5061-3339), mixed and incubated for 20 s at 4°C. Amino acids were derivatised in two steps by a high-performance autosampler. 1 μL of OPA reagent (Agilent PN: 5061-3335) was reacted for 20 s at 4°C, then 0.4 μL of FMOC reagent (Agilent PN: 5061-3337) was added, mixed and incubated for 20s at 4°C. 45.6 μL of Buffer A (40 mM Na2HPO4, 0.02% NaN3, pH 7.8) was added to lower the pH of the reaction, then injected in an Agilent Zorbax Extend C-18 column (3.5 μm, 4.6×150 mm, Agilent PN: 763953-902) with a guard column (SecurityGuard Gemini C18, Phenomenex PN: AJO-7597) kept at 37°C. Gradient elution was performed using an
Agilent 1200-SL HPLC system, at a flow rate of 2 mL·min⁻¹. The gradient was 2-45% Buffer B (45% acetonitrile, 45% methanol and 10% water) from 0-18 min, 50-60% B from 18.1-20 min, 100% B from 20.1-24 min, and 2% B from 24.1-27 min. A fluorescence detector was used to detect OPA-derivatised amino acids from 1 to 18 min at 340<sub>ex</sub> and 450<sub>em</sub> nm and FMOC-derivatised amino acids from 18 to 27 min at 266<sub>ex</sub> and 305<sub>em</sub> nm. The samples were run with sample- and analyte-relevant calibration standards and pooled QC samples (Sangster et al, 2006; Hodson et al, 2009) to control for reproducibility of data acquisition and to ensure data integrity.

**Sucrose analysis**

Sucrose was quantified by ion-exclusion chromatography using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system and a Phenomenex Rezex RHM-monosaccharide H<sup>+</sup> column (8% cross-linked sulfonated styrene-divinylbenzene, 7.8×300 mm, PN: 00H-0132-K0) equipped with guard column (SecurityGuard Carbo-H, Phenomenex PN: AJO-4490) according to (Dietmair et al., 2010). Briefly, 30 μL of sample was injected using an autosampler (Agilent HiP-ALS, G1367B), column was thermostatted at 70°C (Agilent TCC, G1316A). Sucrose was eluted isocratically at 0.4 mL·min⁻¹ for 21 min at 15°C with high purity water (18.2 MΩ·cm) to avoid high temperature acid hydrolysis. Sucrose was quantified using a refractive index detector (Agilent RID, G1362A) set on positive polarity and optical unit temperature of 40°C. The samples were run with sample- and analyte-relevant calibration standards and pooled quality-control samples (Sangster et al, 2006; Hodson et al, 2009) to control for reproducibility of data acquisition and to ensure data integrity.

**mRNA differential expression**

To provide material for mRNA differential expression analysis, WT Q117 and 7B4 were grown according to the parameters used in the diurnal study. RNA was isolated from 100 mg of frozen leaf tissue sampled from five random plants 3 hours into the light period with the Agilent RNA kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. 400 μL of extract were purified on multiple columns to avoid column saturation and DNA contamination. The RNA was quantified on an Agilent Technologies 2100 Bioanalyzer following the manufacturer’s instructions. The electropherograms showed that the RNA was not degraded or contaminated with genomic DNA. An Illumina sequencing library for Q117 and 7b4 was prepared from 1
µg of purified mRNA pooled from all replicates following the low-throughput protocol of the Illumina TruSeq sample preparation kit. Library insert sizes were 170 bp. The libraries were indexed and pooled and sequenced by Geneworks (Thebarton, Australia) with the Illumina GAIIx Genome Analyzer using a single lane of paired-end 2×90 b reads (Illumina sequencing reagents v5). The data were demultiplexed and analysed using Illumina's CASAVA 1.7 software. Differential expression between WT and 7B4 was determined following the Tophat and Cufflinks pipeline (Trapnell et al., 2012) mapping the reads to the *Sorghum bicolor* genome including the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes. The alignment routine is detailed in Supporting information Note 2.

**Protein extraction**

About 20 mg of lyophilised ground sugarcane leaf powder material was extracted with 50 mM HEPES-Na pH 7.3; 1mM Dithiothreitol; 0.1% Triton X-100 and 5 mM sodium ascorbate (Brouquisse et al., 1998). Protein content was measured according to the method of Bradford using bovine serum albumin (Promega, South Sydney, NSW, Australia) as a standard.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

HPLC data were processed using ChemStation (Rev B.03.02 Agilent Technologies, USA), LC-MS/MS data using MultiQuant 2.1.1 (AB Sciex, Canada). One-way ANOVA was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.02 for Windows (GraphPad Software, USA). K-means cluster analysis was performed in R (v3.0.2) (R Core Team, 2013).

**Metabolic modelling**

To explore the effects of PHB synthesis on ATP and NADPH demand and on the engagement of BS and M functions, a metabolic model was developed based on that of (Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). The model available in Supporting information is implemented in Excel: because all equations appear in cells, any modification is straightforward. Although some equations used here are specific for PHB synthesis, the case in which *rCD*=0 corresponds to C4 photosynthesis as such. This version of the metabolic model does not necessitate parameterization with gas exchange data and is therefore useful to generate hypothetic scenarios. Based on the assumption that PHB synthesis does not disrupt the C4
carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM), Rubisco oxygenation versus carboxylation rate was
treated as a model input, expressed as:

\[ r_P = \frac{V_D}{V_C} \]

Carbon diversion to PHB was expressed as rate of PGA (or PYR) decarboxylation \((V_D)\) and was incorporated in the fundamental equation of steady state assimilation, which can be expressed as:

\[ A = V_C - \frac{1}{2}V_O - R_{LIGHT} - V_D \]

Where \(A\), the rate of net CO\(_2\) flux entering stomata, integrates the rate of all CO\(_2\) utilising and evolving processes: Rubisco carboxylation utilising CO\(_2\) at the rate of \(V_C\), glycolate recycling evolving 0.5CO\(_2\) per Rubisco oxygenation event \((V_O)\), respiration evolving CO\(_2\) at the rate of \(R_{LIGHT}\) and PHB synthesis evolving CO\(_2\) at the rate \(V_D\).

All net CO\(_2\) entering the leaf is either converted to carbohydrates or to PHB and the stoichiometric ratio between the two is 2/3, because out of 3 carbon of PGA only 2 are stored in PHB and 1 carbon decarboxylated. This can be expressed as:

\[ A = 2V_D + 3CS \]

Where \(CS\) is the rate of carbohydrate (sucrose and sucrose) synthesis expressed on a triose basis.

PHB synthesis competes for PGA with the RPP cycle. The ratio of PGA allocated to PHB synthesis versus the PGA entering the RPP cycle was expressed as:

\[ r_{CP} = \frac{2V_D}{3CS} \]
Which reflects the stoichiometry mentioned above. Eqn 1 to 4 can form system of 4
equations and 4 unknowns, which can be used to calculate \( V_O, V_C, V_D \) and \( CS \), inputting \( A, R_{\text{LIGHT}}, r_F \) and \( r_{CD} \). \( A, R_{\text{LIGHT}} \) and \( r_F \) were set to \( C_4 \) realistic values of 9 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \), 9 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \), and 0.05 (Bellasio et al., 2014) while \( r_{CD} \) was varied to explore different scenarios.

The effect of allocation of PHB production to BS or M was simulated through the
parameter \( PHB_{BS}/PHB_M \) which splits the total production of PHB in the two cellular
compartments. Then, based on the ATP and NADPH demand of key assimilatory processes
(Table S1), the rates of all other reactions reported in Table 2 was resolved. Further
assumptions were \( PEPCK_{\text{MAX}}=0.05V_P \) (PEPCK engagement in sugarcane is minimal)
\( PR_{BS_{\text{MAX}}}=0.35PR_{TOT} \) and \( CS_{BS_{\text{MAX}}}=CS_{TOT} \), ratio of \( C_4 \) over-cycling \([1/(1-\Phi)=1.25]) \) (Bellasio
and Griffiths, 2014).
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### Tables

**Table 1**: Sugarcane genes from central carbon metabolism which showed significant (p value and q value both < 0.05) differential expression between WT and PHB-producer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC number</th>
<th>function</th>
<th>Equivalent Sorghum gene</th>
<th>WT (fpkm)</th>
<th>7b4 (fpkm*)</th>
<th>log2 (fold change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>MFS transporter, NNP family, nitrate/nitrite transporter</td>
<td>Sb03g032310</td>
<td>15.9371</td>
<td>1.11527</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.26</td>
<td>Beta-fructofuranosidase -soluble acid invertase vacuolar</td>
<td>Sb04g000620</td>
<td>85.6464</td>
<td>7.18532</td>
<td>-3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.11.1</td>
<td>Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase 1</td>
<td>Sb04g036570</td>
<td>48.7546</td>
<td>4.86261</td>
<td>-3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.21</td>
<td>Starch synthase III-1a</td>
<td>Sb07g005400</td>
<td>2.58404</td>
<td>0.34752</td>
<td>-2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1.40</td>
<td>NADP-ME cytoplasmic</td>
<td>Sb03g034280</td>
<td>45.7206</td>
<td>8.69798</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.5.1</td>
<td>Nitrilase / nitrile aminohydrolase</td>
<td>Sb04g026940</td>
<td>121.798</td>
<td>25.2263</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.2.2</td>
<td>Phosphoglucomutase chloroplastic</td>
<td>Sb03g028080</td>
<td>24.7318</td>
<td>138.489</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.5.4</td>
<td>Asparagine synthetase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] chloroplastic</td>
<td>Sb05g000440</td>
<td>40.1626</td>
<td>260.054</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.1.14/ 1.4.1.13</td>
<td>glutamate synthase (NADPH/NADH) chloroplastic</td>
<td>Sb09g027910</td>
<td>0.510637</td>
<td>3.33429</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1.24</td>
<td>Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase</td>
<td>Sb06g022750</td>
<td>9.97267</td>
<td>67.2266</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>fructokinase-like chloroplastic</td>
<td>Sb01g015030</td>
<td>2.16637</td>
<td>14.8773</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.13</td>
<td>sucrose synthase SUS1</td>
<td>Sb01g033060</td>
<td>15.2643</td>
<td>120.981</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.26</td>
<td>Beta-fructofuranosidase 1</td>
<td>Sb06g023760</td>
<td>1.00096</td>
<td>11.3446</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.2</td>
<td>Beta amylase 5 cytosolic</td>
<td>Sb02g035600</td>
<td>0.822546</td>
<td>14.6832</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FPKM – fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped fragments
Table 2. Equations for the reaction rates of C₄ assimilation and PHB production.

Equations for fluxes between BS and M are reported in Supporting information Table S4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Reaction rate</th>
<th>Eqn</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGA reduction tot</td>
<td>PRₜot</td>
<td>$2V_r + \frac{3}{2}V_o - \frac{1}{3}R_{assot} - V_o$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>$2V_o$ is the PGA produced by the carboxylating activity of Rubisco; $V_o$ is the PGA produced by the oxygenating activity of Rubisco; 0.5 $V_o$ is the PGA regenerated by the photorespiratory cycle; 1/3 is the stoichiometric conversion between respiration (expressed per CO₂), which in this model is supplied by PGA, and PR (expressed per triose) and finally, PYR decarboxylation reduces the rate of PGA reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuP phosphorylation</td>
<td>RuPₚhosp</td>
<td>$V_r + V_o$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>At steady state the rate of RuBP produced through phosphorylation equals the rate of RuBP consumed through Rubisco carboxylating activity ($V_o$), together with oxygenating activity ($V_o$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHAP entering RPP</td>
<td>DHAPₚRPP</td>
<td>$\frac{5}{2}RuP$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Since carbohydrates are considered the final products of photosynthesis and are synthesized using DHAP as a precursor, the RPP supplies solely RuP regeneration. 5/3 converts the stoichiometry of RuP ($C_5$) to the stoichiometry of DHAP ($C_3$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate synthesis tot</td>
<td>CSₜot</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} - DHAP_{rev}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>The total carbohydrate synthesis corresponds to the total PGA reduced minus the triose required for RuBP regeneration ($DHAP_{rev}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH demand tot</td>
<td>NADPHₜot</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} + \frac{1}{2}V_r - \frac{1}{2}V_o$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>PGA reduction consumes 1 NADPH per triose. In the photorespiratory glycolate regeneration (per glycolate) in total 0.5 NADPH is consumed per glycolate (at a rate equivalent to $V_o$, Supplementary Table S3), while PHB synthesis produces 0.5 NADPH per pyruvate decarboxylation event (0.5$V_o$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP demand tot</td>
<td>ATPₜot</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} + RuP_{hosp} + V_o + \frac{1}{2}CS_{tot} + PEPC + PPDK - V_o$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>The total ATP demand is brought about by PGA reduction (corresponding to PR), RuBP regeneration (corresponding to $RuP_{hosp}$), glycolate recycling (corresponding to $V_o$), carbohydrate synthesis (corresponding to CS), PEPC regeneration (1 ATP per PEPC catalytic event or 2 ATP per PPDK catalytic event) and by PYR decarboxylation which actually produces 1 ATP per $V_o$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP demand in BS</td>
<td>ATPₜBS</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} + V_r + 2V_o + \frac{1}{2}CS_{tot} + PEPC - V_o$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>The ATP demand in BS is brought about by PGA reduction, RuBP regeneration, glycolate recycling, carbohydrate synthesis and PEPC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP demand in M</td>
<td>ATPₜM</td>
<td>$2PPDK + \frac{1}{2}CS_{tot} + PR_{reg} - V_o$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>The ATP demand in M is brought about by PGA reduction, carbohydrate synthesis and PPDK.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH demand in BS</td>
<td>NADPHₜBS</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} + \frac{1}{2}V_r - \frac{1}{2}CS_{tot}$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>The NADPH demand in BS is brought about by PGA reduction and glycolate recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH demand in M</td>
<td>NADPHₜM</td>
<td>$NADPH_{rev} - NADPH_{tot}$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>NADPH demand in M and BS are complementary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA reduction M</td>
<td>PRₗ</td>
<td>$PR_{tot} - PR_{reg}$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>PGA reduction is a process shared by BS and M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate phosphate dikinase</td>
<td>PPDK</td>
<td>$V_r + PEPC$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>The PEP regenerated by PEPC in BS diffuses to M and reduces the requirement of PEP regenerated by PPDK in M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP regeneration tot</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$V_r$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>PEP regeneration rate equals PEP consumption rate $V_o$ at steady state, PEP can be regenerated either by PPDK (mainly in M, but active also in BS) or by PEPC in BS. PPDK activity was assumed to be zero in BS. $V_r$ was calculated by assuming a realistic rate of $C_3$ overcycling (see note to Eqn 18).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPC rate</td>
<td>$V_r$</td>
<td>$\frac{A + \Phi}{1 - \Phi}$</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Under the assumption that PHB synthesis does not disrupt the CCM, $V_r$ was estimated from the rate of overcycling 1/(1-Φ), where Φ is BS leakiness, assumed 0.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transamination</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>$V_o - MDH_{tot}$</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>BS and M</td>
<td>T has the function of balancing NADPH supply and demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH supply to BS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$MDH_{tot}$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>The NADPH supply to BS corresponds to the NADPH used to reduce OAA to MAL in M, and not to the rate of MAL decarboxylation in BS, which depends on T, PEPC and $MDH_{tot}$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDH activity in M</td>
<td>MDHₗ</td>
<td>$PR_{reg} + \frac{1}{2}V_r - \frac{1}{2}V_o$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>MDH activity supplies the NADPH demand in BS. Eqn 21 was derived by combining Eqn 13 and 20.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures

**Figure 1:** Schematic of C₄ assimilation and PHB production in sugarcane: Rubisco carboxylation, the RPP pathway, carbohydrate synthesis, respiration, glyoxylate recycling, PHB biosynthesis with their ATP and NADPH requirements. Pyr – pyruvate; PEP – phosphoenolpyruvate; PPDK – Pyruvate, phosphate dikinase; MDH – malate dehydrogenase; PEPCK – phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; OAA – oxaloacetic acid; Mal – malate; ME – NADPH dependent malic enzyme; Asp – aspartate; Ala – alanine; T – transamination; Carb – carbohydrates; PHB – polyhydroxybutyrate; DHAP – Dihydroxyacetone phosphate; PGA – 3-phosphoglycerate; PGla – 2-phosphoglycolate; RuBP – ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; RuP - ribulose 5-phosphate. Enzyme reaction rates (in bold) are mathematically expressed in Table 2.

**Figure 2.** Assimilation rate in transgenic PHB-producing and WT Q117 sugarcane. Sugarcane lines are shown in rank order of PHB production from lowest to highest (left to right). Typical PHB content in these plants are (on dry weight): Q117, 0 g/100g; TA4, 0.45±0.02 g/100g; 4F1, 1.21±0.24 g/100g; 8C8, 1.3±0.11 g/100g; 7C3, 3.11±0.31 g/100g. Error bars show standard deviation. *Significantly different from WT (P<0.05). TA4, 8C8, 7C3, n=3; WT, n=5; 4F1, n=6).

**Figure 3.** Carbon/nitrogen balance and protein content in WT and PHB-producing sugarcane leaves. (a) Total carbon. (b) Total nitrogen. (c) Carbon/nitrogen ratio. (d) Protein content. Mean±SD is shown. For carbon and nitrogen, n=2; for protein, n=21.

**Figure 4:** Metabolite abundance in the leaves of WT and PHB-producing sugarcane 7B4 and 7C3 across a 12 hour light/12 hour dark diurnal cycle. Samples were collected every four hours commencing at first light, n=3. Grey shading shows dark period. Abbreviations and statistic clustering are reported in supporting information Note1 and Figure S1 respectively.

**Figure 5:** Changes in metabolic processes in response to increasing allocation of carbon to PHB synthesis at the expense of carbohydrate synthesis (r_CD) and with respect to PHB partitioning between M and BS cells (V_DBS/V_D). (a) Total ATP demand relative to gross assimilation. (b) Total NADPH demand relative to gross assimilation. (c) ATP demand in BS relative to ATP demand in M. (d) NADPH demand in BS relative to NADPH demand in M. (e) Transamination rate. (f) Aspartate decarboxylation rate relative to Malate decarboxylation rate. V_D–PHB synthesis expressed as rate of PGA decarboxylation; V_DBS–PHB synthesis in BS; r_CD–relative distribution of PGA (PHB/carbohydrates).
Figure 6: TEM of polyhydroxybutyrate granules inside the BS chloroplasts of a sugarcane leaf from a low PHB producer. The image shows chloroplast structure containing intact grana and starch granules contrasting with areas of disrupted grana where PHB granules are present. This image was generated as part of the Petrasovits et al. (2012) study but not published at the time. BS – bundle sheath cell; M – mesophyll cell; PHB – polyhydroxybutyrate.
Figure 1. Schematic of C₄ assimilation and PHB production in sugarcane: Rubisco carboxylation, the RPP pathway, carbohydrate synthesis, respiration, glyoxylate recycling, PHB biosynthesis with their ATP and NADPH requirements. Pyr – pyruvate; PEP – phosphoenolpyruvate; PPDK – Pyruvate, phosphate dikinase; MDH – malate dehydrogenase; PEPCK – phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; OAA – oxaloacetic acid; Mal – malate; ME – NADPH dependent malic enzyme; Asp – aspartate; Ala – alanine; T – transamination; Carb – carbohydrates; PHB – polyhydroxybutyrate; DHAP – Dihydroxyacetone phosphate; PGA – 3-phosphoglycerate; PGlu – 2-phosphoglycerate; RuBP – ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; RuP - ribulose 5-phosphate. Enzyme reaction rates (in bold) are mathematically expressed in Table 2.
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Figure 2. Assimilation rate in transgenic PHB-producing and WT Q117 sugarcane. Sugarcane lines are shown in rank order of PHB production from lowest to highest (left to right). Typical PHB content in these plants are (on dry weight): Q117, 0 g/100g; TA4, 0.45±0.02 g/100g; 4F1, 1.21±0.24 g/100g; 8C8, 1.3±0.11 g/100g; 7C3, 3.11±0.31 g/100g. Error bars show standard deviation. *Significantly different from WT (P<0.05).

TA4, 8C8, 7C3, n=3; WT, n=5; 4F1, n=6).
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Figure 3. Carbon/nitrogen balance and protein content in WT and PHB-producing sugarcane leaves. (a) Total carbon. (b) Total nitrogen. (c) Carbon/nitrogen ratio. (d) Protein content. Mean±SD is shown. For carbon and nitrogen, n=2; for protein, n=21. 203x650mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 4. Metabolite abundance in the leaves of WT and PHB-producing sugarcane 7B4 and 7C3 across a 12 hour light/12 hour dark diurnal cycle. Samples were collected every four hours commencing at first light, n=3. Grey shading shows dark period. Abbreviations and statistic clustering are reported in supporting information Note1 and Figure S1 respectively.
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Figure 5. Changes in metabolic processes in response to increasing allocation of carbon to PHB synthesis at the expense of carbohydrate synthesis (rCD) and with respect to PHB partitioning between M and BS cells (VDBS/VD). (a) Total ATP demand relative to gross assimilation. (b) Total NADPH demand relative to gross assimilation. (c) ATP demand in BS relative to ATP demand in M. (d) NADPH demand in BS relative to NADPH demand in M. (e) Transamination rate. (f) Aspartate decarboxylation rate relative to Malate decarboxylation rate. VD–PHB synthesis expressed as rate of PGA decarboxylation; VDBS–PHB synthesis in BS; rCD–relative distribution of PGA (PHB/carbohydrates).
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Figure 6. TEM of polyhydroxybutyrate granules inside the BS chloroplasts of a sugarcane leaf from a low PHB producer. The image shows chloroplast structure containing intact grana and starch granules contrasting with areas of disrupted grana where PHB granules are present. This image was generated as part of the Petrasovits et al. (2012) study but not published at the time. BS – bundle sheath cell; M – mesophyll cell; PHB – polyhydroxybutyrate. 80x80mm (300 x 300 DPI)