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In nature, charge recombination in light-harvesting reaction centers is minimized by efficient charge separation. Here, it is aimed to mimic this by coupling dye-sensitized TiO$_2$ nanocrystals to a decaheme protein, MtrC from Shewanella oneidensis MR-1, where the 10 hemes of MtrC form a ≈7-nm-long molecular wire between the TiO$_2$ and the underlying electrode. The system is assembled by forming a densely packed MtrC film on an ultra-flat gold electrode, followed by the adsorption of approximately 7 nm TiO$_2$ nanocrystals that are modified with a phosphonated bipyridine Ru(II) dye (RuP). The step-by-step construction of the MtrC/TiO$_2$ system is monitored with (photo)electrochemistry, quartz-crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D), and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Photocurrents are dependent on the redox state of the MtrC, confirming that electrons are transferred from the TiO$_2$ nanocrystals to the surface via the MtrC conduit. In other words, in these TiO$_2$/MtrC hybrid photodiodes, MtrC traps the conduction-band electrons from TiO$_2$ before transferring them to the electrode, creating a photobioelectrochemical system in which a redox protein is used to mimic the efficient charge separation found in biological photosystems.

1. Introduction

As global energy consumption increases, the development of biological or bio-inspired systems for solar energy conversion has received intense interest.[1] Sunlight is the most abundant renewable energy source and is readily utilized by photosynthetic organisms through a complex cascade of photoinduced energy and electron-transfer steps, leading to efficient charge separation and high quantum yields.[2] The elegant way nature converts solar energy has inspired scientists to create synthetic photoelectrochemical cells in which biomimetic conversion systems generate electrical power or photofuels (ethanol, H$_2$, etc.).[3,4] Many studies aim to integrate nature’s light-harvesting systems such as chloroplasts,[5] thylakoids,[6,7] photosynthetic reaction centers of bacteria,[8–10] and photosystems (photosystems I and II)[11–13] with synthetic systems. In particular, by connecting these proteins to (semi-)conducting surfaces, bio-hybrid structures are formed capable of photochemistry.[14,15] A major drawback in many such photobioelectrochemical devices is the suboptimal orientation of the proteins on the surface, preventing fast electron transfer between the reaction center and the electrode, instead leading to nonproductive charge recombination.[16,17] Efforts have been made to improve the electron-transfer efficiency by embedding photosystem I and II in redox polymer-modified electrodes, which also enable control over the direction of electron transfer (cathodic vs anodic photocurrents).[11,18–20]
Besides using biosystems for light harvesting, many studies have been performed with inorganic systems such as semiconducting nanoparticles or quantum dots. Although inorganic materials do not suffer the generally short lifespan of their biological counterparts, they often suffer in their quantum yield as they are not as efficient in charge separation. In biology, quantum dots along a chain or wire of redox centers. Here, we report on charge separation proceeds by a series of fast electron-transfer steps. Figure 1. Left: Schematic representation of the layered MtrC/TiO$_2$ system on a SAM-modified gold electrode and the interfacial electron-transfer steps required for the generation of a photocurrent. Right: The molecular structure of the cation Ru(bpy)$_2$(4,4′-PO$_3$H$_2$)$_2$ (RuP).

2. Results and Discussion

The TiO$_2$ nanocrystals used for constructing the hybrid system were prepared by a high temperature solvothermal synthesis method to yield highly crystalline, oleic acid-capped (hydrophobic) particles with narrow size distribution. The particles were then transferred to the aqueous phase via a two-step ligand-exchange procedure using methyl-3,4-dihydroxy benzoate (MDB), which is subsequently converted to 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHBA). This two-step ligand exchange was used instead of extracellular face of the OM in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 and is believed to operate at broad redox potentials that make it feasible to transfer electrons directly to extracellular insoluble mineral substrates with different redox potentials.

To assemble the biomimetic photoelectrochemical cell schematically shown in Figure 1, we constructed hybrid layers of the molecular wire from MtrC and TiO$_2$ nanocrystals photosensitized with a Ru(II)(bipyridine)$_2$ dye in which one of the bipyridines is phosphonated in the 4,4′-position to enhance binding to TiO$_2$ (RuP, see Figure 1 and Reisner et al.). While negligible photocurrent response was observed using commercial P25 TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (average crystallite size 21 nm, 8.2 mixture of anatase-rutile), greatly enhanced photocurrent was obtained using well-dispersed, in-house synthesized, anatase nanocrystals with diameters comparable to that of MtrC (6.8 ± 0.7 nm and approximately 3.5 nm × 6 nm × 7 nm, respectively). This novel MtrC/TiO$_2$ system exhibits oxidative photocurrents that are dependent on the redox state of MtrC, thus confirming that electron transfer proceeds via MtrC. To the best of our knowledge, this report is the first demonstration of a photoelectrochemical system that uses a redox protein to mimic efficient charge separation found in biological photosystems.

2.2. Construction and Characterization of the Photoanode

To optimize construction of the photoanode composed of RuP-TiO$_2$ and MtrC on a gold electrode, ultra-flat template-stripped gold surfaces were modified with a range of different self-assembled monolayers (SAMs), made up of various mixtures of alkanethiols with positive (amine), negative (carboxylic acid), and uncharged (hydroxyl) “headgroups.” A dilute MtrC protein solution ($<1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$) was applied to the surface for 1 min at 20 °C after which non-bound MtrC was removed by rinsing with buffer. The purity of MtrC was confirmed with sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and UV–vis electronic absorption spectroscopy (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was used to compare the electroactive coverage of MtrC on the various surfaces and the SAM composition was found to influence this property significantly. Redox signals were only detected with positively charged surfaces and the highest electroactive coverage was obtained with a SAM consisting of 8-mercaptooctanol (8-OH) and 8-amino-1-octanethiol (8-NH$_2$) in an 80/20 ratio (Figure 4). At neutral pH, MtrC has an overall negative charge and the positively charged SAM is expected to interact favorably with the negatively charged propionate groups of the c-type hemes, facilitating the desired orientation in which MtrC can directly exchange electrons with the electrode.

Cyclic voltammograms of MtrC display reversible broad redox signals within a window of −0.4 to 0 V versus standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), similar to that previously published.$^{[35,36]}$ The complexity of the decaheme system makes it impossible to accurately determine interfacial electron-transfer rates, but even at scan rates of 1000 mV s$^{-1}$, the oxidative and reductive peaks are fully reversible without any significant peak separation (Figure 4), indicating fast interfacial electron-transfer rates with $k_0$ values above 100 s$^{-1}$. Based on the peak area, the electroactive coverage ($\Gamma_{\text{ea}}$) can be quantified according to $\Gamma_{\text{ea}} = \text{peak-area} / nF_{\text{Au}}$, where $n$ is the number of electrons (10 for MtrC), $F$ is the Faraday constant, and $A$ is the electrode area.

Dye-sensitization of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles was carried out by stirring the particles with an aqueous solution of RuP. The quantity of RuP bound to the TiO$_2$ particles (herein referred to as RuP-TiO$_2$) was estimated by UV–vis spectroscopy to be 90 ± 20 nmol mg$^{-1}$. The RuP-TiO$_2$ displayed characteristic phosphonate resonances in the FT-IR spectrum of a dried sample (Figure S3-ii, Supporting Information).

Figure 3. A) X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of 3,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHBA)–TiO$_2$ nanocrystals and bulk anatase TiO$_2$ reference pattern (# JCPDS 84–1286) and B) transmission electron micrograph (TEM) images of oleic acid capped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

Figure 4. CV at 1 V s$^{-1}$ and 20 °C before (gray) and after (black) adsorption of MtrC onto a 8-OH/8-NH$_2$ (ratio of 80/20) modified gold electrode in buffer ($20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M }$ MOPS, $30 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M }$ Na$_2$SO$_4$ at pH 7.4). The insert shows the baseline-subtracted protein signal.
(0.25 cm²) and υ is the scan rate. The obtained electroactive coverage on the 8-OH/8-NH⁺ surfaces is 0.56 ± 0.11 pmol cm⁻².

The binding of MtrC onto the modified gold was further characterized using quartz-crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D). Figure 5, which provides information on both the mass and viscoelastic properties of the adsorbed protein layer through the frequency shift and the energy dissipation of the resonating crystal. MtrC adsorption saturates at −19 ± 1 Hz, which, according to the Sauerbrey equation, corresponds to ca. 0.34 ± 0.02 µg cm⁻². Rinsing with buffer does not remove MtrC, indicating it is irreversibly adsorbed on the surface. Assuming the mass of MtrC is increased by about 25% due to water entrapped within the protein matrix, the MtrC coverage can be estimated at 3.6 ± 0.2 pmol cm⁻². The dimensions of MtrC are predicted to be 3.5 nm × 6 nm × 7 nm by comparison to the structure of the close homologue MtrF for which a crystal structure is available.[31] Depending on the orientation of MtrC on the surface, a closely packed monolayer would consist of 6.0 pmol cm⁻² (upright orientation) or 3.0 pmol cm⁻² (prone orientation).

Comparing the electroactive coverage from CV (0.56 ± 0.11 pmol cm⁻²) with the total coverage from QCM-D, it is clear that only ≈15% of the adsorbed protein is electroactive. Presumably, the majority of the MtrC is adsorbed on the surface in a configuration that does not allow fast interfacial electron transfer. We note that the formation of a close-packed monolayer of MtrC is required to prevent RuP-TiO₂ from binding directly to the underlying gold electrode and thus for the formation of the biohybrid system. We thus complemented the CV and QCM-D studies with atomic force microscopy (AFM) to visualize the MtrC film. Figure 6B shows typical AFM images of MtrC on the SAM surface, which indicate a dense monolayer of MtrC. A few areas or spots are visible with heights above 4 nm, which seem to be consistent with the deposition of agglomerates of MtrC. Further analysis shows that these areas cover less than 5% of the surface. Further analysis also shows that the measured average height of the MtrC monolayer is 1.8 nm, about half the expected height (3.5 nm) for the prone orientation. This difference is consistent with a pressure-induced compression of the MtrC molecules, commonly observed with AFM.[38]

TiO₂ has a high affinity for the carboxylates in Glu/Asp side chains and we previously used this propensity to couple P25 RuP-TiO₂ nanoparticles to redox enzymes in solution.[34,39] However and unexpectedly, we did not observe immobilization of P25 TiO₂ or RuP-(P25)TiO₂ on either MtrC films or bare gold electrodes modified with SAMs (either pure or mixtures of 8-OH, 8-NH⁺, and/or 8-mercapto-octanoic acid). To test whether the size of the nanoparticles has a major influence on its binding properties to the MtrC film, commercially obtained unmodified 5 nm anatase TiO₂ particles were tested. However, also these smaller particles did not show any binding to the protein film, as tested with QCM-D.

In contrast, when we incubated the electrode surfaces with the here synthesized DHBA-modified TiO₂ nanocrystals (0.2–0.5 mg mL⁻¹), a densely packed TiO₂ monolayer was formed on either MtrC films or bare SAM surfaces within 5 min, as monitored with QCM-D and AFM (Figure 5 and S5, Supporting Information). The reason for this stark contrast in behavior between the commercial nanoparticles and the DHBA-modified nanocrystals is suspected to be related to either differences in agglomeration tendency or surface chemistry of the particles. Modifying the P25 particles with DHBA and RuP did not affect the adsorption or photocurrent compared to unmodified P25.

QCM-D shows an additional frequency shift of ca. −80 ± 2 Hz and −120 ± 3 Hz for with and without MtrC, respectively. A low-to-moderate increase in dissipation is observed, indicating some dynamic flexibility of the interactions between RuP-TiO₂ and the MtrC film or SAM surface. Based on the Sauerbrey equation, the frequency shifts correspond to 1.4 ± 0.1 µm²⁻¹ and 2.0 ± 0.1 µm²⁻¹ and, for TiO₂ nanocrystals of 6.8 ± 0.7 nm diameter, we estimate the coverages to be 3.3 ± 0.1 pmol cm⁻² and 4.8 ± 0.1 pmol cm⁻² on the MtrC film and SAM surface, respectively. These values

Figure 5. QCM-D results with frequency (black line, left axis) and dissipation (gray line, right axis) against time for a gold crystal at 21 °C. The gold surface is modified with 8-OH/8-NH⁺ (ratio 80/20) SAM prior to the experiments. The plots shown are representative of triplicate experiments.

Figure 6. AFM height images of A) template striped gold modified with a SAM, B) MtrC film, and C) MtrC/TiO₂ system on the SAM-modified gold electrode. All images are 1 µm × 1 µm and displayed on a A) 5 or B,C) 10 nm z-scale. All surfaces were modified with SAM consisting of 8-OH/8-NH⁺ (ratio of 80/20).
translate to ca. 66% and 96% of a theoretical monolayer coverage or, for the adsorption onto the MtrC film, a one-to-one complex between MtrC and TiO$_2$. Following RuP-TiO$_2$ adsorption, the electroactive coverage of MtrC decreases to 0.17 ± 0.03 pmol cm$^{-2}$, reducing the percentage of MtrC that displays fast electron transfer with the electrode from 15% without RuP-TiO$_2$ to 5% with RuP-TiO$_2$. This suggests that the mobility and/or the orientation of MtrC is influenced by its interaction with the TiO$_2$ nanocrystals. The AFM images of the films following RuP-TiO$_2$ binding are consistent with close-to-monolayer coverages (Figure 6C and S5, Supporting Information) of TiO$_2$ on top of MtrC, although some agglomerates are also visible. On the basis of the AFM images alone, it is difficult to distinguish between an MtrC monolayer, a TiO$_2$ monolayer, and/or a MtrC/TiO$_2$ hybrid bilayer. To confirm the formation of an MtrC/TiO$_2$ bilayer, the hybrid film was mechanically removed (i.e., scraped) from a small area by increasing the cantilever force during scanning. Subsequent imaging of a larger area indicated that the surface film has a thickness of ~9 nm, consistent with an MtrC/TiO$_2$ bilayer.

In the presence of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) as a sacrificial electron donor, photocurrents are readily observed upon excitation of the RuP-TiO$_2$ nanocrystals with visible light. Control experiments that lack one of the components (either RuP, TiO$_2$, or EDTA) gave no observable photocurrent. The absence of a photocurrent in the control experiment without TiO$_2$ (but with 5 × 10$^{-3}$ M RuP and 25 × 10$^{-3}$ M EDTA) shows that RuP cannot directly donate electrons to MtrC and that the hemes in MtrC are not photo reduced by EDTA. Furthermore, in the presence of TiO$_2$ (but absence of RuP), photocurrents were only observed under UV light, confirming that electron transfer proceeds from RuP via TiO$_2$ to MtrC.

Since MtrC is a redox protein that exists in oxidized and reduced states, it can also operate as an electrical diode or on/off switch for photocurrent. When MtrC is reduced at potentials below −0.3 V, no oxidative photocurrents are observed and the system behaves the same as without the sacrificial electron donor EDTA (Figure 7A). This switch is clearly distinct from the behavior of RuP-TiO$_2$ without MtrC (when TiO$_2$ is directly adsorbed on the SAM-modified electrode). In Figure 7B, differences in photocurrent with and without EDTA are plotted, where the switch in oxidative photocurrent is more clearly visible upon reduction of MtrC. When MtrC is reduced (i.e., at potentials below 0 V), the electron transfer from RuP-TiO$_2$ to MtrC is impaired, explaining the observed switch in photocurrent. The switch thus provides direct proof that for the MtrC/TiO$_2$ hybrid system the majority of electron transfer proceeds via MtrC and that the densely packed monolayer of MtrC prevents direct interaction between the gold electrode and the RuP-TiO$_2$ layer, confirming the formation of the layered structure schematically depicted in Figure 8.

We have previously shown that fuel production by TiO$_2$ particles coated with redox catalysts is limited by fast charge recombination.50-52 This limitation is likely to apply to most inorganic photocatalytic systems where the catalyst is not separated from the light-harvesting particle. Here, we show that the decaheme MtrC can act as an electron-transfer conduit. Engineering a conduit-like MtrC between catalysts and TiO$_2$ might reduce charge recombination by spatially separating the electron from the hole in the RuP-TiO$_2$ nanoparticle thereby enhancing the efficiency of fuel production. Regrettfully, in the hybrid MtrC/TiO$_2$ system, oxidative photocurrents at >0.4 V are limited by light intensity up to 0.5 W cm$^{-2}$ (Figure S6, Supporting Information), making it difficult to determine the rate-limiting electron-transfer step or to unambiguously determine whether charge recombination is reduced. Nonetheless, detailed analysis seems consistent with the idea that the MtrC conduit might improve photoefficiency.

Based on an electroactive coverage of 0.17 pmol cm$^{-2}$ for MtrC, it can be calculated that, at 0.2 W cm$^{-2}$ light intensity, 30 electrons per second are transferred through each electroactive MtrC. Based on the QCM-D data, it can be estimated that only 1 electron s$^{-1}$ per RuP-TiO$_2$ particle is generated for the photoanodes that do not contain a MtrC film. Thus, despite the fact that only 5% of the MtrC is electroactive and less RuP is adsorbed on the MtrC film than the anode without MtrC, the observed photocurrents are very similar between the RuP-TiO$_2$ only and the RuP-TiO$_2$/MtrC hybrid system.

In the MtrC/TiO$_2$ hybrid system, four interfacial electron-transfer (ET) steps are required to obtain an oxidative photocurrent (see Figure 8): 1) from RuP to TiO$_2$, 2) from TiO$_2$ to MtrC, 3) from MtrC to the electrode, and 4) from the sacrificial electron donor, EDTA, to oxidized RuP. Electron transfer from RuP to TiO$_2$ (step 1) occurs in the order of 180 ps,
whereas recombination from TiO₂ to oxidized RuP is much slower on the timescale of 1 ms.²⁵ We did not observe an increase in photocurrent upon increasing the EDTA concentration, suggesting that step 4 is also not rate limiting. The electron transfer from electroactive MtrC to the electrode (step 3) is less than 10 ms, i.e., much faster than the observed photogenerated 30 electrons s⁻¹. By exclusion, it is thus possible that, besides light intensity, the electron transfer from TiO₂ to MtrC (step 2) is rate limiting in the MtrC/TiO₂ system.

A large fraction of the immobilized MtrC is not electroactive and RuP-TiO₂ nanocrystals that are coupled to the nonelectroactive MtrC might thus not contribute to the photocurrent. To further study this, we added N,N-dimethyl-4,4′-bipyridinium dichloride (methyl viologen, MV²⁺). MV²⁺ is an electron acceptor that has been shown to efficiently trap the conduction band electrons⁴³ and can efficiently transfer these trapped electrons directly to the underlying electrode. Indeed, addition of 1 × 10⁻³ M MV²⁺ leads to a fourfold enhancement in photocurrent (Figure S7, Supporting Information).

3. Conclusion

We have shown the assembly of a bio-hybrid system composed of a light-harvesting RuP-TiO₂ nanocrystal and a molecular wire, the decalheme MtrC. Its formation is confirmed by the observed photoswitching behavior, which is due to the redox state of the MtrC electrical bridge on the electrode surface. At potentials below −0.4 V, in the fully reduced state of MtrC, no or very small cathodic photocurrents are observed. Upon increasing the applied potential, the (partly) oxidized MtrC acts as the initial electron acceptor for the photoexcited TiO₂.

4. Experimental Section

General Materials: Unless otherwise stated, reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification: 3-(N-morpholino)propansulfonic acid (MOPS), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), sodium sulfate, EDTA disodium salt dehydrate, 8-mercaptooctanol, ethanol, and DHBA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (UK); titanium tetrasoprophoxide, oleic acid, hexadecylamine, and methyl 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd; 8-amino-1-octanethiol hydrochloride was purchased from Dojindo Molecular Technologies; isopropanol and dichloromethane were purchased from Fisher Chemicals; methyl viologen hydrate were purchased from Acros Organics; EPOTEX 307 was purchased from Epoxy technology. [Ru(bpy)₃]₂⁺-[PF₆]⁻ ([Bipy]²⁺) (RuP) was prepared according to a literature procedure.⁴⁴ AEROXIDE P25 TiO₂ particles were provided by Evonik Industries, and were a mixture of 8:2 anatase:rutile. Anatase 5 nm TiO₂ particles were purchased from Nanostructure & Amorphous Materials, Inc.

Synthesis of TiO₂ Nanocrystals: a) Oleic acid-capped TiO₂: The precursor, 1 mmol of titanium tetraisopropoxide and the modifiers, 3 mmol of oleic acid and 0.5 mmol of hexadecylamine were heated at 120 °C for 5 min as a pretreatment and the mixture was placed in a pressure-resistant Hastelloy vessel (inner volume = 5 mL) with a Hastelloy sphere. This resulted in a well-mixed solution of the precursor and modifiers. Next, the solvothermal reaction was made to occur in the reactor at 400 °C for 10 min. The organic ligand-modified nanocrystals were extracted from the product mixture using cyclohexane (3 mL). The products were precipitated from the resulting cyclohexane phase using ethanol (3 mL) as an antisolvent agent and were separated using centrifugation. The centrifuged products were then washed twice with a mixture of cyclohexane (3 mL) and ethanol (3 mL). The washed products were dissolved in cyclohexane (5 mL). b) Ligand exchange: A solution mixture containing 25 mg of methyl 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate (MDB) and ethanol (2 mL) was delivered dropwise into cyclohexane dispersing the oleic acid-modified TiO₂ nanocrystals (2 mL, 0.7 wt%) and the mixtures were stirred at the room temperature for 2 h after introducing trimethylamine (200 mg). The catechol groups of MDB react with the surfaces of TiO₂ nanocrystals exchanging the oleic acid. The MDB-modified TiO₂ nanocrystals were centrifuged to separate them from residual MDB and oleic acid. The centrifuged products were then washed with a mixture of cyclohexane (4.5 mL) and ethanol (4.5 mL) and centrifuged once more. The washed pellet was dispersed in water (9 mL) adding 50 µL KOH aqua (5 µL) and the mixtures were stirred for 30 min, followed by ultrasonic treatment for 30 min so that ester bond in MDB hydrolyzed. The products were centrifuged after adding acetone (5 mL) and the centrifuged products were then washed with a mixture of acetone (5 mL) and water (4 mL) to produce a DHBA-TiO₂ nanocrystals dispersion. c) Attachment of RuP: RuP (1 × 10⁻³ M, aq.) was added to the solution of DHBA-TiO₂ in a ratio of 1 µmol RuP per 5 mg nanocrystals. The solution was allowed to mix for at least 1 h before use, and was stored protected from light. To analyze the extent of RuP attachment, the nanoparticles were precipitated by acidification (0.1 M HCl) and centrifuged (10 000 rpm, 5 min). Comparison of the absorbance at 457 nm of the supernatant to a reference solution gave an estimate of 0.09 ± 0.02 µmol RuP per mg particles. Acidification of RuP alone did not result in any precipitation.

DHBA Functionalization and Dye-Sensitization of P25 TiO₂: P25 TiO₂ (20 mg) was suspended in acetone (200 mL) with sonication for 10 min. DHBA (20 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min. The particles were isolated by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 min), washed with acetone, and re-isolated by centrifugation. The particles were allowed to dry in air, protected from light. RuP (1 × 10⁻³ M, aq.) was added to a suspension of DHBA-P25 TiO₂ in water, in a ratio of 0.2 µmol RuP per 5 mg nanoparticles. The solution was allowed to mix for 1 h, protected from light. The particles were isolated by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 min) and allowed to dry in air, protected from light. Comparison of the optical
absorbance at 457 nm of the supernatant from centrifugation, relative to a reference solution, gave an estimate of 0.03 µmol RuP per mg particles.

**Characterization of RuP-TiO$_2$ Nanocrystals:** TEM (JEOL-2100F, JEOl) was used for high-resolution imaging at 200 keV. XRD patterns were obtained with a Rigaku D/Max-2500 diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.3141Å) at a scanning rate of 4.00 degrees min$^{-1}$. Zeta potential measurements were carried out using a Malvern Instruments nanocomposite size analyzer (NanoZS, Worcestershire, UK). FT-IR spectra were obtained using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet i550 FTIR spectrometer in ATR mode.

**Characterization of MtrC:** A soluble form of MtrC was purified as described in Edwards et al. (under review) from a construct in which the 25 N-terminal amino acids of native MtrC are replaced with the signal sequence of MtrB from *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (MFKKLNLITALLANTGLVAADG). The resulting MtrC sample (87 × 10$^{-6}$ m MtrC in 20 × 10$^{-3}$ M HEPES, pH 7.5) was subject to SDS-PAGE followed by protein visualization using Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining (Figure S3, Supporting Information). A single protein band was revealed with an apparent molecular mass of 75 kDa as expected for MtrC and confirming that the protein was pure (>95%). Electronic absorbance spectroscopy of the purified MtrC confirmed the presence of c-type hemes (Figure S4, Supporting Information). The spectrum of the air-oxidized MtrC is characterized by a prominent band in the Soret (y) region with a maximum at 410 nm and accompanied by smaller features at 531 and 560 nm. MtrC reduced by sodium dithionite displays a spectrum typical of low-spin ferric c-HEME with a peak maximum at 419 nm, together with clearly defined α- and β-peaks at 552 and 523 nm, respectively.

**Electrode Preparation and MtrC Film Voltammetry:** The template-stripped gold (TSG) as working electrodes was prepared by a method described previously. Using an Edwards Auto 306, 150 nm gold (99.99%; Goodfellow) was evaporated on silicon wafers (IDB Technology Ltd, UK). After evaporation, 1.2 cm$^2$ glass slides were glued to the gold layer with Epou-Tek 377 for 2 h at 120 °C. SAMs were made by incubating the detached glass slide, exposing the TSG surface, with the air-oxidized MtrC is characterized by a prominent band in the Soret (y) region with a maximum at 410 nm and accompanied by smaller features at 531 and 560 nm. MtrC reduced by sodium dithionite displays a spectrum typical of low-spin ferric c-HEME with a peak maximum at 419 nm, together with clearly defined α- and β-peaks at 552 and 523 nm, respectively.

**Development and Photoelectrochemical Measurement of TiO$_2$/MtrC Conduit Wire:** Photoelectrochemical measurement were performed using a cold light source featuring a 150 W, 4.5 cm (15 V) halogen lamp (OSRAM) with a fiber optic arm (Krüss KLS125, Germany). The light source was placed 5–10 cm above the gold electrode with the light passing through ~2 cm of buffer before reaching the electrode surface. The light intensity on the sample (of 0.25 cm$^2$) was approximately 50 mW. The UV filter ensured that no UV excitation of the TiO$_2$ was possible. The photocinduced currents were measured between the modified working electrodes and a Pt counter electrode using Autolab electrochemical analyzer, as above. Linear scan voltammetry (LSV) was used to determine the photoelectrochemical properties of RuP-TiO$_2$ nanocrystals and TiO$_2$/MtrC conduit system under controlled illumination. The measurement was performed at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ between −0.45 and +0.45 V versus SHE. For chronoaeroamperometry measurements, the potential $E = +400$ mV versus SHE was set and an illumination was typically set to 10 s (40 s off period). Measured photocurrents are after baseline correction (during the dark phases) with Q-Soas.
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