UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Decoupling seasonal fluctuations in fluvial discharge from the tidal signature in ancient deltaic deposits: an example from the Neuquén Basin, Argentina.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/88814/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Gugliotta, M, Kurcinka, CE, Dalrymple, RW et al. (2 more authors) (2016) Decoupling seasonal fluctuations in fluvial discharge from the tidal signature in ancient deltaic deposits: an example from the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Journal of the Geological Society, 173 (1). pp. 94-107. ISSN 0016-7649

https://doi.org/10.1144/jgs2015-030

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Title: Decoupling seasonal fluctuations in fluvial discharge from the tidal signature in
2	ancient deltaic deposits: an example from the Neuquén Basin, Argentina
3	
4	Running title: fluvial and tidal signals in sedimentation
5	
6	Marcello Gugliotta ¹ *, Colleen E. Kurcinka ² , Robert W. Dalrymple ² , Stephen S. Flint ¹ ,
7	David M. Hodgson ³
8	
9	¹ Stratigraphy Group, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University
10	of Manchester, UK
11	² Department of Geological Sciences and Geological Engineering, Queen's University,
12	Kingston, Ontario, Canada
13	³ Stratigraphy Group, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK
14	* marcello.gugliotta@manchester.ac.uk
15	
16	Keywords:

17 River discharge, seasonality, heterolithic, IHS, tidalite

18 Abstract

19 Fluvial discharge fluctuations are a fundamental characteristic of almost all modern rivers and can produce distinctive deposits that are rarely described from ancient fluvial or mixed-20 21 energy successions. Large-scale outcrops from the Middle Jurassic Lajas Formation 22 (Argentina) expose a well-constrained stratigraphic succession of marginal-marine deposits 23 with a strong fluvial influence and well-known tidal indicators. The studied deposits show 24 decimetre-scale interbedding of coarser- and finer-grained facies with mixed fluvial and tidal 25 affinities. The alternation of these two types of beds forms non-cyclic successions that are 26 interpreted to be the result of seasonal variation in river discharge, rather than regular and 27 predictable changes in current velocity caused by tides. Seasonal bedding is present in bar 28 deposits that form within or at the mouth of minor and major channels. Seasonal bedding is not preserved in channel thalweg deposits, where river flood processes were too powerful, or 29 30 in floodplain, muddy interdistributary bay, prodelta and transgressive deposits, where the 31 river signal was weak and sporadic. The identification of sedimentary facies characteristic of 32 seasonal river discharge variations is important for accurate interpretation of ancient deltaic 33 process regime.

36 Heterolithic deposits consisting of interlaminated and/or interbedded sandstone and mudstone include inclined heterolithic strata (IHS), flaser, wavy and lenticular bedding, and fluid mud-37 sand couplets (Reineck and Wunderlich 1968; Thomas et al. 1987; Ichaso and Dalrymple 38 2009; MacKay and Dalrymple 2011). These features are commonly identified in tidal 39 40 depositional systems (Nio and Yang 1991; Dalrymple and Choi 2007; Geel and Donselaar 41 2007; Choi 2010; Dalrymple 2010; Davis 2012) and are often used to infer a tidal origin of 42 ancient deposits. However, heterolithic deposits can also form in purely fluvial or mixed-43 energy settings due to temporal variations in river discharge at a seasonal or shorter time 44 scale (Thomas et al. 1987) but in such settings they lack the ordered cyclicity of layer thickness and grain size that characterizes tidal sedimentation (Archer 1995; Kvale et al. 45 1995; Kvale 2012). River discharge fluctuations are a fundamental characteristic of almost all 46 47 modern rivers (Milliman and Farnsworth 2011) and can also coexist with tidal processes in the deposits that accumulate in the lower reaches of rivers (e.g. Fraser River delta, Canada; 48 Sisulak and Dashtgard 2012; Johnson and Dashtgard 2014), making it difficult to assess the 49 relative importance of tidal and river currents. 50

51 In ancient successions tidal sedimentary structures (e.g. bundling, drapes, rhythmic 52 lamination, bidirectional palaeocurrents, etc.), have received considerable attention (e.g. Visser 1980; Allen 1981; De Boer et al. 1989; Dalrymple et al. 1992; Willis 2005; Dalrymple 53 54 and Choi 2007; Dalrymple et al. 2012; Plink-Björklund 2012; Legler et al. 2013) whereas 55 facies associated with the seasonal variation of river discharge have received comparatively 56 little emphasis (Bridge 2003; Rebata et al. 2006). The paucity of examples that describe river-57 generated seasonal bedding in the rock record may be hampered by the lack of a well-defined facies model. Discriminating between tidal and fluvial processes as the main control on 58 deposition in the fluvial to marine transition zone (Dalrymple and Choi 2007) is crucial for 59

60 improving and refining depositional models at the facies scale (Martinius et al. 2005; Nordahl 61 et al. 2006), and for predicting the larger-scale geometry of sedimentary bodies (Reynolds 62 1999). Moreover, the recognition of fluvially generated seasonal deposits will improve 63 palaeoclimatic and palaeogeographic reconstructions, particularly when other approaches (e.g. palaeobotanical, palaeontological) are not available or are non-diagnostic. The aims of 64 65 this paper are: i) to establish recognition criteria to help distinguish between seasonaldischarge and tidal-process signals in the rock record and ii) to discuss how the tidal and 66 67 seasonal signals are recorded in different facies, in order to help refine palaeoenvironmental reconstructions. 68

Large-scale outcrops from the Middle Jurassic Lajas Fm. (Argentina) provide a wellconstrained succession of marginal-marine deposits (Zavala 1996a, b; Brandsaeter et al. 2005; McIlroy et al. 2005; Kurcinka 2014; Gugliotta et al. 2015). These deposits contain well-known tidal indicators, and a strong fluvial influence, which are recorded in both heterolithic and sandstone-dominated deposits that are described in detail herein. Tidedominated dunes and bars described in Zavala (1996a, b) and Martinius and Van den Berg (2011) are present in specific stratigraphic intervals but are not the focus of this paper.

76

77 Discharge fluctuations in modern rivers

Of the 1534 present-day rivers in the database of Milliman and Farnsworth (2011), almost 95% show variations in discharge through the year (Fig. 1). In most of the rivers in low- and mid-latitudes, discharge fluctuations reflect a direct response to precipitation, which may be driven by the monsoon (Purnachandra Rao et al. 2011), whereas rivers in high latitude and high elevation areas, exhibit discharge variations that are affected by the melting of snow and ice (Milliman and Farnsworth 2011; La Croix and Dashtgard 2014).

84 In systems with large catchment areas and relatively high runoff rates, the effects of 85 individual rainfall events are dampened and only the longer-term, annual variation in runoff is recorded in the deposits (Allen and Chambers 1998). In systems with small catchment 86 87 areas and/or relatively arid runoff conditions, flow generally responds to individual rainfall events and is not as obviously tied to the general climatic conditions (Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 88 89 2010; Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 2013), in which case there might be more than one river flood 90 in a single year. In large rivers, the flood stage can last for weeks to months, whereas in small 91 rivers the flood may be over in a few hours. The influence of individual precipitation events 92 increases with a reduction of catchment size because the impact of peak events in small 93 drainage basins reflects the erosive power of flash floods and the inability of small basins to 94 absorb local precipitation (Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 2010; Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 2013). In 95 flashy rivers, the discharge rises quickly, often by two to four orders of magnitude in a single 96 day (Fielding and Alexander 1996). In perennial rivers, by contrast, the discharge rises from 97 low flow to peak flood discharge more slowly and the difference between the two is 98 commonly small (i.e. peak discharge is commonly only one order of magnitude larger than low flow). For example, in the Fraser River, during low flow the discharge rate is ca 1000 m³ 99 sec⁻¹, and increases up to 15200 m³ sec⁻¹ during high flow (La Croix and Dashtgard 2014), 100 101 while in the Mississippi River, the minimum mean monthly water discharge is about 2900 m³ sec⁻¹, whereas maximum mean monthly water discharge reaches 52000–55000 m³ sec⁻¹ 102 103 (Mikhailov and Mikhailova 2010).

Recently, particular attention has been given to the interaction of seasonal discharge fluctuations and tidal processes in modern, fluvial-tidal, mixed-energy settings (van Maren and Hoekstra 2004; Dark and Allen 2005; van den Berg et al. 2007; Sisulak and Dashtgard 2012; Johnson and Dashtgard 2014). During the period of seasonal high river discharge, the effects of fluvial processes are extended seaward, reducing tidal and salinity effects and pushing the tidal and salt wedge limits seaward (Allen et al. 1980; Dalrymple and Choi 2007;
van den Berg et al. 2007; Kravtsova et al. 2009; Dalrymple et al. 2012; Dashtgard et al.
2012), which also has implications for the location of the turbidity maximum and the
distribution of mud in the system (Purnachandra Rao et al. 2011; La Croix and Dashtgard
2014).

114

115 Geological background

116 The Neuquén Basin is an important hydrocarbon-producing sedimentary basin (Zambrano 117 and Yrigoyen 1995) located in central-western Argentina and extending into east-central Chile, between 32° S and 40° S latitude (Fig. 2A). It covers more than 137,000 km² (Urien 118 119 and Zambrano 1994) extending up to 700 km in a north-south direction and up to 400 km 120 from west to east. The basin originated as a volcanic rift in the Triassic and evolved into a 121 post-rift back-arc basin during the Jurassic. It is bounded on its north-eastern, eastern and 122 southern margins by wide cratonic areas, which were the main source areas for the basin-fill 123 sediment during the Jurassic (Uliana and Legarreta 1993), and by a magmatic arc on the 124 active margin of the Gondwanan–South American Plate to the west (Howell et al. 2005). The 125 Lajas Formation was deposited diachronously as a series of N and NW prograding wedges 126 during the late Middle Jurassic (Fig. 3) and comprises more than 500 m of sandstone-, 127 heterolithic- and mudstone-dominated deposits that accumulated in a variety of marginal-128 marine settings. The deltaic nature of most of the Lajas Fm. has been recognized in several 129 studies (Spalletti 1995; Zavala 1996a, b; McIlroy et al. 2005) and for the last two decades has 130 been interpreted as a tide-dominated system (McIlroy et al. 1999; Brandsaeter et al. 2005; 131 McIlroy et al. 2005; Morgans-Bell and McIlroy 2005). More recently, however, the degree of 132 tidal influence preserved in the delta plain to delta front deposits of the Lajas Fm. has been 133 questioned (Kurcinka 2014; Gugliotta et al. 2015).

During the Middle Jurassic, South America was located in a similar orientation and latitude to the present-day configuration (Iglesia Llanos et al. 2006; Iglesia Llanos 2012) and was part of the west margin of the Gondwanan continent. The palaeoclimate of the study area has been interpreted by several palynological studies as warm and mainly arid, but with variable humidity (Quattrocchio et al. 2001; Martinez et al. 2002; Garcia et al. 2006; Stukins et al. 2013).

140

141 Methods and dataset

142 The stratigraphy of the Lajas Fm. has been investigated along 2 main cliff exposures near the 143 village of Los Molles (Fig. 2B). Cliff-line A is SSW-NNE oriented and provides continuous 144 exposure for about 8 km, whereas cliff-line B is oriented E-W and extends for approximately 145 10 km. Both cliff-lines are oriented at an oblique angle to the regional palaeoflow, which is 146 broadly toward the NW (Zavala and González 2001; McIlroy et al. 2005). Numerous canyon 147 exposures provide three-dimensional constraint on the stratigraphic architecture at the small 148 to intermediate scale. This paper describes deposits from intervals through the entire 500 m-149 thick Lajas Fm. in a proximal to distal sense (from purely fluvial channels to the prodelta) 150 and across depositional strike (from distributary channel axes to the flanking interdistributary 151 bay deposits). Data collection included detailed measured stratigraphic sections, integrated 152 with annotated photopanels, in order to document the stratal architecture, correlation of the 153 main stratigraphic surfaces, and lateral and vertical facies variations. Photopanel correlations 154 were verified by physical tracing (walking out) of contacts. More than 70 GPS located 155 sections were logged at 1:50 and 1:25 scale. Facies and facies associations were interpreted in 156 terms of depositional processes and environments based on grain size, sorting, stratal 157 geometries, sedimentary structures and the presence and character of body and trace fossils.

158

159 **Facies associations**

In this section, we describe the deposits and interpret the major depositional environments based on facies, process sedimentology and stratigraphic context. Detailed description of the nature of the interbedding is provided in the next section. Facies are grouped into eight facies associations (FA 1 to FA 8). Bioturbation intensity is generally low but highly variable, and is described in terms of Bioturbation Index (BI) from 0 to 6 (Taylor and Goldring 1993; MacEachern et al. 2010).

166

167 FA 1: Fluvial and distributary channel deposits

168 Description: FA 1 consists mainly of moderately to well-sorted sandstone, up to very coarse-169 grained, with sparse granules and subordinate heterolithic and mudstone deposits. Units of 170 FA 1 are up 12 m thick and laterally extensive for several hundred metres to over one 171 kilometre. They are erosionally based, lenticular and show fining- and thinning-upward 172 trends (Fig. 4A). FA 1 deposits are either structureless or show unidirectional, N or NW 173 (seaward-directed), trough and planar-tabular cross-stratification, but also includes units of 174 interbedded inclined coarser- and finer-grained sandstone and heterolithic beds (Fig. 4A, B, 175 C). Beds are up to 0.4 m thick and laterally extensive for tens of metres. Depositional dip of 176 the inclined master bedding is commonly perpendicular to the orientation of the cross-177 stratification. Some of the cross-stratification shows randomly-distributed carbonaceous 178 drapes, but cyclical carbonaceous drapes are present in places. The cross-stratified facies is 179 typically unidirectional and seaward-oriented and shows distribution of drapes composed of 180 carbonaceous debris, commonly associated with plant debris and mica. Drapes are found in 181 groups of 2-5 that are separated by a few millimetres to centimetres of sandstone whereas 182 groups of drapes show spacing of several decimetres (Fig, 5A). This facies can show cyclical 183 patterns in the distribution of drapes and height reached by the drapes on the foresets (Fig.

5B), as also described by Martinius and Gowland (2011). This facies lacks the features typical of the dominant current, slack water and subordinate tidal current, such as cyclically distributed reactivation surfaces, opposite directed ripples, and single and double mud drapes (Visser 1980). Pieces of silicified wood up to 1 m long are present and are typically oriented in the direction of the palaeoflow. Trace-fossil content is generally low (BI 0-1) and consists mainly of *Planolites*.

190

191 Interpretation: FA 1 is interpreted as fluvial and distributary channel deposits. The 192 structureless and trough- and planar-tabular cross-stratified sandstones are interpreted as 193 channel-axis deposits, whereas the inclined, interbedded coarser- and finer-grained 194 sandstones indicate lateral accretion and are interpreted as bank-attached side bars and point 195 bars. The interbedded finer- and coarser-grained beds are interpreted to reflect fluctuations in 196 current speed as a result of changes in river discharge. The presence of unidirectional, 197 seaward-oriented palaeocurrents in cross-stratification indicate fluvial dominance; minor tidal 198 influence is indicated by the presence of cyclical carbonaceous drapes (Martinius and 199 Gowland 2011), whereas the non-cyclical carbonaceous drapes are not considered to have 200 been generated by tides, but instead to reflect non-periodic fluctuations of the river currents. 201 The cyclically-distributed carbonaceous drapes are interpreted to reflect acceleration and 202 deceleration (tidal modulation or tidal backwater effect) of fluvial currents, formed in the 203 fluvial-dominated part of the fluvial to marine transition zone (Dalrymple and Choi 2007; 204 Martinius and Gowland 2011; Dashtgard et al. 2012).

205

206 FA 2: Floodplain and crevasse-splay deposits

207 Description: FA 2 consists of poorly sorted, structureless or weakly laminated mudstones
208 ranging from dark grey (with abundant detrital plant matter), to green, blue, purple and red

(scarce plant matter). This FA forms tabular units up to several tens of metres thick, laterally extensive for several kilometres, and intimately associated with FA 1. A minor facies within FA2 consists of tabular, structureless sandstone beds, a few tens of centimetres thick that form units up to 1 m thick and extend laterally for tens to hundreds of metres. Large pieces of silicified wood up to 8 m long are present along with root traces. The trace-fossil content consists of root traces that generally are abundant in mudstones (BI 6), but absent in the sandstone beds (BI 0).

216

217 Interpretation: The tabular, laterally extensive mudstone units of FA 2 are interpreted as 218 largely subaerial floodplain deposits. The variations in colour and amount of organic matter 219 are interpreted to reflect different states of oxidation, which are probably linked to the level 220 of the water table and, in general, the distance from the coastline (Retallack 2008; Varela et 221 al. 2012). The dark, organic-rich floodplain deposits may have formed in distal positions and 222 under conditions of poor drainage, whereas the green-blue and purple-red deposits may 223 represent relatively more proximal, inland positions with moderate to well-drained floodplain 224 conditions. The lenticular and tabular sandstone units are interpreted as the deposits of 225 crevasse splays.

226

227 FA 3: Minor distributary channel deposits

Description: FA 3 consists of erosionally based, lenticular, fining- and thinning-upward units up to 1.5 m thick that are laterally extensive for a few tens of metres (Fig. 4D). FA 3 usually erosionally overlies FA 2 and FA 4 deposits and is laterally associated with FA 1. FA 3 is commonly heterolithic with local high mudstone content. Sandstones range from very finegrained to coarse-grained and are poorly to well sorted. These deposits can show dm-scale interbedding of inclined, thinning-upward, coarser- and finer-grained beds. Beds are 234 structureless or show unidirectional trough and planar-tabular cross-stratification and ripple 235 cross-lamination or subordinate bidirectional ripples and non-cyclical rhythmites with 236 mudstone and carbonaceous drapes. Carbonaceous drapes can also show cyclical patterns. 237 Palaeocurrents show a wide range of directions, but are mainly toward the N and NW; they 238 are generally unidirectional within a single unit. Subordinate palaeocurrents are broadly 239 toward the S (landward). Dipping of the inclined master bedding is commonly perpendicular 240 to the cross-stratification. In some parts of FA 3, however, the coarser- and finer-grained 241 couplets are not well-developed and structureless sandstones or flaser, wavy and lenticular 242 bedding with rare bidirectional current ripples are present. Trace-fossil content is generally 243 low (BI 0-1) and consists mainly of *Planolites* and *Dactyloidites*.

244

245 Interpretation: FA 3 is interpreted as minor distributary channel deposits, eroding into the 246 subaerial delta plain (FA 2) and linked to the main distributive system (FA 1). FA 3 is distinct 247 from FA 1 as the channels are considerably smaller (~1 m thick versus 4-12 m thick) and 248 consist of more heterolithic deposits or less well-sorted, muddy sandstones. The alternating, 249 inclined finer- and coarser-grained beds are interpreted as laterally accreting bars with a 250 prevalence of unidirectional, seaward-oriented bedforms; sedimentation was, therefore, 251 fluvially dominated. However, the presence of cyclic rhythmites with mudstone drapes and 252 bidirectional ripples suggests that the fluvial signal may be locally and temporally 253 overprinted by tidal reworking. Carbonaceous drapes might result either from random 254 fluctuations in the strength of the river current or tidal modulation of the fluvial current.

255

256 FA 4: Crevasse mouth bar deposits

Description: Typically, FA 4 consists of coarsening- and thickening-upward heterolithic
units, up to 2 m thick (Fig. 6A), that are laterally extensive for tens to hundreds of metres. FA

259 4 gradually passes vertically and laterally into FA 7 and is incised by FA 1 and FA 3. 260 Internally, units of FA 4 comprise alternations of medium-/coarse-grained and fine-grained 261 beds that can be traced from only a few metres up to a few tens of metres. The beds are 262 inclined and dip at up to 15-20 degrees, but commonly at lower angles (5-8 degrees). In the 263 upper parts of FA 4, the coarser-grained beds are thicker and amalgamated, and the finer-264 grained intervening deposits may be missing such that the interbedding is not as clearly 265 distinguishable as it is in the lower part of the unit (Fig. 6A). Coarser-grained beds are 266 structureless or show unidirectional cross-stratification and ripple-cross-lamination. Finer-267 grained beds show internal mm-scale rhythmites with mudstone drapes and rare bidirectional 268 ripples (Fig. 6B, C). The dips of the master bedding and cross-bedding show a wide range of 269 directions, but both show a general prevalence toward the NW to NE (seaward-directed). 270 The trace-fossil abundance is highly variable (BI 0-5).

271

272 Interpretation: FA 4 is interpreted as the deposits of mouth bars of crevasse delta systems. 273 The common orientation of the inclined master bedding and cross-bedding indicate forward 274 accretion. The alternating finer- and coarser- beds are interpreted to reflect fluctuations of 275 river discharge, that together with the prevalence of unidirectional, seaward-directed 276 palaeocurrents indicate river dominance. In the finer-grained beds, a tidal origin can be argued because of the presence of bidirectional ripples, mudstone drapes and a brackish water 277 278 trace fossil assemblage. The poor sorting is attributed to the presence of high turbidity and 279 entrainment of mud during deposition. The association with FA 1, FA 3 and FA 7 and the 280 poor sorting suggest that FA 4 formed in interdistributary-bays of lower-delta-plain settings 281 (Gugliotta et al. 2015).

282

283 FA 5: Terminal distributary channel deposits

Description: FA 5 consists of fine- to medium-grained, moderately to well-sorted, lenticular, erosionally-based sandstones up to 3 m thick that are laterally extensive up to a few tens of metres. FA 5 is usually structureless or trough-cross-stratified and is erosive into FA 6. Cross-stratification can show cyclically-distributed carbonaceous drapes. Palaeocurrents are commonly unidirectional to the N and NW (seaward-oriented), although rare S- (landward-) directed palaeocurrents were recorded. Trace-fossil abundance and biodiversity are generally low (BI 0-1), although rare examples show BI levels up to 5.

291

Interpretation: FA 5 is interpreted as terminal distributary channel deposits because of their relatively small size and intimate association with mouth bar deposits (FA 6). The predominantly unidirectional, seaward-oriented palaeocurrents suggest river dominance, consistent with the setting in which terminal distributary channels form (Olariu and Bhattacharya 2006). The rare landward-directed palaeocurrents and cyclically-distributed carbonaceous drapes suggest subordinate tidal influence at times.

298

299 FA 6: Mouth bar deposits

300 Description: FA 6 consists of coarsening- and thickening-upward units (Fig. 7A), up to 12 m 301 thick, that form bodies several kilometres in lateral extent. FA 6 gradually passes downward 302 and laterally into FA 7 and is erosionally incised by FA 1 and FA 5. FA 6 is composed of 303 decimetre-scale interbedded fine-/medium-grained and very fine-/fine-grained sandstone 304 (Fig. 7B, C, D, E). Beds are up to 0.4 m thick, dip at up to 15 degrees and show internal 305 unidirectional, trough and planar-tabular cross-bedding and ripple-cross lamination oriented 306 toward the N and NW, with subordinate, bidirectional ripples and rhythmites with mudstone 307 drapes. The inclination of master bedding and cross-bedding are both seaward-directed and 308 parallel to each other. In the upper part of FA 6, the coarser-grained beds are thicker and

- amalgamated (Fig. 7B), and the finer-grained intervening deposits may be missing. Tracefossil abundance is highly variable (BI 0-6), but the diversity is generally low.
- 311

312 Interpretation: FA 6 is interpreted as mouth-bar deposits that formed in a delta-front area. The 313 parallel orientation of the inclined beds and the cross-bedding indicates forward accretion. 314 The alternating finer and coarser beds are interpreted to reflect fluctuations in river discharge 315 that, together with the unidirectional, seaward-oriented palaeocurrents, indicate river 316 dominance, although heterolithic deposits with rhythmically-distributed mudstone drapes and 317 bidirectional ripples suggest subordinate tidal influence. FA 6 differs from FA 4 in size and in 318 grain sorting. The mouth-bar deposits of FA 6 are interpreted to be distal features of major 319 distributary channels (FA 1), and therefore had more time for sediment to move downstream 320 to become overall finer. In contrast, the crevasse delta mouth bars have a coarser grain size 321 that reflects their more proximal position in the system. The higher mud content in FA 4 322 reflects the overall lower-energy setting and higher turbidity present in interdistributary bays 323 relative to mouth bars in an exposed frontal location (Gugliotta et al. 2015).

324

325 FA 7: Interdistributary-bay and prodelta mudstones

Description: FA 7 consists of blue to grey mudstones lacking any internal structures, with sandstone and coarse siltstone layers from a few millimetres to 0.1 m thick. Units are tabular, up to several tens of m thick and extend up to several kilometres laterally. FA 7 is gradationally overlain by FA 4 or FA 6 and is associated with FA 8. Trace-fossil abundance is generally high (BI 5-6).

Interpretation: FA 7 is interpreted as interdistributary-bay and prodelta deposits rather thanopen-shelf offshore mudstones because of the lateral association with FA 4 and FA 6, and the

lack of open-marine indicators such as a pelagic fauna (e.g. ammonites, belemnites) or carbonates. FA 7 formed mainly from suspension fallout away from the main distributary channels and in the distal part of the delta system. Thin sandstone and coarse siltstone beds are interpreted as episodic depositional events marking large river floods. The lack of lamination is probably due to complete or almost complete bioturbation (BI 5-6), which suggests the presence of relatively more persistent brackish-water to fully marine conditions, with long periods of slow to negligible deposition.

341

342 FA 8: Transgressive and abandonment deposits

343 Description: FA 8 consists of a variety of siliciclastic and carbonate deposits that usually cap 344 coarsening-upward units of FA 6, but can also overlie all of the other facies associations. 345 These units are commonly laterally extensive, being traceable for distances of up to several 346 kilometres, although they can also extend for only a few tens of metres in other cases. 347 Siliciclastic deposits consist of sandstone beds up to 0.4 m thick that contain hummocky 348 cross-stratification or dune-scale bedforms up to 1.5 m high. Carbonate deposits consist of 349 shell-beds containing corals, oysters, echinoderms, Trigonia, brachiopods and other 350 undifferentiated shells. Shells are usually well preserved and consist of articulated and 351 disarticulated valves. Beds composed of broken shells are rare. Shell beds overlying delta 352 plain deposits (FA 2, FA 3, FA 4 and FA 7) are commonly composed exclusively of oysters 353 whereas those overlying delta front deposits (FA 5, FA 6, FA 7) contain a more diverse 354 range of shells.

355

Interpretation: Both carbonate and siliciclastic deposits in FA 8 are interpreted as brackish to fully marine facies that sharply overlie, and are in turn overlain by, shallower-water deposits (such as interdistributary bay, mouth bar or prodelta facies). Shells can be preserved *in situ* 359 (articulated) or have been subjected to minor transport and reworking (disarticulated valves). 360 The carbonate shell beds are interpreted as lags accumulated during times of low siliciclastic 361 input that allowed a benthic invertebrate fauna to thrive (Fuersich and Oschmann 1993). 362 Shell-beds composed of broken shells are interpreted as storm deposits. The dunes composed 363 of reworked sand, are known to be common on transgressive surfaces (Correggiari et al. 364 1996), whereas hummocky cross-stratification implies a general deepening of water and an 365 increase in wave fetch. FA 8 is interpreted as marine sediments associated with regional 366 flooding surfaces or local abandonment of the deltaic lobes.

367

368 Fluctuation of fluvial discharge and tidal signals

369 Interbedding: description

370 The coarser-grained beds described in FA 1, FA 3, FA 4 and FA 6 show a high degree of 371 variability in their detailed characteristics, but also share many affinities (Fig. 8). Typically, 372 the coarser-grained beds have erosional bases, can contain mudstone clasts and are 373 structureless or show seaward-directed, unidirectional trough or planar-tabular dune-scale 374 cross-stratification and current-ripple cross-lamination. Locally, these beds contain cyclical 375 carbonaceous drapes on dune foresets, but these are not common. The trace-fossil content is 376 absent or extremely low (BI 0-1) and generally of low diversity. Contacts are gradational 377 with the overlying finer-grained sandstones and siltstones that may contain mudstone or 378 carbonaceous and/or mica drapes, forming mm-scale cyclical rhythmites. These rhythmites 379 rarely show cyclical patterns and can be associated with bidirectional ripples. A more 380 abundant and diverse suite of trace fossils (e.g. Palaeophycus, Ophiomorpha, Dactyloidites, 381 Thalassinoides, Planolites) is present compared to coarser-grained beds and is associated 382 with an increase in the size of burrows. The intensity of bioturbation can be either low (BI 2-383 3) or can obliterate all sedimentary structures (BI 5-6; Fig. 7D, E). Some examples show the trace fossils extending downwards into the underlying coarser beds. Alternatively, the finer-

grained intervening bed may show high concentrations of carbonaceous matter (Fig. 4B).

386

387 *Interbedding: interpretation*

388 The sandstone beds with erosional bases and unidirectional, offshore-directed palaeocurrents 389 are interpreted as the deposits of river floods, which formed under strongly or entirely fluvial 390 conditions. The rare rhythmic carbonaceous drapes may be the result of a tidal modulation of 391 the river flow (Martinius and Gowland 2011), which would nevertheless imply a dominance 392 of river currents as such tidal modulation of unidirectional river flow is inferred to occur in 393 the inner part of the fluvial to marine transition zone (Dalrymple and Choi 2007; Dashtgard et 394 al. 2012). The intervening, finer-grained beds with bidirectional ripples, cyclical rhythmites, 395 and increased bioturbation levels are interpreted as interflood deposits formed during low 396 river stage and under short-term dominance of tidal processes and brackish to fully marine 397 conditions. Cyclical patterns are similar to those described in tidal deposits (De Boer et al. 398 1989). Rare top-down burrows in the coarser beds originated from the interflood beds, suggesting a stronger marine influence during low river stage. The abundance of 399 400 carbonaceous material in the interflood deposits is interpreted as a sign of low river energy, because of the hydraulically light nature of the fine-grained "tea leaves" that comprise the 401 402 organic detritus.

403

404 Additional indicators of river discharge fluctuations

The sedimentary facies described above provide process-based indications that significant variations in river discharge are recorded in the deposits. Other features can be used to support this interpretation although these features alone are insufficient to prove the impact that river discharge fluctuations had on sedimentation. In general, the trace fossils in the 409 Lajas Fm. show low numbers of infaunal populations, which suggest a stressed environment 410 (MacEachern et al. 2010). This is possibly the result of low and variable salinity and 411 inconstant discharge, although it has been previously associated with temperature stress 412 (McIlroy et al. 2005). Also, the ichnotaxa *Dactyloidites* is common in many of these deposits, 413 typically as a monospecific assemblage. This is the trace of an opportunistic colonizer, 414 mainly found in river-dominated deltas. It is commonly associated with rapid, episodic 415 sedimentation, the presence of organic matter and high turbidity in the water column 416 (Agirrezabala and de Gibert 2004). These conditions are fully consistent with the 417 interpretation of variable river discharge. Synaeresis cracks are also present in the Lajas Fm. 418 (Fig. 4E). They form because high discharge events during river floods may lead to the 419 temporary introduction of reduced-salinity waters immediately above the sediment-water 420 interface (MacEachern et al. 2010).

421

422 Discussion

423 Seasonal discharge variations in the Lajas Fm.

424 The studied portions of the Lajas Fm. show decimetre-scale interbedded coarser-grained beds 425 (river flood deposits) and finer-grained beds with tidal affinity (interflood deposits). The 426 alternations of these two types of bed create non-cyclic rhythmites that are interpreted to be 427 the result of variations in river discharge. This is because the magnitude of river floods varies 428 stochastically, rather than cyclically and predictably like tides. This interpreted fluvial 429 signature is present in a variety of deposits ranging from distal (mouth bar deposits, FA 6) to 430 more proximal facies such as the point bars of fluvial and distributary channels (FA 1). The 431 presence of preserved bar deposits suggests seasonal rather than ephemeral rivers because 432 barforms are commonly reworked and cannibalised in ephemeral systems (Fielding et al. 433 2009; Fielding et al. 2011; Wilson et al. 2014). Key characteristics of channels with flashy 434 discharge, such as abundant, pedogenically modified mud partings, abundance of upper-flow-435 regime sedimentary structures and in situ trees (Fielding 2006; Fielding et al. 2009; Fielding 436 et al. 2011; Gulliford et al. 2014; Wilson et al. 2014) are missing from the Lajas Formation. 437 The high levels of bioturbation (commonly BI 4-6) and the increased size of burrows in the 438 interflood beds suggests that it would take burrowers at least several months to re-establish 439 the degree of bioturbation to such a high level after a flooding event, if they were emplaced 440 by larvae (Gingras et al. 2002; Gingras and MacEachern 2012). This pattern of bioturbation 441 intensity supports the interpretation of a seasonal origin for the beds. Furthermore, because of 442 the relatively large size of the river channels (sand bodies up to 12 m thick) and the location 443 of the source area, the Sierra Pintada belt and the Patagonian Massif (Fig. 2), both of which 444 are located at least 100 km away (Uliana and Legarreta 1993), the Lajas Fm. rivers likely 445 drained large catchments that were able to absorb local precipitation and therefore primarily 446 record seasonal discharge fluctuations.

447

448 Fluvial versus tidal control on the bedding of the Lajas Fm.

449 As highlighted in the introduction, heterolithic deposits are often considered tidal in origin. 450 Semidiurnal or diurnal cycles are required to account for mm-scale interlamination that is 451 visible in the fine-grained, interflood beds. A tidal origin for the decimetre-scale interbedding 452 of coarser- and finer-grained deposits would require the operation of a longer duration tidal 453 periodicity, such as neap-spring cycles. However, this assertion does not fit with the almost 454 total absence of a clear tidal signal within the coarser-grained beds (Fig. 8). If these beds 455 were deposited during spring tides, it might be expected that the tidal signal would be more 456 strongly developed in them rather than in the intervening, fine-grained neap-tide deposit. This 457 is because spring tides are stronger and able to entrain more mud into suspension than neap 458 tides, which could result in more pronounced cyclical rhythmites and therefore a clearer tidal 459 signal in the spring-tide deposits (Nio and Yang 1991). By the same principles, if formed 460 under spring-tidal periods, landward-oriented and bidirectional cross-stratification and cross-461 lamination would be more common in the sandstone beds than in the intervening deposits, in 462 contrast with our observations that the tidal signal is most pronounced in the fine-grained 463 beds. Alternatively, a single coarser-grained bed could theoretically be considered as the 464 result of a single tidal phase (flood or ebb); however, because of the short duration of a single 465 phase of the tide, the thickness of a sand layer that can be deposited by a single tide is 466 limited. Published results from modern and ancient tidal rhythmites (De Boer et al. 1989; 467 Dalrymple et al. 1991; Archer 1995; Kvale et al. 1995; Archer 1996; Mazumder and Arima 468 2005; Choi 2011; Dalrymple et al. 2012; Kvale 2012; Longhitano et al. 2012; Johnson and 469 Dashtgard 2014) suggest that the deposit of a single tidal phase is commonly less than 2-3 cm 470 in thickness (and rarely above 5 cm), and thus thinner than most of the sand beds described 471 herein.

472 In our interpretation, evidence for river discharge variations and tidal processes are both 473 present in the Lajas Fm. deposits, but they can be decoupled. The seasonal fluctuations of the 474 river discharge are interpreted to be the controlling influence on sedimentation. They produce 475 a change in strength and regime of the fluvial current, which is reflected in the distinct 476 alternation of coarser- and finer-grained beds. This interpretation is supported by the absence 477 of a cyclical pattern in the coarser-grained beds (river floods) and the seaward orientation of 478 cross-stratification. Furthermore, the regular alternation of burrowed and unburrowed layers 479 in marginal-marine deposits have also been associated with seasonal variations in 480 sedimentary conditions (Gingras and MacEachern 2012).

The waxing phase of the river flood period is responsible for the development of the basal erosion surface of each coarse-grained bed (Fig. 9A). Deposition starts during the waning stage of the river flood (Bridge 2003) when the coarser-grained bed is formed under fluvial-

dominated conditions (Fig. 9B). Waning river energy during the late stage of the river flood
results in deposition of finer grain sizes and subordinate modification by tidal currents (Fig.
9C). Finally, during the interflood period at low river stage, sediment is reworked by tidal
processes (Fig. 9D).

488

489 Distribution and trends of seasonal and tidal deposits: facies control on preservation

490 Seasonal bedding is interpreted to be present in the Lajas Fm. in mouth-bar deposits (FA 6), 491 crevasse-mouth-bar deposits (FA 4) and both point bars and side-attached bars within major 492 and minor channel deposits (FA 1 and 3; Fig. 10). In the upper (proximal) part of crevasse-493 mouth-bar units, river flood beds are thicker and more amalgamated than in the lower section 494 of the body. This is interpreted as due to erosion of interflood beds by strong river currents at 495 high river stage. River flood-interflood couplets are well-developed in the medial part of 496 mouth bars because river flood erosion is less significant, but they become less well-497 developed in the distal part of the crevasse mouth bar because of tidal and biological 498 reworking during interflood periods (Gugliotta et al., 2015). A similar pattern is visible also 499 in main mouth-bar deposits (FA 6), which show a similar upward trend of decreasing 500 preservation of interbedding and lack of interflood beds in the top part, where river flood 501 beds are thicker and more amalgamated (Fig. 10).

In the channel deposits, seasonal bedding is poorly-developed in the channel thalweg because of the high energy erosion during river floods but is well developed in side-bar and point-bar deposits of FA 1 and FA 3 (i.e. in areas that are more strongly depositional; Fig. 10). Terminal distributary channels (FA 5) do not show clear seasonal bedding also because of the strongly erosive conditions therein. Channel thalweg deposits are dominated by the presence of dunes and some of them show evidence for a tidal signature (i.e. rhythmically distributed carbonaceous drapes; Fig. 5), but they do not show a clear sign of seasonality. Although the 509 life-span of dunes is poorly constrained, it is considered unlikely that a single cross-bed 510 would record one complete year of deposition or let alone several years, because of the 511 erosion of the stoss side as the dune migrates. In the case that deposition occurs continuously, 512 modern fluvial and tidal dunes have migration rates on the order of tens of centimetres to tens 513 of metres per day (Visser 1980; Van Den Berg 1987; Dalrymple and Rhodes 1995; Harbor 514 1998; Villard and Church 2003; Martinius and Gowland 2011). Assuming that the seasonal 515 control will be due to annual changes in river discharge and that at least a few cycles are 516 required to permit recognition, a single cross bed would have to be exposed continuously 517 over a distance of hundreds to thousands of metres, something that is highly unlikely to exist. 518 A tidal modulation cycle, that is needed to produce cyclically-distributed carbonaceous 519 drapes, lasts only 6 or 12 hours, depending on whether the system is semi-diurnal or diurnal, 520 and could be recorded in a few metres of continuous sedimentation. This implies that some 521 facies will tend to record preferentially one process rather than another (in this case tides 522 rather than seasonality). However, this does not mean that the tidal signal is the main control 523 on deposition in the entire environment. To avoid misinterpretation we need to base our 524 evaluation on examination of the whole system rather than on a single facies.

525 Other deposits described in this paper show little or no evidence of seasonal bedding; these 526 include all of the mud-rich deltaic deposits, such as floodplain (FA 2) and interdistributary 527 bay-mud and prodelta deposits (FA 7). The reason why seasonal bedding is not present in 528 these facies associations is ascribed to the lack or sporadic nature of sand input in these distal, 529 low-energy areas and/or obliteration of all bedding by bioturbation. In transgressive deposits 530 (FA 8) seasonal bedding is not developed because these deposits form in distal settings, far 531 removed from the influence of the river.

The preservation of seasonal bedding in the Lajas Fm. is strongly facies controlled. Seasonaldeposits are present only in facies that form under steady rates of sedimentation over several

seasons with little erosion. These conditions are usually met in bars that form both within (side bars and point bars) and at the mouth (crevasse mouth bars and mouth bars) of channels. Thus, the basic conditions needed to preserve seasonal bedding are: 1) presence of seasonal discharge in the river (which is the norm in modern rivers); 2) relatively continuous local sedimentation and little erosion during river flood periods (such conditions occur commonly on bars); and 3) relatively little reworking by tidal, wave and biological processes.

540

541 Conclusions

542 The studied deposits of the Lajas Formation comprise delta mouth bar, distributary channel 543 and a range of delta plain deposits. Major and minor channel and mouth bar deposits show a 544 ubiquitous decimetre-scale interbedding of coarser-grained beds (river flood) and finer-545 grained beds (interflood) that form non-cyclic rhythmites. River flood beds have 546 unidirectional seaward-directed palaeocurrents and little evidence of tidal processes and 547 brackish water conditions. Interflood beds show evidence of tidal process (tidal rhythmites, 548 bidirectional palaeocurrents) and of brackish water conditions (trace fossils). The 549 interpretation of the rhythmites is that they record variations in river discharge rather than 550 tides because the magnitude of river floods is stochastic and does not vary regularly, whereas 551 tides are cyclical and predictable. The deposit of a single tidal phase would be thinner than 552 most of the river-flood deposits described herein and the almost total absence of a clear tidal 553 signal in the coarser-grained beds would exclude their origin from a longer duration tidal 554 cyclicity. Moreover, regular alternation of burrowed and unburrowed layers, like those 555 described herein, has commonly been associated with seasonal variations in sedimentary 556 conditions.

557 The characteristics and distribution of the bedding suggest a seasonal pattern rather than the 558 shorter-term and more drastic fluctuations associated with flashy river discharge. Seasonal

patterns of deposition from perennial rivers should represent the norm and not the exception in coast-zone rivers, because seasonal discharge fluctuations are a fundamental process in present day river systems worldwide and are expected to be preserved in the large part of ancient fluvial and deltaic deposits (Fig. 1).

The occurrence of seasonal deposits in the Lajas Fm. is facies controlled, and preferentially 563 564 preserved in areas with relatively continuous sedimentation and limited erosion, such as on 565 bars that form within or at the mouth of major and minor channels. The seasonal signal is not 566 preserved where river flood processes are too powerful and remove the interflood deposits, 567 such as in channel thalwegs and terminal distributary channels, or where the river input is 568 weak and sporadic, such as in floodplain, muddy interdistributary bay, prodelta and 569 transgressive marine deposits. Some facies, such as dunes, can record tidal modulation rather 570 than seasonality; this must be taken into account in the evaluation of the main control on 571 deposition. Consequently, to avoid misinterpretations, the evaluation of the dominant process 572 in similar types of ancient deposits should be based on the whole system rather than on a 573 single facies or facies association.

574

575 Acknowledgments

This work is part of the LAJAS Project, a joint study by the Universities of Manchester (UK), Leeds (UK), La Plata (Argentina), University of Texas at Austin (USA) and Queen's University, Ontario (Canada). The project is sponsored by BHPBilliton, Statoil, VNG Norge and Woodside, who are acknowledged for financial support. Allard Martinius and an anonymous reviewer are acknowledged for their comments, which have helped to improve the manuscript. The authors would like to thank Luciano Zapata, Rachel Harding, Brian Burnham, Geoff Reith and Thomas Maguire for assistance in the field, Gonzalo Veiga for insights into the Neuquén Basin and the farmers of Los Molles area for kindly allowingaccess onto their lands.

585

586 **References**

- 587 Agirrezabala, L.M. & de Gibert, J.M. 2004. Paleodepth and paleoenvironment of Dactyloidites ottoi
- 588 (Geinitz, 1849) from Lower Cretaceous deltaic deposits (Basque-Cantabrian Basin, west Pyrenees).
- 589 *Palaios*, **19**, 276-291.
- 590 Allen, G.P. & Chambers, J.L. 1998. Sedimentation in the modern and Miocene Mahakam Delta.
- 591 Indonesian Petroleum Association, 236.
- 592 Allen, G.P., Salomon, J.C., Bassoullet, J.P., Du Penhoat, Y. & De Grandpre, C. 1980. Effects of tides on
- 593 mixing and suspended sediment transport in macrotidal estuaries. *Sedimentary Geology*, **26**, 69-90.
- Allen, J.R.L. 1981. Lower Cretaceous tides revealed by cross-bedding with mud drapes. *Nature* (*London*), **289**, 579-581.
- 596 Archer, A.W. 1995. Modeling of cyclic tidal rhythmites based on a range of diurnal to semidiurnal
- tidal-station data. *Marine Geology*, **123**, 1-10.
- 598 Archer, A.W. 1996. Reliability of lunar orbital periods extracted from ancient cyclic tidal rhythmites.
- 599 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **141**, 1-10, doi: 10.1016/0012-821x(96)00063-5.
- 600 Brandsaeter, I., McIlroy, D., Lia, O., Ringrose, P.S. & Naess, A. 2005. Reservoir modelling and
- 601 simulation of Lajas Formation outcrops (Argentina) to constrain tidal reservoirs of the Halten Terrace
- 602 (Norway). Petroleum Geoscience, 11, 37-46, doi: 10.1144/1354-079303-611.
- Bridge, J.S. 2003. Rivers and floodplains; forms, processes, and sedimentary record. Blackwell
 Publishing, Oxford, United Kingdom, 504.
- 605 Choi, K. 2010. Rhythmic climbing-ripple cross-lamination in inclined heterolithic stratification (IHS) of
- a macrotidal estuarine channel, Gomso Bay, west coast of Korea. Journal of Sedimentary Research,
- 607 **80**, 550-561, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2010.054.

- Choi, K. 2011. External controls on the architecture of inclined heterolithic stratification (IHS) of
 macrotidal Sukmo Channel; wave versus rainfall. *Marine Geology*, 285, 17-28, doi:
 10.1016/j.margeo.2011.05.002.
- 611 Correggiari, A., Field, M.E. & Trincardi, F. 1996. Late Quaternary transgressive large dunes on the 612 sediment-starved Adriatic Shelf. *Geological Society Special Publications*, **117**, 155-169.
- Dalrymple, R.W. 2010. Tidal depositional systems. *In*: James, N.P. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) *Facies*
- 614 *Models 4*. Geological Association of Canada, 201-231.
- 615 Dalrymple, R.W. & Choi, K. 2007. Morphologic and facies trends through the fluvial-marine transition
- 616 in tide-dominated depositional systems; a schematic framework for environmental and sequence
- 617 stratigraphic interpretation. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **81**, 135-174, doi:
 618 10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.10.002.
- Dalrymple, R.W., Mackay, D.A., Ichaso, A.A. & Choi, K.S. 2012. Processes, morphodynamics, and
- facies of tide-dominated estuaries. *In*: Davis, R.A.J. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) *Principles of Tidal Sedimentology*. Springer Berlin, 79-107.
- 622 Dalrymple, R.W., Makino, Y. & Zaitlin, B.A. 1991. Temporal and spatial patterns of rhythmite
- 623 deposition on mud flats in the macrotidal Cobequid Bay-Salmon River estuary, Bay of Fundy, Canada.
- 624 Dalrymple, R.W. & Rhodes, R.N. 1995. Estuarine dunes and bars. Amsterdam, Elsevier, Developments
- 625 *in sedimentology*, **53**, 359-422.
- Dalrymple, R.W., Zaitlin, B.A. & Boyd, R. 1992. Estuarine facies models: conceptual basis and
 stratigraphic implications. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, 62, 1130-1146.
- Dark, P. & Allen, J.R.L. 2005. Seasonal deposition of Holocene banded sediments in the Severn
 Estuary Levels (southwest Britain): palynological and sedimentological evidence. *Quaternary Science*
- 630 *Reviews*, **24**, 11-33, doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.08.001.
- 631 Dashtgard, S.E., Venditti, J.G., Hill, P.R., Sisulak, C.F., Johnson, S.M. & La Croix, A.D. 2012.
- 632 Sedimentation across the tidal-fluvial transition in the Lower Fraser River, Canada. SEPM, The
- 633 Sedimentary Record, **10**, 4-9.

- 634 Davis, R., Jr. 2012. Tidal Signatures and Their Preservation Potential in Stratigraphic Sequences. In:
- 635 Davis Jr, R.A. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) *Principles of Tidal Sedimentology*. Springer Berlin, 35-55.
- 636 De Boer, P.L., Oost, A.P. & Visser, M.J. 1989. The diurnal inequality of the tide as a parameter for
- 637 recognizing tidal influences. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, 59, 912-921, doi: 10.1306/212f90b1-
- 638 2b24-11d7-8648000102c1865d.
- Fielding, C.R. 2006. Upper flow regime sheets, lenses and scour fills; extending the range of architectural elements for fluvial sediment bodies. *Sedimentary Geology*, **190**, 227-240, doi:
- 641 10.1016/j.sedgeo.2006.05.009.
- 642 Fielding, C.R. & Alexander, J. 1996. Sedimentology of the Upper Burdekin River of North Queensland,
- 643 Australia—an example of a tropical, variable discharge river. Terra Nova, 8, 447-457, doi:
- 644 10.1111/j.1365-3121.1996.tb00770.x.
- Fielding, C.R., Allen, J.P., Alexander, J. & Gibling, M.R. 2009. Facies model for fluvial systems in the
 seasonal tropics and subtropics. *Geology (Boulder)*, **37**, 623-626, doi: 10.1130/g25727a.1.
- 647 Fielding, C.R., Allen, J.P., Alexander, J., Gibling, M.R., Rygel, M.C. & Calder, J.H. 2011. Fluvial systems
- and their deposits in hot, seasonal semi-arid and sub-humid settings; modern and ancient examples.
- 649 Special Publication Society for Sedimentary Geology, **97**, 89-111.
- 650 Fuersich, F.T. & Oschmann, W. 1993. Shell beds as tools in basin analysis; the Jurassic of Kachchh,
- western India. *Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **150**, 169-186.
- 652 Garcia, V.M., Quattrocchio, M.E., Zavala, C.A. & Martinez, M.A. 2006. Palinofacies, paleoambientes y
- paleoclima del Grupo Cuyo (Jurásico Medio) en la Sierra de Chacaico, Cuenca Neuquina, Argentina.
- 654 *Revista Espanola de Micropaleontologia*, **38**, 269-288.
- 655 Geel, C.R. & Donselaar, M.E. 2007. Reservoir modelling of heterolithic tidal deposits; sensitivity
- 656 analysis of an object-based stochastic model. Geologie en Mijnbouw. Netherlands Journal of
- 657 *Geosciences*, **86**, 403-411.
- 658 Gingras, M.K. & MacEachern, J.A. 2012. Tidal ichnology of shallow-water clastic settings. In: Davis Jr,
- 659 R.A. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) Principles of Tidal Sedimentology. Springer, Berlin, 57-77.

- 660 Gingras, M.K., Rasanen, M. & Ranzi, A. 2002. The significance of bioturbated inclined heterolithic 661 stratification in the southern part of the Miocene Solimoes Formation, Rio Acre, Amazonia Brazil.
- 662 *Palaios*, **17**, 591-601.
- 663 Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J.C., Batalla, R.J. & Cerda, A. 2013. Catchment size and contribution of the largest
- 664 daily events to suspended sediment load on a continental scale. *Catena (Giessen)*, **102**, 40-45, doi:
- 665 10.1016/j.catena.2010.10.011.
- 666 Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J.C., Batalla, R.J., Cerda, A. & de Luis, M. 2010. Contribution of the largest events
- to suspended sediment transport across the USA. *Land Degradation and Development*, **21**, 83-91,
 doi: 10.1002/ldr.897.
- 669 Gugliotta, M., Flint, S.S., Hodgson, D.M. & Veiga, G.D. 2015. Stratigraphic Record of River-Dominated
- 670 Crevasse Subdeltas With Tidal Influence (Lajas Formation, Argentina). Journal of Sedimentary
- 671 *Research*, **85**, 265-284, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2015.19.
- 672 Gulliford, A.R., Flint, S.S. & Hodgson, D.M. 2014. Testing applicability of models of distributive fluvial
- 673 systems or trunk rivers in ephemeral systems; reconstructing 3-D fluvial architecture in the Beaufort
- 674 Group, South Africa. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 84, 1147-1169, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2014.88.
- Harbor, D.J. 1998. Dynamics of bedforms in the lower Mississippi River. Journal of Sedimentary
- 676 *Research*, **68**, 750-762, doi: 10.2110/jsr.68.750.
- Howell, J.A., Schwarz, E., Spalletti, L.A. & Veiga, G.D. 2005. The Neuquen Basin: an overview. In:
- 678 Veiga, G.D., Spalletti, L.A., Howell, J.A. & Schwartz, E. (eds.) The Neuquén Basin, Argentina: A Case
- 679 Study in Sequence Stratigraphy and Basin Dynamics. Geological Society of London, London, United
- 680 Kingdom, Geological Society of London, Special Publication, 1-14.
- 681 Ichaso, A.A. & Dalrymple, R.W. 2009. Tide- and wave-generated fluid mud deposits in the Tilje
- 682 Formation (Jurassic), offshore Norway. *Geology (Boulder)*, **37**, 539-542, doi: 10.1130/g25481a.1.
- 683 Iglesia Llanos, M.P. 2012. Palaeomagnetic study of the Jurassic from Argentina: magnetostratigraphy
- and palaeogeography of South America. *Revue de Paleobiologie*, 151-168.

- 685 Iglesia Llanos, M.P., Riccardi, A.C. & Singer, S.E. 2006. Palaeomagnetic study of Lower Jurassic marine
- 686 strata from the Neuquen Basin, Argentin: a new Jurassic apparent polar wander path for South
- 687 America. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, **252**, 379-397, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2006.10.006.
- 688 Johnson, S.M. & Dashtgard, S.E. 2014. Inclined heterolithic stratification in a mixed tidal-fluvial
- channel: Differentiating tidal versus fluvial controls on sedimentation. Sedimentary Geology, 301, 41-
- 690 53, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2013.12.004</u>.
- 691 Kravtsova, V.I., Mikhailov, V.N. & Kidyaeva, V.M. 2009. Hydrological regime, morphological features
- and natural territorial complexes of the Irrawaddy River Delta (Myanmar). Water Resources, 36, 243-
- 693 260, doi: 10.1134/s0097807809030014.
- 694 Kurcinka, C.E. 2014. Sedimentology and facies achitecture of the tide-influenced, river-dominated
- 695 *delta-mouth bars in the lower Lajas Fm (Jurassic), Argentina.* unpublished MSc thesis, Queen's 696 University, 157.
- 697 Kvale, E. 2012. Tidal Constituents of Modern and Ancient Tidal Rhythmites: Criteria for Recognition
- and Analyses. *In*: Davis Jr, R.A. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) *Principles of Tidal Sedimentology*. Springer,
 Berlin, 1-17.
- 700 Kvale, E.P., Cutright, J., Bllodeau, D., Archer, A., Johnson, H.R. & Pickett, B. 1995. Analysis of modern
- tides and implications for ancient tidalites. *Continental Shelf Research*, **15**, 1921-1943.
- 702 La Croix, A.D. & Dashtgard, S.E. 2014. Of sand and mud: Sedimentological criteria for identifying the
- turbidity maximum zone in a tidally influenced river. *Sedimentology*, **61**, 1961-1981, doi:
- 704 10.1111/sed.12126.
- 705 Legler, B., Johnson, H.D., Hampson, G.J., Massart, B.Y.G., Jackson, C.A.L., Jackson, M.D., El-Barkooky,
- 706 A. & Ravnas, R. 2013. Facies model of a fine-grained, tide-dominated delta: Lower Dir Abu Lifa
- 707 Member (Eocene), Western Desert, Egypt. Sedimentology, 1313–1356, doi: 10.1111/sed.12037.
- Longhitano, S.G., Mellere, D., Steel, R.J. & Ainsworth, R.B. 2012. Tidal depositional systems in the rock record: a review and new insights. *Sedimentary Geology*, **279**, 2-22, doi:
- 710 10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.03.024.

- 711 MacEachern, J.A., Pemberton, S.G., Gingras, M.K. & Bann, K.L. 2010. Ichnology and facies models. In:
- James, N.P. & Dalrymple, R.W. (eds.) *Facies Models 4*. Geological Association of Canada, 19-58.
- 713 MacKay, D.A. & Dalrymple, R.W. 2011. Dynamic mud deposition in a tidal environment; the record of
- 714 fluid-mud deposition in the Cretaceous Bluesky Formation, Alberta, Canada. Journal of Sedimentary
- 715 *Research*, **81**, 901-920, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2011.74.
- 716 Martinez, M.A., Quattrocchio, M.E. & Zavala, C.A. 2002. Análisis palinofacial de la Formación Lajas
- 717 (Jurásico Medio), Cuenca Neuquina, Argentina: significado paleoambiental y paleoclimático. Revista
- 718 Espanola de Micropaleontologia, **34**, 81-104.
- 719 Martinius, A.W. & Gowland, S. 2011. Tide-influenced fluvial bedforms and tidal bore deposits (Late
- 720 Jurassic Lourinha Formation, Lusitanian Basin, western Portugal). Sedimentology, 58, 285-324, doi:
- 721 10.1111/j.1365-3091.2010.01185.x.
- 722 Martinius, A.W., Ringrose, P.S., Brostrom, C., Elfenbein, C., Naess, A. & Ringas, J.E. 2005. Reservoir
- 723 challenges of heterolithic tidal sandstone reservoirs in the Halten Terrace, mid-Norway. Petroleum
- 724 *Geoscience*, **11**, 3-16, doi: 10.1144/1354-079304-629.
- 725 Martinius, A.W. & Van den Berg, J.H. 2011. Atlas of sedimentary structures in estuarine and tidally-
- influenced river deposits of the Rhine-Meuse-Scheldt system: Their application to the interpretation
- of analogous outcrop and subsurface depositional systems. EAGE Publications, Houten, 298
- 728 Mazumder, R. & Arima, M. 2005. Tidal rhythmites and their implications. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **69**,
- 729 79-95.
- 730 McIlroy, D., Flint, S. & Howell, J.A. 1999. Sequence stratigraphy and facies architecture of tidal
- 731 succession, in an extensional basin; Neuquén Basin, Argentina. American Association of Petroleum
- 732 *Geologists, Annual Meeting Expanded Abstracts*, **1999**, A91-A92.
- 733 McIlroy, D., Flint, S., Howell, J.A. & Timms, N. 2005. Sedimentology of the tide-dominated Jurassic
- 734 Lajas Formation, Neuquén Basin, Argentina. In: Veiga, G.D., Spalletti, L.A., Howell, J.A. & Schwartz, E.
- 735 (eds.) The Neuquén Basin, Argentina: A Case Study in Sequence Stratigraphy and Basin Dynamics.
- 736 Geological Society of London, Special Publications, 83-107.

- 737 Mikhailov, V.N. & Mikhailova, M.V. 2010. Delta formation processes at the Mississippi River mouth.
- 738 *Water Resources*, **37**, 595-610, doi: 10.1134/s0097807810050015.
- Milliman, J.D. & Farnsworth, K.L. 2011. River discharge to the coastal ocean: a global synthesis,
 Cambridge University Press, 392.
- 741 Morgans-Bell, H.S. & McIlroy, D. 2005. Palaeoclimatic implications of Middle Jurassic (Bajocian)
- 742 coniferous wood from the Neuguen Basin, west-central Argentina. In: Veiga, G.D., Spalletti, L.A.,
- 743 Howell, J.A. & Schwartz, E. (eds.) The Neuquén Basin, Argentina: A Case Study in Sequence
- 744 Stratigraphy and Basin Dynamics. Geological Society of London, Special Publication, 267-278.
- 745 Nio, S.-D. & Yang, C. 1991. Diagnostic attributes of clastic tidal deposits; a review. Memoir Canadian
- 746 Society of Petroleum Geologists, **16**, 3-27.
- 747 Nordahl, K., Martinius, A.W. & Kritski, A. 2006. Time-series analysis of a heterolithic, ripple-
- 748 laminated deposit (Early Jurassic, Tilje Formation) and implications for reservoir modelling. *Marine*749 *Geology*, 235, 255-271, doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2006.10.019.
- 750 Olariu, C. & Bhattacharya, J.P. 2006. Terminal distributary channels and delta front architecture of 751 river-dominated delta systems. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, **76**, 212-233, doi:
- 752 10.2110/jsr.2006.026.
- Plink-Björklund, P. 2012. Effects of tides on deltaic deposition: Causes and responses. *Sedimentary Geology*, 279, 107-133.
- Purnachandra Rao, V., Shynu, R., Kessarkar, P.M., Sundar, D., Michael, G.S., Narvekar, T., Blossom, V.
- 756 & Mehra, P. 2011. Suspended sediment dynamics on a seasonal scale in the Mandovi and Zuari
- 757 Estuaries, central west coast of India. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **91**, 78-86, doi:
 758 10.1016/j.ecss.2010.10.007.
- 759 Quattrocchio, M., Garcia, V., Martinez, M. & Zavala, C. 2001. A hypothetic scenario for the Middle
- 760 Jurassic in the southern part of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Asociación Paleontológica Argentina,
- 761 *Publicacion Especial*, **7**, 163-166.

- 762 Rebata, H., Luisa A., Gingras, M.K., Rasanen, M.E. & Barberi, M. 2006. Tidal channel deposits on a
- 763 delta plain from the upper Miocene Nauta Formation, Maranon foreland subbasin, Peru.
 764 Sedimentology, 53, 971-1013, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3091.2006.00795.x.
- Reineck, H.E. & Wunderlich, F. 1968. Classification and origin of flaser and lenticular bedding.
 Sedimentology, **11**, 99-104.
- 767 Retallack, G.J. 2008. Soils of the past: an introduction to paleopedology. John Wiley & Sons.
- 768 Reynolds, A.D. 1999. Dimensions of paralic sandstone bodies. AAPG Bulletin, 83, 211-229, doi:
- 769 10.1306/00aa9a48-1730-11d7-8645000102c1865d.
- 770 Sisulak, C.F. & Dashtgard, S.E. 2012. Seasonal controls on the development and character of inclined
- heterolithic stratification in a tide-influenced, fluvially dominated channel; Fraser River, Canada.
- 772 *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, **82**, 244-257, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2012.21.
- Spalletti, L. 1995. Depósitos de tormenta en un frente deltaico. Jurásico medio de la Cuenca
 Neuquina, República Argentina. *Revista de la Sociedad Geologica de Espana*, 8, 261-272.
- 775 Stukins, S., Jolley, D.W., McIlroy, D. & Hartley, A.J. 2013. Middle Jurassic vegetation dynamics from
- allochthonous palynological assemblages: An example from a marginal marine depositional setting;
- 777 Lajas Formation, Neuquén Basin, Argentina. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,
- 778 **392**, 117-127.
- Taylor, A.M. & Goldring, R. 1993. Description and analysis of bioturbation and ichnofabric.
 Geological Society of London, Journal, **150**, 141-148.
- 781 Thomas, R.G., Smith, D.G., Wood, J.M., Visser, J., Calverley-Range, E.A. & Koster, E.H. 1987. Inclined
- heterolithic stratification; terminology, description, interpretation and significance. *Sedimentary Geology*, 53, 123-179.
- 784 Uliana, M.A. & Legarreta, L. 1993. Hydrocarbons habitat in a Triassic-to-Cretaceous sub-Andean
 785 setting: Neuquen Basin, Argentina. *Journal of Petroleum Geology*, 16, 397-420.
- 786 Urien, C.M. & Zambrano, J.J. 1994. Petroleum systems in the Neuquen Basin, Argentina. In: Magoon,
- 787 L.B. & Dow, W.G. (eds.) The petroleum system–from source to trap, AAPG Memoir, 513-534.

- Van Den Berg, J.H. 1987. Bedform migration and bed-load transport in some rivers and tidal
 environments. *Sedimentology*, 34, 681-698, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3091.1987.tb00794.x.
- van den Berg, J.H., Boersma, J.R. & van Gelder, A. 2007. Diagnostic sedimentary structures of the
- 791 fluvial-tidal transition zone; evidence from deposits of the Rhine and Meuse. *Geologie en Mijnbouw*.
- 792 Netherlands Journal of Geosciences, **86**, 287-306.
- van Maren, D.S. & Hoekstra, P. 2004. Seasonal variation of hydrodynamics and sediment dynamics in
- a shallow subtropical estuary: the Ba Lat River, Vietnam. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 60,
- 795 529-540, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2004.02.011</u>.
- 796 Varela, A.N., Veiga, G.D. & Poire, D.G. 2012. Sequence stratigraphic analysis of Cenomanian
- 797 greenhouse palaeosols: A case study from southern Patagonia, Argentina. Sedimentary Geology,
- 798 **271-272**, 67-82, doi: 10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.06.006.
- 799 Villard, P.V. & Church, M. 2003. Dunes and associated sand transport in a tidally influenced sand-bed
- 800 channel: Fraser River, British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 40, 115-130, doi:
- 801 10.1139/e02-102.
- 802 Visser, M.J. 1980. Neap-spring cycles reflected in Holocene subtidal large-scale bedform deposits; a
- 803 preliminary note. *Geology (Boulder)*, **8**, 543-546.
- 804 Willis, B.J. 2005. Deposits of tide-influenced river deltas. In: Bhattacharya, J.P. & Giosan, L. (eds.)
- 805 River Deltas—Concepts, Models, and Examples: SEPM, Special Publication. Society for Sedimentary
- 806 Geology (SEPM), Tulsa, OK, United States, 87-129.
- 807 Wilson, A., Flint, S., Payenberg, T., Tohver, E. & Lanci, L. 2014. Architectural styles and sedimentology
- 808 of the fluvial lower Beaufort Group, Karoo Basin, South Africa. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 84,
- 809 326-348, doi: 10.2110/jsr.2014.28.
- 810 Zambrano, C.U.J. & Yrigoyen, M. 1995. Petroleum basins of southern South America: an overview. In:
- 811 Tankard, A.J., Suárez, S.R. & J., W.H. (eds.) Petroleum basins of South America. Petroleum Basins of

812 South America, 63-77.

Zavala, C. 1996a. High-resolution sequence stratigraphy in the Middle Jurassic Cuyo Group, South

814 Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *GeoResearch Forum*, **1-2**, 295-303.

Zavala, C. 1996b. Sequence stratigraphy in continental to marine transitions; an example from the

816 Middle Jurassic Cuyo Group, South Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *GeoResearch Forum*, **1-2**, 285-293.

- 817 Zavala, C. & González, R. 2001. Estratigrafía del Grupo Cuyo (Jurásico inferior-medio) en la Sierra de
- 818 la Vaca Muerta, Cuenca Neuquina. Boletín de Informaciones Petroleras, Tercera Época, año XVII, 52-
- 819 64.

820

821 **Figure captions**

Fig. 1. Pie chart highlighting the dominance of discharge fluctuations in modern rivers. Basedon the database of Milliman and Farnsworth (2011).

824

Fig. 2. (a) Location map and extent of the Neuquén Basin. (b) Detailed location map showing
the location of the study area (black rectangle).

827

Fig. 3. Middle Jurassic stratigraphy of the Neuquén Basin. Modified from Howell *et al.* (2005) and McIlroy *et al.* (2005). On the right, a detailed stratigraphic column of the Cuyo Group in the study area with a generalized palaeoenvironmental interpretation. The stratigraphic subdivisions on the left of the column are from Zavala (1996a, 1996b) and McIlroy et al. (2005).

833

Fig. 4. Representative photographs of the coarser- and finer-grained interbedding in major and minor channel deposits in the Lajas Fm. interpreted as river flood and interflood beds formed in response to fluctuations in river discharge. Photos are from the upper part of the stratigraphy. (**a**) Distributary channels deposits (FA 1) overlying floodplain deposits (FA 2). 838 The channel deposits show a structureless part and a low-angle interbedded part with coarser-839 (river flood) and finer-grained (interflood) deposits. Dashed lines indicate erosional surfaces 840 at the base of the unit. Triangles indicate fining- and thinning-upward trends. Person for scale 841 is 1.75 m tall. (b-c) Detail of distributary channel deposits (FA 1) with alternation of coarser-842 (river flood) and finer-grained (interflood) beds. Finer-grained beds are marked by abundant 843 carbonaceous material (b) and trace fossils (c) indicating lower energy. Compass for scale is 844 6.5 cm long and pencil for scale is 12 cm long. (d) Minor distributary channel deposits (FA 845 3) showing alternations of coarser- (river flood) and finer-grained (interflood) beds. Dashed 846 lines indicate erosional surfaces at the base of the unit. Triangles indicate fining- and 847 thinning-upward trends. (e) Detail of minor distributary channel deposits (FA 3) with 848 alternation of coarser- (river flood) and finer-grained (interflood) beds. Synaeresis cracks in 849 the interflood bed mark a salinity contrast. Pencil for scale is 12 cm long.

850

Fig. 5. (a-b) Cyclical pattern in carbonaceous drapes in unidirectional, seaward-oriented cross-stratification interpreted as the result of tidal modulation of fluvial currents. Arrows show tidal modulation cycles indicated by spacing of the drapes or the height reached by drapes in the dune foresets. Grain size card for scale in (a) is 8 cm long. Note 10 cm scale bar in (b).

856

Fig. 6. (**a-c**) Representative photographs of the coarser- and finer-grained interbedding in crevasse mouth bars (FA 4) of the Lajas Fm., interpreted as river flood and interflood beds formed as a result of river discharge fluctuations. Photos are from the upper part of the stratigraphy. The triangle indicates coarsening- and thickening-upward trend. Notebook for scale is approximately 20 cm long and lens cap is 52 mm in diameter. See key for the sedimentary log in Fig. 4. 864 Fig. 7. Representative photographs of the coarser- and finer-grained interbedding in mouth 865 bars (FA 6) of the Lajas Fm., interpreted as river flood and interflood beds formed as a result 866 of river discharge fluctuations. Photos are from the lowest 200 m of the stratigraphy. (a) Two 867 stacked mouth bar units with the associated sedimentary log. Person for scale is 1.75 m tall. 868 Triangles indicate coarsening- and thickening-upward trends. See key for the sedimentary log 869 in Fig. 4. (b) Amalgamated river flood beds in the upper part of the FA 6 unit. (c) River flood 870 and interflood beds in the medial part of the unit. (d-e) Interbedding formed by fluvial 871 discharge fluctuations from the lower 100 m of the stratigraphy. Note that interflood beds are 872 highly bioturbated (BI 6) compared to unbioturbated river flood beds. Pencil for scale is 873 about 12 cm long and coin is about 2.5 cm in diameter.

874

Fig. 8. Summary of the key characteristics of river flood and interflood beds from mouth-bar
deposits (FA 6). Pen for scale is 12 cm long.

877

Fig. 9. Formation of bedding in a mouth-bar setting in response to seasonal discharge
variations with a weak tidal overprint during the interflood period. Length of arrow represents
strength/velocity of fluvial and tidal currents.

881

Fig. 10. Schematic depositional model for the studied sections of the Lajas Fm. showing thedistribution of facies with and without seasonal bedding.



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9



Figure 10