Diurnal variation of gait in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: The DIVIGN study
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A B S T R A C T
Background: Circadian variation of joint stiffness (morning stiffness) and its impact on functional ability are widely recognised in rheumatoid arthritis. Subsequent within-day variation of walking ability is important due to the increased availability of instrumented gait analysis. This study aimed to quantify diurnal variation of gait in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and explore associations with disease characteristics.

Methods: Thirty one inpatients with rheumatoid arthritis walked at a self-selected speed along a GAITRite instrumented walkway 5 times during a single day.

Findings: Participants showed marked diurnal variation in gait, leading to a systematic variation throughout the day (F = 19.56, P < 0.001). Gait velocity and stride length both increased, whereas the proportion of each gait cycle spent in stance phase or double support decreased, consistent with improving function throughout the day. Although absolute gait velocity correlated with disease characteristics, the magnitude of diurnal variation appeared to be independent of disease activity (r = 0.26, P = 0.15), disease duration (r = −0.19, P = 0.324), and underlying functional ability (r = 0.09, P = 0.65).

Interpretation: Although morning stiffness is well recognized in rheumatoid arthritis, this is the first time that its effect on gait has been quantified. Patients with rheumatoid arthritis exhibited a systematic change in walking ability throughout the day, which was independent of disease characteristics. These findings have important implications for the interpretation of existing data and the design of future studies. Repeat measures should be conducted at the same time of day to exclude the effects of diurnal variation.

© The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

1. Introduction

The impact of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) on the lower limb has been widely documented (Grondal et al., 2008; Helliwell et al., 2005; Michelson et al., 1994). Joint destruction and deformity are commonplace in the lower limb resulting in marked gait abnormalities and functional impairment, and an associated reduction in quality of life (Turner and Woodburn, 2008; Turner et al., 2003, 2006, 2008).

The restricted locomotor ability in patients with RA is typically manifested as reduced gait velocity, an increased double support period, reduced stride length, and reduced cadence (Fransen et al., 1994; Turner and Woodburn, 2008; Turner et al., 2003). These temporal and spatial gait parameters, particularly gait velocity, are widely reported in the literature as objective measures of physical function and have been shown to correlate with disease activity (Turner et al., 2008; van der Leeden et al., 2008). In addition to being used as an objective outcome measure in research, gait analysis is increasingly used in clinical practice to provide an objective assessment of functional ability, to plan and optimise clinical interventions, and evaluate response (Barkham et al., 2010; van der Leeden et al., 2008).

The pathophysiological processes in RA are modulated by a complex array of pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines which show marked circadian variation (change over a 24 hour period) (Cutolo et al., 2008; Gibbs and Ray, 2013; Straub and Cutolo, 2007). Clinical symptoms also follow this changing pattern and there is a clear temporal relationship between elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and clinical symptoms such as morning stiffness (Cutolo et al., 2003, 2008; Gibbs and Ray, 2013). For some time there has been objective evidence of diurnal variation (within day change) in upper limb function (Helliwell et al., 1988; Herold and Günther, 1987) but to-date there has been no systematic study of the within day variation of gait parameters.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.05.009
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This study aimed to quantify any diurnal variation in temporal and spatial gait parameters in patients with RA, and to explore associations between the magnitude of variation and perceived stiffness, functional ability, and disease activity.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Assenting hospital in-patients with a diagnosis of RA and with ≥30 minute morning stiffness were recruited from the rheumatology ward. Patients were excluded if their primary lower limb/gait pathology was not due to RA or if they were unable to walk. Patients were allowed to continue their normal medication but were excluded from the study if they commenced or were scheduled to commence a new biologic or steroid (including intra-articular injection) during the current admission and prior to the completion of gait analysis. Walking sticks and elbow crutches were permitted where required. Ethical approval was obtained from the Leeds West Research Ethics Committee (08/H1307/65) and all participants provided written informed consent. All aspects of the study were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Data collection

The GAITRite instrumented walkway (CIR Systems Inc, USA) was used to measure function objectively and this has been validated previously for the measurement of temporal and spatial gait parameters (Menz et al., 2004; Rome, 2005). Patients were asked to walk at a self-selected speed at five time points throughout the day: at waking (0 h); +1 h; +3 h; +6 h; and +12 h. The study was undertaken alongside normal ward activities so a window of ±1 h was permitted for each time point at +3 h and beyond. Preferred waking time was determined from each patient prior to entering the study but was usually between 6 am and 8 am. All patients were met on the ward at the agreed waking time and immediately transported to the gait laboratory by wheelchair for the 0 hour assessment, before beginning normal daily activities. GAITRite data were processed and analysed using the GAITRite v3.8 software (CIR Systems Inc, Sparta, New Jersey, USA).

The patient’s own perception of severity of their general stiffness was captured at each time point using a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS) anchored with “no stiffness” and “worst stiffness imaginable”. Disease activity was measured using a composite index of disease activity Disease Activity Score (DAS28) (Smolen et al., 1995), and the UK version of Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index HAQ-DI provided a measure of self reported functional ability (Kirwan and Reeback, 1986).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Analysis was conducted on a per protocol basis, with patients failing to complete follow-up excluded from all analysis. Summary statistics were used to describe the demographic and clinical features of the participants.

Diurnal variation of gait velocity, stride length, duration of stance phase and double support (as a percentage of gait cycle) were described using summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI). Gait velocity has been shown previously to be the most sensitive temporal and spatial gait parameter, so was explored further (Helliwell et al., 2007). Systematic within-day changes in gait velocity were examined using a general linear model repeated-measures ANOVA approach having checked the residuals for normality and heteroscedasticity using Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests and visual inspection of residuals plotted against fitted values. The threshold for statistical significance was set at α = 0.05; the Bonferroni method was used to adjust for multiple comparisons between time-points and adjusted P-values have been presented. To confirm the findings of the parametric test, a supplementary non-parametric Friedman test was performed with post-hoc Dunn–Bonferroni pairwise comparisons between time-points. Associations between the magnitude of change in gait velocity and disease factors (HAQ, DAS28, and disease duration) were assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 21 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Participant profile

A total of 41 patients were screened for eligibility and 35 entered the study, of whom 31 completed the final follow-up assessment. Fig. 1 illustrates the flow of patients through the study and provides reasons for exclusions or non-completion. The 31 patients included in the analysis (11 male, 20 female) had a median age of 67 (range 35 to 87) and their mean BMI was 25.9 (SD = 5.46). The mean disease duration was 10.5 years (range 1 to 50), and median DAS28 and HAQ were 5.39 (IQR = 3.28 to 7.50) and 2.25 (IQR = 1.46 to 3.04) respectively.

3.2. Diurnal variation

Temporal and spatial gait parameters showed systematic changes consistent with improving physical function throughout the day (Table 1). Gait velocity, as the most sensitive parameter, increased between 6 am and 8 am. All patients were met on the ward at the agreed waking time and immediately transported to the gait laboratory by wheelchair for the 0 hour assessment, before beginning normal daily activities. GAITRite data were processed and analysed using the GAITRite v3.8 software (CIR Systems Inc, Sparta, New Jersey, USA).

Fig. 1. Study flow diagram.
significant differences between the +12 hour measurements and those taken at baseline and +1 hour; but following correction for multiple comparisons the +12 hour measurements did not differ from those at +3 or +6 hours (supplementary data 1).

3.3. Correlation with disease characteristics

Absolute gait velocity correlated with HAQ and disease duration at all time points but no correlation was found between DAS28 and absolute gait velocity. Similarly, patient reported stiffness only correlated with absolute gait velocity at waking and +1 h whereas there was no evidence of a correlation between patient-perceived stiffness and gait velocity beyond the first hour (Supplementary data 2 and 3).

In contrast, the magnitude of within-day variation of gait velocity appeared to be independent of disease factors: There was no evidence of a correlation between HAQ (0.09, $P = 0.65$), DAS28 (0.26, $P = 0.15$), or disease duration ($-0.19, P = 0.32$) and the magnitude of variation in gait velocity over the course of the day.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to quantify within-day variation of gait in patients with RA and has identified marked diurnal variation in a range of temporal and spatial gait parameters. The continued improvement led to a systematic difference in functional ability occurring over the course of the day. While the absolute gait parameters correlated with patient-reported functional ability, the magnitude of within-day variation appeared to be independent of disease activity, disease duration, and self-reported functional ability. This indicates that repeat measures of gait should be conducted at the same time of day to exclude the effects of diurnal variation and also that self-reported measures of functional ability are not adequate surrogates for evaluating diurnal variation clinically.

This study provides useful information on the measurement of gait in patients with RA at a time when instrumented gait analysis is becoming increasingly widely used in clinical and research applications. There was a clear improvement in temporal and spatial gait variables on the group level, but there was also notable between-patient variation. For example, the group mean improvement in gait velocity from baseline was 55%, but this ranged from a decrease of 41% through to an increase of 39.7% at 12 h. This observation further reinforces the conclusion that repeat measures should be taken at the same time of day to ensure that any changes arising from quantifying locomotor function reflect a true change in clinical status, rather than the natural diurnal variation occurring in people with RA as described here. This has important methodological implications for the design of future studies and where gait analysis is to be used to inform clinical decision making. These findings should also prompt a re-evaluation of existing studies which attribute a change in functional ability between time points to an intervention, without controlling for diurnal variation (Conrad et al., 1996; Locke et al., 1984; MacSween et al., 1999).

The classic pattern of stiffness in patients with RA, is one in which stiffness is worst first thing in the morning but then improves during the day before returning in the evening (Scott, 1960). More recently this pattern of symptoms and associated functional ability has been shown to correspond to circadian variation in pro-inflammatory cytokines (Cutolo et al., 2003, 2008; Gibbs and Ray, 2013; Helliwell et al., 1988). This study confirms that the improvement in function is sharpest during the first hour after waking, but walking ability continued to improve throughout the 12 hour study period. There was no evidence of a decrease in functional ability towards the end of the study day as has been suggested by other reports (Helliwell et al., 1988; Herold and Günther, 1987), although this may reflect the 12 hour study period whereby function was not evaluated through to patients’ bed time. This study quantified variation in gait during the day time (diurnal) as this is when most people conduct their daily activities and are most likely to undergo clinical gait analysis, rather than over the course of a 24 hour period (circadian).

When considering systematic improvement it should be noted that some measures of functional ability, such as grip strength, are associated with a learning effect, whereby functional ability improves over time due to participants increasing familiarity with a previously unfamiliar task such as using a dynamometer (Bellace et al., 2000; Reddon et al., 1985). The possibility of a learning effect cannot be excluded from the current study but is considered unlikely as participants are used to walking and the GAITRite walkway does not require attachment of any equipment to participants or learning of any special protocols.

Steps were also taken to ensure that any observed change in functional ability was not the result of starting a new medication. No patients were recruited if they had, or were scheduled to commence a new biologic or steroid (including intra-articular injection) prior to or during the day and prior to completion of the study. This step was taken to reduce confounding and to attempt to ensure that any observed variation in gait was the result of diurnal variation rather than a response to any new medication. Patients were able to continue their normal medication as prescribed.

Temporal and spatial gait parameters, particularly gait velocity, are widely reported in the literature and have previously been shown to correlate with disease characteristics (Fransen and Edmonds, 1999; Turner and Woodburn, 2008; Turner et al., 2003). The current study explored this relationship further and found that although absolute gait...
velocity correlated with HAQ and disease duration, there was no corre-
lation with measures of disease activity. Such findings are not unexpect-
ed in a cohort with established disease. The functional ability of patients
with established disease is known to be linked more to accumulated
damage over the course of their disease, rather than being driven purely
by current disease activity (Aletaha et al., 2006; Smolen et al., 2007;
Welsing et al., 2001).

A major strength of the study is that it is the first to measure tempo-
ral and spatial gait parameters throughout the course of a day in a co-
hort of patients with RA. The study design enabled the quantification of
variation in gait throughout the day and at clinically meaningful
time points, thereby increasing the clinical relevance of the findings.
However, the practicalities of capturing gait at the earliest time points
necessitated that patients were already on site: the sample therefore
consisted of inpatients who were admitted as part of their ongoing
care and were among the more severely affected in the RA population.
Although this may limit the generalisability of the findings, it was nota-
ble that the magnitude of diurnal variation of gait was independent of
all disease factors including disease activity and it is likely that a similar
effect is present in out-patient populations also.

With such large within-day variation in walking ability, it would be
helpful in the future to study community dwelling participants, poten-
tially using activity monitors to record temporal and spatial gait param-
eters over a 24 hour period. Further development of the current
 generation of activity monitors appears to be required however, before
they can be used reliably in patients with RA (Backhouse et al., 2013).

In conclusion, this study quantified, for the first time, marked diurnal
variation in temporal and spatial gait parameters in patients with rheu-
matomat arthritis. Patients showed continued improvement in function
throughout the day. Although the underlying walking ability was relat-
ated to patient-reported functional ability and disease duration, the mag-
nitude of the variation in measured gait parameters throughout the day
was independent of disease activity, disease duration, and underlying
functional ability. This study has important implications for the inter-
pretation of existing data and should inform future data collection.
This study indicates that repeat measures obtained on different days
should be conducted at the same time of day to exclude the effects of
diurnal variation.
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