Reconstruction of ice-sheet changes in the Antarctic Peninsula since the Last Glacial Maximum
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**A B S T R A C T**

This paper compiles and reviews marine and terrestrial data constraining the dimensions and configuration of the Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet (APIS) from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) through deglaciation to the present day. These data are used to reconstruct grounding-line retreat in 5 ka time-steps from 25 ka BP to present. Glacial landforms and subglacial tills on the eastern and western Antarctic Peninsula (AP) shelf indicate that the APIS was grounded to the outer shelf/shelf edge at the LGM and contained a series of fast-flowing ice streams that drained along cross-shelf bathymetric troughs. The ice sheet was grounded at the shelf edge until ~20 cal ka BP. Chronological control on retreat is provided by radiocarbon dates on glacimarine sediments from the shelf troughs and on lacustrine and terrestrial organic remains, as well as cosmogenic nuclide dates on erratics and ice moulded bedrock. Retreat in the east was underway by about 18 cal ka BP. The earliest dates on recession in the west are from Bransfield Basin where recession was underway by 17.5 cal ka BP. Ice streams were active during deglaciation at least until the ice sheet had pulled back to the mid-shelf. The timing of initial retreat decreased progressively southwards along the western AP shelf; the large ice stream in Marguerite Trough may have remained grounded at the shelf edge until about 14 cal ka BP, although terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide ages indicate that thinning had commenced by 18 ka BP. Between 15 and 10 cal ka BP the APIS underwent significant recession along the western AP shelf margin, although retreat between individual troughs was asynchronous. Ice in Marguerite Trough may have still been grounded on the mid-shelf at 10 cal ka BP. In the Larsen-A region the transition from grounded to floating ice was established by 10.7–10.6 cal ka BP. The APIS had retreated towards its present configuration in the western AP by the mid-Holocene but on the eastern peninsula may have approached its present configuration several thousand years earlier, by the start of the Holocene. Mid to late-Holocene retreat was diachronous with stillstands, re-advances and changes in ice-shelf configuration being recorded in most places. Subglacial
1. Introduction

The Antarctic Peninsula (AP) (Fig. 1) is arguably the most intensively studied region in Antarctica. This reflects its climatic sensitivity and recognition that it is one of the most rapidly warming areas of the globe today (Vaughan et al., 2003; Turner et al., 2005, Bentley et al., 2009). This warming is indicated by a range of observations, but perhaps most dramatically, is manifest in the collapse of ice shelves fringing the Peninsula (e.g., Vaughan and Doake, 1996; Scambos et al., 2003), and in the thinning, retreat and acceleration of marine-terminating outlet glaciers over the last few decades (De Angelis and Skvarca, 2003; Cook et al., 2005).

Understanding of the longer-term Quaternary glacial history of the AP has seen significant advances in the last 20 years, due particularly to technological developments in offshore marine geophysical surveying and terrestrial dating techniques. This has allowed detailed reconstruction of former ice sheet extent and flow on the continental shelf. The Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet (APIS) is now recognised to have terminated at the shelf edge at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), with ice streams occupying most of the cross-shelf bathymetric troughs (e.g., Pudsey et al., 1994; Larer and Vanneste, 1995; Canals et al., 2000, 2003; O Cofaigh et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2004; Heroy and Anderson, 2005, 2007; Anderson and Oakes-Frewell, 2008). Advances in cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure dating of glacially-transported boulders and bedrock surfaces, optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) of beach cobbles, and radiocarbon dates of lacustrine and terrestrial deposits onshore constrain the timing of terrestrial ice retreat and especially ice-sheet thinning (e.g., Bentley et al., 2006, 2011; Johnson et al., 2011; Hodgson et al., 2013; Simkins et al., 2013; Glasser et al., 2014). Such palaeo-glaciological reconstructions have wider significance because they provide information on the former subglacial processes controlling ice sheet dynamics, for example, through imaging and sampling the former ice sheet bed using ship-based geophysical methods and coring. Critically, they also provide observational constraints for testing and validating numerical ice-sheet models (e.g., King et al., 2012; Whitehouse et al., 2012a,b; Briggs and Tarasov, 2013). Finally, they provide a long-term palaeo-glaciological perspective on ice sheet change over centennial to millennial timescales.

There have been several syntheses of AP glacial history (Ingolfsson et al., 2003; Heroy and Anderson, 2005; Livingstone et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2012a). The aim of this paper is to summarise the current knowledge of the LGM to present ice sheet history for the AP and provide a series of time-slice reconstructions (isochrones in 5 ka steps) depicting changes in ice-sheet extent and thickness based on terrestrial and marine geomorphological and geological evidence. Tables of marine and terrestrial radiocarbon ages, terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (TCN), optically stimulated luminescence (OSL), and relative palaeomagnetic intensity (RPI) ages are presented in the Supplementary Information.

2. Study area

Physiographically, the AP consists of a thin spine of mountains that for much of its length forms a plateau 1800–2000 m in elevation, although exceptionally peaks can reach up to 3500 m asl. The peninsula is fringed by a series of islands including, for the purpose of this review, the South Shetland Islands to the northwest (Fig. 1). The continental shelf surrounding the AP is incised by a series of cross-shelf bathymetric troughs with water depths that range from 500 m to more than 1000 m. The mountainous spine of the AP provides an orographic barrier to precipitation and, as a result the eastern AP has a polar continental climate further cooled by the large areas of ice shelves to the east and south and the clockwise flowing Weddell Gyre. By contrast, the western AP is subject to rates of snow accumulation of up to 1400 kg m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Thomas et al., 2008) delivered by the prevailing westerlies (Domack et al., 2003). On the western AP high precipitation results in high accumulation rates and low equilibrium line altitudes. In contrast, the eastern AP is colder with lower precipitation and as a result equilibrium line altitudes are higher and accumulation rates are lower.

Contemporary ice cover on the AP averages 500 m in thickness and covers about 80% of the landmass with a sea-level rise equivalent of about 242 mm (Pritchard and Vaughan, 2007), and an estimated contribution to eustatic sea level since the LGM of about 2.9 m (Heroy and Anderson, 2005). Ice drains eastwards and westwards towards the coast of the peninsula, with the majority of flow occurring as a series of outlet glaciers. The Larsen Ice Shelf occupies a large part of the eastern margin of the peninsula south of about 66° S. There are also smaller ice shelves on the southwestern AP around Alexander Island (Fig. 1). A number of these ice shelves have experienced recent dramatic retreat (e.g., Vaughan and Doake, 1996; Scambos et al., 2003; Holt et al., 2013).

3. Methods

This review includes a large database of marine and terrestrial radiocarbon, cosmogenic nuclide, optically stimulated luminescence and relative palaeomagnetic intensity (RPI) dates which can be used as minimum ages to constrain past ice sheet limits (Fig. 2; Supplementary Data Table 1). The database is an updated version of two recently published reviews (Livingstone et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2012a). Each age has a Map ID number, shown on Fig. 2, which can be cross referenced with the Map ID’s given in the Supplementary Information.

3.1. Marine geophysical records of ice-sheet extent

Evidence of former grounded ice on the AP continental shelf has been recorded by echo-sounding, acoustic sub-bottom profiler and seismic reflection data on multiple research cruises spanning many decades. With the advent of multibeam swath bathymetry it has been possible to identify and map glacial landforms, such as megascalar glacial lineations (MSGLs), drumlins, meltwater channels and grounding-zone wedges (GZWws) in great detail (Fig. 3). This has facilitated comprehensive reconstructions of grounding-line limits during the LGM and subsequent deglaciation, and has permitted the identification of palaeo-ice stream troughs on the shelf (e.g., Livingstone et al., 2012).

Acoustic sub-bottom profiler data, using systems that transmit signals in the 1.5–5 kHz range, provide information about the physical nature of the upper few metres to several tens of metres of
sea-floor sediments. This is helpful for selecting the locations of core sites and for the interpretation of geomorphological features and sedimentary structures related to glaciation. Seismic reflection profiles, using airgun and water gun sources, collected on the continental shelf during several research cruises, provide deep penetration of the seafloor sediments and solid geology (e.g. Larter and Barker, 1989; Banfield and Anderson, 1995; Bart and Anderson, 1995; Larter et al., 1997; Smith and Anderson, 2010). This allows information to be collected on the bedrock geology and structure, as well as long-term patterns of sediment erosion and deposition, and the thickness and internal architecture of deeper sedimentary units.

Fig. 1. The Antarctic Peninsula, showing the location of place-names referred to in the text. Current ice surface topography and bathymetry are shown, and are derived from the BEDMAP2 database (Fretwell et al., 2013). Modified from Davies et al. (2012a).
3.2. Continental shelf sediments

Sediment cores have been collected from the AP shelf using a range of coring devices including gravity-, piston-, kasten-, box- and vibro-corers as well as drill cores (Ocean Drilling Program Leg 178, SHALDRIL). Supplementary Data Table 1 lists all the cores collected on the continental shelf from which radiocarbon dates have been obtained. The typical complete stratigraphic succession in these cores comprises a tripartite sequence of subglacial, proximal glacimarine and open marine facies (e.g. Evans and Pudsey, 2002; Heroy and Anderson, 2007). Subglacial facies include a lower stiff, massive, matrix-supported diamicton (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Evans et al., 2005), which is a ‘hybrid’ lodgement-deformation till (O’Cofaigh et al., 2005, 2007; Reinardy et al., 2011a,b); and an overlying soft, porous, massive, matrix-supported diamicton variously interpreted as either a subglacial deformation till or a hybrid deformation-lodgement till (e.g. Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Evans et al., 2005; O’Cofaigh et al., 2005, 2007; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Reinardy et al., 2009, 2011a,b). On the eastern AP shelf and in Marguerite Trough the till is frequently overlain by a transitional glacimarine unit (defined here as grounding-line proximal glacimarine sediments), which is often characterised by sub-parallel stratification, high pebble abundance and rare microfossils. Several authors have assigned the deposition of this unit to a sub-ice shelf setting (Evans and Pudsey, 2002; Brachfeld et al., 2003; Domack et al., 2005; Evans et al., 2005; Kilfeather et al., 2011). Other cores from Marguerite Trough and from within Marguerite Bay sampled a terrigenous mud unit that is virtually devoid of ice-rafted debris and biogenic material resting on proximal glacimarine sediments. This unit was also interpreted as having been deposited in a sub-ice shelf environment (Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Pope and Anderson, 1992; Kilfeather et al., 2011). Other cores from Marguerite Trough and from within Marguerite Bay sampled a terrigenous mud unit that is virtually devoid of ice-rafted debris and biogenic material resting on proximal glacimarine sediments. This unit was also interpreted as having been deposited in a sub-ice shelf environment (Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Pope and Anderson, 1992; Kilfeather et al., 2011). The uppermost sediment facies on the AP shelf varies between bioturbated to laminated, diatom-bearing to diatomaceous silts and clays with rare dropstones that occur within the deeper troughs (e.g. Evans and Pudsey, 2002; Domack et al., 2005; O’Cofaigh et al., 2005; Heroy and Anderson, 2007), and sand-rich (residual) glacimarine sediments that occur on the shallower areas of the shelf and reflect the influence of strong marine currents (Pope and Anderson, 1992; Pudsey et al., 2001). Both of these
sediment facies reflect deposition in an open marine setting. This complete stratigraphic succession has been widely interpreted to record the advance and retreat of grounded ice across the AP shelf. Locally, variations may occur due to disturbance, such as where iceberg keels have furrowed the bed, or where fine-grained deposits have been winnowed to produce a lag. 

3.3. Marine core chronology including problems and corrections

Ages constraining the retreat of grounded ice from the AP continental shelf following the LGM were compiled from published sources (Fig. 2; Supplementary Data Table 1). The majority of marine ages around the AP are from radiocarbon dating, although RPI dating techniques have also been used (cf. Brachfeld et al., 2003; Willmott et al., 2006).

For marine radiocarbon ages, we have assumed a uniform marine reservoir age of 1230 years (Domack et al., 2001; Brachfeld et al., 2002; Reimer et al., 2004a; Heroy and Anderson, 2007). Consequently, we corrected conventional radiocarbon dates on calcareous (micro-) fossils by subtracting the marine reservoir effect. In cases where the uncorrected radiocarbon ages were younger than 1230 years, the fossils were assumed to be of modern age (Domack et al., 2005; Milliken et al., 2009). We note, however, that it is possible that the marine reservoir effect could have been much larger during the LGM (Sikes et al., 2000; Van Beek et al., 2002; Vandergoes et al., 2013). Hall et al. (2010a) argued that the Southern Ocean marine reservoir has been constant, at least for the last 6000 years, and recommend a slightly younger reservoir age of 1144 years. However, because surface sediment reservoir ages have been shown to vary widely, and to compare easily with the deglacial ages in other sectors of Antarctica, we apply a uniform correction for carbonates but apply local reservoir corrections for samples comprising the acid-insoluble fraction of organic material (AIO) (see below).

Radiocarbon ages were all calibrated with the Calib 6.0.2 programme, using the Marine09.14c curve for marine ages (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993; Reimer et al., 2004b, 2009). The ΔR value used in calibration (830) represents the difference between the “global” surface ocean ¹⁴C age (400 years) and the regional surface ocean ¹⁴C age (1230 ± 100 years) (Hughen et al., 2004; Hall et al., 2010a). All ages are reported as calibrated, in thousands of years before present (cal ka BP), where ‘present’ is AD 1950, and the two-sigma error range for each age is also given. The final age is rounded to the nearest decade. For the purposes of this publication, only ages associated with deglaciation (i.e., the minimum age for grounded ice-sheet retreat) are calibrated. Other down-core ages are

Fig. 3. (A) Shaded-relief image of swath-bathymetric data (grid-cell size 50 m × 50 m) showing mega-scale glacial lineations formed in sediment in outer Marguerite Trough (modified from O’Cofaigh et al., 2005). Two localised occurrences of grounding-zone wedges on top of the lineations are arrowed. (B) Shaded relief bathymetry image of grounding-zone wedge (GZW), inner Larsen-A shelf (modified from Evans et al., 2005). Grid cell size 50 m × 50 m.
provided to aid the individual assessment of reliability (i.e., stratigraphic consistency, evidence for age reversals).

There are a number of possible errors in using marine radiocarbon dates obtained from organic matter to provide a minimum age for the retreat of grounded ice (cf. Andrews et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2002; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Hillenbrand et al., 2010b, 2014). In particular, because of the scarcity of calcareous microfossils in Antarctic shelf sediments, \(^{14}\)C dates are frequently derived from AIO. However, even this material is often contaminated by large and unknown amounts of recycled, fossil organic carbon, which can yield older than expected dates. To account for this contamination effect, we applied a core-site specific correction by subtracting the AIO age of the undisturbed seafloor surface sediment recovered with a box corer from the down-core AIO ages of the corresponding vibro-, piston- or gravity core. At sites where no additional box core was recovered or no seabed surface sediment was dated, we used either a marine reservoir correction obtained from a nearby box core site or the core-top AIO age from the corresponding vibro-, piston- or gravity core itself for down-core age correction. This approach, however, assumes that the degree of contamination with fossil carbon and the \(^{14}\)C age of the contaminating carbon have remained constant through time, which is often not the case. For instance, a ‘dog-leg’ in age depth plots for sediment cores is often observed, resulting in a large down-core increase in the \(^{14}\)C age of the deglacial unit (e.g. Domack et al., 1999; Pudsey et al., 2006; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; McKay et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2011). This is because the deglacial sediments at the base of the transitional unit are dominated by terrigenous components and therefore only contain a small amount of organic matter, whereas the supply of fossil carbon is likely to be higher because the ice-sheet grounding-line from which the contaminated carbon originated was located closer to the core site (cf. Domack, 1992). Given the above problems, we consider AIO ages to be less reliable than those derived from carbonate shells and micro-fossils. Radiocarbon ages from calcareous foraminifera can be considered particularly reliable, because delicate foraminifera tests are easily crushed and do not easily survive reworking.

To obtain a precise minimum age on the retreat of grounded ice, ideally the calcareous fossils within transitional glacimarine sediments lying directly above the subglacial till should be dated. Where this is not possible, overlying postglacial glacimarine muds or diatom-rich sediments can help constrain the onset of open-marine conditions, which in turn provides a minimum age for grounding-line retreat (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Hillenbrand et al., 2010b; Smith et al., 2011). However, it should be noted that in some cases dates from this open marine facies can be significantly younger than the timing of initial retreat.

As described above, the reliability of deglaciation ages from marine sediment cores varies considerably with dating technique (AIO vs. carbonate radiocarbon ages), stratigraphic sequence and sediment facies (e.g., dates on iceberg turbate facies or cores that do not penetrate to the subglacial till are less reliable). To account for this we have devised a reliability index (from 1 to 3) (Supplementary Data Table 1) to distinguish between ages we are confident in from those that are deemed less reliable as minimum age constraints on deglaciation. The most reliable ages (score: 1) are radiocarbon ages on calcareous micro-fossils found in the transitional glacimarine unit. Moreover, the down-core ages must be in order, the core-top age (if available) must be close to the Southern Ocean marine reservoir age and there must be no evidence of bioturbation, iceberg turbation, or other sediment reworking as revealed by swath bathymetry data or sedimentary structures in the cores. We also assigned score 1 to a study that constrained the timing of grounded ice-sheet retreat from the eastern AP shelf by RPI dating (Brachfeld et al., 2003). Radiocarbon dates are deemed less reliable (score: 3) if they were derived from AIO material, yielded a very old core-top age, were obtained from sedimentary sequences with down-core age-reversals or if subglacial till was not recovered at the corresponding core site. Unreliable deglaciation ages are also indicated by iceberg turbation (as revealed by iceberg scouring observed near a core site in swath bathymetry data or by an iceberg turbate facies detected in the core lithology) and/or evidence of bioturbation in cores. However, even with old core tops, it is still possible to obtain reliable downcore AIO ages, especially when AIO-dating is combined with other dating techniques (e.g., Hillenbrand et al., 2010b) or where samples are chosen carefully (e.g., Hillenbrand et al., 2010a; Smith et al., 2011). Recently, a new method of compound-specific radiocarbon dating of organic matter, which was applied to sediments from the eastern AP shelf, has allowed a significant reduction of the contamination effect caused by the admixture of reworked fossil organic material (Rosenheim et al., 2008).

3.4. Terrestrial geomorphological reconstructions of ice sheet thickness and extent

Geomorphological reconstructions of ice-sheet thickness and extent rely on a sound understanding of glacial processes. Using detailed sedimentological analysis and, more recently, mapping from high-resolution remotely sensed images, it is possible to make inferences regarding former ice sheet extent, thickness and style of glaciation, including thermal regime (e.g., Clapperton and Sugden, 1982; Bentley et al., 2006; Hambrey and Glasser, 2012). When combined with chronological methods such as radiocarbon dating, OSL or cosmogenic nuclide dating, it becomes possible to reconstruct the thickness and extent of former ice masses on land through time. Cosmogenic nuclide dating of glacially transported boulders or bedrock surfaces (Fig. 4) provides information on the horizontal and vertical dimensions of ice sheets (e.g., Fink et al., 2006; Applegate et al., 2012), and can allow a sensitive reconstruction of ice-sheet thinning history (e.g., Stone et al., 2003; Bentley et al., 2006; Mackintosh et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2011).

3.4.1. Surface exposure dating using cosmogenic nuclides

Surface exposure dating using cosmogenic nuclides has been employed widely in Antarctica (e.g., Stone et al., 2003; Bentley et al., 2006, 2011; Mackintosh et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2008, 2011; Balco et al., 2013). This is due to a frequent dearth of terrestrial organic material for radiocarbon dating, and the arid and windy climate which results in a lack of snow cover and low prevalence of weathering processes (Balco, 2011). Our database contains 91 published cosmogenic \(^{10}\)Be ages, 16 \(^{26}\)Al ages, 4 \(^{26}\)He ages and 11 \(^{39}\)Cl ages from the AP sector. These ages are shown in Supplementary Data Table 2, with the data used to calculate the \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{26}\)Al ages also included in Supplementary Data Table 3.

All \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{26}\)Al concentrations reported are blank-corrected. We have recalculated the published \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{26}\)Al ages in order to make them comparable. This was achieved by using the published information on each sample to recalculate the ages using version 2.2 of the CRONUS-Earth online exposure age calculator (Balco et al., 2008), and the most commonly-used scaling scheme, ‘SR’ (Lal, 1991; Stone, 2000). We did not apply a geomagnetic correction. The uncertainties on the reported ages are all external, to enable comparison between sites many hundreds of kilometres apart. We applied the erosion rate that the original authors assumed (zero in all cases), a quartz density of 2.7 g cm\(^{-3}\) for each sample, and used the Antarctic pressure flag (‘ant’) for the input file. We took \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{26}\)Al concentrations, sample thicknesses, and shielding corrections from the original papers. The \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{26}\)Al concentrations for the ‘BAT’ samples were recalculated as described in Bentley et al.
i.e. the original ETH-reported isotopic concentrations were reduced by 9.6% and 7.2% for $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al, respectively. The resulting concentrations were then used to calculate exposure ages with the CRONUS-Earth online calculator, using the 07KNSTD ($^{10}$Be) and KNSTD ($^{26}$Al) standardisations.

3.4.2. Terrestrial data from lakes, raised beaches and moss banks

Most terrestrial studies on the AP are limited to James Ross Island, Marguerite Bay and the South Shetland Islands. Lakes, raised beaches, moss banks and other terrestrial deposits can provide minimum ages for deglaciation and ice sheet fluctuations and also rates of postglacial isostatic uplift where they are at or below the Holocene marine limit. Organic matter is sparse in Antarctica, but microfossils within terrestrial lakes allow radiocarbon dating of the initiation of deposition, indicating deglaciation, and the transition from marine to freshwater conditions, indicating isostatic uplift above regional sea level (Roberts et al., 2009, 2011; Watcham et al., 2011). Lake sediments can also provide a sensitive record of modern and Holocene environmental conditions and climate (e.g. Björck et al., 1996a, 1996b; Smith et al., 2006; Sterken et al., 2012; Fernandez-Carazo et al., 2013; Hodgson et al., 2013). One of the main advantages of these records is the absence of a marine reservoir effect when freshwater macrofossils are dated. Terrestrial radiocarbon ages from lakes are reported in Supplementary Data Table 4.

Other terrestrial deposits can also provide minimum ages for deglaciation and relative sea level change, for example raised beaches, such as those that are common on the South Shetland Islands (e.g. Hall, 2010), and the onset of accumulation of moss banks (Björck et al., 1991b; Royles et al., 2013). Raised marine deposits can be dated using a combination of radiocarbon dating of shells, bones, and seaweed preserved within them (Supplementary Data Table 4) and OSL (Supplementary Data Table 5). Radiocarbon ages on marine animals require correction for the marine reservoir effect, which varies among different species groups (e.g. Berkman et al., 1998; Domack et al., 2005).

Fig. 4. Field photographs showing Antarctic Peninsula sites from which erratic and bedrock samples have been collected for cosmogenic dating. Small arrows indicate the position of the samples. References for the studies associated with each photograph are: A. Johnson et al. (2012); photograph by Jeremy Everest. B. Johnson et al. (2012); photograph by Phil Leat. C. Bentley et al. (2011); photograph by Mike Bentley. D. Johnson et al. (2011); photograph by Mike Bentley. E. Bentley et al. (2011); photograph by Mike Bentley. F. Johnson et al. (2012); photograph by Steve Roberts.
Fig. 5. (A) Marine and terrestrial radiocarbon and terrestrial cosmogenic ages around the northeast Antarctic Peninsula and James Ross Island numbered according to Map ID. Inset shows wider location. Red squares indicate location of larger-scale images. Please cross reference to Supplementary Information tables. Location is shown on Fig. 2. (B) Map IDs on Ulu Peninsula, James Ross Island. (C) Map IDs on Sjögren Inlet, Trinity Peninsula. (D) Map IDs on Terrapin Hill, James Ross Island.
4. Ice sheet reconstruction – time slices

Reconstructions are shown at 5 ka intervals: 25 ka, 20 ka BP, 15 ka BP, 10 ka BP, 5 ka BP and 0 ka BP (Twentieth Century). Figs. 2 and 5–7 show calibrated marine and terrestrial deglaciation ages across the AP and South Shetland Islands and these data have been used to draw isochrones (Figs. 8 and 9). The locations with chronological constraints on ice-sheet retreat plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 are predominantly sites of marine sediment cores, whose dates provide only minimum ages for deglaciation. This must be borne in mind...
when interpreting the position of the ice sheet limit. The iso-
chrones and constraints on ice-sheet thickness from terrestrial ages
are used in the ice-sheet reconstruction shown in Fig. 10. Fig. 11
presents a reconstruction of palaeo-ice sheet drainage from the
AP at the LGM (25 ± 20 ka BP). In instances where ages are omitted
from our reconstruction, we explain the reasons for this.

4.1. 25 ka BP

There is limited marine geological evidence to constrain the
configuration of the APIS at, or prior to, 25 ka BP. We therefore
group this time-slice with that at 20 ka BP (Fig. 10). These two time-
slices are regarded here as effectively recording the ice-sheet
configuration at the LGM.

On the eastern AP a prominent GZW and MSGs occur on the
outer continental shelf off Vega Trough and indicate that grounded
ice reached as far as the shelf break during the LGM (Heroy and
Anderson, 2005). A continental shelf-edge position is also indi-
cated by streamlined subglacial bedforms and associated subglacial
till within Robertson Trough, but as yet there are no reliable age
constraints on these features (Evans et al., 2005).

In the NW Weddell Sea Smith et al. (2010) concluded that the
APIS was grounded at the shelf break seaward of Joinville-d’Urville
Trough (Fig. 1) between 28.5 and 20.3 cal ka BP based on 14C dating
of foraminifera-rich sediments in cores taken from the continental
slope (core KC89; Map ID 68). Although not a direct constraint on
continental shelf glaciation, the dates from KC89 provide the only
age control for APIS extent in this region at 25 ka. In the absence of
additional data, we therefore place the grounding line of the APIS
at, or very close to (within 10 km) the shelf edge in the NW Weddell
Sea at 25 ka (Fig. 10). In the southern Bellingshausen Sea, a series of
radiocarbon dates suggest that initial ice retreat from the shelf edge
may have started as early as c. 30 cal ka BP (Hillenbrand et al.,
2010a), although it remains a possibility that these ages are older
because of contamination with fossil carbon. Nevertheless, an
earlier outer shelf retreat is in broad agreement with cosmogenic
nuclide dating evidence from Moutonne Valley (340 km to the
east), that suggests ice sheet thinning commenced there after c.
30 ka BP (Bentley et al., 2006; Map ID 212). These events imme-
diately post-date Antarctic Isotopic Maximum 4 seen in the EPICA
Dronning Maud Land and EPICA Dome C and other Antarctic ice
cores at c. 35–30 ka BP (EPICA, 2006).

The terrestrial evidence for the configuration of the APIS at
25 ka BP is sparse. Most data come from northern James Ross Island
and Marguerite Bay (Figs. 5 and 6). Granite erratics at elevations of
up to 370 m asl on Ulu Peninsula, James Ross Island, indicate glacial
overriding during the last glaciation (Davies et al., 2013; Glasser
et al., 2014). The thickness of ice cover at 25 ka BP in this region
exceeded this altitude. Johnson et al. (2009) suggested from $^3$He surface exposure dating that James Ross Island was likely completely ice-covered at the LGM but that the ice cover was probably not much thicker than present. The Mount Haddington Ice Cap appears to have remained as a distinct ice dome that was confluent with the APIS at this time. This is based on isotopic evidence from the James Ross Island Ice Core which indicates that the ice there was not overrun by isotopically colder ice from the mainland (Mulvaney et al., 2012). Further south, near the Sjongren-Boydell and Drygalski Glaciers (Fig. 5C), cosmogenic dating suggested the ice sheet surface at 25 ka BP was thicker; locally at least 520 m above present sea level at the modern coastline (Map ID 162), with cold-based ice present at altitudes above 100–150 m asl (Balco et al., 2013).

In Marguerite Bay the first evidence of the onset of deglaciation is the colonisation of a lake on Horseshoe Island by eggs of the freshwater fairy shrimp Branchinecta gaini, which are present in the sediment matrix from 21.1 ka BP (Map ID 126; Fig. 6) (Hodgson et al., 2013). This indicates the existence of a perennial water body and requires at least one part of the ice sheet in inner Marguerite Bay to have been less than 140 m thick (relative to present sea level) at this time. This event coincides with continued ice thinning in Moutonnée Valley (Bentley et al., 2006), and occurs shortly after the retreat of ice in the Bellingshausen Sea, which reached the mid-shelf by 23.6 cal ka BP (Hillenbrand et al., 2010a). On land, cosmogenic nuclide exposure ages from NW Alexander Island and Rothschild Island (Figs. 2 and 4) show progressive ice thinning since at least 22 ka BP, reaching an elevation of c. 440 m by 10.2–11.7 ka BP (Johnson et al., 2012). More widely, additional evidence of warming at this time comes from further north in the Scotia Sea where both the winter and summer sea-ice edges experienced a rapid melt-back event between 23.5 and 22.9 cal ka BP (Collins et al., 2012). Further south, in the western Amundsen Sea Embayment, deglaciation was probably underway as early as 22.3 cal ka BP (Smith et al., 2011). These events coincide with, or immediately post-date Antarctic Isotopic Maximum 2 (23.5 cal ka BP) seen in Antarctic ice cores (EPICA, 2006) and Southern Ocean sea surface temperature records (Kaiser et al., 2005).

On the South Shetland Islands at the LGM, grounded ice extended onto the outer continental shelf 50 km north of its present location, while on the southern side of the archipelago it extended seaward of the mouths of fjords and straits to the steep
Fig. 9. (A) Marine and terrestrial ages around the northern Antarctic Peninsula and James Ross Island. Please cross-reference to Map IDs in Fig. 5. Location is shown on Fig. 2. Inset shows wider region. Location of larger scale maps is indicated by red squares (B—D). (B) Larger-scale map of Ulu Peninsula, James Ross Island. (C) Larger-scale map of Sjögren Inlet, Trinity Peninsula. (D) Larger-scale map of Terrapin Hill, James Ross Island.
northern boundary of Bransfield Basin (Figs. 7 and 10) (Simms et al., 2011). Sediment eroded from the fjords was deposited in a series of prominent submarine fans that extend into Bransfield Basin. Based on the present (estimated) water depth of the till/bedrock interface at the SHALDRIL core site in Maxwell Bay, Simms et al. (2011) concluded that the LGM ice cap must have been at least 570 m thick in the bathymetric troughs to be able to ground there. The South Shetland Islands do not appear to have been overridden by the APIS during the LGM, but rather were covered by an independent ice cap centred between Robert and Greenwich Islands (John and Sugden, 1971; Fretwell et al., 2010).

4.2. 20 ka BP

As with the 25 ka BP timeslice, there is a similar dearth of chronological data to constrain the ice sheet margin at 20 ka, and its position is largely based on the datasets outlined above (Fig. 10). Thus in the northern and north-eastern AP it is likely that the ice sheet margin remained grounded at or close to the shelf break in Vega and Robertson troughs from 25 to 20 ka BP. AMS 14C ages from cores PC04 and PC06, which were recovered landward and seaward of a GZW offshore from Vega Trough, provide the only direct constraint for ice sheet retreat from the outer shelf (Heroy and Anderson, 2005, 2007). Radiocarbon ages on AIO- (PC04) and foraminifera (PC06) indicate that the APIS had retreated landward of the wedge by 18.3 cal ka BP (Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Data Table 1, Map IDs 13 and 19). Only two other AIO ages (from sites VC266 and VC270 in the Larsen Inlet Trough) yielded calibrated ages of 16.7 and 17.4 cal yr BP, respectively (Pudsey et al., 2006). However, given the high contamination by fossil organic carbon in this area, particularly along the flow path of Larsen Inlet, we assign a low confidence to the reliability of these ages and do not include them in our time-slice reconstruction (Fig. 10). Finally granite boulders on the summit of Lachman Crags (370 m asl) became exposed on Ulu Peninsula, northern James Ross Island, at ~17.7 ± 0.8 ka, while basalt boulders near Davies Dome at elevations of 312 m and 244 m yielded ages of 19.9 ± 7.3 and 22.1 ± 6.6 ka, indicating that ice-sheet thinning on James Ross Island followed initial recession of grounded ice from the continental shelf edge at ~20 ka (Glasser et al., 2014, Fig. 5B). Overall the retreat trajectory of grounded ice from its shelf edge position at 18.3 cal yr BP to the mid-inner shelf is poorly constrained.

On the western AP, seafloor geomorphological data, complemented by high-resolution seismic studies and sediment cores, reveal the pervasive extension of grounded ice to, or close to, the continental shelf edge at the LGM (e.g., Pope and Anderson, 1992; Pudsey et al., 1994; Larter and Vanneste, 1995; Banfield and Anderson, 1995; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2004; Heroy and Anderson, 2005; Amblas et al., 2006; Graham and Smith, 2012). This includes prominent glacial unconformities that can be traced to the shelf break, streamlined subglacial landforms that extend uninterrupted across the shelf and subglacial till in cores.
The identification of cross-shelf troughs with MSGLs, drumlins, grooved and streamlined bedrock and GZW, provides evidence that flow was organised into ice-streams likely separated by slower moving ice on neighbouring banks (Fig. 11) (cf. Larter and Cunningham, 1993; Heroy and Anderson, 2005; Livingstone et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2012a). These ice streams are thought to have been active at the LGM and throughout deglaciation. They include the Lafond, Laclavere, Mott Snowfield and Orleans palaeo-ice streams that drained into the Bransfield Basin; the Gerlache-Boyd, Biscoe, Adelaide, Anvers-Hugo, Smith and Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice streams that drained the western AP; and the Rothschild and Charcot palaeo-outlet glaciers (Fig. 11).
On the western AP shelf marine radiocarbon ages documenting the initial retreat of ice from the shelf edge reveal a general chronological pattern with recession progressing from north to south, with some variability (cf. Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Livingstone et al., 2012). A radiocarbon age of 17.5 ± 0.8 cal ka BP (carbonate material) obtained from transitional glaciomarine deposits in core PC48 on the outer shelf of the Lafond Trough, east of Bransfield Basin (Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Data Table 1, Map ID 1), indicates grounding-line retreat from the shelf edge was underway prior to ~17.5 cal ka BP (Banfield and Anderson, 1995). Moraines imaged in seismic data on the outer shelf of Lafond and Laclavere troughs (Banfield and Anderson, 1995), landward of PC48, document a subsequent slow-down or pause in retreat. On the outer shelf of Smith Trough an age of 17.5 ± 1.09 cal ka BP (planktonic and benthic foraminifera), was obtained from transitional glaciomarine deposits in core PC22 (Supplementary Data Table 1, Map ID 20) and indicates an equivalent timing of retreat (Heroy and Anderson, 2007). Although swath bathymetry data reveal streamlined glacial landforms in excess of 100 km in length on the outer shelf of the Gerlache-Boyd Strait (Canals et al., 2000, 2002, 2003; Willmott et al. 2003), the initial timing of ice retreat back from the outer shelf in this location is unknown. This is due to the thick (up to ~60 m) drape of glacimarine sediment, which has made it difficult for cores to penetrate through to subglacial till (Heroy and Anderson, 2005).

On the outer-shelf of Anvers Trough ages of 16.4–15.4 cal ka BP constrain grounding-line retreat to before ~16.4 cal ka BP (Heroy and Anderson, 2007) (Figs. 8 and 10). Conversely, an age of 13.0 ± 0.59 cal ka BP (AIO material) taken from transitional glaciomarine deposits in core GC03 (Map ID 58) on the bank between Anvers and Smith troughs, implies the shallower inter-ice stream bank may have remained glaciated for longer (Yoon et al., 2002). Lift-off of grounded ice in outer Orleanes Trough must have occurred before ~9.0 ± 0.7 cal ka BP (AIO material in core PC61; Map ID 30), but the corresponding date was obtained from transitional glaciomarine deposits ~70 cm above the contact with subglacial till and it may therefore be considerably younger than the actual timing of initial retreat (see Heroy and Anderson, 2007). The oldest deglacial ages of 18.7 ± 0.46 cal ka BP (core PC55, Map ID 28) and 17.3 ± 0.8 cal ka BP (core PC57, Map ID 29) are 14C dates on AIO from two cores collected on the outer shelf of Biscoe Trough (Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Data Table 1) which do not correspond to the north–south pattern of retreat. However, these ages are considered unreliable on account of their collection from sediment with very low total organic carbon content (Heroy and Anderson, 2007).

On northwest Alexander Island, cosmogenic nuclide ages indicate that during the LGM, the APIS was at least 490 m thicker than present, with thinning occurring from 21.8 ka (Figs. 2 and 6; Map ID 190) until 10.2 ka (Johnson et al., 2012). At Moutonée Valley on eastern Alexander Island, exposure ages on boulders from nunataks and cols indicate that the LGM ice surface was at least 650 m thicker than at present, with thinning starting after 27.2 ka (Map ID 212; Fig. 8; Bentley et al., 2006). This agrees with similar exposure ages from the Batterbee Mountains, western Palmer Land, which indicate thinning to below 430 m asl by 17.7 ka (Map ID 141, Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Table 1) (Bentley et al., 2006).

### 4.3. 15 ka BP

By 15 cal ka BP ice in the most northerly troughs had retreated back from the shelf edge onto the outer or even the middle shelf (Bransfield Basin, Smith and Anvers troughs, and possibly Biscoe Trough (Fig. 10)). Ice may have remained grounded for longer on the shallower inter-ice stream banks in this northern zone (e.g. Yoon et al., 2002), while there is no evidence of retreat prior to 15 cal ka BP south of Biscoe Trough. The islands around the northern AP were probably still covered by ice around 15 cal ka BP (Fig. 10). The ice cap on James Ross Island was still confluent with the APIS (Johnson et al., 2011), although higher ground (above ~370 m) on Ulu Peninsula was ice-free (Glasser et al., 2014). Southwest Vega Island was deglaciated by 11.9 cal ka BP (Zale and Karlén, 1989) (Fig. 9).

Further south, retreat is thought to have begun around 15 ka BP as suggested by ages of 14.1 ± 1.0 cal ka BP (carbonate material) from transitional glaciomarine facies on the outer shelf of Margu-rite Bay (core PD88-85; Map ID 42) (Pope and Anderson, 1992), and 13.2 ± 0.65 cal ka BP (AIO material) from transitional glaciomarine facies on the outer shelf of Biscoe Strait (core PC30; Map ID 24) (Heroy and Anderson, 2007) (Fig. 10). The onset of open marine conditions on the outer shelf part of Charcot Trough (core GC471, Map ID 59) and the mid-shelf of Rothschild Trough (core GC514, Map ID 60) is dated to 13.5 ± 0.48 cal ka BP and 14.5 ± 1.0 cal ka BP (both on AIO), respectively, providing minimum ages for retreat of grounded ice in this region (Graham and Smith, 2012) (Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Data Table 1).

Well-constrained chronologies enable detailed reconstructions for several troughs. In Marguerite Trough, radiocarbon ages on calcareous microfossils ranging from 14.4 to 14.0 cal ka BP (Fig. 6) suggest a period of rapid ice back from the outer shelf (Kilfeather et al., 2011), whilst a number of GZWs farther inland suggest that retreat became increasingly episodic (Jamieson et al., 2012; Livingstone et al., 2013). Radiocarbon ages of 13.8–11.1 cal ka BP obtained from biogenic carbonate and AIO document rapid retreat of the Anvers Trough palaeo-ice stream into Palmer Deep on the inner shelf (see Pudsey et al., 1994; Domack et al., 2006; Livingstone et al., 2012) (Figs. 2, 8 and 10).

Corres from the mouth of Prince Gustav Channel suggest that the transition from grounded to floating ice commenced at 13.6 cal ka BP (Map ID 55), and that the grounding-line reached the Röhss Bay area between 10.9 and 10.7 cal ka BP (Fig. 9) (Pudsey and Evans, 2001; Evans et al., 2005; Pudsey et al., 2006). It is also possible that grounded ice retreated south–north and north–south along Prince Gustav Channel, with a date obtained from core VC238 indicating that grounded ice had retreated to a position NW of Persson Island by 12.6 cal ka BP (Map ID 51, Figs. 5 and 9). Cosmogenic nuclide ages on granite boulders associated with moraine fragments and granite-rich drifts at ~100 m asl on western Ulu Peninsula next to Prince Gustav Channel, indicate evacuation of the ice stream from the northern part of the channel by ~12 ka (Fig. 9) (Glasser et al., 2014). This coincided with a period of peak warmth recorded in the Mount Haddington ice core (Mulvaney et al., 2012). Samples from the Batterbee Mountains yielded a range of ages, possibly suggesting complex exposure histories (Bentley et al., 2006, 2011). The youngest age from the plateau (12.7 ka; 350 m asl) is assumed to be the most representative of the timing of the last deglaciation of these mountains (Bentley et al., 2006).

On the South Shetland Islands, a long SHALDRIL core, as well as other cores and seismic data, constrain the timing and spatial extent of glacial retreat within Maxwell Bay, on the south side of King George Island. The oldest date from shell fragments in glaciomarine sediments in the SHALDRIL core is 13.7 cal ka BP (Map ID 76, Fig. 7; Supplementary Data Table 1), with extrapolation of the age model suggesting that grounded ice decoupled from the bed in Maxwell Bay at 14.8–14.1 cal ka BP (Milliken et al., 2009; Simms et al., 2011). This age predates minimum deglaciation ages based on AIO dates from Maxwell Bay (Li et al., 2000) and eastern Bransfield Basin (Heroy et al., 2008) by 4–5 ka, but it is consistent with terrestrial evidence from Barton Peninsula, King George Island, where Seong et al. (2009) published a maximum age of deglaciation of 15.5 ± 2.5 ka from cosmogenic nuclide dating of
glacial surfaces (Map ID 224; Supplementary Data Table 2). Following the onset of deglaciation, ice retreated 15–20 km to a mid-fjord pinning point and stabilised until ca 10.1 cal ka BP, after which there was a transition from perennial floating ice to open marine conditions in Maxwell Bay and Bransfield Basin (Simms et al., 2011).

4.4. 10 ka BP

Along much of the eastern AP margin grounded ice is likely to have approached its present configuration by ~10 cal ka BP, with fringing ice shelves at, or bigger than, 20th century pre-collapse limits. North of Prince Gustav Channel, core KC49 provides a minimum age for deglaciation of Erebus and Terror Gulf at 10.5 cal ka BP (Map ID 67; Figs. 5 and 9) based on ramped-pyrolysis 14C dating (Rosenheim et al., 2008). The transition from grounded ice to floating ice in the Larsen-A and Larsen-B embayments is well-constrained by RPI and 14C dating of foraminifera and AIO; the ages from both techniques are remarkably consistent, with the transition occurring at 10.7–10.6 cal ka BP (Figs. 2 and 8; Supplementary Data Table 1) (Brachfeld et al., 2003; Domack et al., 2005). AIO 14C dates from Larsen Inlet and Robertson Trough show greater scatter probably associated with a higher degree of contamination from fossil carbon, whereas AIO ages from the southern mouth of Prince Gustav Channel indicate a slightly earlier recession of grounded ice (13.6–13.8 cal ka BP; Pudsey et al., 2006). Evans et al. (2005) suggested that initial deglaciation of troughs in these areas was continuous (and possibly rapid) on account of the absence of recessional features. In contrast, GZWs across the shelf of the northern Larsen-A sector and south of Prince Gustav Channel (Fig. 5) indicate that ice retreat in the region was punctuated by stillstands (Evans et al., 2005; Reinardy et al., 2011b). However, neither the rapidity nor evidence for stillstands is resolved by the available 14C dates. By 10 ka, ice in Prince Gustav Channel, and the Larsen-A and Larsen-B sectors had receded to near modern limits. The ice sheet was at least 300–500 m thicker than present prior to 9 ka (Fig. 10) (Balco et al., 2013).

Approximately 40 km north of James Ross Island, dates on marine sediments from an isolation basin on Beak Island suggest that it was ice-free by 10.7 ± 0.68 cal ka BP (Map ID 101; Figs. 2 and 9) (Roberts et al., 2011; Sterken et al., 2012). At present, only limited marine geological (Curry and Pudsey, 2007) and no chronological data are available from south of Jason Peninsula so the configuration and timing of deglaciation of this sector of the APIS remains unknown.

Grounded ice retreated from the protected inner shelf and fjord environments along the AP coast during the early Holocene. Core PD-91/PC-08 constrains deglaciation of Croft Bay/Herbort Sound to 8.4 cal ka BP (Figs. 5 and 9) (Minzoni et al., 2011). This is consistent with the high-resolution climate record from Firth of Tay 100 km further to the north, which constrains grounded ice retreat to before 8.3 cal ka BP and probably at 9.4 cal ka BP (Map ID 34) (Michalchuk et al., 2009).

On the western AP continental shelf, the 10 ka time-slice documents grounded ice on the inner shelf of most regions, with the exception of Marguerite Trough where Kilfeather et al. (2011) suggested that ice was still grounded on the mid-shelf (Fig. 10). This reconstruction is based on an age of 9.5 ± 0.6 cal ka BP, taken from a shelf in the transitional glacimarine unit of a core from the mid-shelf of Marguerite Trough (Map ID 12; Figs. 2 and 8), and corroborated by an age of 9.0 ± 1.18 cal ka BP derived from a shelf in transitional glacimarine deposits recovered from a subsidiary trough just to the southwest (Map ID 27) (Heroy and Anderson, 2007). These ages may, however, be much younger than the actual timing of the retreat of grounded ice. Retreat back across the inner-shelf of Marguerite Bay must then have been rapid as indicated by the onset of diatomaceous sedimentation, indicative of seasonally open marine conditions in Neny Fjord on the inner shelf of Marguerite Bay by 9.2 ± 0.6 cal ka BP (core JPC43; Map ID 15) (Allen et al., 2010).

Thinning and rapid ice recession in Marguerite Bay around this time is also supported by terrestrial evidence. At Horseshoe Island, aquatic mosses were present in lakes at c. 80 m above present sea level from 10.6 ± 0.1 cal ka BP, although dates on bulk organic material from the lake suggest the onset of deglaciation at the site as early as 28–22.5 cal ka BP (Hodgson et al., 2013; Map ID 126; Fig. 2). Surface exposure dates at Parvenu Point on Pourquoi-Pas Island (283 m asl) indicate rapid early Holocene ice-sheet thinning from around 270 m at 9.6 ka (Bentley et al., 2011). Similarly the onset of marine sedimentation in a nearby inundated lake basin at 19.41 m asl close to Parvenu Point at or before 8.8 cal ka BP provides a lower ice thickness constraint for the rapid deglaciation of Pourquoi-Pas Island (Hodgson et al., 2013). Both age constraints support the interpretation of rapid thinning of the Marguerite Trough palaeo-ice stream at this time. This interpretation is also consistent with evidence from Alexander Island for the early Holocene loss of at least the northern part of the George VI Ice Shelf between ~9.6 cal ka and ~7.7 cal ka BP (Bentley et al., 2005; Hodgson et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2009). The break-up of the George VI Ice Shelf followed 500 m of thinning recorded at Ablation Point Massif and exposure of the Citadel Bastion summit (465.4 m altitude) at ~10.6 ka (Map ID 216; Hodgson et al., 2009). Within Calmette Bay, a small fjord along the eastern inland shores of Marguerite Bay, Simkins et al. (2013) identified two sets of raised beaches. The lower set are unequivocally Holocene in age and suggest minimum ages of open water within the small fjord at around 6.2 cal ka BP (Map ID 254; Simkins et al., 2013).

At 10 cal ka BP the grounding line in Biscoe Trough and Anvers-Hugo Trough must have been located somewhere inland of all dated cores (i.e. all the ages are >10 cal ka BP). On north-western Alexander Island, the ice was ~140 m thicker than at present (Fig. 8) (Johnson et al., 2011). In Adelaide Trough the grounding-line was located seaward of core GC01 in Lallemend Fjord, and ice-free conditions were established there by 9.2 ± 0.6 cal ka BP (Shevenell et al., 1996; Map ID 56). Thus, the ice-front in this region is thought to have been in close-proximity to the present-day margin for the last 10 ka BP. An age of 8.4 ± 1.2 cal ka BP obtained from the AIO of transitional glacimarine deposits in Gerlache-Boyd Strait may limit ice to the north of core site PCB3 (Map ID 10), but its reliability is questionable, not only because of the problems associated with dating AIO, but also because the core sampled a lag deposit (Harden et al., 1992). Nevertheless, a large sill at the mouth of Gerlache Strait which is carpeted by morainic deposits on its southern flank, clearly marks a significant pinning point during grounding-line retreat (Heroy and Anderson, 2005). An AIO age of 9.0 cal ka BP on the outer shelf of Orleans Trough (Map ID 30) also hints at a relatively seaward ice-margin position at the 10 ka BP time-slice (Heroy and Anderson, 2007), but as mentioned above, this age may be much younger than the actual timing of grounding-line retreat. Although the chronology of Orleans Trough is poorly resolved, the identification of a pebbly, stratified sandy mud overlying the subglacial till does imply deposition beneath an ice-shelf once the grounding-line had lifted off (Heroy et al., 2008).

Cosmogenic nuclide dating of glacial erratics indicate that Seymour Island and northern James Ross Island (Cape Lachman) were free of grounded ice by ~8 ka, and Terrapin Hill was ice-free by 6.8 ka (Johnson et al., 2011; Fig. 9; Map ID 158). Radiocarbon dates from glacimarine sediments at The Naze (northern James Ross Island, Fig. 9D) indicate that Herbert Sound became free of grounded
ice between 6.3 and 7.8 ka BP (Hjort et al., 1997; Davies et al., 2012a). Cosmogenic $^4\text{He}$ dating of unmodified bedrock plateaux on western James Ross Island (i.e., Patalamon Mesa and Crisscross Crags; Fig. 7), suggests they were ice-free for a maximum of 15 ka during the past 4.69 million years, which probably included the past ~6.7 ka (Johnson et al., 2009). The ice cover was relatively thin (probably <200 m). Some ice probably also remained on flat-topped mesas for several hundred years after widespread deglaciation had occurred (Johnson et al., 2011). Cosmogenic nuclide dates on erratic boulders on Ulu Peninsula indicate that the ice configuration was similar to present by ~6 ka (Glasser et al., 2014).

In the South Shetland Islands, variations in sedimentation rates, carbon, silica and diatom contents in marine cores suggest ice retreated from Maxwell Bay in a stepwise manner from 14.1 to 8.2 cal ka BP, with particularly rapid retreat between 10.1 and 8.2 cal ka BP (Milliken et al., 2009). Inner Maxwell Bay was ice free by 9.1 cal ka BP and the entire bay was ice free by 5.9 cal ka BP, except for some smaller tributary fjords (Simms et al., 2011). On land there is evidence for progressive ice retreat, from cosmogenic exposure ages on glacially striated bedrock (Seong et al., 2008), the onset of lake sedimentation (Mäusbacher et al., 1989; Schmidt et al., 1990; Watcham et al., 2011) and formation of raised beaches (Barsch and Mäusbacher, 1986; Del Valle et al., 2002; Hall, 2010). Ice retreated from Filde Peninsula, King George Island, between 11 and 9 cal ka BP, with glaciers being at or within their present limits by 6.1 cal ka BP (Mäusbacher, 1991; Watcham et al., 2011), and lake sedimentation commencing after this time (Tatur et al., 1999). At Potter Cove, ice recession was underway by c. 9.5 cal ka BP (Sugden and John, 1973). Lake sedimentation at Byers Peninsula, Livingston Island, seems to have started later, with a minimum age of c. 7.5 cal ka BP (Toro et al., 2013). However, further field studies of the transition between glacial and lacustrine sediments on Byers Peninsula are required.

4.5. 5 ka BP

Along the western AP there are, to date, no marine radiocarbon ages for the deglaciation transition that are younger than 6.8 cal ka BP. This implies the ice sheet may have retreated almost to its present-day position by 5 cal ka BP, which is in agreement with the terrestrial data (Bentley et al., 2011) (Fig. 10). This includes the retreat of ice from the near-coastal part of Marguerite Bay (e.g. Allen et al., 2010; Kilfeather et al., 2011) and lift-off of grounded ice in George VI Sound and Eltanin Bay resulting in formation of the present ice-shelves (Sugden and Clapperton, 1981; Hjort et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2007; Hillenbrand et al., 2010a). On the northwestern AP around Anvers Trough, the Bethelot islands were ice-free by 8.7 ka (160 m asl) and Primavera Station (40 m asl) became ice-free by 6.5 ka (Bentley et al., 2006). The ice-sheet margin was therefore located roughly in its present configuration along much of the western AP since the mid-Holocene, with the major phase of retreat having occurred between 15 and 10 ka BP as discussed above (Fig. 10).

Prince Gustav Channel is thought to have been free of grounded ice since the mid to late Holocene (Map IDs 44-45, Figs. 9 and 10); terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide ages on an ice-stream lateral moraine at Kaa Bluff on Ulu Peninsula, James Ross Island, indicate that this region of the channel was ice-free by 7.6 ka (Map ID 248; Fig. 9). Further south, the exact timing of ice sheet retreat in the trough remains a matter of debate due to the large uncertainty inherent in $^{14}\text{C}$ dating in this area. The calibration of $^{14}\text{C}$ ages from cores VC236, VC243 and VC244 suggest that the Prince Gustav Ice Shelf was absent between 6.8 and 1.8 cal ka BP, slightly earlier than previously reported (e.g., 5–2 ka BP in Pudsey and Evans, 2001). In the Larsen-A embayment, open-marine facies and clast provenance in sediment cores suggest major retreat episodes of the Larsen-A Ice Shelf during the late Holocene (Domack et al., 2001; Brachfeld et al., 2003; Pudsey et al., 2006), probably between 3.8 and 1.4 cal ka BP (Brachfeld et al., 2003). In contrast, marine sedimentary sequences recovered further south do not indicate significant post-LGM reductions of the Larsen-B and Larsen-C ice shelves before 2002 (Domack et al., 2005; Curry and Pudsey, 2007).

The onshore record from the north-eastern AP has relatively little direct glacial geological data relating to ice sheet thickness and extent and around ~5 ka. We know, however, that most of Ulu Peninsula on northern James Ross Island was free of grounded ice by ~6 ka (Glasser et al., 2014; Fig. 9B). Lake sedimentation began at Keyhole Lake 2 km inland of Brandy Bay before 4.6 ± 0.2 cal ka BP (Fig. 5B; Supplementary Data Table 4; Map IDs 90-91; Björck et al., 1996a), although there is low confidence in these ages, as the lake may have been contaminated with ancient carbon as it is fed by glacial meltwater (Hjort et al., 1997). To the north, at Hope Bay, lake sedimentation began from a minimum extrapolated age of ~7.1 cal ka BP (Zale, 1994; Sterken et al., 2012). Slightly farther south at Sjögren-Boydell and Drygalski Glaciers, the ice surface had lowered to near present sea level by 4 ka (Balco et al., 2013).

On the South Shetland Islands, tidewater glaciers retreated from the tributary fjords in Maxwell Bay from ca 4.5 to at least 2.8 cal ka BP (Map ID 74; Yoon et al., 2000), although ice may have persisted in small coves until 1.7 cal ka BP (Simms et al., 2011). Terrestrial evidence from lake sediments and moss banks suggests that during the latter part of the Holocene the climate ameliorated, with mild and humid conditions peaking between 3.0 and 2.8 cal ka BP (Björck et al., 1991a, 1991b, 1993, 1996b). Deglaciation of the shallow marine platform along the Admiralty Bay margin occurred ca 1.9–1.2 cal ka BP (Yoon et al., 2000).

Late Holocene glacial readvances are also recorded in several places around the AP and South Shetland Islands, and are probably a feature of most areas. For example, a large readvance of glacier IJR45 as recorded by moraines on James Ross Island occurred after 6.1 cal ka BP (terrestrial radiocarbon age; Map ID 80), and the glacier remained at this extended position until after ~4 ka (Rabassa, 1987; Björck et al., 1996a; Hjort et al., 1997; Davies et al., 2013). In addition, a readvance tentatively assigned to the Neo-glacial resulted in the presence of small, sharp crested moraines on James Ross Island, inferred to have ages of less than 1000 years (Carrivick et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2013), when a period of cooler climate was recorded in the James Ross Island Ice Core (Fig. 7B; Mulvaney et al., 2012). On Anvers Island, mosses overlain by a moraine suggest the presence of an ice advance after 700–970 yr BP (Hall et al., 2010b). In the South Shetland Islands, readvance of glaciers occurred on King George Island during Neoglacial cold events (Yoon et al., 2004; Yoo et al., 2009), for example between 0.45 and 0.25 cal ka BP (Simms et al., 2012).

Some late Holocene marine and terrestrial records from the South Shetland Islands indicate warmer temperatures between 1.4 and 0.55 cal ka BP and a cooler period at 0.55–0.05 cal ka BP, which have been tentatively linked to the northern hemisphere Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age (Fabrés et al., 2000; Khim et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2005; Hall, 2007; Hass et al., 2010; Monien et al., 2011; Majewski et al., 2012). Rapid regional warming and glacier retreat have occurred in the last 80 years (Vaughan et al., 2003; Yoo et al., 2005; Monien et al., 2011; Rückamp et al., 2011), at the same time as that observed along the western AP (Cook et al., 2005).

4.6. Twentieth Century

During the Twentieth Century, significant tidewater glacier recession has occurred on both sides of the AP in response to rapid atmospheric warming (Cook et al., 2005). The most recent estimate
of present day ice loss for the AP is ~20 ± 16 Gt/yr, corresponding to a sea-level contribution of +0.056±0.045 mm/yr (Shepherd et al., 2012). The small AP peripheral island glaciers alone are losing around 7 ± 4 Gt yr⁻¹ of mass (Gardner et al., 2013). Around the northern tip of the AP, tidewater glaciers on the east side of the peninsula are shrinking faster than those on the west side, and small land-terminating glaciers on the northeast AP are also shrinking rapidly (Engel et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2012b).

AP tidewater glaciers are currently undergoing enhanced thinning and melting in their downstream sections (Kunz et al., 2012). The highest rates of surface lowering are found in the northwest AP and annual rates of thinning have accelerated to 0.6 m yr⁻¹ since the 1990s. This increase in frontal surface lowering corresponds well to observed increases in positive degree day sums (e.g., Barrand et al., 2013a). Thinning is not observed in the higher accumulation zones of these glaciers, where it may be offset by the high snow accumulation rates. The widespread glacier recession and thinning has been accompanied by flow acceleration, with the largest accelerations occurring on the glaciers receding most (Pritchard and Vaughan, 2007; Pritchard et al., 2009).

Ice shelves along the western and eastern AP are also shrinking and disintegrating in response to basal thinning and surface melt, with increased meltwater ponding on the surface during warm austral summers (Scambos et al., 2009; Pritchard et al., 2012; Rignot et al., 2013). Exceptionally high rates of basal melting are occurring beneath George VI Ice Shelf and the nearby Stange and Wilkins ice shelves, which lose nearly all their mass by basal melting. These small ice shelves along the coasts of the Bellingshausen and Amundsen seas (westwards to Getz Ice Shelf) account for nearly 48% of total meltwater production from Antarctic ice shelves, despite covering only 8% of the total Antarctic ice-shelf area (Rignot et al., 2013). Around 28,000 km² has been lost from AP ice shelves since 1960 (Cook and Vaughan, 2010), and the loss is occurring synchronously across the AP for the first time in the Holocene (Hodgson, 2011). The collapses of the Prince Gustav Channel ice shelf in 1995 and Larsen-B Ice Shelf in 2002 resulted in a number of immediate changes, including tributary glacier acceleration (Rignot et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 2004; Glasser et al., 2011), thinning (Scambos et al., 2004), and recession (Davies et al., 2012b).

So far, the largest southerly ice shelves on the western AP have not undergone disintegration on a scale similar to that observed on the north-eastern AP, and the George VI Ice Shelf in the early Holocene. However, the warming waters of the Bellingshausen Sea are contributing to the basal melting of George VI Ice Shelf (Holland et al., 2010), which is also speeding up concurrently with an increase in fracture extent and distribution (Holt et al., 2013). Wilkins Ice Shelf, west of Alexander Island, underwent large calving events in 2008 and 2009 following a period of thinning driven by high basal melt rates (Padman et al., 2012). Müller Ice Shelf, the most northerly ice shelf on the western AP, underwent substantial recession from 1986 to 1996, and has since remained relatively stable, at approximately 50% of the size it was in 1956 (Cook and Vaughan, 2010).

5. Numerical modelling of the Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet

Geological data, such as that summarised in this paper, can be used to drive numerical ice sheet models, thus deriving important information regarding ice volume, ice thickness, velocities, glacier dynamics and thermal regime. Numerous attempts, of varying degrees of complexity, have recently been made at modelling the Antarctic Ice Sheet through the LGM (Denton and Hughes, 2002; Huybrechts, 2002; Ivins and James, 2005; Bentley et al., 2010; Le Brocq et al., 2011; Pollard and DeConto, 2012; Golledge et al., 2012a,b, 2013; Whitehouse et al., 2012a). Numerical models are constantly improved by the increasingly high-resolution BEDMAP 2 dataset (Fretwell et al., 2013), better constraints on present conditions, surface mass balance (Shepherd et al., 2012) and ice flow velocities (Rignot et al., 2011), as well as improved geological reconstructions of past ice-sheet extent and thickness (e.g., this special issue). These data, combined with high-resolution swath bathymetry, can be used to provide insights into specific ice-stream recession. For example, Jamieson et al. (2012, 2014) use the geomorphological record to explore marine ice stream instability for the Marguerite Bay Ice Stream using a high resolution flowline model.

Three dimensional thermomechanical ice sheet models such as Glimmer (Rutt et al., 2008) or PISM (Golledge et al., 2012a,b) can be used to investigate palaeo-ice-sheet dynamics across the entire ice sheet during the LGM. The narrow mountain chain of the Antarctic Peninsula has proven difficult to model, as coarser models are unable to resolve the underlying bedrock topography (Whitehouse et al., 2012a). However, the GLIMMER model (Whitehouse et al., 2012a) reproduces a thick ice sheet along the Antarctic Peninsula at 20 ka, with nunataks being covered by ice.

A recent attempt using a high-resolution (5 km) ice sheet model (PISM (Golledge et al., 2012a,b)) was able to evolve more complex flow pathways. The 5 km resolution PISM reconstruction suggests that during the LGM, the thin ice along the spine of mountains along the Antarctic Peninsula was cold-based and below pressure melting point, which agrees with observations from ice-rafted debris (Reinardy et al., 2009), whereas ice on the continental shelf was at the pressure melting point. It matches geological data in many ways: for example, the model shows a dome forming over James Ross Island during the LGM, suggesting that the Mount Haddington Ice Cap was not overridden, which agrees with observations (Davies et al., 2012a). This model also generates nunataks on the higher summits of Alexander Island, constraining ice-sheet thickness. Ice stream velocities reach 200 m a⁻¹ for the eastern outlets. Mismatches occur in several areas of the continental shelf, but modelled flow-lines broadly agree with restructured ice-flow directions (Golledge et al., 2013).

Numerical modelling crucially allows predictions to be made about the future of the APIS. Improved observations of ice velocities, bedrock topography and bathymetry and surface mass balance have allowed the development of a new generation of ice sheet models, which compute ice fluxes from these observational data. These models anticipate grounding-line migration as a result of future ice-shelf collapse, which reduces buttressing forces and leads to recession (Barrand et al., 2013b). These models allow the volume response of a 10 and 20 km grounding line retreat or surface mass balance anomalies to be analysed.

Over the next 200 years, the sea level contribution from the APIS is expected to be negative, as increased accumulation offsets higher temperatures and increased melting (Barrand et al., 2013b). However, increased accumulation also results in increased ice discharge, which returns ~30% of the mass gained to the ocean by 2200 AD. Grounding line retreats of 10 km imposed on each of the 20 largest drainage basins resulted in a variable volume response, with some basins contributing up to 1.5 mm of sea level rise. However, several basins showed little or no change after 200 years. A grounding line retreat of 20 km resulted in a volume response of up to 0.5–3 mm of sea level equivalent (SLE) per basin (Barrand et al., 2013b).

As 19 of the largest drainage basins terminate in ice shelves, the cumulative effect of ice-shelf collapse across the APIS was calculated. If all ice shelves are removed by the year 2020 AD, the dynamic response of the entire APIS was ~7–25 mm SLE by 2100 AD and 8–32 mm by 2200 AD, depending on whether a 10 or 20 km grounding line recession is imposed (Barrand et al., 2013b).
maximum possible volume response is unlikely to exceed 50 mm SLE by 2200 AD, even with a 20 km grounding-line retreat scenario. Ultimately, Barrand et al., 2013b conclude that values of 10–20 mm SLE from grounding line retreat alone seem plausible.

6. Discussion

Marine geological and geophysical datasets from the AP continental margin provide strong evidence that the APIS was grounded at, or close to, the shelf edge at the LGM (25–20 ka BP). This evidence comprises subglacial tills in sediment cores and a range of subglacial landforms, including MSGLs, drumlins and grooved bedrock, as well as GZWs. Typically, the outer shelf is floored by a soft unconsolidated substrate in which MSGLs are well developed, while the inner shelf comprises a generally rougher terrain of locally ice-moulded crystalline bedrock dissected in places by subglacial meltwater channels, with localised till patches. On the distribution of glacial landforms, particularly MSGLs, the APIS is known to have been drained by a series of palaeo-ice streams flowing through cross-shelf bathymetric troughs around the Peninsula. On the western Peninsula in particular, numerous offshore islands acted as topographic barriers to flow, guiding ice streams into ever deeper pre-existing bathymetric troughs (Colledge et al., 2013). These palaeo-ice streams were probably surrounded by slower moving ice on the intervening shallower, and commonly iceberg scoured, banks (Fig. 11) (cf. Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Evans et al., 2005; Heroy and Anderson, 2005; O’Cofaigh et al., 2008; Livingstone et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2012a). Subglacial tills range from stiff over-consolidated diamictons which have been recovered in cores from both inside and outside of bathymetric troughs, to soft porous diamictons that are found in cores from within troughs; MSGLs are typically constructed from this soft till (Evans et al., 2005; O’Cofaigh et al., 2005, 2007; Reinardy et al., 2011a,b; Livingstone et al., 2012). The soft tills and associated MSGLs record streaming flow along the troughs at the LGM, and likely immediately after. Although GZWs have been recorded at the shelf edge/outer shelf in some locations, their absence in other areas implies that subglacial sediment advected to the grounding line was delivered directly onto the slope and remobilised as mass flows (e.g., Vanneste and Larter, 1995; Dowdeswell et al., 2004b; Evans et al., 2005; Amblas et al., 2006).

Although the existing data indicate that a grounded APIS extended to the shelf edge or outer shelf around much of the peninsula at the LGM, significant data gaps remain, most notably on the Weddell Sea margin of the eastern AP (see also Hillenbrand et al., 2014), and also at the northern tip of the AP. Based on the terrestrial and marine data, we are currently unable to distinguish any change in ice sheet extent between 25 and 20 cal ka BP and so we infer that the ice sheet was still at its maximum extent at 20 cal ka BP. This suggests that the ice sheet was grounded at the shelf edge for several thousand years at least in some areas, although some ice streams, such as the one in Marguerite Trough (O’Cofaigh et al., 2005) may have been short-lived, non-steady state features. Given the paucity of dates constraining the advance of grounded ice across the shelf, the timing and duration during which the APIS reached its maximum extent remains unresolved. However, records from Horseshoe Island in inner Marguerite Bay suggest that mosses were present on land at 28.8 ± 0.28 cal ka BP, limiting ice thickness there to 80–140 m above present sea level (Hodgson et al., 2013).

Dates from Vega Trough indicate that the ice sheet had retreated from the shelf edge by 18.3 cal ka BP (Heroy and Anderson, 2007). The transition from grounding to floating ice in the former Larsen–A region occurred at 10.6–10.7 cal ka BP based on RPI and AMS radiocarbon dating (Brachfeld et al., 2003; Domack et al., 2005), but it appears to have been earlier (13.6 cal ka BP) in Prince Gustav Channel (Pudsey and Evans et al., 2005; Pudsey et al., 2006). In the northeast AP, the Firth of Tay deglaciated around ~9.4 cal ka BP (Michalchuk et al., 2009). In the west, ages for initial retreat from the outer shelf decrease both from the outer to inner shelf, and also from north to south along the peninsula. Retreat from the outer shelf was underway by 17.5 cal ka BP in Bransfield Basin, while further south in Anvers Trough and Marguerite Trough, initial ice retreat may not have occurred until 15–16 cal ka BP and 14 cal ka BP, respectively (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Kilfeather et al., 2011). Terrestrial evidence from Marguerite Bay indicates that ice sheet thinning was already underway by 18 ka (Bentley et al., 2011).

Recession of individual ice streams across the western AP shelf was therefore asynchronous between troughs in terms of the timing of initial grounding line recession. However, subsequent retreat rates also seem to exhibit marked spatial variations, if we assume that the deglaciation ages are close to the true time of grounding-line retreat, i.e. that the fact that the dates are minimum ages has not significantly altered the timing of palaeo-ice stream retreat. For example, according to the core chronologies from Anvers Trough grounding-line retreat was initially slow across the outermost shelf (mean retreat rates of 2–15 m yr⁻¹) but then accelerated (47 m yr⁻¹) (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Livingstone et al., 2012). By contrast, in Marguerite Trough, retreat across the outer shelf was rapid with mean retreat rates of ~80 m yr⁻¹, although these rates could have been considerably greater given the overlap in the error of dates constraining retreat along a 140 km long stretch of the outer to mid-shelf part of the trough (Kilfeather et al., 2011). Variations in retreat style and rate between individual troughs are also implied from the mapped glacial geomorphology. The distribution of GZWs, which often overprint or disrupt MSGLs on the shelf, indicates the occurrence of temporary stillstands or slow-downs in the rate of grounding line retreat in the bathymetric troughs, and show that post-LGM grounding-line retreat ranged from continuous to episodic (Larter and Vanneste, 1995; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; O’Cofaigh et al., 2008; Livingstone et al., 2012, 2013). Retreat in some troughs was interrupted by a series of re-advances and ice-shelf breakup and reformation episodes (e.g., Prince Gustav Channel; Pudsey and Evans, 2001). The presence of GZWs indicating episodic retreat contrasts with areas characterised by uninterpreted MSGLs and where GZWs are absent, implying continuous, possibly rapid retreat (O’Cofaigh et al., 2008; Dowdeswell et al., 2008). Although GZWs can also form as the grounding line advances across the shelf, such GZWs are likely to be successively eroded or substantially modified when they are overridden by the advancing ice. Retreat from the inner shelf was strongly diachronous, with dates ranging from 13 to 7 cal ka BP (Heroy and Anderson, 2007). As suggested by Heroy and Anderson (2007), this variability may reflect the influence of local controls on retreat rate; notably the rugged, bedrock dominated, inner shelf which would have facilitated pinning on bedrock highs and topographic constrictions.

This influence of seafloor (subglacial) topography on retreat rates is exemplified by the case of Marguerite Trough. There, radiocarbon dates from a 140 km long section of the outer shelf document a period of rapid palaeo-ice stream retreat (Pope and Anderson, 1992; Kilfeather et al., 2011) in an area where a series of GZWs have been mapped on a reverse bed slope (Jamieson et al., 2012, 2014; Livingstone et al., 2013). This is interesting because it demonstrates that GZWs, typically regarded as being indicators of grounding-line stabilisation or slow down (Dowdeswell and Fuselli, 2012), can develop on a reverse bed slope. Jamieson et al.
(2012) have shown how retreat rates and transient pauses in grounding line recession on the reverse bed slope of Marguerite Trough are associated with constrictions in trough width along the ice-stream flow path, which caused enhanced lateral drag as the ice stream narrowed. In conjunction with shallower areas in the trough, such pinning points emphasise the importance of along-flow variations in subglacial topography as a mechanism for modulating the discharge of individual APIS outlets.

7. Conclusions

- This paper is a reconstruction of the changing extent and configuration of the APIS from LGM to present. The reconstruction is underpinned chronologically by a database of radiocarbon ages on glaciomarine sediments, lake and terrestrial organic remains, and cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure ages on erratics and bedrock. The resulting ice sheet retreat history, particularly along the western AP continental shelf, is one of the best constrained in Antarctica.

- The APIS was grounded on the outer shelf/at the shelf edge of the peninsula at the LGM and remained there until ~20 ka BP. The ice sheet was drained by a series of palaeo-ice streams that extended across the continental shelf via bathymetric troughs.

- Initial ice sheet retreat in the east was underway by about 18 cal ka BP. The earliest dates on retreat in the west are from Bransfield Basin and show that retreat there was underway by 17.5 cal ka BP. The timing of the onset of initial retreat decreased southwards along the western AP shelf with the large ice stream in Marguerite Trough remaining grounded at the shelf edge until about 14 cal ka BP, although thinning was underway by 18 ka BP.

- Ice streams remained active during deglaciation at least until the ice sheet had pulled back to the mid-shelf. They left a strong geomorphological and sedimentary imprint within the cross-shelf bathymetric troughs in the form of flow-parallel, streamlined subglacial landforms, GZWs and subglacial tills.

- Retreat was asynchronous between individual troughs and subglacial topography exerted a major control on retreat. In some troughs the grounding-line retreat slowed or paused on reverse bed slopes during deglaciation.

- Between 15 and 10 cal ka BP the APIS underwent significant recession along the western AP margin. In Marguerite Trough the ice sheet may have still been grounded on the mid-shelf at 10 cal ka BP. In the Larsen-A region the transition from grounded to floating ice was established by 10.7–10.6 cal ka BP.

- The APIS had retreated towards its present configuration in the western AP by the mid-Holocene and may have approached its present configuration on the eastern AP margin several thousand years earlier at the start of the Holocene. Subsequent mid to late-Holocene retreat was diachronous.

- Although the LGM configuration and subsequent retreat history of the APIS is relatively well known compared to other regions of Antarctica, there remain significant gaps in terms of data coverage (e.g., the Weddell Sea margin of the AP) and understanding (e.g., whether there were significant mid-Holocene readvances). Even in comparatively well studied areas, such as the Larsen-A region and troughs on the western AP shelf, existing ages on ice sheet retreat are often sparse or not fully reliable reflecting, for example, contamination by fossil carbon or a scarcity of calcareous (micro-) fossils. An improved chronology of both grounding line retreat in individual troughs and outlet glacier thinning histories from cosmogenic nuclide dating is essential to determine retreat rates and assess variability between different glacial catchments. Such data are also critical constraints on numerical ice-sheet models which seek to determine the controls on ice stream retreat, particularly in areas of reverse bed slope.
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