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This paper sets out to explore how recent changes in procurement in construction have affected the roles that professions play in the design process. It discusses how professions that traditionally took the role of design manager now find themselves participating within previously unforeseen contexts, working in multidisciplinary teams led by contractors and with changed responsibilities at the design stage. Supply chain members who were not previously involved during the early project phases are being engaged at the earliest phases of the project life cycle and even taking leadership roles while designers sometimes work as supply chain partners.

A study of design in construction and other sectors shows that in dealing with design management issues it is critical to deepen appreciation for the unique characteristics of design and the design process. The paper argues that contractors and designers taking on design management roles in a dynamic industry seeking to explore best practice and innovative approaches to procurement and in the delivery of projects need to acquire new skills, management education and develop the necessary qualities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The design process accounts for a very small proportion of the overall project cost but significantly determines the characteristics and eventual out-turn cost of any project, both in capital and life-cycle terms. A large percentage of defects in construction and shortfalls in the construction industry may be traced to actions or decisions at the design stage. Furthermore, construction projects are increasingly becoming complex. Market competition and the growing trend of demand for increased efficiency from clients pose a challenge for participants in the construction industry to perform while, at the same time, achieving acceptable levels of profit margin. It is against this background that many practitioners and clients have realised that among the phases that need to be managed well, design is a priority. However, the nature of the design process and the thinking and practice of designers presents a peculiar challenge to managing the design process. Due to their training and subsequent experience, designers in all sectors develop mental attitudes and cultures that are often in conflict with that of other project participants; especially managers. In construction, these issues are complicated by the fact that the industry has undergone significant transformation with the evolution of new procurement systems.

This paper examines the following two fundamental questions. (a) What implications do the changes in procurement have on professional roles in construction? (b) What changes in attitudes and skills are required from the professions to adapt to the changing roles?

The first part of the paper reviews the new trends in construction and explores the significance for design management. This is followed by an examination of design theory, the design process and design management from the wider perspective of other sectors.

2. NEW TRENDS IN CONSTRUCTION AND THE CHANGING ROLES OF THE PROFESSIONS

Under the traditional procurement system in construction, architects have customarily taken the role of designers and design manager. Being the first point of contact for the client they are involved from inception and therefore manage the rest of the design process and the interface between the client, the design team and contractors during construction.

As both designer and design manager the architect therefore plays the tripartite role of leader, manager and designer. On projects with a significant element of civil works, both structural and civil engineers have taken the role of design manager.

With the evolution of management forms of procurement (i.e. management contracting and construction management), the architect/engineer still performed the role of technical designer and leader of the design process but with a less significant management role. The management role has instead been taken by a managing contractor or, in certain projects in which cost control was paramount to the client, professionals with a quantity surveying background have also taken the role of design manager.

From the 1970s onward, the construction industry in one country after another has undergone a number of changes that have resulted in transformations in procurement systems, contractual relationships and construction methods. In the UK, a turning-point for the roles of professions may be traced to the various reports of the Monopolies and Mergers Commission, which abolished mandatory fee scales and pressed for delivery of professional services in the construction and property industries on a competitive fee-tendered basis. Reports such as Rethinking Construction, Modernising
The Building Down Barriers Initiative, which was a by-product of efforts to reform the construction industry in the UK, led to the prime contracting form of procurement. This initiative addressed the issue of single-point responsibility through prime contractors and supply chain integration. With reference to this initiative Holti et al. identified three types of leadership: supply chain leadership, design leadership, and construction/delivery. The emphasis on the role of design leadership was to enable project supply chain members to gain a deeper understanding of the client’s strategic goals and to structure dialogue among participants. According to Holti et al., good design leadership would involve improving inter-disciplinary working between different design disciplines on complex projects and achieving efficiency in the design process with a minimum of unnecessary iterations. It would seem that this requirement does not in itself align the design leadership role with any particular profession and therefore it questions conventional practice under traditional procurement in which designers take on design management roles by default.

In the UK, adoption of the private finance initiative (PFI) approach and the variants of public–private partnerships (PPPs) to procurement has led to construction being viewed in the overall context of the strategic goals of clients. It is no longer restricted to realising a physical asset but involves the overall success of delivering a service from financing to operating, strengthening by taking best practice lessons from other sectors and involving, design and build and PFI/PPP have experimented with contractors in design leadership roles. It is the contention of the authors that a deeper understanding of design theory from a wider perspective highlights unique characteristics of designers and the design process that have implications for skills and attitudes needed for design management in construction.

The concept of design as an art is seen in the patterns of products demanded by consumers. From basic household items to automobiles and infrastructure, consumers now want products...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement type</th>
<th>Pre-brief corporate/business value</th>
<th>Business case</th>
<th>Feasibility value: options</th>
<th>Design/technical brief</th>
<th>Design value</th>
<th>Construction value</th>
<th>Commissioning value</th>
<th>Operational value</th>
<th>Maintenance/renewal/disposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFI</td>
<td>Client develops output</td>
<td>PFI consortium-supply chain structure and value system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime contracting</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Integrated project team value system client, operations, prime contractor, supply chain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnkey</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Client/Designer value system</td>
<td>Contractor/Designer/Supply chain value system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor-led design and build</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Client/Designer value system</td>
<td>Contractor/Designer/Supply chain value system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client-led design and build</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Client/Designer value system</td>
<td>Contractor/Designer/Supply chain value system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction management and management contracting</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Client/Design team/CM</td>
<td>Client/CM/Design team/Work package</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Client value system</td>
<td>Client/Designer value system</td>
<td>Contractor supply chain value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Procurement strategies and the project value chain
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that do not just serve the basic purpose but also reflect a sense of style, popular ideals and aspirations. The concerns of design to express lifestyle have always been paramount in the fashion industry.6 The same principle is being extended into other sectors.29 Furthermore, consumers look to design not just to manifest function but also to reflect values.

The works of famous people such as Leonardo da Vinci, Mozart, Le Corbusier, Brunel and Stephenson have led many to view the concept of design as a creative act with an almost mystic aura surrounding it. Along with such popular views is the notion that creativity is restricted to certain fields of endeavour.30 One model classifies design into five phases to encapsulate the creative process (Fig. 2).

This ‘eureka’ model which is synonymous with Archimedes gives the impression that design is a matter of brilliance. Scientific evidence, however, suggests that creativity comprises the application of a set of skills that can be learned and developed and can be seen at work in all activities.7

The concept of design as a problem-solving activity is by far the most widely known and easily acceptable perspective of what design is. People will generally accede that design is about conceiving things which meet specific needs.31 These needs may be purely functional or decorative. As the products of design fulfil a specified function then, design is an activity concerned, at least in part, with solving problems. Any design problem will include balancing a range of requirements against constraints determined by technology and materials, production, market considerations and human factors—the physical and psychological characteristics of users. The designer has the task of balancing these factors to create products, messages and environments that are functional, flexible, affordable, well made and elegant.6

The brief description builds an appreciation for the diversity of design. However, designers are traditionally identified not so much by the problems they tackle but rather by the kinds of solutions they produce. This often gives each ‘trade’ of designers a unique line of thought and values. As a result of the close interlinks between these designers, the design solution of one designer is often the problem that another designer starts with. In construction of high-rise buildings, the relationship between architect and structural engineering designer is a typical example.

Design solutions do not naturally have an end and there is no way of deciding beyond doubt when a design problem is solved.26 Designers simply stop designing either when they run out of time or when, in their judgement, it is not worth pursuing the matter further. This obviously has implications for how much time should be allowed for the solution to evolve.27,31 What is more, the magnitude of the design problem often becomes clearer as progress is made towards some sort of solution. Thus substantial effort may be required before the designer is really aware just how difficult a problem is.

Believ noted that, unlike other trades, design problems must be discovered, and very often neither the goal nor the obstacles to achieving that goal are clearly expressed. The effect of this is that designers tend never to be satisfied with the problem presented.31 Design problems are also often multidimensional and highly interactive in the sense that the design is often expected to serve more than one function even when not stated explicitly. In addition, as with any problem, there are many limitations amidst which the designer is expected to devise an integrated solution to a whole cluster of requirements.7

Underlying the above discussion is the fact that in design, problems do not usually originate in the designer’s mind but with the client.5 The client’s problem may be presented by someone who is fairly knowledgeable regarding design, but in many cases the client vaguely understands the problem and in construction terms may not understand how the industry works. Furthermore the ‘client’ is hardly an individual but rather a whole group of people tasked with representing the ‘client’. A great deal of design work may also be commissioned by a client who is different from the final end user.8 This raises both organisational and communication bottlenecks and makes the management of design different from other areas that need to be managed.

In most industries much apprehension exists regarding management of design.31 Managing the formative stages of design does not easily lend itself to being managed with the same mechanistic focus that can be applied to other phases. As previously discussed, the early phases of the design process require a period of synthesis that can not always be ‘forced’ and the mind needs time to work on the problem. The amount of time needed may be great or small and this may appear to make the management of time, and in consequence the cost of the design work, an improbable task.

Another reason for the apprehension in managing design has to do with the clash of cultures between managers and designers that often stems from divergent personal aptitudes and educational backgrounds.26,34 For most managers who come to terms with it, design is a resource that can add value to products and services. In contrast, designers may have other priorities such as environmental issues; a desire to elevate public taste and influence culture; or even to help bring about social and political change. While good managers may sympathise with these aims, their main interest in design is invariably ‘design for profit’.27 This obviously presents management difficulties. In construction, this clash of cultures...
may lead to strained relationships between designers and contractors in an industry that is changing its approach to procurement and delivery of projects. The different styles of thought also make the chasm wider. Managers learn to like things to be precise whereas designers may like to experiment with unconventional procedures. Most contractors will be familiar with management approaches that incorporate the use of concepts such as work breakdown structures, critical path analysis and earned value methods that are perfectly applicable with unconventional procedures. Most contractors in an industry that is changing its approach to procurement and delivery of projects. The different styles of thought also make the chasm wider.

As a precursor to the skills needed to manage design in an industry that is experiencing reforms in procurement, this section has explored the design theory and the nature of the design process. Construction design seems to be conceived as a problem-solving activity, but because the problem does not originate with the designer, designers must go through a cyclic and iterative process to come up with solutions that are acceptable. The nature of the design process also implies that in the context of the changing design management roles, educational backgrounds and aptitudes influenced by experience in different stages of the construction process lead to difficulties.

Part (b) in Fig. 3 isolates the main design theory and design thinking issues in this section. The final part of this paper discusses the skills and qualities needed to manage designers and the design process.

### 4. ADAPTING TO CHANGES

Oakley distinguishes the role of design manager from that expected of the traditional manager in terms of their qualities. The main quality of an effective design manager is the ability to deal with change and ambiguity. This is a unique requirement because the design process is iterative and, as previously stated, the formatative stages take place in the mind, without tangible outputs. The initial stages of design in architectural, engineering or construction (AEC) projects are creative and very personal. Designers usually spend time defining and realising their objectives and respective priorities and only when the design is complete can the results of their intense intellectual activity be seen. As explained earlier, this is at the heart of the problem of design management.

In the design of AEC products the client’s requirements are often vaguely stated due to the differing interests. The designer proposes various possible solutions, taking into account the influence of external factors such as statutory, planning, environmental and construction needs before an acceptable solution is reached. Since design of AEC projects is a process of human interaction, the outcome contains the interpretations and perceptions of the people involved. The acceptability of the outcome is also based on a trade-off among individuals about what they are willing to accept as a satisfactory interpretation of their ideals. Inevitably, design is a trade-off between conflicting needs until there is a solution that enables everyone to move forward. This is often referred to as ‘satisficing’.
The ‘eureka’ model described earlier aptly describes the design process; nevertheless it must be acknowledged that design in AEC projects is not necessarily a linear sequence. Gray and Hughes propose that unlike design in the manufacturing industries, designers in AEC projects actually think freely across and around the boundaries of a problem; and a cyclic/iterative model is more realistic and representative of the design process for AEC design. The reflective model suggested by Schön, which bears similarities with this iterative model, reinforces this paradigm. An iterative/reflective model may explain the difficulties in reconciling the expectations of people from contractor or management backgrounds when managing designers and the design process.

In terms of the changes in procurement that the construction industry has experienced, the changing role of professions has implications for the skills required for successful design management.

Being the first point of contact by the client under traditional procurement, the architect or engineer acts as technical designer. As in most cases, the architect or engineer is also the client’s agent, they manage the interface with other designers, in effect leading the design team and managing the design process. The sequential nature of the design and construction process in traditional procurement also means that there is little interaction between the designers and contractors at the early stages of the project life cycle and contractors only get involved when the creative, artistic and problem-solving stages of design are substantially complete.

The earlier involvement of contractors in the management forms of procurement has meant that while designers still take on the traditional technical design roles and perhaps quantity surveyors may take on the cost control roles, managing contractors have an influence on the design and need client-oriented skills when they may act as design managers in these procurement routes. This often presents a challenge as the contractor places more focus on the project scheduling and buildability.

In client-led design-and-build procurement projects the architect or engineer may lead the design process up to the schematic design stage. The design role then becomes part of the design-and-build contractor’s role, which is more akin to turnkey procurement. In contractor-led design and build the design manager fulfils a role between the client-led design-and-build and turnkey procurement. Therefore a balance of design management and client-handling skills is needed. In turnkey projects the designers are usually taken on as part of the contractor’s supply chain. This means the contractor may lead and manage the team of designers as they develop technical solutions to fulfil the project objectives. In this case the design manager will need good team management and supply chain management skills in addition to the clienting skills.

In prime contracting, the integrated team nature of the procurement process means that designers, contractors, subcontractors, facilities managers, manufacturers and other supply chain members usually involved at the later stages of the project life cycle are engaged quite early in the design process. The emphasis is therefore on team and supply chain management skills. As the contractor manages the interface between the client and others, the design manager needs good interpersonal skills and should be capable of interdisciplinary working. Designers often express their thoughts through sketches and drawings and may not necessarily consider the cost implications until the design is considerably complete whereas contractors will be interested in the cost and constructability implications. The design manager will therefore need to have highly developed graphical communication and design coordination skills in addition to a keen eye for cost implications of design decisions.

The other design management qualities that are similar to those required of a project manager include: conflict resolution skills, acceptance of diversity of approach, goal rather than task-driven disposition, combination of action with reflection instead of physical activity, encouraging a team approach rather than individual approach to problem solving, knowledge based on structural updating and not necessarily experience, and toleration of temporary groupings and the ability to manage them well contrasted with stable relationships in traditional management.

To be effective as a design manager in a rapidly changing construction industry, the skills and qualities discussed may be beneficially strengthened by acquiring the relevant management education, which may include human behaviour and change management, strategic management, risk and value management.

Organisations must adjust their form and culture in response to the tides in the external environment. Cole identifies two types of change: reactive change which results as a response to external or internal influences and planned change which is initiated by the organisation proactively. It would be in the interest of the various professions whose roles are changing to explicitly plan the change of culture, mindset and disposition in order to influence the changing trends. Reactive change limits opportunities to influence trends and roles.

In summary this paper has reviewed the new trends in construction and highlighted the implications of the changes in procurement to the role of design manager. Fig. 3 summarises the key themes and arguments in this paper.

5. CONCLUSIONS
Comparison of the changing requirements for design management under the different procurement routes linked with the general disposition of designers raises questions about the suitability of current training of most ‘designers’ to ably lead the design process in line with expectations of industry reform and new procurement systems. On the other hand experiences from recent projects in which contractors have taken on design leadership roles under the new procurement routes show that to successfully manage designers and the design process, an insight into design theory is a critical element. Whether from a contracting or design background it is imperative that design managers in the construction industry develop qualities both to manage multi-disciplinary teams and to effectively tap the potential of designers, linked with essential front-end clienting skills.
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Designers must acknowledge that the more traditional ways of procuring and delivering projects may not be preferred by many clients, especially in view of the increased complexity of today's projects. They have to look to their real areas of strength and develop them and also explore the areas of their deficiencies and work on improving them. Under the new procurement routes, it is design that will enhance and distinguish their role in the project team because design is an activity that is different from operations. The concern should not only be with creativity and innovation but also with delivering practical solutions that take into consideration better quality of construction, sustainability and whole-life costing. It would be misleading to assert that a certain profession produces the best design managers because there are both strengths and weaknesses with the mindset and culture of all professions. However, it seems reasonable to conclude that individuals can learn design management skills. The issues discussed in this paper may well challenge the manner in which design professions develop in the future and have clear implications for the manner in which designers and other members of supply chain teams are educated and/or trained.
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