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Abstract

The striatum acts in conjunction with the cortex to control and execute functions that are impaired by abnormal dopamine neurotransmission in disorders such as Parkinson’s and schizophrenia. To date, in-vivo quantification of striatal dopamine has been restricted to structural-based striatal subdivisions. Here, we present a multi-modal imaging approach that quantifies the endogenous dopamine release following administration of d-amphetamine in the functional subdivisions of the striatum of healthy humans with $^{[11]}\text{C}\text{PHNO}$ and $^{[11]}\text{C}\text{Raclopride}$ PET ligands. Using connectivity-based parcellation, we subdivided the striatum into functional sub-regions based on striato-cortical anatomical connectivity information derived from diffusion MRI and probabilistic tractography. Our parcellation showed that the functional organisation of the striatum was spatially coherent across individuals, congruent with primate data and previous diffusion MRI studies, with distinctive and overlapping networks. D-amphetamine induced the highest dopamine release in the limbic followed by the sensory, motor and executive areas. The data suggest that the relative regional proportions of D2-like receptors are unlikely to be responsible for this regional dopamine release pattern. Notably, the homogeneity of dopamine release was significantly higher within the connectivity-based functional subdivisions in comparison with the structural subdivisions. These results support an association between local levels of dopamine release and cortical connectivity fingerprints.
Introduction

Over the last two decades the dopaminergic system has been studied extensively with Positron Emission Tomography (PET) (Egerton et al. 2009; Laruelle 2012). These imaging studies have investigated the density and distribution of dopamine receptors, dopamine synthesis and dopamine release into the extracellular space following a range of pharmacological or behavioural stimuli in healthy and diseased populations (Kegeles et al. 2010; Mizrahi et al. 2011; Banerjee and Prante 2012; Boileau et al. 2012; Mizrahi et al. 2012; Suridjian et al. 2012). The basal ganglia (BG), and in particular the striatum, has been the focus due to an abundance of dopamine receptors and involvement in dopamine related diseases such as Parkinson’s and schizophrenia. The striatum acts in conjunction with the cortex to control and execute functions and it has been divided into closed cortical–BG–thalamo–cortical loops (limbic, executive, motor, sensory) with each structure maintaining the functional characteristics of the projective region (Parent and Hazrati 1995; Haber 2003; Haber et al. 2006). The striatum is a heterogeneous structure, which consists of three cytoarchitectonically-undifferentiated areas: the caudate, putamen and nucleus accumbens. While the caudate and putamen are anatomically separate nuclei, the nucleus accumbens has been defined simply as the ventral striatal region abutting the septum.

Autoradiography and molecular PET imaging studies have shown that the receptors and release of dopamine are not always uniformly distributed or released within the traditional structural striatal subdivisions (Staley and Mash 1996; Gurevich and Joyce 1999; Martinez et al. 2003). Nonetheless, to date the regional quantification of dopamine release within the striatum has been based on these traditional subdivisions (Drevets et al. 1999; Martinez et al. 2003; Narendran et al. 2004; Shotbolt et al. 2011). More recently functional subdivisions of the primate and human striatum have been introduced based on the distribution of its cortical inputs (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985; Parent and Hazrati 1995; Haber 2003; Lehéricy et al. 2004; Croxson et al. 2005; Leh et al. 2007; Draganski et al. 2008; Cohen et al. 2009; Bohanna et al. 2011; Tziortzi, Searle, Tsoumpas, et al. 2011). These studies demonstrate the existence of individual and overlapping cortical-striatal networks and reveal the topographical organisation of these networks. Moreover it has been shown (Haber et al. 2006) that the limbic portion of the striatum occupies a large rostral striatal region that extends beyond the boundaries of the nucleus accumbens.
The aim of this study is to quantify amphetamine-induced dopamine release in the connectivity-based functional subdivisions of the human striatum. Quantification of dopamine in the functional territories may provide new insights about dopamine function, help understand the neurochemical organisation and potentially help the development of novel therapeutic compounds and comprehension of dopamine related neurological disorders. The first part of this paper determines, in individual subjects, the cortical-striatal projections to functionally parcellate the striatum using diffusion-weighted MRI and probabilistic tractography (Behrens, Johansen-Berg, et al. 2003). The second part applies these functional subdivisions to PET neurotransmission data to quantify regional dopamine release following the administration of d-amphetamine. To examine whether dopamine release has a functional or a structural specificity dopamine is also measured in the structural subdivisions of the striatum in the same subjects and the measurements are compared. PET data from two D2/D3 dopamine ligands were investigated: the agonist \[^{11}\text{C}]\text{PHNO, which has a preferential affinity for the D3 receptor (Gallezot et al. 2012)} and the antagonist \[^{11}\text{C}]\text{Raclopride, which has equal affinity for the D2/D3 receptors. This enables testing of the robustness of the findings across two PET radioligands and investigation of whether the regional detection of dopamine release depends upon the relative distribution of the D2/D3 receptors and the ligands preferential affinities.}
Material and Methods

In the first part of the paper, probabilistic tractography is used to parcellate the human striatum into connectivity-based functional regions of interest (ROIs) based on the striato-cortical connections. To obtain the connectivity-based functional regions in each subject a two-step procedure was applied: a) projections from the four brain lobes (frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal) to the striatum were estimated and the striatal areas associated with each lobe were established; b) The frontal lobe was subdivided into four anatomical ROIs, each associated with a particular functional specialisation (limbic, executive, rostral motor and caudal motor), and projections between these anatomical ROIs and the striatal area associated with the frontal lobe was estimated (figure 1B, methods overview).

In the second part of the paper, these functional subdivisions were used in conjunction with the \(^{11}\text{C}\)PHNO and \(^{11}\text{C}\)Raclopride PET neurotransmission data in order to quantify regional specific dopamine release following a d-amphetamine challenge. For comparison, the dopamine release was also quantified within structural subdivisions (Martinez et al. 2003; Tziortzi, Searle, Tzimopoulou, et al. 2011).

Subjects

The study was conducted at the GlaxoSmithKline Clinical Imaging Centre, Hammersmith Hospital, London and was approved by Essex 1 Research Ethics Committee and the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee (ARSAC) and subjects provided written informed consent. The data analysed and presented here were obtained from a cohort of subjects described previously (Shotbolt et al. 2011). In short, twelve healthy male volunteers were recruited aged between 25 and 55 years, free from clinically significant illness or disease as determined by their medical history and standard laboratory tests. Each subject underwent a series of MRI and PET scans including a T1-weighted and diffusion-weighted MRI. Nine subjects completed four PET scans, two with \(^{11}\text{C}\)PHNO and two with \(^{11}\text{C}\)Raclopride in a counterbalanced order. A further three subjects received only two \(^{11}\text{C}\)PHNO scans as two of them dropped out of the study, and the third was withdrawn because of an incidental finding. For each ligand, subjects initially received a baseline scan and then d-amphetamine was administered orally (0.3mg/kg) on an empty stomach three hours prior to the start of the challenge scan.

Image acquisition and pre-processing
MRI. High-resolution T1-weighted and diffusion-weighted images were acquired with a 32-channel head coil on a Siemens Tim Trio, 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). An isotropic T1-weighted (1 mm$^3$) image was acquired with a magnetisation-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TR = 3000 ms, TE = 3.66 ms, flip angle of 9°, TI = 1100 ms, matrix =256 x 192. A parallel imaging factor of 2 was applied to enable an acquisition time of 321 s).

Diffusion-weighted data were acquired using echo planar imaging (EPI) (TR = 9000 ms, TE = 86 ms, flip angle of 90° and voxel size of 1.875 x 1.875 x 1.9 mm$^3$). The diffusion weighting was isotropically distributed along 30 directions (b-value=1000 s/mm$^2$) and a non-diffusion-weighted image (B0) was acquired at the beginning of each scan. EPI acquisitions are prone to geometric distortions which can lead to errors in tractography. To minimise this, two image sets were acquired with the phase encoded direction reversed – ‘blip-up’ and ‘blip-down’ (Chang and Fitzpatrick 1992) – resulting in images with geometric distortions of equal magnitude but in the opposite direction allowing for the calculation of a corrected image (Andersson et al. 2003). Before correcting geometric distortions each image set – ‘blip-up’ and ‘blip-down’ – was corrected for motion and eddy-current related distortions. Diffusion data analysis was performed with the FSL tools (FMRIB Centre software library, Oxford University; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/).

PET. All subjects were scanned on a Siemens Biograph HiRez XVI PET scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany), under baseline conditions (no challenge) and three hours following the oral administration of 0.3 mg/kg of d-amphetamine. For each scan, subjects were injected with a single intravenous bolus of [$^{11}$C]PHNO or [$^{11}$C]Raclopride and dynamic emission data were acquired continuously for 90 minutes. Six of the subjects had their two [$^{11}$C]PHNO scans on the same day with at least five hours between [$^{11}$C]PHNO injections, whereas the other six subjects had their two scans on separate days. [$^{11}$C]Raclopride scans were acquired on the same day. The dynamic images were reconstructed, into 26 frames (8x15 s, 3x1 min, 5x2 min, 5x5 min and 5x10 min), using a filtered back projection algorithm (direct inversion Fourier transform; DIFT) with a 128 matrix, zoom of 2.6 producing images with isotropic voxel size of 2x2x2 mm$^3$, and a transaxial Gaussian filter of 5mm. A low dose CT scan (effective dose 0.2mSv) was acquired for attenuation and scatter correction. The dynamic PET data were corrected for motion via frame-to-frame image registration and aligned with the
structural T1 MRI image using SPM5b (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) with a mutual information cost function.

Connectivity-based functional subdivision of the striatum

Probabilistic Tractography. The FMRIB’s diffusion toolbox (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fdt) was used to perform probabilistic tractography with a partial volume model (Behrens, Woolrich, et al. 2003) allowing for up to two fiber directions in each voxel (Behrens et al. 2007). Five thousand sample tracts were generated from each voxel in the seed mask (striatum). Tractography was performed in the subjects’ continuous space and the results were output in the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space by providing transformation parameters estimated via a two-step procedure as follows: a) the fractional anisotropy image was registered to each subject’s high resolution T1-weighted image using FLIRT (Jenkinson et al. 2002) with 6 degrees of freedom and a mutual information cost function; b) The T1-weighted image was non-linearly registered to the 1x1x1 mm³ nonlinear MNI template with FNIRT. The transformation parameters obtained from these two steps were concatenated to yield the mapping from the diffusion-weighted image (DWI) to MNI space.

Functional subdivision - phase I. The projections from the striatum to the frontal, parietal, occipital and temporal cortical areas were estimated. For each striatal voxel we calculated the probability of connection to each area as the proportion of the total number of samples, which originate from this voxel and reach any cortical area. Then, the striatum was segmented by assigning each voxel to the lobe with which it had the highest connection probability (Johansen-Berg et al. 2005). After this ‘hard’ segmentation, the areas in striatum that associate with each lobe were established.

Functional subdivision - phase II. The striatal area found in phase I to be associated with the frontal lobe, is further subdivided into the following functional regions: a) limbic, involved in emotions, reward and motivation; b) executive, linked to executive processes such as perception, memory, reasoning and judgment; c) rostral-motor, involved in the planning and control of movements; and d) caudal-motor, involved with the execution of movements. Four frontal lobe anatomical subdivisions (described below), each associated with the aforementioned functions, were used to estimate the connections with the striatal area associated with
the frontal lobe. Note that the prefrontal ROIs were not derived from functional MRI experiments but make
use of the known areas of specific brain function, as determined from the human and primate literature.

For each subject and hemisphere seven connection maps were derived, one for each cortical target (limbic, 
executive, rostral-motor, caudal-motor, parietal, occipital and temporal). Since individual and overlapping 
cortical-striatal networks exist, striatal voxels are either connected exclusively to a cortical target or show 
connectivity to multiple cortical regions. To accommodate this finding we process the connectivity maps in two 
different ways:

a) For each subject, exclusive connectivity-based functional ROIs (CB) were created following the procedures 
described by Johansen-Berg and colleagues (2005). In brief, for each striatal voxel the probability of connection 
to each cortical target was calculated as a proportion of the total number of samples from that voxel that 
reach any cortical area; Next, each voxel was assigned to the cortical target with the highest connection 
probability.

b) Each subject's connectivity maps were thresholded at 5% (Croxson et al. 2005) of the maximum connectivity 
value to exclude noise and voxels with low connectivity values. This allowed functional subdivisions to have a 
certain degree of overlap (overlapping networks). The functional areas obtained with this method will be 
abbreviated as CBo.

Regions of interest preparation. The complete striatum outline was manually defined on the subject’s T1-
weighted image and the cortical lobes were obtained from the CICatlas, (Tziortzi, Searle, Tzimopoulou, et al. 
2011) a modification of the Harvard-Oxford atlas. In addition, the frontal lobe was subdivided into 4 
anatomical regions, based on known anatomical classification of function (figure 1A). Details of the four frontal 
lobe subdivisions are as follows:

Limbic target: The structures comprising the limbic anatomical ROI are the anterior orbital gyrus, posterior 
orbital gyrus, medial orbital gyrus, gyrus rectus (Chiavaras and Petrides 2000), and subcallosal gyrus – ventral 
anterior cingulate (parolfactory area – area 25). The lateral orbital gyrus was excluded since several studies 
report that it has a different connectivity pattern and function from the medial orbital cortex (Kringelbach and 
Rolls 2004; Wallis 2007).
Executive target: The functional map proposed by Petrides (2005) was adopted to delineate areas 9, 9/46 and area 10 of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex which constituted the executive ROI. Anatomically, the ROI consisted of the rostral superior and middle frontal gyri and the dorsal prefrontal cortex (3 mm dorsal the mid-sagittal most anterior and dorsal tip of gyrus rectus, where area 10 is situated).

Rostral-motor target: The caudal portions of lateral and medial superior gyrus, and the caudal middle and inferior frontal gyri were included in the rostral-motor target. These anatomical regions correspond functionally to rostral area 6, supplementary motor area (SMA), pre-SMA and the frontal eye field region.

Caudal-motor target: Includes the pre-central gyrus, which corresponds functionally to the primary motor cortex (area 4) and the caudal premotor area (caudal area 6). The paracentral lobule was excluded from the target.

To tailor the cortical ROIs to the subjects’ individual anatomy, the subjects’ segmented grey matter (GM) and fractional anisotropy (FA) images, both normalised to the MNI template, were employed to mask the ROIs. The lower threshold for the GM mask was set at 0.25 and the FA mask upper threshold was set at 0.40.

**Structural subdivision and structural-based functional subdivision of the striatum**

A structural based method that uses anatomical landmarks to subdivide the striatum, based on a previously described method (Tziortzi, Searle, Tzimopoulou, et al. 2011), was also employed for comparison with the connectivity approach presented here. Several studies have shown that there is not a definitive anatomical, histological or histochemical distinction between nucleus accumbens, caudate and putamen. Therefore structural based methods (Drevets et al. 1999; Mawlawi et al. 2001; Martinez et al. 2003) use arbitrary anatomical landmarks in an attempt to subdivide the striatum: a) the striatum is subdivided into caudate (CD), putamen (PU) and ventral striatum (VST). All the ROIs are defined on each subject’s T1-weighted image following the guidelines detailed in Tziortzi et al. (2011). This subdivision will be referred to as structural-based ROIs (SB); b) the delineated PU is subdivided into pre- and post-commissural as described by Martinez et al (2003). This technique was proposed in an attempt to structurally approach the functional organisation of the striatum. Specifically, the VST is classified as limbic striatum, the post-commissural putamen as sensorimotor and the pre-commissural putamen with caudate as executive. These subdivisions will be referred to as structural-based functional ROIs (SBf).
Quantification of regional dopamine release

The basis function implementation of the simplified reference tissue model (SRTM) (Lammertsma and Hume 1996; Gunn et al. 1997) was applied to the dynamic $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride PET data. Using the cerebellum as a reference region, we derived parametric images of the non-displaceable binding potential ($BP_{ND}$), which is proportional to the receptor availability. Each subject's pre- and post-d-amphetamine parametric images were co-registered using FLIRT (Jonkkinson et al. 2002) and then transformed into MNI space using the transformation parameters derived from non-linearly registering the subject’s T1-weighted image to the 1×1×1 mm$^3$ nonlinear MNI template with FNIRT. Subsequently the $CB$, $CBo$, $SB$ and $SBf$ subdivisions of the striatum were applied to the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride $BP_{ND}$ parametric images to calculate the regional estimates of $BP_{ND}$. The fractional change between baseline and post-d-amphetamine conditions then provides an index of the synaptic dopamine release as:

$$
\Delta BP_{ND} = 100 \left( \frac{BP_{ND}^{Base} - BP_{ND}^{Amph}}{BP_{ND}^{Base}} \right) \% 
$$

where $BP_{ND}^{Base}$ is the $BP_{ND}$ in the baseline condition and $BP_{ND}^{Amph}$ is the $BP_{ND}$ following the administration of d-amphetamine (Laruelle 2000). The coefficient of variation (%COV) for the dopamine release was calculated to provide an index of homogeneity of dopamine release within each subdivision.
Results

Connectivity-based functional subdivision of the striatum

Projections from cortical lobes - phase I. While all cortical lobes project to the striatum, the areas that each lobe’s efferent projections occupy are not equally represented. After hard segmentation of the striatum across subjects, the frontal lobe input dominates with 82±7 % of the total striatal volume (frontal lobe grey matter volume: 248±14 cm$^3$), followed by the parietal lobe with 11±5 % (parietal lobe grey matter volume: 116±7 cm$^3$), the temporal lobe with 5±5 % (temporal lobe grey matter volume: 114±3 cm$^3$) and the occipital lobe with 2±1 % (occipital lobe grey matter volume: 85±3 cm$^3$). The cortical projections were estimated for each subject and the group average inputs in the MNI space are shown in figure 2A.

The frontal lobe input occupies almost the entire caudate and pre-commissural putamen extending up to the post-commissural putamen. The parietal lobe, the second biggest cortical input, projects primarily to the post-commissural caudate and putamen with scattered projections observed in the pre-commissural caudate. In contrast to the projections from the frontal and parietal lobes, the projections from the occipital and temporal lobes are more confined (figure 2A-B). Small-interspersed projections from the temporal lobe were found in the pre-commissural caudate, nucleus accumbens and in the ventral post-commissural putamen whilst occipital projections were limited to the ventral post-commissural putamen. The projections of each lobe occupy distinctive striatal areas with a significant degree of overlap observed between frontal and parietal lobes in the post-commissural putamen and some overlap between frontal and temporal lobes in the nucleus accumbens and pre-commissural regions. The large number of frontal lobe projections into the striatum confirms that these two regions interact extensively to coordinate and execute function.

Projections from frontal lobe subdivisions - phase II. Distinct, overlapping and bilaterally symmetric projections from the four anatomical subdivisions of the frontal lobe (limbic, executive, rostral-motor and caudal-motor) were derived (figure 3A) and shown to be similar to those obtained from non-human primate tracing studies (Haber et al. 2006; Calzavara et al. 2007) and confirmatory of previous human DWI studies (Lehéricy et al. 2004; Draganski et al. 2008). The limbic striatum occupies the nucleus accumbens and ventral pre-commissural caudate and putamen. Of particular interest are the small patches of limbic projections...
observed in the ventral post-commissural putamen, an area where projections from the temporal lobe and amygdala can also be found. For pre-commissural striatum, the executive projections reside in the central and dorsal striatum as opposed to the post-commissural striatum where they occupy the central and ventral zones.

*The rostral-motor target, projects to an elongated area that occupies the dorsal tiers of putamen and caudate.* In contrast, the caudal-motor projections are confined to the post-commissural putamen with only a few low probability connections in the anterior dorsal caudate. In post-commissural putamen caudal-motor projections occupy, in addition to dorsal areas, more central areas and its projections extend further caudal in comparison to the rostral-motor occupied area. Figures 3B and 4A show the subdivisions with the CB method in four representative subjects and the group averaged CB subdivisions respectively. The subdivisions are symmetrical and spatially coherent across individuals. The group average subdivisions were defined using the methods described in Johansen-Berg et al (2005).

The volume and percentage contribution to the total striatal volume of the cortical projections as defined with CBo and CB methods are shown in Table I with the projections from the executive target occupying the greatest area. Temporal and occipital lobes occupy with CBo method 13.71% and 5.91% of the total striatal volume respectively while with the CB method they occupy only 5% and 2%. However, the connection probabilities from these lobes are low and following ‘hard’ segmentation these areas were small and not spatially consistent across individuals and therefore are not reported.

To arithmetically assess the inter-subject spatial consistency of the CB and CBo, we estimated the DICE coefficient i.e. the volume overlap of striatal subdivisions across subjects (detailed results is supplementary material). The DICE values obtained for the limbic, executive and parietal striatum were high and satisfactory for the smaller rostral-motor and caudal-motor regions. For comparison, connectivity-based functional ROIs have been estimated with a threshold of 1% and 10% and the volumes can be seen in Table III in supplemental material. Figure 6 (supplemental material) illustrates the impact of different thresholds on the topography and size of the cortical projections.

**Frontal lobe overlapping projections.** Tracts from the frontal lobe led to overlapping pathways (figure 4B) and were categorised as a) limbic - executive, b) executive – rostral-motor, c) executive – caudal-motor and d) rostral-motor – caudal-motor. A threshold of 50 samples was applied to the projections and subsequently the
overlapping areas were determined. The limbic-executive overlap occupies the ventral medial area of the pre-commissural caudate and the posterior and ventral post-commissural putamen. The location of this overlap agrees with that of non-human primates as described by Haber and colleagues (2006). The executive – rostral-motor overlap is the most extensive and resides in the dorsal pre-commissural striatum and post-commissural putamen. Even though it is spatially consistent with the overlaps described in primates (Calzavara et al. 2007), in our study appears to extend further in humans. The executive – caudal-motor overlap is found only in the post-commissural striatum and specifically in the dorsal caudate and dorsal and central lateral putamen. Finally, the rostral-motor – caudal-motor overlap is found in the post-commissural lateral putamen. In order to examine the sensitivity of these finding to the arbitrary choice of threshold, we applied increasing levels of threshold (1%, 5% and 10%) to the tractography results for each striatal projection. Although the occupied volume of the overlapping areas changed substantially from the threshold of 1% to that of 10% the aforementioned overlaps were preserved. This evidence and the fact that the existence and location of these overlaps have been confirmed by tracing studies, supports their use to report dopamine release in the corresponding areas.

**Release of dopamine in the striatal subdivisions**

Dopamine release in the structural and connectivity-based functional subdivisions post d-amphetamine was inferred from the percentage change in \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{PHNO}\) and \([^{11}\text{C}]\text{Raclopride BP}\). The dopamine release and the coefficient of variation (%COV) for the dopamine release, an index of homogeneity of dopamine release within a region, were measured for the connectivity based \((\text{CB}, \text{CBo})\) and structural based \((\text{SB}, \text{SBf})\) subdivisions (table II and figure 5).

For the connectivity-based methods a consistent pattern of dopamine release was found for both the two ligands. Specifically, the highest dopamine release was measured in the limbic area followed by the parietal, the caudal-motor and rostral-motor areas. The lowest dopamine release was measured in the executive region. For each connectivity-based subdivision and for each ligand an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to assess whether the means of dopamine release, estimated with each method \((\text{CB}, \text{CBo})\), were different. The results demonstrate that the difference in dopamine release across methods is not significant.

The Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro and Wilk 1965) was applied to test the normality of the distributions.
In addition, ANOVA was implemented to assess if dopamine is differentially released in the striatal functional subdivisions. For \([^{11}C]PHNO\) data dopamine was differentially released in the striatal functional subdivisions for both methods (p-values: \(CB = 0.002, CBo = 0.004\)). Multiple comparison tests with Bonferroni correction was performed, to determine which pairs of functional areas exhibit significant difference in dopamine release. Both methods, showed a significant difference between limbic – executive and between limbic – rostral-motor areas. For \([^{11}C]Raclopride\), ANOVA shows that dopamine is differentially released in the \(CBo\) subdivisions (p = 0.01) whilst the \(CB\) subdivisions approached significance (p=0.09). For \([^{11}C]Raclopride\) only the limbic – executive pair defined with the \(CBo\) exhibited a significant difference in dopamine release whereas for the \(CB\) method differences between limbic-executive areas did not survive corrections for multiple comparisons.

In the \(SBf\) subdivisions the highest dopamine release was in the limbic region (VST) \((^{11}C]PHNO = 21.2 \pm 6.93 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 14.1 \pm 6.38 \%)\) followed by the sensorimotor (post-commissural putamen) \((^{11}C]PHNO = 16.8 \pm 4.17 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 13.1 \pm 6.82 \%)\) and executive regions (caudate and pre-commissural putamen) \((^{11}C]PHNO = 13.4 \pm 5.68 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 8.62 \pm 5.09 \%)\). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Martinez and colleagues (2003) using \([^{11}C]Raclopride\). In the \(SB\) subdivisions of the striatum, which has been the most commonly used method for the quantification of striatal dopamine release, the highest release was measured in the VST \((^{11}C]PHNO = 21.2 \pm 6.93 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 14.1 \pm 6.38 \%)\) followed by putamen \((^{11}C]PHNO = 16.4 \pm 4.42 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 11.7 \pm 5.99 \%)\) and the lowest in the caudate \((^{11}C]PHNO = 14.3 \pm 6.84 \%, \quad ^{11}C]Raclopride = 7.68 \pm 4.68 \%)\). The data used here is the same data as in Shotbolt et al. (2011) who performed the analysis and quantification of dopamine release, in the \(SB\) subdivisions in the subject’s native space. The quantification of dopamine release estimated with the two studies is comparable as no significant difference was detected. Quantification with \([^{11}C]PHNO\) indicates that dopamine is released differentially (ANOVA test) in the structural-based subdivisions \((SBf p = 0.009 \text{ and } SB p = 0.03)\) but no differential dopamine release was detected for \([^{11}C]Raclopride\). For the \([^{11}C]PHNO\) the limbic – executive pair showed a significant difference for the \(SBf\) method, whereas for the \(SB\) method the VST - caudate pair.

The %COV of dopamine release for each method and each subdivision was measured (Figure 5 B, D and table II) to assess the homogeneity of dopamine release. For the connectivity-based methods the average %COV was...
23.48% for $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and 48.11% for $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride. A higher average %COV was measured in the SBf in comparison with the connectivity-based subdivisions. In particular, the average %COV for $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO was 33.3% and for $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride 52.2%. For the SB the average %COV is 35.9% for $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and 52.5% for $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride. As we progress from the structural subdivisions to more sophisticated connectivity-based subdivisions, dopamine release becomes more homogenous (low %COV) (figure 5). To assess these differences the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was implemented to compare the homogeneity of dopamine release in the CB (limbic, executive, rostral-motor, caudal-motor, parietal) versus the structural-based ROIs (caudate, putamen, VST (limbic), caudate/pre-commissural putamen (executive) and post-commissural putamen (sensorimotor)). The results show that homogeneity of dopamine release was significantly higher in the CB ROIs for both ligands ($[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO (p=0.05) and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride (p=0.03)). However, our concern was that these significant differences might be driven by the fact that some of the CB ROIs are small in size, which might lead to lower %COVs. There was no correlation between the %COV and the size for each ROI (p=0.3) (supplemental material figure 7).

According to Haber and colleagues (Haber et al. 2006) limbic–executive overlapping areas mediate incentive learning and these areas might be particularly sensitive to dopamine modulation. The release of dopamine within the overlapping ROIs was quantified with $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO only, as it has been shown previously to provide a greater signal-to-noise ration than $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride in studies of acute fluctuations in synaptic dopamine in the human striatum (Shotbolt et al. 2011). The release of dopamine as measured by %BPND changes in the overlapping limbic – executive area was $18.2 \pm 5.39$ % (limbic alone = $19.61 \pm 6.78$ %, and executive alone = $14.13 \pm 4.12$ %, supplemental material, Table IV, using the CBo method (1% threshold)). The results show that d-amphetamine does not provoke a higher dopamine release in the overlapping area. In other overlapping areas dopamine release (as measured by %BPND change) was: executive – rostral-motor = $14.7 \pm 4.15$ %; executive – caudal-motor = $16.2 \pm 5.05$ %; and rostral-motor – caudal-motor = $16.0 \pm 4.78$ %, which also reflect dopamine release similar to the independent areas they form part of (supplemental material, Table IV).

For comparison, dopamine release and its %COV were estimated within the connectivity-based functional ROIs at thresholds of 1% and 10% and results are presented in the supplementary material (Table IV).
Discussions

Connectivity-based functional subdivision of the striatum

Our findings show bilaterally symmetric and topographically consistent projections of the cortical targets to the striatum, which are organised into discrete and overlapping networks. The spatial consistency of the connectivity-based subdivisions is reflected quantitatively and qualitatively by the DICE coefficients (supplemental material) and the consistency of the functional classifications across subjects respectively (figure 3B).

As Parent (Parent and Hazrati 1995) reports and shown in this study virtually all cortical areas contribute, at varying degrees, to the cortical-striatal projections. Consequently, the projections from all cortical lobes to striatum were considered for a comprehensive examination of the functional organisation of the striatum. The frontal lobe connections are dominant contributing 82±7 % of the total striatal volume and are the main source that influences the functional organisation of the striatum. A detailed anatomical subdivision of the frontal lobe was performed, with each subdivision associated with a particular function, and the projections from each subdivision were estimated. As with non-human primate data (Haber et al. 2006) the ventral-medial prefrontal and orbital cortices connect to the anterior ventral caudate and putamen, the nucleus accumbens and also the ventral post-commissural putamen, which in primates also receives projecting fibers from the amygdala (Fudge et al. 2002). A discrepancy we observed between our results and the primate tracing studies, is that the limbic projections do not extend to the medial wall of the pre-commissural caudate (Haber et al. 2006). This might be due to the fact that this projection is very thin and with the current DWI resolution it has not been possible to recover it. In addition, we explored the projections of the cingulate cortex (areas 32/24) to understand if in humans this contributes to the medial limbic territory but such connections were not detected. The limbic volume contributes to 20±7 % of the total striatal volume, which corresponds well with primate limbic volumetric estimates of 22% from tracing studies (Haber et al. 2006). Amygdala and hippocampus complex was excluded from the limbic target as their connectivity pattern was not consistent with previous human DWI studies (Cohen et al. 2009) and non-human primate tracing studies. As expected, projections from these structures were found in the VST area and the ventral post-commissural putamen.

However, in most of our subjects strong connections were observed in the lateral anterior caudate, next to the
internal capsule and also in the lateral putamen parallel to the external capsule. Although termination and exclusion masks were used to investigate further these projections, the results were inconclusive and these structures were excluded from the limbic target.

The executive projections occupy large portion of the striatum and this finding contradicts the concept that striatum is primarily a motor functional region. Projections from this target were found in rostral striatum and extended post-commissurally (figures 3, 4). In our data, the probability of connections for tracts originating from the executive target showed a degree of variability in the anterior caudate (Figure 3). These results are in agreement with those of Lehericy and colleagues (Lehericy et al. 2004) who reported tracking variability across subjects in the anterior striatum. Rostral-motor projections occupy the dorsal tier of rostral striatum and extend to dorsal putamen caudal to the anterior-commissure. Our findings are consistent with those of non-human tracing studies (Haber 2003) and other DWI studies in humans (Draganski et al. 2008). The caudal-motor projections reside in the post-commissural striatum. These projections overlap with the rostral-motor projections caudal to the anterior-commissure and extend further posteriorly. The pattern of cortical projections to the striatum supports the theory of elongated rostral-caudal domains (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985).

For the rest of the brain, a coarse anatomical approach was followed, by estimating the projections from the entire lobe. Areas where parietal lobe projections dominate occupy 11±5 %, the second biggest cortical-striatal connections, and reside mainly in the posterior striatum. In eight out of twelve subjects we observed small patches of parietal projections in the anterior striatum (figure 3B) mainly in the central caudate, a finding that is consistent with primate studies (Cavada and Goldman-Rakic 1989). Parietal lobe is a functionally heterogeneous structure that consists of executive, visual, somatosensory and limbic sub-regions. At first we considered parcellating the parietal lobe into anatomical subdivisions that would represent the four functions. Nevertheless taking into consideration evidence from tracing studies that: a) the visual cortex contributes the least from all the cortical regions (Kemp and Powell 1970; Parent and Hazrati 1995); and b) the limbic areas of the parietal lobe are connected with visual and somatosensory areas (Cavada and Goldman-Rakic 1989), we decided that it is preferable to subdivide the parietal lobe only into the somatosensory area (SS) and posterior parietal (PP) area as described elsewhere (Behrens, Johansen-Berg, et al. 2003; Bohanna et al. 2011). However, the projections from these two areas, SS and PP, show an extensive overlap, an observation confirmed by
Bohanna et al. (2011) and Cavada et al. (1991) that did not justify the subdivision of the parietal into SS and PP. Therefore, considering parietal lobe as one entity was the most reliable choice.

Temporal and occipital lobes have limited connections with the striatum. Temporal projections in non-human primates have been reported in the ventral-medial anterior caudate (Selemon and Goldman-Rakic 1985) and the tail of the caudate (Van Hoesen et al. 1981). However, we did not observe projections to the tail of the caudate. This discrepancy might be due to the fact that the caudate ROI did not include the whole tail of the structure as the small size of the tail did not allow reliable tracing for all slices. Our cortical-striatal projections are in agreement with those reported by Bohanna et al. (2011) with the exception that we did not observe any projections from the occipital lobe to the caudate.

Accumulating evidence (Haber et al. 2006; Draganski et al. 2008) supports the concept of distinctive and overlapping networks in the striatum, which form the basis for reward-based learning and goal-directed behaviours. Our findings support the existence of overlapping projections throughout the human striatum, engaging striatum in integrating information between functions, via overlapping networks. Our results indicate that the executive area overlaps with all the other functional areas (limbic, rostral-motor, caudal-motor). This suggests executive involvement in both motor and limbic functions; in other words, it points to a role for the striatum in orchestrating the top-down component of action planning and selection.

**Release of dopamine**

D-amphetamine-induced reduction of the $B_{PD}$ has been well validated as a measure of change in synaptic endogenous dopamine (Laruelle 2000). To date, both agonist and antagonist ligands have been used to explore dopamine release in striatal subdivisions, which have been defined based on structural criteria (Martinez et al. 2003; Shotbolt et al. 2011). Here, the agonist ($[^{11}C]$PHNO) and antagonist ($[^{11}C]$Raclopride) ligands have been used to quantify dopamine release within the functional and structural subdivision of the striatum.

In the connectivity-based functional subdivisions of the striatum the highest release of dopamine was measured in the limbic, followed by the parietal, motor and executive functions. This pattern was repeated for both ligands and was consistent across the different approaches taken to define the functional subdivisions ($CB$, $CBo$). A statistical comparison for each functional area separately showed that the release of dopamine is
not affected by the connectivity-based ROIs employed to measure it, which indicates that connectivity-based subdivision of the striatum is a robust method for the quantification of the PET signal (see also supplemental material). As mentioned, the cortical-striatal projections are organised into discrete and overlapping networks. The advantage of using methods that define the connectivity based ROIs by applying a lower threshold to the connection maps (CBo) over the method that defines CB is that it includes voxels that are exclusively connected (discrete network) to a cortical target as well as voxels that concurrently connect to other targets (overlapping networks). The differences observed between the $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride and $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO ligands with regard to the pairs that exhibit significant difference, is consistent with the lower sensitivity of $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride in detecting dopamine release (Narendran et al. 2004; Shotbolt et al. 2012) and the smaller sample size for $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride in this study (sample size: nine subjects compared to twelve for $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO).

The SBf method, like the CB method, assigns a sole function to every voxel. The SBf method has detected significant differences between the limbic and executive regions, whereas the significant differences between the limbic and sensorimotor areas found with the CB were not preserved. This indicates that estimation of dopamine release across the caudate/pre-commissural putamen complex and across the post-commissural putamen and assigning an executive and sensorimotor function respectively as proposed by Martinez et al. (Martinez et al. 2003), is not optimal. Nevertheless, the delineation of VST using the anatomical landmarks described in Tziortzi et al. (Tziortzi, Searle, Tzimopoulou, et al. 2011) and its assignment to the limbic function is a good approximation as the dopamine release was similar with that obtained using the limbic CB. In addition, the original guidelines that subdivide the striatum into ventral and dorsal (Drevets et al. 1999; Mawlawi et al. 2001) also safeguard the functional interpretation of the scientific findings.

The homogeneity of dopamine release was assessed by calculating the %COV for each subdivision. Our data demonstrate greater homogeneity of dopamine release in the connectivity-based functional ROIs as compared to the structural subdivisions, which suggests that connectivity-based functional ROIs may be a better representation of neurotransmission relevant sub-regions of the striatum. These data provide evidence that there is an association between local dopamine release and cortical connectivity profiles of these regions.

The biggest change in the PET signal in our study was seen in the limbic striatum followed by the sensorimotor and executive area. The magnitude of the PET signal change, following the administration of amphetamine, is
dependent on the amount of dopamine release, as well as the relative proportions of the D2R-like receptors in each subdivision. Dopamine has a preferential affinity for the D3R, over the D2R^{high} followed by the D2R^{low} therefore a given concentration of dopamine can be expected to occupy a greater proportion of D3R and D2R^{high}. D3R and D2R^{high} are known to be abundant in the ventral striatum area where the limbic cortex projects. This could potential explain the higher release of dopamine detected in the limbic region. Nevertheless, the second highest level of dopamine release was measured in the sensorimotor striatal areas, which are primarily located in the post-commissural putamen where the D3R contribution to the total $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO signal is negligible (Tziortzi et al., 2011). A similar argument could be made for the ratio of D2R^{high}:D2R^{low} being higher in the sensorimotor than the executive striatum, leading to greater changes in signal following similar amounts of dopamine release. In order to test this, we compared the ratio of $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO/$[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride BP\textsubscript{ND} for the two regions (where D3 contribution in the two regions is negligible). We found no evidence for a difference in the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO/$[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride ratio between the two regions, suggesting that differences in the relative regional proportions of D2R-like species are unlikely to be responsible for the regional pattern of BP\textsubscript{ND} change seen in our data. Thus the emerging hypothesis is that amphetamine leads to a preferential release of dopamine in the limbic, followed by the sensorimotor and the executive areas.

Dopamine release is elicited in all functional sub-territories of the striatum and this is consistent with the diversity of physiological effects provoked after the administration of d-amphetamine, which includes psychological and physical effects such as euphoria, psychomotor agitation, locomotor stimulation, hyperactivity, increased libido, increased concentration. The universal striatal activation could be explained by the anatomical arrangement of the cortico-striatal and striato-nigro-striatal pathways. The cortico-striatal pathways are organized into individual and overlapping networks. In these overlapping areas the distinct cortical loops converge and information is integrated and conveyed. The striato-nigro-striatal pathways form an ascending spiral by which information from a striatal region in transferred to other areas of the striatum. Specifically, Haber and colleagues postulate (Haber et al. 2000) that there is an interface between different striatal regions via the midbrain dopamine cells, which creates a hierarchy of information flow. Thus, the universal striatal activation could be due to a complex chain of events, beginning with motivation and
progressing to cognitive and motor activations, as explained by the feed-forward organisation of the striatal network.

The results show that d-amphetamine does not provoke a higher dopamine release in the overlapping areas. It is worth to note that d-amphetamine releases accumulated dopamine by reversing the dopamine transporter, and is thus a good measure of overall dopamine system integrity. Physiological dopamine release is dependent on the firing rate of dopamine neurons, controlled at several levels by feedback loops. Assessment of physiological dopamine release in these overlapping striatal functional areas may be assessed via performance of tasks that require multi-function engagement.

Several studies support a functional and structural decline of the human brain with age. For instance, a recent study has demonstrated an age-related decline in white matter tract integrity (Voineskos et al. 2012) while a PET study with \(^{11}\text{C}\)Raclopride has shown that there is an age-related loss of striatal D2R receptors (Rinne et al. 1993). The current study aimed to recruit a narrow age range in order to minimize age related effects. If the decline of the dopamine receptors and/or of the white matter tracts within a subject and across regions were uniform then one would expect to affect to a similar degree the connectivity-derived regions and the respective quantification of dopamine release. Nevertheless potential age related effects warrant a further investigation. In this study, the prefrontal functional subdivisions were not derived from functional MRI experiments but make use of the known areas of specific brain function, as determined from the human and primate literature. We are not aware of how the spatial anatomical arrangements of these cortical areas are affected in different neurological and psychiatric disorders. Therefore, if this methodology is to be applied to diseased cohorts, the anatomical-functional cortical alterations should be considered. One limitation of this study is that we did not apply any partial volume corrections (Aston et al. 2002) or resolution recovery techniques (Shidahara et al. 2009; Shidahara et al. 2012). However, the study by Martinez and colleagues (Martinez et al. 2003) has performed partial volume corrections and the results indicate that the differences in the structural-based subdivisions with regard to dopamine release were greater, a finding that would only benefit the methodology presented here.

In this study the connectivity-based functional subdivisions were applied to PET data for the quantification of dopamine release. This methodology is not limited to the investigation of endogenous dopamine release and
can be of value in other studies. The improved regional discrimination of this method can be of value in group comparisons, where the detection of specific functional differences are explored. The striatum of the human brain has highly differentiated neurochemical architecture (Holt et al. 1997). This method can be used to study the distribution of neurotransmitters in individual subjects, understand the neurochemical organisation or differentiation and explore the complex interactions among neurochemical systems. Such capability may help the development of novel therapeutic compounds and enhance the evaluation of novel radiopharmaceuticals. This methodology may also provide a valuable tool for deep brain stimulation surgery planning and improve the treatment of a variety of disorders such as pain, motor, obsessive-compulsive disorders, depression and addiction.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate that DWI data can help identify functional subdivisions of the human striatum and this is a robust method for the quantification of dopamine release. The functional organisation is consistent among subjects and the human functional organisation has a pattern with distinctive and overlapping networks as that obtained in primates. The use of connectivity-based functional subdivisions improves the evaluation of regional differences in dopamine. In particular the homogeneity of dopamine release was significantly higher within the connectivity-based functional subdivisions in comparison with the structural subdivisions. The results support an association between local levels of dopamine release and cortical connectivity fingerprints. D-amphetamine induced the highest dopamine release in the limbic followed by the sensory, motor and executive areas. Our data suggest that the relative regional proportions of D2-like receptors are unlikely to be responsible for this regional dopamine release pattern. It is envisaged that the proposed multi-modal imaging approach will prove valuable in exploring striatal neurotransmission in healthy humans and patients with psychosis, addiction and motor impairments and will help the development of novel therapeutic drugs.

**Distribution of the connectivity-based functional striatal atlas**

The probabilistic atlas of the functional organisation of the striatum developed using this methodology is available with the FSL software ([http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Atlases/striatumconn](http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Atlases/striatumconn)). Two versions of the atlas exist. The first version comprises of three (3) subdivisions (limbic, executive,
sensorimotor) whereas the second comprises of seven (7) subdivisions (limbic, executive, rostral-motor, caudal-motor, parietal, occipital and temporal). Available are also the probabilistic maps of each cortical–striatal projections, which allow voxels that are connected to more than one cortical targets to be included (overlapping networks).
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Table 1. Volume and percentage contribution to the total striatal volume of A) projections from each cortical target to the striatum after a threshold of 5% of the maximum connectivity value was applied (CBo, columns: 2-3); B) the volumes obtained after assigning each voxel to the cortical target with the highest connection probability (CB, columns: 4-6). Results are averaged between the left and right hemispheres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/Function</th>
<th>CBo Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
<th>CB Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
<th>Contribution to frontal lobe associated volume (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limbic</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.49</td>
<td>11.68 ± 4.23 %</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.79</td>
<td>20 ± 7 %</td>
<td>24 ± 9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>3.04 ± 1.42</td>
<td>26.17 ± 12.37 %</td>
<td>5.73 ± 1.15</td>
<td>49 ± 9 %</td>
<td>60 ± 10 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral-motor</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.40</td>
<td>5.64 ± 3.44 %</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.53</td>
<td>9 ± 5 %</td>
<td>11 ± 6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal-motor</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.38</td>
<td>5.61 ± 3.37 %</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4 ± 3 %</td>
<td>5 ± 3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>2.50 ± 1.02</td>
<td>21.51 ± 8.93 %</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.52</td>
<td>11 ± 5 %</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II. Quantification of dopamine release within the connectivity-based functional subdivisions of the striatum for the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride ligands. Bottom row is the average %COV of dopamine release, averaged across functional subdivisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>$[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO</th>
<th>$[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{CBo}$</td>
<td>$\text{CB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic</td>
<td>21.03±7.33</td>
<td>20.75±5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>13.89±3.26</td>
<td>13.87±3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral-motor</td>
<td>14.43±4.16</td>
<td>15.70±2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal-motor</td>
<td>16.44±2.25</td>
<td>17.47±2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>16.78±4.39</td>
<td>18.11±3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average %COV</td>
<td>24.40±7.78</td>
<td>20.69±6.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. A) Cortical subdivisions. Purple corresponds to frontal lobe, magenta to parietal, grey to temporal lobe and orange to occipital lobe. Yellow corresponds to the executive, red to the limbic, green to the rostral-motor, blue to the caudal-motor. B) Methods overview. To obtain the connectivity-based functional regions in each subject a two-step procedure was applied: Phase I) the projections from the four brain lobes (frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal) to the striatum were calculated and the striatal areas associated with each lobe were established; Phase II) The frontal lobe was subdivided into four anatomical ROIs, each associated with a particular functional specialisation (limbic, executive, rostral motor and caudal motor), and projections between these anatomical ROIs and the striatal area associated with the frontal lobe was estimated.

Figure 2. A) Group average projections, MNI space sagittal view, of the four cortical lobes to the striatum. Top row left image, shows the four cortical lobe subdivisions: frontal lobe (purple), parietal (magenta), temporal lobe (grey) and occipital lobe (orange). The first row shows the projections of each lobe to the caudate and second row corresponds to projections in putamen. The beige colour corresponds to the striatal mask. B) Areas within the striatum where the connections from each lobe prevail.

Figure 3. A) Group average projections from the four frontal lobe targets to the striatum. Each anatomical target is associated to a specific function; limbic (first column), executive (second), rostral-motor (third) and caudal-motor (fourth) functions. The rows correspond to different coronal planes (top row corresponds to rostral striatum, bottom row to caudal striatum). A threshold of 50 samples was applied to the image in order to discard noise and voxels with low connection probabilities. B) Individual functional subdivisions in four randomly selected subjects (MNI space – coronal planes). Each column corresponds to a subject starting from rostral (top row) to caudal (bottom row). To obtain the functional subdivision for each subject a two-step procedure was applied. First the projections from the four brain lobes (frontal, parietal, occipital, temporal) were calculated and each lobe’s dominant area was established. Subsequently, the frontal lobe was subdivided into four anatomical regions of interest, each associated with a specific function (limbic, executive, rostral-motor and caudal-motor) and the projection of each target to the frontal lobe dominant area was estimated. Each voxel was assigned to the target that gave the highest connection probability.

Figure 4. A) Group averaged functional subdivision of the striatum (MNI space – coronal planes). First column corresponds to the pre-commissural and second column to post-commissural striatum. B) Overlapping
projections in the striatum (MNI space – coronal planes). The columns correspond to overlaps between: i) limbic and executive (first column); ii) executive and rostral-motor (second column); iii) executive and caudal-motor (third column); and iv) rostral-motor and caudal-motor (fourth column). A threshold of 50 samples was applied to the projections and subsequently the overlapping areas were determined.

Figure 5. Dopamine release measured as the percentage change of the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO $\text{BP}_\text{ND}$ (column A) and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride $\text{BP}_\text{ND}$ (column C) within the exclusive connectivity-based functional regions of interest (CB, top row), the structural-derived functional regions of interest (SBf, middle row) and the structural subdivisions of the striatum (SB, bottom row). Columns B and D correspond to the dopamine release coefficient of variation (%COV) for each region for the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride respectively. Abbreviations correspond to: lim=limbic, exe=executive, rMt=rostral-motor, cMt=caudal-motor, par=parietal, sLim=structural-limbic, sExe=structural-executive, sSm=structural-sensorimotor, VST=ventral striatum, CD=caudate, PU=putamen.
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Connectivity-based functional analysis of dopamine release in the striatum using Diffusion Weighted MRI and Positron Emission Tomography

Inter-subject variability of the connectivity-based ROIs.

The DICE coefficient was estimated across subjects as the average overlap between a subject’s CB with CB from each of the remaining 11 subjects in order to assess if the method and underlying connections were reproducible across subjects (individual subjects’ scans were non-linearly registered to the MNI template). Dice coefficient was determined using equation 1:

\[
DICE = 2 \cdot \frac{|ROI_1 \cap ROI_2|}{|ROI_1| + |ROI_2|} \cdot 100\%
\]

where \(\cap\) is the intersection of the ROI volumes and ranges from 0 to 1. Thus, the DICE coefficient ranges from 0%, for ROIs with no overlap up to 100% for identical ROIs.

Dice coefficients for each CB were: limbic = 59.1 ± 12.4 %, executive = 63.2 ± 7.42 %, rostral-motor = 43.3 ± 12.0 %, caudal-motor = 29.5 ± 15.0 % and parietal = 39.8 ± 11.4 %. For the CB0:

limbic = 52.29 ± 13.10 %, executive = 40.64 ± 10.79 %, rostral-motor = 36.62 ± 16.01 %, caudal-motor = 28.22 ± 15.44 % and parietal = 55.15 ± 10.13 %. Given that the DICE coefficient is affected by registration error and the size and geometry of the ROIs, the DICE values obtained for the limbic, parietal and executive striatum were high and satisfactory for the smaller rostral-motor and caudal-motor regions.

Connectivity-based ROIs with extra thresholds

Connectivity-based functional ROIs have been estimated with a threshold of 1% (CB0_thr1) and 10% (CB0_thr10) and the volumes can be seen in Table III. Figure 6 illustrates the impact of different thresholds on the topography and size of the cortical projections.
Figure 6. Effect of thresholds on the cortical-striatal connectivity maps in a representative subject. The first column shows the total projection from each target to the striatum. Subsequent columns show the connectivity maps after thresholded at 1%, 5% and 10% of the maximum connectivity value respectively.
Table III. Volume and percentage contribution to the total striatal volume of the cortical projection at thresholds of 1%, 5%, and 10% (*CBo* methods). *CB* is the volume and contribution to the striatal volume of exclusive connectivity-based ROIs (assignment of each voxel to the cortical target with the highest connection probability). Results are averaged between the left and right hemispheres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/Function</th>
<th>CBo_thr1 Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>CBo_thr1 Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
<th>CBo Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>CBo Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
<th>CBo_thr10 Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>CBo_thr10 Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
<th>CB Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>CB Contribution to total striatal volume (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limbic</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.83</td>
<td>20.83 ± 7.17 %</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.49</td>
<td>11.68 ± 4.23 %</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.43</td>
<td>8.89 ± 3.69 %</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.79</td>
<td>20 ± 7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>5.86 ± 1.66</td>
<td>46.96 ± 14.18 %</td>
<td>3.04 ± 1.42</td>
<td>26.17 ± 12.37 %</td>
<td>1.97 ± 1.15</td>
<td>16.99 ± 10.08 %</td>
<td>5.73 ± 1.15</td>
<td>49 ± 9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral-motor</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.62</td>
<td>14.41 ± 5.48 %</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.40</td>
<td>5.64 ± 3.44 %</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.14 ± 2.53 %</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.53</td>
<td>9 ± 5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal-motor</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.71</td>
<td>14.39 ± 6.23 %</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.38</td>
<td>5.61 ± 3.37 %</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.85 ± 1.94%</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4 ± 3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>4.80 ± 1.56</td>
<td>41.30 ± 13.71 %</td>
<td>2.50 ± 1.02</td>
<td>21.51 ± 8.93 %</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.71</td>
<td>14.63 ± 6.16 %</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.52</td>
<td>11 ± 5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dopamine release

Dopamine release and %COV of the dopamine release were estimated within the connectivity-based functional ROIs with thresholds at 1% ($CBo_{thr1}$) and 10% ($CBo_{thr10}$) and results are presented in Table IV. ANOVA test demonstrate that the difference in dopamine release across the connectivity-based methods is not significant. These particular thresholds were selected on the basis that these are the most commonly used thresholds in DWI studies (Behrens et al., 2003a; Croxson et al., 2005; Bohanna et al., 2011) with the further aim to assess the impact of thresholds and ROI size on the quantification of dopamine release.

The selection of an appropriate threshold is critical to detect regional differences in dopamine release. For $[^{11}]$C]PHNO, which has higher sensitivity in detecting dopamine release than $[^{11}]$C]Raclopride, all ROI methods except $CBo_{thr1}$ have shown a significant difference in dopamine release between the limbic-executive and limbic-rostral motor pairs. The $CBo_{thr1}$ approach applies the low threshold of 1% of the maximum connectivity value and this threshold might not be an appropriate selection to exclude noise and voxels with low connection probabilities.
Table IV. Quantification of dopamine release within the connectivity-based functional subdivisions of the striatum for the $[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO and $[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride ligands.

Bottom row is the average %COV of dopamine release, averaged across functional subdivisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>$[^{11}\text{C}]$PHNO</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>$[^{11}\text{C}]$Raclopride</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{Bo_thr1}$</td>
<td>$C_{Bo}$</td>
<td>$C_{Bo_thr10}$</td>
<td>$C_{B}$</td>
<td>$C_{Bo_thr1}$</td>
<td>$C_{Bo}$</td>
<td>$C_{Bo_thr10}$</td>
<td>$C_{B}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>14.13±4.12</td>
<td>13.89±3.26</td>
<td>13.61±3.16</td>
<td>13.87±3.71</td>
<td>7.46±3.51</td>
<td>5.45±3.33</td>
<td>5.59±3.03</td>
<td>8.48±3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostral-motor</td>
<td>15.18±2.69</td>
<td>14.43±4.16</td>
<td>15.40±2.99</td>
<td>15.70±2.25</td>
<td>8.15±4.14</td>
<td>7.48±5.07</td>
<td>8.59±3.91</td>
<td>8.95±4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal-motor</td>
<td>16.04±2.10</td>
<td>16.44±2.25</td>
<td>16.46±2.97</td>
<td>17.47±2.34</td>
<td>8.64±2.43</td>
<td>8.50±5.41</td>
<td>8.70±6.50</td>
<td>10.23±3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average %COV</td>
<td>24.16±8.68</td>
<td>24.40±7.78</td>
<td>24.69±7.01</td>
<td>20.69±6.95</td>
<td>46.66±11.65</td>
<td>52.83±15.98</td>
<td>49.89±16.45</td>
<td>43.07±5.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ROI volume against dopamine release %COV

Figure 7. Volume of the region of interest against the %COV of dopamine release. No correlation was observed (p=0.3).