

This is a repository copy of OP0203-HPR Does ENAT-focused education improve health outcomes in RA? A randomised controlled trial.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/84313/

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Hardware, B, Young, T, Johnson, D et al. (5 more authors) (2014) OP0203-HPR Does ENAT-focused education improve health outcomes in RA? A randomised controlled trial. In: Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. EULAR Congress, 11-14 Jun 2014, Paris, France. BMJ Publishing Group , 139 - 140 (2).

https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-eular.3541

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Does ENAT-Focused Education Improve Health Outcomes in RA? A Randomised Controlled Trial

Authors

*B Hardware,¹ T Young,² D B Johnson,¹ M Ndosi,³ C Hale,³ J Maxwell,⁴ E Roussou,⁵ A Adebajo¹

Institutional affiliations

¹Barnsley Hospital, Barnsley,
²University of Sheffield, Sheffield,
³University of Leeds, Leeds,
⁴Rotherham Hospital, Rotherham,
⁵King George Hospital, Ilford, United Kingdom

Objectives

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of ENAT-focused patient education on selfefficacy, health status and patient knowledge. We hypothesised that, the use of the ENAT to help focus patient education on patients' priority educational needs, would improve their selfefficacy and health status.

Methods

This study was a pragmatic 7-centre RCT. The inclusion criteria were: a diagnosis of RA, age 18+ years and ability to complete questionnaires. Patients were randomised to either the ENAT group where patients completed the ENAT which was then used as a template by the Clinical Nurse Specialist (CNS) to meet their educational needs; or usual care by CNS without the ENAT. Patients were seen at baseline then at weeks 16 and 32. The outcomes were self-efficacy (ASES), health status (AIMS2-SF) and patient knowledge (PKQ). We investigated between-group differences (t-test) and trends over time (repeated measures ANOVA). Intention-to-treat results are reported (multiple imputation for missing data) except AIMS2-SF-Work data, where complete case analysis was used for patients who were working.

Results

A total of 132 patients were recruited, 70 EG (53%) and 62 UC (47%). Their mean (SD) age was 54 (12.3), 56 (13.3) and disease duration 5.2 (4.9), 6.7 (8.9) for EG and UC respectively. Questionnaire completion rates (EG, UC) were; 97%, 97% (baseline) 90%, 85% (week 16) and 79%, 76% (week 32).

Significant between-group differences were found, in favour of the EG at week 32 in ASES-Pain, mean difference (SE) = -4.36 (1.6), t = -2.72, P = 0.008; ASES-Other symptoms, mean difference (SE) = -5.84 (3.06), t = -3.07, p = 0.003; AIMS2-SF Symptoms, mean difference (SE) = 1.04 (0.41), t = 5.54, p = 0.013 and AIMS2-SF Affect, mean difference (SE) = 0.86 (0.30), t = 2.84, p = 0.006. Between-group differences were not significant in other time-points or in the total PKQ score.

Table 1 presents within-group changes over time. Scores are mean (SD) after multiple imputations. The ENAT group showed significant improvements in most outcomes while usual care showed significant improvements only on AIMS2-SF work.

	Usual care (n=60)*				ENAT group (n=68)*			
Outcome measure	Baseline	Week 16	Week 32	F _{2,118} (p-value)	Baseline	Week 16	Week 32	F _{2,134} (p-value)
ASES-Pain	25.3 (11.2)	26.5 (10.2)	2751 (10.9)	2.03 (0.135)	23.4 (9.1)	27.0 (9.8)	30.7 (10.3)	37.5 (<0.001)
ASES-Other sympt.	34.2 (12.7)	35.0 (13.9)	35.3 (14.5)	0.40 (0.673)	30.6 (11.5)	34.5 (12.6)	38.7 (12.3)	37.2 (<0.001)
AIMS2-Physical	3.1 (2.6)	3.0 (2.3)	3.0 (2.5)	0.09 (0.917)	3.1 (2.0)	2.8 (2.0)	2.8 (2.1)	2.35 (0.099)
AIMS2-Symptoms	5.0 (3.2)	4.6 (3.1)	5.1 (3.3)	2.34 (0.101)	5.4 (2.6)	4.7 (2.9)	4.6 (2.7)	11.8 (<0.001)
AIMS2-Affect	3.7 (2.1)	3.8 (1.9)	4.1 (2.3)	2.18 (0.117)	4.3 (2.2)	4.1 (2.1)	3.6 (2.0)	12.0 (<0.001)
AIMS2-Social	5.5 (1.7)	5.5 (1.7)	5.7 (1.9)	1.86 (0.160)	5.6 (1.8)	5.5 (1.9)	5.7 (2.0)	1.35 (0.263)
AIMS2-Work*	2.0 (2.7)	3.4 (3.5)	2.4 (5.1)	3.84 (0.028)	2.3 (3.1)	2.8 (3.3)	2.8 (3.5)	0.60 (0.552)
Total PKQ	8.6 (2.4)	8.7 (2.3)	9.1 (2.8)	1.96 (0.146)	8.6 (2.3)	9.0 (2.2)	9.2 (2.3)	5.22 (0.007

Table 1

* Missing data imputed except for AIMS2-SF-Work for 59 patients who were working

Conclusions

This is the first study to report the effects of ENAT-focused education in people with RA, suggesting improvements in self-efficacy and aspects of health status.

Disclosure of Interest

None declared

Cite this as: Hardware B, Young T, Johnson DB, et al. OP0203-HPR Does Enat-Focused Education Improve Health Outcomes in RA? A Randomised Controlled Trial [Abstract]. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73(Suppl 2):139. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-eular.3541

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-eular.3541/