

This is a repository copy of Advances in proteomics for production strain analysis.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/87279/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Landels, A., Evans, C., Noirel, J. et al. (1 more author) (2015) Advances in proteomics for production strain analysis. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 35. 111 - 117. ISSN 0958-1669

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2015.05.001

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Table 1 Proteomic workflows - Application, Benefits and Drawbacks

Commonly used Discovery and Targeted proteomic methods are outlined with reference to specific applications.

Technique	Mode	Example	Brief description	Benefits	Drawbacks	Recent examples
Two dimensional electrophoresis	Discovery	2DE, DIGE	Gel based separation of proteins employing immobilized pH gradients and polyacrylamide gels	A low cost approach to protein separation and sample analysis	Protein identification requires additional MS step	Mikkat et al. 2014 [19] Analysis of the <i>Synechocystis</i> phosphoproteome based on visualization of phosphoproteins with a phosphoprotein- specific dye Li et al. 2014 [64] Analysis of lipid-associated pathways in chlorella using DIGE
Metabolic labeling	Discovery	SILAC, 15N	In vivo protein labeling. Use of heavy variants allows discrimination from unlabeled (light) and thus relative quantification of peptides between samples	Labeled samples are mixed prior to tryptic digestion, minimizing variation introduced during further processing eg subcellular fractionation	Metabolic modification may be required to ensure stable isotope is sole source of specific nutrient eg amino acid, ammonium salt	Ciesielska et al. 2013 [20] Identification of <i>Starmerella bombicola</i> proteins associated with production and regulation of sophorolipid production (biosurfactant precursor)
Chemical label	Discovery	iTRAQ, TMT	In vitro Labeling at the peptide level, achieves simultaneous protein identification and quantification in multiplex format	Multiplex capability, facilitates inclusion of replicates Applicable to a range of protein samples such as cell pellets, subcellular fractions	Underestimation of fold change	 Tang et al. 2013 [21] Metabolic engineering by gene knock out or overexpression of specific enzymes that lead to enhanced biofuel precursor production in <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>. Identification of targets for further optimization. Chen et al., 2014 [5•] Case study – identified SIr1037 as a regulon to provide novel target for transcriptional engineering of <i>Synechocystis</i>
Label free	Discovery Targeted	Compatible with both Data Dependent Acquisition and Data Independent (MSE,	Peptide intensity based measurements, based on peak integration or spectra	Multiple samples can be compared	Quantification is achieved from independent sample runs. It is thus	Yap et al. 2014 [22] Analyzing protein alterations associated with physiological changes occurring during

	SWATH) Acquisition	counting	dependent on highly	different growth phases of Lactococcus lactis.
	approaches		reproducible HPLC	
			separations prior to MS	